



Crocetin and Its Glycoside Crocin, Two Bioactive Constituents From *Crocus sativus* L. (Saffron), Differentially Inhibit Angiogenesis by Inhibiting Endothelial Cytoskeleton Organization and Cell Migration Through VEGFR2/SRC/FAK and VEGFR2/MEK/ERK Signaling Pathways

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Gordon Kirby, University of Guelph, Canada

Reviewed by:

Karl Tsim, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong Ki-Tae Ha, Pusan National University, South Korea

*Correspondence:

Simon Ming-Yuen Lee simonlee@um.edu.mo

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 03 March 2021 Accepted: 21 April 2021 Published: 30 April 2021

Citation:

Zhao C, Kam H-T, Chen Y, Gong G, Hoi MP-M, Skalicka-Woźniak K, Dias ACP and Lee SM-Y (2021) Crocetin and Its Glycoside Crocin, Two Bioactive Constituents From Crocus sativus L. (Saffron), Differentially Inhibit Angiogenesis by Inhibiting Endothelial Cytoskeleton Organization and Cell Migration Through VEGFR2/SRC/FAK and VEGFR2/MEK/ERK Signaling Pathways. Front. Pharmacol. 12:675359. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.675359 Chen Zhao¹, Hio-Tong Kam¹, Yan Chen¹, Guiyi Gong¹, Maggie Pui-Man Hoi¹, Krystyna Skalicka-Woźniak², Alberto Carlos Pires Dias³ and Simon Ming-Yuen Lee¹*

¹State Key Laboratory of Quality Research in Chinese Medicine and Institute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Macao, China, ²Independent Laboratory of Natural Products Chemistry, Department of Pharmacognosy, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland, ³Centre for the Research and Technology of Agro-Environment and Biological Sciences (CITAB-UM), AgroBioPlant Group, Department of Biology, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

Crocetin and crocin are two important carotenoids isolated from saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.), which have been used as natural biomedicines with beneficial effects for improving the suboptimal health status associated with abnormal angiogenesis. However, the antiangiogenic effects and underlying mechanisms of the effects of crocetin and crocin have not been investigated and compared. The anti-angiogenic effects of crocetin and crocin were tested on human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) *in vitro*, and in zebrafish *in vivo*. *In vivo*, crocetin ($20 \,\mu$ M) and crocin ($50 \,\text{and} 100 \,\mu$ M) significantly inhibited subintestinal vein vessels formation, and a conversion process between them existed in zebrafish, resulting in a difference in their effective concentrations. In the HUVEC model, crocetin ($10, 20 \,\text{and} 40 \,\mu$ M) and crocin ($100, 200 \,\text{and} 400 \,\mu$ M) inhibited cell migration and tube formation, and inhibited the phosphorylation of VEGFR2 and its downstream pathway molecules. *In silico* analysis further showed that crocetin was more effective than crocin in inhibiting angiogenesis through regulation of the VEGF/VEGFR2 signaling pathway. These compounds, especially crocetin, are potential candidate natural biomedicines for

Abbreviations: AMD, age-related macular degeneration; CNV, choroidal neovascularization; hpf, hours post fertilization; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; HRMECs, human retinal microvascular endothelial cells; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; MTA, microtubule-targeting agents; RPE, retinal pigment epithelia; SIVs, subintestinal vein vessels; TBHP, tert-butyl hydrotert-butyl hydroperoxide; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFR, VEGF receptor; VRI, VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor II.

the management of diseases associated with abnormal blood vessel growth, such as agerelated macular degeneration.

Keywords: crocetin, crocin, angiogenesis, VEGF, zebrafish, HUVEC

INTRODUCTION

Crocetin and crocin (also known as crocin-I or a-crocin) are two important carotenoids isolated from the dried stigma of the flowers of Crocus sativus L. (saffron). Carotenoids have been implicated as playing a versatile role in human health; however, animals (including humans) rarely produce them, and thus need to obtain them via the diet (Melendez-Martinez, 2019). One of the most popular applications of saffron in food is as a colorant, with the coloring effect attributed to crocetin and crocin (Bagur et al., 2018; Bian et al., 2020). Crocetin is a lipophilic carotenoid and crocin is the hydrophilic diester of crocetin with gentiobiose (Figure 1). Both compounds have been shown to exhibit a number of biological properties, such as anti-oxidative, antiinflammatory, anti-lipidemic and anti-tumor activities, and have potential health benefits by modifying different disease processes, including in cardiovascular diseases, metabolic syndromes, ocular disorders and cancer (Alavizadeh and Hosseinzadeh, 2014; Bukhari et al., 2018; Hashemi and Hosseinzadeh, 2019).

In comparison to their anti-hypoxic and anti-tumor effects, only a few previous studies have investigated the effects of crocetin and crocin on angiogenesis. One study reported that crocetin inhibited VEGF-induced tube formation in a co-culture model of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and fibroblasts, and in a similar fashion inhibited the proliferation and migration of human retinal microvascular endothelial cells (HRMECs) (Umigai et al., 2012). In another study, crocin inhibited HUVEC proliferation and decreased CD34 expression (a marker for endothelial cell differentiation) in tumor tissues in mice (Chen et al., 2019). A previous study also found that crocetin promoted angiogenesis by increasing the cell viability of HUVECs (Nasirzadeh et al., 2019). Although the angiogenic effects of crocetin and crocin in different experimental models have been investigated, their interaction in vivo, effective concentrations, and modes of action still need to be studied and compared systematically. In addition, crocetin and

crocin have recently been shown to display microtubule-targeting properties that inhibit tubulin assembly and suppress the migration and proliferation of cancer cells (Hire et al., 2017; Sawant et al., 2019; Colapietro et al., 2020). Many microtubuletargeting agents (MTA) are also highly anti-angiogenic due to their abilities to disrupt microtubule dynamics, which play key roles in endothelial cell motility during angiogenic sprouting (Dumontet and Jordan, 2010). However, it is not clear whether crocetin and crocin can inhibit endothelial cell motility or sprouting angiogenesis, nor the difference between them in these respects.

Angiogenesis is a highly regulated process of new blood vessel growth from pre-existing ones. Normal angiogenesis has fundamental roles in physiological conditions, such as wound healing and tissue regeneration, but excessive angiogenesis promotes tumorigenesis and ocular disorders such as agerelated macular degeneration (AMD) (Potente et al., 2011; Fallah et al., 2019). AMD is characterized by choroidal neovascularization (CNV), wherein abnormal proliferating blood vessels from the choroidal layer invade the overlaying retina. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is secreted in response to oxidative stress and plays important roles in the development of CNV (Ambati and Fowler, 2012). VEGFs are key inducers of angiogenesis that bind with high affinity to receptor tyrosine kinases (VEGFRs), with VEGFA and VEGFR2 being the principal ligand and signaling receptor, respectively, in vascular endothelial cells. The signal transduction network initiated by the VEGFA-VEGFR2 ligand-receptor system leads to the activation of various downstream pathways that play a crucial role in regulating endothelial cell proliferation, survival and migration in the process of angiogenesis (Simons et al., 2016). Anti-VEGF agents are currently used for the treatment of CNV in AMD. Interestingly, a recent study showed that saffron extract ameliorated the retinal degenerative processes in AMD patients, possibly through neuroprotective activities (Di Marco et al., 2019), while another study showed that crocetin prevented retinal pigment epithelia (RPE) from incurring oxidative stress-



Antiangiogenic Effects of Crocetin, Crocin

induced damage and might halt or delay AMD disease progression (Karimi et al., 2020). Additionally, lutein (another carotenoid) has been recommended as a health supplement for AMD patients by the NIH (Melendez-Martinez, 2019). A prospective follow-up study (conducted over two decades) found that the incidence of advanced AMD could be reduced by intake of catenoids (Wu et al., 2015). Compared to lutein, AMD patients could benefit from saffron supplementation to slow down AMD progression (Di Marco et al., 2019). Therefore, it is meaningful to investigate if crocetin and crocin can inhibit VEGF-induced angiogenesis; this will provide insight facilitating the discovery and development of new agents for the treatment of AMD.

In the present study, we determined the effective dose ranges of crocetin and crocin for inhibiting sprouting angiogenesis in Tg(fli1:EGFP) zebrafish. Since orally administered crocin was previously reported to be transformed into crocetin in rat (Zhang et al., 2017), we also examined the metabolism of crocetin and crocin in zebrafish by using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Furthermore, we investigated the underlying molecular mechanisms of the anti-angiogenic effects of crocetin and crocin in HUVECs *in vitro*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

All animal experiments were conducted according to the ethical guidelines of ICMS, University of Macau and the protocol was approved by ICMS, University of Macau (UMARE-303-2017)

Chemicals, Regents, Cell Lines and Animals

Crocetin and crocin (purity by HPLC \geq 98.0%) were purchased from Sichuan Weikeqi Biotech Co., Ltd (Sichuan, P.R. China). Crocetin and crocin were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) as 10 mM and 100 mM stock solution, respectively. All of the stock solutions were stored at -20° C and diluted into different concentrations in appropriate assay media as required.

Kaighn's modification of Han's F12 medium (F-12 K), fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin-streptomycin (P/S), phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) and 0.25% (w/v) trypsin/1 mM EDTA were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, United States). Endothelial cell growth supplement (ECGS), heparin, gelatin and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, United States). Cell Proliferation Kit II (XTT) was obtained from Roche, Mannheim, Germany. VEGF was obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, United States). Growth factor reduced (GFR) Matrigel[™] was supplied by BD Biosciences (Bedford, MA, United States). VEGF receptor (VEGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor II (VRI) was obtained from CalbioChem (Merck, Germany) and SU5416 was obtained from Sigma Aldrich Co. The following antibodies were used: ERK1/2 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, United States; Cat# 9102S), phospho-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 9101S), SRC (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 2109S), phospho-SRC (Tyr527) (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 2105S), MEK (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 9122L), phospho-MEK (Ser217/221) (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 9121S), FAK (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 3285S), phospho-FAK (Tyr576/577) (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 3281S), VEGFR2 (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 2472S), phospho-VEGFR2 (Tyr1175) (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 2478L) and GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 2118L). The HPLC-grade methanol, formic acid and acetonitrile used in the HPLC analysis were provided by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

HUVECs were obtained from Invitrogen. Transgenic zebrafish Tg(fli1:EGFP) expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under the control of fli1 promoter were provided by the Zebrafish Information Network (ZFIN, Eugene, OR, United States).

Maintenance of Zebrafish and Their Embryos

Transgenic zebrafish Tg(fli1:EGFP) and wild-type zebrafish were maintained as described in the Zebrafish Handbook. Adult zebrafish were kept in a controlled environment at 28.5°C, under a 14 h light/10 h dark cycle. They were fed general tropical fish food once daily and live brine shrimp twice daily. Zebrafish embryos were generated by natural pairwise mating and collected to be raised in embryo media at 28.5°C in an incubator. Dead and unfertilized embryos were picked out at 4 hours post fertilization (hpf), and embryos were distributed into a multi-plate with 8–12 embryos in each group depending on the assay at 24 hpf.

Zebrafish Embryo Morphological Observations

Normally developing Tg(fli1:EGFP) zebrafish embryos were digested with 1 mg/ml of protease at 24 hpf, and then distributed into a 24-well plate with 10 embryos per group. Each group was incubated with 1 ml embryo water containing different concentrations of compounds for an additional 48 h. Embryos receiving DMSO (0.1%) served as vehicle control, and those receiving 50 ng/ml of VRI served as a positive control. The subintestinal vessels (SIVs) of embryos were observed and imaged at 72 hpf under an Olympus Spinning Disk Confocal Microscope System (IX81 Motorized Inverted Microscope [w/ZDC], IX2 universal control box, X-cite series 120, DP71 CCD Camera; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Images were captured at 40× and 100× magnifications. The total area (A) of SIVs was quantified with ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD, United States) and the SIV inhibition rate was calculated using the following formula:

SIV Inhibition% =
$$1 - \frac{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Drug treatment})}{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Vehicle})} \times 100\%$$

Metabolic Analysis of Zebrafish Larvae by HPLC

Tg(fli1:EGFP) zebrafish embryos were digested with 1 mg/ml of protease, and then distributed into a 12-well plate with 30

embryos per group in 24 hpf. Zebrafish larvae were treated with incubation medium (vehicle control), crocetin (20 µM) and crocin (100 µM) for 48 h and then collected to determine the metabolism of crocin and crocetin. After washing three times with Milli-Q water, zebrafish larvae were homogenized with 100 µL methanol in a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube. All samples were centrifuged at 15,000 g for 15 min, and the supernatants were obtained and subsequently subjected to HPLC analysis with a XBridge[™] C-18 column (5 µm, 4.6 × 250 mm). The column temperature was set at 30°C and the injection volume was 10 µL. By comparison with and optimization based on published studies, the detection wavelength was selected at 440 nm (Chryssanthi et al., 2011; Mary et al., 2016). The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and acetonitrile (B); the flow rate was set at 1 ml/ min. A gradient elution program was set as follows: 0-9 min, 20-50% B; 9-11 min, 50-70% B; 11-20 min, 70-95% B; 20-24 min, 95% B; 24-30 min, 95-20% B at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min.

Cell Culture

HUVECs were cultured in F-12K medium supplemented with 100 g/ml heparin, 30 g/ml ECGS, 10% FBS and 1% P/S at 37° C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ (v/v). Early passages (3–7 passages) were used in all assays.

Cell Viability Assay

HUVECs $(1\times10^4 \text{ cells/ml})$ were seeded into 96-well plate in F-12K complete medium for 24 h for attachment. Then, the cells were treated with various concentrations of crocetin and crocin in low serum media (0.5% FBS) for 24 h. Cells receiving 0.1% DMSO served as vehicle control. Cell viability was assessed by using XTT assay, as described previously (Lam et al., 2012). Absorbance was measured using a Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, United States) at wavelengths of 490 and 650 nm. For each compound, three independent experiments were conducted.

In Vitro Wound Healing Assay

HUVECs in growth medium were seeded into 24-well plates and grown to confluence. A wound area was created on the monolayer cells by scratching with 200 μ L pipette tips. Non-adherent cells were removed by washing with PBS, and high serum (10% FBS) medium containing various concentrations of compounds, 0.1% DMSO (vehicle control) and SU5416 of 10 μ M (positive control) was added to each well. After 20 h incubation, cells were washed with PBS. Images were taken at 0 and 20 h independently using an inverted light microscope (IX73 Motorized Inverted Microscope; Olympus). Images were analyzed by ImageJ, which is able to analyze the ability for cell migration by calculating the wound area. The distance migrated was calculated and analyzed by Image Pro-Plus 6.0. The values were observed from three randomly selected fields. The relative inhibition rate was expressed relative to the vehicle control group.

In Vitro Capillary-Like Tube Formation Assay

Capillary-like tube formation assay was performed using HUVECs as described previously (Li et al., 2020). GFR

Matrigel was thawed at 4°C overnight. A pre-chilled 96-well plate was coated with 50 μ L Matrigel (for each well) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min for solidification. HUVECs resuspended and diluted at a density of 1×10^4 per well, in low serum (0.5% FBS) F-12K medium containing the indicated concentrations of agents, were seeded onto the Matrigel-coated 96-well plate and incubated at 37°C. After 6 h, capillary-like tubes were formed in the vehicle control group; then, cells were stained with 1 mM Calcein AM (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States) for 30 min at 37°C. Images were captured at 4× magnification under a fluorescent inverted microscope (IX73 Motorized Inverted Microscope; Olympus). Capillary-like tube formation was quantified by measuring tube length in three randomly selected fields by ImageJ.

Western Blot Assay

HUVECs were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated overnight for confluence. After starving in low serum (0.5% FBS) F-12K medium for 2 h, HUVECs were treated with various concentrations of compounds, 0.1% DMSO and SU5416 $(10 \,\mu\text{M})$ for 4 h before stimulating with 50 ng/ml VEGF for 15 min. Then, cells were rinsed with PBS and lyzed in RIPA buffer with the addition of cocktail and PMSF. The concentration of protein extracts was quantified with a BCA Protein Kit according to the protocol described by the manufacturer. Protein (30 µg) was denatured for 5 min at 95°C and subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE. After electrically transferring the proteins to PVDF membranes, they were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in TBS-0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) for 1 h at room temperature, and then incubated with primary antibodies of ERK1/2, phospho-ERK1/2, FAK, phospho-FAK, MEK, phospho-MEK, SRC, phospho-SRC, VEGFR2, phospho-VEGFR2, and GAPDH at 4°C overnight. After washing with TBST, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antirabbit antibody (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) for 1 h at room temperature. After repeated washing with TBST, immunoreactive bands of proteins were visualized using an ECL advanced Western blotting detection kit. Images of the protein bands were taken using Image Lab (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States). The density of each band was measured by Bio-Rad Image 3.0, and the ratios of phosphorylated protein/ total protein were calculated in corresponding bands from the same blot.

Molecular Docking Analysis

Molecular docking was employed to explore molecular interactions between VEGFR2 and crocetin or crocin. The X-ray crystallography structure of VEGFR2 (PDB ID: 5EW3) (Bold et al., 2016) was retrieved from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb). Co-crystallized ligand and crystal water molecules were removed from the protein structure, and the nonpolar hydrogen atoms were added. A gridbox was created to enclose VEGFR2, allowing us to find the most suitable binding site of crocetin or crocin. The best docking results were selected based on their estimated protein–ligand complex binding free energy and are presented in the present study. PyMOL 1.8 was



used to analyze and visualize the molecular interactions between each compound and VEGFR2 (Salentin et al., 2015).

Data and Statistical Analysis

All values are presented as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. Data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, United States). The statistical significance of data was evaluated using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. *p* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Crocetin and Crocin Exhibited Anti-angiogenic Effects in *Tg(fli1:EGFP)* Zebrafish

Transgenic zebrafish Tg(fli1:EGFP) embryos showing green fluorescent protein expression in vascular endothelial cells

under the control of promoter fli1 (Lawson and Weinstein, 2002) were used to investigate the effects of crocetin and crocin on angiogenesis in vivo. The process of SIV formation angiogenesis in zebrafish embryo is widely used as a visual guide when inspecting and evaluating of pro- and antiangiogenic agents. Zebrafish embryos (24 hpf) were incubated with crocetin or crocin for 48 h, and the structure of SIVs was examined. As shown in Figure 2A', at 72 hpf the vascular plexus of SIVs formed a smooth basket-like structure arranged in an orderly manner in the control group. The crocetin and crocin treatments significantly reduced the vasculature formation of SIVs (indicated by yellow arrows in Figures 2C',G',H'). At higher concentrations of crocetin (20 μ M) and crocin (100 μ M), the structure of the vascular plexus became defective or nearly absent (indicated by red arrows in Figures 2D',I'). Quantification of the total area of SIVs showed that both crocetin and crocin significantly reduced the formation of SIVs in a concentrationdependent manner, and the maximal effects were comparable to VRI, a strong VEGFR inhibitor that greatly inhibits SIVs angiogenesis (Li et al., 2014).



Compounds detected in zebrafish larvae homogenates collected within 72 hpf after crocetin treatment (20 µM).

Metabolism of Crocetin and Crocin in Zebrafish Larvae

We further investigated the metabolism of crocetin and crocin in zebrafish larvae by using HPLC. **Figure 3A** shows that the retention times of crocetin and crocin were 6.570 and 15.223°min, respectively with regard to the reference compound profiles. Interestingly, after the administration of crocin to zebrafish larvae, the peak for crocin could not be detected, although the peak for crocetin was detected (**Figure 3B**). In the case of crocetin administration, only crocetin was detected (**Figure 3C**). This result suggested that a conversion process between crocetin is recognized as the bioactive compound produced by converting crocin (Xi et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2017), we speculated that crocetin was the active compound responsible for the anti-angiogenic effects in zebrafish.

Effects of Crocetin and Crocin on Endothelial Cell Viability

To evaluate the effects of crocetin and crocin on endothelial cell viability, HUVECs were treated with increasing concentrations of crocetin (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, and 1 mM) and crocin (1, 2, and 4 mM) for 24 h followed by assessment with XTT assay. Crocetin inhibited cell viability in a concentration-dependent manner with an IC₅₀ of 372.6 μ M (**Figure 4A**), whereas crocin showed no obvious inhibitory effect up to 4 mM (**Figure 4B**).

Crocetin and Crocin Inhibited Migration and Tube Formation in HUVECs

Angiogenesis is a complex process that involves endothelial cell migration and alignment to form tubular structures. We determined the effects of crocetin and crocin on endothelial cell migration and capillary-like formation using the wound healing assay and tube formation Matrigel model. Figures 5A,B showed that there was significant migration of HUVECs to the scraped area in the vehicle control group 20 h after wounding. Crocetin caused 18.8, 34.8, and 39.2% reductions in HUVEC migration at 10, 20, and 40 µM, respectively. Similarly, crocin caused 15.5%, 59.7%, and 72.3% reductions in HUVEC migration at 100, 200, and 400 µM, respectively. Figures 5C,D showed that when HUVECs were cultured on Matrigel in the vehicle control, they aligned and formed capillary-like tube structures after 6 h, and both crocetin and crocin inhibited the morphogenetic changes in tube formation in HUVECs. Crocetin caused 38.6, 48.8, and 70.1% reductions in tube length at 10, 20, and 40 µM, respectively. Similarly, crocin caused 39.3, 51.6, and 71.8% reductions in tube length at 100, 200, and 400 μM_{\star} respectively. Statistical analysis of the quantitative measurements showed that both crocetin and crocin induced significant reductions in HUVECs migration and tube formation in concentration-dependent manners. The effects of crocetin and crocin were comparable to SU5416 (a selective inhibitor of VEGFR2) (Litz et al., 2004).

Crocetin and Crocin Inhibited the Activation of Key Proteins Involved in Angiogenesis Signaling in HUVECs

To investigate the possible mechanisms underlying the antiangiogenic effects of crocetin and crocin in HUVECs, protein expression levels were determined for several key proteins involved in the regulation of angiogenesis by Western blot. As shown in Figure 6, crocetin (10, 20, and 40 µM) and crocin (100, 200 and 400 μ M) concentration-dependently inhibited the upregulation in protein expression levels of p-VEGFR2 induced by VEGF (50 ng/ml), as well as the downstream signaling kinases p-SRC, p-FAK, p-MEK, and p-ERK. Notably, the two pathways (VEGFR2/MEK/ERK and VEGFR2/MEK/ ERK) showed different sensitivity to the inhibitory effects of crocetin and crocin. At 10 µM, crocetin had no effect on MEK phosphorylation; however, reduced the protein levels of p-SRC, p-FAK, and p-ERK. And crocetin reduced the protein levels of p-SRC and p-FAK more effectively than those of p-ERK at 40 μ M. In the case of crocin, high concentrations significantly reduced p-SRC, p-FAK, and p-MEK, and to a lesser extent p-ERK. The VEGFR2/SRC/FAK pathway is mainly involved in focal adhesion turnover, cell shape and migration, and the VEGFR2/MEK/ERK pathway is mainly involved in endothelial gene transcription and proliferation (Simons et al., 2016; Fallah et al., 2019). Thus, these results suggested that crocetin and crocin might effectively inhibit angiogenesis by inhibiting endothelial cytoskeleton organization and cell migration via regulation of VEGFR2/SRC/FAK, and to a lesser extent, VEGFR2/MEK/ERK signaling.



Molecular Docking Studies of Crocetin and Crocin With VEGFR2

Since crocetin and crocin down-regulated p-VEGFR2 and its downstream signaling kinases differently, molecular docking studies were carried out to investigate the binding sites between crocetin or crocin and VEGFR2. The binding site of VEGFR2 was defined by a co-crystallized compound (AAL993) (Bold et al., 2016). Crocetin was well docked with the binding site of VEGFR2 (Figure 7A) through hydrophobic interactions and a hydrogen bond, with an affinity of -8.6 kcal/mol. In addition, crocetin was trapped in a hydrophobic pocket, which was composed of Leu 840, Ala 866, Val 899, Val 916, Phe 918, Leu 1035 and Phe 1047. A hydrogen bond was also generated between crocetin and the key residue Asp 1046. In comparison, crocin bound to a different site than the co-crystallized compound (AAL993) via hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonds, with an affinity of -8.4 kcal/ mol (Figure 7B). Although the computed Gibbs free energy values of crocetin and crocin were similar, their predicted binding sites to VEGFR2 were different. It appears that crocin did not have a stable interaction with VEGFR2. These results suggested that crocetin showed more ability to bind with VEGFR2 than crocin, which might explain their different antiangiogenic effects.

DISCUSSION

Saffron is widely used as a natural spice against many diseases (Shahi et al., 2016). Since crocetin and crocin are two important carotenoids derived from saffron and have been used for centuries, it is useful to investigate and compare the difference in their therapeutic values and biological effects. The present study represented the first detailed investigation of the anti-angiogenic activities of crocetin and crocin, and used transgenic Tg(fli1:EGFP) zebrafish embryos *in vivo* and endothelial cell model HUVECs *in vitro*.

We evaluated the effects of crocetin and crocin by using a *Tg(fli1: EGFP*) zebrafish model *in vivo*, which allowed for direct observation of angiogenesis in real-time (Lawson and Weinstein, 2002; Gong et al.,

2016). Our group has previously identified several natural compounds that exhibited anti-angiogenic effects by using the zebrafish model, such as indirubin (Alex et al., 2010), citrus flavonoids (Lam et al., 2012) and an andrographolide derivative (Li et al., 2020). In the present study, we observed that there was no obvious toxicity in zebrafish embryos treated with crocetin and crocin (Supplementary Figure S1). More importantly, crocetin and crocin inhibited SIV formation in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 2). Moreover, the effective concentrations of crocetin (5, 10 and 20 µM) were lower than those of crocin (12.5, 25, 50 and 100 µM), which led us to investigate whether the different anti-angiogenic responses between crocetin and crocin might be caused by their metabolic characteristics. Therefore, we evaluated the metabolism of crocetin and crocin in zebrafish larvae after the drug administration using HPLC. It was interesting to note that there was a conversion between crocetin and crocin in zebrafish in vivo (Figure 3). This finding was in agreement with a previous study indicating that crocetin might be the active metabolite of crocin in rats (Zhang et al., 2017). Therefore, we postulated that crocetin was the active metabolite of crocin responsible for inducing the anti-angiogenic effect in zebrafish.

Given the differences in metabolism and anti-angiogenic activities of crocetin and crocin in zebrafish, their mechanisms for inhibiting angiogenesis were further investigated in HUVECs in vitro. Several recent studies suggested that saffron extract and/or crocetin might halt or delay disease progress in AMD. It has been shown that saffron extract was effective at ameliorating the retinal degenerative processes in AMD patients, possibly through neuroprotective activities (Di Marco et al., 2019), while crocetin prevented RPE from incurring oxidative stress-induced damage (Karimi et al., 2020). In addition, clinical studies showed that saffron supplementation could improve retinal function in AMD patients (Falsini et al., 2010; Piccardi et al., 2012). AMD is characterized by CNV, wherein VEGF is secreted in response to oxidative stress and induces abnormal angiogenesis from the choroidal layer to the overlaying retina (Ambati and Fowler, 2012). Currently, anti-VEGF therapies with bevacizumab (monoclonal anti-VEGF antibody) and pegaptanib (anti-VEGF aptamer) are FDA-approved for treating AMD (Solomon et al., 2014). Our result showed that crocetin (10, 20 and 40 µM) and crocin (100, 200 and 400 µM) were effective anti-angiogenic agents





FIGURE 6 Crocetin and crocin inhibited the activation of VEGFR2 and its downstream signaling pathways. HUVECs were starved for 2 h and then pretreated with crocetin (10, 20 and 40 μ M) or crocin (100, 200 and 400 μ M) for 4 h before being stimulated by VEGF (50 ng/ml) for 15 min. Western blot assay was used for investigating the expression levels of the major proteins involved in VEGF-mediated angiogenesis signaling in HUVECs. Crocetin and crocin down-regulated the expression levels of (**A**) p-VEGFR2 (**B**) p-SRC and p-FAK, and (**C**) p-MEK and p-ERK. Protein expression levels were quantified by densitometry. Results are percentages relative to control; means ± SD of three independent experiments (*n* = 3) are shown. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001 versus VEGF treatment group. #p<0.05; ##p<0.01 and ###p<0.001 versus control group.



that significantly inhibited HUVEC migration in wound healing assay, as well as tube formation in a Matrigel model in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5). The cytotoxicity of crocetin (0.2 mM) was higher than that of crocin (>4 mM) in HUVECs (Figure 4). In line with our results, a previous study (Umigai et al., 2012) reported that crocetin inhibited proliferation and tube formation in a HUVEC and fibroblast co-culture. They also showed that crocetin inhibited VEGF-induced proliferation and migration of HRMECs via the inhibition of p38 activation (Umigai et al., 2012). Here, we demonstrated that crocetin and crocin inhibited VEGFR2 signaling and suppressed downstream p-SRC, p-FAK, p-MEK, and p-ERK activation in HUVECs (Figure 8), but we did not observe suppression of p38 (data not shown). In endothelial VEGF signaling networks, SRC and FAK are tyrosine kinases that play crucial roles in cytoskeletal reorganization, cell motility and migration, while MEK/ERK signaling is a well-studied pathway that mainly regulates endothelial proliferation among other important processes in angiogenesis, including survival, differentiation and migration (Simons et al., 2016; Fallah et al., 2019). Moreover, crosstalk between MEK/ERK and SRC/FAK is also observed in the regulation of VEGF-mediated angiogenesis (Hood et al., 2003).

Activation of the ERK pathway by crocetin seems to be important for improving AMD; in a recent study (Karimi et al., 2020), crocetin prevented tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP)-induced oxidative stress in RPE cells by activating the ERK pathway to preserve energy production pathways. It is not known if the SRC/FAK and MEK/ERK pathways are also modulated in HRMECs, and further studies with relevant cellular models are needed. More evidence from molecular docking studies indicated that crocetin had a more stable molecular interaction with VEGFR2 than crocin (**Figure 7**). Crocetin docked well with the binding site of VEGFR2 by forming an essential hydrogen bone with the residue Asp1046 (Guan et al., 2015), and generating a key hydrophobic interaction with the "gate keeper" residue (Val 916) of VEGFR2 (Bold et al., 2016).

An additional important result obtained in the present study on the anti-angiogenic effects of crocetin and crocin was that crocetin was more effective than crocin, because the effective concentrations of crocetin were lower than those of crocin. Moreover, crocetin showed more stable binding patterns than crocin. To understand the different effects of crocetin and crocin, their membrane permeability, caused by carboxyl or glycosyl groups at the ends of the backbone, should be considered (Bian et al., 2020). Crocetin showed better permeative ability by



FIGURE 8 | Crocetin and crocin inhibited angiogenesis via the inhibition of VEGFR2 signaling and downstream SRC, FAK, MEK, ERK kinase activation.

penetrating intestinal mucosa, whereas crocin could not penetrate an intestinal model even at a concentration of 1000 mM (Lautenschlager et al., 2015). Accordingly, a pharmacokinetic study showed that crocin was hydrolyzed to crocetin through the gastro-intestinal tract, and then absorbed and detected in plasma (Xi et al., 2007). In addition, the structure-activity relationship of carotenoids (including crocetin and crocin) suggested that diverse terminal structures, such as electron-rich aromatic methyl substituent and the polyene chain structures, were responsible for the antioxidant activity of carotenoids (Kim et al., 2019). These findings, together with the fact that crocetin is more effective than crocin, suggesting that crocetin may act as an active compound with a stronger anti-angiogenic effect. However, further studies such as pharmacokinetic ones and studies of the structure-activity relationship, are needed to support this hypothesis.

Collectively, our findings provided strong evidence supporting the anti-angiogenic activities of crocetin and crocin, and indicated that crocetin had more anti-angiogenic and anti-VEGF therapeutic value for AMD.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Animal welfare and experimental procedures were conducted according to the ethical guidelines of ICMS, University of Macau and the protocol was approved by ICMS, University of Macau. (UMARE-303-2017).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CZ: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing-original Draft. H-TK: Methodology, Data curation, Investigation. YC: Investigation, Validation. GG: Investigation, Validation. MH: Writing-Review and Editing.

KS-W: Writing-Review and Editing. AD: Writing-Review and Editing. SL: Writing-Review and Editing, Supervision.

FUNDING

This work was supported by The Science and Technology Development Fund, Macau SAR (File no. 0058/2019/A1 and

REFERENCES

- Alavizadeh, S. H., and Hosseinzadeh, H. (2014). Bioactivity Assessment and Toxicity of Crocin: a Comprehensive Review. Food Chem Toxicol. 64, 65–80. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2013.11.016
- Alex, D., Lam, I. K., Lin, Z., and Lee, S. M. Y. (2010). Indirubin Shows Antiangiogenic Activity in an In Vivo Zebrafish Model and an In Vitro HUVEC Model. J. Ethnopharmacology. 131 (2), 242–247. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2010. 05.016
- Ambati, J., and Fowler, B. J. (2012). Mechanisms of Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Neuron*. 75 (1), 26–39. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.06.018
- Bagur, M. J., Salinas, G. L. A., Jimenez-Monreal, A. M., Chaouqi, S., Llorens, S., Martinez-Tome, M., et al. (2018). Saffron: An Old Medicinal Plant and a Potential Novel Functional Food. *Molecules*. 23 (1), 30. doi:10.3390/ molecules23010030
- Bian, Y., Zhao, C., and Lee, S. M.-Y. (2020). Neuroprotective Potency of Saffron Against Neuropsychiatric Diseases, Neurodegenerative Diseases, and Other Brain Disorders: From Bench to Bedside. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 579052. doi:10. 3389/fphar.2020.579052
- Bold, G., Schnell, C., Furet, P., McSheehy, P., Brüggen, J., Mestan, J., et al. (2016). A Novel Potent Oral Series of VEGFR2 Inhibitors Abrogate Tumor Growth by Inhibiting Angiogenesis. J. Med. Chem. 59 (1), 132–146. doi:10.1021/acs. jmedchem.5b01582
- Bukhari, S. I., Manzoor, M., and Dhar, M. K. (2018). A Comprehensive Review of the Pharmacological Potential of Crocus Sativus and its Bioactive Apocarotenoids. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 98, 733–745. doi:10.1016/j.biopha. 2017.12.090
- Chen, S.-S., Gu, Y., Lu, F., Qian, D.-P., Dong, T.-T., Ding, Z.-H., et al. (2019). Antiangiogenic Effect of Crocin on Breast Cancer Cell MDA-MB-231. J. Thorac. Dis. 11 (11), 4464–4473. doi:10.21037/jtd.2019.11.18
- Chryssanthi, D. G., Lamari, F. N., Georgakopoulos, C. D., and Cordopatis, P. (2011). A New Validated SPE-HPLC Method for Monitoring Crocetin in Human Plasma-Application after Saffron Tea Consumption. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 55 (3), 563–568. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2011.02.018
- Colapietro, A., Mancini, A., Vitale, F., Martellucci, S., Angelucci, A., Llorens, S., et al. (2020). Crocetin Extracted from Saffron Shows Antitumor Effects in Models of Human Glioblastoma. *Int J Mol Sci.* 21 (2), 423. doi:10.3390/ ijms21020423
- Di Marco, S., Carnicelli, V., Franceschini, N., Di Paolo, M., Piccardi, M., Bisti, S., et al. (2019). Saffron: A Multitask Neuroprotective Agent for Retinal Degenerative Diseases. *Antioxidants*. 8 (7), 224. doi:10.3390/antiox8070224
- Dumontet, C., and Jordan, M. A. (2010). Microtubule-binding Agents: a Dynamic Field of Cancer Therapeutics. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 9 (10), 790–803. doi:10. 1038/nrd3253
- Fallah, A., Sadeghinia, A., Kahroba, H., Samadi, A., Heidari, H. R., Bradaran, B., et al. (2019). Therapeutic Targeting of Angiogenesis Molecular Pathways in Angiogenesis-dependent Diseases. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 110, 775–785. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.12.022
- Falsini, B., Piccardi, M., Minnella, A., Savastano, C., Capoluongo, E., Fadda, A., et al. (2010). Influence of Saffron Supplementation on Retinal Flicker Sensitivity in Early Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 51 (12), 6118–6124. doi:10.1167/iovs.09-4995
- Gong, G., Lin, Q., Xu, J., Ye, F., Jiang, L., Liu, W., et al. (2016). In vivo SAR and STR Analyses of Alkaloids from Picrasma Quassioides Identify

0016/2019/AKP), and University of Macau (MYRG2019-00105-ICMS).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.675359/full#supplementary-material

1-Hydroxymethyl-8-Hydroxy-β-Carboline as a Novel Natural Angiogenesis Inhibitor. *RSC Adv.* 6 (12), 9484–9494. doi:10.1039/c5ra22391a

- Guan, Y.-Y., Liu, H.-J., Luan, X., Xu, J.-R., Lu, Q., Liu, Y.-R., et al. (2015). Raddeanin A, a Triterpenoid Saponin Isolated from Anemone Raddeana, Suppresses the Angiogenesis and Growth of Human Colorectal Tumor by Inhibiting VEGFR2 Signaling. *Phytomedicine*. 22 (1), 103–110. doi:10.1016/j. phymed.2014.11.008
- Hashemi, M., and Hosseinzadeh, H. (2019). A Comprehensive Review on Biological Activities and Toxicology of Crocetin. *Food Chem Toxicol* 130, 44–60. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2019.05.017
- Hire, R. R., Srivastava, S., Davis, M. B., Kumar Konreddy, A., and Panda, D. (2017). Antiproliferative Activity of Crocin Involves Targeting of Microtubules in Breast *Cancer Cells. Sci. Rep.* 7, 44984. doi:10.1038/srep44984
- Hood, J. D., Frausto, R., Kiosses, W. B., Schwartz, M. A., and Cheresh, D. A. (2003). Differential av Integrin-Mediated Ras-ERK Signaling during Two Pathways of Angiogenesis. J. Cel Biol. 162 (5), 933–943. doi:10.1083/jcb.200304105
- Karimi, P., Gheisari, A., Gasparini, S. J., Baharvand, H., Shekari, F., Satarian, L., et al. (2020). Crocetin Prevents RPE Cells from Oxidative Stress through Protection of Cellular Metabolic Function and Activation of ERK1/2. *Int* J Mol Sci. 21 (8), 2949. doi:10.3390/ijms21082949
- Kim, D., Shi, G., Kim, Y., and Koo, S. (2019). Fast Assembly and High-Throughput Screening of Structure and Antioxidant Relationship of Carotenoids. Org. Lett. 21 (3), 714–718. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.8b03915
- Lam, I. K., Alex, D., Wang, Y.-H., Liu, P., Liu, A.-L., Du, G.-H., et al. (2012). In vitro and In Vivo Structure and Activity Relationship Analysis of Polymethoxylated Flavonoids: Identifying Sinensetin as a Novel Antiangiogenesis Agent. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 56 (6), 945–956. doi:10.1002/mnfr.201100680
- Lautenschläger, M., Sendker, J., Hüwel, S., Galla, H. J., Brandt, S., Düfer, M., et al. (2015). Intestinal Formation of Trans-crocetin from Saffron Extract (Crocus Sativus L.) and In Vitro Permeation through Intestinal and Blood Brain Barrier. *Phytomedicine*. 22 (1), 36–44. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2014.10.009
- Lawson, N. D., and Weinstein, B. M. (2002). In vivo imaging of Embryonic Vascular Development Using Transgenic Zebrafish. Dev. Biol. 248 (2), 307–318. doi:10.1006/dbio.2002.0711
- Li, J., Li, F., Tang, F., Zhang, J., Li, R., Sheng, D., et al. (2020). AGS-30, an Andrographolide Derivative, Suppresses Tumor Angiogenesis and Growth In Vitro and In Vivo. *Biochem Pharmacol* 171, 113694. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2019. 113694
- Li, S., Dang, Y. Y., Oi Lam Che, G., Kwan, Y. W., Chan, S. W., Leung, G. P. H., et al. (2014). VEGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor II (VRI) Induced Vascular Insufficiency in Zebrafish as a Model for Studying Vascular Toxicity and Vascular Preservation. *Toxicol Appl. Pharmacol.* 280 (3), 408–420. doi:10. 1016/j.taap.2014.09.005
- Litz, J., Warshamana-Greene, G. S., Sulanke, G., Lipson, K. E., and Krystal, G. W. (2004). The Multi-Targeted Kinase Inhibitor SU5416 Inhibits Small Cell Lung Cancer Growth and Angiogenesis, in Part by Blocking Kit-Mediated VEGF Expression. Lung Cancer. 46 (3), 283–291. doi:10.1016/j.lungcan.2004.05.005
- Mary, T. A., Shanthi, K., Vimala, K., and Soundarapandian, K. (2016). PEG Functionalized Selenium Nanoparticles as a Carrier of Crocin to Achieve Anticancer Synergism. RSC Adv. 6 (27), 22936–22949. doi:10.1039/c5ra25109e
- Melendez-Martinez, A. J. (2019). An Overview of Carotenoids, Apocarotenoids, and Vitamin A in Agro-Food, Nutrition, Health, and Disease. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 63 (15), e1801045. doi:10.1002/mnfr.201801045
- Nasirzadeh, M., Rasmi, Y., Rahbarghazi, R., Kheradmand, F., Karimipour, M., Aramwit, P., et al. (2019). CROCETIN PROMOTES ANGIOGENESIS IN

HUMAN ENDOTHELIAL CELLS THROUGH PI3K-AKT-ENOS SIGNALING PATHWAY. *Excli J.* 18, 936–949. doi:10.17179/excli2019-1175

- Piccardi, M., Marangoni, D., Minnella, A. M., Savastano, M. C., Valentini, P., Ambrosio, L., et al. (2012). A Longitudinal Follow-Up Study of Saffron Supplementation in Early Age-Related Macular Degeneration: Sustained Benefits to Central Retinal Function. *Evidence-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2012, 429124. doi:10.1155/2012/429124
- Potente, M., Gerhardt, H., and Carmeliet, P. (2011). Basic and Therapeutic Aspects of Angiogenesis. *Cell.* 146 (6), 873–887. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011. 08.039
- Salentin, S., Schreiber, S., Haupt, V. J., Adasme, M. F., and Schroeder, M. (2015). PLIP: Fully Automated Protein-Ligand Interaction Profiler. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43 (W1), W443–W447. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv315
- Sawant, A. V., Srivastava, S., Prassanawar, S. S., Bhattacharyya, B., and Panda, D. (2019). Crocin, a Carotenoid, Suppresses Spindle Microtubule Dynamics and Activates the Mitotic Checkpoint by Binding to Tubulin. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 163, 32–45. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2019.01.023
- Shahi, T., Assadpour, E., and Jafari, S. M. (2016). Main Chemical Compounds and Pharmacological Activities of Stigmas and Tepals of "red Gold"; Saffron. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 58, 69–78. doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2016.10.010
- Simons, M., Gordon, E., and Claesson-Welsh, L. (2016). Mechanisms and Regulation of Endothelial VEGF Receptor Signalling. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cel Biol. 17 (10), 611–625. doi:10.1038/nrm.2016.87
- Solomon, S. D., Lindsley, K., Vedula, S. S., Krzystolik, M. G., and Hawkins, B. S. (2014). Anti-vascular Endothelial Growth Factor for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 8 (8), CD005139. doi:10. 1002/14651858.CD005139.pub3

- Umigai, N., Tanaka, J., Tsuruma, K., Shimazawa, M., and Hara, H. (2012). Crocetin, a Carotenoid Derivative, Inhibits VEGF-Induced Angiogenesis via Suppression of P38 Phosphorylation. *Curr Neurovasc Res.* 9 (2), 102–109. doi:10.2174/156720212800410830
- Wu, J., Cho, E., Willett, W. C., Sastry, S. M., and Schaumberg, D. A. (2015). Intakes of Lutein, Zeaxanthin, and Other Carotenoids and Age-Related Macular Degeneration During 2 Decades of Prospective Follow-Up. *Jama Ophthalmol.* 133 (12), 1415–1424. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2015.3590
- Xi, L., Qian, Z., Du, P., and Fu, J. (2007). Pharmacokinetic Properties of Crocin (Crocetin Digentiobiose Ester) Following Oral Administration in Rats. *Phytomedicine*. 14 (9), 633–636. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2006.11.028
- Zhang, Y., Fei, F., Zhen, L., Zhu, X., Wang, J., Li, S., et al. (2017). Sensitive Analysis and Simultaneous Assessment of Pharmacokinetic Properties of Crocin and Crocetin after Oral Administration in Rats. J Chromatogr. B. 1044-1045, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.12.003

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Zhao, Kam, Chen, Gong, Hoi, Skalicka-Woźniak, Dias and Lee. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.