

On the society of the

academic.oup.com/icb







Integrative Organismal Biology, pp. 1–11 doi:10.1093/iob/obab007

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cranial Musculoskeletal Description of Black-Throated Finch (Aves: Passeriformes: Estrildidae) with DiceCT

K. H. T. To _{(D,^{1,*}} H. D. O'Brien,[†] M. R. Stocker^{*} and P. M. Gignac[†]

*Department of Geosciences, Virginia Tech, Derring Hall, 926 W Campus Dr, Blacksburg, VA 24060, USA; [†]Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences, 1111 W 17th Street, Tulsa, OK 74107, USA

¹E-mail: khanhto@vt.edu

Synopsis Synopsis Dietary requirements and acquisition strategies change throughout ontogeny across various clades of tetrapods, including birds. For example, birds hatch with combinations of various behavioral, physiological, and morphological factors that place them on an altricial-precocial spectrum. Passeriformes (songbirds) in particular, a family constituting approximately more than half of known bird species, displays the most drastic difference between hatchling and adults in each of these aspects of their feeding biology. How the shift in dietary resource acquisition is managed during ontogeny alongside its relationship to the morphology of the feeding apparatus has been largely understudied within birds. Such efforts have been hampered partly due to the small size of many birds and the diminutive jaw musculature they employ. In this study, we used standard and diffusible iodinebased contrast-enhanced computed tomography in conjunction with digital dissection to quantify and describe the cranial musculature of the Black-throated Finch (Poephila cincta) at fledgling and adult stages. Our results reveal that in both the fledgling and the adult, cranial musculature shows clear and complex partitioning in the Musculus adductor mandibulae externus that is consistent with other families within Passeriformes. We quantified jaw-muscle sizes and found that the adult showed a decrease in muscle mass in comparison to the fledgling individual. We propose that this could be the result of low sample size or a physiological effect of parental care in Passeriformes. Our study shows that high-resolution visualization techniques are informative at revealing morphological discrepancies for studies that involve small specimens such as Passeriformes especially with careful specimen selection criteria.

Synopsis Tóm tat Nhiau nhánh đang v t có tứ chi, bao gam ca chim, có nhu cau dinh dưỡng và chian thu t kiam ăn liên tục thay đ i xuyên suat quá trình sinh trưởng của chúng. Ví dụ, chim non nở dưai sự tác đang của các yau ta hành vi, sinh lý, và hình thái đ xác đ nh thời đi m chúng có th tự kiam ăn. Ba Sẻ Passeriformes, mat ba bao gam hơn phân nửa sa loài chim hian nay, th hian sự chênh lach lan nhat va các yau ta k trên trong t p tính kiam ăn của cá th mαi sinh và cá th trưởng thành. Làm sao sư thay đ i trong cách kiam ăn được ki m soát trong quá trình sinh trưởng, cũng như quan ha của nó vai cau tao hàm, là nhang chủ đa còn ít được quan tâm ở chim. Các n lực nghiên cứu trưac đây thường b giai han mat phan bởi kích thưac nha của vô sa loài chim, đi kèm vai ha cơ hàm còn nha hơn của chúng. Trong nghiên cứu này, chúng tôi sử dụng phương pháp chụp cat lap vi tính tiêu chu n (CT) và vai chup cat lap tăng cường đa tương phan dựa trên i-at khuach tán (diffusible iodine-based contrast-enhanced computed tomography, diceCT), bên canh vai viac giai phu kỹ thu t sa, đ đ nh lượng và mô ta ha cơ đau của loài Di c đen (Black-throated Finch, Poephila cincta) ở giai đoan rời t và khi trưởng thành. Kat qua phân tích cho thay, ở ca chim non mai rời t và chim trưởng thành, ha cơ đau có sư phân chia phức tạc và rõ rat tại bó cơ can ngoài (Musculus adductor mandibulae externus), tưởng tự các họ chim khác trong ba Sẻ. Khi đ nh lượng kích thưac của bó cơ này, chúng tôi phát hian khai lượng cơ của cá th trưởng thành thap hơn của cá th mai rời t. Đây có th là ha qua của cỡ m u nha hoạc là mat tác đang sinh lý trong quá trình chăm con của thành viên ba Sẻ. Nghiên cứu của chúng tôi cho thay các kỹ thu t trực quan hóa vai đa phân giai cao rat hau dụng trong viac phân biat hình thái ở nhang nghiên cứu dùng m u v t có kích thưac nha như chim thuac ba Sẻ, nhat là khi có phương pháp lựa chọn m u v t kĩ lưỡng.

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http:// creativecommons. org/ licenses/ by/ 4. 0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Throughout ontogeny, shifts in food resource acquisition are common across vertebrates (Duffield and Bull 1998; Whitfield and Donnelly 2006; Wang et al. 2017). Such changes necessarily impact dietary opportunities and behaviors, which can be accommodated by subsequent functional-anatomical changes to the size (e.g., crocodiles; Gignac and Erickson 2015), morphology (e.g., caterpillars to butterflies), and physiology of the feeding system (e.g., birds; Starck 1993), or a combination of each. Birds exhibit contrasting developmental modes, varying from precociality to altriciality, which categorically defines their morphological, behavioral, and physiological characteristics (Starck 1993). A majority of birds exhibit altriciality, including all songbirds (Order Passeriformes) which constitutes 6000 of the \sim 10,000 currently recognized species of extant Aves. Altricial birds have young that rely on their parents for food, protection, and thermoregulation (Starck 1993); therefore, as hatchlings and nestlings, songbirds do not manipulate their food, and their feeding apparatus as a whole may not be as fully functional compared with their precocial (e.g., developmentally more advanced) counterparts.

The avian feeding apparatus is part of a kinetic skull held together by ligaments and with motions powered by a series of muscles (Bock, 1964 ; Holliday and Witmer 2007; Bhattacharyya 2013) that enhances food capture (e.g., meat, nectar, and seeds) and aids in manipulation of a wide variety of resources. The highly versatile keratinized rhamphotheca that covers the rostrum and mandible, along with the additional range of motion facilitated by cranial kinesis, is thought to have contributed to modern birds' global ecological success, exemplified by Passeriformes because its members are exceptionally diverse in their ecologies, diets, and morphologies. Passeriform skull osteology, specifically with respect to the beak (Grant and Grant 1996; Abzhanov et al. 2004; Podos et al. 2004; Clayton et al. 2005; Abzhanov et al. 2006), has been the focus of many studies (Jollie 1958; Warter 1965; Rich et al. 1985; Hernandez et al. 1993; Thomas 2001; James 2004; Abzhanov et al. 2006; Seijas and Trejo 2011; Turker 2012; Donatelli 2013; Previatto and Posso 2015a; Guzzi et al. 2016; Ujhelyi 2016; Lima et al. 2019), but only a small fraction of those studies documented the jaw musculature and other soft tissues that enable kinesis to function (Bock 1985; Nuijens et al. 2000; Genbrugge et al. 2011; Donatelli 2013; Kalyakin 2015; Previatto and Posso

2015b). This is due in part to the diminutive nature of the feeding system, which makes it practically difficult for researchers to work with. The smallest adult passerine bird weighs just 4.2 g, and with the largest member of passerines weighing in at 1.5 kg, not only are the adults small, but the hatchling and fledgling are even smaller. Difficulties presented by small animal size have resulted in a gap in our understanding of how the cranial kinetic system is composed, functioned, and evolved within this highly specialized group of passeriform birds.

Qualitative changes, such as beak length and shape as well as neurocranium shape (Genbrugge et al. 2011) suggest that the size, location, and orientation of jaw musculature associated with cranial kinesis may also shift during ontogeny. In this study, we describe the feeding apparatus of the black-throated finch (Estrildidae: Poephila cincta), a seed-eating songbird in the Old-World tropics and Australasia with a short, thick, and conical bill. Juvenile or sub-adult birds rapidly achieve average adult size or larger as a combined result of the steady diet from their parents while not having to perform many energy-consuming tasks, such as flying and active foraging (Starck 1993). Juvenile black-throated finches gain nourishment by begging adults to feed them with their mouths open due to a widely gaping jaw, whereas adults feed by foraging on harder granivorous materials, such as fallen grass seeds and invertebrates and sometimes collecting seeds directly from the seed-heads. Due to these ontogenetic differences in degree and direction of jaw opening, we hypothesize that juveniles and adults may have different muscle configurations that reflect in these life history stage-specific jaw functions. However, we are not able to address this until we identify a practical and effective means to study the delicate and diminutive anatomy of this versatile feeding apparatus. Modern visualization techniques allow for digital quantification of small muscle dimensions without the distortions associated with physical dissection (Sullivan et al. 2019). Therefore, to study these diminutive jaw muscles, we used diffusible iodine-based contrast-enhanced computed tomography (diceCT) and digital dissection (Gignac and Kley 2014; Gignac et al. 2016). We examine the utility of diceCT and digital dissection for small specimens by describing the jaw musculature of two growth stages, adult and fledgling, as well as qualitatively and quantitatively documenting morphology of the jaw adductor chamber and its components. Institutional abbreviations: ISIS: Species360 TZI: Tulsa Zoo, Inc.

Materials and methods

One fledgling (unknown sex) and one adult female black-throated finch (P. cincta) were each acquired as deceased individuals from the Tulsa Zoo, Inc. (Tulsa, OK; Adult P. cincta ISIS No. 17981; fledgling P. cincta ISIS No. 18032). The fledgling had not molted to adult plumage and was \sim 50 days from hatching at time of death based on records kept by the Tulsa Zoo, whereas the adult black-throated finch had mature plumage and was <180 days old at the time of death. The finch specimens were initially stored frozen. All specimens were chemically fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for \sim 2 weeks. Specimens were then pre-stain CT-scanned to capture skull morphologies, using grayscale thresholding in Avizo_© version 9.0, 9.3, and 9.5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) to generate skeletal models. Computed tomographic data were collected on a GE phoenix v_ltome_lx s240 high-resolution microfocus CT system (General Electric, Fairfield, CT) at the American Museum of Natural History Microscopy and Imaging Facility (New York, NY) and on a Nikon XTH 225 ST high-resolution microfocus CT system (Tokyo, Japan) at DENTSPLY's Research and Design Facility (Tulsa, OK). All unstained specimens were scanned at resolutions of <70-µ isometric voxel sizes to obtain the degree of detail necessary to identify bony landmarks. All scanning parameters are listed in Table 1.

After CT scanning for skeletal anatomy, each specimen was soaked in a 3% weight-by-volume (w/v) of Lugol's iodine (iodine–potassium iodide, I_2KI) for 10 or 14 days (fledgling and adult, respectively) (Gignac and Kley 2014; Gignac et al. 2016; see **Table 2** for staining information). The solution was refreshed once during the staining period. In an aqueous solution, I_2KI becomes I_3^- , which binds to fats and sugars in soft tissues (Gignac et al. 2016) and renders those tissues denser than bone. As a result, they are readily visible in X-ray micro-CT images. Once fully stained, specimens were rinsed for 1 h in deionized water to remove excess, unbound iodine, then micro-CT scanned a second time to visualize cranial musculature. In this second scan, the

To reconstruct the hard tissue, we reconstructed the pre-stain, skeletal-only image stacks through automatic segmentation, grayscale thresholding, and manual, slice-by-slice touch-up. Head length was measured physically with standard calipers and digitally in Avizo to the nearest millimeter (mm), using the "Measurements" tool. During image-stack processing, we utilized Fiji (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) to crop, rotate, and re-slice the global axes of the image stack so that they were orthogonal in the standard anatomical planes. Following segmentation of the pre-injection skeletal scans, the diceCT image stacks were processed secondarily. The anatomy of the skull and left-side jaw musculature was manually reconstructed in Avizo based on grayscale value differences. Each muscle was first delineated in the plane that was easiest to discern and evaluate, which in this case was the transverse plane, to differentiate it from adjacent muscle bellies before more thorough segmentation was performed. The initial step generated a tubular schematic of muscles and their attachment points. A more thorough segmentation was then performed on each muscle using a "Brush" combination of the tool and the "Interpolation" tool. When the thorough segmentation in one plane of view was performed, at least one other view was simultaneously monitored in order to identify, corroborate, and confirm the muscle boundaries seen in the primary plane view. Muscle boundaries were determined based on sharp differentiation between grayscale values that usually denotes muscles and dense, unstained connective tissues or muscles and bones/cartilage (see e.g., Gignac and Kley 2014). Segmentation at the muscle boundaries was more conservative, meaning that if voxel grayscale values were determined to be "in-between" those of muscles and bones/cartilage, then those voxels were not included in the segmented muscles. This more thorough segmentation step was then performed on the other planes as well to properly discern additional muscle details such as oblique attachment sites and

Table 1 Scanning parameters for the adult and fledgling black-throated finch (P. cincta) skeletal and contrast-stained data

Specimen	Voxel size	Voltage (kV)	Current (mA)	Exposure time (ms)
Adult skeletal	0.07032915	100	120	200
Adult contrast-stained	0.028752338	180	101	N/A
Fledgling skeletal	0.04857118	90	110	200
Fledgling contrast-stained	0.014593898	147	59	508

Table 2 Specimen staining information; abbreviation: weight-by-volume, $w/\!v$

Specimen	Staining solution % w/v	Staining duration (days)
Adult contrast-stained	3	14
Fledgling contrast-stained	3	10

muscle fibers interdigitation. Due to Lugol's iodine being a poor contrast-stain for ligaments and other connective tissues, alongside the visibility of muscle fascicles, we are confident that the "denser" grayscale value is indicative of muscle bellies being segmented (Gignac and Kley 2014; Gignac et al. 2016; Supplementary Materials). We measured musclevolume renderings in Avizo using the "Measurements" tool, and used the archosaur muscle density from Gignac and Erickson (2016) (1.056 g/ cm³) to calculate the mass of each jaw muscle. The left-side musculature was reconstructed in both specimens for consistency. The following muscles were 3D rendered for the black-throated finch based on work by Bock (1985) and Genbrugge et al. (2011): Musculus adductor mandibulae externus caudalis (MAMEC); M. adductor mandibulae externus rostralis lateralis (MAMERL); M. adductor mandibulae externus rostralis medialis (MAMERM); M. adductor mandibulae externus rostralis temporalis (MAMERT); adductor mandibulae М. externus ventralis (MAMEV); *M. adductor mandibulae* caudalis (MAMC); M. depressor mandibulae (MDM); M. protractor pterygoideus (MPP); M. protractor quadratus (MPQ); M. pseudotemporalis profundus (MPsP); M. pseudotemporalis superficialis (MPsS); M. pterygoideus dorsalis (MPtD); and M. pterygoideus ventralis (MPtV) (see Table 3). Even though in accordance with Bock (1985) the pterygoideus muscles are more highly subdivided (i.e., M. pterygoideus medialis anterior, medialis posterior, and lateralis; Bock 1985), we use "dorsalis" and "ventralis" terminology without dividing the muscles into finer partitions to describe the pterygoideus muscles. Image stacks and scan metadata files are available for download through Morphosource.org under project P1079. Anatomical landmarks were labeled with reference to prior descriptions for the Java and medium ground finches by Genbrugge et al. (2011); however, not all anatomical landmarks are present in our rendering because of taxonomic and resolution differences between our samples and reference scans. Following completion of the project, specimens were returned to the Tulsa Zoo by request for incineration per institutional policies.

Results

The adult skull is 20 mm long from the tip of the beak to the back of the parietal, while the fledgling skull measures 22 mm long. Both the fledgling and adult skulls across the frontal bone from orbit-toorbit measure 12 mm. The fledgling skull shows less ossification at the posteroventral portion of the skull based on both grayscale and thresholding values in the CT scans (Figs. 1 and 4), with many small areas of cartilage and dermal bone likely still composing the posteroventral margin of the cranium. Because cartilage is less mineralized and therefore usually has a lower density value, it is not visualized as well in the CT scans as bone. Both the adult and fledgling skulls show the presence of ossified os siphonium (ossified tube connecting the tympanum and the articular air chambers of mandible) and os opticus (a partially or completely curved ossified scleral bone surrounding the optic nerve entrance into the eyeball, Fig. 1), which are not present in all birds (Tiemeier 1950). The lower jaw of the adult finch (Fig. 2) is morphologically more similar to the Java finch than to the medium ground finch (Genbrugge et al. 2011), especially with the more medial placement of the *tuberculum pseudotemporalis* by the caudal processus coronoideus. The hyoid of both the fledgling and adult black-throated finch (Fig. 3) are both ossified and the adult hyoid is slightly more robust than the fledgling hyoid.

All muscle bellies were readily visualized in diceCT datasets. Visualized muscle features included boundaries between muscles, overall muscle morphology, muscle attachment sites, interdigitation of muscles, and (in some muscles) fiber morphologies (see the "Materials and methods" section; Figs. 4 and 5). When compared with the fledgling specimens, the adult had a lower mass of all muscles except for the MDM and the MPP. These differences ranged from -52.8% to -4.98% with MAMERL and MAMERT showing the greatest and least mass deviations, respectively (Table 4). The adductor mandibulae externus and pterygoideus muscles make up the two largest muscle groups in mass and volume for both the fledgling and the adult specimen (Table 4). A full breakdown of muscle mass differences is listed in Table 4.

Several of the muscles show multi-pennate morphology, meaning that the sections of muscle fibers within a muscle run in different directions when compared with one or more central tendons. In comparison with the noisy-scrub finch, medium ground finch, and Java finch, the muscles in both the fledgling and adult black-throated finch displayed Table 3Attachment sites of the jaw musculature in the black-throated finch (P. cincta) drawn from micro-CT and diceCT data as wellas from Bock (1985) and Genbrugge et al. (2011)

Muscles	Attachment sites		
Musculus adductor mandibulae externus profundus (MAMEP)	Lateroventral surface of the postorbital process;		
	Lateral surface of the lower jaw		
MAMEC	Lateral surface in between the postorbital process and the zygomatic process;		
	Dorsomedial and dorsal surface of the lower jaw, near the coronoid process		
MAMERL	Expansive surface of the skull between the temporal fossa and otic head of the quadrate;		
	Lateral surface of mandibulae fenestra		
MAMERM	Lateral edge of the posterior wall of the orbit;		
	Medial surface of the mandibular fossa and coronoid process		
MAMERT	Lateral surface in between the postorbital process and the zygomatic process; superficial to the MAMEC		
	Joins into the MAMERM to reach the lateral surface of the mandibular fossa and coronoid process		
MAMEV	Ventral surface of the zygomatic process of the squamosal;		
	Caudodorsomedial and caudodorsal surface of the lower jaw, on the coronoid process		
MAMC	The proximal half of the rostrolateral surface of the postorbital pro- cess of the quadrate;		
	Caudodorsomedial and caudodorsal surface of the lower jaw, poste- rior to the coronoid process		
MDM	Medioventral surface of the retro-articular process;		
	Lateroposterior surface of the squamosal, parietal, and basisphenoid		
MPP	Lateral surface of the interorbital septum and interior surface the allosphenoid;		
	Medial surface of the orbital process of the quadrate and the body of the quadrate		
MPQ	Medioposterial surface of the postorbital process and the body of quadrate		
MPsP	Distal rostral surface of the orbital process of the quadrate;		
	Ventromedial surface of coronoid process, medial surface of the man- dibular fossa		
MPsS	Rostral surface of the quadrate orbital process;		
	Ventromedial surface of coronoid process		
MPtD	Anterodorsal surface of the palatine and anterodorsal surface of the pterygoid;		
	Medial surface of the mandibular fossa		
MPtV	Posterior surface of the pterygoid; Rostroventraomedial surface of the medial and ventral mandible		

comparable pennate morphologies except for the MPsS. In the fledgling, the MPsS tri-pennate muscle morphology is seen instead of the bipennate muscle morphology that was reported for this muscle in other species in passerines (Bock 1985; Genbrugge et al. 2011) and in the adult specimen of the current study (Supplementary Materials).

Discussion

Our diceCT reconstruction of the jaw musculature allowed us to not only discern the minute mass and volume of the soft tissues, but also their morphology and differences between our two growth stages. For example, the tripinnate MPsS in the



Fig. 1 Skull of fledgling black-throat finch in (A) lateral view. (B) Ventral view without the hyoid and mandible. Skull of adult black-throat finch in (C) lateral view. (D) Ventral view without the hyoid and mandible. Scale bar represents 5 mm. f.mand.caud., caudal mandibular fenestra; jug.bar; jugal bar; os epi., osseous epihyal; os opt., osseous opticus; os pal., osseous palatine; os pter., osseous pterygoid; os quad., osseous quadrate; proc.antorb., antorbital process; proc.orb.qd., orbital process of the quadrate; proc.postorb., postorbital process; proc.zyg., zygomatic process; scl.ring, sclerotic ring; sept.interorb., interorbital septum.

fledgling may disappear ontogenetically, leading an adult condition of bipinnate muscle morphology commonly seen in more mature individuals. This muscle morphological change has not been documented in other birds and can only be resolved with more densely sampled ontogenetic datasets to determine whether this occurrence was because of individualistic differences or due to actual muscle morphological change. Passeriformes have extremely partitioned and interdigitated muscle groups in comparison to non-passerine birds, and this is found in the black-throated finch as in other finches such as the noisy-scrub finch (Bock 1985), medium ground finch (Genbrugge et al. 2011), and Java finch (Genbrugge et al. 2011). Whether the musculature becomes even more complex and partitioned in other groups or offers some advantages (biomechanical, etc.) over a more simplified muscle arrangement is currently unknown. The increase in musculature complexity can be correlated to an increase in beak dexterity and control. This would effectively allow granivores such as the black-throated finch to easily

extract seeds from the seed head or quickly forage for seeds among debris. However, addressing this hypothesis is beyond the scope of the current study and would benefit from an in-depth evaluation across Passeriformes.

Generally, it is expected that older individuals are larger and, therefore, the features of mature individuals should be more massive. However, our adult finch had smaller values for nearly all jaw muscle masses (exception for the MDM and the MPP) in comparison to the fledgling specimen of the same species. Several lines of reasoning supported that the CT image stack of the adult finch did not have any apparent distortion that could be due to muscle shrinkage from the iodine staining. In the scan, the muscle fibers did not appear straightened and "rigid," and no prominent gaps were apparent between adjacent muscle fiber bundles. The space between the jaw muscles of the fledgling were more prominent than in the adult, but the fledgling's brain tissues are still flushed against the cranial cavity (see Supplementary Videos S1 and S2) (whereas brain tissues pull away



Fig. 2 Mandible in the adult black-throat finch. (A) Oblique view. (B) Dorsal view. Scale bar represents 1 mm. cond.caud., caudal condyle; cond.lat., lateral condyle; proc.cor., coronoid process; proc.mand.lat., lateral mandibular process; proc.mand.med., medial mandibular process; tub.intercot., tuberculum intercotylaris; tub.ps.temp., tuberculum pseudotemporalis.

from the cranial cavity as a result of over-staining with salt-rich agents such as Lugol's iodine; Watanabe et al. 2019, Gignac and Kley 2018). Likewise, not all of the muscle groups showed mass reduction in the adult compared with the fledgling; the MDM showed mass increase. We conclude that if there was muscle shrinkage, it is most reasonable to expect that all muscle groups should show a systematic difference in volume loss (Vickerton et al., 2013 ; Gignac et al. 2016). As this was not the case, we interpret that muscle-size differences are not due to significant chemical shrinkage (Gignac et al. 2016).

Other factors that could have contributed to this mass difference might be intraspecific differences such that the adult female we sampled was a particularly small individual or that the fledgling was a particularly large individual. Because this species is not reported as sexually dimorphic, we interpret that the sex of the fledgling should not have been a factor regarding overall mass differences. However, breeding conditions might be an important consideration for the adult. Other female songbirds, such as house wrens, have been reported to lose mass during breeding season either for contributing body tissues to offspring production (Freed 1981) or due to decreased foraging time (Norberg 1981; Prince et al. 1981; Newton et al. 1983; Moreno 1989). The adult female specimen sampled for our study may have been breeding when collected, which would have impacted the mass of structures throughout the body. On the other hand, nesting physiology may be an important consideration for the fledgling. For example, finches fledge as they approach adult body size, apparent in our sample as a result of comparable



Fig. 3 The hyoid in dorsal view. (A) Fledgling. (B) Adult. Scale bar indicates 1 mm. os bas., osseous basihyal; os cera., osseous cerahyal; os uro., osseous urohyal; proc.para., paraglossal process.



Fig. 4 Superficial jaw musculature of black-throat finch in lateral view. (A) Fledgling. (B) Adult. Scale bar is 5 mm.

head widths between the fledgling and adult individuals (both 12 mm transverse width across the orbits). Some nesting bird species achieve asymptotic weight while nest-bound because nestlings do not need to expend energy for daily high-cost activities such as flying and foraging (Starck 1993; Stöcker and Weihs 1996). A combination of these factors may explain the less massive jaw musculature that characterizes the adult black-throated finch when compared with the fledgling individual.

Better criteria for specimen collection, including information about sex and in what season the specimen was collected, is stressed for collecting small songbirds because slight differences can contribute to apparently significant changes. Further research should incorporate additional specimens (e.g., at least 10)—including hatchlings, additional fledglings, and male and female adults—to fully explore these findings. These factors are also important considering that digital dissection is a time-consuming process, and the low number of individuals in this study limits some interpretations. Digital dissection speed can be improved by utilizing interpolation tools (Sullivan et al. 2019) along with physical-to-digital comparison via manual dissection. Increasingly improved visualization techniques continue to allow us to better study small specimens, which can lay bare subtle but potentially important differences in exceptionally small, gross anatomical features. Our study demonstrates how these differences can make it difficult to clearly interpret taxon-specific musculoskeletal anatomy. To meaningfully contextualize lilliputian traits, doubledigit sampling alongside stricter demographic criteria is requisite to account for possible biological anomalies.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the following people for their help with the success of this project: R. Kotarsky of the Tulsa Zoo Inc. for access to specimens; M. Hill, A. Hill, and the Microscopy and Imaging facility at the AMNH; S. Rigsby at DENTSPLY R'D for μ CT scanning assistance. We also give thanks to Dr. Karen McBee in the Department of Integrative Biology of Oklahoma State University for introducing K.H.T.T.



Fig. 5 Oblique view of black-throat finch skull. (A) All jaw musculature in fledgling. (B) Only the profundal jaw musculature in fledgling. (C) All jaw musculature in adult. (D) Only the profundal jaw musculature in adult. Scale bar represents 1 mm.

Muscle	Fledgling finch volume (mm ³)	Fledgling finch mass (mg)	Adult finch volume (mm ³)	Adult finch mass (mg)	% mass difference
MAMEC	0.97	1.03	0.75	0.79	23.10
MAMEP	2.31	2.44	1.13	1.19	51.21
MAMERL	3.46	3.65	1.63	1.72	52.80
MAMERM	0.86	0.91	0.54	0.57	37.47
MAMERT	0.92	0.97	0.87	0.92	4.98
MAMEV	1.22	1.29	1.12	1.19	37.96
MAMC	2.11	2.23	1.12	1.19	46.86
MDM	3.65	3.86	4.98	5.26	36.31
MPP	1.26	1.33	1.45	1.53	15.00
MPQ	0.51	0.54	0.46	0.48	10.20
MPsP	3.07	3.24	2.48	2.62	19.09
MPsS	2.99	3.16	1.82	1.92	39.24
MPtD	3.92	4.14	2.49	2.63	36.59
MPtV	8.61	9.09	7.86	8.30	8.65

Table 4 The jaw muscle measurements of the adult and fledgling black-throated finch (P. cincta)

to the paleobiology faculties in OSU-CHS. We want to thank Khoi Nguyen, Lan-Nhi Phung, Tan Nguyen, and Dr. Trung Ly for their assistance in translating the abstract to Vietnamese. We also want to thank Virignia Tech Open Access Subvention Fund for supporting the publishing of this article. Finally, this project was a part of K.H.T.T.'s honor thesis with P.M.G. and H.D.O. as a second reader; K.H.T.T. extends a heart-felt thank you to her mentors for being patient, understanding, and knowledgeable throughout this opportunity.

Funding

This research was funded by the Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology at Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences and NSF EAGER 1450850 and DEB 1457180 awarded to P. M.G.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at ICB online.

References

- Abzhanov A, Protas M, Grant BR, Grant PR, Tabin CJ. 2004. Bmp4 and morphological variation of beaks in Darwin's Finches. Science 305:1462–5.
- Abzhanov A, Kuo WP, Hartmann C, Grant BR, Grant PR, Tabin CJ. 2006. The calmodulin pathway and evolution of elongated beak morphology in Darwin's finches. Nature 442:563–7.

Bhattacharyya BN. 2013. Avian jaw function: adaptation of the seven-muscle system and a review. Proc Zool Soc 66:75-85.

- Bock WJ. 1964. Kinetics of the avian skull. Journal of Morphology114:1-41. 10.1002/jmor.1051140102
- Bock WJ. 1985. The skeletomuscular systems of the feeding apparatus of the Noisy Scrub-bird, *Atrichornis clamosus* (Passeriformes: Atrichornithidae). Rec Austral Museum 37:193–210.
- Clayton DH, Moyer BR, Bush SE, Jones TG, Gardiner DW, Rhodes BB, Goller F. 2005. Adaptive significance of avian beak morphology for ectoparasite control. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 272:811–7.
- Donatelli RJ. 2013. Osteologia e miologia cranianas de Dendrocolaptidae (Passeriformes: Tyrrani) 1. Gêneros Glyphorhynchus, Campylorhamphus, Dendrocincla, Xiphorhynchus e Dendrocolaptes. Rev Bras Ornitol 5: 19– 37.
- Duffield GA, Bull CM. 1998. Seasonal and ontogenetic changes in the diet of the Australian skink *Egernia stokesii*. Herpetologica 54:414–9.
- Freed LA. 1981. Loss of mass in breeding wrens: stress or adaptation. Ecology 62:1179–86.
- Genbrugge A, Herrel A, Boone M, Van Hoorebeke L, Podos J, Dirckx J, Aerts P, Dominique A. 2011. The head of the finch: the anatomy of the feeding system in two species of finches (*Geospiza fortis* and *Padda oryzivora*). J Anat 219:676–95.
- Gignac PM, Kley NJ. 2014. Iodine-enhanced micro-CT imaging: methodological refinements for the study of the softtissue anatomy of post-embryonic vertebrates. J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol 322:166–76.
- Gignac PM, Erickson GM. 2015. Ontogenetic changes in dental form and tooth pressures facilitate developmental niche shifts in American alligators. J Zool 295:132–42.
- Gignac PM, Erickson GM. 2016. Ontogenetic bite-force modeling of *Alligator mississippiensis*: implications for dietary transitions in a large-bodied vertebrate and the evolution of crocodylian feeding. J Zool 299:229–38.

- Gignac PM, Kley NJ. 2018. The utility of diceCT imaging for high-throughput comparative neuroanatomical studies. Brain Behav Evol 91:180–90.
- Gignac PM, Kley NJ, Clarke JA, Colbert MW, Morhardt AC, Cerio D, Cost IN, Cox PG, Daza JD, Early CM, et al. 2016. Diffusible iodine-based contrast-enhanced computed tomography (diceCT): an emerging tool for rapid, highresolution, 3-D imaging of metazoan soft tissues. J Anat 228:889–909.
- Grant BR, Grant PR. 1996. High survival of Darwin's Finch hybrids: effects of beak morphology and diets. Ecology 77:500-9.
- Guzzi A, Duarte Branco MS, Donatelli RJ. 2016. Cranial osteology of the genus *Sclerurus* (Passeriformes: Furnariidae). Rev Biol Trop 64:1155–70.
- Hernandez EC, Mercedes M, Rando JC. 1993. Estudio Osteologico comparado de dos subspecies de *Corvus corax* (Aves: Passeriformes). Archaeofauna 2:181–90.
- Holliday CM, Witmer LM. 2007. Archosaur adductor chamber evolution: Integration of musculoskeletal and topological criteria in jaw muscle homology. J Morphol 268:457–84.
- James HF. 2004. The osteology and phylogeny of the Hawaiian finch radiation (Fringillidae: Drepanidini), including extinct taxa. Zool J Linn Soc 141:207–55.
- Jollie M. 1958. Comments on the phylogeny and skull of the Passeriformes. Auk 75:26–35.
- Kalyakin MV. 2015. Morpho-functional analysis of the jaw apparatus of Vietnamese Passerine birds (Passeriformes): inferences on their trophic adaptations, ecology, and systematic position. J Ornithol 156:307–15.
- Lima MdC, Mariano EF, de Brito WJB, Souza JG, Carreiro AN. 2019. Anatomia e morfometria cranianas de *Coryphospingus pileatus* (Wied, 1821) (Passeriformes: Thraupidae). Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi. Ciências Nat 14:245–53.
- Moreno J. 1989. Strategies of mass change in breeding birds. Biol J Linn Soc 37:297–310.
- Newton I, Marquiss M, Village A. 1983. Weights, breeding, and survival in European Sparrowhawks. Auk 100:344–54.
- Norberg RA. 1981. Temporary weight decrease in breeding birds may result in more fledged young. Am Nat 118:838–50.
- Nuijens FW, Hoek AC, Bout RG. 2000. The role of the postorbital ligament in the Zebra Finch (*Taeniopygia Guttata*). Netherlands J Zool 50:75–88.
- Podos J, Southall JA, Rossi-Santos MR. 2004. Vocal mechanics in Darwin's finches: correlation of beak gape and song frequency. J Exp Biol 207:607–19.
- Previatto DM, Posso SR. 2015a. Jaw musculature of *Cyclarhis gujanensis* (Aves: Vireonidae). Braz J Biol 75:655–61.

- Previatto DM, Posso SR. 2015b. Cranial osteology of Cyclarhis gujanensis (Aves: Vireonidae). Papéis Avuls Zool 55:255–60.
- Prince PA, Ricketts C, Thomas G. 1981. Weight loss in incubating albatrosses and its implications for their energy and food requirements. Condor 83:238–42.
- Rich PV, McEvey AR, Baird RF. 1985. Osteological comparison of the Scrub-birds. Atrichornis, and Lyrebirds, Menura (Passeriformes: Atrichornithidae and Menuridae). Rec Austral Museum 37:165–91.
- Seijas S, Trejo A. 2011. Clave para la identificación de los Passeriformes del noroeste patagónico en base a la osteología craneal. El Hornero 26:129–47.
- Starck JM. 1993. Evolution of avian ontogenies. In: Power DM, editor. Current ornithology. Vol. 10. Boston (MA): Springer US. p. 275–366.
- Stöcker S, Weihs D. 1996. Foraging costs as a defining factor for growth and asymptotic mass. Bull Math Biol 58:739–51.
- Sullivan SP, McGechie FR, Middleton KM, Holliday CM. 2019. 3D muscle architecture of the pectoral muscles of European Starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*). Integr Organ Biol 1:18.
- Thomas R. 2001. The comparative osteology of European corvids (Aves: Corvidae), with a key to the identification of their skeletal elements. Int J Osteoarchaeol 11:448–9.
- Tiemeier OW. 1950. The os opticus of birds. J Morphol 86:25-46.
- Türker I. 2012. Pica pica (L. 1758) ve Garrulus glandarius (L. 1758) (Aves: Passeriformes) türlerinin karşılaştırmalı iskelet anatomisi. Yenimahalle/Ankara, Turkey: Department of Biology, Ankara University. p. 134.
- Ujhelyi P. 2016. Cranial morphology of European passerine bird families (Aves, Passeriformes). Ornis Hung 24:54–77.
- Vickerton P, Jarvis J, Jeffery N. 2013. Concentration-dependent specimen shrinkage in iodine-enhanced microCT. Journal of anatomy223:185–93.
- Wang S, Stiegler J, Amiot R, Wang X, Du GH, Clark JM, Xu X. 2017. Extreme ontogenetic changes in a ceratosaurian theropod. Curr Biol 27:144–8.
- Warter S. 1965. The cranial osteology of the New World Tyrannoidea and its taxonomic implications. Baton Rouge (LA): Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College. p. 171.
- Watanabe A, Gignac PM, Balanoff AM, Green TL, Kley NJ, Norell MA. 2019. Are endocasts good proxies for brain size and shape in archosaurs throughout ontogeny. J Anat 234:291–305.
- Whitfield SM, Donnelly MA. 2006. Ontogenetic and seasonal variation in the diets of a Costa Rican leaf-litter herpeto-fauna. J Trop Ecol 22:409–17.