

Original Research



OPEN ACCESS

Received: May 3, 2022

Revised: Aug 19, 2022

Accepted: Dec 16, 2022

Published online: Jan 25, 2023

Corresponding Author:

Sang Woo Oh

Department of Family Medicine, Center for Obesity, Metabolism, and Nutrition, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, 27 Dongguk-ro, Ilsandong-gu, Goyang 10326, Korea.

Tel. +82-31-961-5770

Fax. +82-31-961-5769


Email. osw6021@naver.com

©2023 The Korean Nutrition Society and the Korean Society of Community Nutrition This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Yeong Sook Yoon 

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9249-2940>

Sang Woo Oh 

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5623-6720>

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interests.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yoon YS, Oh SW; Formal analysis: Yoon YS; Investigation: Yoon YS; Methodology: Yoon YS, Oh SW; Supervision:

Association between diet quality and type of meal companion: the 2013–2015 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES)

Yeong Sook Yoon ¹ and Sang Woo Oh ^{2§}

¹Department of Family Medicine, Inje University Ilsan Paik Hospital, Goyang 10380, Korea

²Department of Family Medicine, Center for Obesity, Metabolism, and Nutrition, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, Goyang 10326, Korea

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: A meal companion is an important social determinant of eating behaviors and is related to what and how much a person will eat within the social context.

This study examined the difference in diet quality according to the type of dining companion.

SUBJECTS/METHODS: This study included 15,074 adults (6,180 men and 8,894 women, ≥ 19 years) who participated in the 6th (2013–2015) Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Korean Healthy Eating Index (KHEI) scores were calculated using the food frequency questionnaire data. Survey multivariate linear regression analysis was used to assess the association of dining companions (ordinary type, eating with family members, eating alone, and eating with others) and the total and component scores of KHEI.

RESULTS: People who exclusively ate with someone other than family or exclusively ate alone had lower total KHEI scores in both men (57.23 ± 0.67 , 58.56 ± 0.73 vs. 62.71 ± 0.26) and women (57.63 ± 0.97 , 63.89 ± 0.58 vs. 65.79 ± 0.22) compared to people with the ordinary type (all $P < 0.05$). Both men and women who ate exclusively with someone other than family had lower KHEI component scores for breakfast, whole grains, and fruit, excluding juice, compared to the ordinary type and family eating group. Compared to the eating alone group, the component scores for breakfast and whole grains were lower in the eating with others group. **CONCLUSION:** The diet quality differed according to the meal companion type. People who always ate with someone other than family members and men who ate alone showed lower diet quality scores than the ordinary type. More research will be needed to improve their adherence to dietary recommendations.

Keywords: Diet; healthy eating; eating behavior; family members; companions

INTRODUCTION

Eating behavior is a broad term encompassing food choices and motives, feeding practices, dieting, and eating-related problems, such as obesity, eating disorders, and feeding disorders [1]. Various biological, physical, social, and economic determinants affect eating behavior. Meal companions are an important social determinant, and are related to what and how much people eat as well as the communicative function within the social context.

Oh SW; Validation: Oh SW; Writing - original draft: Yoon YS; Writing - review & editing: Yoon YS, Oh SW.

Where meals are consumed and whether one eats with others may affect food choice. A previous study of diet quality focused on family eating. In children and adolescents, family eating has been consistently associated with healthy dietary outcomes [2,3]. These outcomes included the following: high diet quality scores [4,5]; high intake of fruit [6-8], vegetables [7-9], and dairy foods [7-9]; lower intake of fried foods [7] and sugar-sweetened beverages [7,10]; less frequent breakfast and lunch skipping [4,11]. On the other hand, adults showed mixed findings [2,10,12-15].

Recent research focused on eating alone rather than family eating, particularly in older adults. A higher frequency of eating alone has also been associated with less healthy tendencies in dietary habits [16] and lower diet quality and variety [17,18]. However, a study of 6,094 community-dwelling older Japanese women showed that eating alone most of the time was not associated with the Healthy Eating Index (HEI)-2010 scores [19].

Only one study has examined the association between commensality and diet quality in Korean adults [16]. Lee *et al.* [16] identified that a higher frequency of eating alone in Korean adults showed an unhealthier tendency in dietary habits. Their study had the following limitations: the age of the study subjects was 20–50 years; the diet quality was evaluated using the mean adequacy ratio; the dimensions of diet quality were not evaluated.

Most people eat at least one or two meals in the company of others, primarily their spouses or other family members, depending on their age, work, and housing situation [20,21]. With the recent increase in single-person households and lifestyle changes, the form of meal companions has also diversified. Considering these points, more study is needed to classify the types of meal companions in more detail and use the Korean Healthy Eating Index (KHEI) to provide the overall diet quality and component scores. Thus, this study examined the difference in diet quality according to the type of meal companion and which components of the KHEI lead to a difference in the overall diet quality.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Data and study population

This cross-sectional study used data from the 6th Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES), which includes health interviews, behavioral and nutritional surveys, and a health examination. The KNHANES VI was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (approval number: 2013-07CON-03-4C and 2015-01-02-6C), and written informed consent was obtained from all the participants [22]. Details of the study design and method are reported elsewhere [23].

Among 18,034 adults aged 19 or older who participated in the 6th KNHANES, those who did not participate in all studies, including health interviews, behavioral and nutritional surveys, and a health examination study ($n = 2,886$), those who did not answer the question about eating companions ($n = 58$), and those who did not provide the KHEI score ($n = 69$) were excluded. Finally, 15,074 adults (6,180 men and 8,894 women) were included in the analysis.

Dietary assessment, KHEI, and classification of meal companion

The total daily energy and nutrient intake were assessed using a validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) [24] and a 24-h recall from a nutritional survey by a trained nutritionist. The KHEI scores were calculated using the FFQ data. The standards and methods for calculating the KHEI score are described elsewhere [25,26]. Briefly, the KHEI consists of 14 components: eight adequacy components (breakfast, whole grains, fruits, vegetables, meat/fish/eggs and beans, and milk and dairy), three moderation components (saturated fatty acids, sodium, and sweets and beverages), and three balance components (carbohydrates, total fat, and energy). The maximum scores for the total were 100 points, and higher total and component KHEI scores indicate better compliance with the diet recommendations of the dietary guidelines for Koreans [26].

The usual meal companions were measured by the question, “Have you had breakfast, lunch, and dinner with others over the past year?” If the participant answered yes, there was an additional question about dining with someone other than their family members [23]. The participants were classified into four groups based on the answers to this question: 1) Ordinary group, mixed type such as eating with family members, other people or eating alone; 2) Family eating group, an individual who always eats with family members; 3) Eating with others group, an individual who always eats with others than a family; and 4) Eating alone group, a person who always eats alone.

Assessment of covariates

The health interview and behavior surveys consisted of sociodemographic and lifestyle factors, including age, sex, presence of a spouse, family income, household type, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and physical activity [26]. Family income was categorized as $< 100 \times 10^4$ (Korean currency; 1 dollar = 1,194 won), $100\text{--}199 \times 10^4$, $200\text{--}299 \times 10^4$, $300\text{--}399 \times 10^4$, $400\text{--}499 \times 10^4$, and $\geq 500 \times 10^4$ won/month. Alcohol consumption was divided into 4 groups according to the average amount of alcohol consumed per day: none, 1–14.9 g/day, 15–29.9 g/day, and ≥ 30 g/day. Smoking status was classified as current smoker, ex-smoker, and never smoker. The total weekly metabolic equivalent of the task energy expenditure was calculated using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) [27].

The health examination study contained anthropometric, blood pressure, and biochemical measurements [26]. Height and body weight were measured, and the body mass index (BMI) was computed as weight (kg) divided by the square of the height (m^2). Blood pressure was measured three times in a sitting position using a standardized mercury sphygmomanometer. The average of the last two measurements was recorded as the blood pressure. Hypertension was defined as blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg systolic or ≥ 90 mmHg diastolic or currently taking antihypertensive medications. The fasting blood sugar (FBS) and total cholesterol (TC) were analyzed using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay method (COBAS 8000 C702; Roche, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). Type 2 diabetes was defined as FBS levels of ≥ 126 mg/dL or the use of insulin or oral glucose-lowering medication. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as TC levels of ≥ 240 mg/dL or taking cholesterol-lowering medications.

Statistical analysis

Survey sample analyses were performed using weight considering the complex survey design [28]. The means with SEs for continuous variables and the frequency (%) for categorical variables were reported. Differences between the groups were tested using a survey regression analysis for the continuous variables and a χ^2 test for the categorical variables.

Survey multivariate linear regression analysis was used to assess the association of the meal companion type and nutrient intake or KHEI scores after adjusting for age, spouse, BMI, smoking status, family income, total weekly physical activity, daily alcohol intake, presence of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. Post-hoc analyses using the Bonferroni adjustment were implemented to examine the specific differences among the groups. Stratified analysis was performed to explore further the independent risk associated with the meal companion types and specific sociodemographic factors, such as age, presence of occupation, and presence of a spouse. Test for effect modification was conducted by including the cross-product term between categorical terms for meal companion types and stratification factors in the multivariate model. In sensitivity analysis, we additionally or alternatively adjusted for the presence of occupation or monthly income per household member in multivariate models. All statistical analyses were carried out using the STATA statistical analysis package (version 14.2; Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA). A P -value < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the study subjects

The percentage of each meal companion type, i.e., ordinary, family eating, eating alone, and eating with others group was 65.3%, 16.4%, 8.3%, and 10.0% in men and 64.4%, 18.1%, 13.0%, and 4.5% in women, respectively. The mean KHEI score was 61.7 ± 0.22 in men and 64.8 ± 0.20 in women, respectively.

Table 1 lists the general characteristics of the study subjects according to the type of meal companions. The eating with others group was relatively young, consumed more alcohol, had a higher proportion of current smokers, ate out more often, and was less likely to eat three meals a day in both men and women. In contrast, the family eating group was older and had a higher frequency of having a spouse and comorbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. The eating alone group showed a higher proportion of lower income and comorbidities, and a lower proportion of having a spouse.

KHEI according to the type of dining companion

Fig. 1 presents the total KHEI scores according to the type of dining companion. People who exclusively ate with someone other than family or exclusively ate alone had lower total KHEI scores in both men (57.23 ± 0.67 , 58.56 ± 0.73 vs. 62.71 ± 0.26) and women (57.63 ± 0.97 , 63.89 ± 0.58 vs. 65.79 ± 0.22) when compared to persons with ordinary type. In particular, women who ate with others showed the lowest total KHEI score (57.63 ± 0.97).

Table 2 lists the mean score of the components of KHEI by the type of dining companion after adjusting for all potential confounders. Men and women who exclusively ate with someone other than family had lower KHEI component scores for breakfast (men, 4.53 ± 0.22 vs. 7.57 ± 0.07 , 7.51 ± 0.13 ; women, 4.06 ± 0.24 vs. 7.71 ± 0.06 , 7.56 ± 0.12), whole grains (men, 1.33 ± 0.1 vs. 2.30 ± 0.05 , 2.58 ± 0.09 ; women, 1.73 ± 0.14 vs. 2.37 ± 0.04 , 2.36 ± 0.07), and fruit excluding juice (men, 1.80 ± 0.12 vs. 2.12 ± 0.05 , 2.28 ± 0.10 ; women, 2.37 ± 0.17 vs. 2.93 ± 0.04 , 2.91 ± 0.08) compared to the ordinary group and family eating group. Compared to the eating alone group, the component scores for breakfast (men, 4.53 ± 0.22 vs. 6.22 ± 0.22 ; women, 4.06 ± 0.24 vs. 6.67 ± 0.16) and whole grains (men, 1.33 ± 0.1 vs. 1.97 ± 0.12 ; women, 1.73 ± 0.14 vs. 2.39 ± 0.09) in both men and women and those for milk and dairy

Table 1. General characteristics of study subjects according to the type of meal companion

Characteristics	Men				P-value*	Women				P-value*
	Ordinary type (n = 3,738)	Eating with a family (n = 1,412)	Eating alone (n = 579)	Eating with others (n = 451)		Ordinary type (n = 5,333)	Eating with a family (n = 1,886)	Eating alone (n = 1,366)	Eating with others (n = 309)	
Age (yrs)	43.7 ± 0.3	56.6 ± 0.6	48.9 ± 1.1	34.5 ± 0.5	< 0.001	44.5 ± 0.3	53.0 ± 0.5	58.0 ± 0.7	34.0 ± 0.8	< 0.001
Household income (×10 ⁴ won [†])					< 0.001					< 0.001
< 100	5.8	23.7	31.0	4.0		8.3	20.8	41.5	6.7	
100–199	12.7	20.1	19.0	10.1		12.2	18.1	15.6	20.8	
200–299	18.0	16.7	16.1	20.1		17.1	16.9	13.1	15.3	
300–399	16.6	12.6	9.0	17.6		15.1	15.0	9.3	16.2	
400–499	13.4	6.8	8.4	16.3		13.4	9.7	5.6	8.5	
≥ 500	33.5	20.2	16.6	31.9		33.9	19.5	14.8	32.6	
Occupation (yes)	80.1	59.2	52.1	83.8	< 0.001	53.4	40.7	38.4	80.0	< 0.001
Spouse (yes)	71.9	83.6	36.4	46.3	< 0.001	70.0	86.2	33.7	30.0	< 0.001
One-person households (%)	4.5	5.8	32.7	14.5	< 0.001	4.1	0.5	38.1	14.0	< 0.001
Alcohol consumption (g/day)					< 0.001					< 0.001
None	13.1	25.7	22.3	4.7		29.3	43.7	47.6	12.3	
1–14	52.2	48.6	51.7	46.0		64.3	51.9	47.6	66.2	
15–29	13.2	10.2	9.7	18.9		3.9	2.2	2.8	8.7	
≥ 30	21.5	15.6	16.4	30.4		2.6	2.3	1.9	12.8	
Smoking status					< 0.001					< 0.001
None	29.4	24.9	23.7	29.0		91.8	91.7	88.4	80.1	
Ex-smoker	31.5	44.2	32.6	15.9		3.9	4.2	5.1	8.1	
Current Smoker	39.1	31.0	43.7	55.2		4.3	4.1	6.5	11.8	
Physical activity (METs/week)	1,957.6 ± 66.0	1,736.7 ± 115.9	1,645.6 ± 146.4	2,466.6 ± 171.4	0.310	1,348.5 ± 38.0	1,084.7 ± 57.9	1,206.7 ± 77.8	1,885.6 ± 246.7	0.084
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.4 ± 0.1	24.0 ± 0.1	24.4 ± 0.2	24.5 ± 0.2	0.075	23.0 ± 0.1	23.8 ± 0.1	23.9 ± 0.1	22.8 ± 0.3	0.233
Diabetes mellitus (yes)	9.6	17.9	18.6	4.0	< 0.001	6.5	12.0	16.4	4.1	< 0.001
Hypertension (yes)	24.5	37.2	33.5	17.6	< 0.001	16.8	32.0	38.3	8.3	< 0.001
Hypercholesterolemia (yes)	12.6	17.7	14.1	9.9	0.0005	14.2	21.0	24.8	4.6	< 0.001
Eat out (times/week)					< 0.001					< 0.001
< 1	8.3	44.7	36.3	1.8		23.6	50.8	52.4	5.1	
1–6	44.7	48.2	44.6	20.5		58.2	45.3	43.8	40.7	
≥ 7	47.0	7.2	19.2	77.7		18.3	4.0	3.9	54.2	
Meal frequency (time/day)					< 0.001					< 0.001
1	0.1	1.8	4.7	7.0		0.2	3.2	4.0	12.6	
2	24.1	17.9	38.3	67.4		24.5	21.8	28.1	67.8	
3	75.8	80.2	57.0	25.6		75.3	75.0	68.0	19.6	

Values are means ± SE or percentages.

MET, metabolic equivalent of task; BMI, body mass index.

*P-values for mean differences between the four groups were tested using a survey linear regression analysis for continuous variables and a χ^2 test for categorical variables.

[†]Won, Korean currency.

(3.27 ± 0.31 vs. 3.99 ± 0.19) and empty calorie foods (8.42 ± 0.25 vs. 9.22 ± 0.10) in women were lower in the eating with others group.

Men eating alone showed higher KHEI component scores for sodium (5.25 ± 0.20 vs. 4.63 ± 0.07) and lower scores for breakfast (6.22 ± 0.22 vs. 7.57 ± 0.07), whole grains (1.97 ± 0.12 vs. 2.30 ± 0.05), total fruit (1.51 ± 0.11 vs. 1.90 ± 0.04), fruit excluding juice (1.63 ± 0.12 vs. 2.12 ± 0.05), and total energy (2.78 ± 0.13 vs. 3.16 ± 0.05) than the common ordinary pattern. Women who always ate alone had lower scores for breakfast (6.67 ± 0.16 vs. 7.71 ± 0.06) and vegetables, excluding kimchi and pickles (3.03 ± 0.07 vs. 3.26 ± 0.03), and higher KHEI component scores for sodium (7.27 ± 0.13 vs. 6.91 ± 0.06) compared to the common ordinary group.




Fig. 1. Multivariate adjusted total scores of KHEI by the type of dining companion and sex. The models were adjusted for age, BMI, family income level, smoking status, daily alcohol intake, total weekly physical activity, total daily energy intake, spouse, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. Higher scores indicate a better diet quality. KHEI, Korean Healthy Eating Index; BMI, body mass index. ^{a-c}Different superscripts are significantly different at the P -value < 0.05 by the Bonferroni-adjusted test.

The family eating group showed lower HEI components scores for vegetables, excluding kimchi and pickles (3.30 ± 0.06 vs. 3.59 ± 0.03), meat, fish, eggs, and beans (7.06 ± 0.11 vs. 7.46 ± 0.0), and fat (3.15 ± 0.08 vs. 3.43 ± 0.04) in men and milk and dairy (3.17 ± 0.14 vs. 3.56 ± 0.08) and fat (3.12 ± 0.06 vs. 3.32 ± 0.03) in women compared to the common ordinary group.

Subgroup and sensitivity analysis

Subgroup analysis revealed the interactions between the meal companion type and age, presence of occupation, and presence of a spouse (all P -interaction < 0.001) (**Table 3**).

In particular, the eating with others group in all subgroups showed significantly lower KHEI scores than the common ordinary group. Sensitivity analyses showed that additional or alternative adjustments for occupation or monthly income per household member in multivariate models also yielded similar results (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

This study examined whether the type of meal companion and important social aspects of eating were associated with diet quality using a validated KHEI in a representative sample of Koreans. The diet quality differed according to the meal companion type. Low diet quality scores were identified in men who always ate alone or in both men and women who always ate with others compared to the ordinary type. The low quality of diet in these groups resulted from the score differences in the adequacy and moderation component of KHEI.

These contrasted with previous studies showing a higher diet quality in individuals eating with others [13,29]. There is a methodological difference in that meals with family members were combined in a group eating with others, and a person eating alone was classified as a reference group. Hence, caution is needed when comparing them directly. The distinguishing

Diet quality by the type of meal companion

Table 2. Comparison of KHEI component scores by the type of meal companion

Components of KHEI	Ordinary type (n = 3,738)	Eating with a family (n = 1,412)	Eating alone (n = 579)	Eating with others (n = 451)	P-value
Men					
Adequacy					
Breakfast (0-10)	7.57 ± 0.07 ^a	7.51 ± 0.13 ^a	6.22 ± 0.22 ^b	4.53 ± 0.22 ^c	< 0.001
Whole grains (0-5)	2.30 ± 0.05 ^a	2.58 ± 0.09 ^b	1.97 ± 0.12 ^c	1.33 ± 0.11 ^d	< 0.001
Total fruit, including juice (0-5)	1.90 ± 0.04 ^a	2.02 ± 0.08 ^a	1.51 ± 0.11 ^b	1.72 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	0.016
Fruit, excluding juice (0-5)	2.12 ± 0.05 ^a	2.28 ± 0.10 ^a	1.63 ± 0.12 ^b	1.80 ± 0.12 ^b	< 0.001
Total vegetables, including kimchi and pickles (0-5)	3.93 ± 0.03	3.81 ± 0.06	3.75 ± 0.10	3.82 ± 0.07	0.011
Vegetable, excluding kimchi and pickles (0-5)	3.59 ± 0.03 ^a	3.30 ± 0.06 ^b	3.33 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	3.59 ± 0.08 ^a	0.080
Meat, fish, eggs, and beans (0-10)	7.46 ± 0.06 ^a	7.06 ± 0.11 ^b	6.96 ± 0.20 ^{abc}	7.50 ± 0.16 ^{ab}	0.154
Milk and dairy (0-10)	3.09 ± 0.09	2.82 ± 0.17	2.81 ± 0.25	3.32 ± 0.27	0.907
Moderation					
Saturated fatty acid (0-10)	7.92 ± 0.08 ^a	8.14 ± 0.13 ^a	7.68 ± 0.22 ^{ab}	7.22 ± 0.23 ^b	0.011
Sodium (0-10)	4.63 ± 0.07 ^a	5.22 ± 0.13 ^b	5.25 ± 0.20 ^b	4.58 ± 0.19 ^a	0.120
Empty calorie foods (0-10)	9.03 ± 0.05	9.11 ± 0.09	8.96 ± 0.14	9.06 ± 0.13	0.848
Balance					
Total energy (0-5)	3.16 ± 0.05 ^a	3.02 ± 0.09 ^{ab}	2.78 ± 0.13 ^b	2.98 ± 0.13 ^{ab}	0.023
Fat (0-5)	3.43 ± 0.04 ^a	3.15 ± 0.08 ^b	3.31 ± 0.11 ^a	3.26 ± 0.12 ^a	0.048
Carbohydrate (0-5)	2.58 ± 0.04	2.36 ± 0.08	2.41 ± 0.12	2.51 ± 0.13	0.183
Women					
Adequacy					
Breakfast (0-10)	7.71 ± 0.06 ^a	7.56 ± 0.12 ^a	6.67 ± 0.16 ^b	4.06 ± 0.24 ^c	< 0.001
Whole grains (0-5)	2.37 ± 0.04 ^a	2.36 ± 0.07 ^a	2.39 ± 0.09 ^a	1.73 ± 0.14 ^b	0.006
Total fruit, including juice (0-5)	2.74 ± 0.04 ^a	2.68 ± 0.07 ^{ab}	2.64 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	2.35 ± 0.16 ^b	0.018
Fruit, excluding juice (0-5)	2.93 ± 0.04 ^a	2.91 ± 0.08 ^a	2.73 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	2.37 ± 0.17 ^b	0.001
Total vegetables, including kimchi and pickles (0-5)	3.40 ± 0.03 ^a	3.39 ± 0.04 ^a	3.22 ± 0.07 ^{ab}	3.02 ± 0.12 ^b	< 0.001
Vegetable, excluding kimchi and pickles (0-5)	3.26 ± 0.03 ^a	3.23 ± 0.05 ^a	3.03 ± 0.07 ^b	2.89 ± 0.13 ^b	< 0.001
Meat, fish, eggs, and beans (0-10)	6.81 ± 0.06	6.77 ± 0.10	6.58 ± 0.13	6.45 ± 0.24	0.043
Milk and dairy (0-10)	3.56 ± 0.08 ^a	3.17 ± 0.14 ^b	3.99 ± 0.19 ^a	3.27 ± 0.31 ^{ab}	0.971
Moderation					
Saturated fatty acid (0-10)	8.16 ± 0.06 ^a	8.24 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	7.90 ± 0.14 ^{ab}	7.48 ± 0.31 ^a	0.019
Sodium (0-10)	6.91 ± 0.06 ^a	7.10 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	7.27 ± 0.13 ^b	7.20 ± 0.25 ^{ab}	0.012
Empty calorie foods (0-10)	9.08 ± 0.04 ^a	9.05 ± 0.08 ^a	9.22 ± 0.10 ^a	8.42 ± 0.25 ^b	0.117
Balance					
Total energy (0-5)	3.15 ± 0.04	3.09 ± 0.06	2.90 ± 0.10	3.05 ± 0.17	0.063
Fat (0-5)	3.32 ± 0.03 ^a	3.12 ± 0.06 ^b	3.10 ± 0.09 ^{ab}	2.97 ± 0.15 ^{ab}	< 0.001
Carbohydrate (0-5)	2.40 ± 0.04	2.23 ± 0.08	2.26 ± 0.08	2.39 ± 0.16	0.180

Adjusted means ± SE and P-values for mean differences between 4 groups were calculated using multivariate linear regression after adjusting for age, BMI, family income level, smoking status, daily alcohol intake, total weekly physical activity, total daily energy intake, spouse, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia.

KHEI, Korean Healthy Eating Index; BMI, body mass index.

*Higher scores indicate a better dietary state of each item.

^{a-d}Different superscripts are significantly different at the P-value < 0.05 by the Bonferroni-adjusted test.

feature of this study was that people who always eat with people other than their families were classified into individual groups.

The diet quality was affected differently by whether a meal companion was a family member or not. People who always ate with others had a lower diet quality. The main differences mostly occurred in the adequacy items, i.e., saturated fatty acids and sugars, sweets, and beverages (only in women). Both men and women eating with others ate less breakfast and had a lower whole grain and fruit intake (excluding juice) but a higher intake of saturated fat. In women, the score of fresh vegetables was low, which was associated with low dietary fiber intake (**Supplementary Table 1**). Both men and women eating with others had the highest percentage of fiber, iron, and vitamin C intake, less than the estimated average requirement (EAR). Men eating with others showed a higher frequency of energy more than 125% of the estimated energy requirement (EER). On the other hand, women eating with others showed a

Table 3. Subgroup analysis of the multivariate-adjusted total scores of KHEI by the type of meal companion and sex

Variables	Ordinary type (n = 3,738)	Eating with a family (n = 1,412)	Eating alone (n = 579)	Eating with others (n = 451)	P-interaction
Men					
Age group* (yrs)					< 0.001
19–39	58.9 ± 0.4 ^a	58.6 ± 1.3 ^{ab}	55.0 ± 1.3 ^{bc}	54.3 ± 0.8 ^c	
40–59	64.5 ± 0.3 ^a	64.7 ± 0.7 ^a	59.7 ± 1.3 ^b	57.7 ± 1.2 ^b	
≥ 60	66.6 ± 0.4 ^a	66.4 ± 0.5 ^a	63.4 ± 1.1 ^b	55.6 ± 2.4 ^c	
Spouse [†]					< 0.001
No	58.6 ± 0.6 ^a	57.7 ± 1.5 ^{ab}	54.9 ± 0.9 ^b	52.6 ± 0.9 ^c	
Yes	64.6 ± 0.3 ^a	64.4 ± 0.5 ^a	60.1 ± 1.1 ^b	60.0 ± 1.0 ^b	
Occupation*					< 0.001
No	62.3 ± 0.6 ^a	61.7 ± 0.8 ^{ab}	58.7 ± 1.1 ^b	57.8 ± 1.6 ^b	
Yes	62.9 ± 0.3 ^a	62.5 ± 0.6 ^a	58.4 ± 0.9 ^b	57.5 ± 0.7 ^b	
Women					
Age group* (yrs)					< 0.001
19–39	61.9 ± 0.4 ^a	60.3 ± 0.7 ^a	60.4 ± 1.5 ^a	54.3 ± 1.1 ^b	
40–59	67.5 ± 0.3 ^a	67.1 ± 0.6 ^a	64.4 ± 0.9 ^b	59.2 ± 1.7 ^c	
≥ 60	61.9 ± 0.4 ^a	60.3 ± 0.7 ^a	60.4 ± 1.5 ^a	54.3 ± 1.1 ^b	
Spouse [†]					< 0.001
No	62.7 ± 0.4 ^a	59.4 ± 1.2 ^b	61.3 ± 0.8 ^{ab}	55.2 ± 1.2 ^c	
Yes	67.2 ± 0.2 ^a	66.7 ± 0.4 ^{ab}	64.8 ± 0.9 ^b	57.1 ± 1.7 ^c	
Occupation*					< 0.001
No	66.6 ± 0.3 ^a	65.8 ± 0.5 ^{ab}	64.1 ± 0.7 ^b	57.8 ± 2.1 ^c	
Yes	65.1 ± 0.3 ^a	63.8 ± 0.6 ^a	64.0 ± 0.8 ^a	57.2 ± 1.1 ^b	

Values are adjusted means ± SE calculated using multivariate linear regression.

KHEI, Korean Healthy Eating Index; BMI, body mass index.

*Adjusted for age, BMI, family income level, smoking status, daily alcohol intake, total weekly physical activity, total daily energy intake, spouse, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia.

†Adjusted for age, BMI, family income level, smoking status, daily alcohol intake, total weekly physical activity, total daily energy intake, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia.

^{a-c}Different superscripts are significantly different at the *P*-value < 0.05 by the Bonferroni-adjusted test.

higher frequency of energy less than 75% of EER (**Supplementary Table 2**). This can suggest what food group targets to prioritize to improve the diet quality in this group.

In this study, there were differences in age, spouse, occupation, income level, health status, current disease, and dietary behavior by type of companion meal. Subjects who ate all meals with others tended to be young, less likely to have a spouse, more likely to be workers or in single-person households, to have less healthy behaviors, and to eat out frequently. Even when these factors were adjusted in the statistical model, they might not have been sufficient. Hence, caution is needed to interpret the results. Previous studies have shown that diet quality varies with age and living arrangements [30]. Young adults tended to be low in diet quality [31]. They were likely to eat out more frequently to choose deliciously, more fat-, sugar-, and salt-oriented palatable food rather than healthy when deciding on a menu [32–34]. In this study, however, the total KHEI score of young adults was higher than that of the elderly in all meal companion types. Furthermore, those in their 60s and older had the lowest KHEI scores despite the very low rate of eating with others (**Table 3**). In subgroup analysis, the presence of an occupation or spouse, which causes differences in opportunities to eat with others or family members, affected the relationship between meal companion type and diet quality (all *P*-interaction < 0.05; **Table 3**). Even considering various sociodemographic characteristics, the fact that measures are needed to improve the meal quality of adults eating with others remains unchanged because a low-quality diet will cause health problems in the future. Therefore, interventions are needed to choose healthy foods and establish healthy eating habits, which can provide many benefits and contribute to a healthy lifestyle in adults.

Family meals in men showed better quality than men with eating alone. Women showed better scores for breakfast and vegetables, excluding kimchi eating in a family eating group compared to eating alone, but the total KHEI scores were not different between the two groups. This was consistent with Pachucki *et al.* [13], which showed a gender difference in that the higher the frequency of meals at home, the higher the diet quality score in men but not in women.

Given social facilitation, eating with other people (i.e., friends, neighbors, or co-workers) is a great way to connect and add enjoyment to life. A meta-analysis of 42 studies on social facilitation found that people eat more food when eating with familiar others than when eating alone. This social facilitation was not observed across studies that examined eating among groups of strangers or acquaintances [35]. In the present study, it was impossible to distinguish whether others were intimate persons.

There was a gender difference in diet quality in eating alone groups, i.e., the lower quality in men but not women. This was consistent with the study of 6,094 community-dwelling older Japanese women, which showed that eating alone most of the time was not associated with the HEI-2010 scores [19]. In another Japanese study, including 856 community-dwelling elderly aged ≥ 65 , eating alone was a barrier to food diversity compared to eating with others [36]. The author's previous study showed that men eating alone showed an increased risk of metabolic syndrome but not women [37], suggesting the possibility that gender differences in diet quality may have contributed in part to the different health outcomes between men and women.

The strength of this study is that the overall diet quality score according to the nationwide dietary guidelines and the components that cause the difference were evaluated comprehensively using the data from representative samples. Second, the advantage of this study, which was different from previous studies, was that the type of meal companion was classified in detail, i.e., whether it was a family member or someone other than a family member. Third, this study adjusted for possible confounders in the relationship between meal companion type and diet quality.

The present study had some limitations. The study was a cross-sectional design in which causality could not be determined. Residual confounding could not be excluded, despite finely adjusted for potential confounding factors, with detailed information on social, lifestyle, and health-related factors. For example, the influence of household type on eating behavior may be different, but subgroup analysis by the presence of single-person households could not be performed. Further investigation will be needed to identify the health-related behaviors, living conditions, and sociodemographic factors that each group should modify.

This study showed that the diet quality differed according to the meal companion type, and low diet quality scores were identified in people who always ate with others and men who ate alone. The low diet quality was due mainly to the aspect of adequacy. Therefore, additional efforts will be needed to improve the adherence to dietary recommendations focusing on low-scored components within the dietary patterns of individual groups. Considering that the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic may have changed dietary behaviors due to social distancing [38], future research on changes in diet quality due to changes in commensality after the COVID-19 era will be needed.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Table 1

Comparison of nutrient intakes by the type of meal companions

[Click here to view](#)

Supplementary Table 2

Comparison of the adequacy of nutrient intakes by the type of meal companions

[Click here to view](#)

REFERENCES

1. LaCaille L. Eating behavior. In: Gellman MD, Turner JR, editors. *Encyclopedia of Behavioral Medicine*. New York (NY): Springer New York; 2013. p. 641-2.
2. Fulkerson JA, Larson N, Horning M, Neumark-Sztainer D. A review of associations between family or shared meal frequency and dietary and weight status outcomes across the lifespan. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2014;46:2-19.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. do Amaral E Melo GR, Silva PO, Nakabayashi J, Bandeira MV, Toral N, Monteiro R. Family meal frequency and its association with food consumption and nutritional status in adolescents: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2020;15:e0239274.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Woodruff SJ, Hanning RM, McGoldrick K, Brown KS. Healthy eating index-C is positively associated with family dinner frequency among students in grades 6-8 from Southern Ontario, Canada. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2010;64:454-60.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Neumark-Sztainer D. Eating among teens: do family mealtimes make a difference for adolescents' nutrition? *New Dir Child Adolesc Dev* 2006;2006:91-105.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Befort C, Kaur H, Nollen N, Sullivan DK, Nazir N, Choi WS, Hornberger L, Ahluwalia JS. Fruit, vegetable, and fat intake among non-Hispanic black and non-Hispanic white adolescents: associations with home availability and food consumption settings. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2006;106:367-73.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Woodruff SJ, Hanning RM. A review of family meal influence on adolescents' dietary intake. *Can J Diet Pract Res* 2008;69:14-22.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Woodruff SJ, Hanning RM. Associations between family dinner frequency and specific food behaviors among grade six, seven, and eight students from Ontario and Nova Scotia. *J Adolesc Health* 2009;44:431-6.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
9. Burgess-Champoux TL, Larson N, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M. Are family meal patterns associated with overall diet quality during the transition from early to middle adolescence? *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2009;41:79-86.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Welsh EM, French SA, Wall M. Examining the relationship between family meal frequency and individual dietary intake: does family cohesion play a role? *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2011;43:229-35.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
11. Woodruff SJ, Campbell K, Campbell T, Cole M. The associations of meals and snacks on family meals among a sample of grade 7 students from Southwestern Ontario. *Appetite* 2014;82:61-6.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Koszewski W, Behrends D, Nichols M, Sehi N, Jones G. Patterns of family meals and food and nutrition intake in limited resource families. *Fam Consum Sci Res J* 2011;39:431-41.
[CROSSREF](#)

13. Pachucki MC, Karter AJ, Adler NE, Moffet HH, Warton EM, Schillinger D, O'Connell BH, Laraia B. Eating with others and meal location are differentially associated with nutrient intake by sex: the Diabetes Study of Northern California (DISTANCE). *Appetite* 2018;127:203-13.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
14. Larson N, Laska MN, Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D. Predictors of fruit and vegetable intake in young adulthood. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2012;112:1216-22.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
15. Berge JM, MacLehose RF, Loth KA, Eisenberg ME, Fulkerson JA, Neumark-Sztainer D. Family meals. Associations with weight and eating behaviors among mothers and fathers. *Appetite* 2012;58:1128-35.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
16. Lee EJ, Lee KR, Kim JY. Analysis of differences in eating alone attitude of Koreans by dietary habits and age. *Appetite* 2020;152:104695.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
17. Bloom I, Edwards M, Jameson KA, Syddall HE, Dennison E, Gale CR, Baird J, Cooper C, Aihie Sayer A, Robinson S. Influences on diet quality in older age: the importance of social factors. *Age Ageing* 2017;46:277-83.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
18. Vesnaver E, Keller HH, Sutherland O, Maitland SB, Locher JL. Alone at the table: food behavior and the loss of commensality in widowhood. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2016;71:1059-69.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
19. Shikany JM, Manson JE, Shadyab AH, Garcia L, Lewis CE, Neuhauser ML, Tinker LF, Beasley JM, Beresford SA, Zaslavsky O, et al. Associations of social, physical, and financial factors with diet quality among older, community-dwelling women. *Menopause* 2020;27:756-62.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
20. Sobal J, Nelson MK. Commensal eating patterns: a community study. *Appetite* 2003;41:181-90.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
21. Mötteli S, Siegrist M, Keller C. Women's social eating environment and its associations with dietary behavior and weight management. *Appetite* 2017;110:86-93.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
22. Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for the use of 6th (2013-2015) Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey raw data 2017 [Internet]. Cheongju: Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2018. Available from: https://knhanes.kdca.go.kr/knhanes/sub03/sub03_06_mod.do.
23. Kweon S, Kim Y, Jang MJ, Kim Y, Kim K, Choi S, Chun C, Khang YH, Oh K. Data resource profile: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). *Int J Epidemiol* 2014;43:69-77. Epub 2014 Mar 04.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
24. Yun S, Shim JS, Kweon S, Oh K. Development of a food frequency questionnaire for the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: data from the Fourth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES IV). *Korean J Nutr* 2013;46:186-96.
[CROSSREF](#)
25. Yook SM, Park SH, Moon HK, Kim K, Shim J, Hwang JY. Development of Korean Healthy Eating Index for adults using the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data. *J Nutr Health* 2015;48:419-29.
[CROSSREF](#)
26. Yoon YS, Oh SW. Relationship between psychological distress and the adherence to the Korean healthy eating index (KHEI): the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) 2013 and 2015. *Nutr Res Pract* 2021;15:516-27.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
27. Lee J, Lee C, Min J, Kang DW, Kim JY, Yang HI, et al. Development of the Korean Global Physical Activity Questionnaire: reliability and validity study. *Glob Health Promot Educ* 2020;27:44-55.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
28. Kim Y. The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES): current status and challenges. *Epidemiol Health* 2014;36:e2014002.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
29. Huang YC, Cheng HL, Wahlqvist ML, Lo YC, Lee MS. Gender differences in longevity in free-living older adults who eat-with-others: a prospective study in Taiwan. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e016575.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

30. Kurotani K, Ishikawa-Takata K, Takimoto H. Diet quality of Japanese adults with respect to age, sex, and income level in the National Health and Nutrition Survey, Japan. *Public Health Nutr* 2020;23:821-32.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
31. Hendrie GA, Lyle G, Mauch CE, Haddad J, Golley RK. Understanding the variation within a dietary guideline index score to identify the priority food group targets for improving diet quality across population subgroups. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18:378.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
32. Livingstone KM, Pnosamy H, Riddell LJ, Cicerale S. Demographic, behavioural and anthropometric correlates of food liking: a cross-sectional analysis of young adults. *Nutrients* 2020;12:3078.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
33. Thorpe MG, Kestin M, Riddell LJ, Keast RS, McNaughton SA. Diet quality in young adults and its association with food-related behaviours. *Public Health Nutr* 2014;17:1767-75.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
34. Doherty AM, Lacko AM, Popkin BM. Sugar-sweetened beverage (SSB) consumption is associated with lower quality of the non-SSB diet in US adolescents and young adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2021;113:657-64.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
35. Ruddock HK, Brunstrom JM, Vartanian LR, Higgs S. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the social facilitation of eating. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2019;110:842-61.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
36. Kimura Y, Wada T, Okumiya K, Ishimoto Y, Fukutomi E, Kasahara Y, Chen W, Sakamoto R, Fujisawa M, Otsuka K, et al. Eating alone among community-dwelling Japanese elderly: association with depression and food diversity. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2012;16:728-31.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
37. Kwon AR, Yoon YS, Min KP, Lee YK, Jeon JH. Eating alone and metabolic syndrome: a population-based Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2013-2014. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2018;12:146-57.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
38. Rha JY, Lee B, Nam Y, Yoon J. COVID-19 and changes in Korean consumers' dietary attitudes and behaviors. *Nutr Res Pract* 2021;15:S94-109.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)