Flexible Fragment Growing Boosts Potency of Quorum-Sensing Inhibitors against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Virulence

Michael Zender,^[a] Florian Witzgall,^[c] Alexander Kiefer,^[a] Benjamin Kirsch,^[a] Christine K. Maurer,^[a] Andreas M. Kany,^[a] Ningna Xu,^[e] Stefan Schmelz,^[c] Carsten Börger,^[f] Wulf Blankenfeldt,^[c, d] and Martin Empting^{*[a, b]}

Dedicated to Professor Rolf W. Hartmann

Hit-to-lead optimization is a critical phase in drug discovery. Herein, we report on the fragment-based discovery and optimization of 2-aminopyridine derivatives as a novel lead-like structure for the treatment of the dangerous opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. We pursue an innovative treatment strategy by interfering with the *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal (PQS) quorum sensing (QS) system leading to an abolishment of bacterial pathogenicity. Our compounds act on the PQS receptor (PqsR), a key transcription factor controlling the expression of various pathogenicity determinants. In this target-driven approach, we made use of biophysical screening via surface plasmon resonance (SPR) followed by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)-enabled enthalpic efficiency (EE) evaluation. Hit optimization then involved growth vector identification and exploitation. Astonishingly, the latter was successfully achieved by introducing flexible linkers rather than rigid motifs leading to a boost in activity on the target receptor and anti-virulence potency.

- [a] Dr. M. Zender, Dr. A. Kiefer, Dr. B. Kirsch, Dr. C. K. Maurer, Dr. A. M. Kany, Dr. M. Empting
- Drug Design and Optimization (DDOP) Helmholtz-Institute for Pharmaceutical Research Saarland (HIPS)-Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research (HZI) Campus E8.1 66123 Saarbrücken (Germany) E-mail: martin.empting@helmholtz-hzi.de [b] Dr. M. Empting Department of Pharmacy
- Saarland University Campus E8.1 66123 Saarbrücken (Germany)
- [c] Dr. F. Witzgall, Dr. S. Schmelz, Prof. Dr. W. Blankenfeldt Structure and Function of Proteins (SFPR) Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research (HZI) Inhoffenstr. 7 38124 Braunschweig (Germany)
- [d] Prof. Dr. W. Blankenfeldt Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Institute for Biochemistry Technische Universität Braunschweig Spielmannstr. 7 38106 Braunschweig (Germany)
 [e] Dr. N. Xu Lehrstuhl für Biochemie Universität Bayreuth
- Universitätsstr. 30 95447 Bayreuth (Germany) [f] Dr. C. Börger
- PharmBioTec GmbH Science Park 1
- 66123 Saarbrücken (Germany)
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201900621
- © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic Gram-negative pathogen. It provokes different acute and chronic infections especially in immune-compromised and hospitalized patients.^[1] Alarmingly, the occurrence of multi-resistant and pan-resistant strains renders currently available antibiotics ineffective and leads to an urgent need for novel treatment options.^[2] P. aeruginosa employs an arsenal of virulence-associated factors that allow this pathogen to be effective in various host organisms and environments.^[3] The release of many virulence factors is controlled and synchronized by a process called quorum sensing (QS).^[4] QS allows bacteria to collectively regulate gene expression depending on their population density. Small diffusible molecules (auto-inducers) are secreted from the cells and once a certain threshold concentration has been achieved, transcriptional regulators are activated. This leads to a population-wide alteration of gene expression, resulting in concerted phenotypic actions.^[5] This ability is essential during the course of acute and chronic infections^[6] as well as for lowered antibiotic susceptibility.^[7]

Respective cell-to-cell communication in *P. aeruginosa* is mainly based on four distinct QS circuitries. The *las*^[8] and the *rhl*^[9] QS systems use different *N*-acylated homoserine lactones (AHLs) as signaling molecules, while recently discovered *iqs* relies on 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-thiazole-4-carbaldehyde (Integrated Quorum Sensing Signal, IQS).^[10] AHL-based communication is most widespread 'language' found in Gram-negative bacteria.^[11] On the contrary, the forth system called *pqs*^[12] employs alkylquinolones (AQs)^[13,14] and occurs only in *Pseudomonas* and *Burkholderia* species (Figure 1).^[15] The signaling

CHEMMEDCHEM Full Papers



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the *pqs* quorum sensing system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* highlighting PqsR as an attractive point-ofintervention. Activation of PqsR by native agonists PQS (and to a lower extend HHQ) leads to autoinduction of the biosynthetic enzyme cascade PqsA–E as well as regulation of bacterial virulence like e.g. production of pyocyanin.

molecules PQS (Pseudomonas Quinolone Signal; 2-heptyl-3hydroxy-4(1H)-quinolone) and its precursor HHQ (2-heptyl-4(1H)-quinolone) activate the receptor PqsR (also referred to as MvfR).^[13,14] PgsR is a LysR-type transcriptional regulator that controls a subset of genes that is responsible for the production of various virulence factors like pyocyanin, elastase, and lectins.^[16] Moreover, it drives the expression of the *pgsABCDE* operon.[13,17] This operon encodes the enzymes PqsABCDE required for the biosynthesis of HHQ,^[18] which is converted by the monooxygenase PqsH to the more potent agonist PQS. PgsR-deficient strains showed reduced pathogenicity in several in vivo infection models^[16,19] demonstrating its central role during the infection process. Therefore, PqsR is a potential drug target to attenuate P. aeruginosa virulence without affecting bacterial viability. This approach promises only a low selection pressure towards resistance development.^[20]

Our group has previously obtained the first PqsR-targeting quorum sensing inhibitor (QSI) by chemical modification of the

natural ligand HHQ.^[21] These compounds were further improved regarding their efficacy in P. aeruginosa.[22,23] We revealed that most potent QSI of this class act as inverse agonists rather than antagonists on the target receptor.^[24] In a similar approach, QSI containing a guinazolinone scaffold was discovered and helped resolving the crystal structure of the ligand binding domain of PqsR.^[25] Furthermore, an HTS campaign led to benzamidebenzimidazole compounds showing efficacy in different mouse models, which emphasizes the in vivo relevance of targeting PqsR in infectious diseases.^[26] To overcome the poor physicochemical profiles of the HHQ-derived QSI, we initiated two fragment screenings using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) technology.^[27,28] These approaches led to the hydroxamic acid 1 and the 2-aminooxadiazole 2 (Table 1). First attempts to enlarge these structures have not been successful so far or led to compounds lacking activity in P. aeruginosa (data not shown).

Here, we applied enthalpic efficiency^[29,30] as a metric to select screening hit **3** as an alternative starting point. During initial fragment–growing efforts, we were successful in stepwise enlarging the fragment structure. Notably, we determined the crystal structure of a ligand-receptor complex (PDB ID 6Q7 V). This enabled us to devise an elegant structure-guided optimization strategy considering the binding pose of the natural ligand HHQ. The introduction of a flexible linker finally led to compounds with nanomolar inverse-agonistic activities in an *E. coli* reporter gene assay and complete pyocyanin inhibition in *P. aeruginosa*.

Results and Discussion

Selection of an optimal starting point. Selecting the best starting point is a pivotal decision in the early stage of a drug discovery project. In previous projects, ligand efficiency^[30] (LE) was used as major criterion for hit selection.^[27] The LE values represent the mean binding contribution per heavy atom (LE = $-\Delta G/N$) allowing to compare hits of different sizes.^[30] Taken into account that the ligand binding site of PqsR is highly

Table 1. Thermodynamic profiling of fragment-sized PqsR ligands guiding the selection of the optimal starting point.						
$1 \qquad \qquad$						
Compound	Κ _{D ((TC)} [μΜ]	ΔG [kcal mol ⁻¹]	ΔH [kcal mol ⁻¹]	$-T\Delta S$ [kcal mol ⁻¹]	EE ^[a] [kcal mol ⁻¹]	LE ^[b] [kcal mol ⁻¹]
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	$\begin{array}{c} 4.1 \pm 0.6 \\ 1.3 \pm 0.3 \\ 10.0 \pm 1.3 \\ > 50^{[c]} \\ 21.3 \pm 3.4 \\ > 50^{[c]} \\ 3.1 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$	-7.4 ± 0.1 -8.0 \pm 0.1 -6.8 \pm 0.1 - -6.4 \pm 0.1 - -7.5 \pm 0.1	$-8.9 \pm 0.2 \\ -8.5 \pm 0.5 \\ -9.3 \pm 0.4 \\ - \\ -5.8 \pm 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ -11.5 \pm 0.9$	$1.5 \pm 0.3 \\ 0.4 \pm 0.6 \\ 2.5 \pm 0.4 \\ - \\ -0.6 \pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 3.7 \pm 1$	0.63 0.53 1.17 - 0.72 - 1.05	0.53 0.50 0.85 - 0.80 - 0.70

ITC titrations were performed at 298 K. Data represent mean \pm SD from at least two independent experiments; [a] EE = $-\Delta$ H/(heavy atom count); [b] LE = $-\Delta$ G/(heavy atom count). [c] no heat release detectable at solubility maximum.

www.chemmedchem.org



ChemPubSoc

During two previously reported fragment-optimization approaches, compounds 1^[27] and 2^[28] (Table 1) were discovered. The thermodynamic profiles of these optimized fragments were compared to the best screening hits derived from previously reported SPR screenings.^[27,28] Initially, compound **3** was ranked lower due to its lower affinity.^[28] A re-evaluation of these three fragment scaffolds making use of the above-mentioned ranking metrics showed that **3** possesses strikingly better EE and LE values (Table 1).

In the light of these considerations, fragment **3** was evaluated as a promising alternative starting point.

Fragment growing. Ideally, a fragment-based screening campaign is supported by structure-based drug design strategies as this improves the chances to develop highly active lead molecules tremendously.^[31] However, for **3** no crystal structure in complex with PqsR could be obtained. In order to derive a first SAR impression and to find a potential vector for growing the fragment, close commercial analogues (4-8) were investigated for their affinity to PqsR by ITC analysis (Table 1). This revealed that the position of the bromine substituent was fundamental for affinity. A bromo substituent in 3- and 5-position (4 and 6) abolished the affinity, whereas in 4-position (5) the affinity was slightly decreased, which promoted the 4-position as potential growth vector. The exchange of the bromine for a sterically more demanding trifluoromethyl group in 6-position (7) led to a threefold increase of affinity caused by a gain in the enthalpic contribution. Candidate compounds were tested in an E. coli lacZ reporter gene system for their ability to antagonize/inverse agonize PqsR.^[32] This heterologous system provides higher sensitivity and a clear-cut readout due to the absence of the entire pqs system present in P. aeruginosa. Our previous studies indicated that the system employing an E.coli laboratory strain poses a less restrictive biological barrier to small molecules for reaching the intracellular target.^[22] Hence, it facilitates a straightforward evaluation and comparison of PqsR-targeting QSI regarding their on-target activities. Noteworthy, this assay system provides a more reliable estimation of cellular effectivity than cell-free affinity measurements. For example, the reported affinity of the native agonist PQS towards the ligand binding domain is rather low ($K_D = 1.2 \pm 0.3 \mu$ M).^[33] In contrast, the PQS-mediated effect in E.coli-based assays and P. aeruginosa occurs at drastically lower concentrations ($EC_{50(E,coli)} = 6.3 \text{ nM}$,^[22] $EC_{50(P,aeruginosa)} = 24 \text{ nM}$). This discrepancy might be explained by the fact that functional PgsR as a LysR-type transcriptional regulator exists as a homotetramer capable of binding to DNA and presumably able to adopt different conformational states.^[34] This higher-ordered architecture of the bacterial target is not resembled in cell-free assays employing only a monomeric *N*-terminally truncated protein.

In contrast to the congeners (**3–6**; data not shown), compound **7** showed a moderate antagonistic activity (Table 2). The acetylated analog **8** was tested in order to assess the amine function as a possible handle to grow the fragment. However, the attached acetyl moiety abolished the affinity and antagonistic activity completely. Taken together, these findings led to the decision to keep scaffold **7** constant and to introduce further substituents into the 4-position (Figure 2). At first, rigid



[a] Inverse agonistic/antagonistic activity was determined in the presence of 50 nM PQS; n.i.=no inhibition (activity \leq 15%). IC₅₀ represents the concentration of the half maximal activity; otherwise activity at a given concentration is provided. [b] Calculated using ACD/Percepta 2015.





Figure 2. Schematic illustration of the optimization pathway starting from SPR hit **3** leading to optimized fragment **7** and identification of a growth vector (**5**) followed by fragment growing. Enlarged fragment **11** finally enabled further structure-guided optimization through flexible fragment growing.

cyclopropyl-ethynyl (9) and 4-fluorophenyl (10) moieties were attached as molecular probes. Both modifications abolished the antagonistic activity almost completely.

A closer look at the thermodynamic profile of **9** disclosed a dramatic loss in the enthalpic contribution (SI Table S1: **7**: Δ H = -11.5 kcal/mol vs. **9**: Δ H = -3.7 kcal/mol) that is partially compensated by a gain in entropy. These findings suggest that the formation of specific interactions were hindered due to the attached moiety.

Hence, we introduced a more flexible linker into the 4position with the aim to regain specific interactions of the amino-pyridine headgroup. For this purpose, several compounds enlarged with different benzylamine moieties (11-13) were synthesized. Indeed, these compounds (11-12) displayed up to 12-fold increase in the on-target activities. Overall, the 4fluoro substituted compound 11 evolved as the most potent QSI of this series so far. Notably, also the affinity measured by ITC was improved up to 5-fold. (SI, Table S1). Taken together, the following general concept was derived from these previous findings (Figure 2): the amino-pyridine headgroup should be connected via a flexible linker part to another aromatic moiety. We were able to solve a co-crystal structure of 11 in complex with the ligand binding domain of PqsR (Figure 3a), clearly showing that the linker establishes an angled connection between the 2-amino-pyridine headgroup, which occupies the space of the quinolone core of the natural ligands while the 4fluorophenyl ring which points into the alkyl-chain pocket. Both aromatic systems of 11 are flanked by the alkyl side chains of isoleucine residues enabling CH- π interactions. The 2-amino moiety can form an H-bond interaction with the backbone carbonyl of Leu207 and the NH of the benzylamine linker

CHEMMED CHEM Full Papers



Figure 3. a) Crystal structure of **11** (light blue carbon) in complex with PqsR₉₁₋₃₁₉ (ribbon: black carbon; surface: white carbon; PDB ID 6Q7V). b) Crystal structure of HHQ (grey carbon) in complex with PqsR₉₁₋₃₁₉ (ribbon: black carbon; surface: white carbon; PDB ID 6Q7U). c) Overlay of a) and b). The yellow arrow indicates the applied rational for linker modification toward **20**. Fluorine: green, nitrogen: blue, oxygen: red, sulfur: yellow. Hydrogen omitted for clarity.

interacted with the hydroxyl moiety of Thr265. However, a comparison of the thermodynamic signatures of **11** binding to $PqsR_{wt}$ and $PqsR_{T265A}$, respectively (SI, Figure S3) displayed no significant difference in the enthalpic contribution, which

ChemMedChem 2020, 15, 188-194

www.chemmedchem.org



argues against a beneficial H-bond interaction. Notably, we detected an additional putative H-bond between the pyridine nitrogen lone pair and a water molecule that had been observed in ligand-receptor-complexes of the congener **22** (described below) and PDB entry 4JVC.^[25]

After obtaining this structural knowledge it became clear, why linear compounds **9** and **10** showed low or even no activity although they were enlarged along the correct growth vector. We concluded that a certain flexibility in the linker part is essential for the compound to adopt an angled binding conformation. Hence, in the further proceeding, modifications of this region were prioritized (Figure 2) in order to optimize compound **11**.

Following this strategy, we evaluated the role of the NH within the linker through synthesis of *N*-methylated derivative **14** and benzyl alcohol **15**. Compound **14** showed a slightly decreased on-target activity corroborating the notion that the H-bond observed in the X-ray structure of **11** does not translate into a significant gain in binding affinity. Interestingly, the exchange of NH to O (compare **11** and **15**) led to a more significant drop in potency.

Usually, unfavorable entropic penalties resulting from ligand flexibility are addressed by rigidification of compounds through reduction of rotatable bonds.^[35] Hence, we made several efforts to introduce less flexible and cyclic structures into the linker region (16-18). However, none of these modifications led to improved potency. For example, the rigidified amide linker (16) is not able to adapt the angled conformation of 11. As a consequence, the antagonistic activity was almost abolished. The same trend was observed for cyclized derivatives 17 and 18. Especially in the case of the indane derivative 17, this loss of activity was surprising. Based on the crystal structure of 11 we assumed that neither the angled conformation nor the observed interactions would be affected by introduction of this 5-membered ring (SI, Figure S2). These findings underline that the optimal geometric arrangement of the pyrimidine headgroup and the second aromatic system is difficult to be mimicked by rigid ligand structures. In this regard, we used the crystal structure in complex with the native ligand HHQ (Figure 3b).

A superimposition with HHQ and 11 (Figure 3c) raised the idea to introduce a prolonged, even more flexible linker to mimic the alkyl sidechain of these compounds. Therefore, compounds 19–22 were synthesized. The activity of 19 against PqsR was unchanged compared to 11. Interestingly, introduction of four-atom linkers resulted in remarkable potencies in the heterologous reporter gene assay (20–22). The *N*-propyl amine linker (20) showed a 20-fold boost in potency (Table 2; 11 IC₅₀ = $2.6 \pm 0.8 \,\mu$ M vs. 20 IC₅₀ = $0.14 \pm 0.04 \,\mu$ M). A co-crystal structure of 20 in complex with PqsR₉₁₋₃₁₉ showed that the extended linker pointed deeper into the pocket occupied by the alkyl chain of the natural ligand, enabling additional CH- π interactions with Tyr258 while the interactions of the aminopyridine head group were retained (Figure 4).

Lastly, we exchanged the alkyl chain (20) by an ethanolamine (21) and glycine (22) linker moiety to lower the clog*D* values. Compound 22 showed only a slightly reduced antagonistic activity, whereas 21 showed a 20-fold drop. Overall, 20



Figure 4. a) Overlay of crystal structures of 20 (orange carbon) and HHQ (grey carbon) in complex with PqsR91-319 (ribbon: black carbon; surface: white carbon; PDB ID 6Q7 W). b) Minimal energy structure of 20 derived from a constrained molecular dynamics simulation based on solved X-ray structure. The main interactions of 20 with PqsR observed within 300 ps of simulation are shown (hydrogen bonds: light blue, CH- π interactions: yellow). Fluorine: green, nitrogen: blue, oxygen: red, sulfur: yellow. Hydrogen omitted for clarity.

emerged as the frontrunner compound from this fragmentgrowing approach, showing a superior combination of nanomolar inverse agonistic/antagonistic activity, enthalpy-driven binding (EE = 0.50 kcal/mol, SI, Table S1) and reasonable physicochemical properties (clogD = 3.8). Remarkably, in contrast to the usual drug optimization process relying on reducing the amount of rotatable bonds, we gained potency through allowing ligand flexibility.

Effects in *P. aeruginosa.* Pyocyanin is one of the most prominent and characteristic virulence factors released by *P. aeruginosa* during acute and chronic infections.^[36] The redoxactive blue pigment has cytotoxic and immunomodulating properties.^[36] Moreover, it has been clearly demonstrated that pyocyanin promotes the development of a pulmonary pathophysiology in mice similar to the lung of infected cystic fibrosis patients.^[37] Hence, it was of major interest to demonstrate that 2-amino-pyridines were able to translate the PqsR-directed activity measured in *E.coli* into antivirulence activity in *P.*



aeruginosa. Compounds **7**, **11** and **20–22** were evaluated for the inhibition of pyocyanin in the highly virulent clinical isolate PA14 (Table 3). In this regard, it is important to point out that *P. aeruginosa* shows low intrinsic outer-membrane permeability for xenobiotics and applies a multitude of different efflux pumps.^[36]

Astonishingly, fragment **7** already showed an inhibitory significant effect on pyocyanin, albeit at high concentration.

Compound 11 showed low micromolar activity in the E.coli assay (IC_{50}\!=\!2.6\!\pm\!0.8\,\mu\text{M}) and inhibited pyocyanin with an IC_{50} of $105 \pm 13 \ \mu$ M. Optimized hit **20** displayed the highest cellular efficacy of this new class of QSI (IC_{50}\!=\!5.9\!\pm\!0.7\,\mu\text{M}). The literature-known compounds R1-R2 were included as references in Table 3. The PgsR antagonist R1 originated from an HTS screening.^[26] R2 was discovered in-house by modification of HHQ.^[22] We established a metric called PEI (pyocyanin efficiency index; Table 3), which normalized the effect on pyocyanin for the molecular weight of the inhibitors to enable a better comparison. The optimized and lead-like hit 20 displayed efficiency in the range of the benchmark compounds R1 and R2. This clearly emphasized the potential of newly discovered QSI 20. In contrast, slightly less active compounds 21 and 22 were not able to inhibit pyocyanin to the same extent. This might be due to efflux or permeation problems. The phenomenon that even small changes within a molecule can have drastic effects on the activity in P. aeruginosa was also observed in other projects and emphasizes the need for further insights on the requirement for intracellular activity, especially in the case of Gram-negative pathogens.^[22,38]

The activation of PqsR drives the expression of the HHQ biosynthesis operon *pqsA-E*, leading to enhanced alkyl quinolone (AQ) levels and finally to an auto-inductive loop. *P. aeruginosa* produces more than 50 different AQ congeners.^[39]



[a] Photometric quantification of pyocyanin from PA14 cultures; given values represent the mean of at least two independent experiments; SD < 25%. [b] PEI Pyocyanin Efficiency Index PEI = pIC₅₀/MW.

Among others HHQ and HQNO are most prevalent.^[39] HQNO showed Gram-positive antibacterial activity that promotes a growth advantage for *P aeruginosa* in mixed microbial communities.^[40] Subsequently, the most interesting compounds were also evaluated for their effect on HHQ and HQNO levels in a PA14*pqsH* strain. QSI **11** was able to affect HHQ ($48 \pm 4\%$ inhibition at 200 μ M) and HQNO ($36 \pm 3\%$ inhibition at 200 μ M). The enlarged compound **20** showed an improved efficacy ($56 \pm 4\%$ HHQ inhibition at 100 μ M and 48 ± 1 HQNO inhibition at 100 μ M). These results were in line with already reported data showing that the expression of virulence factors and the levels of AQs are differently sensitive to the antagonism/inverse agonism of PqsR.^[22,26]

Conclusion

The rapid development and spreading of resistances against conventional antibiotics has raised the awareness of a broader public in recent years. This ability of pathogens demonstrates the power of evolutionary adaption processes.^[41] The development of PqsR-targeting QSI pursues the strategy to limit the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* without affecting bacterial fitness. Hence, these antivirulence compounds might be more robust to adaptive evolution because they avoid exerting high selective pressure.^[42]

Herein, we report on the discovery and optimization of 2amino-pyridines as promising PqsR-targeting QSI. Starting from an SPR fragment screening^[28] ligand **3** was selected based on its unique EE, although it did not show significant antagonistic activity. Successfully growing and improving a fragment-screening hit without structural information is a challenging approach. Therefore, close commercial analogs were investigated, leading to compound 7, which showed improved affinity and comparable EE. Furthermore, this intermediate compound possessed moderate antagonistic activity. By the introduction of a flexible benzyl-amine linker into the 4-position (11) affinity and ontarget activity were improved drastically. The solution of the crystal structure of 11 in complex with its bacterial target PqsR facilitated further structure-guided optimization. Notably, the ligand assumed an angled conformation spanning over the quinolone- and alkyl chain-pocket. Inspired by the alkyl chain of the natural ligand HHQ, we extended the linker moiety, which led to the top candidate 20 showing inverse agonistic/ antagonistic activity in the nanomolar range. The rather unusual approach of allowing more flexibility instead of rigidifying the ligand might be also applicable to a broader scope of druggable proteins. Especially in cases where the biological function of the drug target depends on different conformational states (e.g. inducible transcriptional regulators), this flexible fragment-growing strategy might be a valuable tool to rapidly generate lead-like molecules. Usually, a selected fragment-sized hit already provides efficient directed interactions with the target. Allowing compound flexibility during the enlargement process could help to retain the beneficial binding geometry of the fragment core while granting access to



additional sites of the binding pocket resulting in enhanced potency.

The discovered PqsR-targeting QSI is a remarkably small compound and efficiently reduces pyocyanin in *P. aeruginosa* without affecting bacterial viability. Compound **20** represents an excellent starting point for further lead generation and optimization studies.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Simone Amann and Carina Scheid for performing the in vitro assays, Julia Rustemeier for assistance with ITC analysis; Dr. Michael Hoffmann, Dr. Stefan Boettcher and Dr. Josef Zapp for assistance with chemical analytics. We thank Prof. Dr. Rolf Hartmann for his strong support and guidance.

Keywords: Quorum sensing · *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* · Pathoblocker · Fragment-based drug discovery · Enthalpic efficiency

- [1] G. P. Bodey, R. Bolivar, V. Fainstein, L. Jadeja, Rev. Infect. Dis. 1983, 5, 279.
- [2] V. Aloush, S. Navon-Venezia, Y. Seigman-Igra, S. Cabili, Y. Carmeli, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2006, 50, 43.
- [3] L. G. Rahme, F. M. Ausubel, H. Cao, E. Drenkard, B. C. Goumnerov, G. W. Lau, S. Mahajan-Miklos, J. Plotnikova, M. W. Tan, J. Tsongalis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 8815.
- [4] C. van Delden, B. H. Iglewski, Emerging Infect. Dis. 1998, 4, 551.
- [5] N. A. Whitehead, A. M. Barnard, H. Slater, N. J. Simpson, G. P. Salmond, FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2001, 25, 365.
- [6] a) M. Kesarwani, R. Hazan, J. He, Y.-A. Que, Y. Que, Y. Apidianakis, B. Lesic, G. Xiao, V. Dekimpe, S. Milot, *PLoS Pathog.* 2011, *7*, e1002192; b) C. T. Parker, V. Sperandio, *Cell. Microbiol.* 2009, *11*, 363.
- [7] T. Bjarnsholt, P. Ø. Jensen, M. Burmølle, M. Hentzer, J. A. J. Haagensen, H. P. Hougen, H. Calum, K. G. Madsen, C. Moser, S. Molin, *Microbiology* (*Reading, England*) 2005, 151, 373.
- [8] L. Passador, J. M. Cook, M. J. Gambello, L. Rust, B. H. Iglewski, Science (New York, N. Y.) 1993, 260, 1127.
- [9] U. A. Ochsner, A. K. Koch, A. Fiechter, J. Reiser, J. Bacteriol. 1994, 176, 2044.
- [10] a) J. Lee, J. Wu, Y. Deng, J. Wang, C. Wang, J. Wang, C. Chang, Y. Dong, P. Williams, L.-H. Zhang, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *9*, 339; b) U. A. Ochsner, J. Reiser, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1995**, *92*, 6424; c) J. P. Pearson, K. M. Gray, L. Passador, K. D. Tucker, A. Eberhard, B. H. Iglewski, E. P. Greenberg, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 197.
- [11] S. Schauder, B. L. Bassler, Genes Dev. 2001, 15, 1468.
- [12] E. C. Pesci, J. B. Milbank, J. P. Pearson, S. McKnight, A. S. Kende, E. P. Greenberg, B. H. Iglewski, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1999, 96, 11229.
- [13] D. S. Wade, M. W. Calfee, E. R. Rocha, E. A. Ling, E. Engstrom, J. P. Coleman, E. C. Pesci, J. Bacteriol. 2005, 187, 4372.
- [14] G. Xiao, E. Déziel, J. He, F. Lépine, B. Lesic, M.-H. Castonguay, S. Milot, A. P. Tampakaki, S. E. Stachel, L. G. Rahme, *Mol. Microbiol.* 2006, *62*, 1689.
- [15] S. P. Diggle, P. Lumjiaktase, F. Dipilato, K. Winzer, M. Kunakorn, D. A. Barrett, S. R. Chhabra, M. Cámara, P. Williams, *Chem. Biol.* 2006, 13, 701.
- [16] E. Déziel, S. Gopalan, A. P. Tampakaki, F. Lépine, K. E. Padfield, M. Saucier, G. Xiao, L. G. Rahme, *Mol. Microbiol.* 2005, 55, 998.
- [17] G. Xiao, J. He, L.G. Rahme, *Microbiology (Reading, England)* 2006, 152, 1679.
- [18] a) E. Déziel, F. Lépine, S. Milot, J. He, M. N. Mindrinos, R. G. Tompkins, L. G. Rahme, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2004**, *101*, 1339; b) C. E. Dulcey, V. Dekimpe, D.-A. Fauvelle, S. Milot, M.-C. Groleau, N. Doucet, L. G. Rahme, F. Lépine, E. Déziel, *Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *20*, 1481.
- [19] H. Cao, G. Krishnan, B. Goumnerov, J. Tsongalis, R. Tompkins, L.G. Rahme, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2001, 98, 14613.

- [20] J. P. Gerdt, H. E. Blackwell, ACS Chem. Biol. 2014, 9, 2291.
- [21] C. Lu, B. Kirsch, C. Zimmer, J. C. de Jong, C. Henn, C. K. Maurer, M. Müsken, S. Häussler, A. Steinbach, R. W. Hartmann, *Chem. Biol.* 2012, 19, 381.

CHEMMED CHEM

Full Papers

- [22] C. Lu, C. K. Maurer, B. Kirsch, A. Steinbach, R. W. Hartmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1109.
- [23] C. Lu, B. Kirsch, C. K. Maurer, J. C. de Jong, A. Braunshausen, A. Steinbach, R. W. Hartmann, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2014, 79, 173.
- [24] A. A. M. Kamal, L. Petrera, J. Eberhard, R. W. Hartmann, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 4620.
- [25] A. Ilangovan, M. Fletcher, G. Rampioni, C. Pustelny, K. Rumbaugh, S. Heeb, M. Cámara, A. Truman, S. R. Chhabra, J. Emsley, *PLoS Pathog.* 2013, 9, e1003508.
- [26] M. Starkey, F. Lepine, D. Maura, A. Bandyopadhaya, B. Lesic, J. He, T. Kitao, V. Righi, S. Milot, A. Tzika, *PLoS Pathog.* 2014, *10*, e1004321.
- [27] T. Klein, C. Henn, J. C. de Jong, C. Zimmer, B. Kirsch, C. K. Maurer, D. Pistorius, R. Müller, A. Steinbach, R. W. Hartmann, ACS Chem. Biol. 2012, 7, 1496.
- [28] M. Zender, T. Klein, C. Henn, B. Kirsch, C. K. Maurer, D. Kail, C. Ritter, O. Dolezal, A. Steinbach, R. W. Hartmann, *J. Med. Chem.* 2013, *56*, 6761.
- [29] J. E. Ladbury, G. Klebe, E. Freire, Nature reviews. Drug discovery 2010, 9, 23.
- [30] A. L. Hopkins, C. R. Groom, A. Alex, Drug Discovery Today 2004, 9, 430.
- [31] P. J. Hajduk, J. Greer, Nature reviews. Drug discovery 2007, 6, 211.
- [32] C. Cugini, M. W. Calfee, J. M. Farrow, D. K. Morales, E. C. Pesci, D. A. Hogan, *Mol. Microbiol.* **2007**, *65*, 896.
- [33] M. Welch, J. T. Hodgkinson, J. Gross, D. R. Spring, T. Sams, *Biochemistry* 2013, 52, 4433.
- [34] S. E. Maddocks, P. C. F. Oyston, *Microbiology (Reading, England)* 2008, 154, 3609.
- [35] A. R. Khan, J. C. Parrish, M. E. Fraser, W. W. Smith, P. A. Bartlett, M. N. James, *Biochemistry* 1998, 37, 16839.
- [36] G. W. Lau, D. J. Hassett, H. Ran, F. Kong, Trends Mol. Med. 2004, 10, 599.
- [37] C. C. Caldwell, Y. Chen, H. S. Goetzmann, Y. Hao, M. T. Borchers, D. J. Hassett, L. R. Young, D. Mavrodi, L. Thomashow, G. W. Lau, *The American Journal of Pathology* 2009, 175, 2473.
- [38] M. P. Storz, G. Allegretta, B. Kirsch, M. Empting, R. W. Hartmann, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 6094.
- [39] F. Lépine, S. Milot, E. Déziel, J. He, L.G. Rahme, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2004, 15, 862.
- [40] Z. A. Machan, G. W. Taylor, T. L. Pitt, P. J. Cole, R. Wilson, The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 1992, 30, 615.
- [41] D. Hughes, D. I. Andersson, Nature reviews. Genetics 2015, 16, 459.
- [42] a) R. C. Allen, R. Popat, S. P. Diggle, S. P. Brown, Nature reviews. Microbiology 2014, 12, 300; b) A. Ross-Gillespie, R. Kümmerli, Evolution, medicine, and public health 2014, 2014, 134.
- [43] W. Kabsch, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 2010, 66, 125.
- [44] P. R. Evans, G. N. Murshudov, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 2013, 69, 1204.
- [45] M. D. Winn, C. C. Ballard, K. D. Cowtan, E. J. Dodson, P. Emsley, P. R. Evans, R. M. Keegan, E. B. Krissinel, A. G. W. Leslie, A. McCoy, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 2011, 67, 235.
- [46] I. J. Tickle, C. Flensburg, P. Keller, W. Paciorek, A. Sharff, C. Vonrhein, G. Bricogne, STARANISO (http://staraniso.globalphasing.org/cgi-bin/staraniso.cgi), Cambridge, United Kingdom: Global Phasing Ltd., 2018.
- [47] A. J. McCoy, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P. D. Adams, M. D. Winn, L. C. Storoni, R. J. Read, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2007, 40, 658.
- [48] A. A. Vagin, R. A. Steiner, A. A. Lebedev, L. Potterton, S. McNicholas, F. Long, G. N. Murshudov, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 2004, 60, 2184.
- [49] P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 2010, 66, 486.
- [50] P. V. Afonine, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, N. Echols, J. J. Headd, N. W. Moriarty, M. Mustyakimov, T. C. Terwilliger, A. Urzhumtsev, P. H. Zwart, P. D. Adams, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 2012, 68, 352.
- [51] P. D. Adams, P. V. Afonine, G. Bunkóczi, V. B. Chen, I. W. Davis, N. Echols, J. J. Headd, L.-W. Hung, G. J. Kapral, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D 2010, 66, 213.

Manuscript received: November 1, 2019 Accepted manuscript online: November 11, 2019 Version of record online: November 28, 2019