Original article:

INTERLEUKIN 6 (RS1800795) GENE POLYMORPHISM IS ASSOCIATED WITH CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES: A META-ANALYSIS OF 74 STUDIES WITH 86,229 SUBJECTS

Thelma Beatriz González-Castro^{1#}, Yazmín Hernández-Díaz^{1#}, Nonanzit Pérez-Hernández², Carlos Alfonso Tovilla-Zárate³, Isela Esther Juárez-Rojop⁴, María Lilia López-Narvaez⁵, Ruben Blachman-Braun², Rosalinda Posadas-Sánchez⁶, Gilberto Vargas-Alarcón², Esbeidy García-Flores², Benny Giovanni Cazarín-Santos², Verónica Marusa Borgonio-Cuadra⁷, Pedro A. Reyes-López⁸, José Manuel Rodríguez-Pérez^{2*}

- ¹ Multidisciplinary Academic Division of Jalpa de Méndez, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, Jalpa de Méndez, Tabasco, Mexico
- ² Department of Molecular Biology, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Mexico City, Mexico
- ³ Multidisciplinary Academic Division of Comalcalco, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, Comalcalco, Tabasco, Mexico
- ⁴ Academic Division of Health Sciences, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, Villahermosa, Tabasco, Mexico
- ⁵ General Hospital de Yajalón Manuel Velasco Siles, Secretaría de Salud, Yajalón, Chiapas, Mexico
- ⁶ Department of Endocrinology, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁷ Department of Genetics, Instituto Nacional de Rehabilitación Luis Guillermo Ibarra Ibarra, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁸ Division of Research, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Mexico City, Mexico
- [#] These authors contributed equally to this work.
- * Corresponding author: José Manuel Rodríguez Pérez, Ph.D. Department of Molecular Biology, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez. Juan Badiano No. 1, Col Sección XVI, Tlalpan 14080, Mexico City, Mexico. Tel: (+52-55) 55732911, Ext: 26301, E-mail: josemanuel_rodriguezperez@yahoo.com.mx

http://dx.doi.org/10.17179/excli2019-1248

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).

ABSTRACT

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are group of complex and multifactorial pathologies, in which interleukin-6 (*IL*-6) gene polymorphisms have been associated with several components of the CVD. Thus, in this study, we thoroughly reviewed and meta-analyzed evidence on the association between the *IL*-6 (rs1800795) gene polymorphism and CVD. We systematically searched in the PubMed, Web of Sciences, and Scopus databases. The analyses were performed using five study groups based on (1) a combined pool of the overall populations, (2) the country of birth, (3) the continent of birth, (4) the diagnosis and (5) both location (country or continent) and diagnosis. The analysis included the allelic, homozygote, heterozygote, dominant and recessive models. The meta-analysis showed that -174G>C (rs1800795) is a risk factor for CVD (*allelic*: OR=1.06, CI 95%=1.02-1.10. Z p value <0.0001; homozygous: OR=1.11, CI 95%=1.03-1.19, Z p value= 0.002; *heterozygous*: OR=1.08, CI 95%=1.03-1.21, Z p value= 0.003; *dominant*: OR= 1.12, CI 95%= 1.07-1.18, Z p value= 0.001) and that this risk increases in the Chinese population. Additionally, we found that carriers of the *C* allele of 174G>C (rs1800795) polymorphism have an increase in the risk of coronary artery disease under the hereditary models assessed in the study. Using robust data, we found that *IL*-6 (rs1800795) -174G>C gene polymorphism is associated with CVD risk.

Keywords: IL-6, inflammation, polymorphism, cardiovascular diseases, meta-analysis, genetic association

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) is define as the "pathological conditions involving the cardiovascular system including the heart; the blood vessels; or the pericardium" according to the MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh). It is well known that inflammation plays a pivotal role in the development and progression of the CVD. Currently, one of the proinflammatory cytokines mainly studied is the interleukin-6 (IL-6) (Coker et al., 2011; Banerjee et al., 2008; Balding et al., 2004; Bennet et al., 2003; Akinyemi et al., 2017).

IL-6 is a mediator of the inflammatory and immune responses and affects a variety of metabolic processes. In fact, it was proved in some prospective studies (Humphries et al., 2001, Jabir et al., 2017, Jenny et al., 2002) that high basal plasmatic levels of IL-6 have a pro-inflammatory and procoagulant effect, which are risk factors for cardiovascular diseases.

Moreover, there is evidence that show a pro-inflammatory genetic profile associated with IL-6 polymorphisms suggesting that these genomic variants can be used as genetic marker in several diseases in which the underlying pathophysiology is strongly linked to an inflammatory process (Elsaid et al., 2014; Chiappelli et al., 2005; Flex et al., 2004). Indeed, there are association studies that have addressed the pathophysiological contribution of the *IL-6* gene polymorphisms to CVD (Humphries et al., 2001, 2007; Jabir et al., 2017; Jenny et al., 2002; Karahan et al., 2005). The expression of *IL-6* is regulated mainly at the transcriptional level (Li et al., 2015; Liaquat et al., 2014). The promoter of the human IL-6 gene contains several polymorphisms; one commonly studied variant is the single G > C base exchange polymorphism in the promoter region of IL-6 gene, 174 base pairs (bp) upstream from the start site of transcription (-174G>C, rs1800795) (Karahan et al., 2005; Li et al., 2015; Kelberman et al., 2004; Kou et al., 2017; Lalouschek et al., 2006). The -174G > C promoter polymorphism has been shown to be functionally important because it influences the transcription rate of the gene and the plasma concentrations of IL-6 (Satti et al., 2013; Sekuri et al. 2007; Sie et al., 2006). Therefore, the selection of this genetic variant associated with IL-6 production is adequate to investigate the association with CVD (Wang et al., 2015; Weger et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2015).

Therefore, we aimed to perform a systematic review and a series of updated meta-analvses to evaluate the participation of -174G > CIL-6 (rs1800795) gene polymorphism as a probable risk factor in coronary artery disease (CAD), ischemic stroke (IS), MI, and peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD) due to the share underlying pathophysiology related to endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis (Theodorou and Boon, 2018; Ismaeel et al., 2018). We focused on all case-control studies of the association between -174G > C IL-6 (rs1800795) and these diseases under allele, homozygote, heterozygote, dominant and recessive models. Based on the positive correlation observed, we explored the association by country and continent according to the models of inheritance.

The different diagnosis include CAD, IS, MI, and PAOD. We grouped results by CAD diagnosis to determine the presence of an association with -174G>C *IL-6* (rs1800795). Finally, we explored the data by diagnosis and

location. The specific objective of this analysis was to clarify the role of 174G>C *IL-6* (rs1800795) gene polymorphism in cardiovascular diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The systematic review protocol and data extraction for the meta-analysis was designed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA). This study has been previously registered in PROSPERO (PROSPERO 2019 CRD42019125559).

Eligible study search

We carried out an exhaustive electronic search in databases including PubMed, Web of Sciences and Scopus to identify studies that evaluated the role of IL-6 gene polymorphisms as risk factors of cardiovascular diseases. The search algorithm used to recognize the eligible studies was as follows: ("IL-6 gene" or "rs1800795" or "-174G/C") and ("CVD" or "CHD" or "CAD" or "MI" or "cardiovascular disease" or "coronary artery disease" or "atherosclerosis" or "ischemic or "myocardial infarction" disease" or "stroke" or "peripheral arterial occlusive disease"). Furthermore, we conducted a manual search to retrieve pertinent articles cited in previous meta-analyses, systematic reviews, cohort and case-control studies, among others.

Selection criteria

We included full-length research studies that (1) addressed an independent association between *IL-6* gene polymorphisms and its role in patients with cardiovascular diseases, (2) included a case and comparison group design, (3) presented either clearly stated genotypes or sufficient information for estimation, (4) removed duplicate sample data, (5) were published in peer-reviewed journals, and (6) were written in English.

Data extraction

The following information was independently extracted in each study by four investigators, while a fifth researcher verified and solved any discrepancies in the following categories: the surname of the first author, publication year, country of origin, ethnicity, diagnosis of cases and source of controls, inclusion/exclusion criteria of cases and controls, number of cases and controls, and case and control genotype frequencies. When the studies included subjects of more than one ethnicity or diagnosis type, the genotype data were extracted separately.

Quality assessment

The quality of the studies included in the analysis was assessed separately by two researchers using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scales (NOS); these scales are based on three main aspects: selection, comparability and ascertainment of exposure. Only studies with a score of six stars or more were included in the meta-analysis (http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp).

Statistical analysis

Firstly, using a chi-squared test, we tested the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for genotype frequencies in cases and controls, where P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed considering the following categories: a) carrier with disease, b) carrier without disease, c) non-carrier with disease, d) non-carrier without disease, the term "carrier" refers to the allele C of IL-6 (rs1800795 or -174G>C). Then, the relation between *IL*-6 (rs1800795 or -174G/C) polymorphism and CVD was addressed by the pooled ORs and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals under five genetic models, namely the allelic model (C vs G), the dominant model (CC+GCvs GG), the recessive model (CC vs CG+GG), the homozygous model (CC vs GG) and the co-dominant model (GC vs GG). To assess the significance of the pooled ORs, we used a Z test and considered a P < 0.05 as statistically significant. For the meta-analysis, a total of 16 groups were created based on five categories: (1) combined from the overall population, (2) based on the country of birth (China, Turkey, India and United Kingdom), (3) based on the continent of birth (Europeans and Africans), (4) dependent on diagnosis (CAD, IS, MI, PAOD, and healthy subjects as controls), and (5) based on both the diagnosis and the country or continent of origin (India + CAD, Europe + CAD, Europe + MI, and Europe + IS) and (6) based on smoking habits.

In addition, the heterogeneity between the studies was analyzed by a Q-statistic test and the inconsistency was evaluated by an I^2 statistic. The I^2 results were (a) 0-25 absent, (b) 25-50 low, (c) 50-75 moderate, and (d) 75-100 high.

Alternatively, $I^2 > 50$ % and Q test *P* value ≤ 0.1 were taken as indicators of substantial heterogeneity, in which case, the effect model we used was random-effects (DerSimonian-Laird method), failing that, the fixed effect model (Mantel-Haenszel method).

The sensitivity analysis was conducted by sequentially omitting one article to evaluate the influence of an individual study and validate the reliability of the results. Furthermore, the publication bias was diagnosed with Begg's funnel plot and Egger's regression test; P < 0.05 was considered as a significant publication bias. The comprehensive meta-analysis software version 2 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ/USA) was used for all the analyses, and all p-values were two-tailed.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the eligible studies

After excluding the overlapping articles of the literature search and applying the selection criteria mentioned previously, 74 research articles (Coker et al., 2011; Banerjee et al., 2008, 2009; Balding et al., 2004; Bennet et al., 2003; Akinyemi et al., 2017; Humphries et al., 2001, 2007; Jabir et al., 2017; Jenny et al., 2002; Elsaid et al., 2014; Chiappelli et al., 2005; Flex et al., 2004; Karahan et al., 2005; Li et al., 2015; Liaquat et al., 2014; Kelberman et al., 2004; Kou et al., 2017; Lalouschek et al., 2006; Satti et al., 2013; Sekuri et al. 2007; Sie et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2015; Weger et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2015; Basso et al., 2002; Bennermo et al., 2011; Berg et al., 2009; Bhanushali et al., 2013; Buraczynska et al., 2016; Chakraborty et al., 2013; Chamorro et al., 2005; Danielsson et al., 2005; Densem et al., 2005; Fan et al., 2011; Flex et al., 2002; Galimudi et al., 2014; George et al., 2004; Georges et al., 2001; Ghazouani et al., 2010, 2011; Greisenegger et al., 2003; Hongmei et al., 2016; Jun et al., 2017; Licastro et al., 2004; Lieb et al., 2004; Maitra et al., 2008; Mao et al., 2016; Mastana et al., 2017; Mishra et al., 2013; Mitrokhin et al., 2017; Myśliwska et al., 2006; Nauck et al., 2002; Panoulas et al., 2009; Phulukdaree et al., 2013; Potaczek et al., 2007; Revilla et al., 2002; Rios et al., 2010; Rosner et al., 2005; Salama and Hammad, 2015; Sarecka et al., 2008; Silander et al., 2008; Smallwood et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2008; Spoto et al., 2015; Stephens et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2014; Tong et al., 2010, 2013; Tretjakovs et al., 2007; Tuttolomondo et al., 2012; Tütün et al., 2006; Vakili et al. 2011) were selected for the analysis. The flow diagram in Figure 1 shows the steps of the study selection process.

Moreover, these 74 papers that included a total of 33,525 cases and 52,704 controls. In Table 1 are shown the genotypic frequencies in cases and controls of both the HWE analysis and all included studies. These articles addressed the relation of the aforementioned diseases to the rs1800795 polymorphism; however, some articles displayed the genotype frequencies for the sample origin (France, Ireland, among others) (Georges et al., 2001; Rios et al., 2010) or the detailed diagnoses (MI, IS, CAD, PAOD) (Banerjee et al., 2008; Jenny et al., 2002; Sie et al., 2006; Nauck et al., 2002; Silander et al., 2008), for this reason, the frequencies were described separately. As a result, the meta-analysis distribution of the 74 articles was based on the country (China=11, Turkey=4, India=8 and, United Kingdom= 9), continent (Europeans= 37 and Africans= 3) and sample diagnosis (CAD= 27, IS= 10, MI= 13, PAOD= 4 and healthy controls= 53). Moreover, other subgroups were integrated by the combination of two filters: (a) sample born in India and cases diagnosed with CAD (India + CAD: 6), (b) sample born in Europe and cases diagnosed with CAD (Europe + CAD=7), (c) sample born in Europe and cases diagnosed with MI (Europe + MI=9), and (d) sample born in Europe and cases diagnosed with IS (Europe + IS=5). The quality of the studies was evaluated based on the NOS assessment (Supplementary Table 1).

Role of rs1800795 in CVD in the overall population

We evaluated the participation of -174G>C (rs1800795) as a probable risk factor for CVD. The findings reveal a statistical association of this polymorphic variant in four of the five models proposed previously (*allelic*: OR=1.06, CI 95%=1.02-1.10, Z p value <0.0001; *homozygous*: OR=1.11, CI 95%=1.03-1.19, Z p value= 0.002; *heterozygous*: OR=1.08, CI 95%=1.03-1.21, Z p value= 0.003; *dominant*: OR= 1.12, CI 95%= 1.07-1.18, Z p value= 0.001; (Supplementary Table 2).

Regarding the *recessive* model, a statistical association was only observed in the presence of heterogeneity (OR= 1.18, CI 95%= 1.04-1.34, Z p value= 0.008, I²=77.64). There was no evidence of publication bias in the five genetics models proposed, all models are shown in the Supplementary Figures.



Figure 1: Flow-chart diagram to selected studies in the meta-analysis

						Cases						Con	trols		
First Author	Country	Continent	Diagno- sis	Mean age	Male / female	N	GG	GC + CC	HWE	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE
Georges 2001	France / Ire- land	Europeans	MI	NA	NA	614	170	444	0.003	NA	NA	672	231	441	0.379
Georges 2001	France	Europeans	MI	NA	NA	428	125	303	0.050	NA	NA	500	184	316	0.966
Georges 2001	Ireland	Europeans	MI	NA	NA	186	45	141	0.018	NA	NA	172	47	125	0.088
Humphries 2001	UK	Europeans	CAD	56.7	NA	160	40	120	0.016	56	NA	2560	827	1733	0.750
Jenny 2002	USA	North Amer- icans	Angina + MI + IS	72.4	115 / 108	440	60	380	0.997	72.3	193 / 298	491	72	419	0.997
Jenny 2002	USA	North Amer- icans	Angina	72.4	115 / 108	223	29	194	0.986	72.3	193 / 298	491	72	419	0.997
Jenny 2002	USA	North Amer- icans	MI	73.8	132 / 85	217	31	186	0.996	72.3	193 / 298	491	72	419	0.997
Jenny 2002	USA	North Amer- icans	IS	75.5	85 / 119	204	34	170	0.958	72.3	193 / 298	491	72	419	0.997
Revilla 2002	Spain	Europeans	LS	64.9	60 / 22	82	27	55	0.978	64.8	55 / 27	82	37	45	0.440
Flex 2002	Italy	Europeans	PAOD	75	51 / 33	84	44	40	0.193	76	89 / 94	183	53	130	0.103
Nauck 2002	Germany	Europeans	CAD+MI	63.77	1928 / 653 ^{nm}	3940	1274	2666	0.323	58.3	365 / 337	729	230	499	0.763
Nauck 2002	Germany	Europeans	CAD	63.77	1928 / 653 ^{nm}	2575	838	1737	0.275	58.3	365 / 337	729	230	499	0.763
Nauck 2002	Germany	Europeans	MI	63.21	1091 / 296 ^{nm}	1365	436	929	0.868	58.3	365 / 337	729	230	499	0.763
Basso 2002	UK	Europeans	CAD+MI	56	NA	498	161	337	0.140	56	NA	1109	375	734	0.536
Bennet 2003	Sweden	Europeans	MI	61	852 / 361 ^{nm}	1157	305	852	0.953	61	1054 / 507 ^{nm}	1500	398	1102	0.836

Table 1: Genotypic distribution of the studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis

						Cases						Con	trols		
First Author	Country	Continent	Diagno- sis	Mean age	Male / female	N	GG	GC + CC	HWE	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE
Greisen- egger 2003	Austria	Europeans	IS+TIA	49.2	129 / 85	214	81	133	0.391	NA	NA	214	76	138	0.473
Stephens 2004	UK	Europeans	CVD	69.6	NA	188	80	108	0.954	65.5	NA	364	155	209	0.993
Licastro 2004	Italy	Europeans	MI	65	138 / 0	138	35	103	0.000	57	97 / 0	97	46	51	0.626
Flex 2004	Italy	Europeans	IS	76.2	132 / 105	237	100	137	0.192	76.1	107 / 116	223	56	167	0.107
Balding 2004	Ireland	Europeans	IS	69	63 / 42	105	33	72	0.068	37.1	226 / 163	389	123	266	0.470
George 2004	UK	Europeans	RAS	67	NA	100	36	64	0.284	46.5	NA	100	34	66	0.882
Lieb 2004	Germany	Europeans	MI	57	985 / 337	1322	451	871	0.310	52	471 / 552	1023	331	692	0.848
Kelberman 2004	UK	Europeans	MI	52	507 / 0	507	227	280	0.486	51.6	561 / 0	561	240	321	0.098
Rosner 2005	USA	North Amer- icans	MI	58.7	522 / 0	522	204	318	0.195	58.8	2089 / 0	2089	822	1267	0.823
Karahan 2005	Turkey	Europe-Asia	PAS		46 / 40	83	55	28	0.094		36 / 47	86	54	32	0.064
Chiapelli 2005	Italy	Europeans	MI	67	204 / 0	204	71	133	0.024	71	257 / 0	257	127	130	0.767
Danielsson 2005	Sweden	Europeans	PAOD	73	71 / 37 nm	95	22	73	0.412	NA	NA	200	53	147	0.888
Weger 2005	Austria	Europeans	RAO	69.1	105 / 77	182	64	118	0.000	70.9	172 / 135	307	107	200	0.005
Densem 2005	UK	Europeans	СаТ	50.5	NA	116	31	85	0.356	NA	NA	519	202	317	0.097

						Cases						Con	trols		
First Author	Country	Continent	Diagno- sis	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE
Chamorro 2005	Spain	Europeans	IS	67	191 / 82	273	104	169	0.517	64	62 / 43	105	46	59	0.503
Sie 2006	Netherlands	Europeans	CHD+MI	NA	NA	671	231	440	0.578	NA	NA	5013	1815	3198	0.086
Sie 2006	Netherlands	Europeans	CHD	NA	NA	463	158	305	0.774	NA	NA	5013	1815	3198	0.086
Sie 2006	Netherlands	Europeans	MI	NA	NA	208	73	135	0.569	NA	NA	5013	1815	3198	0.086
Mysliwska 2006	Poland	Europeans	CAD	62	232 / 88	320	93	227	0.369	63.2	70 / 30	100	32	68	0.685
Lalouschek 2006	Austria	Europeans	IS+TIA	53	257 / 147	404	143	261	0.356	49	253 / 162	415	156	259	0.537
Tütün 2006	Turkey	Europe-Asia	CAD			21	11	10	0.137			50	35	15	0.575
Sekuri 2007	Turkey	Europe-Asia	CAD	46.3	88 / 27	115	61	54	0.325	44.3	83 / 22	105	57	48	0.918
Potacsek 2007	Poland	Europeans	PAOD			50	17	33	0.772			30	15	15	0.006
Flex 2007	Italy	Europeans	PAOD	74.7	84 / 73	157	57	100	0.502	76	95 / 111	206	54	152	0.071
Tretjakovs 2007	Latvia	Europeans	CHD	52.5	20/0	20	10	10	0.000	51	NA	20	10	10	0.000
Humphries 2007	UK	Europeans	CHD	56.6	NA	231	62	169	0.016	56.1	NA	2479	801	1678	0.862
Smallwood 2008	Australia	Australian	AAA	73.3	626 / 0	626	222	404	0.868	72.3	650 / 0	650	224	426	0.228
Banerjee 2008	India	Asians	IS+HeS	58.6	113 / 63	176	123	53	0.015	57.4	143 / 69	212	156	56	0.888
Banerjee 2008	India	Asians	IS	58.6	NA	112	77	35	0.069	57.4	143 / 69	212	156	56	0.889
Banerjee 2008	India	Asians	HeS	58.6	NA	64	46	18	0.341	57.4	143 / 69	212	156	56	0.888
Maitra 2008	India	Asians	CAD	57.17	239 / 45 ^{nm}	46	36	10	0.268	45.53	31 / 9	40	30	10	0.044

						Cases						Con	trols		
First Author	Country	Continent	Diagno- sis	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE
Smith 2008	UK	Europeans	CAD	64.8	NA	319	120	199	0.919	NA	NA	421	167	254	0.255
Sarecka 2008	Poland	Europeans	CAD	43.8	120 / 58	178	43	135	0.652	35.4	154 / 48	202	60	142	0.479
Silander 2008	Finland	Europeans	CHD+IS+ CVD	59	294 / 103	1067	287	780	0.462	57.9	461 / 175	1918	579	1339	0.464
Silander 2008	Finland	Europeans	CHD	59	294 / 103	397	110	287	0.315	57.9	461 / 175	636	193	443	0.573
Silander 2008	Finland	Europeans	IS	59	96 / 52	148	37	111	0.742	57.9	488 / 181	669	201	468	0.875
Silander 2008	Finland	Europeans	CVD	59	369 / 153	522	140	382	0.662	57.9	443 / 170	613	185	428	0.743
Banerjee 2008	India	Asians	CAD: MI+USAP	56.3	166 / 44	210	159	51	0.039	56	166 / 66	232	171	61	0.759
Panoulas 2009	UK	Europeans	RA+CVD	63	NA	88	23	65	0.000	NA	NA	422	148	274	0.417
Berg 2009	Norway	Europeans	CAD	60	106 / 24	130	87	43	0.000	57	36 / 64	100	81	19	0.000
Ghazouani 2010	Tunisia	Africans	CAD	58.1	331 / 87	418	298	120	0.967	56.7	299 / 107	406	297	109	0.838
Tong 2010	China	Asians	IS	61.12	379 / 269	748	747	1	0.979	61.69	437 / 311	748	743	5	0.896
Rios 2010	Brazil	South Amer- icans	CAD	55.7	89 / 49	414	254	160	0.002	51.8	50 / 65	253	151	102	0.980
Rios 2010	Brazil / Afri- can	South Amer- icans	CAD	55.7	89 / 49	138	96	42	0.248	51.8	50 / 65	115	69	46	0.277
Rios 2010	Brazil / Cau- casian	South Amer- icans	CAD	55.7	184 / 92	276	158	118	0.008	53	63 / 75	138	82	56	0.348
Fan 2011	China	Asians	CHD	52.1	45 / 39	84	84	0	0.000	52.3	76 / 54	130	129	1	0.950
Ghazouani 2011	Tunisia	Africans	CAD	58.1	331 / 87	418	298	120	0.967	56.7	299 / 107	406	297	109	0.838

						Cases						Cont	trols		
First Author	Country	Continent	Diagno- sis	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE
Coker 2011	Turkey	Europe-Asia	МІ	53.4	117 / 50	167	102	65	0.659	53.9	125 / 110	235	141	94	0.713
Bennermo 2011	Sweden	Europeans	МІ	54	298 / 66 nm	356	119	237	0.005	54	298 / 66 ^{nm}	378	109	269	0.215
Vakili 2011	Iran	Asians	МІ	53.5	229 / 221	450	153	297	0.094	50	225 / 225	450	202	248	0.000
Tuttolo- mondo 2012	Italy	Europeans	IS	71.9	45 / 51	96	40	56	0.653	71.4	16 / 32	48	14	34	0.001
Tong 2013	China	Asians	CAD	61.4	243 / 83	326	201	125	0.000	60.6	210 / 131	341	220	121	0.014
Chakraborty 2013	India	Asians	IS	54	69 / 31	100	57	43	0.433	52.5	83 / 37	120	73	47	0.435
Satti 2013	Pakistan	Asians	CAD	46.4	20 / 16	36	18	18	0.064	35.2	30 / 22	52	38	14	0.574
Mishra 2013	India	Asians	CAD	56.33	262 / 48	310	218	92	0.680	54.18	197 / 33	230	172	58	0.919
Bhanushali 2013	India	Asians	CAD	48	80 / 20	100	77	23	0.208	50	70 / 80	150	120	30	0.097
Phuluk- daree 2013	South Africa	Africans	CAD	37.5	41 / 0	41	29	12	0.971	37.5	61 / 0	61	34	27	0.064
Liaquat 2014	Pakistan	Asians	IDCM	53	181 / 69	250	182	68	0.004	53.7	208 / 92	300	252	48	0.001
Galimudi 2014	India	Asians	CAD	65	134 / 66	200	113	87	0.142	64	142 / 58	200	72	128	0.300
Sun 2014	China	Asians	CAD	61.2	205 / 91	296	191	105	0.000	56.4	182 / 145	327	236	91	0.000
Elsaid 2014	Egypt	Africans	IHD	53.54	73 / 35	104	0	104	0.000	45.3	NA	104	26	78	0.694
Li 2015	China	Asians	CAD	NA	224 / 141	365	213	152	0.000	NA	212 / 153	365	245	120	0.385
Spoto 2015	Italy	Europeans	CKD+CV D	62	NA	221	23	198	0.000	NA	NA	463	277	186	0.132

						Cases						Con	trols		
First Author	Country	Continent	Diagno- sis	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE	Mean age	Male / female	Ν	GG	GC + CC	HWE
Yang 2015	China	Asians	CAD	NA	237 / 173	410	198	212	0.088	NA	177 / 233	410	239	171	0.683
Wang 2015	China	Asians	CAD	65.4	232 / 170	402	153	249	0.017	62.4	232 / 170	402	182	220	0.263
Salama 2015	Egypt	Africans	TIA	60.7	50 / 56	106	31	75	0.053	59.7	16 / 18	34	12	22	0.867
Buraczyn- ska 2016	Poland	Europeans	CVD	65.8	376 / 362	738	138	600	0.000	NA	NA	612	195	417	0.252
Hongmei 2016	China	Asians	CAD	62.64	189 / 86	275	256	19	0.409	61.43	185 / 111	296	282	14	0.560
Mao 2016	China	Asians	CAD	62.65	145 / 79	224	142	82	0.000	56.82	147 / 113	260	193	67	0.000
Kou 2017	China	Asians	CVD	53.8	252 / 288	540	277	263	0.120	54.1	267 / 275	542	311	231	0.208
Jun 2017	China	Asians	CHD+CA D	63.5	442 / 418	860	450	410	0.447	64.2	438 / 424	862	503	359	0.993
Mitrokhin 2017	Russia	Europeans	CAD	70.37	109 / 89	198	62	136	0.772	74.94	33 / 83	117	33	84	0.956
Mastana 2017	India	Asians	CAD	45.02	111 / 26	138	105	33	0.693	49.51	125 / 19	131	91	40	0.197
Jabir 2017	Saudi Ara- bia	Asians	CAD	60.6	62 / 38 ^{nm}	90	3	87	0.718	47.7	58 / 42 ^{nm}	89	3	86	0.693
Akinyemi 2017	Nigeria/ Ghana	Africans	IS	61.34	198 / 231 ^{nm}	428	3	425	0.944	60.26	236 / 247	483	5	478	0.381

Myocardial infarction (MI); Coronary artery disease (CAD); Ischemic stroke (IS); Peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD); Renal artery stenosis (RAS); Transient ischemic attack (TIA); Retinal artery occlusion (RAO); Abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA); Chronic kidney disease (CKD); Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy (IDCM); Hemodyalisis (HD); Stable angina pectoris (SAP); unstable angina pectoris (USAP); Hypertension (HT); left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH); hemorrhagic stroke (HeS); Acute coronary syndromes (ACS); lacunar stroke (LS); pediatric arterial stroke (PAS); Rheumatoid arthritis (RA); ischemic heart disease (IHD); coronary heart disease (CHD); cardiovascular diseases (CVD); cardiac transplant (CaT); not available (NA); no match with the original manuscript published (nm).

Role of rs1800795 in CVD by country of birth

In this analysis, we performed a metaanalysis in four different countries: China, India Turkey, and the United Kingdom. Firstly, in the Chinese population, there was no evidence of heterogeneity in the genetic models and rs1800795 was a risk factor due to its association with CVD (*allelic* OR=1.36, CI 95%=1.26-1.48, Z p value= <0.0001 (Figure 2); *homozygous* OR= 1.91, CI 95%=1.61-2.27, Z p value= <0.0001; *heterozygous* OR=1.21, CI 95%=1.09-1.34, Z p value= <0.0001; *dominant* OR= 1.16, CI 95%=1.05-1.27, Z p value= 0.002; *recessive* OR= 1.78, CI 95%= 1.51-2.10, Z p value <0.0001).

Secondly, we evaluated the polymorphism involvement in a sample population from the United Kingdom; the result shows a significant association with risk under the *heterozygous* model (OR=1.16; CI 95%= 1.02-1.31; Z p value 0.018); (Figure 3). Furthermore, the *dominant* model revealed an association (OR= 1.15, CI 95%= 1.00-.131, Z p

value 0.039, $I^2=29.28$) in the presence of moderate heterogeneity, this was not maintained in its absence of heterogeneity. As for the articles with Turkish and Indian samples, the same five genetic models were carried out; however, the data did not show an association of -174G>C variant as a probable risk factor in those populations.

The Egger test was not statistically significant in the five genetic models performed, thus suggesting the absence of publication bias.

Role of rs1800795 in CVD by continent of birth

For this analysis, we divided the sample into two groups the first of European and the second of African participants. As for the first group, the findings indicated that -174G>Cvariant is a significant risk factor for CVD under the *dominant* model (OR=1.07; CI 95%= 1.00-1.14; Z p value = 0.026); (Figure 4).

	Statis	tics for ea	ch study		Odds ratio and 95% CI
Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	
0.199	0.023	1.709	-1.471	0.141	k
0.513	0.021	12.676	-0.408	0.684	4
1.245	0.965	1.608	1.684	0.092	↓ _ ↓ _ ↓ _ ↓ _ ↓ _ ↓ _ ↓ _ ↓ _ ↓ _
1.512	1.152	1.985	2.981	0.003	
1.562	1.217	2.004	3.505	0.000	
1.486	1.196	1.848	3.571	0.000	
1.348	1.101	1.652	2.886	0.004	
1.477	0.733	2.976	1.092	0.275	
1.752	1.285	2.388	3.545	0.000	
1.253	1.036	1.515	2.323	0.020	
1.260	1.081	1.468	2.958	0.003	
1.369	1.264	1.483	7.742	0.000	
	Odds ratio 0.199 0.513 1.245 1.512 1.562 1.486 1.348 1.477 1.752 1.253 1.260 1.369	Odds ratio Lower limit 0.199 0.023 0.513 0.021 1.245 0.965 1.512 1.152 1.562 1.217 1.486 1.196 1.348 1.101 1.477 0.733 1.752 1.285 1.253 1.036 1.260 1.081	Odds ratio Lower limit Upper limit 0.199 0.023 1.709 0.513 0.021 12.676 1.245 0.965 1.608 1.512 1.152 1.985 1.562 1.217 2.004 1.486 1.196 1.848 1.348 1.101 1.652 1.477 0.733 2.976 1.752 1.285 2.388 1.253 1.036 1.515 1.260 1.081 1.468	Odds ratio Lower limit Upper limit Z-Value 0.199 0.023 1.709 -1.471 0.513 0.021 12.676 -0.408 1.245 0.965 1.608 1.684 1.512 1.152 1.985 2.981 1.562 1.217 2.004 3.505 1.486 1.196 1.848 3.571 1.348 1.101 1.652 2.886 1.477 0.733 2.976 1.092 1.752 1.285 2.388 3.545 1.253 1.036 1.515 2.323 1.260 1.081 1.468 2.958	Odds ratio Lower limit Upper limit Z-Value p-Value 0.199 0.023 1.709 -1.471 0.141 0.513 0.021 12.676 -0.408 0.684 1.245 0.965 1.608 1.684 0.092 1.512 1.152 1.985 2.981 0.003 1.562 1.217 2.004 3.505 0.000 1.486 1.196 1.848 3.571 0.000 1.348 1.101 1.652 2.886 0.004 1.477 0.733 2.976 1.092 0.275 1.752 1.285 2.388 3.545 0.000 1.253 1.036 1.515 2.323 0.020 1.260 1.081 1.468 2.958 0.003

Protection Risk



Study name		Statis	tics for ea	ch study	
	Odds rati o	Low er limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value
Humphries S.E. 2001	1.555	1.064	2.273	2.279	0.023
Basso F. 2002	1.099	0.867	1.392	0.781	0.435
Stephens J.W. 2004	0.998	0.685	1.453	-0.010	0.992
George S. 2004	1.043	0.567	1.919	0.135	0.893
Kelberman D. 2004	0.965	0.745	1.249	-0.273	0.785
Densem C.G. 2005	1.508	0.931	2.442	1.671	0.095
Humphries S.E. 2007	1.408	1.029	1.929	2.136	0.033
Smith A.J. 2008	1.131	0.823	1.554	0.761	0.447
	1.162	1.026	1.316	2.356	0.018

Protection Risk 2

Figure 3: Forest plot of the heterozygous model in subjects born in the United Kingdom

Study name		Statis	tics for ea	ach study		Odds ratio a
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	
Georges J.L. 2001	1.368	1.078	1.735	2.582	0.010	
Humphries S.E. 2001	1.432	0.992	2.067	1.915	0.056	
Revilla M. 2002	1.675	0.889	3.156	1.596	0.111	
Nauck M. 2002	0.965	0.814	1.143	-0.417	0.677	
Basso F. 2002	1.069	0.854	1.340	0.584	0.559	
Bennet A. M. 2003	1.009	0.848	1.201	0.100	0.921	+
Greisenegger S. 2003	0.904	0.610	1.340	-0.501	0.616	
Stephens J.W. 2004	1.001	0.701	1.429	0.007	0.995	
Balding J. 2004	1.009	0.634	1.605	0.037	0.970	
George S. 2004	0.916	0.512	1.638	-0.296	0.767	+
Lieb W. 2004	0.924	0.777	1.099	-0.896	0.370	
Kelberman D. 2004	0.922	0.724	1.175	-0.655	0.512	
Danielsson P. 2005	1.196	0.676	2.117	0.616	0.538	
Weger M. 2005	0.986	0.672	1.449	-0.070	0.944	
Chamorro A. 2005	1.267	0.803	2.000	1.016	0.310	
Sie M.P. 2006	1.081	0.913	1.281	0.902	0.367	
Mysliwska J. 2006	1.149	0.708	1.865	0.561	0.575	
Lalouschek W. 2006	1.099	0.827	1.461	0.652	0.514	
Potacsek D.P. 2007	1.941	0.770	4.893	1.406	0.160	
Tretjakovs P. 2007	1.000	0.290	3.454	0.000	1.000	k + +
Humphries S.E. 2007	1.301	0.961	1.762	1.703	0.089	+
Smith A.J. 2008	1.090	0.808	1.470	0.567	0.571	
Sarecka B. 2008	1.327	0.840	2.095	1.212	0.226	
Silander K. 2008	1.175	0.995	1.388	1.897	0.058	
Panoulas V.F. 2009	1.526	0.911	2.557	1.607	0.108	
Berg K.K. 2009	2.107	1.135	3.913	2.360	0.018	
Bennermo M. 2011	0.807	0.590	1.104	-1.342	0.179	
Tuttolomondo A. 2012	0.576	0.274	1.212	-1.453	0.146	k +
Mitrokhin V. 2017	0.862	0.521	1.424	-0.581	0.562	+-
	1.077	1.009	1.149	2.223	0.026	
						0.5 1

nd 95% CI



Protection

Risk

Figure 4: Forest plot of the *dominant* model in subjects born in Europe

Moreover, under the *heterozygous* model (OR=1.18; CI 95%= 1.02-1.36; Z p value = 0.022; I²=83.47), also reveals an association of this variant with CVD in the presence of high heterogeneity. Nevertheless, after excluding the studies that predispose to heterogeneity, this association was not observed. Regarding the African population, no statistical association was observed under any genetic model.

Begg's funnel or Egger's test did no present asymmetry or statistical significance, thus suggesting the absence of publication bias in the genetic models analyzed (Supplementary Figures).

Role of rs1800795 in CVD by clinical diagnosis

The available data allowed the creation of five analysis groups with subjects diagnosed

with CAD, IS, MI, PAOD, as well as with healthy subjects as controls. First, we evaluated the risk of -174G > C for CAD; under the five models used, a significant association (allelic: OR=1.14, was observed CI 95%=1.04-1.23, Z p value 0.002; homozygous: OR=1.50, CI 95%=1.28-1.76, Z p value <0.0001; Figure 5; heterozygous: OR=1.10, CI 95%=1.02-1.19, Z p value= 0.013; domi*nant*: OR= 1.23, CI 95%= 1.11-1.35, Z p value <0.0001; recessive OR= 1.31, CI 95%= 1.10-1.56, Z p value =0.002). Then, we evaluated this association in subjects with PAOD and found a protective effect of the -174G > Cpolymorphism under the recessive model (OR=0.39, CI 95%=0.26-0.59, Z p value <0.0001; Figure 6). Regarding the cases diagnosed with IS and MI, the data did not show any statistical relation with the rs1800795 genomic variant (Supplementary Table 3).



Protection Risk

Figure 5: Forest plot of the homozygous model in subjects diagnosed with CAD



Figure 6: Forest plot of the recessive model in subjects diagnosed with PAOD

In some articles, a hospital population was included in the control group; herein, only healthy subjects were used as controls. The results of this analysis revealed a role of -174G > C polymorphism as a risk factor for CVD under the *allelic* (OR= 1.12, CI 95%= 1.07-1.18, Z p value <0.0001; Figure 7), homozygous (OR= 1.23, CI 95%= 1.11 1.37, Z p value <0.0001), heterozygous (OR= 1.17, CI 95%= 1.10-1.24, Z p value <0.0001), and dominant models (OR= 1.24, CI 95%= 1.16-1.31, Z p value <0.0001). Nonetheless, in the presence of heterogeneity, an association was found under the recessive model (OR = 1.31, CI 95% = 1.11 - 1.54, Z p value <0.0001; $I^2=76.56$), but not when the articles favoring heterogeneity were excluded. We did not find publication bias using Egger's test in the genetics models previously mentioned (Supplementary Figures).

Role of rs1800795 in CVD by diagnosis and geographical location

Finally, we conducted an analysis with two filter criteria: diagnosis (CAD, MI, or IS) and geographical location (India or Europe), which allowed for the formation of four groups: India + CAD, Europe + CAD, Europe + MI and Europe + IS (Table 2). Using five genetic models with or without heterogeneity, we did not observe a statistically significant participation of -174G>C as a possible marker. We did not find publication bias using Egger's test in the five genetic models conducted (Supplementary Figures).

Role of rs1800795 in CVD by smoking habits

Finally, due to the importance that could have some risk factors in CVD we performed an analysis by the smoking habits. The articles with available data showing the genotype distribution by tobacco used were only six articles (Humphries et al., 2001; Greisenegger et al., 2003; Balding et al., 2004; Sie et al., 2006; Mysliwska et al., 2006; Mishra et al., 2013). However, even we discarded the studies that were favoring the heterogeneity no evidence of association was found (allelic: OR=1.34, CI 95%=0.94-1.92, Z p value 0.103; homozygous: OR=1.01, CI 95%=0.88-1.16, Z p value 0.853; heterozygous: OR=1.08, CI 95%=0.70-1.67, Z p value= 0.724; dominant: OR= 1.28, CI 95%= 0.85-1.91, Z p value 0.226; recessive OR= 1.18, CI 95%= 0.73-1.91, Z p value =0.480) (Supplementary Table 4). Egger's test did not reveal publication bias.

Study			Allele						Homozy	gote					Hetero	ozygot	е	
groups	(n)	OR (95% CI)	Р	l ²	Q	Egger		OR (95% CI)	Р	l ²	Q	Egger		OR (95% CI)	Ρ	l ²	Q	Egger
India +	6	0.87(0.64-1.18)	0.382	65.40	0.013	0.834	6	0.91(0.42-1.97)	0.821	44.67	0.108	0.673	6	0.83(0.57-1.20)	0.329	65.61	0.013	0.657
CAD	5	1.02(0.83-1.26)	0.807	3.796	0.385	0.207	5	1.42(0.71-2.87)	0.317	0.000	0.497	0.054	5	0.97(0.771.24)	0.864	0.000	0.462	0.949
Europe +	7	1.10(0.95-1.27)	0.198	58.43	0.025	0.117	7	1.11(0.89-1.39)	0.323	32.52	0.180	0.235	6	1.09(0.93-1.27)	0.253	14.91	0.318	0.318
CAD	6	1.01(0.93-1.10)	0.673	0.000	0.523	0.236	6	1.01(0.85-1.20)	0.866	0.000	0.562	0.430						
Europe +	9	1.04(0.95-1.14)	0.313	61.29	0.008	0.072	9	1.03(0.89-1.18)	0.668	31.71	0.164	0.251	9	1.11(0.94-1.32)	0.198	72.32	0.000	0.065
MI	7	0.99(0.94-1.06)	0.960	16.18	0.306	0.949	8	1.00(0.89-1.13)	0.913	8.811	0.362	0.437	7	1.00(0.89-1.11)	0.968	34.65	0.164	0.967
Europe +	5	0.87(0.58-1.31)	0.533	87.80	<0.00	0.783	5	0.86(0.32-2.32)	0.772	88.34	<0.00	0.646	5	0.92(0.66-1.28)	0.647	55.49	0.061	0.440
IŚ	4	1.07(0.91-1.26)	0.381	2.647	0.379	0.584	3	1.52(0.99-2.32)	0.054	0.000	0.667	0.072	3	1.19(0.91-1.55)	0.193	0.000	0.951	0.236

Table 2: Meta-analysis of the association	between rs1800795 polymorphism	with clinical diagnosis and ethnicities
		and groot and can be

Study			Domina	nt					Reces	sive		
groups	(n)	OR (95% CI)	Р	1 ²	Q	Egger		OR (95% CI)	Р	I ²	Q	Egger
India +	6	0.83(0.57-1.20)	0.332	69.14	0.006	0.863	6	1.00(0.56-1.77)	0.996	17.24	0.302	0.898
CAD	5	1.00(0.80-1.26)	0.971	0.000	0.482	0.553						
Europe +	7	1.15(0.95-1.38)	0.130	41.19	0.116	0.098	7	1.04(0.85-1.27)	0.666	34.66	0.163	0.405
CAD	6	1.07(0.93-1.23)	0.335	10.98	0.345	0.274	6	0.97(0.84-1.13)	0.753	0.000	0.612	0.884
Europe +	9	1.11(0.94-1.31)	0.198	73.70	<0.00	0.052	9	1.00(0.91-1.10)	0.927	0.000	0.912	0.354
MI	7	1.00(0.89-1.11)	0.998	39.8	0.131	0.952						
Europe + 5	5	0.86(0.55-1.34)	0.513	77.34	0.001	0.880	5	0.86(0.37-2.03)	0.746	87.06	<0.00	0.620
	4	1.08(0.81-1.43)	0.575	24.73	0.263	0.051	3	1.36(0.89-2.06)	0.149	8.956	0.333	0.340

Study name	St	atistics f	or each	study	Expose	d / Total	Odds ratio and 95% CI
	Odds ratio	Low er limit	Upper limit	p-Value	Cases	Controls	
Georges J.L. 2001	1.178	1.007	1.377	0.040	548 / 1228	546 / 1344	
Humphries S.E 2001	1.097	0.874	1.377	0.423	145 / 320	2203 / 5120	+
Jenny N.S. 2002	1.060	0.878	1.279	0.546	555 / 880	606 / 982	
Greisenegger S. 2003	1.020	0.775	1.341	0.889	170 / 428	168 / 428	
Balding J. 2004	0.886	0.650	1.209	0.446	84 / 210	334 / 778	
George S. 2004	0.829	0.555	1.237	0.358	75 / 200	84 / 200	
Karahan Z.C. 2005	0.850	0.507	1.425	0.538	34 / 166	40 / 172	
Chiapelli M. 2005	1.417	1.076	1.866	0.013	154 / 408	154 / 514	
Danielsson P. 2005	1.029	0.729	1.454	0.869	94 / 190	195 / 400	t
Mysliwska J. 2006	1.121	0.815	1.543	0.482	303 / 640	89 / 200	t
Lalouschek W. 2006	1.095	0.899	1.334	0.368	335 / 808	326 / 830	
Sekuri C. 2007	0.972	0.635	1.490	0.898	59 / 230	55 / 210	
Potacsek D.P. 2007	1.214	0.631	2.334	0.562	43 / 100	23 / 60	
Tretjakovs P. 2007	1.000	0.416	2.403	1.000	20 / 40	20 / 40	←
Humphries S.E 2007	1.054	0.870	1.277	0.592	205 / 462	2136 / 4958	
Maitra A. 2008	0.629	0.259	1.523	0.304	10/92	13 / 80	<── ! ────
Smith A.J. 2008	1.019	0.824	1.259	0.865	246 / 638	321 / 842	
Sarecka B. 2008	1.243	0.934	1.654	0.136	177 / 356	179 / 404	t
Banerjee I. 2009	1.003	0.686	1.467	0.987	59 / 420	65 / 464	
Ghazouani L. 2010	1.105	0.842	1.449	0.472	130 / 836	116 / 812	
Tong Y. 2010	0.199	0.023	1.709	0.141	1 / 1496	5/1496	<
Fan W.H. 2011	0.513	0.021	12.676	0.684	0 / 168	1/260	4
Ghazouani L. 2011	1.105	0.842	1.449	0.472	130 / 836	116 / 812	+
Chakraborty B. 2013	1.151	0.743	1.784	0.528	51 / 200	55 / 240	
Mishra A. 2013	1.249	0.887	1.759	0.202	101 / 620	62 / 460	
Wang K. 2015	1.348	1.101	1.652	0.004	327 / 804	271 / 804	+
Salama H. 2015	1.456	0.837	2.531	0.183	107 / 212	28 / 68	
Hongmei Y. 2016	1.477	0.733	2.976	0.275	19 / 550	14 / 592	
Kou L. 2017	1.253	1.036	1.515	0.020	317 / 1080	270 / 1084	
Jun M 2017	1.260	1.081	1.468	0.003	482 / 1720	407 / 1724	+
Mastana S. 2017	0.757	0.464	1.236	0.266	34 / 276	41 / 262	<
Jabir N.R. 2017	0.935	0.537	1.629	0.814	149 / 180	149 / 178	
Akinyemi R. 2017	1.008	0.726	1.401	0.961	783 / 856	883 / 966	
	1.125	1.071	1.181	0.000			•
							0.5 1
							Protection Risk

Figure 7: Funnel plot of the *allelic* model in healthy subjects as controls

DISCUSSION

It is well known that inflammatory mediators, especially IL-6, are central to the development of cardiovascular diseases. A considerable number of the polymorphisms were identified in the *IL*-6 gene, especially inside the non-coding promoter sequence. It has been reported that these polymorphisms exert a powerful influence on the expression of this gene. Hence, we evaluated the participation of the -174G>C (rs1800795) *IL*-6 gene polymorphism as a probable risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. First, we explored the participation of -174G > C (rs1800795) polymorphism as a possible risk factor for CVD in the overall population of the included studies.

After evaluating heterogeneity, we found that this polymorphism increased the risk for CVD under the allelic (*C*), homozygous (*CC*), heterozygous (*CG*) and dominant (*CC* + *CG*) models. In accordance with the present results, previous studies have demonstrated that higher levels of IL-6 are associated with the -174*CC* genotype or *C* allele in patients (Panoulas et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2006; Stoica et al., 2010). Taken together, these results suggest that when the -174*C* is present, patients exhibit higher levels of IL-6. This shows the influence of the polymorphism in increasing *IL-6* gene transcription and predisposing to greater myocardial or vascular injury. Several studies and the large sample size included in this meta-analysis provided more reliable information related to the association of *IL-6* (rs1800795) gene polymorphism and CVD.

Additionally, previous studies have suggested that there could be differences in gene frequencies between populations (Humphries et al., 2007; Ghazouani et al., 2010; Greisenegger et al., 2003; Hongmei et al., 2016). Thus, our objective was to explore the involvement of -174G>C in CVD by performing a sub-analysis on different nationalities and geographic locations. The results showed that in the studies within the Chinese population, there was a strong association of the *IL-6* (rs1800795) polymorphism with CVD.

Indeed, depending on the model, *C* allele carriers developed an increased risk (1.16 to 1.91 fold) of having CVD. Our results suggest that the risk in the Chinese population is higher than in other populations analyzed in this study. We analyzed the same association with subjects born in the United Kingdom, Turkey or India. Under a heterozygous model, we only found a significant association for the British population after discarding the heterogeneity.

Additionally, we made a diagram of the allelic frequencies of the cases by populations, in which the distribution of the risk allele is observed (Figure 8).

A possible explanation for this might be that, in different populations, the underlying genetic mechanisms that predispose to the same pathology may be achieved by different genotypes affecting distinct mediating mechanisms. Therefore, the influence of the population genomes needs to be taken into account when considering the effect of -174G > C, especially in complex and multifactorial diseases such as CVD. Afterward, we decided to explore this issue more precisely with the following analysis. Depending on the sample nationality, the available data allowed for the formation of two groups (Europeans and Africans), for which the same methodological procedure was used. Under a dominant model (OR = 1.07, CI 95% = 1.00-1.14, Z p value = 0.026), only one statistically significant association was observed after measuring heterogeneity in the European population.



Figure 8: Allele frequencies of cases by population

By taking the previous analysis into consideration, we can suggest that this association might be influenced by the studies of participants born in the United Kingdom. These findings confirmed the assumption that ethnicity increases the level of complexity of genetic functional studies, considering the differences in gene frequencies between populations (Satti et al., 2013; Sekuri et al., 2007; Sie et al., 2006).

Consequently, the consideration of ancestral components of disease may become more relevant to understand inherited cardiovascular risk (Wang et al., 2015; Tütün et al., 2006; Vakili et al. 2011).

An initial objective of the project was to better understand the influence of both the genes and the specific DNA sequence variants responsible for the etiology of cardiovascular diseases. For this reason, we evaluated the role of -174G>C through the specific diagnosis of the patient groups with CAD, PAOD, MI, and IS; only healthy subjects were chosen as healthy controls (HC).

Importantly, we found that this polymorphism is associated with CAD. In fact, under the genetic models used, carriers of -174G > Chave an increased risk for CAD between 1.10 times and 1.50 times. It is well known that high basal IL-6 plasma levels, which exert pro-inflammatory and pro-coagulant effects, have proven to be predictive of CVDs (Wang et al., 2015; Tuttolomondo et al., 2012; Tütün et al., 2006; Vakili et al. 2011). Our results confirm the risk effect of C carriers of -174G > C on CAD. In fact, this finding broadly supports the work of Phulukdaree et al., who observed that the presence of the IL-6 -174G>C the C allele influences the levels of IL-6 and increases the risk of CAD in South African Indians (Phulukdaree et al., 2013). Taken together, these results further support the use of *IL-6* gene polymorphism 174G>C, and IL-6 levels as CAD genetic marker.

On the other hand, we were aware that there could be other variables affecting the results. For that reason, a more selective analysis was performed which only included healthy subjects as a comparison group. We found a 1.02 to 1.25 fold increased risk of cardiovascular diseases, supports our previous association of -174*C* carriers with CVD.

In addition, in the PAOD analysis, the recessive model C allele of -174G > C is associated with protection [OR = 0.39, CI 95%](0.26-0.59), Z p value <0.0001]. In fact, Flex et al. reported that GG homozygous subjects have a 4.6-fold risk of developing PAOD compared with CC homozygous patients (Flex et al., 2002); this result thus reinforced the idea that the C allele could confer a protective effect. However, the analysis performed in MI and IS patients did not reveal any association. This discrepancy could be explained in part by the *in vitro* observations of Terry et al., who reported that IL-6 expression is regulated differently in various cells (Terry et al., 2000). Consequently, the levels of this interleukin may be dependent on the gene expression of a particular cell type and its associated phenotype.

Additionally, we know that the effect of -174G>C on circulating IL-6 is more complex and may be dependent on multiple variables. Hence, our final approach involved examining the role of -174G>C in not only Europeans with CAD, MI, and IS, but also in India participants with CAD. The aforementioned subjects were organized into four groups: Europe + CAD, Europe + MI, Europe + IS and India + CAD. Of interest, even after the heterogeneity was discarded in the analyses, no association with -174G>C polymorphism was found.

Furthermore, it is well known that there are several risk factors involved in the CVDs. One of the most common studied is the smoking habits, which it has been hypothesized that could play a role as risk factor. Nevertheless, in our findings no relationship was revealed. However, this could be an effect of a small sample size, due to only six studies the data was available to perfom the analysis. Another reason could be that almost all of the studies included are conducted in Caucasians (Humphries et al., 2001; Greisenegger et al., 2003; Balding et al., 2004; Sie et al., 2006; Mysliwska et al., 2006) and it is possible that other risk factors could be interfered in this type population.

Also, previous studies had already performed some of the analysis made in our article (Ma et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2012; Yin et al., 2012, 2013; Yang et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2014; Hou et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015) however, these previous reports failed to take into consideration the following aspects. First, while previous works only analyzed one or two sub-groups, the number of analyses performed here (total groups: China, United Kingdom, Turkey, India, Europeans, Africans, CAD, PAOD, MI, IS; HC, India +CAD, Europe + CAD, Europe + MI and Europe + IS) include 16 sub-groups that evaluated the influence of the ethnicity, diagnosis, geographical localization, or a combination of them. Second, our sample size is larger; while the sample in previous studies contained 6 to 48 articles, we included 74 articles in this meta-analysis and 85 in the systematic review. Lastly, while some of the previous studies included data from master's or doctoral theses, our meta-analysis sample consisted of only articles published in peer-reviewed journals.

The interpretation of the meta-analysis results is subject to certain limitations. First, we need to consider the sample size. Although the total number of study subjects was 33,525 cases and 52,704 controls, in some sub-analysis groups, such as PAOD or India, the article sample size could be considered small. This could have an effect in the outcomes. Nevertheless, there were 16 sub-analyses performed in this work; the sheer quantity should be considered a strength because it allows for a general panorama of the effect of -174G > Cin CVD. Second, we performed an analysis to evaluate the publication bias but found that it could not be discarded, because most of the articles were from either Europe or Asia. Further research is, therefore, an essential next step to provide more definitive evidence. Third, the effect of the -174G > C polymorphism is complex and depends on the presence of age, BMI, and other clinical characteristics, which were not evaluated in this meta-analysis.

However, a detailed systematic review was indeed performed to explore these characteristics in the included articles. Fourth, removing non-English literature and articles without related data from the analysis might affect results. Nevertheless, our inclusion and exclusion criteria allowed for the inclusion of quality studies. Finally, this metaanalysis did not take into consideration the possibility of linkage disequilibrium between polymorphisms, as well as gene-gene or geneenvironment interactions.

In this study several characteristics were considered that can influence the role of -174G/C as a risk factor for CVD, such as the clinical situation of the control group.

In conclusion our results indicate that C allele of *IL*-6 gene polymorphism (rs1800795) is associated with increased risk for CVD.

This association is mainly observed in Chinese and British populations and patients with CAD.

However, the -174G>C polymorphism was also found to be a protective factor for PAOD. Further research is needed to fully understand the participation of the -174G>C variant in CVD.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all study participants and to the Department of Molecular Biology, Instituto Nacional de Cardiología Ignacio Chávez, Mexico City, Mexico and Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, Tabasco, Mexico.

REFERENCES

Akinyemi R, Arnett DK, Tiwari HK, Ovbiagele B, Sarfo F, Srinivasasainagendra V, et al. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) rs1800796 and cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor (CDKN2A/CDKN2B) rs2383207 are associated with ischemic stroke in indigenous West African Men. J Neurol Sci. 2017;379:229-35.

Balding J, Livingstone WJ, Pittock SJ, Mynett-Johnson L, Ahern T, Hodgson A, et al. The IL-6 G-174C polymorphism may be associated with ischaemic stroke in patients without a history of hypertension. Ir J Med Sci. 2004;173:200-3.

Banerjee I, Gupta V, Ahmed T, Faizaan M, Agarwal P, Ganesh S. Inflammatory system gene polymorphism and the risk of stroke: a case-control study in an Indian population. Brain Res Bull. 2008;75:158-65.

Banerjee I, Pandey U, Hasan OM, Parihar R, Tripathi V, Ganesh S. Association between inflammatory gene polymorphisms and coronary artery disease in an Indian population. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2009;27:88-94.

Basso F, Lowe GD, Rumley A, McMahon AD, Humphries SE. Interleukin-6 -174G>C polymorphism and risk of coronary heart disease in West of Scotland coronary prevention study (WOSCOPS). Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2002;22:599-604.

Bennermo M, Nordin M, Lundman P, Boqvist S, Held C, Samnegård A, et al. Genetic and environmental influences on the plasma interleukin-6 concentration in patients with a recent myocardial infarction: a casecontrol study. J Interferon Cytokine Res. 2011;31:259-64.

Bennet AM, Prince JA, Fei GZ, Lyrenäs L, Huang Y, Wiman B, et al. Interleukin-6 serum levels and genotypes influence the risk for myocardial infarction. Atherosclerosis. 2003;171:359-67.

Berg KK, Madsen HO, Garred P, Wiseth R, Gunnes S, Videm V. The additive contribution from inflammatory genetic markers on the severity of cardiovascular disease. Scand J Immunol. 2009;69:36-42.

Bhanushali AA, Das BR. Promoter variants in interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha and risk of coronary artery disease in a population from Western India. Indian J Hum Genet. 2013;19:430-6.

Buraczynska M, Zukowski P, Drop B, Baranowicz-Gaszczyk I, Ksiazek A. Effect of G(-174)C polymorphism in interleukin-6 gene on cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes patients. Cytokine. 2016;79:7-11.

Chakraborty B, Chowdhury D, Vishnoi G, Goswami B, Kishore J, Agarwal S. Interleukin-6 gene -174 G/C promoter polymorphism predicts severity and outcome in acute ischemic stroke patients from north India. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2013;22:683-9.

Chamorro A, Revilla M, Obach V, Vargas M, Planas AM. The -174G/C polymorphism of the interleukin 6 gene is a hallmark of lacunar stroke and not other ischemic stroke phenotypes. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2005;19: 91-5.

Chiappelli M, Tampieri C, Tumini E, Porcellini E, Caldarera CM, Nanni S, et al. Interleukin-6 gene polymorphism is an age-dependent risk factor for myocardial infarction in men. Int J Immunogenet. 2005;32:349-53.

Coker A, Arman A, Soylu O, Tezel T, Yildirim A. Lack of association between IL-1 and IL-6 gene polymorphisms and myocardial infarction in Turkish population. Int J Immunogenet. 2011;38:201-8.

Danielsson P, Truedsson L, Eriksson KF, Norgren L. Inflammatory markers and IL-6 polymorphism in peripheral arterial disease with and without diabetes mellitus. Vasc Med. 2005;10:191-8.

Densem CG, Ray M, Hutchinson IV, Yonan N, Brooks NH. Interleukin-6 polymorphism: a genetic risk factor for cardiac transplant related coronary vasculopathy? J Heart Lung Transplant. 2005;24:559-65.

Elsaid A, Abdel-Aziz AF, Elmougy R, Elwaseef AM. Association of polymorphisms G(-174)C in IL-6 gene and G(-1082)A in IL-10 gene with traditional cardiovascular risk factors in patients with coronary artery disease. Indian J Biochem Biophys. 2014;51:282-92.

Fan WH, Liu DL, Xiao LM, Xie CJ, Sun SY, Zhang JC. Coronary heart disease and chronic periodontitis: is polymorphism of interleukin-6 gene the common risk factor in a Chinese population? Oral Dis. 2011; 17:270-6.

Flex A, Gaetani E, Pola R, Santoliquido A, Aloi F, Papaleo P, et al. The -174 G/C polymorphism of the interleukin-6 gene promoter is associated with peripheral artery occlusive disease. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2002;24:264-8.

Flex A, Gaetani E, Papaleo P, Straface G, Proia AS, Pecorini G, et al. Proinflammatory genetic profiles in subjects with history of ischemic stroke. Stroke. 2004;35:2270-5.

Flex A, Gaetani E, Angelini F, Sabusco A, Chillà C, Straface G, et al. Pro-inflammatory genetic profiles in subjects with peripheral arterial occlusive disease and critical limb ischemia. J Intern Med. 2007;262:124-30. Galimudi RK, Spurthi MK, Padala C, Kumar KG, Mudigonda S, Reddy SG, et al. Interleukin 6(-174G/C) variant and its circulating levels in coronary artery disease patients and their first degree relatives. Inflammation. 2014;37:314-21.

George S, Ruan XZ, Navarrete C, Turner D, Reynard M, Sweny P, et al. Renovascular disease is associated with low producer genotypes of the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-10. Tissue Antigens. 2004;63: 470-5.

Georges JL, Loukaci V, Poirier O, Evans A, Luc G, Arveiler D, et al. Interleukin-6 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to myocardial infarction: the ECTIM study. Etude Cas-Témoin de l'Infarctus du Myocarde. J Mol Med (Berl). 2001;79:300-5.

Ghazouani L, Ben Hadj Khalifa S, Abboud N, Ben Hamda K, Ben Khalfallah A, Brahim N, et al. TNFalpha -308G>A and IL-6 -174G>C polymorphisms in Tunisian patients with coronary artery disease. Clin Biochem. 2010;43:1085-9.

Ghazouani L, Abboud N, Ben Hadj Khalifa S, Added F, Ben Khalfallah A, Nsiri B, et al. -174G>C interleukin-6 gene polymorphism in Tunisian patients with coronary artery disease. Ann Saudi Med. 2011;31:40-4.

Greisenegger S, Endler G, Haering D, Schillinger M, Lang W, Lalouschek W, et al. The (-174) G/C polymorphism in the interleukin-6 gene is associated with the severity of acute cerebrovascular events. Thromb Res. 2003;110:181-6.

Hongmei Y, Yongping J, Jiyuan L. Interleukin-6 polymorphisms and risk of coronary artery diseases in a Chinese population: A case-control study. Pak J Med Sci. 2016;32:880-5.

Hou H, Wang C, Sun F, Zhao L, Dun A, Sun Z. Association of interleukin-6 gene polymorphism with coronary artery disease: an updated systematic review and cumulative meta-analysis. Inflamm Res. 2015;64: 707-20.

Humphries SE, Luong LA, Ogg MS, Hawe E, Miller GJ. The interleukin-6 -174 G/C promoter polymorphism is associated with risk of coronary heart disease and systolic blood pressure in healthy men. Eur Heart J. 2001;22:2243-52.

Humphries SE, Cooper JA, Talmud PJ, Miller GJ. Candidate gene genotypes, along with conventional risk factor assessment, improve estimation of coronary heart disease risk in healthy UK men. Clin Chem. 2007;53:8-16. Ismaeel A, Brumberg RS, Kirk JS, Papoutsi E, Farmer PJ, Bohannon WT, et al. Oxidative stress and arterial dysfunction in peripheral artery disease. Antioxidants (Basel). 2018;7:1-16.

Jabir NR, Firoz CK, Kamal MA, Damanhouri GA, Alama MN, Alzahrani AS, et al. Assessment of genetic diversity in IL-6 and RANTES promoters and their level in Saudi coronary artery disease patients. J Clin Lab Anal. 2017;31:1-8.

Jenny NS, Tracy RP, Ogg MS, Luong le A, Kuller LH, Arnold AM, et al. In the elderly, interleukin-6 plasma levels and the -174G>C polymorphism are associated with the development of cardiovascular disease. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2002;22:2066-71.

Jin Y, Wang Q, Wang G, Zhang X, Yan B, Hu W. Common polymorphisms in the interleukin-6 gene and myocardial infarction risk: a meta-analysis. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers. 2014;18:330-40.

Jun M, Xue-Qiang G, Jia L, Yang-Jing X, Cheng Z, Ge J. Interactions between vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene and Interleukin-6 gene and environment factors on coronary heart disease risk in a Chinese Han population. Oncotarget. 2017;8:78419-28.

Karahan ZC, Deda G, Sipahi T, Elhan AH, Akar N. TNF-alpha -308G/A and IL-6 -174 G/C polymorphisms in the Turkish pediatric stroke patients. Thromb Res. 2005;115:393-8.

Kelberman D, Hawe E, Luong LA, Mohamed-Ali V, Lundman P, Tornvall P, et al. Effect of Interleukin-6 promoter polymorphisms in survivors of myocardial infarction and matched controls in the North and South of Europe. The HIFMECH Study. Thromb Haemost. 2004;92:1122-8.

Kou L, Yang N, Dong B, Li Y, Yang J, Qin Q. Interaction between SELP genetic polymorphisms with inflammatory cytokine interleukin-6 (IL-6) gene variants on cardiovascular disease in Chinese Han population. Mamm Genome. 2017;28:436-42.

Lalouschek W, Schillinger M, Hsieh K, Endler G, Greisenegger S, Marculescu R, et al. Polymorphisms of the inflammatory system and risk of ischemic cerebrovascular events. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2006;44: 918-23.

Li L, Li E, Zhang LH, Jian LG, Liu HP, Wang T. IL-6-174G/C and IL-6-572C/G polymorphisms are associated with increased risk of coronary artery disease. Genet Mol Res. 2015;14:8451-7. Liaquat A, Asifa GZ, Zeenat A, Javed Q. Polymorphisms of tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-6 gene and C-reactive protein profiles in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Ann Saudi Med. 2014;34:407-14.

Licastro F, Chiappelli M, Caldarera CM, Tampieri C, Nanni S, Gallina M, et al. The concomitant presence of polymorphic alleles of interleukin-1beta, interleukin-6 and apolipoprotein E is associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction in elderly men. Results from a pilot study. Mech Ageing Dev. 2004;125:575-9.

Lieb W, Pavlik R, Erdmann J, Mayer B, Holmer SR, Fischer M, et al. No association of interleukin-6 gene polymorphism (-174 G/C) with myocardial infarction or traditional cardiovascular risk factors. Int J Cardiol. 2004;97:205-12.

Liu SL, Yin YW, Sun QQ, Hu AM, Zhang SJ. Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin-6 gene and susceptibility to coronary artery disease in Chinese population: Evidence based on 4582 subjects. Hum Immunol. 2015;76:505-10.

Liu Y, Berthier-Schaad Y, Fallin MD, Fink NE, Tracy RP, Klag MJ, et al. IL-6 haplotypes, inflammation, and risk for cardiovascular disease in a multiethnic dialysis cohort. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006;17:863-70.

Ma Y, Tang RK, Yang X, Peng GG, Liu Y, Wang XM, et al. Lack of an association between interleukin-6 gene promoter polymorphisms (-174G/C, -572G/C) and ischemic heart disease and/or ischemic stroke: a metaanalysis. Hum Immunol. 2011;72:641-51.

Maitra A, Shanker J, Dash D, John S, Sannappa PR, Rao VS, et al. Polymorphisms in the IL6 gene in Asian Indian families with premature coronary artery disease--the Indian Atherosclerosis Research Study. Thromb Haemost. 2008;99:944-50.

Mao L, Geng GY, Han WJ, Zhao MH, Wu L, Liu HL. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) -174G/C genomic polymorphism contribution to the risk of coronary artery disease in a Chinese population. Genet Mol Res. 2016;15:1-7.

Mastana S, Prakash S, Akam EC, Kirby M, Lindley MR, Sinha N, et al. Genetic association of pro-inflammatory cytokine gene polymorphisms with coronary artery disease (CAD) in a North Indian population. Gene. 2017;628:301-7.

Mishra A, Srivastava A, Mittal T, Garg N, Mittal B. Role of inflammatory gene polymorphisms in left ventricular dysfunction (LVD) susceptibility in coronary artery disease (CAD) patients. Cytokine. 2013;61:856-61. Mitrokhin V, Nikitin A, Brovkina O, Khodyrev D, Zotov A, Vachrushev N, et al. Association between interleukin-6/6R gene polymorphisms and coronary artery disease in Russian population: influence of interleukin-6/6R gene polymorphisms on inflammatory markers. J Inflamm Res. 2017;10:151-60.

Myśliwska J, Wieckiewicz J, Hak L, Siebert J, Rogowski J, Szyndler K, et al. Interleukin 6 polymorphism corresponds to the number of severely stenosed coronary arteries. Eur Cytokine Netw. 2006; 17:181-8.

Nauck M, Winkelmann BR, Hoffmann MM, Böhm BO, Wieland H, März W. The interleukin-6 G(-174)C promoter polymorphism in the LURIC cohort: no association with plasma interleukin-6, coronary artery disease, and myocardial infarction. J Mol Med. 2002; 80:507-13.

Panoulas VF, Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Metsios GS, Smith JP, Milionis HJ, Douglas KM, et al. Association of interleukin-6 (IL-6)-174G/C gene polymorphism with cardiovascular disease in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: the role of obesity and smoking. Atherosclerosis. 2009;204:178-83.

Phulukdaree A, Khan S, Ramkaran P, Govender R, Moodley D, Chuturgoon AA. The interleukin-6 -147 g/c polymorphism is associated with increased risk of coronary artery disease in young South African Indian men. Metab Syndr Relat Disord. 2013;11:205-9.

Potaczek DP, Undas A, Nowakowski T, Szczeklik A. Association between inflammatory markers and the interleukin-6 -174 G/C polymorphism is abolished in peripheral arterial occlusive disease. Int Angiol. 2007; 26:318-23.

Revilla M, Obach V, Cervera A, Dávalos A, Castillo J, Chamorro A. A -174G/C polymorphism of the interleukin-6 gene in patients with lacunar infarction. Neurosci Lett. 2002;324:29-32.

Rios DL, Cerqueira CC, Bonfim-Silva R, Araújo LJ, Pereira JF, Gadelha SR, et al. Interleukin-1 beta and interleukin-6 gene polymorphism associations with angiographically assessed coronary artery disease in Brazilians. Cytokine. 2010;50:292-6.

Rosner SA, Ridker PM, Zee RY, Cook NR. Interaction between inflammation-related gene polymorphisms and cigarette smoking on the risk of myocardial infarction in the Physician's Health Study. Hum Genet. 2005;118:287-94.

Salama H, Hammad E. Risk association between TNF- α -308 G>A and IL-6-174 G/C polymorphisms and recurrent transient ischemic attacks. Egypt J Immunol. 2015;22:49-56.

Sarecka B, Zak I, Krauze J. Synergistic effects of the polymorphisms in the PAI-1 and IL-6 genes with smoking in determining their associated risk with coronary artery disease. Clin Biochem. 2008;41:467-73.

Satti HS, Hussain S, Javed Q. Association of interleukin-6 gene promoter polymorphism with coronary artery disease in Pakistani families. Sci World J. 2013; 2013:1-6.

Sekuri C, Cam FS, Sagcan A, Ercan E, Tengiz I, Alioglu E, et al. No association of interleukin-6 gene polymorphism (-174 G/C) with premature coronary artery disease in a Turkish cohort. Coron Artery Dis. 2007;18:333-7.

Sie MP, Sayed-Tabatabaei FA, Oei HH, Uitterlinden AG, Pols HA, Hofman A, et al. Interleukin 6 -174 g/c promoter polymorphism and risk of coronary heart disease: results from the rotterdam study and a meta-analysis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2006;26:212-7.

Silander K, Alanne M, Kristiansson K, Saarela O, Ripatti S, Auro K, et al. Gender differences in genetic risk profiles for cardiovascular disease. PLoS One. 2008;3:e3615.

Smallwood L, Allcock R, van Bockxmeer F, Warrington N, Palmer LJ, Iacopetta B, et al. Polymorphisms of the interleukin-6 gene promoter and abdominal aortic aneurysm. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2008;35:31-6.

Smith AJ, D'Aiuto F, Palmen J, Cooper JA, Samuel J, Thompson S, et al. Association of serum interleukin-6 concentration with a functional IL6 -6331T>C polymorphism. Clin Chem. 2008;54:841-50.

Spoto B, Mattace-Raso F, Sijbrands E, Leonardis D, Testa A, Pisano A, et al. Association of IL-6 and a functional polymorphism in the IL-6 gene with cardio-vascular events in patients with CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2015; 6:232-40.

Stephens JW, Hurel SJ, Acharya J, Humphries SE. An interaction between the interleukin-6 -174G>C gene variant and urinary protein excretion influences plasma oxidative stress in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2004;3:1-6.

Stoica AL, Stoica E, Constantinescu I, Uscatescu V, Ginghina C. Interleukin-6 and interleukin-10 gene polymorphism, endothelial dysfunction, and postoperative prognosis in patients with peripheral arterial disease. J Vasc Surg. 2010;52:103-9. Sun GQ, Wu GD, Meng Y, Du B, Li YB. IL-6 gene promoter polymorphisms and risk of coronary artery disease in a Chinese population. Genet Mol Res. 2014; 13:7718-24.

Terry CF, Loukaci V, Green FR. Cooperative influence of genetic polymorphisms on interleukin 6 transcriptional regulation J Biol Chem. 2000;275: 18138-44.

Theodorou K, Boon RA. Endothelial cell metabolism in atherosclerosis. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2018;6:1-9.

Tong Y, Wang Z, Geng Y, Liu J, Zhang R, Lin Q, et al. The association of functional polymorphisms of IL-6 gene promoter with ischemic stroke: analysis in two Chinese populations. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2010;391:481-5.

Tong Z, Li Q, Zhang J, Wei Y, Miao G, Yang X. Association between interleukin 6 and interleukin 16 gene polymorphisms and coronary heart disease risk in a Chinese population. J Int Med Res. 2013;41:1049-56.

Tretjakovs P, Latkovskis G, Licis N, Juhnevica D, Jurka A, Bormane I, et al. Interleukin-6 gene promoter -174G/C polymorphism and insulin resistance: a pilot study. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2007;45:1145-8.

Tütün U, Aksöyek A, Ulus AT, Misirlioğlu M, Ciçekçioğlu F, Ozişik K, et al. Gene polymorphisms in patients below 35 years of age who underwent coronary artery bypass surgery. Coron Artery Dis. 2006;17:35-9.

Tuttolomondo A, Di Raimondo D, Forte GI, Casuccio A, Vaccarino L, Scola L, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of pro-inflammatory/anti-inflammatory and thrombotic/fibrinolytic genes in patients with acute ischemic stroke in relation to TOAST subtype. Cytokine. 2012;58:398-405.

Vakili H, Ghaderian SM, Akbarzadeh Najar R, Tabatabaei Panah AS, Azargashb E. Genetic polymorphism of interleukin-6 gene and susceptibility to acute myocardial infarction. Coron Artery Dis. 2011;22:299-305.

Wang K, Dong PS, Zhang HF, Li ZJ, Yang XM, Liu H. Role of interleukin-6 gene polymorphisms in the risk of coronary artery disease. Genet Mol Res. 2015; 14:3177-83.

Weger M, Steinbrugger I, Haas A, März W, El-Shabrawi Y, Weger W, et al. Role of the interleukin-6 -174 G>C gene polymorphism in retinal artery occlusion. Stroke. 2005;36:249-52. Yang HT, Wang SL, Yan LJ, Qian P, Duan HY. Association of interleukin gene polymorphisms with the risk of coronary artery disease. Genet Mol Res. 2015;14: 12489-96.

Yang Y, Zhang F, Skrip L, Lei H, Wang Y, Hu D, et al. IL-6 gene polymorphisms and CAD risk: a metaanalysis. Mol Biol Rep. 2013;40:2589-98.

Yin YW, Hu AM, Sun QQ, Liu HL, Wang Q, Zeng YH, et al. Association between interleukin-6 gene -174 G/C polymorphism and the risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis of 20 studies including 9619 cases and 10,919 controls. Gene. 2012;503:25-30.

Yin YW, Li JC, Zhang M, Wang JZ, Li BH, Liu Y, et al. Influence of interleukin-6 gene -174G>C polymorphism on development of atherosclerosis: a meta-analysis of 50 studies involving 33,514 subjects. Gene. 2013;529:94-103.

Zheng GH, Chen HY, Xiong SQ. Polymorphisms of -174G>C and -572G>C in the interleukin 6 (IL-6) gene and coronary heart disease risk: a meta-analysis of 27 research studies. PLoS One. 2012;7:e34839.