# JKMS

# Original Article Pediatrics

Check for updates



Received: Jul 22, 2021 Accepted: Oct 14, 2021

#### Address for Correspondence:

#### Kyung Jae Lee, MD, PhD Department of Pediatrics, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University College of Medicine, 1 Hallymdaehak-gil, Chuncheon 24252, Republic of Korea.

E-mail: cuty2kj@hanmail.net © 2021 The Korean Academy of Medical

Sciences.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### ORCID iDs

Yoon Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9521-3575 Dae Yong Yi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4168-7131 Yoo Min Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3554-6559 So Yoon Choi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7389-7678 You Jin Choi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6882-3877 Kyung Jae Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3969-384X

#### Disclosure

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

# A Multicenter Study of Real-world Practice for Management of Abnormal Liver Function Tests in Children with Acute Infectious Diseases

Yoon Lee <sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Dae Yong Yi <sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>2</sup> Yoo Min Lee <sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>3</sup> So Yoon Choi <sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>4,5</sup> You Jin Choi <sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>6</sup> and Kyung Jae Lee <sup>(D)</sup> <sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Korea University Medical Center Anam Hospital, Seoul, Korea <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Chung-Ang University Hospital, College of Medicine, Chung-Ang University, Seoul, Korea

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, Soonchunhyang University College of medicine, Bucheon, Korea

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Kosin Gospel Hospital, Kosin University College of Medicine, Busan, Korea <sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Haeundae Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, Korea <sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Inje University Ilsan Paik Hospital, Goyang, Korea

<sup>7</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University College of Medicine, Chuncheon, Korea

# ABSTRACT

Background: Abnormal liver function tests (LFTs) are commonly seen in pediatric patients with acute infectious diseases. Few studies and no definite clinical guidelines for these conditions are available. The present study aimed to elucidate the causes and factors associated with prolongation of liver enzyme elevation. We also investigated actual real-world practices in Korea. Methods: A retrospective study was performed on all patients younger than 18 years, who visited six tertiary teaching hospitals around Korea in 2018 for acute infectious diseases and showed alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels above 60 IU/L without other specific conditions that could cause ALT elevation. We categorized the infections that cause LFT elevation into six groups: respiratory infection, gastrointestinal infection, urinary tract infection, other febrile disease, Epstein-Barr virus infection, and cytomegalovirus infection. We collected data on the medical specialty of the attending physician who followed up the subject, follow-up duration, percentage of follow-up loss, and their investigation. **Results:** A total of 613 patients were enrolled in this study, half of whom (50.7%) were younger than 12 months. The mean initial aspartate aminotransferase and ALT values were 171.2 ± 274.1 and 194.9 ± 316.1 IU/L (range 23–2,881, 60–2,949 IU/L), respectively; however, other LFTs were within the normal range. Respiratory infection was the most common diagnosis (45.0%), and rhinovirus was the most commonly identified pathogen (9.8%). The follow-up rate was higher with pediatric gastroenterologists (90.5%) and nongastroenterology pediatricians (76.4%) than with pediatric residents and emergency doctors. Older age was related to better ALT recovery (odds ratio [OR] of age for month = 1.003; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.001-1.004; P = 0.004), while the number of infection episodes (OR = 0.626; 95% CI, 0.505–0.777; P < 0.001) was associated with poor ALT recovery. Abdominal sonography was the most commonly used diagnostic tool (36.9%), followed by the hepatotropic virus workup. The modalities of hepatitis workup were significantly differently applied by physicians based on their specialties and institutions. Conclusion: Abnormal liver function test after a systemic infection was common in

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Lee Y, Lee KJ. Data curation: Lee Y, Yi DY, Lee YM, Choi SY, Choi YJ, Lee KJ. Formal analysis: Lee Y, Lee KJ. Investigation: Lee Y, Yi DY, Lee YM, Choi SY, Choi YJ, Lee KJ. Methodology: Yi DY, Lee YM, Choi SY, Choi YJ. Writing - original draft: Lee Y, Lee KJ. Writing - review & editing: Yi DY, Lee YM, Choi SY, Choi YJ. respiratory infection and under the age of 1 year. Age, number of infections, and initial results of LFTs were related to ALT recovery time. Inter-physician, inter-institution, and inter-specialty variances were observed in real-world practice.

Keywords: Liver Function Test; Hepatitis; Communicable Diseases; Pediatrics

### **INTRODUCTION**

An abnormal liver function test (LFT) is commonly observed in pediatric patients with acute infectious diseases such as respiratory tract infections, gastroenteritis, and urinary tract infections.<sup>1,2</sup> Most patients only show modest aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) elevation; however, some patients might have more severe hepatic injuries showing other LFT abnormalities such as elevated bilirubin levels, prolonged prothrombin time, and hypoalbuminemia.<sup>1</sup> Some pathogens, such as tuberculosis, *Campylobacter, Clostridium perfringens*, cytomegalovirus (CMV), and herpes virus could cause not only transient abnormal LFTs but also acute liver failure and hepatic encephalopathy.<sup>1</sup> This condition is usually self-limiting; however, sometimes it can persist for longer than a year, which could annoy parents and physicians.<sup>2</sup> The exact pathophysiology of liver involvement in systemic infection is not well known; it can induce hepatic injury through direct invasion, indirect toxin-induced injury, or cytokines.<sup>1</sup>

Nonspecific reactive hepatitis (NRH) is defined as a nonspecific inflammatory process without any specific histologic finding suggestive of a specific liver disease.<sup>3,4</sup> NRH is usually used to refer to secondary liver injury due to extrahepatic disease without any primary cause of liver-specific disease. However, it is unclear which pathogen could be regarded as the cause of NRH, from among those such as enterovirus, CMV, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), herpes simplex virus (HSV), rotavirus, and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), because they primarily evoke systemic infection and are known to be associated with liver injury.<sup>4</sup> Although it should be confirmed through histology, due to the relatively mild clinical course of the disease and invasiveness of liver biopsy, we usually regard NRH as having no symptoms indicating hepatitis and showing elevation of liver enzymes in their blood test without any evidence of hepatotropic virus or other specific liver disease.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, in clinical situations, it might be acceptable to consider NRH if there is no evidence of other specific causes and symptoms of hepatitis and the AST and ALT are elevated in acute infectious diseases.

To our knowledge, there are only a few studies and no clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and management of NRH. We conducted a multicenter study to elucidate the cause of NRH and factors associated with prolongation of liver enzyme elevation, which are of concern to physicians. Furthermore, through this multicenter study, we might know the physician's approach to NRH in real-world practice in Korea.

## **METHODS**

A retrospective study was conducted on all patients younger than 18 years who visited any of the six tertiary teaching hospitals around Korea in December 2016 to December 2018 for acute infectious diseases and showed ALT levels above 60 IU/L which are twice the upper limit of the normal range.<sup>5</sup> Other previous studies among Korean children and adolescent, ALT 30 IU/L or ALT 30–33 IU/L for male adolescents and 19–25 IU/L for female adolescents

could be used for reference range based on the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data.<sup>6,7</sup>

Patients with confirmed other disease that cause LFT elevation such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, hepatotropic virus infections, autoimmune disease, muscular disease, and other toxic hepatitis were excluded within the scope of hepatitis work up.

The number of infections during the period of elevated liver enzyme levels was measured; in cases with ALT recovery followed by recurrent ALT elevation above 60 IU were defined as 'relapse of the LFT elevation.' We collected the results of initial LFTs such as AST, ALT, total bilirubin (TB), direct bilirubin (DB), alkaline phosphatase, gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT), albumin, white blood cell counts, and prothrombin time/international normalized ratio (PT/INR). We also collected the peak and final AST, ALT, TB, and DB levels.

We categorized the infections that cause LFT elevation into six groups: respiratory infection, gastrointestinal (GI) infection, urinary tract infection (UTI), other febrile disease, and EBV and CMV infection. We evaluated the etiology of the infection using culture, polymerase chain reaction, and rapid antigen testing.

To evaluate the actual practice for managing NRH, we collected data on the medical specialty of the attending physician who followed up the subject, as well as those on follow-up duration, percentage of follow-up loss, and the investigation of NRH. We defined the follow-up group as the patients undergoing repetition of the LFT, and the follow-up loss group as the patients who underwent only one LFT, although the ALT level was above 60 IU/L. We grouped physicians into four groups: non-GI pediatricians, pediatric gastroenterologists, pediatric residents, and emergency doctors. We evaluated hepatitis workup, such as radiologic examination, viral markers, and metabolic screening, according to physician groups and institutions. We evaluated the frequencies of tests such as those involving hepatitis B surface antigen, antibody, and other antibodies or polymerase chain reaction for hepatitis A virus, hepatitis C virus, EBV, CMV, toxoplasma, and HSV for infectious workup. We also checked the number of metabolic tests, such as the neonatal screening test, serum and/or urine amino-acid, organic acid, and ceruloplasmin for metabolic disease and fluorescent antibuclear antibody (FANA) for autoimmune disease.

#### **Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 20.0 statistical program software (IBM Corp. Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics for continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR) according to their distribution. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Analysis of the differences between categorical variables was performed using the  $\chi^2$  test. Based on the distribution of the variables, the *t*-test and Mann-Whitney test were conducted to compare the means between the groups. To investigate the factors associated with ALT recovery time (time to ALT < 60 IU), the Cox proportional-hazards model was used. A *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### **Ethics statement**

All institutional review boards (IRBs) of the six hospitals approved the study and provided waiver of informed consent; Korea University Anam Hospital IRB No. 2020AN0123, Chung-Ang University Hospital IRB No. 1812-020-16236, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital IRB No. 2019-07-021-004, Inje University Ilsan Paik Hospital IRB No. 2019-09-012,

Inje University Haeundae Paik Hospital IRB No. 2019-07-021 and Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital IRB No. 2019-04-022. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

# RESULTS

#### **Baseline characteristics**

A total of 613 patients were enrolled from six tertiary teaching hospitals in Korea. There were 367 (59.9%) boys and 246 (40.1%) girls, and the mean age was  $3.49 \pm 5.17$  years. Half of our patients (n = 311, 50.7%) were younger than 12 months. The mean number of episodes of infection was 1.2 (range, 1-5), and 17 (2.8%) patients experienced relapse of LFT elevation. The mean followup duration was 37.6 ± 74.3 (range 1–486) days (**Table 1**). About one-third (n = 213, 34.7%) of the patients confirmed that they had one or more siblings and 121 (19.7%) patients had no siblings.

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics (n = 613)

Characteristics	No. (%) or Mean ± SD	Range
Sex		
Male	367 (59.9)	
Female	246 (40.1)	
No. of relapse	17 (2.8)	
No. of siblings		
0	121 (19.7)	
1	181 (29.5)	
2	30 (4.9)	
4	2 (0.3)	
Mean age, yr	3.49 ± 5.17	0–18
Mean follow-up duration, days	37.6 ± 74.3	1-486
Mean No. of infection	1.2 ± 0.8	1–5
Mean follow-up duration per diagnosis, days		
Respiratory infection (n = 276, 45.0%)	37.3 ± 70.3	1-486
Gastrointestinal infection (n = 111, 18.1%)	39.5 ± 78.0	1–477
Urinary tract infection (n = 90, 14.7%)	48.4 ± 86.3	1–383
Other febrile disease (n = 116, 18.9%)	32.1 ± 65.5	1-425
EBV infection ( $n = 37, 6\%$ )	26.4 ± 38.3	1–176
CMV infection $(n = 5, 0.8\%)$	133.6 ± 91.2	21-255
Initial laboratory data		
AST, IU/L	171.2 ± 274.1	23-2,881
ALT, IU/L	194.9 ± 316.1	60-2,949
TB, mg/dL	0.56 ± 0.66	0.03-8.69
DB, mg/dL	$0.23 \pm 0.42$	0-6.1
Albumin, g/dL	4.2 ± 0.5	2.1-6.8
GGT, IU/L	84.4 ± 124.3	4-1,779
ALP, U/L	368.8 ± 281.5	38-2,732
WBC, /uL	11,626.1 ± 7,373.8	1,160–112,000
Peak laboratory data		
AST, IU/L	198.1 ± 300.6	28-2,881
ALT, IU/L	235.1 ± 355	60-2,949
TB, mg/dL	0.58 ± 0.77	0.1-10.8
DB, mg/dL	0.23 ± 0.44	0-6.1
Final laboratory data		
AST, IU/L	60.6 ± 49.5	7-601
ALT, IU/L	72.5 ± 77.4	9-818
TB, mg/dL	0.41 ± 0.40	0-6.8
DB, mg/dL	0.14 ± 0.14	0-1.4

SD = standard deviation, EBV = Epstein-Barr virus, CMV = cytomegalovirus, AST = aspartate transaminase, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, TB = total bilirubin, DB = direct bilirubin, GGT = gamma glutamyl transferase, ALP = alkaline phosphatase, WBC = white blood cell count.

The mean values of LFTs were as follows; initial AST 171.2  $\pm$  274.1 (range 23–2,881) IU/L, initial ALT 194.9  $\pm$  316.1 (range 60–2,949) IU/L, peak AST 198.1  $\pm$  300.6 (range 28–2,881) IU/L, peak ALT 235.1  $\pm$  355 (range 60–2,949) IU/L, final AST 60.6  $\pm$  49.5 (range 7–601) IU/L, and final ALT 72.5  $\pm$  77.4 (range 9–818) IU/L. However, the peak mean TB and DB were not elevated (**Table 1**). The PT/INR values were collected in 188 (30.7%) patients over the followup period and the median value was 1.07 (IQR 1.0–1.16). Five patients (0.82%) showed moderate coagulopathy (PT/INR > 1.5), including 2 (0.33%) with severe coagulopathy (PT/ INR > 2.0); however, none of them had other signs or symptoms of liver failure.

#### Infection focuses and pathogens

Respiratory infection was the most common diagnosis (n = 276, 43.5%), followed by unspecified febrile illness (n = 116, 18.3%), GI infection (n = 111, 17.5%), and UTI (n = 90, 14.2%) (**Table 1**). The mean follow-up duration was longest in CMV infection (133.6  $\pm$  91.2 days, range 21–255 days) following UTI (48.4  $\pm$  86.3 days, range 1–383 days) and GI infection (39.5  $\pm$  78.0 days, range 1–477 days). In microbiologic and serologic studies, 385 pathogens were identified; however, laboratory evidence of specific pathogens could not be identified in 291 subjects (47.5%). Among the 322 microbiologic/serologic study-positive cases, 56 children (17.4%) had positive results for more than one pathogen. Rhinovirus was the most commonly identified pathogen (n = 60, 9.8%), followed by RSV (n = 57, 9.3%), *Escherichia coli* (n = 55, 9%), EBV (n = 46, 7.5%), and adenovirus (n = 30, 4.9%) (**Table 2**). Regarding the median follow-up duration according to the known specific pathogen, CMV infection showed the longest duration (median 53 days, IQR 12.5–160 days), followed by norovirus (median 32 days, IQR 4–63 days) and parainfluenza virus (median 14.5 days, IQR 1–60.5 days) (**Table 2**).

#### Comparison between follow-up or follow-up loss groups

Although most patients (n = 442, 72.1%) visited more than twice, approximately a quarter (n = 171, 27.9%) of the patients did not (**Table 3**). The mean follow-up duration of the follow up group was 50.9  $\pm$  80.9 (range 2–486) days. Among those who failed to follow-up, the follow-ups of 108 (17.6%) patients were discontinued by physicians. (by non-GI pediatricians [n = 60], pediatric gastroenterologists [n = 8], pediatric residents [n = 2] and emergency doctors [n = 38]).

#### Table 2. Isolated pathogens among 613 subjects

Pathogens	Positive results $(n - 385)$	Follow up duration days	
Facilogens	Positive results (II – 383)	Follow up duration, days	
	No. (%)	Median (IQR)	
Rhinovirus	60 (9.8)	13.5 (4–103.3)	
Respiratory syncytial virus	57 (9.3)	12 (3.5–29.5)	
Escherichia coli	55 (9.0)	13 (4–44)	
Epstein-Barr virus	46 (7.5)	13 (5.5–51.5)	
Adenovirus	30 (4.9)	9.5 (2.8-36.8)	
Influenza virus	21 (3.4)	10 (1–51)	
Parainfluenza virus	18 (2.9)	14.5 (1–60.5)	
Metapneumovirus	16 (2.6)	12.5 (4–56.5)	
Cytomegalovirus	13 (2.1)	53 (12.5–160)	
Corona virus	12 (2.0)	11 (1.5–28.8)	
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	12 (2.0)	14 (8.8-45.5)	
Enterovirus	10 (1.6)	7 (1–11)	
Streptococcus	8 (1.3)	12.5 (5.5-87.5)	
Norovirus	7 (1.1)	32 (4–63)	
Other viral infection <sup>a</sup>	11 (1.8)	9 (4–17)	
Other bacterial infection <sup>b</sup>	9 (1.5)	10 (7.5–138.5)	

IQR = interquartile range.

<sup>a</sup>Bocavirus (n = 4), varicella zoster virus (n = 2), sapovirus (n = 2), herpes simplex virus (n =2), measles virus (n = 1). <sup>b</sup>Enterobacter (n = 4), Clostridium perfringens (n =3), Staphylococcus aureus (n = 1), Salmonella (n = 1).

No. of patients       442 (72.1)       171 (27.9)         Age, yr       3.26 ± 4.86       4.08 ± 5.90       0.082         Sex       0.358       0.057       0.058         Male       270 (61.1)       97 (56.7)       97         Female       172 (38.9)       74 (43.3)       0.001         Physician       352 (79.6)       108 (63.2)       9         Patient       90 (20.4)       63 (36.8)       0.011         Diagnosis       T       89 (52.0)       0.279         Gastrointestinal infection       69 (15.6)       442 (24.6)       0.011         Urinary tract infection       75 (17.0)       15 (8.8)       0.011         Other febrile disease       86 (19.5)       30 (17.5)       0.646         EBV infection       5 (1.1)       0 (0)       0.329         Physician       317 (71.7)       98 (52.0)       9.3271.9         Non-GI pediatrician       317 (71.7)       98 (52.0)       9.3271.9         Pediatric gastroenterologist       114 (25.8)       12 (24.6)       9.3271.9         Pediatric resident       2 (0.5)       7 (8.8)       2 (0.01)         Emergency doctor       9 (2.0)       54 (17.5)       9.005         Alt, IU/L<	Characteristics	Follow-up group	Follow-up loss group	P value
Age, yr $3.26 \pm 4.86$ $4.08 \pm 5.90$ $0.082$ Sex0.358Male270 (61.1)97 (56.7)Female172 (38.9)74 (43.3)Follow-up discontinuation by $< 0.001$ $0.082$ Physician352 (79.6)108 (63.2)Patient90 (20.4)63 (36.8)Diagnosis $< 0.001$ $0.079$ Respiratory infection193 (43.7)89 (52.0) $0.279$ Gastrointestinal infection69 (15.6)42 (24.6) $0.011$ Urinary tract infection75 (17.0)15 (8.8) $0.001$ Other febrile disease86 (19.5)30 (17.5) $0.646$ EBV infection36 (8.1)1 (0.6) $0.001$ CMV infection5 (1.1)0 (0) $0.329$ Physician $< 0.05$ 7 (8.8) $0.001$ Non-Gl pediatrician317 (71.7)98 (52.0) $9.22$ Pediatric gastroenterologist114 (25.8)12 (24.6)Pediatric gastroenterologist114 (25.8)12 (24.6)Pediatric pastroenterologist114 (25.8)99.3 ± 71.9Non-Gl pediatrician317 (71.7)98 (52.0)Pediatric gastroenterologist10.0 $0.329$ $0.05$ Emergency doctor9 (2.0)54 (17.5)Initial laboratory data $0.05 \pm 0.46$ $0.17 \pm 0.19$ AST, IU/L226.4 $\pm 340.5$ 99.3 $\pm 71.9$ $0.001$ ALT, IU/L226.4 $\pm 340.5$ 99.3 $\pm 71.9$ $0.001$ Respiratory data $0.05 \pm 0.46$ $0.17 \pm 0.19$ $0.55$ <t< td=""><td>No. of patients</td><td>442 (72.1)</td><td>171 (27.9)</td><td></td></t<>	No. of patients	442 (72.1)	171 (27.9)	
Sex         0.358           Male         270 (61.1)         97 (56.7)           Female         172 (38.9)         74 (3.3)           Follow-up discontinuation by         (0.001)           Physician         352 (79.6)         108 (63.2)           Patient         90 (20.4)         63 (36.8)           Diagnosis         8         6           Respiratory infection         69 (15.6)         42 (24.6)         0.011           Urinary tract infection         75 (17.0)         15 (8.8)         0.011           Other febrile disease         86 (19.5)         30 (17.5)         0.646           EBV infection         317 (71.7)         98 (52.0)         0.329           Physician         < 0.001	Age, yr	3.26 ± 4.86	$4.08 \pm 5.90$	0.082
Male         270 (61.1)         97 (56.7)           Female         172 (38.9)         74 (43.3)           Follow-up discontinuation by         < 0.001	Sex			0.358
Female172 (38.9)74 (43.3)Follow-up discontinuation by< 0.001	Male	270 (61.1)	97 (56.7)	
Follow-up discontinuation by       <	Female	172 (38.9)	74 (43.3)	
Physician Patient $352 (79.6)$ $108 (63.2)$ PatientPatient $90 (20.4)$ $63 (36.8)$ DiagnosisRespiratory infection $193 (43.7)$ $89 (52.0)$ Qastrointestinal infection $69 (15.6)$ $42 (24.6)$ Urinary tract infection $75 (17.0)$ $15 (8.8)$ Other febrile disease $86 (19.5)$ $30 (17.5)$ EBV infection $36 (8.1)$ $1 (0.6)$ Other febrile disease $86 (19.5)$ $30 (17.5)$ Non-GI pediatrician $317 (71.7)$ $98 (52.0)$ Pediatric gastroenterologist $114 (25.8)$ $12 (24.6)$ Pediatric resident $2 (0.5)$ $7 (8.8)$ Emergency doctor $9 (2.0)$ $54 (17.5)$ Initial laboratory data $-0.001$ AST, IU/L $199.2 \pm 288.6$ $85 \pm 59.7$ AST, IU/L $199.2 \pm 288.6$ $85 \pm 59.7$ $0.001$ $0.001$ $0.001$ ALT, IU/L $29.2 \pm 288.6$ $0.5 \pm 59.7$ $0.001$ $A1.7$ , $10/L$ $29.64 \pm 340.5$ $99.3 \pm 71.9$ $0.001$ ALT, IU/L $199.2 \pm 288.6$ $0.17 \pm 0.19$ $0.95$ $0.64 \pm 0.17$ $0.091$ $ALT, IU/L$ $0.60 \pm 0.73$ $0.48 \pm 0.41$ $0.04$ $0.99.2 \pm 288.6$ $0.17 \pm 0.19$ $0.95$ $0.16 \pm 0.29$ $0.05$ $0.95$ $0.16 \pm 0.29$ $0.05$ $0.95$ $0.16 \pm 0.29$ $0.05$ $0.95$ $0.19 \pm 133.9$ $0.16 \pm 42.6$ $0.32$ $0.92.2 \pm 0.48$ $4.30 \pm 0.39$ <td< td=""><td>Follow-up discontinuation by</td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td>&lt; 0.001</td></td<>	Follow-up discontinuation by	. ,		< 0.001
Patient90 (20.4)63 (36.8)DiagnosisRespiratory infection193 (43.7)89 (52.0)0.279Gastrointestinal infection69 (15.6)42 (24.6)0.011Urinary tract infection75 (17.0)15 (8.8)0.011Other febrile disease86 (19.5)30 (17.5)0.646EBV infection36 (8.1)1 (0.6)0.001CMV infection5 (1.1)0 (0)0.329Physician<0.001	Physician	352 (79.6)	108 (63.2)	
Diagnosis       No. C.Y.         Respiratory infection       193 (43.7)       89 (52.0)       0.279         Gastrointestinal infection       69 (15.6)       42 (24.6)       0.011         Urinary tract infection       75 (17.0)       15 (8.8)       0.011         Other febrile disease       86 (19.5)       30 (17.5)       0.646         EBV infection       36 (8.1)       1 (0.6)       0.001         CMV infection       5 (1.1)       0 (0)       0.329         Physician       317 (71.7)       98 (52.0)       98 (52.0)         Pediatric gastroenterologist       114 (25.8)       12 (24.6)       92         Pediatric resident       2 (0.5)       7 (8.8)       92         Emergency doctor       9 (2.0)       54 (17.5)       1001         Initial laboratory data       AST, IU/L       199.2 ± 288.6       85 ± 59.7       < 0.001	Patient	90 (20.4)	63 (36.8)	
Respiratory infection193 (43.7)89 (52.0)0.279Gastrointestinal infection69 (15.6)42 (24.6)0.011Urinary tract infection75 (17.0)15 (8.8)0.011Other febrile disease86 (19.5)30 (17.5)0.646EBV infection36 (8.1)1 (0.6)0.001CMV infection5 (1.1)0 (0)0.329Physician </td <td>Diagnosis</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Diagnosis			
Gastrointestinal infection69 (15.6)42 (24.6)0.011Urinary tract infection75 (17.0)15 (8.8)0.011Other febrile disease86 (19.5)30 (17.5)0.646EBV infection36 (8.1)1 (0.6)0.001CMV infection5 (1.1)0 (0)0.329Physician $< 0.001$ $< 0.001$ $< 0.001$ Non-GI pediatrician317 (71.7)98 (52.0) $< 0.001$ Pediatric gastroenterologist114 (25.8)12 (24.6)Pediatric resident2 (0.5)7 (8.8)Emergency doctor9 (2.0)54 (17.5)Initial laboratory data $< 0.001$ AST, IU/L199.2 ± 288.685 ± 59.7AST, IU/L226.4 ± 340.599.3 ± 71.9O,001TB, mg/dL0.60 ± 0.730.48 ± 0.410.48 ± 0.410.040.950.95Albumin, g/dL4.19 ± 0.484.30 ± 0.390.50 GGT, IU/L91.0 ± 133.951.6 ± 42.60.32ALP, U/L349.1 ± 273.1419.0 ± 296.70.06WBC, /uL11.871 ± 5,159.710.992.3 ± 4,737.4Peak laboratory data $< 0.001^*$ AST, IU/L236.3 ± 319.4 $< 0.001^*$ ALT, IU/L236.3 ± 319.4 $< 0.001^*$ Peak laboratory data $< 0.001^*$ Final laboratory data $< 0.32^*$ DB, mg/dL0.25 ± 0.49 $0.32^*$ DB, mg/dL0.25 ± 0.49 $0.1^*$	Respiratory infection	193 (43.7)	89 (52.0)	0.279
Urinary tract infectionTo (Tr,0)15 (8.8)0.011Other febrile disease86 (19.5)30 (17.5)0.646EBV infection36 (8.1)1 (0.6)0.001CMV infection5 (1.1)0 (0)0.329Physician< 0.001	Gastrointestinal infection	69 (15.6)	42 (24.6)	0.011
Other febrile disease16 (19.5)30 (17.5)0.646EBV infection36 (8.1)1 (0.6)0.001CMV infection5 (1.1)0 (0)0.329Physician </td <td>Urinary tract infection</td> <td>75 (17.0)</td> <td>15 (8.8)</td> <td>0.011</td>	Urinary tract infection	75 (17.0)	15 (8.8)	0.011
EBV infection36 (8.1)1 (0.6)0.001CMV infection5 (1.1)0 (0)0.329Physician $< (0.01]$ 0 (0)0.329Pediatric gastroenterologist114 (25.8)12 (24.6)Pediatric resident2 (0.5)7 (8.8)Emergency doctor9 (2.0)54 (17.5)Initial laboratory data $AST, IU/L$ 199.2 ± 288.6AST, IU/L199.2 ± 288.685 ± 59.7ALT, IU/L226.4 ± 340.599.3 ± 71.9QLD, CS ± 0.460.17 ± 0.190.95Albumin, g/dL0.60 ± 0.730.48 ± 0.41DB, mg/dL0.25 ± 0.460.17 ± 0.190.95Albumin, g/dL4.19 ± 0.484.30 ± 0.390.05GGT, IU/L91.0 ± 133.951.6 ± 42.60.32ALP, U/L349.1 ± 273.1419.0 ± 296.70.06WBC, /uL11.871 ± 5,159.710.992.3 ± 4,737.40.191Peak laboratory data $< 0.031^*$ 0.63 ± 0.870.032*AST, IU/L286.1 ± 384.6 $< 0.001^*$ ALT, IU/L282.1 ± 384.6 $< 0.032^*$ DB, mg/dL0.63 ± 0.870.032*DB, mg/dL0.25 ± 0.490.1*	Other febrile disease	86 (19.5)	30 (17.5)	0.646
Definition $1000$ $1000$ $0.329$ Physician $000$ $0.001$ Non-GI pediatrician $317 (71.7)$ $98 (52.0)$ Pediatric gastroenterologist $114 (25.8)$ $12 (24.6)$ Pediatric resident $2 (0.5)$ $7 (8.8)$ Emergency doctor $9 (2.0)$ $54 (17.5)$ Initial laboratory data $AST, IU/L$ $199.2 \pm 288.6$ $85 \pm 59.7$ AST, IU/L $199.2 \pm 288.6$ $85 \pm 59.7$ AST, IU/L $226.4 \pm 340.5$ $99.3 \pm 71.9$ $0.001$ $TB, mg/dL$ $0.60 \pm 0.73$ $0.48 \pm 0.41$ $0.48 \pm 0.41$ $0.60 \pm 0.73$ $0.48 \pm 0.41$ $0.04$ $DB, mg/dL$ $0.25 \pm 0.46$ $0.17 \pm 0.19$ $0.95$ Albumin, g/dL $4.19 \pm 0.48$ $4.30 \pm 0.39$ $0.05$ GGT, IU/L $91.0 \pm 133.9$ $51.6 \pm 42.6$ $0.32$ ALP, U/L $349.1 \pm 273.1$ $419.0 \pm 296.7$ $0.06$ WBC, /uL $118.771 \pm 5,159.7$ $10.992.3 \pm 4,737.4$ $0.191$ Peak laboratory data $< 326.3 \pm 319.4$ $< 0.001^*$ AST, IU/L $236.3 \pm 319.4$ $< 0.001^*$ ALT, IU/L $282.1 \pm 384.6$ $< 0.001^*$ TB, mg/dL $0.63 \pm 0.87$ $0.032^*$ DB, mg/dL $0.25 \pm 0.49$ $0.1^*$	EBV infection	36 (8.1)	1 (0.6)	0.001
ChristianChristianChristianChristianPhysician317 (71.7)98 (52.0)Pediatric gastroenterologist114 (25.8)12 (24.6)Pediatric resident2 (0.5)7 (8.8)Emergency doctor9 (2.0)54 (17.5)Initial laboratory dataAST, IU/L199.2 $\pm$ 288.685 $\pm$ 59.7AST, IU/L226.4 $\pm$ 340.599.3 $\pm$ 71.9O.001ALT, IU/L226.4 $\pm$ 340.599.3 $\pm$ 71.9O.001TB, mg/dL0.60 $\pm$ 0.730.48 $\pm$ 0.41DB, mg/dL0.25 $\pm$ 0.460.17 $\pm$ 0.190.95Albumin, g/dL4.19 $\pm$ 0.484.30 $\pm$ 0.390.05GGT, IU/L91.0 $\pm$ 133.951.6 $\pm$ 42.60.32ALP, U/L349.1 $\pm$ 273.1419.0 $\pm$ 296.70.06WBC, /uL11.871 $\pm$ 5,159.710.992.3 $\pm$ 4,737.40.191Peak laboratory data<0.032 $\star$ ALT, IU/L282.1 $\pm$ 384.6<0.001 $\star$ ALT, IU/L282.1 $\pm$ 384.6<0.032 $\star$ DB, mg/dL0.63 $\pm$ 0.870.032 $\star$ DB, mg/dL0.25 $\pm$ 0.490.1 $\star$	CMV infection	5 (1.1)	0 (0)	0.329
Non-GI pediatrician $317 (71.7)$ $98 (52.0)$ Pediatric gastroenterologist $114 (25.8)$ $12 (24.6)$ Pediatric resident $2 (0.5)$ $7 (8.8)$ Emergency doctor $9 (2.0)$ $54 (17.5)$ Initial laboratory data $437, 1U/L$ $199.2 \pm 288.6$ $85 \pm 59.7$ $< 0.001$ ALT, $1U/L$ $226.4 \pm 340.5$ $99.3 \pm 71.9$ $< 0.001$ ALT, $1U/L$ $226.4 \pm 340.5$ $99.3 \pm 71.9$ $< 0.001$ TB, mg/dL $0.60 \pm 0.73$ $0.48 \pm 0.41$ $0.04$ DB, mg/dL $0.25 \pm 0.46$ $0.17 \pm 0.19$ $0.95$ Albumin, g/dL $4.19 \pm 0.48$ $4.30 \pm 0.39$ $0.05$ GGT, $1U/L$ $91.0 \pm 133.9$ $51.6 \pm 42.6$ $0.32$ ALP, U/L $349.1 \pm 273.1$ $419.0 \pm 296.7$ $0.06$ WBC, /uL $11,871 \pm 5,159.7$ $10.992.3 \pm 4,737.4$ $0.191$ Peak laboratory data $< 0.001^*$ $< 0.001^*$ ALT, $1U/L$ $236.3 \pm 319.4$ $< 0.001^*$ ALT, $1U/L$ $282.1 \pm 384.6$ $< 0.001^*$ ALT, $1U/L$ $0.63 \pm 0.87$ $0.032^*$ DB, mg/dL $0.25 \pm 0.49$ $0.1^*$	Physician	0 ()	0 (0)	< 0.001
Pediatric gastroenterologist114 (25.8)12 (24.6)Pediatric resident2 (0.5)7 (8.8)Emergency doctor9 (2.0)54 (17.5)Initial laboratory data	Non-GI pediatrician	317 (71.7)	98 (52.0)	
Pediatric resident2 (0.5)7 (8.8)Emergency doctor9 (2.0)54 (17.5)Initial laboratory data $AST, IU/L$ 199.2 ± 288.685 ± 59.7< 0.001ALT, IU/L199.2 ± 288.685 ± 59.7< 0.001ALT, IU/L226.4 ± 340.599.3 ± 71.9< 0.001DB, mg/dL0.60 ± 0.730.48 ± 0.410.04DB, mg/dL0.25 ± 0.460.17 ± 0.190.95Albumin, g/dL4.19 ± 0.484.30 ± 0.390.05GGT, IU/L91.0 ± 133.951.6 ± 42.60.32ALP, U/L349.1 ± 273.1419.0 ± 296.70.06WBC, /uL11.871 ± 5,159.710.992.3 ± 4,737.40.191Peak laboratory dataAST, IU/L236.3 ± 319.4<<0.001*ALT, IU/L282.1 ± 384.6<0.001*DB, mg/dL0.63 ± 0.870.032*DB, mg/dL0.25 ± 0.490.1*	Pediatric gastroenterologist	114 (25.8)	12 (24.6)	
Emergency doctor9 (2.0)54 (17.5)Initial laboratory data $AST, IU/L$ 199.2 ± 288.6 $85 \pm 59.7$ < 0.001	Pediatric resident	2 (0.5)	7 (8.8)	
Initial laboratory data         Initial laboratory data           AST, IU/L         199.2 ± 288.6         85 ± 59.7         < 0.001	Emergency doctor	9 (2.0)	54 (17.5)	
AST, IU/L199.2 ± 288.685 ± 59.7< 0.001ALT, IU/L226.4 ± 340.599.3 ± 71.9< 0.001	Initial laboratory data	- ()	2 ()	
ALT, IU/L226.4 $\pm$ 340.599.3 $\pm$ 71.9< 0.001TB, mg/dL0.60 $\pm$ 0.730.48 $\pm$ 0.410.04DB, mg/dL0.25 $\pm$ 0.460.17 $\pm$ 0.190.95Albumin, g/dL4.19 $\pm$ 0.484.30 $\pm$ 0.390.05GGT, IU/L91.0 $\pm$ 133.951.6 $\pm$ 42.60.32ALP, U/L349.1 $\pm$ 273.1419.0 $\pm$ 296.70.06WBC, /uL11.871 $\pm$ 5,159.710,992.3 $\pm$ 4,737.40.191Peak laboratory dataAST, IU/L236.3 $\pm$ 319.4< 0.001*	AST. IU/L	$199.2 \pm 288.6$	85 ± 59.7	< 0.001
TB, mg/dL $0.60 \pm 0.73$ $0.48 \pm 0.41$ $0.04$ DB, mg/dL $0.25 \pm 0.46$ $0.17 \pm 0.19$ $0.95$ Albumin, g/dL $4.19 \pm 0.48$ $4.30 \pm 0.39$ $0.05$ GGT, IU/L $91.0 \pm 133.9$ $51.6 \pm 42.6$ $0.32$ ALP, U/L $349.1 \pm 273.1$ $419.0 \pm 296.7$ $0.06$ WBC, /uL $11.871 \pm 5,159.7$ $10.992.3 \pm 4,737.4$ $0.191$ Peak laboratory data $4ST, IU/L$ $236.3 \pm 319.4$ $< 0.001^*$ ALT, IU/L $282.1 \pm 384.6$ $< 0.001^*$ $0.032^*$ DB, mg/dL $0.63 \pm 0.87$ $0.032^*$ $0.1^*$	ALT. IU/L	$226.4 \pm 340.5$	99.3 ± 71.9	< 0.001
DB, mg/dL         0.05 ± 0.46         0.17 ± 0.19         0.95           Albumin, g/dL         4.19 ± 0.48         4.30 ± 0.39         0.05           GGT, IU/L         91.0 ± 133.9         51.6 ± 42.6         0.32           ALP, U/L         349.1 ± 273.1         419.0 ± 296.7         0.06           WBC, /uL         11,871 ± 5,159.7         10,992.3 ± 4,737.4         0.191           Peak laboratory data <td>TB, mg/dl</td> <td><math>0.60 \pm 0.73</math></td> <td><math>0.48 \pm 0.41</math></td> <td>0.04</td>	TB, mg/dl	$0.60 \pm 0.73$	$0.48 \pm 0.41$	0.04
Albumin, g/dL     4.19 ± 0.48     4.30 ± 0.39     0.05       GGT, IU/L     91.0 ± 133.9     51.6 ± 42.6     0.32       ALP, U/L     349.1 ± 273.1     419.0 ± 296.7     0.06       WBC, /uL     11,871 ± 5,159.7     10,992.3 ± 4,737.4     0.191       Peak laboratory data           ALT, IU/L     236.3 ± 319.4     <0.001*	DB mg/dl	$0.25 \pm 0.46$	$0.17 \pm 0.19$	0.95
GGT, IU/L     91.0 ± 133.9     51.6 ± 42.6     0.32       ALP, U/L     349.1 ± 273.1     419.0 ± 296.7     0.06       WBC, /uL     11,871 ± 5,159.7     10,992.3 ± 4,737.4     0.191       Peak laboratory data     4LT, IU/L     236.3 ± 319.4     < 0.001*	Albumin g/dl	4 19 + 0 48	4 30 + 0 39	0.05
ALP, U/L     349.1 ± 273.1     419.0 ± 296.7     0.06       WBC, /uL     11,871 ± 5,159.7     10,992.3 ± 4,737.4     0.191       Peak laboratory data	GGT III/I	91.0 + 133.9	51 6 + 42 6	0.32
NLT, O/L     0401 ± 21011     0400 ± 25017     0400       WBC, /uL     11,871 ± 5,159.7     10,992.3 ± 4,737.4     0.191       Peak laboratory data          ALT, IU/L     236.3 ± 319.4     < 0.001*		349 1 + 973 1	419.0 + 296.7	0.06
Peak laboratory data         236.3 ± 319.4         < 0.001*           ALT, IU/L         236.3 ± 0.87         0.001*           TB, mg/dL         0.63 ± 0.87         0.032*           DB, mg/dL         0.25 ± 0.49         0.1*	WBC /ul	11 871 + 5 159 7	$10.999.3 \pm 4.737.4$	0.00
AST, IU/L       236.3 ± 319.4       < 0.001*	Peak laboratory data	11,071 ± 0,100.7	10,002.0 ± 4,707.4	0.101
ALT, IU/L         282.1 ± 384.6         < 0.001*           TB, mg/dL         0.63 ± 0.87         0.032*           DB, mg/dL         0.25 ± 0.49         0.1*	AST. IU/I	236.3 + 319.4		< 0.001*
TB, mg/dL         0.63 ± 0.87         0.032*           DB, mg/dL         0.25 ± 0.49         0.1*		282.1 + 384.6		< 0.001*
DB, mg/dL         0.05 ± 0.09         0.002           Final laboratory data         0.1*         0.1*	TB mg/dl	$0.63 \pm 0.87$		0.039*
Final laboratory data		$0.03 \pm 0.07$		0.052
	Final laboratory data	0.20 ± 0.40		0.1
AST    /  50.0 + 32.4 < 0.001*		50.0 + 39.4		< 0.001*
AIT III/I 60.6 + 68.5 / 0.001*		60 6 ± 68 5		< 0.001*
TB mg/dl 0.38 + 0.39 0.00*		$0.38 \pm 0.39$		0.001
DB mg/dl 0.19 + 0.11 0.003*	DB mg/dl	0.12 + 0.11		0.003*

Table 3. Clinical characteristics according to the follow-up group

Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or number (%).

EBV = Epstein-Barr virus, CMV = cytomegalovirus, GI = gastrointestinal, AST = aspartate transaminase, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, TB = total bilirubin, DB = direct bilirubin, GGT = gamma glutamyl transferase, ALP = alkaline phosphatase, WBC = white blood cell count.

\**P* values were calculated by comparison to initial liver function tests in the follow-up loss group.

Follow-up rates and loss rates differed significantly among the attending doctors (P < 0.001). Better compliance was observed in non-GI pediatricians and pediatric gastroenterologists (follow-up rates were 76.4% and 90.5%, respectively). However, the patients of pediatric residents and emergency doctors showed much poorer compliance; the follow-up loss rates were as high as 77.8% and 85.7%, respectively. Mean initial AST, ALT, and TB levels were significantly higher in the follow-up group (**Table 3**).

#### **Factors related to ALT recovery**

According to the univariate analysis, many variables were related to ALT recovery, such as number of infections, relapse, initial AST, ALT, TB, peak AST, ALT, and TB. However, after multivariate analysis, older age was associated with better ALT recovery (odds ratio [OR] of age for month = 1.003; P = 0.004), while the number of infection episodes (OR = 0.626;

# JKMS



Fig. 1. Kaplan Meier plot for factors related with ALT recovery.

In the Kaplan-Meier plot, the subjects with respiratory infection, UTI, and EBV infection showed delayed ALT recovery.

ALT = alanine aminotransferase, UTI = urinary tract infection, EBV = Epstein-Barr virus, GI = gastrointestinal, CMV = cytomegalovirus.

P < 0.001) was associated with poor ALT recovery. We also observed that higher initial ALT and TB were related to poor prognosis, although they were not statistically significant (OR = 0.999; P = 0.116 and OR = 0.791; P = 0.081, respectively). Regarding clinical diagnosis, respiratory infection (P = 0.015), UTI (P = 0.036), and EBV infection (P = 0.044), seem to be related to unfavorable prognosis for ALT recovery (**Fig. 1**). However statistical significance was not confirmed in gastrointestinal infection, other febrile disease and cytomegalovirus infection (**Fig. 1**).

#### Hepatitis workup

Abdominal sonography was the most commonly used diagnostic tool for hepatitis (n = 226, 36.9%), while abdominal computed tomography (CT) was rarely used (n = 17, 2.8%). Hepatotropic viruses including hepatitis B (n = 190, 31%), hepatitis A (n = 161, 26%), and hepatitis C (n = 148, 24.1%) were usually screened. The other infectious markers used for hepatitis workup included EBV (n = 139, 22.7%), CMV (n = 99, 16.2%), HSV (n = 68, 11.19%), rubella (n = 45, 7.3%), and toxoplasma (n = 41, 6.7%). In terms of metabolic and autoimmune screening tests, neonatal screening tests (n = 10, 1.6%), serum amino acids (n = 10, 1.6%), urine organic acids (n = 11, 1.6%), ceruloplasmin (n = 62, 10.1%), and FANA (n = 50, 8.2%) were evaluated.

Pediatric gastroenterologists more frequently used diverse diagnostic modalities than non-GI pediatricians: abdominal sonography (57.9% vs. 34.9%), and antibodies against hepatitis B (50.0% vs. 28.9%), hepatitis A (42.1% vs. 25.1%), hepatitis C (40.5% vs. 21.4%),

# JKMS

#### Korean Multicenter Study of Pediatric Nonspecific Reactive Hepatitis



Fig. 2. Diversity of hepatitis workup for abnormal liver function tests in Korean population.

(A) Diversity of hepatitis workup among physicians. (B) Diversity of hepatitis workup among hospitals.

CT = computed tomography, HBV = hepatitis B virus, HAV = hepatitis A virus, HCV = hepatitis C virus, EBV = Epstein-Barr virus, CMV = cytomegalovirus, HSV = herpes simplex virus, FANA = fluorescent antinuclear antibody.

ceruloplasmin (28.6% vs. 5.8%), and FANA (20.6% vs. 5.5%) (**Fig. 2A**). Less than 20% of emergency doctors and pediatric residents also performed diagnostic hepatitis workup. In addition to the difference among physicians for hepatitis workup, hospital-specific differences were also observed among tertiary hospitals in Korea (**Fig. 2B**). These differences among physicians were statistically significant (P < 0.05), except for abdominal CT.

### DISCUSSION

Systemic infection-related liver enzyme elevation in children is a common clinical situation.<sup>2,4</sup> Although hepatic enzymes are increased in various diseases such as sepsis, respiratory infections, digestive diseases, and urinary tract infections, the clear mechanism of liver injury after systemic infection is still unknown.<sup>1</sup> In addition, there is no clinical guidelines, and there are only a few studies about these conditions, follow-up interval, period, and diagnostic modalities that vary widely among doctors and institutions. The purpose of this study was to investigate the clinical characteristics of LFT elevation after systemic infection and the management by doctors and hospitals in the real world in Korea.

In the present study, ALT levels in some patients were elevated above 2,000 IU, which was 50 times higher than the normal reference range, but none of them progressed to hepatic failure or had other signs of severe hepatic damage such as severe hyperbilirubinemia, clinically significant coagulopathy, or hepatic biosynthesis/clearance defects. Considering

the inclusion and exclusion criteria of this study, these findings are natural. However, it is noteworthy that ALT can be elevated to the extent that severe hepatic pathologies are suspected, even in non-specific benign conditions.

Respiratory infection was the most common cause of the NRH, and rhinovirus was the most common pathogen following RSV and adenovirus in our study. Interestingly, according to the Korean Disease control and Prevention Agency (kdca.go.kr), most common pathogen causing acute respiratory infection during our study period, was rhinovirus, followed by RSV. adenovirus and parainfluenzavirus.<sup>8</sup> Previous Korean studies conducted in 2005–2014 and 1996–2006 also reported that respiratory infection was the most common infection focused in NRH; however, adenovirus and RSV were more common than rhinovirus.<sup>2,4</sup> Some case reports also show that these respiratory viruses can cause liver injury during systemic infection.<sup>9-14</sup> In addition to viruses, bacterial pneumonia (e.g., Streptococcus pneumonia, Haemophilus influenza, Staphylococcus aureus, or Pseudomonas aeruginosa) and mycoplasma pneumonia can cause hepatocellular damage and cause laboratory abnormalities, including hyperbilirubinemia.<sup>1</sup> Similar to several previous reports, we found that GI (18.1%) and UTI (14.7%) were also common causes of NRH.<sup>2,4</sup> One small pediatric study showed the relationship between reactive hepatitis and gastrointestinal infection, and rotavirus infection was associated with significantly higher transaminase levels than the infection with the norovirus or adenovirus group.<sup>15</sup> However, in our study, adenovirus was more common than other GI pathogens. In terms of UTI, a previous Korean study reported that 20.5% of patients had elevated liver enzyme levels during urinary tract infection and the liver enzyme levels became normal within 1 month, except in one of 249 cases.<sup>16</sup> However, in the current study, the mean follow-up duration of 90 patients with UTI was  $48.4 \pm 86.3$  days, and E. coli infection showed a somewhat longer duration (median, 13 days; IOR, 4–44 days) of ALT elevation than the previous study. This difference was probably due to the different study designs and study populations.

We found that the older age of patients was related to a shorter duration of hepatic enzyme elevation; the mean age of our study patients was 3.5 years, and 311 (50.7%) were younger than 12 months. Other studies have also shown that children younger than 5 years commonly experience increased liver enzyme levels during systemic infections.<sup>4,10,13,15,16</sup> As the exact mechanism of NRH remains unknown, the explanation of the poorer prognosis in younger infants is even more difficult. In terms of the mechanism of NRH, Polakos et al.<sup>12</sup> suggested that the formation of inflammatory foci, including apoptotic hepatocytes, antigen-specific CD8+ T cells, and Kupffer cells might be related to the 'collateral damage' of the liver in many extrahepatic viral infections.<sup>12</sup> In bacterial infection with/without septic conditions, the interaction between Kupffer cells, hepatocytes, neutrophils, and endothelial cells in response to systemic infection is thought to result in hepatic dysfunction.<sup>17,18</sup> Assuming the above-mentioned mechanism, poorer prognosis in young infants may be related to different hepatic immune functions and/or different hepatic functional reservoirs in younger children; however, we have failed to find supporting evidence.

Although the mean ALT (99.3  $\pm$  71.9 IU/L) in the follow- up loss group was significantly lower than the follow-up group (282.1  $\pm$  384.6 IU/L), it was still high three times higher more than normal range.<sup>5-7</sup> A considerable number of patients (n = 108, 17.6%) discontinued follow-up by physicians' decisions in this study. Most of them were seen by pediatricians and emergency doctors. It is impossible to make a final diagnosis of the LFT elevation in the follow-up loss group due to a potential cause of the LFT elevation. Since most of the emergency departments are busy, LFT elevation among children with acute infectious disease is easily overlooked. Physicians should keep in mind that there will always be a possibility of other cause of LFT elevation except for NRH.

Furthermore, the hepatitis workup was also significantly different between physicians and hospitals. Pediatrician and pediatric gastroenterologists usually repeat the LFT and perform hepatitis workup, such as sonography and tests involving other viral markers, more frequently than other doctors. This difference might be related to differences in clinical concerns due to their specialized medical fields and/or experiences and knowledge of liver diseases. Due to the fact that NRH is the diagnosis of exclusion, the diagnosis could be changed by physician's extent of hepatitis workup and follow up duration. Although the generalist-specialist differences are not rare in many diseases, <sup>19-21</sup> the general physicians have to follow up with these patients to see the LFT normalization and appropriatly refer to the gastroenterologist if necessary.

Inborn errors of metabolism are also associated with liver dysfunction and jaundice in young children. In many cases, abnormal liver function test results are the earliest sign of such diseases; therefore, proper evaluation and treatment are required to avoid undesirable outcomes.<sup>22</sup> However, in our study, only a few patients (1.6%) underwent metabolic studies, even by pediatric gastroenterologists. Similar to the absence of cases with liver failure mentioned previously, this result might be related to the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the current study. Therefore, this could not reflect the actual number of metabolic screenings for pediatric LFT elevation. The clinical importance of metabolic screening cannot be overlooked. More attention toward metabolic diseases might be required to some extent.

When we encounter children with abnormal LFTs, we should consider various reasons for hepatitis in children, including infectious, toxic, metabolic, autoimmune, and others.<sup>23,24</sup> There are some review articles suggesting that we should repeat LFTs, including AST, ALT, GGT, and creatinine phosphokinase, if there is a persistent increase in liver enzyme levels; it suggested a stepwise approach to evaluate the etiology.<sup>24</sup> It also suggested that the first-line panel should consist of liver ultrasonography and other frequent causes such as a hepatotropic virus and ceruloplasmin (> 3 years). Second-and third-line investigations should be considered to exclude autoimmune hepatitis and other metabolic diseases, including liver biopsies.<sup>24</sup> However, it remains unclear when children have only mild transaminase elevation with a recent infection, because we should consider the cost-benefit effect, risk of recurrent vein puncture, and delayed diagnosis. We need to thoroughly review the patient's history, physical examination, and laboratory results to evaluate the timely and correct diagnosis of reactive hepatitis in children.

The limitations of this study are as follows. This was a retrospective study, and we collected data from six tertiary teaching hospitals, which might not reflect nationwide Korean data. However, through a multi-center study, we could determine the clinical characteristics, associated etiology, prognosis, and hepatitis workup for LFT abnormalities after a systemic infection. Second, because we excluded patients with other liver diseases within the scope of the hepatitis workup among all patients with LFT elevation, diagnoses other than NRH might be included especially in the patients who were not able to follow up. However, from this population, we could report real-world data on the differences among physicians and institutions in hepatitis workup under these conditions. This could be explained by the lack of evidence in this field, although it is a common clinical situation, especially in the pediatric

field. Further studies on the etiology, mechanism, and prognosis of NRH are needed to establish consistent guidelines.

In conclusion, Abnormal liver function test results after systemic infection was common in respiratory infection and under the age of 1 year. Age, number of infections, and initial results of LFTs were significantly associated with ALT recovery time. Inter-physician, interinstitution, and inter-specialty variances were observed in real-world practice. Therefore, the development of standardized clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of NRH should be considered for appropriate and consistent management.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Minemura M, Tajiri K, Shimizu Y. Liver involvement in systemic infection. *World J Hepatol* 2014;6(9):632-42. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Jang M, Oh MS, Oh SC, Kang KS. Distribution of diseases causing liver function test abnormality in children and natural recovery time of the abnormal liver function. *J Korean Med Sci* 2016;31(11):1784-9.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Schaffner F, Popper H. Nonspecific reactive hepatitis in aged and infirm people. *Am J Dig Dis* 1959;4(5):389-99.

#### PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Kim TS, Hur TH, Lim SJ, Bin JH, Hahn SH, Kim SY, et al. A rise and fall in AST and ALT level in nonspecific reactive hepatitis. *Clin Exp Pediatr* 2008;51(4):396-400.
   CROSSREF
- Kim JW, Lee KJ, Yang HR, Chang JY, Moon JS, Khang YH, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of elevated alanine aminotransferase among Korean adolescents: 2001–2014. *BMC Public Health* 2018;18(1):617.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Park SH, Park HY, Kang JW, Park J, Shin KJ. Aminotransferase upper reference limits and the prevalence of elevated aminotransferases in the Korean adolescent population. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2012;55(6):668-72.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Kang Y, Park S, Kim S, Koh H. Estimated prevalence of adolescents with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 2018;33(14):e109.
- 8. Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency. *Infectious Diseases Surveillance Yearbook 2019*. Cheongju, Korea: Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency; 2020.
- Cui HJ, Tong XL, Li P, Hao YX, Chen XG, Li AG, et al. Serum hepatic enzyme manifestations in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome: retrospective analysis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2004;10(11):1652-5.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Matoq A, Salahuddin A. Acute hepatitis and pancytopenia in healthy infant with adenovirus. *Case Rep Pediatr* 2016;2016:8648190.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Oh JS, Choi JS, Lee YH, Ko KO, Lim JW, Cheon EJ, et al. The relationships between respiratory virus infection and aminotransferase in children. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr* 2016;19(4):243-50.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Polakos NK, Cornejo JC, Murray DA, Wright KO, Treanor JJ, Crispe IN, et al. Kupffer cell-dependent hepatitis occurs during influenza infection. *Am J Pathol* 2006;168(4):1169-78.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Alhammadi AH, Hendaus MA, Kayoum AA. Alteration of liver function due to H1N1 infection: a case report. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol* 2013;6:173-5.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Eisenhut M, Thorburn K, Ahmed T. Transaminase levels in ventilated children with respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. *Intensive Care Med* 2004;30(5):931-4.
- Kucuk O, Ugras M, Biçer S, Çöl D, Giray T, Erdag GC, et al. Hypertransaminasaemia in children with viral gastroenteritis. *Infez Med* 2016;24(1):32-7.
   PUBMED

- Park JY, Ko KO, Lim JW, Cheon EJ, Yoon JM. Increase in aminotransferase levels during urinary tract infections in children. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr* 2013;16(2):89-94.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 17. Pastor CM, Billiar TR, Losser MR, Payen DM. Liver injury during sepsis. *J Crit Care* 1995;10(4):183-97. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Szabo G, Romics L Jr, Frendl G. Liver in sepsis and systemic inflammatory response syndrome. *Clin Liver Dis* 2002;6(4):1045-66.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Bechini A, Levi M, Falla A, Ahmad A, Veldhuijzen I, Tiscione E, et al. The role of the general practitioner in the screening and clinical management of chronic viral hepatitis in six EU countries. *J Prev Med Hyg* 2016;57(2):E51-60.
   PUBMED
- Gladman LM, Gorard DA. General practitioner and hospital specialist attitudes to functional gastrointestinal disorders. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003;17(5):651-4.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Tatlow-Golden M, Prihodova L, Gavin B, Cullen W, McNicholas F. What do general practitioners know about ADHD? Attitudes and knowledge among first-contact gatekeepers: systematic narrative review. *BMC Fam Pract* 2016;17(1):129.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 22. Burton BK. Inborn errors of metabolism in infancy: a guide to diagnosis. *Pediatrics* 1998;102(6):E69. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 23. Kang KS. Abnormality on liver function test. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr* 2013;16(4):225-32. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Vajro P, Maddaluno S, Veropalumbo C. Persistent hypertransaminasemia in asymptomatic children: a stepwise approach. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013;19(18):2740-51.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF