# Effect of feeding different levels of lignocellulose on performance, nutrient digestibility, excreta dry matter, and intestinal microbiota in slow growing broilers

I. Röhe, \*,1 F. Metzger, \* W. Vahjen, \* G. A. Brockmann, † and J. Zentek \*

\*Department of Veterinary Medicine, Institute of Animal Nutrition, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany; and 

†Albrecht Daniel Thaer-Institute for Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 
Berlin, Germany

**ABSTRACT** Lignocellulose is a constituent of plant cell walls and might be used as a fiber source in poultry nutrition. The current study investigated the impact of increasing dietary levels of lignocellulose on performance, nutrient digestibility, excreta DM, intestinal microbiota, and bacterial metabolites in slow growing broilers. At an age of 10 wk, 60 male broilers of an intercross line (New Hampshire X White Leghorn) were allocated to cages and fed isoenergetic and isonitrogenous diets containing 0.8% (LC1), 5% (LC2), or 10% (LC3) lignocellulose. After 23 D of feeding, broilers were killed and digesta samples of ileum and excreta analyzed for nutrient digestibility and DM. Cecal contents were analyzed for microbial composition and metabolites. Broiler performance was not affected by feeding dietary lignocellulose. LC3 fed broilers showed reduced ileal digestibility of protein compared to chickens fed LC1 (P = 0.003). Moreover, increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose reduced apparent digestibility of organic matter and gross energy (P < 0.001). Feeding of lignocellulose had no impact on the excreta DM of broilers. Increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose lowered cecal counts of Escherichia/Hafnia/Shiqella (P = 0.029) and reduced the total concentration of short-chain fatty acids (P < 0.001), lactate (P < 0.05), and ammonia (P = 0.009). The molar ratio of cecal acetic acid was higher in LC3 fed broilers (P < 0.001), while the proportions of cecal propionic acid and n-butyric acid were higher in LC1 and LC2 fed chickens (P < 0.001). Correlation analyses indicated that dietary lignocellulose was negatively related to the total concentration of cecal bacterial metabolites (P < 0.001). In conclusion, the feeding of lignocellulose did not affect growth performance, but impaired nutrient digestibility of slow growing broilers. While minor changes in cecal microbial composition were detected, cecal bacterial metabolite concentrations were significantly reduced with increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose. These findings suggest that lignocellulose is not extensively degraded by bacteria residing in the large intestine of broilers.

**Key words:** lignocellulose, broiler, performance, digestibility, microbiota

2020 Poultry Science 99:5018–5026 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.06.053

#### INTRODUCTION

In recent years, intensive research regarding the use of different fiber sources in poultry nutrition has contributed to the understanding that dietary fiber is more than a simple diet diluent. Studies have shown that diets supplemented with fibrous components can have effects on the digestive tract development and function resulting in improved chicken health and performance (Hetland and

Received April 6, 2020. Accepted June 20, 2020. Svihus, 2001; Montagne et al., 2003; González-Alvarado et al., 2007; Jiménez-Moreno et al., 2011). However, as the term "dietary fiber" includes a large and heterogeneous group of compounds, observed effects are strongly dependent on the used fiber source, its physicochemical characteristics (i.e., solubility, viscosity, particle size, degree of lignification, hydration capacity), and the inclusion level (Bach Knudsen, 2001). Dietary fiber can be defined differently, although most commonly a physiological or chemical definition is used. With respect to the physiological definition, dietary fiber is any polysaccharide and lignin, which is not degraded by endogenous enzymes in the digestive tract, thus reaching the large intestine (Trowell et al., 1976; Bach Knudsen, 2001). Chemically, dietary fiber can be considered as the sum of non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) and lignin (Theander et al., 1994). Due to their

<sup>© 2020</sup> Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Poultry Science Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: ilen.roehe@fu-belin.de

water solubility, NSP can be divided into soluble and insoluble NSP showing different effects in the digestive tract when fed to the animal. The feeding of soluble NSP sources is related to negative effects on animal performance and health, as gut viscosity might be increased resulting in a decreased feed passage rate, while the contrary effect is observed for dietary insoluble fiber (Van der Klis and Van Voorst, 1993; Almirall and Esteve-Garcia, 1994; Choct et al., 1996). Moreover, soluble NSP might be fermented to a larger extent by gut microbes while insoluble NSP are not degraded extensively by microbial fermentation in poultry (Montagne et al., 2003; Hetland et al., 2004; De Vries et al., 2012).

Lignocellulose, a constituent of plant cell walls, is mainly composed of insoluble NSP cellulose and hemicellulose as well as phenolic lignin (Liu et al., 2014). In the last decade, few studies examined the use of dietary lignocellulose for different farm and companion animals revealing potential effects on digestive physiology and function (Abad et al., 2013; Kröger et al., 2017; Slama et al., 2020). With respect to poultry nutrition, the feeding of lignocellulose at inclusion levels below 1% could improve broiler performance (Sarikhan et al., 2010; Makivic et al., 2019). However, other studies, using similar inclusion levels, showed that dietary lignocellulose had no impact (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Kheravii et al., 2017; Zeitz et al., 2019) or, by using higher inclusion levels, an adverse effect on performance parameters of chickens (Oke and Oke, 2007; Oikeh et al., 2019; Röhe et al., 2019). There is a lack of studies investigating the impact of dietary lignocellulose on nutrient digestibility. While the feeding of 1 to 2% dietary lignocellulose had no effect on nutrient digestibility (Kheravii et al., 2017), the apparent total tract digestibility of fat and fatty acids was increased in broilers fed 1% lignocellulose (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2016). Few studies showed that microbial composition (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Kheravii et al., 2017; Makivic et al., 2019; Zeitz et al., 2019) and bacterial metabolites (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Zeitz et al., 2019) might be affected by feeding lignocellulose at inclusion levels below 2\%. Moreover, it could be demonstrated that litter moisture content was reduced when broilers were fed with dietary concentrations of lignocellulose up to 2\% (Farran et al., 2013; Kheravii et al., 2017; Makivic et al., 2019).

In all of the above-mentioned studies, lignocellulose was supplemented on top thus diluting dietary energy and nutrient content and, in most cases, relatively low dietary lignocellulose levels were fed. In the current study, experimental diets were isoenergetic and isonitrogenous and relatively high dietary lignocellulose inclusion levels of 5 and 10% were used. The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of feeding increasing concentrations of dietary lignocellulose on performance, nutrient digestibility, excreta DM, cecal microbiota, and cecal bacterial metabolites in slow growing broilers. It was hypothesized that animal performance and nutrient digestibility might be not affected by increasing levels of lignocellulose as the diets were isoenergetic and isonitrogenous. Furthermore, it was expected that the excreta DM

content might be increased with increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose and the cecal microbiota could be changed by feeding different diets.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

All procedures involving handling and treatments of animals were approved by the local state office of occupational health and technical safety (Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales, Berlin, Germany, LAGeSo G0165/14).

# Broilers, Rearing Conditions, and Experimental Design

In this study, 60 male broilers of generation F12 of an advanced intercross line  $(AIL_{12})$  were used. This line was a breed from an initial cross of the inbred lines New Hampshire (NHI, high growth) and White Leghorn (WL77, low growth) followed by repeated random intercrossing (Nassar et al., 2012). Broilers were raised on floor pens and fed a commercial broiler diet until 5 wk of age. In an adaption phase, broilers were divided into 3 groups (20 animals per pen) and fed the 3 experimental diets until 10 wk of age. Afterwards, broilers were transferred into individual cages and fed the same experimental diets as during the adaption phase for a period of 23 D. The cage represented the experimental unit resulting in 20 replicates per feeding group. The single cages (61  $\times$  50  $\times$  35 cm) were equipped with a nipple drinker system, a feeder, and a sitting perch. Broilers were offered ad libitum access to mash feed and water. Ambient temperature was adjusted to 21°C and broilers had 12 h light per day. During the experimental trial, body weight and feed intake of broilers were recorded weekly. From these data, the average daily weight gain, average daily feed intake, and feed conversion ratio were calculated. At the end of the trial, broilers were sacrificed by stunning and cervical decapitation. Subsequently, ileal contents and excreta were collected for analyses of nutrient digestibility, and cecal digesta were used for the determination of bacterial metabolites and microbiota composition.

## Experimental Diets

The experimental diets were mainly based on wheat, soybean meal, and corn and contained different concentrations of a lignocellulose product (ARBOCEL R, J. Rettenmaier & Söhne GmbH + Co. KG, Rosenberg, Germany). In the first treatment diet, dietary lignocellulose was included at a level of 0.8% (LC1), the second treatment diet contained 5% lignocellulose (LC2), and the third treatment 10% dietary lignocellulose (LC3). The used lignocellulose source had a crude fiber content of 65%, an average fiber length of 200 to 300  $\mu$ m, and a bulk density of 60 to 105 g/L, per supplier information.

Titanium dioxide (3 g of  $TiO_2/kg$  of diet) was used as an indigestible marker for the ileal and apparent excreta digestibility measurements. The nutrient content of the diets was determined by classical Weende procedures

(Naumann and Bassler, 2004). Phosphorus content was measured using the ammonium vanadate/molybdate method (Gericke and Kurmies, 1952) and calcium content was determined using atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS Vario 6 Spectrometer, Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany). The feed composition and analyzed nutrient content of the experimental diets are displayed in Table 1.

# Sampling and Analyses

Nutrient Digestibility and Excreta DM Nutrient digestibility and excreta DM were analyzed for 20 broilers per treatment group (n = 20). For the determination of the apparent ileal digestibility of crude protein, digesta was taken from the distal two-thirds of the ileum. On the last 3 D of the trial, excreta samples were collected in order to detect the apparent excreta digestibility of ether extract, organic matter, gross energy, and excreta DM. Ileal digesta and excreta were immediately frozen ( $-80^{\circ}$ C) until further analysis. Samples were freezedried before chemical analyses. The following equation was used for apparent ileal (AID) and excreta digestibility (AD) calculation:

temperatures are shown in Table 2. All primers were obtained from MWG Biotech (Straubing, Germany). A calibration series of PCR products with known copy numbers per ng DNA was observed to calculate copy numbers/g sample.

Analyses of Bacterial Metabolites in the Cecum **Digesta** Cecal digesta from 10 chickens per treatment group (n = 10) were collected, instantly frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. The analysis of the total concentration of bacterial metabolites was performed as described earlier (Kröger et al., 2017). The total concentration of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) was determined by gas chromatography (Agilent 6890N, Agilent Technologies, Böblingen, Germany, autosampler G2614A, and injection tower G2613A, Network GC Systems, Böblingen, Germany) equipped with a flame ionization detector. In order to detect shifts in the SCFA profile, the molar proportions of SCFAs were calculated. The total concentrations of D- and L-lactate were measured by HPLC (Agilent 1100, Agilent Technologies) with a pre-column (Phenomenex C18,  $4.0 \times 2.0$  mm, Phenomenex Inc., Aschaffenburg, Germany) and an analytical column (Chirex 3126 (D)penicillamine,  $150 \times 4.6$  mm, Phenomenex Inc.) Ammonia was detected colorimetrically by the Berthelot reaction in microtitration plates using a Tecan Sunrise microplate reader (Tecan Austria GmbH, Grödig, Austria).

$$AID \, / \, AD = 100 - \left[ \frac{\% \; Marker \; in \; feed}{\% \; Marker \; in \; ileum / excreta} \times \frac{\% \; Nutrient \; in \; ileum / excreta}{\% \; Nutrient \; in \; feed} \right] \times 100$$

Excreta DM was determined by weighing frozen excreta in aluminum jars of known weight and subsequent drying in an incubator at  $103^{\circ}$ C, in order to detect the loss of water.

Analyses of Bacterial Cell Counts in the Cecum **Digesta** The paired ceca were removed and squeezed in order to collect cecal digesta. Digesta samples of 10 broilers per treatment group (n = 10) were subsequently frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. The quantification of selected representatives of the microbiota was carried out from DNA, detecting bacterial 16S rDNA copy numbers as an equivalent to bacterial cells of specific bacterial groups. Seven group primers were used to analyze important bacterial groups: clostridial cluster I, IV, and XIVa, Lactobacillus spp., Bifidobacterium spp., the Bacteroides-Prevotella-Porphyromonas cluster, and the Escherichia Hafnia/Shigella group (Table 2). DNA was extracted with a commercial NucleoSpin RNA Kit (REF 740955, Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany) in combination with the NucleoSpin RNA/DNA Buffer Set (REF 740944, Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG) according to the manufacturer, except for the use of the 100 mg sample. Quantification of bacterial groups was done using a Stratagene Mx3000P system (Stratagene, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) with a commercial master mix (Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix with Low ROX, Stratagene). Primer sequences and annealing

# Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 26.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Means ± SEM are reported. The cage represented the experimental unit. Regarding animal performance, nutrient digestibility, and bacterial metabolites, 20 replicates per treatment were used. In the LC3 group, one chicken was identified as female and thus excluded resulting in 19 replicates. Analyses of bacterial cell counts and overall activity were based on 10 broilers/replicates per treatment. The normally distributed data were analyzed by using ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's test. Microbiological data failed the normality test and were analyzed via Kruskal-Wallis test and subsequent Mann-Whitney U test, where appropriate. Spearman correlation analyses were conducted displaying correlations between dietary lignocellulose content and total concentration of cecal bacterial metabolites. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

### **RESULTS**

During the whole feeding trial, broilers were healthy and showed no clinical evidence of disease. Feeding different dietary levels of lignocellulose did not affect overall animal performance (Table 3).

Table 1. Feed composition and analyzed nutrient content of diets.

Diet composition	$LC1^1$	$LC2^2$	$LC3^3$	
Ingredient (%)				
Wheat	40.7	34.9	23.0	
Soybean meal extracted	29.0	30.6	34.5	
Corn	19.0	19.0	18.0	
Lignocellulose	0.80	5.00	10.0	
Soya oil	2.50	2.50	6.50	
$\operatorname{Premix}^4$	4.00	4.00	4.00	
Calcium carbonate	4.00	4.00	4.00	
$\mathrm{TiO}_{2}^{\ 5}$	0.30	0.30	0.30	
Analyzed nutrients (%)				
Crude protein	22.7	22.8	22.0	
Ether extract	4.34	4.35	7.58	
Crude fiber	4.15	6.24	9.52	
NDF	14.1	16.4	18.9	
ADF	4.83	7.51	10.6	
$\mathrm{ADL}$	1.09	2.30	2.94	
Crude ash	6.69	6.54	6.44	
Calcium	1.00	0.96	0.90	
Phosphorus	0.68	0.65	0.64	
Sodium	0.22	0.22	0.22	
Potassium	0.79	0.76	0.84	
Calculated $AME_n (MJ/kg)^6$	14.5	14.3	14.5	

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>LC1 = 0.8\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

Abbreviations: ADF, acid detergent fiber; ADL, acid detergent lignin; NDF, neutral detergent fiber.

With respect to nutrient digestibility (Table 4), increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose impaired apparent ileal digestibility of crude protein. LC3 fed broilers showed reduced digestibility of crude protein compared to those fed with LC1 (P=0.003). Apparent excreta digestibility of ether extract was not affected by feeding different diets. Increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose proportionately reduced the apparent excreta digestibility of organic matter and gross energy in broilers (P < 0.001). The DM content of excreta was

not influenced by feeding increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose (Table 4).

Feeding increasing levels of lignocellulose affected the total concentration of bacterial metabolites and relative proportions of SCFAs in the cecum digesta of broilers (Table 5). Broilers fed LC3 showed lower total SCFA concentrations in the cecum than LC1 and LC2 fed chickens (P < 0.001). In particular, lower amounts of propionic acid (P < 0.001), i-butyric acid (P = 0.001), n-butyric acid (P < 0.001), and i-valeric acid (P = 0.001) were detected in the cecal content of LC3 fed broilers in comparison with those fed LC1 and LC2. With respect to the molar ratio, the relative proportion of acetic acid was higher in LC3 fed chickens (P < 0.001), while the relative proportions of propionic acid and n-butyric acid were higher in LC1 and LC2 fed broilers (P < 0.001). Moreover, the total concentration of D-lactate (P = 0.037), L-lactate (P = 0.001), and ammonia (P = 0.009) was found to be lower in broilers fed LC3 compared to those fed LC1 and LC2. Spearman correlation analyses revealed that dietary lignocellulose inclusion was negatively related to the total concentration of SCFAs (P < 0.001) in the cecum of broilers (Table 6). With regard to dietary lignocellulose, a moderate negative relationship was observed for propionic acid (P < 0.001) and n-butyric acid (P < 0.001) and low negative correlations were found for i-butyric acid (P = 0.001) and i-valeric acid (P = 0.008). The total cecal concentrations of D-lactate, L-lactate, and ammonia were negatively correlated to the lignocellulose levels of the diets (P = 0.029, P < 0.001, P = 0.003).

Analyses of bacterial copy numbers of 16S rDNA showed that bacteria of the Escherichia/Hafnia/Shigella group were numerically and significantly reduced in the cecal content of broilers fed increasing dietary lignocellulose levels (Table 7). LC3 fed chickens had lower numbers of Escherichia/Hafnia/Shigella compared to LC1 fed broilers (P=0.029). Bacteria of clostridial cluster IV and Escherichia/Hafnia/Shigella porphyromonas cluster were higher in LC1 and LC2 fed broilers compared to those fed LC 3 (P=0.001, P=0.009). Bacterial copy numbers of the clostridial cluster XIVa and Escherichia spp. were higher in LC2 and LC3

**Table 2.** Primers used for quantification of bacterial 16S copy numbers in cecal contents.

Specificity	Primer	Primer sequences (5′-3′)	Product (bp)	${ m A_T}^1$	Reference
Clostridial cluster XIVa	g-Ccoc-F	AAATGACGGTACCTGACTAA	440	60	Matsuki et al., 2002
	g-Ccoc-R	CTTTGAGTTTCATTCTTGCGAA			Matsuki et al., 2002
Clostridial cluster I	CI-F1	TACCHRAGGAGGAAGCCAC	231	63	Song et al., 2004
	CI-R2	GTTCTTCCTAATCTCTACGCAT			Song et al., 2004
Clostridial cluster IV	sg-Clept-F	GCACAAGCAGTGGAGT	239	60	Matsuki et al., 2002
	sg-Clept-R	CTTCCTCCGTTTTGTCAA			Matsuki et al., 2002
Lactobacillus spp.	Lac-1	AGCAGTAGGGAATCTTCCA	341	58	Walter et al., 2001
	Lac-2	CACCGCTACACATGGAG			Heilig et al., 2002
Bifidobacterium spp.	g-BIFID-F	TCGCGTCYGGTGTGAAAG	243	58	Rinttilä et al., 2004
	g-BIFID-R	CCACATCCAGCRTCCAC			Rinttilä et al., 2004
Bacteroides-Prevotella-Porphyromonas cluster	BPP1	GGTGTCGGCTTAAGTGCCAT	140	55	Rinttilä et al., 2004
• •	BPP2	CGGAYGTAAGGGCCGTGC			Rinttilä et al., 2004
Escherichia/Hafnia/Shigella group	Entero-F	GTTAATACCTTTGCTCATTGA	340	55	Malinen et al., 2003
, , , , ,	${\bf Entero\text{-}R}$	ACCAGGGTATCTAATCCTGTT			Malinen et al., 2003

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>A_{T}$  = annealing temperature (°C).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>LC2 = 5\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>LC3 = 10\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Provided per kg diet: 10,000 IU vitamin A; 3,000 IU vitamin D3; 70.0 mg vitamin E (α-tocopherol acetate); 50.0 mg Fe (iron carbonate); 60.0 mg Zn (zinc oxide); 20.0 mg Zn (Zinc chelate of glycine hydrate); 60.0 mg Mn (manganese oxide); 20.0 mg Mn (manganese chelate of glycine hydrate); 4.00 mg Cu (copper sulfate pentahydrate); 4.00 mg Cu (copper chelate of glycine hydrate); 0.80 mg I (calcium iodate); 0.40 mg Se (sodium selenite).

 $<sup>{}^5\</sup>mathrm{TiO}_2 = \mathrm{titanium}$  (IV) oxide (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO).

 $<sup>^6\</sup>mathrm{AME}_\mathrm{n}$  calculated according to WPSA (1989) equation.

**Table 3.** Impact of different levels of dietary lignocellulose on performance of slow growing broilers (n = 20).

Days	$LC1^2$	$LC2^3$	$LC3^4$	SEM	P-value <sup>5</sup>
Body weig	ht (g)				
1	1,204	1,172	1,186	14.1	0.651
7	1,342	1,310	1,308	14.5	0.556
14	1,483	1,460	1,450	16.7	0.722
23	1,658	1,639	1,618	19.2	0.700
Average d	aily weight ga	in (g)			
1-7	19.7	19.6	17.5	0.56	0.199
7 - 14	20.0	21.6	20.2	0.84	0.725
14-23	19.5	19.9	18.7	0.60	0.717
1-23	20.6	21.2	19.6	0.53	0.484
Average d	aily feed intak	e (g)			
$1-7^{-}$	37.7	39.7	41.4	1.96	0.748
7 - 14	35.0	39.3	33.1	1.75	0.341
14-23	43.3	48.8	42.6	1.65	0.245
1-23	39.1	43.1	39.3	1.22	0.315
Feed conv	ersion ratio (g	feed/g weigh	t gain)		
1-7	1.89	2.10	2.47	0.12	0.142
7 - 14	1.95	1.89	1.85	0.12	0.938
14 - 23	2.27	2.55	2.31	0.08	0.325
1-23	1.98	2.15	2.10	0.05	0.298

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>LC3: n = 19.$ 

fed broilers in comparison with chickens fed LC1 (P = 0.006, P = 0.008).

#### DISCUSSION

In the last decade, few studies were performed in order to investigate whether dietary lignocellulose affects performance, digestive physiology, and intestinal microbiota in chickens (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Farran et al., 2017; Kheravii et al., 2017; Makivic et al., 2019; Zeitz et al., 2019). One aspect common to these studies is that lignocellulose was used as a diet diluent resulting in energy- and nutrient-reduced experimental diets. Furthermore, relatively low concentrations of dietary lignocellulose were included in these experiments. Contrary to this, the broiler feed of the

**Table 4.** Impact of different levels of dietary lignocellulose on AID CP, AD EE, AD OM, and AD GE as well as on the excreta DM of slow growing broilers (n = 20).<sup>1</sup>

Item	$LC1^2$	$LC2^3$	$LC3^4$	SEM	P-value <sup>5</sup>
AID CP (%) AD EE (%) AD OM (%) AD GE (%) Excreta DM (g/kg)	$82.3^{a}$ $94.9$ $70.0^{a}$ $75.2^{a}$ $299$	$81.7^{\rm a}$ $93.8$ $66.0^{\rm b}$ $71.4^{\rm b}$ $299$	78.5 <sup>b</sup> 95.6 63.4 <sup>c</sup> 68.7 <sup>c</sup> 311	0.50 0.19 0.55 0.04 4.09	0.003 0.058 <0.001 <0.001 0.386

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a-c}{\rm Means}$  with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

**Table 5.** Impact of different levels of dietary lignocellulose on the concentration of bacterial metabolites and the relative proportions of SCFA in the cecum digesta of slow growing broilers (n = 20).<sup>1</sup>

Item	$LC1^2$	$LC2^3$	$LC3^4$	SEM	P-value <sup>5</sup>
Acetic acid (µmol/g)	38.1	39.1	33.9	0.99	0.220
Propionic acid (µmol/g)	$8.89^{\rm a}$	$9.83^{\rm a}$	$4.82^{\rm b}$	0.38	< 0.001
i-Butyric acid (µmol/g)	$0.41^{a}$	$0.39^{a}$	$0.25^{\rm b}$	0.02	0.001
n-Butyric acid (μmol/g)	$8.45^{\rm a}$	$8.04^{\rm a}$	$5.17^{\rm b}$	0.28	< 0.001
i-Valeric acid (µmol/g)	$0.28^{\rm a}$	$0.28^{\rm a}$	$0.16^{\rm b}$	0.02	0.001
n-Valeric acid (µmol/g)	0.59	0.62	0.54	0.02	0.292
Total SCFA (µmol/g)	$56.8^{\mathrm{a}}$	$58.2^{\mathrm{a}}$	$44.9^{\rm b}$	1.44	< 0.001
Acetic acid (mol. %)	$66.8^{\mathrm{b}}$	$67.1^{\rm b}$	$75.5^{a}$	0.67	< 0.001
Propionic acid (mol. %)	$16.0^{\rm a}$	$16.8^{\rm a}$	$10.9^{\rm b}$	0.52	< 0.001
i-Butyric acid (mol. %)	0.76	0.69	0.59	0.04	0.451
n-Butyric acid (mol. %)	$14.9^{a}$	$13.8^{\rm a}$	$11.4^{\rm b}$	0.32	< 0.001
i-Valeric acid (mol. %)	0.52	0.50	0.38	0.04	0.318
n-Valeric acid (mol. %)	1.07	1.08	1.32	0.06	0.465
D-Lactate (µmol/g)	$1.03^{\rm a}$	$1.06^{\rm a}$	$0.42^{\rm b}$	0.14	0.037
L-Lactate (µmol/g)	$1.70^{\rm a}$	$1.34^{\rm a}$	$0.67^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.13	0.001
Ammonia (µmol/g)	$9.46^{\mathrm{a}}$	$8.72^{\mathrm{a}}$	$7.71^{\rm b}$	0.44	0.009

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a,b}{\rm Means}$  with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

present trial was not diluted with lignocellulose producing isoenergetic and isonitrogenous diets with relatively high dietary lignocellulose inclusion levels of up to 10%.

# Broiler Performance and Nutrient Digestibility

In this study, male broilers of an advanced intercross line were used based on an initial cross between the dual purpose New Hampshire and White Leghorn chicken. Thus, growth performance was expectedly much lower compared to commercial broiler hybrids.

Results showed that broiler performance was not affected by feeding varying concentrations of lignocellulose. The feeding of diets with similar energy and protein content, containing 0.8 and 5% lignocellulose, also did not affect the performance parameters of 13-week-old slow growing broilers (Nassar et al. 2019). Studies in broilers and laying hens have shown that feeding energyand nutrient-reduced diets containing relatively high levels of lignocellulose of 10 to 15% clearly reduced body weight (Oikeh et al., 2019; Röhe et al., 2019). Few studies showed that feeding diets supplemented with lignocellulose at lower inclusion levels of up to 2% had no effect on broiler performance (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Kheravii et al., 2017; Zeitz et al., 2019). On the contrary, it could be demonstrated that body weight gain and feed conversion ratio were improved when broilers were fed with diluted diets containing lignocellulose at a maximum of 0.75% (Sarikhan et al., 2010; Makivic et al., 2019). Thus, it becomes obvious that the inclusion level and particularly the nutrient content of diets are crucial factors influencing the performance of chickens. Feeding diets diluted with

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>LC1 = 0.8\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>LC2 = 5\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>LC3 = 10\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Statistical analyses were conducted by ANOVA.

Abbreviations: AD EE, apparent excreta digestibility of ether extract; AD GE, apparent excreta digestibility of gross energy; AD OM, apparent excreta digestibility of organic matter; AID CP, apparent ileal digestibility of CP.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>LC3: n = 19.$ 

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ LC1 = 0.8% dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>LC2 = 5\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>LC3 = 10\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Statistical analyses were conducted by ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's

Abbreviation: SCFA, short-chain fatty acids.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>LC3: n = 19.$ 

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ LC1 = 0.8% dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>LC2 = 5\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>LC3 = 10\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^5\</sup>mathrm{Statistical}$  analyses were conducted by ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's test.

**Table 6.** Spearman correlation analyses of dietary lignocellulose and bacterial metabolites in the cecum of slow growing broilers.

Item	Spearman coefficient	P-value
Acetic acid (µmol/g)	-0.204	0.122
Propionic acid (µmol/g)	-0.599	< 0.001
i-Butyric acid (µmol/g)	-0.435	0.001
n-Butyric acid (µmol/g)	-0.630	< 0.001
i-Valeric acid (µmol/g)	-0.341	0.008
n-Valeric acid (µmol/g)	-0.133	0.316
Total SCFA (µmol/g)	-0.440	< 0.001
D-Lactate (µmol/g)	-0.322	0.029
L-Lactate (µmol/g)	-0.488	< 0.001
Ammonia (µmol/g)	-0.385	0.003

Abbreviation: SCFA, short-chain fatty acids.

relatively high fiber inclusion levels could result in lower energy and nutrient intake of chickens, which impairs growth performance (Oke and Oke, 2007; Oikeh et al., 2019; Röhe et al., 2019). On the other hand, chicken performance seems to be unaffected by relatively high dietary fiber inclusion levels when the diets are isoenergetic and isonitrogenous, as in the current study. Regarding the positive effects of dietary lignocellulose at lower inclusion levels, it was speculated that lignocellulose might improve growth performance due to an increase in nutrient digestibility in combination with improved gizzard function (Sarikhan et al., 2010; Makivic et al., 2019). In the present study, however, increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose proportionally decreased the apparent ileal digestibility of crude protein and apparent excreta digestibility of organic matter and gross energy. With respect to protein digestibility, this might indicate that dietary lignocellulose directly impeded the digestion and absorption of amino acids in the small intestine of broilers. Furthermore, it could be suggested that the feeding of lignocellulose might have abrasive effect on the intestinal (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Makivic et al., 2019), thus increasing endogenous amino acid losses. Results regarding organic matter and gross energy digestibility show that lignocellulose was neither degraded by endogenous enzymes in the small intestine nor largely fermented by intestinal microbes in the large intestine, as discussed later. Similarly, Jiménez-Moreno et al. (2010) showed that 3% dietary cellulose supplementation was generally insufficient in improving nutrient utilization at the exception of fat retention. It was speculated that dietary cellulose might have an effect on micelle formation and lipid absorption enhancing bile acids recycling and fat absorption (Jiménez-Moreno et al., 2010). In line with the latter, the apparent ileal fat digestibility and total tract digestibility of total fatty acids were increased in broilers fed 1% dietary lignocellulose (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2016). With respect to the current study, the total tract digestibility of ether extract was not affected by increasing levels of lignocellulose. This could be explained by the relatively high dietary inclusion levels of 5 and 10% lignocellulose, which might diminish potential positive effects on intestinal fat resorption.

### Excreta DM

The results of the current study showed that excreta DM was not influenced by feeding increasing levels of lignocellulose. Few studies in chickens have demonstrated that the feeding of dietary lignocellulose might increase excreta DM content of chickens, which is associated with improved litter quality. Feeding broilers with varying concentrations of lignocellulose up to 2% resulted in lower moisture content of the litter (Farran et al., 2013; Kheravii et al., 2017; Makivic et al., 2019). In another study with dual purpose hens, the feeding of 10% lignocellulose temporally reduced excreta DM content while excreta viscosity was not affected (Röhe et al., 2020). Compared to other insoluble NSP sources, lignocellulose shows a high water holding capacity (Slama et al., 2019). The higher the water holding capacity of a dietary component, the lower the free water content in the digesta (Takahashi et al., 2009). Thus, it was suggested that both, water holding capacity and digesta retention time, might be increased in broilers fed lignocellulose resulting in increased luminal water absorption and higher excreta DM content (Kheravii et al., 2017). Whether differences in the diet composition, feeding duration, chickens genetics, or age might lead to diverging results in the current study needs further clarification.

Table 7. Impact of different levels of dietary lignocellulose on bacterial cell count ( $log_{10}$  16S rDNA copy number/g) in cecal digesta of slow growing broilers (n = 10).

Item	$LC1^1$	$LC2^2$	$LC3^3$	SEM	P-value <sup>4</sup>
Clostridial cluster I Clostridial cluster IV Clostridial cluster XIVa Lactobacillus spp. Bifidobacterium spp. Bacteroides/Prevotella/Porphyromonas cluster Escherichia/Hafnia/Shigella group	9.28	9.03	9.15	0.14	0.756
	9.96 <sup>a</sup>	10.1 <sup>a</sup>	9.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.04	0.001
	9.86 <sup>b</sup>	10.1 <sup>a</sup>	10.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.03	0.006
	7.11	7.35	7.17	0.09	0.585
	9.39 <sup>b</sup>	9.65 <sup>a</sup>	9.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.05	0.008
	9.94 <sup>a</sup>	10.0 <sup>a</sup>	9.68 <sup>b</sup>	0.05	0.009
	7.42 <sup>a</sup>	6.85 <sup>a,b</sup>	6.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.17	0.023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a,b</sup>Means with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>LC1 = 0.8\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>LC2 = 5\%$  dietary lignocellulose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>LC3 = 10% dietary lignocellulose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Statistical analyses are based on Mann-Whitney U test.

# Intestinal Microbiota and Bacterial Metabolites

A few studies have investigated the effect of feeding dietary lignocellulose on gut microbiota in poultry (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Kheravii et al., 2017; Makivic et al., 2019; Zeitz et al., 2019; Röhe et al., 2020). A direct comparison of results is difficult as lignocellulose products of different suppliers were used with varying dietary inclusion levels. In addition, different methods were applied for detecting intestinal bacteria performing either culture-based techniques or 16S rDNA sequencing. In this study, a significant difference in cecal bacterial counts could be detected for the Escherichia/Hafnia/Shiqella group. Those bacteria were reduced by  $>0.5 \log 10$  with increasing dietary concentrations of lignocellulose. With respect to the other measured bacterial groups, only minor differences were observed with no direct effect of dietary lignocellulose levels. Generally, literature data regarding the potential effect of dietary lignocellulose on cecal microbial composition of broilers are contradictory. The feeding of increasing concentrations of dietary lignocellulose up to 0.6% reduced microbial counts of Escherichia coli in the cecal content of broilers (Makivic et al., 2019). Similarly, cecal counts of *E.coli* were reduced in broilers fed 0.25 and 0.5% dietary lignocellulose while a further increase of dietary lignocellulose of 1% showed no effect on E.coli counts (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015). This observation contradicts our results, as cecal counts of Escherichia/Hafnia/Shigella decreased further with increasing dietary lignocellulose contents of up to 10%.

In the current study, the feeding of 10% dietary lignocellulose reduced the concentration of SCFAs, lactate, and ammonia in the cecum of broilers implying decreased general bacterial activity. On the contrary, the cecal concentration of lactic acid and total SCFA was increased in broilers fed 0.5% lignocellulose, compared to those fed a control diet (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015). The authors suggested that SCFAs were increased in lignocellulose fed broilers due to more bacterial fermentation of lignocellulose (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015). In another study, the effect of 2 different lignocellulose products on intestinal bacterial metabolites was investigated in broilers (Zeitz et al., 2019). The used lignocellulose products had the same chemical composition, but it was assumed that they differed in terms of susceptibility to microbial fermentation (Zeitz et al., 2019). Results showed that dietary inclusion of both the lignocellulose products at an inclusion level of 0.8% had no effect on the total concentration of SCFA (Zeitz et al., 2019), suggesting that both lignocellulose products seemed to have comparable susceptibility to cecal microbial fermentation. The molar proportion of acetic acid was increased and that of butyric acid decreased in broilers fed the potential fermentable lignocellulose compared to those fed the non-fermentable lignocellulose or the control diet (Zeitz et al., 2019). Interestingly, in the current trial, a similar shift in the relative proportions of SCFAs was

observed showing higher relative levels of acetic and propionic acid and lower levels of butyric acid in LC3 fed broilers

Basically, an interpretation of results regarding the effects of feeding different fiber sources on intestinal microbiota is challenging. If intestinal microbes and bacterial metabolites are modified by the dietary inclusion of a fiber source, it could be assumed that these modifications are clearly caused by the dietary fiber itself. However, in all of the above-mentioned studies including the current study, experimental diets differed in both, the lignocellulose inclusion level and the nutrient composition of diets. Changes in the nutrient composition of the feed lead to variations in the amount of substrate that enters the large intestine and could be fermented by residing bacteria. Consequently, changes in the dietary nutrient composition may influence intestinal microbial composition and bacterial fermentation pathways. Thus, with respect to the results of the present study, the decrease in cecal counts of Escherichia/Hafnia/Shigella and cecal microbial metabolites with increasing dietary lignocellulose might refer to both, an insufficient bacterial degradation of lignocellulose and a reduction of bacterial substrate availability due to changes in composition.

Concerning the fermentability of lignocellulose or cellulose, there is still an intensive debate, of whether or to what extent intestinal microbes in monogastrics might be able to degrade such complex polysaccharides (McNab, 1973; Józefiak et al., 2004; Waite and Taylor, 2014; De Maesschalck et al., 2019). In principle, chickens are less capable of degrading insoluble NSP in the large intestine compared to pigs or rats (Carré et al., 1990; De Vries et al., 2012). The reasons for this might be attributed to both, the anatomical and physiological characteristics of the chicken's gastrointestinal tract and the gut microbial composition. The avian digestive tract is relatively short resulting in a high feed passage rate, which generally limits intense fiber degradation. Moreover, several studies showed that only small and soluble fiber fractions might be able to enter the cecum (Bjornhag and Sperber, 1977; Vergara et al., 1989; Rougière and Carré, 2010), which appears to be the main location for bacterial fermentation of dietary fiber (Jørgensen et al., 1996; Józefiak et al., 2004). As lignocellulose is mainly composed of insoluble NSP, it is guestionable whether large amounts of this material would enter the cecum. Apart from chicken-specific digestive tract characteristics, there is an ongoing controversy whether microbes, residing in the large intestine of chickens, might be able to sufficiently degrade complex NSP such as lignocellulose or cellulose. There is evidence that microbial cellulolytic activity in the avian hindgut appears to be minimal (McNab, 1973; Mead, 1989; Waite and Taylor, 2014). However, a recent study with broilers showed that bacteria of the phylum Bacteroidetes, more specifically Alistipes spp., might be able to degrade amorphous cellulose producing energy yielding metabolites (De Maesschalck et al., 2019). Nevertheless, depending on the dietary fiber source, contributions of

bacterial SCFA to energy requirements of chickens are estimated to be low, ranging from 3.5 to 8% (Jørgensen et al., 1996; Jamroz et al., 2002; Józefiak et al., 2004). Finally, authors speculate that lignocellulose could have an abrasive effect on the intestinal mucosa and adhering bacteria (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Makivic et al., 2019) or that phenolic monomers of lignocellulose might possess antimicrobial properties (Bogusławska-Tryk et al., 2015; Zeitz et al., 2019). However, the reported antimicrobial effects are associated with the feeding of purified lignin (Ricke et al., 1982; Baurhoo et al., 2007), which clearly differs in terms of the chemical structure from the native lignin as a component of lignocellulose (Zemek et al., 1979; Makivic et al., 2019).

In conclusion, performance parameters of slow growing chickens were not affected by feeding isoenergetic and isonitrogenous diets containing lignocellulose up to 10%. However, increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose impaired protein digestibility indicating that lignocellulose might interfere with the digestion and absorption of amino acids in the small intestine of broilers. Moreover, the apparent excreta digestibility of organic matter and gross energy were proportionally reduced with increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose indicating that lignocellulose could be neither degraded by intestinal endogenous enzymes nor sufficiently fermented by intestinal microbes, as supported by data on bacterial metabolites. Contrary to our expectations, excreta DM was not affected by feeding varying concentrations of dietary lignocellulose. Considerable changes in cecal microbes were limited to bacteria of the group Escherichia/Hafnia/Shigella. Cecal bacterial metabolites were reduced with increasing levels of dietary lignocellulose suggesting that lignocellulose was not extensively degraded by intestinal bacteria in the large intestine of slow growing chickens. As diet composition was altered by the inclusion of lignocellulose, further research is needed in order to clarify whether or to what extent lignocellulose might be degraded by intestinal microbes in chickens.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors did not provide a conflict of interest statement.

#### REFERENCES

- Abad, R., M. A. Ibáñez, R. Carabaño, and J. García. 2013. Quantification of soluble fibre in feedstuffs for rabbits and evaluation of the interference between the determinations of soluble fibre and intestinal mucin. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 182:61–70.
- Almirall, M., and E. Esteve-Garcia. 1994. Rate of passage of barley diets with chromium oxide: influence of age and poultry strain and effect of  $\beta$ -glucanase supplementation. Poult. Sci. 73:1433–1440.
- Bach Knudsen, K. E. 2001. The nutritional significance of "dietary fibre" analysis. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 90:3–20.
- Baurhoo, B., L. Phillip, and C. A. Ruiz-Feria. 2007. Effects of purified lignin and mannan oligosaccharides on intestinal integrity and microbial populations in the ceca and litter of broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 86:1070–1078.

- Björnhag, G., and I. Sperber. 1977. Transport of various food components through the digestive tract of turkeys, geese and Guinea fowl. Swed. J. Agric. Res. 7:57–66.
- Bogusławska-Tryk, M., R. Szymeczko, A. Piotrowska, K. Burlikowska, and K. Śliżewska. 2015. Ileal and cecal microbial population and short-chain fatty acid profile in broiler chickens fed diets supplemented with lignocellulose. Pak. Vet. J. 35:212–216
- Bogusławska-Tryk, M., A. Piotrowska, R. Szymeczko, and K. Burlikowska. 2016. Effect of dietary lignocellulose on ileal and total tract digestibility of fat and fatty acids in broiler chickens. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 100:1050–1057.
- Carré, B., L. Derouet, and B. Leclercq. 1990. The digestibility of cell-wall polysaccharides from wheat (bran or whole grain), soybean meal, and white lupin meal in cockerels, muscovy ducks, and rats. Poult. Sci. 69:623–633.
- Choct, M., R. J. Hughes, J. Wang, M. R. Bedford, A. J. Morgan, and G. Annison. 1996. Increased small intestinal fermentation is partly responsible for the anti-nutritive activity of non-starch polysaccharides in chickens. Br. Poult. Sci. 37:609–621.
- De Maesschalck, C., V. Eeckhaut, L. Maertens, L. De Lange, L. Marchal, G. Daube, J. Dewulf, F. Haesebrouck, R. Ducatelle, and B. Taminau. 2019. Amorphous cellulose feed supplement alters the broiler caecal microbiome. Poult. Sci. 98:3811–3817.
- De Vries, S., A. M. Pustjens, H. A. Schols, W. H. Hendriks, and W. J. J. Gerrits. 2012. Improving digestive utilization of fiber-rich feedstuffs in pigs and poultry by processing and enzyme technologies: a review. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 178:123–138.
- Farran, M. T., M. Pietsch, and T. Chabrillat. 2013. Effect of Lignocellulose on the Litter Quality and the Ready to Cook Carcass Yield of Male Broilers. Pages 917–921 in Actes des 10èmes Journées de la Recherche Avicole et Palmipèdes à Foie Gras du 26 au 28 mars, 2013, La Rochelle, France. Institut Technique de l'Aviculture, Paris, France.
- Farran, M. T., H. A. Akilian, A. M. Hamoud, G. W. Barbour, and I. P. Saoud. 2017. Lignocellulose improves protein and amino acid digestibility in roosters and egg hatchability in broiler breeders. J. Poult. Sci. 54:197–204.
- Gericke, S., and B. Kurmies. 1952. Colorimetrische Bestimmung der Phosphorsäure mit Vanadat-Molybdat. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. 137:15–22.
- González-Alvarado, J. M., E. Jiménez-Moreno, R. Lázaro, and G. G. Mateos. 2007. Effect of type of cereal, heat processing of the cereal, and inclusion of fiber in the diet on productive performance and digestive traits of broilers. Poult. Sci. 86:1705–1715.
- Heilig, H. G. H. J., E. G. Zoetendal, E. E. Vaughan, P. Marteau, A. D. L. Akkermans, and W. M. de Vos. 2002. Molecular diversity of Lactobacillus spp. and other lactic acid bacteria in the human intestine as determined by specific amplification of 16S ribosomal DNA. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:114–123.
- Hetland, H., and B. Svihus. 2001. Effect of oat hulls on performance, gut capacity and feed passage time in broiler chickens. Br. Poult. Sci. 42:354–361.
- Hetland, H., M. Choct, and B. Svihus. 2004. Role of insoluble non-starch polysaccharides in poultry nutrition. Worlds Poult. Sci. J. 60:415–422.
- Jamroz, D., K. Jakobsen, K. E. Bach Knudsen, A. Wiliczkiewicz, and J. Orda. 2002. Digestibility and energy value of non-starch polysaccharides in young chickens, ducks and geese, fed diets containing high amounts of barley. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A. Mol. Integr. Physiol. 131:657–668.
- Jiménez-Moreno, E., J. M. González-Alvarado, D. González-Sánchez, R. Lázaro, and G. G. Mateos. 2010. Effects of type and particle size of dietary fiber on growth performance and digestive traits of broilers from 1 to 21 days of age. Poult. Sci. 89:2197–2212.
- Jiménez-Moreno, E., S. Chamorro, M. Frikha, H. M. Safaa, R. Lázaro, and G. G. Mateos. 2011. Effects of increasing levels of pea hulls in the diet on productive performance, development of the gastrointestinal tract, and nutrient retention of broilers from one to eighteen days of age. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 168:100–112.
- Jørgensen, H., X. Q. Zhao, K. E. Bach Knudsen, and B. O. Eggum. 1996. The influence of dietary fibre source and level on the development of the gastrointestinal tract, digestibility and energy metabolism in broiler chickens. Br. J. Nutr. 75:379–395.

Józefiak, D., A. Rutkowski, and S. A. Martin. 2004. Carbohydrate fermentation in the avian ceca: a review. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 113:1–15.

- Kheravii, S. K., R. A. Swick, M. Choct, and S. B. Wu. 2017. Coarse particle inclusion and lignocellulose-rich fiber addition in feed benefit performance and health of broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 96:3272–3281.
- Kröger, S., W. Vahjen, and J. Zentek. 2017. Influence of lignocellulose and low or high levels of sugar beet pulp on nutrient digestibility and the fecal microbiota in dogs. J. Anim. Sci. 95:1598–1605.
- Liu, C., H. Wang, A. M. Karim, J. Sun, and Y. Wang. 2014. Catalytic fast pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. Chem. Soc. Rev. 43:7594– 7623.
- Makivic, L., M. Glisic, M. Boskovic, J. Djordjevic, R. Markovic, M. Baltic, and D. Sefer. 2019. Performances, ileal and cecal microbial populations and histological characteristics in broilers fed diets supplemented with lignocellulose. Kafkas Univ. Vet. Fak. Derg. 25:83–91.
- Malinen, E., A. Kassinen, T. Rinttilä, and A. Palva. 2003. Comparison of real-time PCR with SYBR Green I or 5'-nuclease assays and dot-blot hybridization with rDNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes in quantification of selected faecal bacteria. Microbiology 149:269–277.
- Matsuki, T., K. Watanabe, J. Fujimoto, Y. Miyamoto, T. Takada, K. Matsumoto, H. Oyaizu, and R. Tanaka. 2002. Development of 16S rRNA-gene-targeted group-specific primers for the detection and identification of predominant bacteria in human feces. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:5445–5451.
- McNab, J. M. 1973. The avian caeca: a review. Worlds Poult. Sci. J. 29:251-263.
- Mead, G. C. 1989. Microbes of the avian cecum: types present and substrates utilized. J. Exp. Zool. 252:48–54.
- Montagne, L., J. R. Pluske, and D. J. Hampson. 2003. A review of interactions between dietary fibre and the intestinal mucosa, and their consequences on digestive health in young non-ruminant animals. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 108:95–117.
- Nassar, M. K., Z. S. Goraga, and G. A. Brockmann. 2012. Quantitative trait loci segregating in crosses between New Hampshire and White Leghorn chicken lines: II. Muscle weight and carcass composition. Anim. Genet. 43:739–745.
- Nassar, M. K., S. Lyu, J. Zentek, and G. A. Brockmann. 2019. Dietary fiber content affects growth, body composition, and feed intake and their associations with a major growth locus in growing male chickens of an advanced intercross population. Livest. Sci. 227:135–142.
- Naumann, C., and R. Bassler. 2004. Die chemische Untersuchung von Futtermitteln: Methodenbuch Band III. VDLUFA-Verlag, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Oikeh, I., P. Sakkas, J. Taylor, I. Giannenas, D. P. Blake, and I. Kyriazakis. 2019. Effects of reducing growth rate via diet dilution on bone mineralization, performance and carcass yield of coccidiainfected broilers. Poult. Sci. 98:5477–5487.
- Oke, D. B., and M. O. Oke. 2007. Effects of feeding graded levels of sawdust obtained from Daniellia ogea tree on the performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. Res. J. Poult. Sci. 1:12–15.
- Ricke, S. C., P. J. Van der Aar, G.C. Fahey, Jr, and L. L. Berger. 1982. Influence of dietary fibers on performance and fermentation characteristics of gut contents from growing chicks. Poult. Sci. 61:1335–1343
- Rinttilä, T., A. Kassinen, E. Malinen, L. Krogius, and A. Palva. 2004. Development of an extensive set of 16S rDNA-targeted primers for quantification of pathogenic and indigenous bacteria in faecal samples by real-time PCR. J. Appl. Microbiol. 97:1166–1177.

- Röhe, I., J. Urban, A. Dijkslag, J. te Paske, and J. Zentek. 2019. Impact of an energy-and nutrient-reduced diet containing 10% lignocellulose on animal performance, body composition and egg quality of dual purpose laying hens. Arch. Anim. Nutr. 73:1–17.
- Röhe, I., W. Vahjen, F. Metzger, and J. Zentek. 2020. Effect of a "diluted" diet containing 10% lignocellulose on the gastrointestinal tract, intestinal microbiota, and excreta characteristics of dual purpose laying hens. Poult. Sci. 99:310–319.
- Rougière, N., and B. Carré. 2010. Comparison of gastrointestinal transit times between chickens from D+ and D− genetic lines selected for divergent digestion efficiency. Animal 4:1861–1872.
- Sarikhan, M., H. A. Shahryar, B. Gholizadeh, M. H. Hosseinzadeh, B. Beheshti, and A. Mahmoodnejad. 2010. Effects of insoluble fiber on growth performance, carcass traits and ileum morphological parameters on broiler chick males. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 12:531–536.
- Slama, J., K. Schedle, G. K. Wurzer, and M. Gierus. 2019. Physicochemical properties to support fibre characterization in monogastric animal nutrition. J. Sci. Food Agric. 99:3895–3902.
- Slama, J., K. Schedle, W. Wetscherek, D. Pekar, C. Schwarz, and M. Gierus. 2020. Effects of soybean hulls and lignocellulose on performance, nutrient digestibility, microbial metabolites and immune response in piglets. Arch. Anim. Nutr. 74:173–188.
- Song, Y., C. Liu, and S. M. Finegold. 2004. Real-time PCR quantitation of clostridia in feces of autistic children. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:6459–6465.
- Takahashi, T., Y. Furuichi, T. Mizuno, M. Kato, A. Tabara, Y. Kawada, Y. Hirano, K.-y. Kubo, M. Onozuka, and O. Kurita. 2009. Waterholding capacity of insoluble fibre decreases free water and elevates digesta viscosity in the rat. J. Sci. Food Agric. 89:245–250.
- Theander, O., P. Áman, E. Westerlund, and H. Graham. 1994. Enzymatic/chemical analysis of dietary fiber. J. AOAC Int. 77:703–709.
- Trowell, H., D. A. T. Southgate, T. M. S. Wolever, A. R. Leeds, M. A. Gassull, and D. J. A. Jenkins. 1976. Dietary fibre redefined. Lancet 307:967.
- Van der Klis, J. D., and A. Van Voorst. 1993. The effect of carboxy methyl cellulose (a soluble polysaccharide) on the rate of marker excretion from the gastrointestinal tract of broilers. Poult. Sci. 72:503–512
- Vergara, P., C. Ferrando, M. Jiménez, E. Fernández, and E. Goñalons. 1989. Factors determining gastrointestinal transit time of several markers in the domestic fowl. Q. J. Exp. Physiol. 74:867–874.
- Waite, D. W., and M. W. Taylor. 2014. Characterizing the avian gut microbiota: membership, driving influences, and potential function. Front. Microbiol. 5:223.
- Walter, J., C. Hertel, G. W. Tannock, C. M. Lis, K. Munro, and W. P. Hammes. 2001. Detection of Lactobacillus, Pediococcus, Leuconostoc, and Weissella species in human feces by using groupspecific PCR primers and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:2578–2585.
- WPSA. 1989. European Table of Energy Values for Poultry Feedstuffs. Subcommittee of the Working Group No. 2, Beekbergen, the Netherlands.
- Zeitz, J. O., K. Neufeld, C. Potthast, A. Kroismayr, E. Most, and K. Eder. 2019. Effects of dietary supplementation of the lignocelluloses FibreCell and OptiCell on performance, expression of inflammation-related genes and the gut microbiome of broilers. Poult. Sci. 98:287–297.
- Zemek, J., B. Košíková, J. Augustin, and D. Joniak. 1979. Antibiotic properties of lignin components. Folia Microbiol. 24:483–486.