doi:10.1093/ijnp/pyv032 Research Article

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Hippocampal-Dependent Antidepressant Action of the H₃ Receptor Antagonist Clobenpropit in a Rat Model of Depression

Teresa Femenía, PhD*; Salvatore Magara, MD*; Caitlin M. DuPont, BS; Maria Lindskog, PhD

Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden (Drs Femenía, Magara, and Lindskog, and Ms DuPont).

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: Maria Lindskog, PhD, Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden (mia.lindskog@ki.se).

Abstract

Background: Histamine is a modulatory neurotransmitter regulating neuronal activity. Antidepressant drugs target modulatory neurotransmitters, thus ultimately regulating glutamatergic transmission and plasticity. Histamine H₃ receptor (H₃R) antagonists have both pro-cognitive and antidepressant effects; however, the mechanism by which they modulate glutamate transmission is not clear. We measured the effects of the H₃R antagonist clobenpropit in the Flinders Sensitive Line (FSL), a rat model of depression with impaired memory and altered glutamatergic transmission.

Methods: Behavioral tests included the forced swim test, memory tasks (passive avoidance, novel object recognition tests), and anxiety-related paradigms (novelty suppressed feeding, social interaction, light/dark box tests). Hippocampal protein levels were detected by Western blot. Hippocampal plasticity was studied by in slice field recording of CA3-CA1 long-term synaptic potentiation (LTP), and glutamatergic transmission by whole-cell patch clamp recording of excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) in CA1 pyramidal neurons.

Results: Clobenpropit, administered systemically or directly into the hippocampus, decreased immobility during the forced swim test; systemic injections reversed memory deficits and increased hippocampal GluN2A protein levels. FSL rats displayed anxiety-related behaviors not affected by clobenpropit treatment. Clobenpropit enhanced hippocampal plasticity, but did not affect EPSCs. H₁R and H₂R antagonists prevented the clobenpropit-induced increase in LTP and, injected locally into the hippocampus, blocked clobenpropit's effect in the forced swim test.

Conclusions: Clobenpropit's antidepressant effects and the enhanced synaptic plasticity require hippocampal H_1R and H_2R activation, suggesting that clobenpropit acts through disinhibition of histamine release. Clobenpropit reverses memory deficits and increases hippocampal GluN2A expression without modifying anxiety-related phenotypes or EPSCs in CA1 pyramidal neurons.

Keywords: Anxiety, FSL, glutamate, memory, plasticity

Introduction

Depressive disorders are highly prevalent diseases that present both emotional and cognitive symptoms. About 50% of the patients with depression do not respond to treatment, and residual symptoms include cognitive deficits (McClintock et al.,

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: August 17, 2014; Revised: February 28, 2015; Accepted: March 3, 2015

[©] The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of CINP.

2011). Because commonly used antidepressant drugs increase the levels of neuroamines, such as serotonin and norepinephrine, the neurobiology of depression was initially attributed to a deficit in the monoaminergic system (Hirschfeld, 2000). However, little evidence is available to suggest that altered levels of monoamines contribute to the etiology of depression. On the other hand, recent evidence suggests that depression may arise from a dysregulation of glutamatergic transmission and plasticity (Sanacora et al., 2012). Accordingly, the classic antidepressants that act on monoamines might exert their effect by modulating glutamatergic transmission and plasticity (Berton and Nestler, 2006).

Unlike the serotonergic and noradrenergic systems, histaminergic modulation in the central nervous system has not been studied thoroughly. Histaminergic neurons are located in the tuberomammillary nucleus in the hypothalamus, and these neurons project to many cerebral regions. Four subtypes of G-protein-coupled histamine receptors (H.R. H.R. H.R. and H₄R) are distributed widely throughout the brain (Haas and Panula, 2003; Connelly et al., 2009). H, receptors are constitutively active presynaptic auto- and hetero-receptors (Schwartz, 2011), that inhibit release of histamine and have also been shown to reduce glutamate release (Brown and Haas, 1999; Molina-Hernandez et al., 2001; Garduno-Torres et al., 2007). H₃R activation decreases gamma oscillations (Andersson et al., 2010), which have been correlated positively with cognition (Jensen et al., 2007). Consistent with the effect on gamma oscillations, an extensive battery of studies has revealed that H₂R antagonists improve attention and memory (Passani et al., 2004; Esbenshade et al., 2008; Sander et al., 2008), whereas H₁ and H₂R antagonists inhibit memory formation (Gianlorenco et al., 2014; Taati et al., 2014). However, the role of histamine receptors in depression has been less explored and never confirmed in an animal model of depression. Pérez-García et al. (1999) reported a decrease in the behavioral despair in mice when administered with H₃R antagonists thioperamide or clobenpropit, suggesting an antidepressant effect. In addition, a recent characterization of new non-imidazole histamine H₂R antagonists has revealed antidepressant activities in the forced swim test in mice (Gao et al., 2013; Bahi et al., 2014). Because of their combined antidepressant and pro-cognitive benefits, H₃R antagonists are promising candidates for treating both the emotional and cognitive symptoms of depression. However, the mechanism underlying the therapeutic action of H₃R antagonism is poorly understood in the context of a depression model.

The complex symptomatology of depression reflects the involvement of several brain regions in the disorder's pathophysiology. The importance of the hippocampus in depression is supported by the finding that patients with depression have reduced hippocampal volume (Campbell et al., 2004; Stockmeier et al., 2004). Moreover, the hippocampus is increasingly recognized as the brain's integrator of emotions and cognition (Small et al., 2011).

The Flinders Sensitive Line (FSL) is an inbred rat model of depression (Overstreet and Wegener, 2013); moreover, these rats have impaired emotional and recognition memory (shown in the passive avoidance and the novel object recognition tests, respectively; Eriksson et al., 2011; Gómez-Galán et al., 2013). Recent work in our laboratory has shown that FSL rats have both increased glutamatergic transmission and decreased long-term potentiation (LTP) in the hippocampus (Gómez-Galán et al., 2013). Thus, FSL rats are a suitable animal model for studying H₃ receptor modulation of glutamate transmission for the emotional and cognitive symptoms of depression. Here, we report that treating

FSL rats with the H₃R antagonist clobenpropit reduces immobility in the forced swim test and improves memory, but does not affect anxiety-related behaviors. Moreover, clobenpropit treatment increases synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus without affecting basal synaptic transmission.

Methods

Animals and Husbandry

All experiments were performed using male Sprague-Dawley rats (total n = 49; Charles-River Laboratories) or male FSL rats (total n = 164; bred in-house). The rats were 2–3 months of age at the time of behavioral testing and their body weight was between 320–420g for the Sprague-Dawley group and 280–400g for the FSL group. Patch-clamp experiments were performed using 17–23-day-old rats. The animals were group-housed under standard laboratory conditions (20–22°C, 50–60% humid-ity); animals that underwent surgery were single-housed. For the behavioral testing, the rats were handled for a minimum of 6 days before testing to minimize stress effects. Each animal was used for one test only. All experiments were approved by the Stockholm North Committee on Ethics of Animal Experimentation.

Drugs

Clobenpropit, trans-triprolidine, cimetidine, and histamine were purchased from Tocris Bioscience. For behavioral experiments, clobenpropit was dissolved in saline and administered subcutaneously 45 minutes before the experiment at 5 mg/kg unless otherwise stated. For hippocampal delivery, the drugs were dissolved in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) and injected via bilateral guide cannulae using a microsyringe pump (1 µl/hemisphere) 15 minutes before the experiment at the following final concentrations: clobenpropit, 10 mM; trans-triprolidine, 0.5 mM; and cimetidine, 1 mM. Drug doses and concentrations were chosen based on previous studies (Pérez-García et al., 1999; Xu et al., 2009). For slice electrophysiology, the drugs were dissolved in ACSF at the following final concentrations: clobenpropit, 10 µM; trans-triprolidine, 2 µM; cimetidine, 50 µM; and histamine, 10 µM. Final concentrations were chosen based on pilot experiments and previous studies (Zhou et al., 2006; Chepkova et al., 2012).

Forced Swim Test

Immobility in the forced swim test is used to measure behavioral despair and has good predictive value for testing antidepressant effects (Porsolt et al., 1977). Each rat (n = 5-7 rats/group) was placed for 10 min in a vertical Plexiglas cylinder (height: 50 cm; diameter: 30 cm) containing 37 cm of water ($25\pm1^{\circ}C$). Twenty-four hours later, the rat was placed in the cylinder for a second session and filmed for 5 min. Rats were treated before each forced swim test session. Immobility time was measured by an observer who was blinded with respect to the experimental conditions and is defined as the cessation of activity aside from the absolute minimum movement required to remain afloat.

Novel Object Recognition

The novel object recognition test was performed in a Plexiglas box (length: 80 cm; width: 35 cm; height: 35 cm) containing two objects constructed out of plastic toys with different visual contrast and shape. A control experiment (not shown) showed that

the rats had no clear preference for any of the objects used. The rat was habituated to the test box for 20 min the day before the training (session S0). Rats were treated before the training session (S1) and then left to freely explore two identical objects for 5 min. The baseline locomotor activity (as total distance covered and velocity) was measured during the training session by EthoVision system (XT 10.0, Noldus Inc.). After 24 hrs the rats (n = 5 rats/group) were returned to the box for a $5 \min$ test session (S2), with one of the objects replaced by a novel object (Figure S1A). Each trial was filmed, and an observer who was blinded with respect to the experimental conditions measured object exploration time. Exploration was defined as sniffing, biting, licking, or touching the object with the nose while facing it. A recognition index was then calculated for S2 using the formula [N/(N+F)], where N is the total time spent exploring the novel object and F is the total time spent exploring the familiar object.

Passive Avoidance

Passive avoidance was measured as previously described (Luttgen et al., 2005). In brief, we used a commercial set-up comprised of a box with a light and a dark compartment separated by an automatic sliding door (TSE Systems). Rats were treated before the training session and then contained in the light compartment for 2 min (Figure S1B), after which the sliding door was opened. After the rat entered the dark compartment, the sliding door was closed, and a weak electrical stimulus was delivered through the grid floor. Twenty-four hours later, the rat (n = 5–13 rats/group) was again placed in the light compartment, and the latency to enter the dark compartment (with all four paws) was measured; the cut-off time for the test was 9 min.

Novelty Suppressed Feeding Test

The novelty suppressed feeding test was performed in an open field (length: 80 cm; width: 35 cm; height: 35 cm) containing a single food pellet placed on a white paper in the center of the cage. After 16 h of food deprivation, the rat (n = 4-7 rats/group) was placed in the corner of the testing apparatus and allowed to explore the field for 5 min. The latency time until the rat began to eat and the amount of food consumed were measured.

Social Interaction

In the social interaction test, two rats of a similar strain (n = 7 rats/group) and treatment (but from different home cages) were placed together in an open field. The total time that the two rats interacted socially (i.e. sniffing, following, grooming, kicking, crawling under or over each other, and touching or nearly touching their faces) was measured for $5 \min$ (Femenía et al., 2011).

Light/Dark Box Test

The light/dark box test was performed using the passive avoidance box, with the grid floor replaced with a solid Plexiglas floor. The total time spent in the light compartment and the number of transitions between the light and dark compartments were measured during a single 5-min trial using an integrated infrared beam system (n = 7 rats/group; Femenía et al., 2011).

Surgery

Rats were anesthetized with isoflurane, and bilateral guide cannulae (Plastics One) were implanted above the CA1 field of the dorsal hippocampus at the following coordinates relative to bregma and the dura surface: mediolateral: ±3.0mm; anteroposterior: -4.2mm; and dorsoventral: -1.3mm; at a 0° angle from the vertical axis in the coronal plane (Paxinos and Watson, 1998). The implantation sites were confirmed histologically post-mortem (Figure S1C).

Western Blot Analysis

4 hrs after treatment the rats were decapitated, and the hippocampi were rapidly dissected and sonicated in buffer containing 1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and protease inhibitors (Roche). Immunoblot analysis was performed using standard protocols and analyzed using the LICOR Odyssey fluorescence detection system with fluorescent secondary antibodies. The data were quantified using ImageJ software (NIH), and expression was normalized to ß-actin or vinculin. The following primary antibodies were used: GluA1, GluA2, ß-actin, vinculin (Millipore); GluNR1 (Synaptic Systems); GluNR2A (Tocris Bioscience); GluNR2B, glutamate/aspartate transporter GLAST (human excitatory amino acid transporter EAAT1), and glial glutamate transporter GLT1 (human EAAT2) (Abcam).

Field Recordings

Horizontal hippocampal slices (400 µM) were prepared as previously described (Gómez-Galán et al., 2013). ACSF contained (in mM): 130 NaCl, 3.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 24 NaHCO₃, 10 glucose, 2 CaCl₂, and 1 MgCl₂ (pH 7.3-7.4, 310-330 mOsm). For recording, hippocampal slices were placed in a submersion chamber perfused with oxygenated ACSF (perfusion rate 2-3 ml/min). Field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were elicited in the CA1 stratum radiatum by stimulating the Schaffer collateral pathway. Responses were collected every 60 s using a stimulation intensity that yielded 50-60% of either the maximal response or the appearance of population spikes (intensity range: 7-16 µA). LTP was induced by high-frequency stimulation (HFS; three 1-s trains, 20 s apart, at a frequency of 100 Hz). The signal was filtered at 5 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz using a Digidata 1440A D/A converter and Axoscope 10.2 (Molecular Devices). fEPSP slope was measured using AxoGraph X as the maximum slope from 10 regression points over a 1-msec period during the constant rising phase of the fEPSP. The values were normalized to the average fEPSP slope obtained during the 10-min baseline period prior to HFS.

Whole-Cell Recordings

Horizontal hippocampal slices (350 µm) were prepared as previously described (Gómez-Galán et al., 2013). The dissection solution contained (in mM): 250 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 1.4 NaH₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 10 glucose, 1 CaCl,, and 4 MgCl, (310-330 mOsm). The recovery and recording ACSF solution contained (in mM): 130 NaCl, 3.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 24 NaHCO₃, 10 glucose, 2 CaCl₂, and 1.3 MgCl₂. Recordings were done in a submersion chamber at 32–34°C with a perfusion rate of 2–3 ml/min. Glass pipettes with a tip resistance of 3-6 MOhm were filled with a solution containing (in mM): 110 K-gluconate, 10 KCl, 4 Mg-ATP, 10 Na₂-phosphocreatine, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), and 0.2 ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid (EGTA) (pH 7.2-7.4; 270-290 mOsm). Recordings were performed using a MultiClamp 700B and Clampex 10.0 (Molecular Devices), with filter and sampling rates of 5 and 10kHz, respectively (Digidata 1440A). Pyramidal neurons located in the CA1 pyramidal cell layer were voltage-clamped at -65 mV, and pipette capacitance was compensated. Access resistance was monitored, and cells with either a change in resistance >30%

or membrane potential more positive than -55 mV were excluded from the analysis. Excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) were analyzed off-line using Mini Analysis software (Synaptosoft Inc.), with a low-pass cut-off elliptic filter at 1000 Hz; the amplitude threshold was 8 pA, and the area threshold was 20 pA/ms. Events were excluded if the rise time exceeded the decay time.

Data Analysis

The two-tailed Student's t-test was used to compare two groups and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; followed by Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Test post hoc analysis, where applicable) was used to compare clobenpropit treatments at different doses with the saline-treated FSL group. Two-way ANOVA (followed by Bonferroni's post hoc analysis, where applicable) was used to test for the interaction between clobenpropit treatment and H₁/ H₂ receptor blockade. The Fisher's exact test or the Chi-square test for trend (for two or more independent variables, respectively) was used when within-group variability was absent due to latency times exceeding the time of observation (in the passive avoidance and in the novelty suppressed feeding tests). The dependent variables were the number of rats performing (or not) the tested behavior. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to compare the cumulative distributions of EPSC inter-event intervals and amplitudes.

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc.) or JMP11 software (SAS).

Results

The Antidepressant Effect of Clobenpropit Involves the Hippocampus

FSL rats that were treated systemically with the H_3 receptor antagonist clobenpropit had significantly less immobility time compared with saline-treated FSL rats ($t_{12} = 2.634$, p = 0.022; Figure 1A, left). To test the involvement of the hippocampus in this antidepressant effect, we administered clobenpropit locally by direct injection into the hippocampus. Clobenpropit significantly reduced immobility time compared to ACSF-injected control rats ($t_9 = 3.917$, p = 0.003; Figure 1A, right), suggesting that blocking H_3 receptors selectively in the hippocampus is sufficient to yield an antidepressant-like response in FSL rats.

Clobenpropit Reverses Impaired Memory in FSL Rats

In the passive avoidance test, treating FSL rats with clobenpropit increased the latency time such that none of the FSL rats crossed to the dark-shock compartment (Fisher's exact test p = 0.036; Figure 1B). In the novel object recognition test, baseline locomotor activity was lower in FSL compared to Sprague-Dawley rats (distance: $t_{11} = 2.79$, p = 0.017), but was not affected by clobenpropit treatment (distance: $t_{11} = 1.19$, p = 0.26; velocity: $t_{11} = 0.87$, p = 0.4). Clobenpropit treatment did not affect the exploration time during the training phase (Figure 1C, session 1). During the



Figure 1. Clobenpropit reverses depressive behavior and cognitive deficits in Flinders Sensitive Line (FSL) rats. (A) Forced swim test. Total immobility time was measured during a 5-min trial and is expressed as the percentage of saline-treated FSL rats. Clobenpropit treatment (clob) decreased immobility time when given either systemically (left) or directly into the CA1 region of the hippocampus (right). *p < 0.05; *p < 0.01 vs. FSL saline (sal; Student's t-test). (B) Passive avoidance test. Latency time (in seconds) to enter the compartment where the aversive stimulus had been given. Systemic clobenpropit treatment (5 mg/kg, s.c.) increased the latency in FSL rats to Sprague-Dawley (SD) levels. *p < 0.05 (Fisher's exact test). Note that none of the clobenpropit-treated FSL rats crossed to the aversive compartment within the 540-s observation period, explaining the lack of an error bar in this group. (C) Novel object recognition test. Total object was "A1" and "A1"; in session 2 (S2; middle). In session 1, the two objects were identical and are referred to as "A1" and "A1"; in session 2, object A1 was replaced with a novel object ("B"). In session 2, the saline-treated Sprague-Dawley rats spent significantly more time exploring the novel object ("total exploration time of the familiar object ("total exploration time of the familiar object (N), divided by total exploration time of the familiar (F) and the novel (N) objects [N/(N+F)]. Treating FSL rats with clobenpropit restored the recognition index to Sprague-Dawley levels (p = 0.052 vs. FSL sal, Student's t-test). The bars represent the mean \pm standard error of the mean of 5–13 rats/group.

test session, saline-treated Sprague-Dawley rats preferentially explored the novel object ($t_8 = 3.82, p = 0.005$), whereas the saline-treated FSL rats showed no clear preference (Figure 1C). Treating FSL rats with clobenpropit increased the recognition index to Sprague-Dawley levels in comparison to saline-treated FSL rats ($t_8 = 2.28, p = 0.052$), indicating that treatment restored recognition memory in FSL rats (Figure 1C). Together, these results confirm that blocking H₃ receptors improved memory in a rat model of depression with memory deficits.

The Anxiety-Related Phenotype in FSL Rats is Not Affected by Clobenpropit

Previous studies testing the FSL rats in anxiety-related paradigms have reported conflicting results in anxiety-like behaviors, with no or reduced anxiety and reduced social interaction (Overstreet et al., 1995, 2004; Abildgaard et al., 2011). We measured the basal anxiety levels of FSL rats—and the effect of clobenpropit treatment—using three anxiety-related tests: the novelty suppressed feeding test, the social interaction test, and the light/dark box test. In the novelty suppressed feeding test, FSL rats consumed less food compared to Sprague-Dawley rats ($t_{12} = 6.34$, p < 0.001; Figure 2A, left) and had a longer delay before eating (Fisher's exact test p < 0.001; Figure 2A, right). Clobenpropit treatment did not significantly affect food consumption (Figure 2A) and was associated with a small decrease of the latency time (Chisquare = 6.98, df = 1, p = 0.008). In the social interaction test, the

saline-treated FSL rat pairs spent significantly less time interacting compared to saline-treated Sprague-Dawley rats ($t_{12} = 8.79$, p < 0.001), and clobenpropit slightly increased FSL rat interaction time ($t_{11} = 2.24$, p = 0.045; Figure 2B). In the light/dark box test, the FSL rats spent significantly more time in the dark compartment ($t_{12} = 4.46$, p < 0.001) and had fewer light-dark transitions ($t_{12} = 4.46$, p < 0.001) compared to the Sprague-Dawley rats, and this anxiety-related behavior was not affected by clobenpropit treatment (Figure 2C). Taken together, these results show that FSL rats have a robust anxiety-related phenotype that is not reversed by clobenpropit treatment.

GluN2A Levels are Increased by Clobenpropit Treatment

We recently reported that FSL rats have reduced expression of the astrocytic glutamate transporter GLAST and increased glutamatergic transmission (Gómez-Galán et al., 2013). Here, we found that clobenpropit treatment did not significantly increase the expression of GLAST in the hippocampus of FSL rats (Figure 3A, left). The other astrocytic glutamate transporter, GLT1, is not changed in the FSL compared to Sprague-Dawley rats and we did not observe any compensatory increase with clobenpropit (Figure S2A).

The level of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor subunit GluN2A was significantly reduced in FSL rats compared to Sprague-Dawley ($t_{11} = 3.18, p = 0.009$), and clobenpropit treatment increased



Figure 2. Clobenpropit does not affect anxiety-like behavior in Flinders Sensitive Line (FSL) rats. (A) Novelty suppressed feeding test. The left panel shows the amount of a food pellet (normalized to the body weight) that was consumed during 5 min. The right panel shows the latency (in seconds) until the rat began to eat the pellet. Note that none of the saline-treated FSL rats began to eat the pellet within the 300-s observation period, explaining the lack of an error bar in this group. Where indicated, the rats were injected subcutaneously with either saline (sal) or clobenpropit (clob; 5 or 10 mg/kg). (B) Social interaction test. The bars represent the total interaction time between pairs of rats during a 5-min trial; the data were normalized to the saline-injected Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (100%). Rats were injected with either saline or 10 mg/kg clobenpropit. (C) Light/dark box test. The left panel shows the total time spent in the light compartment during the 5-min test, normalized to the saline-injected Sprague-Dawley rats (100%). The right panel shows the total number of transitions between the dark and light compartments during the 5-min test. Rats were injected with either saline or 10 mg/kg clobenpropit. In all three tests (A–C), the FSL rats had increased anxiety-related behavior compared to Sprague-Dawley rats, and this was not reversed by clobenpropit. ***p < 0.001 vs. the saline-injected Sprague-Dawley group (Student's t-test); *p < 0.05 vs. the saline-injected FSL groups). The bars represent the mean ± standard error of the mean of 7 rats/group.



Figure 3. Clobenpropit increases hippocampal GluN2A—but not GLAST—protein levels. Rats were treated with either saline (sal) or the indicated dosage of clobenpropit (clob), and (A) hippocampal GLAST and (B) the NMDA receptor GluN2A subunit were measured using Western blot analyses. Protein levels were normalized to ß-actin, and each normalized level was then normalized to the saline-injected Sprague-Dawley (SD) group (100%). The levels of both GLAST and GluN2A were lower in the Flinders Sensitive Line (FSL) rats compared to Sprague-Dawley rats, but only GluN2A levels were increased to Sprague-Dawley levels following clobenpropit treatment. ***p < 0.001 vs. the saline-injected Sprague-Dawley group (Student's t-test); *p < 0.05 vs. the saline-injected FSL group (Dunnett's multiple comparison post hoc test). The bars in A and B represent the mean ± standard error of the mean of 7 rats/group. The lower panels show representative immunoblots.

the amount of GluN2A (ANOVA $F_{2,17} = 4.27$, p = 0.031; Figure 3B). No change was detected in the glutamate receptor subunits GluA1, GluA2, GluN1, or GluN2B (Figure S2B–E) in either group.

Clobenpropit Does Not Modulate CA1 Glutamatergic Input

Next, we performed whole-cell patch clamp recordings from CA1 pyramidal neurons in hippocampal slices in order to test whether clobenpropit could reverse the increased glutamatergic transmission that we observed previously in FSL rats (Gomez-Galan et al., 2013). Applying clobenpropit to the bath solution did not affect either the frequency or amplitude of spontaneous EPSCs (Figure 4A). Because H₃ receptors are generally presynaptic and provide negative control of neurotransmitter release, clobenpropit might exert its effects by disinhibiting the release of histamine. Therefore, to exclude the possibility that spontaneous histamine release is not sufficient for clobenpropit to exert an observable effect, we tested whether histamine itself can modulate EPSCs; however, applying histamine to the slices did not affect either the frequency or amplitude of the EPSCs (Figure 4B).

Clobenpropit Enhances LTP in FSL Rats Through Histamine H₁/H₂ Receptor Activation

We tested whether clobenpropit affects CA3-CA1 synaptic plasticity in acute hippocampal slices. Bath application of clobenpropit to FSL hippocampal slices increased LTP compared to control FSL slices (Figure 5A). To determine whether this effect was dependent on a disinhibition of histamine release and the subsequent activation of H₁ and H₂ histamine receptors, we repeated these LTP experiments in the presence of H₁ and H₂ receptor antagonists (trans-triprolidine and cimetidine, respectively). Although bath application of trans-triprolidine and cimetidine alone did not reduce LTP, this treatment completely prevented the increased LTP induced by clobenpropit (Figure 5A). Indeed, the two-way ANOVA showed an effect of clobenpropit application (F_{1,19} = 4.83, *p* = 0.04), of the H₁/H₂R block (F_{1,19} = 12.64, *p* = 0.002), and of the interaction of clobenpropit x

 H_1/H_2R block ($F_{1,19} = 8.06$, p = 0.01). Clobenpropit's effect was not mediated by a general increase in fEPSPs, as clobenpropit did not alter the baseline (i.e. pre-LTP induction) fEPSP slope compared to control (ACSF) treatment (Figure S3A). To test whether the ability of clobenpropit to increase LTP is selective for rats with intrinsically impaired plasticity, we measured the effect of clobenpropit on LTP induced in hippocampal slices from Sprague-Dawley rats (Figure S3B). Clobenpropit did not increase LTP in Sprague-Dawley rats.

Histamine Plays a Role in the Antidepressant Effect of Clobenpropit

Our electrophysiology experiments suggest that clobenpropit enhances synaptic plasticity by increasing the release of histamine. To test whether the same mechanism underlies the antidepressant effect of clobenpropit, FSL rats were treated systemically with clobenpropit (or saline) together with hippocampal injections of H1 and H2 receptor antagonists (or ACSF). Following treatment, the rats were subjected to the forced swim test. Hippocampal injection of trans-triprolidine and cimetidine blocked the antidepressant-like effect of systemic clobenpropit (Figure 5B). Indeed, the two-way ANOVA showed an effect of clobenpropit systemic treatment $(F_{1,19} = 9.45, p = 0.006)$ and an interaction of clobenpropit x local H_1/H_2R block ($F_{1,19} = 6.27$, p = 0.022). These results suggest that clobenpropit's antidepressant effect is mediated by disinhibition of histamine release and subsequent activation of postsynaptic H₁ and H₂ receptors specifically in the hippocampus. To test whether the H₁ and the H₂ receptors contributed differently to the antidepressant effect of clobenpropit, either trans-triprolidine or cimetidine (or ACSF) were locally injected in the hippocampus in clobenpropit-treated FSL rats. The block of either H₁ or H₂ receptors did not increase the immobility time in clobenpropit-treated FSL rats compared to local ACSF-injected rats (Figure S3C). This suggests that the activation through endogenous histamine of either receptor by itself is still sufficient to mediate the antidepressant effect of clobenpropit.



Figure 4. Neither clobenpropit nor histamine affects excitatory postsynaptic currents. The upper panels show representative traces recorded before (baseline) and during the application of (A) clobenpropit or (B) histamine. The lower panels show the cumulative frequency distributions of the inter-event interval (IEI) in ms and amplitude in pA of the excitatory postsynaptic currents. Neither clobenpropit nor histamine affected the IEI or amplitude as determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Clobenpropit IEI: *p* = 0.91; clobenpropit amplitude: *p* = 0.13 (n = 5 recordings); histamine IEI: *p* = 0.26; histamine amplitude: *p* = 0.57 (n = 4 recordings).



Figure 5. Clobenpropit (clob) increases synaptic plasticity and decreases the depressive phenotype in Flinders Sensitive Line (FSL) rats through H_1/H_2 histamine receptor activation. (A) Synaptic plasticity in hippocampal slices from FSL rats. The left panel shows the average normalized field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) slope over time. High-frequency stimulation (HFS) was applied at time 0, and the application of the drugs to the bath is indicated by the horizontal bars. The right panel shows the average normalized field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) slope over time. High-frequency stimulation (HFS) was applied at time 0, and the application of the drugs to the bath is indicated by the horizontal bars. The right panel shows the average normalized fEPSP slopes 40–50 min following HFS (indicated by the shaded box in the left panel). Insert represents average fEPSP from one representative slice recorded at baseline (dashed line) and following HFS (solid line). Clobenpropit (n = 6 slices) enhanced long-term synaptic potentiation (LTP) compared to control (artificial cerebrospinal fluid [ACSF]-treated) slices (n = 6 slices), and this effect was blocked by the addition of the H₁ and H₂ receptor antagonists transtripidine and cimetidine, respectively (clob + H₁/H₂ R antag, n = 5 slices). Application of H₁/H₂ receptor antagonists alone did not affect LTP (ACSF + H₁/H₂ R antag, n = 6). The data are presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean; **p < 0.01 vs. ACSF; **p < 0.00 vs. clobenpropit (Bonferroni's post hoc test). (B) Forced swin test. Total immobility time was normalized to the group that received local (hippocampal) ASCF and systemic (subcutaneous) saline injections (100%). Local hippocampal injections of the H₁/H₂ receptor antagonists blocked the antidepressant effect of clobenpropit. **p < 0.01 vs. saline/ACSF; *p < 0.05 vs. clobenpropit/ASCF (Bonferroni's post hoc test). The bars represent the mean \pm standard error of the mean of 5–7 rats/g

Discussion

FSL rats, a model of depression, exhibit behavioral despair in the forced swim test, as well as memory deficits (Eriksson et al., 2011; Gómez-Galán et al., 2013; Overstreet and Wegener, 2013). Here, we report that the H₂ receptor antagonist clobenpropit treats both of these behaviors effectively and, most importantly, the hippocampus is harboring the antidepressant mechanism. The fact that clobenpropit did not affect baseline locomotor activity indicates that clobenpropit's effect is not due to unspecific changes of locomotor activity. The memory-enhancing effect of clobenpropit is in line with the well-known pro-cognitive effects of H₂R antagonists (Huang et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2006; Esbenshade et al., 2008). Previously-described anxiety tests in FSL rats have not given a consistent result (Overstreet et al., 1995, 2004; Abildgaard et al., 2011); thus, we tested the FSL rats in anxiety-related paradigms and examined the effect of clobenpropit on anxiety. Our results show that FSL rats have increased anxiety-like behavior compared to Sprague-Dawley rats, the control strain from which the FSL line was originally derived (Overstreet et al., 1986). A reduction in baseline locomotor activity and object-targeted exploration has already been described in FSL rats (Overstreet, 1986; Gómez-Galán et al., 2013), and may represent a potential bias in behavioral tests; thus, we included anxiety-related measures that are unlikely to be affected by differences in locomotor activity (pellet consumption, number of animals eating during the test observation, dark/light compartment preference). The FSL rat's anxiety-like behavior was only slightly affected by clobenpropit, which is consistent with the finding that neither H_3R agonists nor H_3R antagonists affect anxiety in Sprague-Dawley rats (Pérez-García et al., 1999). On the other hand, in specific experimental conditions, inhibiting H₂ receptors can reduce or increase anxiety; for example, Mohsen et al. (2014) showed that the H₂R antagonist JNJ-10181457 was anxiogenic in mice. However, H₂R-knockout mice have reduced anxiety-like behavior (Rizk et al., 2004), and injecting an H₃R antagonist directly into the lateral septum decreases anxiety-like behavior in wild-type mice (Chee and Menard, 2013). A recent study shows clear anxiolytic activity in mice using a imidazole-free H₃R antagonist (Bahi et al., 2014). It is suitable that the levels of histamine available in the brain determine a differential response in modulating the anxiety levels. In addition, anxiety is also modulated by other neurotransmitter systems that can be tuned by H₂R antagonism as part of hetero-receptor function. For example, increasing glutamate levels induces anxiety-related behavior (Harvey and Shahid, 2012; Marrocco et al., 2012; Rey et al., 2012; Grivas et al., 2013). Therefore, the dose, affinity, and potency of the compound tested and the genetic background of the animal may determine these behavioral differences.

Interestingly, in our study injecting clobenpropit directly into the CA1 area of the hippocampus was sufficient to produce a significant antidepressant effect in FSL rats, and the role of the hippocampus in the effect of clobenpropit was confirmed further by the finding that blocking both H_1 and H_2 receptors selectively in the same hippocampal area prevented the antidepressant effect of systemic clobenpropit. The CA1 area of the hippocampus contains a high density of histamine $H_{1.3}$ receptors (Vizuete et al., 1997; Pillot et al., 2002), but low levels of the H_4 receptor (Connelly et al., 2009). Our results are in good agreement with the emerging view that the hippocampus is a key region for emotional regulation (Small et al., 2011) and plays an important role in mediating the therapeutic effect of antidepressants (Femenía et al., 2012).

Impaired synaptic plasticity has been hypothesized to correlate with both cognitive deficits and the emotional symptoms of depression (Castren, 2013), and impaired hippocampal LTP has been shown in several models of depression (Holderbach et al., 2007; Gómez-Galán et al., 2013). In addition, the FSL rats display an increased spontaneous glutamate transmission due to reduced levels of the astrocytic glutamate transporter GLAST (Gómez-Galán et al., 2013). To further investigate clobenpropit's mechanism of action, we measured the effect on the glutamatergic network in the hippocampus of FSL rats. Although clobenpropit enhanced hippocampal synaptic plasticity (i.e. LTP), it did not reverse the increased EPSC frequency seen in CA1 pyramidal neurons in FSL slices. Consistent with its lack of effect on EPSCs, clobenpropit did not affect the expression of GLAST in the hippocampus. These results may explain the lack of effectiveness in decreasing the anxiety levels in FSL rats (Pittenger et al., 2008; Krystal et al., 2010).

On the other hand, clobenpropit restored the reduced expression of the NMDA receptor subunit GluN2A in the hippocampus of FSL rats. Our current finding of reduced hippocampal GluN2A levels in FSL rats is consistent with previous reports (Eriksson et al., 2011), but not with our own previous observations (Gómez-Galán et al., 2013). This discrepancy might be explained by the highly dynamic nature of GluN2A expression (Yashiro and Philpot, 2008). It is likely that FSL rats, which are more vulnerable to stress (Pucilowski et al., 1993), are particularly sensitive to variations of this subunit. Regardless of the absolute GluN2A levels in the FSL rats versus Sprague-Dawley rats, the clobenpropit-induced increase in hippocampal GluN2A levels is consistent with the clobenpropit-induced enhancement of memory and hippocampal LTP. It is unlikely that an increase in GluN2A levels underlies the increase in LTP; rather, GluN2A translation might be induced in parallel with AMPA receptor insertion (the classic mechanism of LTP; Udagawa et al., 2012) and entail an increase in both signal amplitude and fidelity (Singh et al., 2011).

Histamine can bind directly to NMDA receptors, thereby increasing plasticity (Brown et al., 1995). However, we found that both clobenpropit-induced LTP enhancement and clobenpropit's antidepressant effect were prevented by treatment with H_1 and H_2 receptor antagonists (Figure 5). These results illustrate that histamine receptors have a direct role in increasing plasticity in FSL rats, which is consistent with studies that demonstrated antidepressant and plasticity-enhancing effects of histamine via H_1 and H_2 receptors (Lamberti et al., 1998; Giovannini et al., 2003; Zlomuzica et al., 2009; Luo and Leung, 2010). Moreover, these results, together with the fact that clobenpropit did not affect glutamate synaptic activity (Figure 4), suggest that clobenpropit does not exert its antidepressant effect by reducing glutamate transmission, but rather through disinhibiting histamine release.

In addition to being an H_3R antagonist, clobenpropit can act as a H_3R inverse agonist and a H_4R agonist. Here we show that the antidepressant effect of clobenpropit is blocked by the H_1 and H_2 receptor antagonists, suggesting that clobenpropit acts through reduced activity of the presynaptic histamine H_3 receptors and increased histamine release. This indicates that postsynaptic H_3 receptors or H_4 receptors are not involved. However, in our experimental setting, with the presence of endogenous histamine, we cannot discriminate between the effects of an inverse agonist or antagonist.

Taken together, this work clearly demonstrates that H_3 receptors selectively affect mechanisms that underlie cognitive and depressive-like behavior in a model of depression. In combination with clobenpropit's plasticity-enhancing

effect, these results underscore the important role of increasing plasticity in mediating the effects of antidepressants (Vetencourt et al., 2008; Castren, 2013), especially in the hippocampus. However, our study focused on the acute effects of clobenpropit, and does not provide information regarding the persistence of plasticity changes necessary for an effective antidepressant effect. Nevertheless, the ability of clobenpropit to target specific biological mechanisms—and thereby selectively treat specific behavioral deficits—increases our understanding of H_3 receptor antagonists as therapeutic targets for several symptoms of depressive disorders. Moreover, our results may serve as a starting point for developing biomarkers to identify specific symptoms based on mechanistic knowledge.

Supplementary Material

For supplementary material accompanying this paper, visit http://www.ijnp.oxfordjournals.org/

Acknowledgement

This work was funded by the Thuring Foundation (to Dr Femenía), the Åhlen Foundation, and the Swedish Research Council (to Dr Lindskog).

Statement of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Abildgaard A, Solskov L, Volke V, Harvey BH, Lund S, Wegener G (2011) A high-fat diet exacerbates depressive-like behavior in the Flinders Sensitive Line (FSL) rat, a genetic model of depression. Psychoneuroendocrinology 36:623–633.
- Andersson R, Lindskog M, Fisahn A (2010) Histamine H3 receptor activation decreases kainate-induced hippocampal gamma oscillations in vitro by action potential desynchronization in pyramidal neurons. J Physiol 588:1241–1249.
- Bahi A, Schwed JS, Walter M, Stark H, Sadek B (2014) Anxiolytic and antidepressant-like activities of the novel and potent non-imidazole histamine H3 receptor antagonist ST-1283. Drug Des Devel Ther 8:627–637.
- Berton O, Nestler EJ (2006) New approaches to antidepressant drug discovery: beyond monoamines. Nat Rev Neurosci 7:137–151.
- Brown RE, Haas HL (1999) On the mechanism of histaminergic inhibition of glutamate release in the rat dentate gyrus. J Physiol 515:777–786.
- Brown RE, Fedorov NB, Haas HI, Reyman KG (1995) Histaminergic modulation of synaptic plasticity in area CA1 of rat hippocampal slices. Neuropharmacology 34:181–190.
- Campbell S, Marriott M, Nahmias C, MacQueen GM (2004) Lower hippocampal volume in patients suffering from depression: a meta-analysis. Am J Psych 161:598–607.
- Castren E (2013) Neuronal network plasticity and recovery from depression. JAMA Psychiatry 70:983–989.
- Chee S-SA, Menard JL (2013) The histaminergic H1, H2, and H3 receptors of the lateral septum differentially mediate the anxiolytic-like effects of histamine on rats' defensive behaviors in the elevated plus maze and novelty-induced suppression of feeding paradigm. Physiol Behav 116– 117:66–74.

- Chepkova A, Yanovsky E, Parmentier R, Ohtsu H, Haas HL, Lin JS, Sergeeva OA (2012) Histamine receptor expression, hippocampal plasticity and ammonia in histidine decarboxylase knockout mice. Cell Mol Neurobiol 32:17–25.
- Connelly WM, Shenton FC, Lethbridge N, Leurs R, Waldvogel HJ, Faull RLM, Lees G, Chazot PL (2009) The histamine H(4) receptor is functionally expressed on neurons in the mammalian CNS. Br J Pharmacol 157:55–63.
- Eriksson TM, Delagrange P, Spedding M, Popoli M, Mathe AA, Ogren SO, Svenningsson P (2011) Emotional memory impairments in a genetic rat model of depression: involvement of 5-HT/MEK/Arc signaling in restoration. Mol Psychiatry 17:172–184.
- Esbenshade TA, Browman KE, Bitner RS, Strakhova M, Cowart MD, Brioni JD (2008) The histamine H3 receptor: an attractive target for the treatment of cognitive disorders. Br J Pharmacol 154:1166–1181.
- Femenía T, Pérez-Rial S, Urigüen L, Manzanares J (2011) Prodynorphin gene deletion increased anxiety-like behaviours, impaired the anxiolytic effect of bromazepam and altered GABAA receptor subunits gene expression in the amygdala. J Psychopharmacol 25:87–96.
- Femenía T, Gómez-Galán M, Lindskog M, Magara S (2012) Dysfunctional hippocampal activity affects emotion and cognition in mood disorders. Brain Res 1476:58–70.
- Gao Z, Hurst WJ, Czechtizky W, Hall D, Moindrot N, Nagorny R, Pichat P, Stefany D, Hendrix JA, George PG (2013) Identification and profiling of 3,5-dimethyl-isoxazole-4-carboxylic acid [2-methyl-4-((2S,3'S)-2-methyl-[1,3']bipyrrolidinyl-1'-yl) phenyl] amide as histamine H(3) receptor antagonist for the treatment of depression. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 23:6269–6273.
- Garduno-Torres B, Trevino M, Gutierrez R, Arias-Montano JA (2007) Pre-synaptic histamine H3 receptors regulate glutamate, but not GABA release in rat thalamus. Neuropharmacology 52:527–535.
- Gianlorenco AC, Serafim KR, Canto-de-Souza A, Mattioli R (2014) Effect of histamine H1 and H2 receptor antagonists, microinjected into cerebellar vermis, on emotional memory consolidation in mice. Braz J Med Biol Res 47:135–143.
- Giovannini MG, Efoudebe M, Passani MB, Baldi E, Bucherelli C, Giachi F, Corradetti R, Blandina P (2003) Improvement in Fear Memory by Histamine-Elicited ERK2 Activation in Hippocampal CA3 Cells. J Neurosci 23:9016–9023.
- Gómez-Galán M, De Bundel D, Van Eeckhaut A, Smolders I, Lindskog M (2013) Dysfunctional Astrocytic Regulation of Glutamate Transmission in a Rat Model of Depression. Mol Psychiatry 18:582–594.
- Grivas V, Markou A, Pitsikas N (2013) The metabotropic glutamate 2/3 receptor agonist LY379268 induces anxiety-like behavior at the highest dose tested in two rat models of anxiety. Eur J Pharmacol 715:105–110.
- Haas H, Panula P (2003) The role of histamine and the tuberomamillary nucleus in the nervous system. Nat Rev Neurosci 4:121–130.
- Harvey BH, Shahid M (2012) Metabotropic and ionotropic glutamate receptors as neurobiological targets in anxiety and stress-related disorders: Focus on pharmacology and preclinical translational models. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 100:775–800.
- Hirschfeld R (2000) History and evolution of the monoamine hypothesis of depression. J Clin Psychiatry 61:4–6.
- Holderbach R, Clark K, Moreau JL, Bischofberger J, Normann C (2007) Enhanced long-term synaptic depression in an animal model of depression. Biol Psychiatry 62:92–100.

- Huang YW, Hu WW, Chen Z, Zhang LS, Shen HQ, Timmerman H, Leurs R, Yanai K (2004) Effect of the histamine H3-antagonist clobenpropit on spatial memory deficits induced by MK-801 as evaluated by radial maze in Sprague-Dawley rats. Behav Brain Res 151:287–293.
- Jensen O, Kaiser J, Lachaux J-P (2007) Human gamma-frequency oscillations associated with attention and memory. Trends Neurosci 30:317–324.
- Krystal JH, Mathew SJ, D'Souza DC, Garakani A, Gunduz-Bruce H, Charney DS (2010) Potential psychiatric applications of metabotropic glutamate receptor agonists and antagonists. CNS Drugs 24:669–693.
- Lamberti C, Ipponi A, Bartolini A, Schunack W, Malmberg-Aiello P (1998) Antidepressant-like effects of endogenous histamine and of two histamine H1 receptor agonists in the mouse forced swim test. Br J Pharmacol 123:1331–1336.
- Luo T, Leung LS (2010) Endogenous histamine facilitates longterm potentiation in the hippocampus during walking. J Neurosci 30:7845–7852.
- Luttgen M, Elvander E, Madjid N, Ogren SO (2005) Analysis of the role of 5-HT1A receptors in spatial and aversive learning in the rat. Neuropharmacology 48:830–852.
- Marrocco J, Mairesse J, Ngomba RT, Silletti V, Van Camp G, Bouwalerh H, Summa M, Pittaluga A, Nicoletti F, Maccari S, Morley-Fletcher S (2012) Anxiety-Like Behavior of Prenatally Stressed Rats Is Associated with a Selective Reduction of Glutamate Release in the Ventral Hippocampus. J Neurosci 32:17143–17154.
- McClintock SM, Husain MM, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Stewart JW, Trivedi MH, Cook I, Morris D, Warden D, Rush AJ (2011) Residual symptoms in depressed outpatients who respond by 50% but do not remit to antidepressant medication. J Clin Psychopharmacol 31:180–186.
- Mohsen A, Yoshikawa T, Miura Y, Nakamura T, Naganuma F, Shibuya K, Iida T, Harada R, Okamura N, Watanabe T, Yanai K (2014) Mechanism of the histamine H(3) receptor-mediated increase in exploratory locomotor activity and anxiety-like behaviours in mice. Neuropharmacology 81:188–194.
- Molina-Hernandez A, Nunez A, Sierra J-J, Arias-Montano J-A (2001) Histamine H3 receptor activation inhibits glutamate release from rat striatal synaptosomes. Neuropharmacology 41:928–934.
- Overstreet DH (1986) Selective breeding for increased cholinergic function: development of a new animal model of depression. Biol Psychiatry 21:49–58.
- Overstreet DH, Wegener G (2013) The Flinders Sensitive Line Rat Model of Depression—25 Years and Still Producing. Pharmacol Rev 65:143–155.
- Overstreet DH, Janowsky DS, Gillin JC, Shiromani PJ, Sutin EL (1986) Stress-induced immobility in rats with cholinergic supersensitivity. Biol Psychiatry 21:657–664.
- Overstreet DH, Pucilowski O, Rezvani AH, Janowsky DS (1995) Administration of antidepressants, diazepam and psychomotor stimulants further confirms the utility of Flinders Sensitive Line rats as an animal model of depression. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 121:27–37.
- Overstreet DH, Keeney A, Hogg S (2004) Antidepressant effects of citalopram and CRF receptor antagonist CP-154,526 in a rat model of depression. Eur J Pharmacol 492:195–201.
- Passani MB, Lin J-S, Hancock A, Crochet S, Blandina P (2004) The histamine H3 receptor as a novel therapeutic target for cognitive and sleep disorders. Trends Pharmacol Sci 25:618–625.
- Paxinos G, Watson C (1998) The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates, 4th edition. Academic Press. San Diego, California, USA.

- Pérez-García C, Morales L, Cano MV, Sancho I, Alguacil LF (1999) Effects of histamine H3 receptor ligands in experimental models of anxiety and depression. Psychopharmacology 142:215–220.
- Pillot C, Heron A, Cochois V, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Ligneau X, Schwartz J-C, Arrang J-M (2002) A detailed mapping of the histamine H3 receptor and its gene transcripts in rat brain. Neuroscience 114:173–193.
- Pittenger C, Coric V, Banasr M, Bloch M, Krystal JH, Sanacora G (2008) Riluzole in the treatment of mood and anxiety disorders. CNS Drugs 22:761–786.
- Porsolt RD, Le Pichon M, Jalfre M (1977) Depression: a new animal model sensitive to antidepressant treatments. Nature 266:730–732.
- Pucilowski O, Overstreet DH, Rezvani AH, Janowsky DS (1993) Chronic mild stress-induced anhedonia: greater effect in a genetic rat model of depression. Physiol Behav 54:1215–1220.
- Rey AA, Purrio M, Viveros M-P, Lutz B (2012) Biphasic Effects of Cannabinoids in Anxiety Responses: CB1 and GABAB Receptors in the Balance of GABAergic and Glutamatergic Neurotransmission. Neuropsychopharmacology 37:2624–2634.
- Rizk A, Curley J, Robertson J, Raber J (2004) Anxiety and cognition in histamine H3 receptor-/- mice. Eur J Neurosci 19:1992–1996.
- Sanacora G, Treccani G, Popoli M (2012) Towards a glutamate hypothesis of depression: an emerging frontier of neuropsychopharmacology for mood disorders. Neuropharmacology 62:63–77.
- Sander K, Kottke T, Stark H (2008) Histamine H3 Receptor Antagonists Go to Clinics. Biol Pharm Bull 31:2163–2181.
- Schwartz JC (2011) The histamine H3 receptor: from discovery to clinical trials with pitolisant. Br J Pharmacol 163:713–721.
- Singh P, Hockenberry AJ, Tiruvadi VR, Meaney DF (2011) Computational Investigation of the Changing Patterns of Subtype Specific NMDA Receptor Activation during Physiological Glutamatergic Neurotransmission. PLOS Comput Biol 7:e1002106.
- Small SA, Schobel SA, Buxton RB, Witter MP, Barnes CA (2011) A pathophysiological framework of hippocampal dysfunction in ageing and disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 12:585–601.
- Stockmeier CA, Mahajan GJ, Konick LC, Overholser JC, Jurjus GJ, Meltzer HY, Uylings HB, Friedman L, Rajkowska G (2004) Cellular changes in the postmortem hippocampus in major depression. Biol Psychiatry 56:640–650.
- Taati M, Moghaddasi M, Esmaeili M, Pourkhodadad S, Nayebzadeh H (2014) The role of the central histaminergic receptors in the exercise-induced improvements of the spatial learning and memory in rats. Brain Res 1587:112–118.
- Udagawa T, Swanger Sharon A, Takeuchi K, Kim Jong H, Nalavadi V, Shin J, Lorenz Lori J, Zukin RS, Bassell Gary J, Richter Joel D (2012) Bidirectional control of mRNA translation and synaptic plasticity by the cytoplasmic polyadenylation complex. Mol Cell 47:253–266.
- Vetencourt JFM, Sale A, Viegi A, Baroncelli L, De Pasquale R, F. O'Leary O, Castren E, Maffei L (2008) The antidepressant fluoxetine restores plasticity in the adult visual cortex. Science 320:385–388.
- Vizuete ML, Traiffort E, Bouthenet ML, Ruat M, Souil E, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Schwartz JC (1997) Detailed mapping of the histamine H2 receptor and its gene transcripts in guinea-pig brain. Neuroscience 80:321–343.
- Xu LS, Fan YY, He P, Zhang WP, Hu WW, Chen Z (2009) Ameliorative effects of histamine on spatial memory deficits induced by scopolamine infusion into bilateral dorsal or ventral hippocampus as evaluated by the radial arm maze task. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 36:816–821.

- Yashiro K, Philpot BD (2008) Regulation of NMDA receptor subunit expression and its implications for LTD, LTP, and metaplasticity. Neuropharmacology 55:1081–1094.
- Yu C, Shen Y, Xu L, Zhu Y, Zhuge Z, Huang Y, Henk T, Rob L, Wei E, Chen Z (2006) Effect of endogenous histamine in the ventral hippocampus on fear memory deficits induced by scopolamine as evaluated by step-through avoidance response in rats. Physiol Behav 87:687–693.
- Zhou FW, Xu JJ, Zhao Y, LeDoux MS, Zhou FM (2006) Opposite functions of histamine H1 and H2 receptors and H3 receptor in substantia nigra pars reticulata. J Neurophysiol 96:1581– 1591.
- Zlomuzica A, Ruocco LA, Sadile AG, Huston JP, Dere E (2009) Histamine H1 receptor knockout mice exhibit impaired spatial memory in the eight-arm radial maze. Br J Pharmacol 157:86–91.