

Can Botulinum Toxin Type A effectively treat neuropathic pain in spinal cord injury? A protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis

Zeng-mian Wang, MM, Ze-yu Wang, MM, Chun-jie Wei, MM, Yao-jia Jiang, MM*

Abstract

Background: This protocol aims to assess the efficacy and safety of Botulinum Toxin Type A (BTTA) for the treatment of neuropathic pain (NPP) in patients with spinal cord injury (SCI).

Methods: We will retrieve databases in Cochrane Library, EMBASE, MEDLINE, Excerpta Medica Database, PsychINFO, the Allied and Complementary Medicine Database, and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure from the beginning to the March 1, 2020. We will consider any potential studies on assessing the efficacy and safety of BTTA for the treatment of NPP in patients with SCI without limitations of language and publication status. Cochrane risk of bias will be used to assess the risk of bias for all included trials. RevMan 5.3 software will be utilized to synthesize the extracted data and to analyze those data.

Results: This study will appraise the efficacy and safety based on the current evidence of BTTA for the treatment of NPP in patients with SCI.

Conclusion: This study will exert high quality clinical trials for exploring the efficacy and safety of BTTA in treating NPP in patients with SCI.

PROSPERO registration number: PROSPERO CRD42020170474.

Abbreviations: BTTA = botulinum toxin type A, NPP = neuropathic pain, SCI = spinal cord injury.

Keywords: botulinum toxin type A, efficacy, neuropathic pain, randomized controlled trial, safety, spinal cord injury

1. Introduction

Neuropathic pain (NP) is 1 of the most common complications in patients with spinal cord injury (SCI).^[1–3] Studies reported that the prevalence of pain in SCI patients varies from 75% to 81%,^[4–6] and NP accounts for 53% of all SCI pain patients,^[7] which significantly reduce quality of life in such patients.^[8–9]

Z-MW and Z-YW contributed equally to this study.

This work was supported by the Scientific Research Project of Heilongjiang Health and Family Planning Commission (2017–387). Provider just financially supports this study, but does not involve all sections of this study, and does not have conflict interest with this study.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Third Ward of Neurology Department, First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University, Jiamusi, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Yao-jia Jiang, Third Ward of Neurology Department, First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University, No. 348 Dexiang Street, Jiamusi, 154002, China (e-mail: jituo7840@163.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang Zm, Wang Zy, Wei Cj, Jiang Yj. Can Botulinum Toxin Type A effectively treat neuropathic pain in spinal cord injury? A protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2020;99:25(e20702).

Received: 2 May 2020 / Accepted: 15 May 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000020702

Botulinum Toxin Type A (BTTA) is commonly applied to treat spasticity or dystonia.^[10–13] Recent studies have suggested that it is effective for the management of NP following SCI.^[14–21] To date, there is not yet a synthesis of current studies that investigated the efficacy and safety of BTTA for the treatment of neuropathic pain (NPP) after SCI. This is the first study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of BTTA for the treatment of NPP following SCI systematically and comprehensively.

2. Methods

2.1. Study registration

This study has been registered on PROSPERO (CRD42020170474). It follows the guideline of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocol statement guidelines.^[22]

2.2. Study selection criteria

2.2.1. Study types. This study will include randomized controlled trials (RCTs) alone that assessing the efficacy and of BTTA for the treatment of NPP in patients with SCI without restrictions of language and publication status. Any other studies, such as laboratory studies, letters, reviews, case reports, uncontrolled trials, and non-RCTs will be removed.

2.2.2. Interventions. All patients in the experimental group received BTTA alone for the treatment of their NPP condition. The combined therapy of BTTA with other interventions will be excluded.

All participants in the control group underwent any therapies for the NPP, but not any types of BTTA.

2.2.3. Population. Any SCI participants who were diagnosed as NPP will be included. All information relating to the race, age, gender, or economic status will not be taken into account.

2.2.4. Outcomes. The primary outcome includes the pain intensity of NPP, as measured by any validated pain scales, such as NPP Symptom Inventory.

The secondary outcomes consist of spasticity (as assessed by Modified Ashworth Scale or any relevant scales), sleep quality (as identified by The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index or other related scores), depression and anxiety (as evaluated by Hamilton Depression Rating Scale and the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, or other associated tools), quality of life (as investigated by World Health Organization quality of life or any connected questionnaires), and adverse events.

2.2.5. Search strategy. The following electronic databases will be searched: Cochrane Library, EMBASE, MEDLINE, Excerpta Medica Database, PsychINFO, the Allied and Complementary Medicine Database, and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure from the initial to the March 1, 2020. We will include RCTs alone that exploring the efficacy and safety of BTTA for the treatment of NPP in patients with SCI. No limitations of language and publication status will be implied.

The sample of search strategy for Cochrane Library is listed in Table 1. We will adapt similar search strategies for other electronic databases.

Additionally, websites of clinical trial registry and reference lists of associated reviews will be searched.

2.2.6. Study selection. Two authors will independently read the titles/abstracts of search literatures based on the predefined eligibility criteria. All irrelevant records will be removed after initial screen. Then, full-papers of all potential trials will be identified carefully against all inclusion criteria. Any conflicts between 2 authors will be solved by a third author through consultation. The whole process of study selection will be shown in a flow chart (Fig. 1).

2.2.7. Data extraction and management. Two authors will separately extract data from included RCTs using predefined data extraction sheet. The extracted information is as follows:

first author, year of publication, subject information (such as age, gender, disease duration), trial setting, trial methods, sample size, interventions, controls, outcome indicators, adverse events, conflicts of interest, and any other relevant information. If there are disagreements between 2 authors, they will be resolved by consulting and discussing with the help of another experienced author.

2.2.8. *Risk of bias assessment.* Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool will be used for the assessment of study quality through 7 domains. Each 1 is divided into 3 types: high risk of bias, unclear risk of bias, and low risk of bias. Any discrepancies in the process will be settled down with the help of another experienced author by discussion.

2.2.9. Measurement of treatment effect. For continuous variables, mean difference or standardized mean difference and 95% confidence intervals will be used to calculate them. For dichotomous variables, risk ratio and 95% confidence intervals will be utilized to present them.

2.2.10. Unit of analysis. If the eligible trials belong to the cross-over studies, we will assess the first period of study data only.

2.2.11. *Missing data.* If the data in the eligible trials is missing or insufficient, we will contact primary authors to request it. If we can not receive such data, we will use intent-to-treat analysis for data analysis based on the available data.

2.2.12. Assessment of heterogeneity. In this study, I^2 test will be applied to check heterogeneity among the included trials. When $I^2 \leq 50\%$, we will consider heterogeneity as reasonable, and will we carry out a fixed-effects model for outcome data pooling. When $I^2 > 50\%$, we will regard heterogeneity as obvious, and we will perform a random-effects model for the outcome data synthesizing.

2.2.13. Data synthesis. We will use RevMan 5.3 software to carry out statistical analysis. If reasonable heterogeneity is found across sufficient trials, we will perform a meta-analysis based on the similar study information, patient characteristics, interventions, controls and outcome indicators. Otherwise, we will perform subgroup analysis to explore potential sources of significant heterogeneity. If it is not possible to conduct a meta-analysis, we will synthesize outcome data using a narrative summary. It will be reported by detailed written commentary to

Number	Search terms
1	Mesh descriptor: (spinal cord injuries) explode all trees
2	Mesh descriptor: (neuralgia) explode all trees
3	Mesh descriptor: (pain) explode all trees
4	((spinal cord [*]) or (injury [*]) or (injuries [*]) or (trauma [*]) or (spinal canal [*]) or (neuropathic [*]) or (pain [*]) or (pain intensity [*])):ti, ab, kw
5	Or 1–4
6	MeSH descriptor: (botulinum toxins, type a) explode all trees
7	((botulinum toxin [*]) or (Botox [*]) or (botulism [*]) or (Jeuveau [*]) or (Xeomin [*]) or type A [*])):ti, ab, kw
8	Or 6–7
9	MeSH descriptor: (randomized controlled trial) explode all trees
10	((random [*]) or (randomly [*]) or (blind [*]) or (controlled trial [*]) or (clinical trial [*]) or (control [*]) or (study [*]) or (trial [*])):ti, ab, kw
11	Or 9–10
12	5 and 8 and 11

MeSH = medical subject headings.



demonstrate the findings, target participant characteristics, intervention and controls (such as BTTA vs acupuncture), and types of outcome measurements (such as pain intensity of NPP, spasticity, sleep quality, or incidence of adverse events).

2.2.14. Subgroup analysis. If data are available, we will carry out a subgroup analysis according to the different types of interventions, comparators, outcome indicators, and study quality.

2.2.15. Sensitivity analysis. If necessary, we will conduct sensitivity analysis to recognize the stability of study finding by crossing out low quality studies.

2.2.16. *Publication bias.* If at least 10 trials are included in this study, we will detect potential reporting bias using funnel plot,^[23] Egger regression and Begger tests.^[24]

3. Discussion

Although several previous trials have addressed that BTTA is used for the treatment of NPP in patients with SCI. However, its conclusions are still inconsistent and there is no systematic review focusing on such issue. Therefore, this study will systematically discuss its efficacy and safety of BTTA for the treatment of NPP in patients with SCI, and will provide a systematic and comprehensive assessment for further research of NPP in patients with SCI. Its findings may provide helpful reference for both clinician and health-related policy makers.

3.1. Ethics and dissemination

This study will not need research ethics approval, because no confidential patient data will be used. We will publish this study on a peer-reviewed journal or through a conference presentation.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Zeng-mian Wang, Chun-jie Wei, Yao-jia Jiang.

Data curation: Zeng-mian Wang, Chun-jie Wei.

Formal analysis: Zeng-mian Wang, Ze-yu Wang.

Funding acquisition: Yao-jia Jiang.

Investigation: Yao-jia Jiang.

Methodology: Zeng-mian Wang, Chun-jie Wei.

Project administration: Yao-jia Jiang.

Resources: Zeng-mian Wang, Ze-yu Wang, Chun-jie Wei.

Software: Zeng-mian Wang, Ze-yu Wang, Chun-jie Wei.

Supervision: Yao-jia Jiang.

Validation: Zeng-mian Wang, Ze-yu Wang, Chun-jie Wei, Yaojia Jiang.

Visualization: Zeng-mian Wang, Chun-jie Wei, Yao-jia Jiang.

Writing – original draft: Zeng-mian Wang, Ze-yu Wang, Yao-jia Jiang.

Writing – review & editing: Zeng-mian Wang, Ze-yu Wang, Chun-jie Wei, Yao-jia Jiang.

References

- Shiao R, Lee-Kubli CA. Neuropathic pain after spinal cord injury: challenges and research perspectives. Neurotherapeutics 2018;15:635–53.
- [2] Finnerup NB. Neuropathic pain and spasticity: intricate consequences of spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord 2017;55:1046–50.
- [3] Hulsebosch CE, Hains BC, Crown ED, et al. Mechanisms of chronic central neuropathic pain after spinal cord injury. Brain Res Rev 2009;60:202–13.
- [4] Siddall PJ, McClelland JM, Rutkowski SB, et al. A longitudinal study of the prevalence and characteristics of pain in the first 5 years following spinal cord injury. Pain 2003;103:249–57.
- [5] Turner J, Cardenas D, Warms C, et al. Chronic pain associated with spinal cord injury: a community survey. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001;82:501–8.
- [6] Rintala DH, Holmes SA, Feiss RN, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of chronic pain in veterans with spinal cord injury. J Rehabil Res Dev 2005;42:573–84.
- [7] Burke D, Fullen BM, Stokes D, et al. Neuropathic pain prevalence following spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Pain 2017;21:29–44.
- [8] Goossens D, Dousse M, Ventura M, et al. Chronic neuropathic pain in spinal cord injury patients: what is the impact of social and environmental factors on care management? Ann Phys Rehabil Med 2009;52:173–9.

- [9] Dombovy-Johnson ML, Hunt CL, Morrow MM, et al. Current evidence lacking to guide clinical practice for spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of neuropathic pain in spinal cord injury: a review of the literature and a proposal for future study. Pain Pract 2020; 20:325–35.
- [10] Castelão M, Marques RE, Duarte GS, et al. Botulinum toxin type A therapy for cervical dystonia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017;12: CD003633.
- [11] Dong Y, Wu T, Hu X, et al. Efficacy and safety of botulinum toxin type A for upper limb spasticity after stroke or traumatic brain injury: a systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2017;53:256–67.
- [12] Wolswijk AH, Dirkx AE. Treatment of spasticity in nursing homes: botulinum toxin type A as part of therapy. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd 2015;159:A7833.
- [13] Chen JL, Kuo HC. Clinical application of intravesical botulinum toxin type A for overactive bladder and interstitial cystitis. Investig Clin Urol 2020;61(Suppl 1):S33–42.
- [14] Smith CP, Gangitano DA, Munoz A, et al. Botulinum toxin type A normalizes alterations in urothelial ATP and NO release induced by chronic spinal cord injury. Neurochem Int 2008;52:1068–75.
- [15] Naicker AS, Roohi SA, Chan JL. Botulinum toxin type A for rehabilitation after a spinal cord injury: a case report. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2009;17:96–9.
- [16] Intiso D, Basciani M. Botulinum toxin type A in the healing of a chronic buttock ulcer in a patient with spastic paraplegia after spinal cord injury. J Rehabil Med 2009;41:1100–2.
- [17] Santamato A, Panza F, Ranieri M, et al. Effect of intrathecal baclofen, botulinum toxin type A and a rehabilitation programme on locomotor function after spinal cord injury: a case report. J Rehabil Med 2010;42:891–4.
- [18] Yan X, Lan J, Liu Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of botulinum toxin type a in spasticity caused by spinal cord injury: a randomized, controlled trial. Med Sci Monit 2018;24:8160–71.
- [19] Han ZA, Song DH, Oh HM, et al. Botulinum toxin type A for neuropathic pain in patients with spinal cord injury. Ann Neurol 2016;79:569–78.
- [20] Al-Khodairy AT, Gobelet C, Rossier AB. Has botulinum toxin type A a place in the treatment of spasticity in spinal cord injury patients? Spinal Cord 1998;36:854–8.
- [21] Patki PS, Hamid R, Arumugam K, et al. Botulinum toxin-type A in the treatment of drug-resistant neurogenic detrusor overactivity secondary to traumatic spinal cord injury. BJU Int 2006;98:77–82.
- [22] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. PRISMA-P Group Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;349:g7647.
- [23] Sutton AJ, Duval SJ, Tweedie RL, et al. Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. BMJ 2000;320:1574–7.
- [24] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.