

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

## Mass infection is not an option: we must do more to protect our young

As the third wave of the pandemic takes hold across England, the UK Government plans to further re-open the nation. Implicit in this decision is the acceptance that infections will surge, but that this does not matter because vaccines have "broken the link between infection and mortality".1 On July 19, 2021-branded as Freedom Day-almost all restrictions are set to end. We believe this decision is dangerous and premature.

An end to the pandemic through population immunity requires enough of the population to be immune to prevent exponential growth of SARS-CoV-2. Population immunity is unlikely to be achieved without much higher levels of vaccination than can be reasonably expected by July 19, 2021. Proportionate mitigations will be needed to avoid hundreds of thousands of new infections, until many more are vaccinated. Nevertheless, the UK Government's intention to ease restrictions from July 19, 2021, means that immunity will be achieved by vaccination for some people but by natural infection for others (predominantly the young). The UK Health Secretary has stated that daily cases could reach 100 000 per day over the summer months of 2021.<sup>2</sup> The link between infection and death might have been weakened, but it has not been broken, and infection can still cause substantial morbidity in both acute and long-term illness. We have previously pointed to the dangers of relying on immunity by natural infection.3 and we have five main concerns with the UK Government's plan to lift all restrictions at this stage of the pandemic.

First, unmitigated transmission will disproportionately affect unvaccinated children and young people who have already suffered greatly. Official UK Government data show that as of July 4, 2021, 51% of the total UK population have been fully vaccinated and 68% have been partially vaccinated. Even assuming that approximately 20% of unvaccinated people are protected by previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, this still leaves more than 17 million people with no protection against COVID-19. Given this, and the high transmissibility of the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant, exponential growth will probably continue until millions more people are infected, leaving hundreds of thousands of people with long-term illness and disability.<sup>4</sup> This strategy risks creating a generation left with chronic health problems and disability, the personal and economic impacts of which might be felt for decades to come.

Second, high rates of transmission in schools and in children will lead to significant educational disruption, a problem not addressed by abandoning isolation of exposed children (which is done on the basis of imperfect daily rapid tests).5 The root cause of educational disruption is transmission, not isolation. Strict mitigations in schools alongside measures to keep community transmission low and eventual vaccination of children will ensure children can remain in schools safely.<sup>6-8</sup> This is all the more important for clinically and socially vulnerable children. Allowing transmission to continue over the summer will create a reservoir of infection, which will probably accelerate spread when schools and universities re-open in autumn.

Third, preliminary modelling data9 suggest the government's strategy provides fertile ground for the emergence of vaccine-resistant variants. This would place all at risk, including those already vaccinated, within the UK and globally. While vaccines can be updated, this requires time and resources, leaving many exposed in the interim. Spread of potentially more transmissible escape variants would disproportionately affect the most disadvantaged in our country and other countries with poor access to vaccines.

Fourth, this strategy will have a significant impact on health services and exhausted health-care staff who have not vet recovered from previous infection waves. The link between cases and hospital admissions has not been broken, and rising case numbers will inevitably lead to increased hospital admissions, applying further pressure at a time when millions of people are waiting for medical procedures and routine care.

Fifth, as deprived communities are more exposed to and more at risk from COVID-19, these policies will continue to disproportionately affect the most vulnerable and marginalised, deepening inequalities.

In light of these grave risks, and given that vaccination offers the prospect of quickly reaching the same goal of population immunity without incurring them, we consider any strategy that tolerates high levels of infection to be both unethical and illogical. The UK Government must reconsider its current strategy and take urgent steps to protect the public, including children. We believe the government is embarking on a dangerous and unethical experiment, and we call on it to pause plans to abandon mitigations on July 19, 2021.

Instead, the government should delay complete re-opening until everyone, including adolescents, have been offered vaccination and uptake is high, and until mitigation measures, especially adequate ventilation (through investment in CO<sup>2</sup> monitors and air filtration devices) and spacing (eq, by reducing class sizes), are in place in schools. Until then, public health measures must include those called for by WHO (universal mask wearing in indoor spaces, even for those vaccinated), the Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE), the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (ventilation and air filtration), and Independent SAGE



Published Online July 7, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$0140-6736(21)01589-0

For UK Government COVID-19 vaccination data see https://coronavirus.data.gov.uk/ details/vaccinations

Submissions should be made via our electronic submission system at http://ees.elsevier.com/ thelancet/

(effective border quarantine; test, trace isolate, and support). This will ensure that everyone is protected and make it much less likely that we will need further restrictions or lockdowns in the autumn.

JD, ZHa, MM, SM, CP, AR, and SR are members of Independent SAGE. SR is a member of the advisory group to the Scottish Chief Medical Officer. RW, SM, SR, and JD are participants in the Independent Scientific Pandemic Insights Group on Behaviours, the behavioural science subgroup of SAGE. JD declares funding for research on public behaviour in the pandemic, paid to the University of Sussex, from the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) and fees from the BBC and The Guardian for media appearances and articles. SG declares research grants from the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the AMMF and fees from Hallmark Care Homes for webinars about vaccine hesitancy. SG is also a member of the UK Government's COVID-19 Expert Panel on Home Testing and the COVID-19 International Best Practice Advisory Group, and he is a consultant on shielding for the International Comparators Joint Unit (IBPAG-ICJU). SG is Chair of the Virus Division of the Microbiology Society and a member of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy grants review panel. SM declares research grants from the MRC, the ESRC, the Wellcome Trust, Cancer Research UK. and the National Institutes of Health Research and fees from the BBC and ITN for media appearances. All other authors declare no competing interests. A summit to discuss the concerns outlined in this Correspondence will take place on July 8, 2021. Signatories of this Correspondence are listed in the appendix.

\*Deepti Gurdasani, John Drury,

Aris Katzourakis, Martin McKee,

Susan Michie, Christina Pagel,

Stephen Reicher, Alice Roberts,

William Harvey Research Institute, Queen Mary

University of London, London E1 4NS, UK (DG); University of Sussex, Brighton, UK (JD); University of

Oxford, Oxford, UK (TG, AK); University of Leeds,

Leeds, UK (SG); London, UK (ZHa); University of

Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia (ZHy); London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine,

London, UK (MM); University College London,

London, UK (SM, CP, RW); University of St Andrews,

Birmingham, UK (AR); University of Bath, Bath, UK

(CY); University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK (HZ)

easing lockdown in England on 19 July.

Morton B. Covid: Boris Johnson upbeat about

July 2, 2021. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-57681216 (accessed July 4, 2021).

Wright K. Covid: Self-isolation to be scrapped

July 6, 2021. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-57733276 (accessed July 6, 2021).

for double-jabbed and children in England.

St Andrews, UK (SR); University of Birmingham,

Robert West, Christian Yates,

d.gurdasani@gmul.ac.uk

Hisham Ziauddeen

Zubaida Haque, Zoë Hyde,

Trisha Greenhalqh, Stephen Griffin,

For more on the **summit** see https://www.johnsnowmemo. com/summitdeclaration.html See Online for appendix



Published Online July 13, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(21)01594-4

See Online for appendix

- 3 Alwan NA, Burgess RA, Ashworth S, et al. Scientific consensus on the COVID-19 pandemic: we need to act now. Lancet 2020; 396: e71-72.
- 4 ONS. Prevalence of ongoing symptoms following coronavirus (COVID-19) infection in the UK: 1 July 2021. July 1, 2021. https://www. ons.gov.uk/people population and community/health and socialcare/ conditionsanddiseases/bulletins/ prevalenceofongoingsymptoms followingcoronaviruscovid19infection intheuk/1july2021 (accessed July 4, 2021).
- 5 Gurdasani D, Ziauddeen H, Greenhalgh T, et al. Daily contact testing trials in schools are unethical and extending them to include the Delta variant puts everyone at risk. June 17, 2021. https://blogs.bmj.com/ bmj/2021/06/17/daily-contact-testing-trialsin-schools-are-unethical-and-extendingthem-to-include-the-delta-variant-putseveryone-at-risk/ (accessed July 4, 2021).
- 6 Gurdasani D, Alwan NA, Greenhalgh T, et al. School reopening without robust COVID-19 mitigation risks accelerating the pandemic. Lancet 2021; 397: 1177–78.
- 7 Lessler J, Grabowski MK, Grantz KH, et al. Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling. *Science* 2021; **372:** 1092–97.
- 8 The ABC Science Collaborative. Final report for NC school districts and charters in Plan A. June 30, 2021. https://abcsciencecollaborative. org/the-abcs-of-north-carolinas-plan-a/ (accessed July 4, 2021).
- 9 Gog JR, Hill EM, Danon L, Thompson R. Vaccine escape in a heterogeneous population: insights for SARS-CoV-2 from a simple model. *MedRxiv* 2021; published online March 17. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.14.21253544 (preprint).

## Antibody response after second BNT162b2 dose in allogeneic HSCT recipients

The prognosis of COVID-19 infection is poor in haematopoietic stemcell transplant (HSCT) recipients.<sup>1,2</sup> In a large multicentric series of 318 HSCT recipients (184 allogeneic HSCT recipients and 134 autologous HSCT recipients), the probability of overall survival at 30 days after the diagnosis of COVID-19 infection was notably dismal, at 68% (95% CI 58-77) and 67% (55-78) for allogeneic HSCT recipients and autologous HSCT recipients, respectively.<sup>1</sup> Immunocompromised patients have been excluded from initial studies of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine efficacy, so the efficacy

of vaccination in this population warrants evaluation.

To analyse the immunogenicity of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine (Pfizer-BioNTech), we used the IgG II Quant Assay (Abbot Laboratories, Wiesbaden, Germany) to quantify spike qlycoprotein-specific IqG receptor-binding domain (IgG[S-RBD]) levels at a median of 28 days (IQR 26-31) after the second vaccine dose in 88 recipients who had received two successive doses (at 4-week interval) at a median of 23 months (range 3–213 [IQR 9–30]) after allogeneic HSCT. IgG(S-RBD) titres could be quantified in 69 (78%) participants, whereas IqG(S-RBD) was detected but not quantifiable in three participants (anti-S titre <21 arbitrary unit [AU] per mL) and not detected in 16 participants (anti-S titre <6.8 AU/mL). In parallel, nucleoprotein-specific IgG was detected in seven of 88 participants, denoting previous SARS-CoV-2 exposure.

As previously reported for surrogate measure of vaccine protection, we stratified samples by IgG(S-RBD) titres above or below 4160 AU/mL as this threshold has previously been shown to correspond to a 0.95 probability of virus neutralisation in in-vitro plague reduction neutralisation tests.<sup>3</sup> In a comparison of characteristics of patients with IgG(S-RBD) titres above (n=52) and below (n=36) this threshold, a time interval greater than 12 months between HSCT and vaccination, as well as an absolute lymphocyte count in peripheral blood above 1G/L at the time of vaccination correlated with protective IqG(S-RBD) titres after vaccination (appendix). In comparison, participants who had received systemic immunosuppressive drugs within 3 months of vaccination had subprotective IqG(S-RBD) titres. Systemic immunosuppressive treatments within 3 months of vaccination, together with a lymphocyte count below 1 G/L in peripheral blood, remained