Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY He Xiaojun, Wenzhou Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Xiao-Hui Zheng, Wenzhou Medical University, China Wei Zhang, Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology (CAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jintao Wang, jintaochem@163.com Xuemin Duan, duanxuemin@126.com Xiangwen Liao, Liao492008522@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

RECEIVED 03 September 2022 ACCEPTED 20 September 2022 PUBLISHED 10 October 2022

CITATION

Jiang L, Ma Y, Xiong Y, Tan Y, Duan X, Liao X and Wang J (2022), Ruthenium polypyridine complexes with triphenylamine groups as antibacterial agents against Staphylococcus aureus with membrane-disruptive mechanism. *Front. Chem.* 10:1035741. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2022.1035741

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Jiang, Ma, Xiong, Tan, Duan, Liao and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Ruthenium polypyridine complexes with triphenylamine groups as antibacterial agents against *Staphylococcus aureus* with membrane-disruptive mechanism

Li Jiang¹, Yuanyuan Ma¹, Yanshi Xiong¹, Yanhui Tan², Xuemin Duan¹*, Xiangwen Liao¹* and Jintao Wang¹*

¹Jiangxi Provincial Key Laboratory of Drug Design and Evaluation, School of Pharmacy, Jiangxi Science & Technology Normal University, Nanchang, China, ²State Key Laboratory for Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Medicinal Resources, School of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, China

Due to the emergence and wide spread of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, the treatment of this kind of infection becomes more and more difficult. To solve the problem of drug resistance, it is urgent to develop new antibiotics to avoid the most serious situation of no drug available. Three new Ru complexes [Ru (dmob)₂PMA] (PF6)₂ (Ru-1) [Ru (bpy)₂PMA] (PF6)₂ (Ru-2) and $[Ru (dmb)_2 PMA] (PF6)_2 (Ru-3) (dmob = 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine, bpy =$ 2,2'-bipyridine, dmb = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine and PMA = N-(4-(1Himidazo [4,5-f] [1,10] phenanthrolin-2-yl) -4-methyl-N-(p-tolyl) aniline) were synthesized and characterized by 1H NMR, 13C NMR and HRMS. The detailed molecular structure of **Ru-3** was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Their antibacterial activities against Staphylococcus aureus (Staphylococcus aureus) were obvious and Ru-3 showed the best antibacterial effect with the minimum inhibitory concentration value of 4 µg ml⁻¹. Therefore, further study on its biological activity showed that Ru-3 can effectively inhibit the formation of biofilm and destroy cell membrane. In vitro hemolysis test showed that Ru-3 has almost negligible cytotoxicity to mammalian red blood cells. In the toxicity test of wax moth insect model, Ru-3 exhibited low toxicity in vivo. These results, combined with histopathological studies, strongly suggest that Ru-3 was almost non-toxic. In addition, the synergistic effect of Ru-3 with common antibiotics such as ampicillin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, kanamycin and gentamicin on Staphylococcus aureus was detected by chessboard method. Finally, in vivo results revealed that Ru-3 could obviously promote the wound healing of Staphylococcus aureus infected mice.

KEYWORDS

Ru(II) complexes, antimicrobial properties, antibiofilm activity, synergistic effect, membrane-disruptive mechanism

Introduction

Since the discovery of penicillin, antibiotics have saved countless lives, prevented fatal infections and made great contributions to the Figureht against human infectious diseases. However, the extensive and evolving pathogenic behavior of bacteria and the abuse and misuse of antibiotics lead to a sharply increase in bacterial drug resistance, which poses a serious threat to public health (Aslam et al., 2018; Tacconelli et al., 2018; Richter and Hergenrother, 2019; De Oliveira et al., 2020; Laxminarayan et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020). It is predicted that drug-resistant infection may cause 10 million deaths every year by 2050 (Piddock, 2016; Abouelhassan et al., 2019). Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most common causes of hospital and community-acquired infection, which is closely related to pneumonia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, arthritis and sepsis (Hussain et al., 2018). Due to the emergence and wide spread of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, the treatment of this kind of infection becomes more and more difficult. To solve the problem of drug resistance, it is urgent to develop new antibiotics to avoid the most serious situation of no drug available.

It is widely accepted that some transition metal complexes have more advantages than traditional organic molecular drugs, such as easy structural modification, rich photophysical and electrochemical properties (Gitlin and Lill, 2012; Howerton et al., 2012; Knoll and Turro, 2015) Among them, polypyridine ruthenium (II) complexes have a wide range of potential properties, such as DNA binding agents, antibacterial agents and anticancer agents (Li et al., 2015; Mesquita et al., 2018; Moumita et al., 2021). So far, a few ruthenium (II) complexes have been reported as antibacterial agents (Patra, et al., 2012; Gorle et al., 2014; Nyawade, et al., 2015; Srivastava, et al., 2019; Lei, et al., 2020; Singh and Barman, 2021; Sun et al., 2021; Varney et al., 2021) and generally ruthenium (II) complexes were more active than theirs' coordinative ligands (Carlsen et al., 1981; Weber et al., 2016). Moreover, some of that reported Ru(II) polypyridine complexes with different functional groups simultaneously exhibited interesting synergy effects between existing common antibiotics, which were potential adjuvants to enhance the effect of existing antibiotics on *Staphylococcus aureus* (Liao et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022).

Recently, Tang and co-workers explored a multifunctional TPA derivative, which showed good selective sterilization effect and targeted Gram-positive bacteria. In addition, that compound could destroy the cell membrane of *Staphylococcus aureus* under white light irradiation and had important anti-infective effect *in vivo* (Kang et al., 2019; Li et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022). Inspired by the above research, herein, three new Ru(II) complexes (Figure 1) with TPA derivatives were designed and synthesized [Ru (domb)₂PMA] (PF6)₂ (**Ru-1**) [Ru (bpy)₂PMA] (PF6)₂ (**Ru-2**) and [Ru (dmb)₂PMA] (PF6)₂ (**Ru-3**). Their antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* was evaluated. In addition, considering the toxicity and drug resistance of **Ru-3** with the best activity, its behaviors concerning antibacterial adjuvant, bacterial biofilm destruction, antibacterial mechanism, antibacterial activity *in vivo* were further explored.

Materials and methods

All reagents and materials were purchased from commercial suppliers used as received without further purification. 4-dip-tolylamino benzaldehyde and 1,10-phenanthroline-hydrate were purchased from Energy-chemical. Rabbit blood was 3'purchased Maojie Microbes. 3, from dipropylthiadicarbocyanine iodide [DiSC₃(5)] was purchased from Macklin. 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was purchased from Solarbio and propidium Iodide (PI) was obtained from 3 A Materials. All antibiotics and crystal violet were obtained from Sangon. Tryptic soy broth (TSB) was purchased from Hapebio. Agar powder was purchased from Chembase. Staphylococcus aureus strain was obtained from China Center of Industrial Culture Collection (CICC).



The starting materials $[Ru(dmob)_2Cl_2]$ $[Ru(bpy)_2Cl_2]$ and $[Ru(dmb)_2Cl_2]$ were synthesized according to the literature (Sullivan et al., 1978; Collin and Sauvage, 1986; Castellano et al., 1998; Tran et al., 2013).

Nuclear magnetic spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 400 spectrometer under ambient conditions. High resolution mass spectrometric analysis was carried out on a Waters Xevo G2-XS Q-TOF instrument. A Shimadzu UV-2550 UV-vis spectrophotometer was used for UV scanning. A biochemical incubator and constant temperature culture shaker were purchased from Yiheng Scientific Instruments. Enzymelabeled instrument was obtained from BioTek Instruments and a fluorescent cell imager was purchased from BIO-RAD.

Synthesis and characterization PMA

The ligand N-(4-(1H-imi-dazo[4,5-f][1,10]phenanthrolin-2yl(phenyl)-4-methyl-N-(p-tolyl)aniline was synthesized according to the literature (Zhang et al., 2011; Peng et al., 2021). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.82 (s, 4H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (s, 2H), 7.05–6.84 (m, 10H), 2.25 (s, 6H). HRMS (acetonitrile) m/z: calcd 492.2144 for C₃₃H₂₅N₅, found 492.2185 for [PAM + H]⁺.

Synthesis and characterization Ru-1

([Ru(dmob)₂PMA] (PF₆)₂). A mixture of [Ru(dmob)₂Cl₂] (55.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) and PMA (49.8 mg, 0.1 mmol) in ethylene glycol (10 ml) was heated at 150°C under argon for 8 h to give a clear red solution. After cooling to room temperature, a red precipitate was obtained after 1 mmol KPF₆ aqueous solution (50 ml) was added. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on neutral alumina with a CH₃CN/Xylene mixture as the eluent to obtain a red powder. Yield: 56.5 mg (55.1%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) *d* 14.12 (s, 1H), 9.07 (d, J = 31.6 Hz, 2H), 8.49 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 4H), 8.14 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 4H), 7.90 (s, 2H), 7.64 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (s, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 6H), 7.06 (t, J = 10.2 Hz, 6H), 6.92 (s, 2H), 4.03 (s, 6H), 3.93 (s, 6H), 2.31 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) d 166.46, 166.30, 157.79, 151.85, 151.64, 149.64, 149.50, 143.89, 133.42, 130.18, 129.41, 127.67, 125.85, 125.24, 121.30, 120.07, 114.04, 113.86, 111.16, 56.66, 56.56, 20.32. HRMS (acetonitrile) m/z: calcd 512.6476 for [C₅₇H₄₉N₉O₄Ru]²⁺, found 512.6492 for $[M-2PF_6]^{2+}$.

Synthesis and characterization Ru-2

 $([Ru(bpy)_2PMA] (PF_6)_2)$. This complex was synthesized in an identical manner as described for complex **Ru-1** using a mixture of $[Ru(bpy)_2Cl_2]$ (147.4 mg, 0.3 mmol) and PMA (145.3 mg, 0.3 mmol). Yield: 280.8 mg (78.3%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) *d* 14.16 (s, 1H), 9.05 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.77 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 8.39 (s, 4H), 8.20–7.94 (m, 8H), 7.84–7.70 (m, 6H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H), 2.31 (s, 6H). ¹³H NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) *d* 152.78, 152.68, 152.50, 149.99, 147.16, 147.08, 145.12, 143.87, 136.68, 133.41, 130.34, 130.18, 127.92, 127.64, 126.18, 125.89, 125.24, 120.03, 20.33. HRMS (acetonitrile) m/z: calcd 452.6264 for $[C_{53}H_{41}N_9Ru]^{2+}$, found 452.6285 for $[M-2PF_6]^{2+}$.

Synthesis and characterization Ru-3

([Ru(dmb)₂PMA] (PF₆)₂). This complex was synthesized in an identical manner as described for complex **Ru-1**, with [Ru (dmb)₂Cl₂] in place of [Ru(domb)₂Cl₂]. Yield: 121.3 mg (55.6%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 14.09 (s, 1H), 9.04 (s, 2H), 8.71 (d, J = 18.3 Hz, 4H), 8.13 (s, 2H), 8.03 (s, 2H), 7.89 (s, 4H), 7.66 (s, 2H), 7.51 (s, 2H), 7.40 (s, 4H), 7.19 (s, 4H), 7.04 (s, 4H), 2.56 (s, 6H), 2.46 (s, 6H), 2.31 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 156.22, 156.06, 150.26, 149.40, 149.20, 144.80, 143.93, 133.30, 130.14, 129.82, 128.34, 128.21, 127.61, 125.84, 125.14, 124.80, 120.18, 20.59, 20.50, 20.30. HRMS (acetonitrile) m/z: calcd 480.6577 for [C₅₇H₄₉N₉Ru]²⁺, found 480.6597 for [M-2PF₆]²⁺.

Single crystal X-ray data

Collection and Structure Refinement. The monocrystal data of the **Ru-3** (0.11 × 0.06 × 0.04 mm) were collected using an Agilent Gemini EOS diffractometer with graphitemonochromated with Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$) at 170 K. An empiric absorption correction was applied. All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the hydrogen atoms of organic molecule were refined in calculated positions, assigned isotropic thermal parameters, and allowed to ride their parent atoms. All calculations were performed using the SHELX2014 program package (Sheldrick, 2015). Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure of **Ru-3** in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre with the reference numbers 2165862.

Antibacterial activity

Antibacterial activity was evaluated by measuring MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) and MBC (minimum bactericidal concentration) values. The MIC value was measured by the microdilution method using TSB broth with 96 well plates (Carlsen et al., 1981) and the MBC value was determined by LB plates. In brief, the overnight cultured bacteria were 1: 1000 diluted with fresh medium to get a bacterial

suspension. After incubation at 37°C for 20 h, the growth of bacteria is monitored by observing the turbidity of the culture. The bacterial solution was diluted as above method and the complexes were incubated with bacterial solution for 2 h. Then 100 μ L bacterial solution was taken for plate coating. The *Staphylococcus aureus* growth inhibition trend in the presence of complexes was obtained. The MBC values of complexes were determined by LB plates after culturing in the same method for 24 h. All experiments were controlled with sterile water and repeated in parallel at least three times.

Hemolytic activity

Obtain red blood cells from fresh sterile rabbit blood and rabbit blood was washed three times with PBS. Ru(II) complexes in 950 μ L phosphoric acid buffer (PBS) of different concentrations and 50 μ L red blood cells were added into a 1.5 ml sample tube, incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The negative control was red blood cell suspension containing only PBS, and the positive control was PBS containing 0.1% (V/V) Triton X-100. After incubating the mixture was centrifugated (2000 rpm for 2 min) and the supernatant (200 μ L) was transfered to another 96 well plate. Finally, the hemolysis rate was calculated by measuring the absorbance at 540 nm.

Effect of ruthenium complex on the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*

The effect of ruthenium complexes on the growth curve of *Staphylococcus aureus* was determined. Briefly, overnight cultured *Staphylococcus aureus* was diluted 1:1000 with fresh broth medium. Then the bacterial culture and ruthenium complexes were placed in a 24 well Petri dish and shaken at 37° C. After, the OD₆₀₀ of bacteria was measured every 30 min for 20 h. Data analysis was carried out with Graphad Prism.

Determination of distribution coefficient

The partition coefficients of all complexes were determined by standard shake flask method in 1-octanol and buffer liquid system (Yang et al., 2021). In brief, the octanol/water partition coefficient is obtained by the incubation of 2 ml of 25 μ g ml⁻¹ ruthenium complex 1-octanol and 2 ml PBS samples. After shaking the solution for 6 h, the samples were stood for 2 h. The absorbance of octanol complex at 282 nm before and after oscillation was measured. The absorbance of 1-octanol before oscillation minus the absorbance of 1-octanol after oscillation is the absorbance of the complex in water. Each experiment was repeated three times. The partition coefficient is reported as the number of octanol divided by the number of water.

Effect of Ru-3 on biofilm formation

24 well plate was used for biofilm determination. The overnight cultured *Staphylococcus aureus* strain was diluted 1000 times with fresh TSB medium. Then 2 ml of that diluted bacterial solution was mixed with 500 μ L **Ru-3** of different concentrations in a 24 well plate. After incubation at 37°C for 48 h, the bacterial suspension was removed and the plate was washed three times with PBS. The adherent bacteria were dried overnight at 37°C and then dyed through 0.1% crystal violet solution. After 2 min, taking out the crystal violet solution and wash the plate with PBS again. And then, adding 1 ml acetic acid and 1 ml water, the formation of biofilm can be determined by monitoring the absorbance at 595 nm.

Ru-3 killing bacteria in biofilm

To establish bacterial biofilm, the overnight cultured *Staphylococcus aureus* strain was diluted 1000 times with fresh TSB medium, then the bacterial suspension was transferred to 96 well plate and cultured for 24 h. Subsequently, the supernatant was removed and the formed biofilm was washed 3 times with PBS solution. 200 μ L solution containing **Ru-3** of different concentrations (512, 256, 128, 64, 32, 16, 8, 4 μ g ml⁻¹) were added and further incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Biofilm without **Ru-3** were used as positive controls. Then the supernatant was discarded and the residual biofilm was cleaned using 200 μ L PBS 3 times. Next, fresh medium was added to culture for 24 h (Yang et al., 2021). The solution after culture was diluted 1000 times and 100 μ L was taken out for plate coating and counting.

Study on drug resistance of bacterial

After *Staphylococcus aureus* was cultured for 5 h, the bacteria were diluted 1000 times with fresh TSB and the MIC of **Ru-3** was measured. The bacterial solution grown at the sub inhibitory concentration of compound **Ru-3** was inoculated into fresh TSB medium for 5 h, then the MIC was measured, and the above procedure was repeated for 20 generations. Ampicillin was used as the control.

Checkerboard assay

Firstly, the MIC of all selected antibiotics was determined by the above method. *Staphylococcus aureus* was cultured overnight and diluted 1000 times with fresh TSB. Then 200 μ L the diluted bacterial suspension, 25 μ L **Ru-3** of gradient concentrations and 25 μ L antibiotics of gradient concentrations were mixed in 96 well plates and further cultured at 37°C for 20 h. MIC values of single drug and the best combination effect (combination of MICA and MICB) were measured. Graphpad prism software was used to draw the checkerboard map and isoline map.

Secrete toxins

Firstly, *S. aureus* was cultured overnight and diluted 1000 times with fresh TSB, then a mixture of **Ru-3** of 1 µg ml⁻¹ or 2 µg ml⁻¹ and *Staphylococcus aureus* solution was cultured in a shaking Table at 37°C for 18 h. After culturing, centrifuging (5000 rpm, 2 min) *Staphylococcus aureus* solution. And rabbit blood cells were prepared with PBS buffer (washing rabbit blood three times). Blood cells were collected by centrifugation (2000 rpm, 2 min). Secondly, a mixture containing 1 ml PBS buffer, 150 µL supernatant and 25 µL blood cells was cultured at 37°C for 30 min. Then cultured supernatant was obtained by centrifugation (2000 rpm, room temperature, 2 min). Finally, the optical density of the supernatant was measured at 540 nm.

Nucleic acid leakage

To verify the membrane damage, the loss of 260 nm absorbing material was carried out (Yu et al., 2021). Briefly, overnight cultured bacteria were diluted 1:1000 in fresh TSB and shaken at 37°C for about 5 h until the exponential stage was reached. The supernatant was removed by centrifugation, then the bacteria were resuspended to $OD_{600} = 1$ with PBS, which was further treated with **Ru-3** or polymyxin B and centrifuged after 2 h to precipitate bacterial cells. Subsequently, the loss of 260 nm absorbing material, including the release of DNA and RNA in the filtrate, was measured at 260 nm.

Effect of Ru-3 on bacterial cell morphology

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) is an important method to observe cell morphology. Briefly, *S. aureus* was cultured in TSB medium to exponential phase, which were collected and washed with PBS three times by centrifugation. After, the bacterial precipitate was diluted to $OD_{600} = 0.3$ with PBS. Using sterile water as the blank control, **Ru-3** (4 µg ml⁻¹) was added to the bacterial suspension solution for 2 h. After Incubating, the bacteria were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde at 4°C overnight. Pour out the fixed solution and rinse the sample three times with 0.1 M PBS for 15 min each time. The samples were fixed with 1% osmic acid solution for 1–2 h, then the osmic acid was carefully taken out, and the samples were washed three times with 0.1 M PBS for 15 min each time. The samples were then dehydrated by a series of graded concentrations of ethanol

(30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90% and 95%). The samples were further treated with a mixture of ethanol and isoamyl acetate (V/V = 1/1) for 30 min, then treated with pure isoamyl acetate for overnight. Finally, the treated samples were subjected to critical drying and observed by SEM.

DiSC₃(5) and DAPI/PI fluorescence staining

Firstly, S. aureus was cultured in TSB medium to exponential phase. Exponential growth bacteria were collected by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 2 min, then washed with PBS and diluted to OD_{600} value of 0.3. Secondly, Ru-3 (4 µg ml⁻¹) was added to the bacterial suspension solution and incubated at 37°C for 2 h, then the supernatant was removed by centrifuging. Thirdly, the bacterial was washed with PBS for three times and suspendedin 500 µL PBS. Subsequently, adding 20 µL $DiSC_3(5)$ (30 µM), incubating for 1 h under dark conditions. For DAPI and PI, adding 20 µL DAPI (10 µg ml⁻¹), incubating for 15 min under dark conditions. Next, adding 20 µL PI (15 µg ml⁻¹) in the same tube, and incubating for 15 min under dark conditions. After that, centrifuge, removing the supernatant. Eventually, suspending with 500 uL PBS and 20 µL samples were transfered on glass slides and observed under fluorescent cell imager.

Study on membrane permeability

O-nitrobenzene- β -D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) was the substrate of intracellular β -galactosidase, that was used to determine the permeability of *Staphylococcus aureus* cell membrane. *Staphylococcus aureus* was cultured to logarithmic phase, then washed and cultured in M9 lactose medium, in which lactose was used as the only carbon source of a single colony and stayed overnight at 37°C. After washing three times with PBS, the culture was diluted to $OD_{600} = 0.3$ (PBS). Subsequently, **Ru-3** (4 µg ml⁻¹) or vancomycin (2 µg ml⁻¹, as positive control) was added to the bacterial suspension solution and then each tube also contains 1.5 mM ONPG, which was further shake at 37°C. The hydrolysis of ONPG to o-nitrophenol over time was monitored by UV every 15 min at 415 nm. A similar procedure was used for untreated cells as control (Xuan et al., 2021).

In vivo antibacterial activity test

S. aureus was cultured in TSB medium to exponential phase. Bacterial precipitates were collected and washed with PBS three times by centrifugation, which was diluted to $OD_{600} = 1 (1.02 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/ml})$ with sterile normal saline. The day before the infection, the hair on the back of the mice was shaved off.

Then depilatory cream (Veet^{*}) was used to remove the remaining hair. Subsequently, 100 μ L *S. aureus* was injected in subcutaneous and the abscess formed after 12 h later. All mice infected with *S. aureus* were divided into two groups (n = 5 in each group), including control group and treatment group, **Ru-3** (0.05 mg ml⁻¹), which was fully mixed in sterile cream. Afterwards, mouse abscesses were treated with creams containing **Ru-3** 4 times a day. After 10 days, the experiment was ended. The study was conducted in strict accordance with NIH guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals (NIH Publication No. 85–23, revised in 1985), and was reviewed and approved by the institutional animal care and use Committee of Guangxi Normal University (Guilin, China).

Acute skin irritation test

Female BALB/c mice were randomly divided into three groups, control group, **Ru-3** (0.05 mg ml⁻¹) group and **Ru-3** (0.1 mg ml⁻¹). The day before the experiment, the hair on the back of female mice was removed. The compound and distilled water control were gently attached to the shaving site (about 2 cm²) once a day for 3 days. On the fourth day, the mice were killed by cervical dislocation. The skin tissue at the site of infections were taken out and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 1 day, then embedded in paraffin. Serial sections were prepared for H&E analysis.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and Characterization. All ligands and complexes were prepared according to the procedure shown in (Supplementary Figure S1) and characterized by 1H NMR spectrum, 13C NMR spectrum, HPLC, UV-Vis analysis and HR-MS spectrum. In the case of three ruthenium complexes (Supplementary Figure S2), the UV-Vis spectral data showed that there were strong bands at 264-287 nm, which may be attributed to the $p-\pi^*$ transition, while the relatively weak band in the range of 369-376 nm may correspond to the charge transfer transition from metal to ligand. There cationic complexes were isolated with hexafluorophosphate as the counteranion, making them easy to purify and less moisture-sensitive. Stock solutions (5 mg/ml) of all complexes were prepared in DMSO, which were further diluted using buffer or cell culture medium until working concentrations were achieved. As the complexes need to be stable in the biological environment, the stability of all ruthenium (II) complexes was determined by UV spectra. Three ruthenium (II) complexes dissolved in DMSO was diluted by acetonitrile or H₂O. There was no significant change in the spectral pattern from 0 to 24 h, as shown in Supplementary Figure S17, which suggests the stability of the complexes in

solvent and indicates they can be used for antibacterial activities.

Crystal structures

Red crystals were obtained by volatilization from an acetonitrile and water mixture. The Ru-3 was characterized by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. Ru-3 crystallizes in the C2 monoclinic space group. Crystallographic data and structural parameters are listed in Table 1. Information concerning X-ray data collection and crystal structure refinement is summarized in Supplementary Table S1, with the selected bond lengths and bond angles listed in Suplementary Table S2. An ORTEP perspective view of the structure is shown in Figure 2, that proved the synthetic complex with the expected structure, and the obtained bond lengths and bond angles are similar to other reported related ruthenium polypyridine complexes (Liu et al., 2001; Singha et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the most interesting feature of the crystal structure is the mode of π - π electron interactions between pyridine rings of adjacent molecules, and the dihedral angle between ring 1 (N8-N9) and ring 2 (C46-C48) is only 14.28°, showing they are nearly parallel (Supplementary Figure S3). The distance between ring one and ring two is 3.710 Å, suggesting that weak intermolecular p- π stacking interactions are involved in stabilizing the monomer structure.

The antibacterial activity studies

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of three complexes against S. aureus were determined. As shown in Table 1, all designed TPA modified complexes showed interesting antibacterial activity, among which Ru-3 exhibited the best antibacterial activity (MIC = $4 \mu g m l^{-1}$, MBC = $32 \,\mu g \,m l^{-1}$). Meanwhile, the MBC values of Ru-1 and Ru-2 are 16 $\mu g\,m l^{-1}$ and 128 $\mu g\,m l^{-1}.$ On the other hand, free TPA ligands and RuCl₃·3H₂O showed no antibacterial activity $(>256 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$. Therefore, the antibacterial results showed that the combination of the ligands and Ru was essential for complexes' antibacterial activities. In addition, the % hemolysis at 256 µg/ml values of the Ru-3 was only 4%. Therefore, in terms of activity and toxicity, Ru-3 exhibited the most promising antibacterial behavior among them. Herein, different auxiliary ligands affect the physicochemical properties of the complexes, especially hydrophilicity and lipophilicity, which were considered to be closely related to the antibacterial ability (Chopra et al., 2015). The distribution coefficient data show that Ru-3 (logD o/w of ca. 1.7885) displayed more apparent lipophilicity than Ru-1 (logD o/w of

Compounds	MIC µg/mL	MBC µg/mL	% Hemolysis at 256 µg/ml	LgP
Ru-1	16	128	8	1.14
Ru-2	8	16	16	0.97
Ru-3	4	32	4	1.79
PMA	>256	_	_	_
RuCl3·3H2O	>256	_	_	_
Vancomycin	2	_	-	_

TABLE 1 In vitro Antimicrobial Activities Against S. aureus and Hemolytic Activities of compounds.





ca. 1.1445) and **Ru-2** (logD o/w of ca. 0.9681). Meanwhile, complexes with two positive charges are favorable for the interaction with negatively charged substances on the bacterial cell membrane, and further lead to destroy the membrane and

cause bacterial death. Therefore, herein the polarity of **Ru-3** containing 4,4 '- dimethyl-2,2'- bipyridine ligands probably contribute to the antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus*.



Then, the effects of ruthenium complexes on the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* was explored by measuring the growth curve of *Staphylococcus aureus*. As shown in Figure 3, the growth curve of three Ru complexes showed a dose-dependent inhibitory effect on *Staphylococcus aureus*. Further quantitative analysis was carried out by colony forming units (CFU) on agar plate to evaluate the activity of the complexes against *Staphylococcus aureus*. As shown in Figure 4, the antibacterial behaviors of **Ru-1—Ru-3** were obviously dose-dependent, and **Ru-3** still showed the best antibacterial effect among the three complexes, which was consistent with the experimental results of MIC value determination above.

Inhibit biofilm formation and bacterial biofilm disruption

Bacterial biofilm is a viscous structure formed by bacterial aggregation, which can protect bacterial cells from external influence and effectively resist the action of antibiotics (Chopra et al., 2015), and more than 80% of clinically malignant infections are associated with bacterial biofilm resistance (Conti et al., 2021). According to the above experiments, **Ru-3** was proved as the most effective complex. To further explore whether this complex can inhibit the formation of *S. aureus* biofilm, crystal violet staining method was carried out. **Ru-3** of sub inhibitory concentration was performed to ensure that it affected the formation of biofilm rather than kill bacteria. As shown in Figure 5, biofilm formation in the presence of **Ru-3** was significantly reduced by 27% and 41% at the concentration of 1 μ g ml⁻¹ and 2 μ g ml⁻¹, respectively. These results



indicated that **Ru-3** can obviously inhibit the formation of biofilm at sub inhibitory concentratidon.

Then, bacterial biofilm destruction assay was performed to further explore whether **Ru-3** can destroy biofilm, and the results were shown in Figure 6. It was clear that **Ru-3** displayed a significant effect on killing *Staphylococcus aureus* in biofilm, and with the increase of **Ru-3** concentration, the number of living bacteria in biofilm decreased dramatically. The number of the survival *Staphylococcus aureus* in the biofilm decreased from the initial 8.62 to 0 log10 colony-forming units per milliliter (CFU/ml) as the concentration of **Ru-3** was 32 µg ml⁻¹, which was exactly same as



its MBC value. These data indicated that **Ru-3** can inhibit not only the formation of biofilms, but also the already formed bacterial biofilms, suggesting that the antimicrobial behavior of **Ru-3** probably not be prone to drug resistance.

Resistance study

Antibiotic resistance has become an increasingly serious problem (Laxminarayan et al., 2020). To study the possibility of

drug resistance induced by Ru-3, the drug resistance of Staphylococcus aureus for 20 generations was carried out at sublethal concentration. After 20 generations of Staphylococcus aureus culture, the MIC value of Ru-3 increased only four times, indicating that Ru-3 was not easy to be resistant to Staphylococcus aureus. In contrast, the lactam antibiotic ampicillin sodium rapidly induced bacterial drug resistance, and the MIC value increased more than 1024 times after 20 passages (Figure 7A) under the same experimental conditions. This result probably related to the rapid bactericidal effect of Ru-3 and the destruction of bacterial cell membrane. More importantly, Ru-3 unexpectedly exhibited apparent antibacterial activity against antibiotics resistant Staphylococcus aureus, which were obtained by treating with a variety of antibiotics for 20 generations (Figure 7B). The results showed that the MIC values of Ru-3 against antibiotic resistant S. aureus were almost the same as the wild type Staphylococcus aureus. All the results showed that Ru-3 had strong antibacterial activity against antibiotic resistant bacteria and had no obvious drug resistance.

Hemolysis test

The toxin produced by bacteria is also one of the primary causes of disease. To find whether **Ru-3** can inhibit the produced toxin by *Staphylococcus aureus*, hemolysis test was carried out. As shown in Figure 8, after incubation for 24 h, there was barely different in OD₆₀₀ values between 1 μ g ml⁻¹, 2 μ g ml⁻¹ and control group. Toxin was prominently reduced by 38% and 63% in the presence of **Ru-3** of 1 μ g ml⁻¹ and 2 μ g ml⁻¹ respectively. This showed that **Ru-3** could inhibit the toxin production of *Staphylococcus aureus* at sub inhibitory concentration. To verify that the rupture was not caused by buffer (PBS), a sterile control was also performed. The results showed that the red blood cells remained intact, indicating that



FIGURE 7

Bacterial resistance study of compound Ru-3 against *S. aureus* (Ampicillin was selected as a reference drug). (A) Fold changes in MIC values of compound Ru-3 and ampicillin against *Staphylococcus aureus*. (B) MIC of Ru-3 on different antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Control: Wild *Staphylococcus aureus*. Amp: Ampicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Ply (B) Polymyxin B resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Tetra: tetracycline resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Clin: Clindamycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.



the rupture of red blood cells was caused by the secretion of hemolysin by bacteria, and **Ru-3** effectively inhibited the secretion of hemolysin.

Synergistic effects with antibiotics

Antimicrobial adjuvants are considered as magic weapons against drug resistant bacteria. To study whether Ru-3 can also be used as antibacterial adjuvant, the interactions between Ru-3 and common antibiotics were performed by checkerboard method (Zhang et al., 2022). Fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) is defined as the sum of the MIC of each drug when used in combination divided by the MIC of the drug when used alone (synergism (FICI ≤ 0.5), preparability (0.5 < FICI ≤ 1), no difference $(1 < FICI \le 2)$, antagonistic (FICI >2)) (Chen et al., 2021). As shown in Figure 9A, Ru-3 had synergistic effects with kanamycin, gentamicin, chloramphenicol, ampicillin sodium and tetracycline, which demonstrated that Ru-3 possessed antibacterial synergistic effect on a variety of antibiotics. To further reveal that synergistic effect, the incubations of the above antibiotics (gentamicin, ampicillin sodium, kanamycin, chloramphenicol and tetracycline) and Ru-3 of sublethal concentration (0.25 MIC) were used to treat S. aureus. (Figure 9D). As expected, the antibacterial activity was significantly enhanced, indicating that there were distinct synergistic effects between them. Therefore, Ru-3 not only exhibited obvious direct antibacterial activity, but also was a potential antibacterial adjuvant, which can effectively increase antimicrobial activity of some existing antibiotics.

Membrane damage of *Staphylococcus* aureus

The poor permeability of traditional antibiotics is one of the main reasons for the decrease of its therapeutic ability and the increase of multi drug resistant bacteria (Sun et al., 2021; Yan and Bassler, 2019; Gafur et al., 2020). Therefore, excellent membrane damage ability should be an important characteristic of newly developed antibacterial agents (Wang et al., 2019). To elucidate whether **Ru-3** can destroy the integrity of the bacterial membrane, the following experiments were carried out, including membrane depolarization studies, DAPI/PI staining and fluorescence microscope, ONPG experiment, leakage of nucleic acid and SEM.

Firstly, the membrane destruction ability of active molecule **Ru-3** was studied by fluorescence microscopy with 3, 3'dipropylthiadicarbocyanine iodide [DiSC₃(5)]. DiSC₃ accumulates in cells on the polarized membrane, resulting in fluorescence self-quenching. However, when the integrity of the cell membrane is damaged by the change of membrane potential, DiSC₃(5) will released from the cell membrane, resulting in a sharp increase in fluorescence intensity (Guo et al., 2021). As shown in Figure 10, the negative group showed no fluorescence in the stained group, while strong green fluorescence occurred in the **Ru-3** treated group. Indicating that compound **Ru-3** has effect on the bacterial cell membranes.

Secondly, 4', 6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and propidium iodide (PI) as staining agents to further analyze the antibacterial mechanism of compound **Ru-3**. DAPI can enter both living and dead cells and produce blue fluorescence, while PI can only enter cells with damaged membrane and combine with nucleic acid to produce red fluorescence (Sun et al., 2021). As shown in Figure 11, only blue fluorescence was observed in the negative control group, suggesting intact cell membranes of *Staphylococcus aureus*. In contrast, blue and red fluorescence was observed for the **Ru-3** groups, indicating that **Ru-3** can effectively disintegrate *S. aureus* membrane.

Thirdly, once the lipid bilayer of bacteria is physically the cytoplasmic content will overflow destroyed, (Rasamiravaka et al., 2015). When the cytoplasmic membrane is permeable, the non-permeable membrane chromogenic substrate o-nitrobenzene-\beta-Galactoside (ONPG) enters the cytoplasm and is destroyed by β -Galactosidase degraded to produce o-nitrophenol, showing special absorbance at 415 nm (Xuan et al., 2021). As shown in Figure 12, the plasma membrane permeability of Staphylococcus aureus induced by Ru-3 increased with time and showed a concentration dependent trend. Compared with vancomycin, Ru-3 exhibited better membrane permeability. The above results showed that the treatment of Ru-3 caused damage to Staphylococcus aureus cells, which lead to the physical destruction of lipid bilayer and cell membrane, resulting in the serious leakage of cell contents.



FIGURE 9

(A) Heat plots of checkerboard assays for Ru-3 in combination with different antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus*. (B) MIC of antibiotics and fractional inhibitory concentration indices (FIC) of the combination with Ru-3 against *Staphylococcus aureus* (C) An isobologram analysis of the synergistic effects of Ru-3 with Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol, Gentamicin, Kanamycin and Tetracyclines. (D) Logarithmic change of CFU mL⁻¹ (from time zero) of *Staphylococcus aureus* after treatment with Ru-3 (2 μ g ml⁻¹) and antibiotics with combined effect (0.25 MIC) for 3 h.



Fourthly, the damage of **Ru-3** to the membrane was further verified by measuring the leakage of nucleic acid. Nucleic acids have a characteristic UV absorption at 260 nm, therefore, the degree of cell nucleic acid leakage can be evaluated by observing the change in absorbance at 260 nm of the bacterial solution (Cui et al., 2015). As shown in Figure 13, after treating *S. aureus* with ruthenium **Ru-3** or





polymyxin B, nucleic acid leakage increased significantly from 0% to 20% and 23%, comparing with the blank. The above results demonstrated that the treatment of **Ru-3** caused damage to *Staphylococcus aureus* cell membrane, resulting in the leakage of intracellular proteins. Importantly, **Ru-3**'s ability to break through cell membranes was much better than polymyxin B, which was a typical antibiotic that disrupted bacterial membrane.

Finally, the morphological observation of bacterial samples provides distinct evidence for the destruction and damage of bacterial cell membrane. As shown in Figures 14A,B, it was obvious that the bacteria in the control group showed a complete and smooth cell surface without rupture. After the treatment with



Ru-3 of a concentration of $4 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ for 2 h, most of the bacterial structures were deformed, collapsed and many ripples were observed, revealing that the treatment of **Ru-3** would lead to the physical destruction of cell membrane.

Toxicity study

Based on the excellent antibacterial effect of **Ru-3** *in vitro*, its biosafety was further evaluated. Firstly, the hemolytic activity of ruthenium complexes on rabbit red blood cells was measured to study their toxicity. As shown in Figure 15A, **Ru-3** displayed



FIGURE 14

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the cell membrane of Staphylococcus aureus cells treated with PBS or Ru-3 (A) PBS. (B) Ru-3 (4 µg/ml).



FIGURE 15

Test results of Ru compounds toxicity (A) % hemolysis at 256 µg ml⁻¹ of Ru compounds. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Determination of Ru-3 toxicity in the insect model Galleria mellonella (Ampcillin's curve coincides with control's curve.) Larvae were injected with 5 µL of water (control), or Ru-3 (4–512 mg kg⁻¹). The larvae were incubated at 37.5°C and live/dead scores were conducted at 120 h (C) H&E staining images of the infected tissues with different treatments

negligible hemolytic activity, even if the concentration was as high as 256 µg ml-1. In view of its good compatibility with mammalian red blood cells, the toxicity of Ru-3 to eukaryotes was also studied. Herein, Ru-3 was tested with Gallery melonella larvae. Because its physiology and immune system are extremely similar to mammals, this insect model is widely used as an in vivo model, especially in toxicity screening, which produces results comparable to more commonly used mammalian models (Roy et al., 2019). The results were shown in Figure 15B. When the concentration of Ru-3 was 64 mg kg⁻¹, the survival rate was still 75%. Therefore, it indicated that Ru-3 has low toxicity and good biocompatibility.

Finally, the skin irritation of Ru-3 on BALB/c mice was further studied. Hematoxylin eosin (H&E) staining was used to study the pathological changes of muscle tissue caused by Ru-3 in Figure 15C (Weber et al., 2016). Comparing the images produced by the treated and untreated tissues, the tissue sections of the Ru-



3 treatment group were very similar to the normal mouse tissues, indicating that there were no obvious pathological abnormalities. Therefore, **Ru-3** can be considered as a non-irritating complex and has good antibacterial effect and biocompatibility.

In vivo antibacterial assay

The above results have confirmed that Ru-3 had good antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus. To further explore whether Ru-3 has significant antibacterial activity in vivo, a mouse skin infection model was established. The day before, the hair of the infected part of the mice was removed, then Staphylococcus aureus was inoculated to form an abscess on the skin. Subsequently, all mice were divided into two groups, and one group used cream with containing Ru-3 (50 µg ml-1) and other group used only cream, applying 4 times a day to the abscess. As shown in Figure 16, photos of infected tissue were obtained after 10 days, and subsequent wound healing was used to determine its antibacterial activity. Figure 16A showed a schematic diagram of infection and treatment regimen, and Figure 16B shows changes in wound healing after Ru-3 (50 µg ml-1, and untreated mice as control) treatment. Obviously, after 4 days of Ru-3 treatment, the degree of wound healing increased significantly. Which indicates that Ru-3 also has antibacterial activity in vivo.

Conclusions

In conclusion, functionalized ruthenium complex with triphenylamine (TPA) had good antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus. Ru-3 inhibited the formation of biofilm at sublethal concentration and killed the bacteria in the formed biofilm at eight MIC. According to the results of fluorescence staining, ONPG, nucleic acid leakage and scanning electron microscope, it was found that the mechanism of Ru-3 may be the destruction of bacterial cell membrane. It is exciting to find that Ru-3 can effectively inhibit the secretion of hemolysin from Staphylococcus aureus and has a low rate of autohemolysis. More importantly, it has low toxicity and good biocompatibility to the great wax beetle whose physiology and immune system are surprisingly same to mammals. The joint sensitivity test shows that Ru-3 has synergistic effect on a variety of commonly used antibiotics, and maintains the original MIC value for some antibiotic resistant bacteria, which is helpful to formulate clinical medication plan. Finally, the in vivo infection study on mice showed that Ru-3 significantly improved the wound healing process after skin infection with bacteria, and had no irritating effect on the skin. Obviously, multifunctional Ru complexes modified with TPA have great potential for the development of anti Staphylococcus aureus agents.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ccdc. cam.ac.uk/solutions/csd-system/components/csd/.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the institutional animal care and use Committee of Guangxi Normal University (Guilin, China).

Author contributions

JL was the study manager. XD conducted statistical analyses on the data. JW co-directed the study and co-wrote the manuscript. YM co-wrote the manuscript. YT co-directed the study. YX oversaw analytical measurements. XL managed manuscript writing and quality control steps. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22067007, 22067006), The Natural Science

References

Abouelhassan, Y., Garrison, A. T., Yang, H., Chávez-Riveros, A., Burch, G. M., and Huigens, R. W. (2019). Recent progress in natural-product-inspired programs aimed to address antibiotic resistance and tolerance. *J. Med. Chem.* 62, 7618–7642. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00370

Aslam, B., Wang, W., Arshad, M. I., Khurshid, M., Muzammil, S., Rasool, M. H., et al. (2018). Antibiotic resistance: a rundown of a global crisis. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 11, 1645–1658. doi:10.2147/IDR.S173867

Carlsen, P. H. J., Katsuki, T., Martin, V. S., and Sharpless, K. B. (1981). A greatly improved procedure for ruthenium tetroxide catalyzed oxidations of organic compounds. *J. Org. Chem.* 46, 3936–3938. doi:10.1021/jo00332a045

Castellano, F. N., Dattelbaum, J. D., and Lakowicz, J. R. (1998). Long-lifetime Ru(II) complexes as labeling reagents for sulfhydryl groups. *Anal. Biochem.* 255, 165–170. doi:10.1006/abio.1997.2468

Chen, J. P., Battini, N., Ansari, M. F., and Zhou, C. H. (2021). Membrane active 7thiazoxime quinolones as novel DNA binding agents to decrease the genes expression and exert potent anti-methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* activity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 217, 113340. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113340

Chopra, L., Singh, G., Jena, K. K., and Sahoo, D. K. (2015). Sonorensin: A new bacteriocin with potential of an anti-biofilm agent and a food biopreservative. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13412. doi:10.1038/srep13412

Collin, J. P., and Sauvage, J. P. (1986). Synthesis and study of mononuclear ruthenium(II) complexes of sterically hindering diimine chelates. Implications for the catalytic oxidation of water to molecular oxygen. *Inorg. Chem.* 25, 135–141. doi:10.1021/ic00222a008

Conti, L., Mengoni, A., Giacomazzo, G. E., Mari, L., Perfetti, M., Fagorzi, C., et al. (2021). Exploring the potential of highly charged Ru(II)- and heteronuclear Ru(II)/

Foundation of Jiangxi, China (20212BAB203007), The department of Education Science and Technology Research Project of Jiangxi, China (GJJ211106), Youth Top Talent Support Program of Jiangxi Science & Technology Normal University (2020QNBJRC007, 2021QNBJRC001).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem. 2022.1035741/full#supplementary-material

Cu(II)-polypyridyl complexes as antimicrobial agents. J. Inorg. Biochem. 220, 111467. doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2021.111467

Cui, H. Y., Zhao, C. T., and Lin, L. (2015). Antibacterial activity of Helichrysum italicum oil on VegeTables and its mechanism of action. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 39, 2663–2672. doi:10.1111/jfpp.12516

De Oliveira, D. M. P., Kidd, B. M., Harris, P. N. A., Beatson, M. A., Beatson, S. A., Paterson, D. L., et al. (2020). Antimicrobial resistance in ESKAPE pathogens. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 33, 001811–e219. doi:10.1128/cmr.00181-19

Gafur, A., Sukamdani, G. Y., Kristi, N., Maruf, A., Xu, J., Chen, X., et al. (2020). From bulk to nano-delivery of essential phytochemicals: recent progress and strategies for antibacterial resistance. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 8, 9825–9835. doi:10. 1039/d0tb01671c

Gitlin, J. D., and Lill, R. (2012). Special issue: Cell biology of metals. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) - Mol. Cell Res.* 9, 1405–1642. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012. 07.008

Gorle, A. K., Feterl, M., Warner, J. M., Wallace, L., Keene, F. R., and Collins, J. G. (2014). Tri- and tetra-nuclear polypyridyl ruthenium(II) complexes as antimicrobial agents. *Dalton Trans.* 43, 16713–16725. doi:10.1039/c4dt02139h

Guo, Y., Hou, E. H., Wen, T. Y., Yan, X. T., Han, M. Y., Bai, L. P., et al. (2021). Development of membrane-active honokiol/magnolol amphiphiles as potent antibacterial agents against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *J. Med. Chem.* 64, 12903–12916. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c01073

Howerton, B. S., Heidary, D. K., and Glazer, E. C. (2012). Strained ruthenium complexes are potent light-activated anticancer agents. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 8324–8327. doi:10.1021/ja3009677

Hussain, S., Joo, J., Kang, J., Kim, B., Braun, G. B., She, Z. G., et al. (2018). Antibiotic-loaded nanoparticles targeted to the site of infection enhance antibacterial efficacy. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 2, 95–103. doi:10.1038/s41551-017-0187-5

Kang, M. M., Zhou, C. C., Wu, S. M., Yu, B. G., Zhang, Z. J., Song, N., et al. (2019). Evaluation of structure-function relationships of aggregation-induced emission luminogens for simultaneous dual applications of specific discrimination and efficient photodynamic killing of gram-positive bacteria. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 16781–16789. doi:10.1021/jacs.9b07162

Knoll, J. D., and Turro, C. (2015). Control and utilization of ruthenium and rhodium metal complex excited states for photoactivated cancer therapy. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 282, 110–126. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2014.05.018

Laxminarayan, R., Boeckel, T. V., Frost, I., Kariuki, S., Khan, E. A., Limmathurotsakul, D., et al. (2020). The lancet infectious diseases commission on antimicrobial resistance: 6 years later. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 20, e51–e60. doi:10. 1016/s1473-3099(20)30003-7

Lei, X. L., Qiu, L., Lan, M., Du, X. C., Zhou, S. W., Cui, P. F., et al. (2020). Antibacterial photodynamic peptides for staphylococcal skin infection. *Biomater. Sci.* 8, 6695–6702. doi:10.1039/d0bm01467b

Li, F. F., Collins, J. G., and Keene, F. R. (2015). Ruthenium complexes as antimicrobial agents. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 44, 2529–2542. doi:10.1039/c4cs00343h

Li, J. Q., Meng, Z. J., Zhuang, Z. Y., Wang, B. N., Dai, J., Feng, G. X., et al. (2022). Effective therapy of drug-resistant bacterial infection by killing planktonic bacteria and destructing biofilms with cationic photosensitizer based on phosphindole oxide. *Small* 18, 2200743. doi:10.1002/smll.202200743

Liao, X. W., Jiang, G. J., Wang, J. T., Duan, X. M., Liao, Z. Y., Lin, X. L., et al. (2020). Two ruthenium polypyridyl complexes functionalized with thiophen: Synthesis and antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *New J. Chem.* 44, 17215–17221. doi:10.1039/d0nj02944k

Liu, J. G., Zhang, Q. L., Shi, X. F., and Ji, L. N. (2001). Interaction of [Ru(dmp) 2(dpp2)]²⁺ and [Ru(dmb)₂(dpp2)]²⁺ with DNA: Effects of the ancillary ligands on the DNA-binding behaviors. *Inorg. Chem.* 40, 5045–5050. doi:10.1021/ic001124f

Liu, S. S., Wang, B. N., Yu, Y. W., Liu, Y. B., Zhuang, Z. Y., Zhao, Z. J., et al. (2022). Cationization-enhanced type I and type II ROS generation for photodynamic treatment of drug-resistant bacteria. *ACS Nano* 16, 9130–9141. doi:10.1021/acsnano.2c01206

Mesquita, M, Q., Dias, C. J., Neves, M. P. M. S., Almeida, A., and Faustino, M. F. (2018). Revisiting current photoactive materials for antimicrobial photodynamic therapy. *Molecules* 23, 2424. doi:10.3390/molecules23102424

Moumita, M. j., Sourav, A., Indira, B., Arnab, G., and Arindam, M. (2021). Effect of an imidazole-containing schiff base of an aromatic sulfonamide on the cytotoxic efficacy of N, N-coordinated half- sandwich ruthenium(II) p-cymene complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* 60, 4744–4754. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.0c03706

Nyawade, E. A., Friedrich, H. B., Omondi, B., and Mpungose, P. (2015). Synthesis and characterization of new (η 5-Cyclopentadienyl) dicarbonyl ruthenium(II) amine complexes: Their application as homogeneous catalysts in styrene oxidation. *Organometallics* 34, 4922–4931. doi:10.1021/acs.organomet.5b00564

Patra, M., Gasser, G., and Metzler-Nolte, N. (2012). Small organometallic compounds as antibacterial agents. *Dalton Trans.* 41, 6350–6358. doi:10.1039/ c2dt12460b

Peng, Y. B., Tao, C., Tan, C. P., and Zhao, P. (2021). Mitochondrial targeted rhodium(III) complexes: Synthesis, characterized and antitumor mechanism investigation. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 218, 111400. doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2021.111400

Piddock, L. J. V. (2016). Reflecting on the final report of the O'neill review on antimicrobial resistance. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 16, 767–768. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(16)30127-x

Rasamiravaka, T., Labtani, Q., Duez, P., and Jaziri, M. E. (2015). the formation of biofilms by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a review of the natural and synthetic compounds interfering with control mechanisms. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2015, 1–17. doi:10.1155/2015/759348

Richter, M. F., and Hergenrother, P. J. (2019). The challenge of converting grampositive-only compounds into broad-spectrum antibiotics. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1435, 18–38. doi:10.1111/nyas.13598

Roy, S., Mondal, A., Yadav, V., Sarkar, A., Banerjee, R., Sanpui, P., et al. (2019). Mechanistic insight into the antibacterial activity of chitosan exfoliated MoS_2 nanosheets: Membrane damage, metabolic inactivation, and oxidative stress. ACS Appl. Bio Mater. 2, 2738–2755. doi:10.1021/acsabm.9b00124

Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr. C Struct. Chem. C71, 3-8. doi:10.1107/s2053229614024218

Singh, A., and Barman, P. (2021). Recent advances in schiff base ruthenium metal complexes: Synthesis and applications. *Top. Curr. Chem. (Cham).* 379, 29–100. doi:10.1007/s41061-021-00342-w

Singha, K., Laha, P., Chandra, F., Dehury, N., Koner, A. L., and Patra, S. (2017). Long-lived polypyridyl based mononuclear ruthenium complexes: Synthesis, structure, and azo dye decomposition. *Inorg. Chem.* 56, 6489–6498. doi:10.1021/ acs.inorgchem.7b00536

Song, M., Liu, Y., Huang, X., Ding, S., Wang, Y., Shen, J., et al. (2020). A broadspectrum antibiotic adjuvant reverses multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. *Nat. Microbiol.* 5, 1040–1050. doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0723-z

Srivastava, P., Shukla, M., Kaul, G., Chopra, S., and Patra, A. K. (2019). Rationally designed curcumin based ruthenium(II) antimicrobials effective against drug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Dalton Trans*. 48, 11822–11828. doi:10.1039/ c9dt01650c

Sullivan, B. P., Salmon, D. J. J., and Meyer, T. (1978). Mixed phosphine 2, 2'bipyridine complexes of ruthenium. *Inorg. Chem.* 17, 3334–3341. doi:10.1021/ ic50190a006

Sun, H., Huang, S. Y., Jeyakkumar, P., Cai, G. X., Fang, B., and Zhou, C. H. (2021). Natural berberine-derived azolyl ethanols as new structural antibacterial agents against drug-resistant *Escherichia coli. J. Med. Chem.* 65, 436–459. doi:10.1021/acs. jmedchem.1c01592

Sun, W. Z., Jian, Y., Zhou, M. X., Yao, Y. S., Tian, N., Li, C., et al. (2021). Selective and efficient photoinactivation of intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* and MRSA with little accumulation of drug resistance: Application of a Ru(II) complex with photolabile ligands. *J. Med. Chem.* 64, 7359–7370. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem. 0c02257

Tacconelli, E., Carrara, E., Savoldi, A., Harbarth, S., Mendelson, M., Monnet, D. L., et al. (2018). Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: The WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 18, 318–327. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(17)30753-3

Tran, N. H., Nguyen, D., Dwaraknath, S., Mahadevan, S., Chavez, G., Chavez, A., et al. (2013). An efficient light-driven P450 BM3 biocatalyst. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 39, 14484–14487. doi:10.1021/ja409337v

Varney, A. M., Smitten, K. L., Thomas, J. A., and McLean, S. (2021). Transcriptomic analysis of the activity and mechanism of action of a ruthenium(II)-Based antimicrobial that induces minimal evolution of pathogen resistance. *ACS Pharmacol. Transl. Sci.* 4, 168–178. doi:10.1021/acsptsci.0c00159

Wang, L. L., Battini, N., Bheemanaboina, R. R. Y., Ansari, M. F., Chen, J. P., Xie, Y. P., et al. (2019). A new exploration towards aminothiazolquinolone oximes as potentially multi-targeting antibacterial agents: Design, synthesis and evaluation acting on microbes, DNA, HSA and topoisomerase IV. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 179, 166–181. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.06.046

Weber, D. K., Sani, M. A., Downton, M. T., Keene, F. R., and Collins, J. G. (2016). Membrane insertion of a dinuclear polypyridyl ruthenium(II) complex revealed by solid-state NMR and molecular dynamics simulation-implications for selective antibacterial activity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 138, 15267–15277. doi:10.1021/jacs. 6b09996

Xuan, T. F., Wang, Z. Q., Liu, J., Yu, H. T., Lin, Q. W., Chen, W. M., et al. (2021). Design and synthesis of novel c-di-GMP G-quadruplex inducers as bacterial biofilm inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* 64, 11074–11089. doi:10.1021/acs. jmedchem.1c00465

Yan, J., and Bassler, B. L. (2019). Surviving as a community: antibiotic tolerance and persistence in bacterial biofilms. *Cell Host Microbe* 26, 15–21. doi:10.1016/j. chom.2019.06.002

Yang, H. F., Kundra, S., Chojnacki, M., Liu, K., Fuse, M. A., Abouelhassan, Y., et al. (2021). A modular synthetic route involving N-Aryl-2-nitrosoaniline intermediates leads to a new series of 3-substituted halogenated phenazine antibacterial agents. *J. Med. Chem.* 64, 7275–7295. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem. 1c00168

Yu, J. H., Xu, X. F., Hou, W., Meng, Y., Huang, M. Y., Lin, J., et al. (2021). Synthetic cajaninstilbene acid derivatives eradicate methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* persisters and biofilms. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 224, 113691. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113691

Zhang, H. G., Tao, X. T., Chen, K. S., Yuan, C. X., Yan, S. N., and Jiang, M. H. (2011). Off-on-off luminescent switching of a dye containing imidazo [4, 5-f] [1, 10]phenanthroline. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 22, 647–650. doi:10.1016/j.cclet.2010.12.005

Zhang, Q., Xiong, Y. S., Cheng, J. X., Tan, Y. H., Liao, X. W., and Wang, J. T. (2022). Synthesis and biological evaluation of ruthenium polypyridine complexes with 18 β -glycyrrhetinic acid as antibacterial agents against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Dalton Trans.* 51, 1099–1111. doi:10.1039/D1DT02692E