# PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

#### rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org

# Review



**Cite this article:** Jill Harrison C. 2017 Development and genetics in the evolution of land plant body plans. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **372**: 20150490. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0490

Accepted: 13 July 2016

One contribution of 17 to a theme issue 'Evo-devo in the genomics era, and the origins of morphological diversity'.

#### Subject Areas:

plant science, evolution, genetics, developmental biology

Keywords: land plant, evolution, evo-devo

#### Author for correspondence:

C. Jill Harrison e-mail: jill.harrison@bristol.ac.uk

# Development and genetics in the evolution of land plant body plans

C. Jill Harrison

School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, 24 Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TQ, UK

🔟 CJ, 0000-0002-5228-600X

The colonization of land by plants shaped the terrestrial biosphere, the geosphere and global climates. The nature of morphological and molecular innovation driving land plant evolution has been an enigma for over 200 years. Recent phylogenetic and palaeobotanical advances jointly demonstrate that land plants evolved from freshwater algae and pinpoint key morphological innovations in plant evolution. In the haploid gametophyte phase of the plant life cycle, these include the innovation of mulitcellular forms with apical growth and multiple growth axes. In the diploid phase of the life cycle, multicellular axial sporophytes were an early innovation priming subsequent diversification of indeterminate branched forms with leaves and roots. Reverse and forward genetic approaches in newly emerging model systems are starting to identify the genetic basis of such innovations. The data place plant evo-devo research at the cusp of discovering the developmental and genetic changes driving the radiation of land plant body plans.

This article is part of the themed issue 'Evo-devo in the genomics era, and the origins of morphological diversity'.

#### 1. Introduction

Land plants (embryophytes) originated around 470 million years ago among a crust-forming terrestrial microbiome of bacteria, cyanobacteria, algae, lichens and fungi [1-3]. Land plants emerged from the charophyte lineage, and charophyte algae have unicellular ancestral forms and life cycles in which meiosis immediately follows zygote formation (figure 1) [4,5,13]. Through time, there was a general trend towards the evolution of more complex multicellular algal forms with specialized cell and tissue types but no further elaboration of the diploid life cycle stage (figure 1) [5,6]. This pattern of life cycle progression was superseded by life cycles with alternating mulitcellular haploid (the gametophyte) and diploid phases (the sporophyte) in land plants [7]. The relative dominance of each phase shifted from the gametophyte (as in bryophytes) to the sporophyte (as in vascular plants) during evolution, and land plant forms have diversified following independent trajectories in each life cycle stage [7,14]. The major extant lineages of land plants were established by ca 360 million years ago including hornworts, liverworts, mosses, lycophytes, monilophytes and spermatophytes (figure 1) [15,16]. The evolution of these groups drove soil formation, increased primary productivity, and impacted on weathering and global climates [10,16,17].

The distinct morphologies of each land plant group reflect their use of divergent developmental and genetic programmes in generating form [18]. The basic building blocks of plant form typically include shoots, branches, leaves and roots whose relative arrangement and growth generate diversity [19]. The absence of these organ systems in fossil and living relatives of the earliest vascular plants and their progenitors has led to alternative interpretations of modular growth and left open questions about the nature of transitions in form occurring during evolution [8,15,20–25]. Many of the most ancient plant groups have a sparse fossil record [13,16], so we can only infer the sequence and nature of change

© 2016 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

2

land plants bryophytes vascular plants charophytes spermatophytes klebsormdiales coleochaetales mesostigmales monillophytes zygnematales chlorophytes chlorkybales ycophytes liverworts hornworts charales nosses 2 3.4 1. multicellularity 2. plasmodesmata 3. apical growth 6,7 4. rhizoids 5. 3D growth 6. spores and sporopollenin 7. alternation of generations, embryos, sporangia and uni-axial forms 8. bifurcation 9. sterilization and indeterminacy 10. sporophyte dominance 11. roots 12. leaves

13. axillary branching

**Figure 1.** Gametophytic (grey bars) and sporophytic (black bars) innovations in the radiation of plant body plans. The earliest plant forms were unicellular freshwater algae, and land plants emerged from a grade of charophyte algae [4,5]. Multicellularity (1), plasmodesmatal cell to cell connections (2), specialized apical cell fates (3) and rhizoids (4) were evolutionary innovations preceding the origin of land plants, and 3D apical growth (5) evolved concomitantly with land plants [5–9]. Spores and dessication-resistant spore coats (6) are thought to have evolved prior to multicellular sporophytes (7). Although the earliest sporophyte forms were uni-axial terminating in sporangium formation, subsequent forms bifurcated (8) and this innovation preceded the origin of indeterminate axial forms (9) in vascular plants. A shift to sporophyte life cycle stage-dominance (10) emerged with vascular plants, and roots (11) and leaves (12) evolved independently in vascular plant lineages [9]. An axillary branching pattern evolved in spermatophyte precursors [10] and in liverwort and moss gametophytes [11,12]. Photos from left to right: *Erymosphaera* sp., *Mesostigma viride, Chlorokybus atmophyticus, Klebsormidium flaccidum, Chara braunii, Coleochaete pulvinata, Spirogyra* sp. kindly provided by Chuck Delwiche. Photo of *Folioceros glandulosus* kindly provided by Li Zhang. Photos of *Polytrichum commune, Marchantia polymorpha, Huperzia phlegmaria, Equisetum hymale* and *Ortholobium frutescens* by Jill Harrison (not to scale).

underpinning the radiation of plant forms by comparing the characteristics of their living descendants. Phylogeny and evo-devo approaches to the evolution of plant form are starting to illuminate the nature of developmental and genetic change driving the radiation of form [15,18,21–25]. This review aims to give an overview of recent developmental and genetic findings relating to innovations driving the evolution of land plant form in a contemporary phylogenetic framework.

# 2. Contemporary views of plant phylogeny

Comparison of plant form in a phylogenetic framework provides rigorous testable hypotheses of evolutionary change. Over the last 30 years, phylogenetic approaches have shifted from using morphological datasets to single-gene molecular datasets and later to multigene and genomic datasets, and reconstruction methods have shifted from favouring parsimony to likelihood [4,26]. Hypotheses of relationship within streptophyte algae and basal land plant lineages have been particularly labile given these changing methodologies (figure 2) [4]. Three lineages of charophyte algae are postulated to have a close relationship to the monophyletic land plant clade: Charales, Coleochatales and Zygnematales [4,27-40]. The four currently supported hypotheses of sister relationship to land plants are shown in figure 2a. Within the land plants bryophytes are basal, but among bryophytes there are five currently supported hypotheses of sister relationship to the monphyletic vascular plant group (figure 2b) [4,27,30-36,38-44]. These alternative arrangements bear on interpretation of the direction of change in morphological evolution, but do not preclude identification of the key characteristics contributing to the radiation of



Figure 2. Currently supported sister group relationships between (a) charophyte algae and land plants and (b) bryophytes and vascular plants.

land plant forms. While many of the innovations contributing to land plant evolution were metabolic or physiological [22,45,46], this review specifically focuses on innovations contributing to the evolution of plant form (figure 1).

# 3. Innovations prior to the colonization of land

#### (a) Multicellularity, filaments and apical growth

The first innovations occurred in charophyte algae prior to the colonization of land. Whereas charophyte forms are varied including unicells (e.g. Mesostogma viride), unbranched filaments with no apical growth (e.g. Klebsormidium flaccidum), branching filaments with apical growth (e.g. Coleochaete pulvinata) and thallose forms (figure 1) [5], bryophyte gametophytes have branching filaments with apical growth (e.g. Physcomitrella patens) or are thallose (e.g. Folioceros glandulosus, Marchantia polymorpha). Thus, elements of morphology were maintained when plants colonized land. Transitions between ancient unicellular and multicellular forms can be driven in both directions in the laboratory. In the unicellular chlorophyte alga Chlamydomonas reinhartii (Chlamydomonas), heterologous expression of the retinoblastoma cell cycle regulator from the colonial alga Gonium pectorale can induce the formation of colonial morphs [47]. Experimental evolution experiments can drive the acquisition of multicellular form when a selection pressure for settling (sedimentation) is imposed, again pointing to small genetic changes in the evolution of multicellularity [48]. Reverse genetic approaches in the moss Physcomitrella patens (Physcomitrella) can drive morphology in the other direction. The protein prenylation-defective ggb and plp mutants are unable to attain the usual filamentous form. Instead they have small, round aggregated cells that lack polarized growth, oriented division and apical growth, and mutants resemble some charophytes [49,50]. Genome sequence of the freshwater alga *Klebsormidium flaccidum* is similar to land plant sequences and supports the notion that the genetic distance between charophyte algae and land plants is small [51]. These data demonstrate that transitions between unicellular, clustered and filamentous forms with apical growth can involve small genetic changes in both green algae and bryophytes, and they point to potentiating developmental changes for the radiation of land plant forms in their algal ancestors.

#### 4. Innovations in the transition to land

#### (a) Three-dimensional apical growth

Plant cells are bounded by a cell wall, so overall plant form reflects cell division plane orientation and growth during development. While algae are typically constrained to filamentous or mat-like planar (two-dimensional (2D)) forms, land plant forms can initiate (three-dimensional (3D)) growth in multiple axes (figure 1), and this evolutionary switch arose by the innovation of rotating division plane orientations in stem cells in plants' growing tips [8]. The evolutionary transition from 2D to 3D growth is recapitulated during the normal development of modern moss gametophytes, which undergo a filamentous growth phase (like algae) prior to the onset of 3D leafy shoot growth [52]. During the 2D growth phase, stem cells at the primary filament tip elongate by tip growth [53]. New growth axes initiate as foci of tip growth in sub-apical cells, and the new cells formed can either become secondary filaments (95%) or leafy shoots (5%) [54]. These differences in fate are sometimes evident at the single-celled stage due to a cylindrical (2D fate) or swollen (3D fate) cell appearance [52,54]. APB transcription factors belonging to the AP2 family promote the acquisition of 3D fate, and are necessary for leafy shoot formation. APB genes are expressed in primary filaments and new secondary outgrowths. If APB expression is lost in a secondary outgrowth, the new cell goes on to form a secondary filament, undergoing divisions perpendicular to the main growth axis. If APB expression is retained, the newly formed cell swells and undergoes an oblique division, marking the onset of 3D growth [54]. Although APBs seem to act as a molecular switch to specify 3D fate, the mechanisms regulating stem cell division planes at the onset of 3D growth are unknown. The calpain protease DEK1 orients cell division planes slightly after the onset of 3D growth, and DEK1 feeds back onto the 3D growth initiation process [55-57]. A transcriptomic analysis of 3D growth induction in Physcomitrella identified homologues of genes that regulate asymmetric cell division and shoot patterning in flowering plants [58], and downstream regulators include PpCESA5, a cellulose synthase [59]. These results mean that we are now poised to solve the problem of how 3D growth arises in Physcomitrella gametophytes, whose development exemplifies the 2D to 3D growth transition occurring in land plant evolution.

# (b) Spores, sporopollenin and sporangia: meiotic changes preceding sporophyte evolution

The innovations above occurred prior to or during the colonization of land in plants with gametophyte phase-dominant life cycles. The nature of morphological and developmental transition driving the evolution of multicellular sporophyte forms is not yet clear due to a thin fossil record. The earliest evidence of land plants comes from fossilized spore monads, dyads and tetrads that date back *ca* 470 million years [2,60]. The affinity of such 'cryptospores' is uncertain, but their wall structure is similar to the layered wall structures of some embryophyte fossil spores and living liverwort spores [2,60]. The earliest land plant macrofossils comprise sporangial fragments dating back around 450 million years [61].

The desiccation-resistant sporopollenin-coated spores that characterize land plants are thought to have evolved prior to the evolution of multicellular sporophytes [62]. Sporophytic multicellularity is proposed to have arisen by the interpolation of mitotic divisions into the meiotic developmental programme, and variation in fossil spore aggregation patterns and morphology suggests an early phase of evolutionary change in the timing of meiotic cell division relative to sporopollenin deposition [60,63-65]. Variation in spore form is sparsely reflected in living bryophytes, but the liverworts Haplomitrium gibbsiae and Sphaerocarpus michelii have permanent spore dyads and tetrads, respectively, and may represent a relictual state [66,67]. Sporopollenin production pathways are partially conserved within the land plants, and recent reverse genetic studies have determined that sporopollenin is required for spore viability [68-71].

#### (c) Alternating gametophyte and sporophyte

#### generations

Genetic evidence suggests that early variability in meiotic division pathways and multicellularity in the earliest sporophytes could have been linked. In the unicellular chlorophyte alga, Chlamydomonas reihardtii, plus and minus mating identities are conferred by the TALE class homeodomain transcription factors GSP1 (a BELL protein) and GSM1 (a KNOX protein), respectively [72]. After mating, protein heterodimers form to activate zygote development, and ectopic co-expression of GSM1 and GSP1 is sufficient to trigger zygotic gene expression and meiosis [72]. BELL and KNOX proteins were inherited by land plants and their ancestral role in life cycle progression is preserved in bryophytes [73,74]. Knockouts and overexpressors in *Physcomitrella* show that *PpBELL1* activity is necessary and sufficient for sporophyte development [74]. A KNOX duplication occurred prior to the colonization of land giving rise to KNOX1 and KNOX2 classes [75,76]; the KNOX1 gene MKN2 regulates sporophyte development [77,78] and KNOX2 genes suppress filament development in sporophytes [73]. PpBELL1 can heterodimerize with all five moss KNOX proteins, and heterodimerization with MKN2 may be responsible for the activation of sporophyte development when PpBELL1 is ectopically expressed [74]. PpBELL1 and MKN2 act downstream of the POLYCOMB REPRESSIVE COMPLEX 2 (PRC2) components PpFIE and PpCLF, and Ppfie and Ppclf mutants activate aspects of development characteristic of sporophytes in the gametophyte generation [74,79,80]. KNOX1 and KNOX2 genes also affect sporangium development in Physcomitrella. In combination, data implicate KNOX and BELL genes in life cycle progression, meiosis and multicellular development at either side of the transition to land.

Further genetic mechanisms involved in the appearance of multicellularity and 3D growth in sporophytes are largely unknown because perturbations to bryophyte gametophyte development impair fertility, so separate mutants must be generated in each life cycle stage to study the function of the same genes. The *Physcomitrella* transcription factors PpLFY1/PpLFY2 and PpWOX13LA/PpWOX13LB are necessary for multicellular development [81,82] and polar auxin transport by PIN auxin transporters also regulates fertility and sporophyte development [83,84]. Recent progress has identified sporophyte-specific promoters that could be used to generate conditional mutants to dissect the function of these regulators of sporophyte development [74,85].

#### (d) Uni-axial forms

While there is no fossil record of the earliest multicellular sporophyte forms, phylogeny suggests that uni-axial sporophytes terminating in sporangium formation are basal (figure 3d). Uni-axial forms are retained by living bryophytes, but the pattern and extent of development differs between bryophyte lineages, so it is not yet clear which pattern is ancestral. Although axial elongation in hornworts occurs from a 'basal' intercalary proliferative region that extends the apical basal axis and maintains spore production, liverwort sporophytes elongate principally by cell expansion (figure 3f) [11,65]. Mosses have transitory apical and basal cells that divide to form an embryonic axis, and an intercalary proliferative region in the middle of the axis later serves to elongate the stem and raise the sporangium out of the parent plant (figure 3f) [65,88]. Surgical decapitation experiments in mosses suppress axial elongation [89] and cytokinin can compensate for decapitation, suggesting that apically produced cytokinin promotes elongation. If applied to intact



**Figure 3.** Key steps in the evolution of shoot form [11,25,60]. (*a*) Bryophyte gametophytes have diversely branching indeterminate shoots that may or may not iterate gametophytic leaves (*b*) and arise from a single apical stem cell (*c*) [11]. By contrast, bryophyte sporophytes have uni-axial forms that terminate in sporangium formation (*d*). The mechanisms for axis establishment vary between bryophyte groups (*f*). While hornwort axes extend by intercalary proliferation, the mechanism in liverworts may involve intercalary proliferation or just expansion. Mosses have apical and basal cells that establish the apical basal axis before the intercalary mersitem contributes to medial proliferation [11,65]. The origin of indeterminacy in vascular plants coincides with the displacement of sporangia away from shoot tips, and the juxtaposition of apical stem cell and proliferative zones. Fossil and mutant intermediary forms between bryophytes and vascular plants (*g*) suggest that a capacity for bifurcation arose before indeterminacy [60,83,85]. Leaves have five independent origins (*b*,*e*), two in bryophyte gametophytes and three in vascular plant sporophytes. For this reason each leaf type has a specific name [86,87].

sporophytes, cytokinin can also induce extensive (sterile) axial elongation by prolonging activity of the intercalary proliferative region [89]. These data suggest that mosses have a latent capacity for proliferation that is normally suppressed by hormonal interplay between the apical cell, the intercalary zone and the sporangium.

## 5. Innovations in the origin of vascular plants

#### (a) Bifurcation

While bryophyte morphology suggests that the most ancient sporophyte forms were uni-axial and terminated in sporangium formation, vascular plant forms are multi-axial and have shoot tips that proliferate indeterminately without terminating in sporangium formation (figure 3*d*). Morphological innovations at the bryophyte to vascular plant divergence are hard to unravel because the morphology of living bryophytes and vascular plants is disparate, and this disparity has led to much speculation about the nature of change [15,21–23, 25,90–93]. Some of the earliest plant macrofossils share characteristics with bryophytes and vascular plants and point to developmental changes at this juncture (figure 3*g*) [60]. Their simple bifurcating forms terminate in sporangium formation, but inference of apical or proliferative activities during development has not yet been possible. The potential to use moss sporophytes as a 'bottom up' entry point to understanding the innovation of bifurcating forms is demonstrated by rare natural moss variants and mutants that bifurcate with axes terminating in sporangium formation [83,85,94]. Reverse genetic work in Physcomitrella has shown that perturbation of polar auxin transporter (PINB) or TCP transcription factor (TCP5) function can induce single or multiple bifurcations with each resultant axis terminating in sporangium formation, but the developmental basis of mutant phenotypes is not yet clear [83,85]. Modern lycophytes and monilophytes offer the possibility of 'top down' insights into mechanisms of bifurcation as their meristems have one to a few stem cells, a state thought to be ancestral within the vascular plants. A clonal analysis in the lycophyte Selaginella kraussiana showed that bifurcation involves amplification and segregation of stem cells in the shoot tips, and suppression of genetic pathways for proliferation [95-97]. Bifurcation in the fern Osmunda regalis is thought to involve stem cell division, and the development of fern genetic models opens the possibility of mechanistic insights [98-100].



**Figure 4.** *KNOX1* and *KNOX2* expression patterns in *Physcomitrella patens* sporophytes redrawn from [73,78]. *KNOX* expression patterns in moss sporophytes are dynamic. During early embryo development, the *KNOX1* genes *MKN2* and *MKN4* are expressed in the apical half of the embryo including the apical cell, and expression then narrows to medial a spot (*MKN2*) or band (*MKN4, MKN5*) coinciding with the position of intercalary proliferation [78]. Later on *MKN4* is expressed the sporangium [78]. The *KNOX2* gene *MKN6* has a basal early embryonic expression pattern that is converse to *MKN2* and *MKN4* expression patterns [73]. Later on during development *MKN1* is expressed in the sporangium and *MKN6* is expressed in bands across the embryo [73]. These patterns are suggestive of roles for *KNOX* genes in mapping out developmental domains in determinate uni-axial sporophytes. In conjunction with converse mutant phenotypes, converse patterns of *KNOX1* and *KNOX2* expression suggest antagonistic functions. (Online version in colour.)

# (b) Indeterminacy and the displacement of sporangium formation away from shoot tips

Although bifurcating forms with terminal sporangia are prevalent in early vascular plant fossils, forms with spatially distinct proliferative and reproductive activities are also represented, having lateral sporangia or sporangia on leaves [101,102]. This mix of characteristics suggests that the displacement of sporangial development pathways away from shoot tips was pre-requisite to the evolution of indeterminate meristem functions. There is some evidence from either side of the bryophyte to vascular plant divergence that KNOX1 and KNOX2 genes could have contributed to the evolution of indeterminacy and sterilization. Physcomitrella KNOX1 genes are first expressed in the apical half of the embryo before expression narrows down to a spot subtending the sporangium (MKN2) or a band whose position coincides with the position of the intercalary proliferative region (MKN4/5; figure 4) [78]. MKN2 activity is necessary for axial elongation, perhaps by modulating intercalary proliferative activity [78]. Axial elongation following cytokinin application [89] and suppression of elongation in mkn2 mutants [78] suggests a potential link between cytokinin and KNOX1 activities, which is significant given that a KNOX-cytokinin regulatory loop promotes indeterminacy in Arabidopsis [103,104], and expression analyses in a lycophyte show that KNOX1 genes are conserved regulators of indeterminacy in vascular plants [95]. The possibility of roles for KNOX genes in sterilization is raised by knox1 and knox2 mutant phenotypes in Physcomitrella. KNOX1 and KNOX2 expression patterns are somewhat complementary in sporophytes (figure 4), and while the KNOX1 gene MKN2 promotes sporangium development, the KNOX2 genes mkn1 and mkn6 are necessary for sporangium development [73,78]. KNOX1 and KNOX2 genes have antagonistic functions in other plants [105], and KNOX1 and KNOX2 genes may act antagonistically to regulate sporangium development in Physcomitrella. MKN function links proliferative and reproductive activities in moss sporophytes, and a key point for future research will be to understand how KNOX evolution may have teased these activities apart during the evolution of sporophytic indeterminate meristem functions.

## 6. Innovations in vascular plant diversification

#### (a) Meristems

Morphological changes in the evolution of land plant forms correlate with changes in meristem function through time, and indeterminate meristems have evolved independently in bryophyte and fern gametophytes and vascular plant sporophytes (figure 3) [7]. While gametophyte meristems comprise a single apical stem cell, vascular plant meristems have one to many stem cells capping a more rapidly proliferative zone (figure 3*c*,*f*) [11,106–108]. The intercalary proliferative zones of bryophyte sporophytes may be homologous to the proliferative zones of vascular plant meristems, but the mechanisms by which apical stem cell activity originated in sporophytes are unknown. The juxtaposition of stem cell and proliferative zones may have preceded the origin of indeterminacy in vascular plants (figure 3f). Molecular work suggests that there has been no large-scale co-option of genes regulating meristem function from the gametophyte to the sporophyte stage of the life cycle [78,82,109], and transcriptomic work suggests that vascular plant meristems may have evolved independently in lycophytes, monilophytes and spermatophytes [25,110]. PIN genes are an exception and PIN-mediated auxin transport drives meristem function in both life cycle stages in a moss [83].

#### (b) Leaves

A primary function of indeterminate meristems is to iterate leaves in regular patterns around the stem to optimize light interception during photosynthesis [19]. Phylogeny shows that leaves had at least five independent origins, evolving in liverwort and moss gametophytes, and also in lycophyte, monilophyte and seed plant sporophytes (figure 3b,e) [86]. Steps in the evolution of vascular plant leaves are represented in the fossil record, and leafless precursors in each lineage point to non-homology of sporophytic leaf types, a subject that is well reviewed elsewhere [86,111]. Non-homology of leaf types is also supported by widely divergent patterns of leaf development in each group. Liverwort and moss phyllids develop from a single cell, and with the exception of the

7

midvein in mosses, comprise a single cell layer [11]. Lycophyte microphylls can develop from two juxtaposed epidermal cells, with inner tissue layers established by division of the adaxial epidermis after medio-lateral and proximo-distal axes of symmetry are established [96]. Monilophyte fronds have a shoot-like nature, developing from a single apical cell [112], and seed plant leaves develop from a pool of cells recruited from the flanks of the multicellular shoot apical meristem [106]. Axes of leaf symmetry are largely inherited from the parent meristem [19].

In line with these divergent leaf morphologies and patterns of development, transcriptomic analyses have identified largely divergent genetic pathways for leaf development in each vascular plant lineage [110]. However, reverse genetic studies have identified three pathways that have been co-opted multiple times to regulate leaf development during evolution. PIN-mediated auxin transport is a key determinant of leaf initiation patterns and development in flowering plants, and PIN-mediated auxin transport was independently co-opted to regulate phyllid initiation and outgrowth in moss and probably also lycophyte microphylls [83,113,114]. ARP transcription factors are a second key driver of leaf initiation and development in flowering plants, and their action in downregulating KNOX expression was independently co-opted to regulate lycophyte microphyll development [95,115]. HD-zip transcription factors regulate leaf polarity and vascular development in flowering plants, and HD-zip genes have been independently recruited to regulate different aspects of leaf development in mosses and lycophytes [109,116-118]. Each of these gene families has undergone lineage-specific duplications during evolution, and the genetic networks regulating leaf development are likely to be lineage-specific [95,117-119].

#### (c) Axillary branching

Shooting forms in land plants are further characterized by extensive branching, which confers fitness advantages allowing increase in size, plastic growth responses, persistence over long time frames and amplification of reproductive pathways [120]. While bifurcation is likely to be the ancestral branching pattern in gametophytes and sporophytes, axillary branching arose independently in moss and liverwort gametophytes and seed plant sporophytes (figure 3a,d,g) [121]. Most of our understanding of branching has been gained from studies in flowering plants in which branches initiate as a result of a drop in the levels of auxin and a rise in the levels of cytokinin in cells at the base of leaf primordia [122,123]. Cells that attain branch fate in this manner can activate branch outgrowth in response to hormonal cues integrated across the plant later in development [121,124]. Shoot apices and young leaves play a major role in regulating branch outgrowth patterns as they produce auxin that is then transported away from the shoot tips via the polar auxin transport stream to suppress branching, and cytokinin antagonizes the action of auxin [124]. Strigolactone is a third hormonal regulator of branching, inhibiting or promoting branch outgrowth depending on the auxin transport status of the plant [125-127]. The mechanisms driving the evolution of lateral branching are largely unknown. However, lateral branches in Physcomitrella gametophytes arise by respecification of epidermal cells in leaf axils to apical cell fate [12]. Although this process is modulated by an interplay between auxin, cytokinin and strigolactone, the auxin transport route is non-polar and likely to involve plasmodesmata, and branch activation is likely to directly reflect downstream outputs of hormone signalling [12].

# 7. Underground innovations

#### (a) Rhizoid-based rooting systems

The earliest rooting systems originated prior to the colonization of land and were rhizoid based, comprising unicellular or multicellular projections that function in anchorage and mineral uptake [128,129]. The genetic mechanisms regulating rhizoid development predate the origin of land and are conserved with mechanisms regulating root hair development in flowering plants [130,131]. RSL Group VIII bHLH transcription factors are positive regulators of rhizoid development that underwent an early duplication to form Class 1 and Class 2 clades [130,132,133]. Each class is represented by a single gene in the liverwort, Marchantia polymorpha, and loss- and gain-of-function mutations in RSL1 have revealed that it is necessary for and promotes the formation of all epidermal cellular projections, a role that is conserved with mosses [130]. RSL copy numbers in both classes have been maintained at low levels through to a total of 7 in mosses to 8 in lycophytes and a maximum of 10 in flowering plants [134]. LRL Group XI bHLH transcription factors amplified from a base number of 1 in charophytes via two vascular plant-specific duplications to form 3 classes through time [131]. While liverwort and moss LRLs promote rhizoid development, Arabidopsis LRLs can either promote (Class I) or repress (Class II) root hair development, and both RSL and LRL regulatory networks have increased in complexity during plant evolution [131,134-136]. These networks have been repeatedly deployed to allow rhizoid or root hair development on different parts of plants during evolution indicating deep homology; the use of conserved genetic networks in the development of non-homologous structures [137].

#### (b) Roots

Although vascular plants from the fossilized Rhynie Chert assemblage had rhizoid-bearing subterranean stems that performed a rooting function, true rooting systems diversified after shoot systems [138]. The features that distinguish roots from earlier axial forms are growth from a meristem with a root cap and gravitropism, and roots had independent origins in lycophytes and euphyllophytes (monilophytes and spermatophytes) or each euphyllophyte lineage [138]. Some of the earliest root systems fossilized from the ancient lycophyte forests that formed coal. These comprised bifurcating shoot-like axes (rhizomorphs) that initiated bifurcating rootlets in a spiral phyllotaxis and had root hairs [139,140]. Roots in living lycophytes comprise a system of bifurcating axes with hairs that either originate laterally during embryogenesis or initiate from modified aerial axes (rhizophores) during post-embryonic growth [138-141]. The developmental affinity of lycophyte rhizophores to roots or shoots is a longstanding debate in which the most recent evidence from shared protein abundance and KNOX gene expression points to shoot-like affinity [141-143]. The earliest euphyllophyte roots fossilized from extinct cladoxylopsid plants that resemble tree ferns, and their root systems comprised bifurcating axes initiating from the swollen stem base [129,144,145]. In living ferns, roots initiate either basally during embryogenesis or post-embryonically from the stem base, stems or rhizomes; lateral roots initiate from an endodermal cell layer [141,146]. The progymnosperm fossil relatives of seed plants had shrub or tree form with woody tissues and bifurcating (aneurophytes) or laterally branching roots (archaeopterids) as in living seed plants [147,148].

Trends in root architecture evolution somewhat mirror trends in the evolution of shoot architecture, and euphyllophyte rooting systems are hypothesized to have a shoot-like origin [7,149,150]. Although there is a good understanding of the molecular mechanisms regulating root architecture in flowering plant models [151], knowledge transfer to identify the mechanisms underpinning root evolution is limited. The homology of lcyophyte, monilophyte and spermatophyte roots is supported by an analysis of *WOX* gene function showing that root stem cell expression is conserved [152]. The distinct origin of lycophyte and monilophyte and spermatophyte rooting systems is supported by a phylogenetic analysis showing that lycophytes lack the *AS2/LOB* domain genes that act downstream of auxin to regulate root development in monilophytes and spermatophytes [153].

#### 8. Themes

#### (a) Gene duplication and antagonistic functions

Genomic approaches to plant evolution have shown that most of the gene families with important roles in generating flowering plant form are conserved to algae, and that gene copy numbers have amplified through time [51,154]. This amplification provides a source of genetic diversity, and has led to long-standing ideas about the contribution of gene duplication and diversification in function to the radiation of diverse forms [155,156]. A recurring theme to emerge from more recent studies in basal land plant lineages such as liverworts and mosses is that antagonistic gene functions arise following duplication. For instance, through time the ancient KNOX to KNOX1 and KNOX2 duplication lead to antagonistic functions for these gene classes in leaf development [105], and bHLH and HD-ZipIII duplications have given rise to antagonistic functions in root hair development and axillary meristem development, respectively [131,157]. The mechanisms by which such antagonistic transcription factor functions emerge are not yet clear but are accessible to experimental interrogation.

## (b) An upward outlook for the genetics of plant form

A second theme from recent plant evo-devo approaches is that models with low genetic redundancy but conserved gene

families are bringing new findings that are broadly relevant across the plant tree of life. For instance, Marchantia rsl mutants have not only a rhizoidless phenotype but also defects in the initiation of other epidermal projections such as glandular hairs, which are ubiquitous in land plants [130]. This discovery lead to the identification of conserved roles for RSLs in regulating epidermal cell outgrowth in Physcomitrella, and may be taken further up the plant tree of life into vascular plants in the future [130]. Unpublished data from other laboratories are pointing in the same direction and are identifying new roles for conserved gene families that can be taken up the plant tree of life. These data demonstrate the potential of forward genetic approaches in bryophytes for gene discovery in gene regulatory network and signalling pathway analysis. They are relevant in the light of knowledge transfer to flowering plants where redundancy has previously masked gene function, and application of new knowledge to modify crop form may improve yields in future work.

#### (c) Small genetic changes for major innovations

A third theme to emerge from developmental and genetic studies spanning the base of the plant tree of life is that single-gene mutations can induce discrete changes relevant to major evolutionary innovation [49,56,72,83]. While the morphological distance at the alga to land plant and bryophyte to vascular plant divergence points is wide, new fossils and mutants have started to generate intermediate forms [49,83]. In some instances, such forms can be interpreted in the light of stem and crown group morphologies to suggest stepwise body plan changes. In other instances the forms generated are clearly maladaptive, and potential transitions in form remain elusive [55,56]. Nevertheless, the advent of forward genetic approaches that have gone from phenotype to genotype in Marchantia and Physcomitrella brings opportunity for significant and imminent advances in testing the limits of plant forms in early diverging land plant lineages [130,158]. Mutant phenotypes arising are likely to be informative about the nature of morphological transition occurring in plant body plan evolution during the colonization of land, and genotyping will identify key genes for architectural change.

Competing interests. I declare I have no competing interests.

Funding. This research was funded by the Royal Society and BBSRC (grant no. BB/L00224811).

Acknowledgements. Many thanks to Ant Dodd and Stephanie Sang for helpful comments on a manuscript draft. Many thanks to Chuck Delwiche and Li Zhang for kindly providing photos for figure 1. Many thanks to two reviewers for comments.

#### References

- Edwards D, Cherns L, Raven JA. 2015 Could land-based early photosynthesizing ecosystems have bioengineered the planet in mid-Palaeozoic times? *Palaeontology* 58, 803–837. (doi:10.1111/pala.12187)
- Wellman CH, Strother PK. 2015 The terrestrial biota prior to the origin of land plants (embryophytes): a review of the evidence. *Palaeontology* 58, 601–627. (doi:10.1111/pala.12172)
- Harholt J, Moestrup Ø, Ulvskov P. 2016 Why plants were terrestrial from the beginning. *Trends Plant Sci.* 21, 96–101. (doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2015. 11.010)
- Wickett NJ *et al.* 2014 Phylotranscriptomic analysis of the origin and early diversification of land plants. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, E4859–E4868. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1323926111)
- Delwiche CF, Cooper ED. 2016 The evolutionary origin of a terrestrial flora. *Curr. Biol.* 25, R899–R910. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.08.029)
- Mishler BD, Churchill SP. 1985 Transition to a land flora: phylogenetic relationships of the green algae and bryophytes. *Cladistics* 1, 305–328. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.1985. tb00431.x)

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 372: 20150490

9

- Graham LE, Cook ME, Busse JS. 2000 The origin of plants: body plan changes contributing to a major evolutionary radiation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 4535–4540. (doi:10.1073/pnas.97.9.4535)
- Zimmermann W. 1952 Main results of the 'Telome Theory'. *Palaeobotanist* 1, 456–470.
- Langdale JA, Harrison CJ. 2008 Developmental changes during the evolution of plant form. In *Evolving pathways: key themes in evolutionary developmental biology* (ed. AMG Fusco), pp. 299– 315. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Porada P, Weber B, Elbert W, Pöschl U, Kleidon A. 2014 Estimating impacts of lichens and bryophytes on global biogeochemical cycles. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 28, 71–85. (doi:10.1002/2013GB004705)
- 11. Parihar NS. 1967 *Bryophyta*. Allahabad, India: Indian Universities Press.
- Coudert Y, Palubicki W, Ljung K, Novak O, Leyser O, Harrison CJ. 2015 Three ancient hormonal cues co-ordinate shoot branching in a moss. *eLIFE* 4, e06808. (doi:10.7554/eLife.06808)
- Gerrienne P, Servais T, Vecoli M. 2016 Plant evolution and terrestrialization during Palaeozoic times—the phylogenetic context. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* 227, 4–18. (doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2016. 01.004)
- Friedman WE. 2013 One genome, two ontogenies. Science 339, 1045–1046. (doi:10.1126/science. 1234992)
- Bowman JL. 2013 Walkabout on the long branches of plant evolution. *Curr. Opin Plant Biol.* 16, 70-77. (doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2012.10.001)
- Edwards D, Kenrick P. 2015 The early evolution of land plants, from fossils to genomics: a commentary on Lang (1937) 'On the plant-remains from the Downtonian of England and Wales'. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **370**, 20140343. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0343)
- Lenton TM, Crouch M, Johnson M, Pires N, DL. 2015 First plants cooled the Ordovician. *Nature Geosciences* 5, 86–89. (doi:10.1038/ngeo1390)
- Pires ND, Dolan L. 2013 Morphological evolution in land plants: new designs with old genes. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 367, 508-518. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2011.0252)
- Reinhardt D, Kuhlemeier C. 2002 Plant architecture. EMBO Rep. 3, 846–851. (doi:10.1093/emboreports/kvf177)
- 20. Bower FO. 1908 *The origin of a land flora*. London, UK: Macmillan.
- Boyce CK. 2010 The evolution of plant development in a palaeontological context. *Curr. Opin Plant Biol.* 13, 102–107. (doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2009.10.001)
- Ligrone R, Duckett JG, Renzaglia KS. 2012 Major transitions in the evolution of early land plants: a bryological perspective. *Ann. Bot.* **109**, 851–871. (doi:10.1093/aob/mcs017)
- Tomescu AM, Wyatt SE, Hasebe M, Rothwell GW.
  2014 Early evolution of the vascular plant body plan—the missing mechanisms. *Curr. Opin Plant Biol.* 17, 126–136. (doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2013.11.016)
- 24. Rothwell GW, Wyatt SE, Tomescu AMF. 2014 Plant evolution at the interface of paleontology and developmental biology: an organism-centered

paradigm. *Am. J. Bot.* **101**, 899–913. (doi:10.3732/ ajb.1300451)

- Harrison CJ. 2015 Shooting through time: new insights from transcriptomic data. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 468–470. (doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2015.06.003)
- Harrison CJ, Langdale JA. 2006 A step by step guide to phylogeny reconstruction. *Plant J.* **45**, 561–572. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02611.x)
- Bremer K, Humphries CJ, Mishler BD, Churchill SP. 1987 On cladistic relationships in green plants. *Taxon* 36, 339–349. (doi:10.2307/1221429)
- Lewis LA, McCourt RM. 2004 Green algae and the origin of land plants. *Am. J. Bot.* **91**, 1535–1556. (doi:10.3732/ajb.91.10.1535)
- Turmel M, Otis C, Lemieux C. 2006 The chloroplast genome sequence of *Chara vulgaris* sheds new light into the closest green algal relatives of land plants. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 3, 1324–1338. (doi:10.1093/ molbev/msk018)
- Qiu Y-L et al. 2006 The deepest divergences in land plants inferred from phylogenomic evidence. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 103, 15 511-15 516. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.0603335103)
- Qiu Y-L. 2008 Phylogeny and evolution of charophytic algae and land plants. J. Syst. Evol. 46, 287-306.
- Karol KG, McCourt RM, Cimino MT, Delwiche CF. 2001 The closet living relatives of land plants. *Science* 294, 2351–2353. (doi:10.1126/science. 1065156)
- Chang Y, Graham SW. 2011 Inferring the higherorder phylogeny of mosses (Bryophyta) and relatives, using a large, multigene plastid dataset. *Am. J. Bot.* **98**, 839–849. (doi:10.3732/ ajb.0900384)
- Wodniok S, Brinkmann H, Glöckner G, Heidel AJ, Philippe H, Melkonian M, Becker B. 2011 Origin of land plants: do conjugating green algae hold the key? *BMC Evol. Biol.* **11**, 104. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-11-104)
- Finet C, Timme RE, Delwiche CF, Marlétaz F. 2010 Multigene phylogeny of the green lineage reveals the origin and diversification of land plants. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 2217–2222. (doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2010.11.035)
- Finet C, Timme RE, Delwiche CF, Marlétaz F. 2012 Erratum: Multigene phylogeny of the green lineage reveals the origin and diversification of land plants. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 2217–2222. (doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2012.07.021)
- Timme RE, Bachvaroff TR, Delwiche CF. 2012 Broad phylogenomic sampling and the sister lineage of land plants. *PLoS ONE* 7, e29696. (doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0029696)
- Zhong B, Liu L, Yan Z, Penny D. 2013 Origin of land plants using the multispecies coalescent model. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 492–495. (doi:10.1016/j. tplants.2013.04.009)
- Cox CJ, Li B, Foster PG, Embley M, Civáň P. 2014 Conflicting phylogenies for early land plants are caused by composition biases among synonymous substitutions. *Syst. Biol.* 63, 272–279. (doi:10. 1093/sysbio/syt109)

- Laurin-Lemay S, Brinkmann H, Philippe H. 2012 Origin of land plants revisited in the light of sequence contamination and missing data. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 1456–1457. (doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2012.06.013)
- Mishler BD, Churchill SP. 1984 A cladistic approach to the phylogeny of the 'Bryophytes'. *Brittonia* 36, 406-424. (doi:10.2307/2806602)
- Fiz-Palacios O, Schneider H, Heinrichh J, Savolainen V. 2011 Diversification of land plants: insights from a family-level phylogenetic analysis. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11, 341–351. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-11-341)
- Nickrent DL, Parkinson CL, Palmer JD, Duff RJ. 2000 Multigene phylogeny of land plants with special reference to bryophytes and the earliest land plants. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **17**, 1885–1895. (doi:10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a026290)
- Nishiyama T *et al.* 2004 Chloroplast phylogeny indicates that bryophytes are monophyletic. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **21**, 1813–1819. (doi:10.1093/ molbev/msh203)
- Bateman RM, Crane PR, DiMichele WA, Kenrick P, Rowe NP, Speck T, Stein WE. 1998 Early evolution of land plants: phylogeny, physiology and ecology of the primary terrestial radiation. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 29, 263–292. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys. 29.1.263)
- Graham L, Lewis LA, Taylor W, Wellman C, Cook M. 2014 Early terrestrialization: transition from algal to bryophyte grade. In *Photosynthesis in bryophytes and early land plants* (eds DT Hanson, SK Rice), pp. 9–28. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.
- Hanschen ER *et al.* 2016 The *Gonium pectorale* genome demonstrates co-option of cell cycle regulation during the evolution of multicellularity. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11370. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms11370)
- Ratcliff WC, Herron MD, Howell K, Pentz JT, Rosenzweig F, Travisano M. 2013 Experimental evolution of an alternating uni- and multicellular life cycle in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2742. (doi:10.1038/ncomms3742)
- Thole JM, Perroud P-F, Quatrano RS, Running MP. 2014 Prenylation is required for polar cell elongation, cell adhesion, and differentiation in *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant J*. **78**, 441–451. (doi:10.1111/tpj.12484)
- Antimisiaris MF, Running MP. 2014 Turning moss into algae: prenylation targets in *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Signall. Behav.* 9, e29314. (doi:10. 4161/psb.29314)
- Hori K *et al.* 2014 *Klebsormidium flaccidum* genome reveals primary factors for plant terrestrial adaptation. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3978. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms4978)
- Harrison CJ, Roeder AHK, Meyerowitz EM, Langdale JA. 2009 Local cues and asymmetric cell divisions underpin body plan transitions in the moss *Physcomitrella patens. Curr. Biol.* **19**, 461–471. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.050)
- 53. Menand B, Calder G, Dolan L. 2007 Both chloronemal and caulonemal cells expand by tip

growth in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 1843-1850. (doi:10.1093/jxb/erm047)

- Aoyama T, Hiwatashi Y, Shigyo M, Kofuji R, Kubo M, Ito M, Hasebe M. 2012 AP2-type transcription factors determine stem cell identity in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Development* **139**, 3120–3129. (doi:10.1242/dev.076091)
- Demko V *et al.* 2014 Genetic analysis of DEK1 loop function in three-dimensional body patterning in *Physcomitrella patens. Plant Physiol.* **166**, 903–919. (doi:10.1104/pp.114.243758)
- Perroud PF, Demko V, Johansen W, Wilson RC, Olsen OA, Quatrano RS. 2014 Defective Kernel 1 (DEK1) is required for three-dimensional growth in *Physcomitrella patens. New Phytol.* 203, 794–804. (doi:10.1111/nph.12844)
- Olsen O-A, Perroud PF, Johansen W, Demko V. 2015 DEK1; missing piece in puzzle of plant development. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 70–71. (doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2015.01.003)
- Frank MH, Scanlon MJ. 2015 Cell-specific transcriptomic analyses of three-dimensional shoot development in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant J.* 83, 743-751. (doi:10.1111/tpj.12928)
- Goss CA, Brockmann DJ, Bushoven JT, Roberts AW.
  2012 A CELLULOSE SYNTHASE (CESA) gene essential for gametophore morphogenesis in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. Planta 235, 1355–1367. (doi:10.1007/s00425-011-1579-5)
- Edwards D, Morris JL, Richardson JB, Kenrick P. 2014 Cryptospores and cryptophytes reveal hidden diversity in early land floras. *New Phytol.* 202, 50-78. (doi:10.1111/nph.12645)
- Wellman CH, Osterloff PL, Mohiuddin U. 2003 Fragments of the earliest land plants. *Nature* 425, 282–284. (doi:10.1038/nature01884)
- Brown RC, Lemmon BE. 2011 Spores before sporophytes: hypothesizing the origin of sporogenesis at the algal-plant transition. *New Phytol.* **190**, 875–881. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137. 2011.03709.x)
- Harrison CJ, Alvey E, Henderson IR. 2010 Meiosis in flowering plants and other green organisms. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 2863–2875. (doi:10.1093/jxb/erq191)
- Morris JL, Edwards D, Richardson JB, Axe L. 2012 New dyad-producing plants from the Lower Devonian (Lochkovian) of the Welsh Borderland. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 169, 569–595. (doi:10.1111/j. 1095-8339.2012.01231.x)
- Kato M, Akiyama H. 2005 Interpolation hypothesis for origin of the vegetative sporophyte of land plants. *Taxon* 54, 443–450. (doi:10.2307/ 25065371)
- Renzaglia KS, Crandall-Stotler B, Pressel S, Duckett JG, Schiuette S, Strother P. 2015 Permanent spore dyads are not 'a thing of the past': on their occurence in the liverwort *Haplomitrium* (Haplomitriopsida). *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* **179**, 658–669. (doi:10.1111/boj.12343)
- Renzaglia KS, Lopez RA, Johnson EE. 2015 Callose is integral to the development of permanent tetrads in the liverwort *Sphaerocarpos*. *Planta* 241, 615–627. (doi:10.1007/s00425-014-2199-7)

- Aya K, Hiwatashi Y, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Hasebe M, Matsuoka M. 2012 The Gibberellin perception system evolved to regulate a pre-existing GAMYB-mediated system during land plant evolution. *Nat. Commun.* 2, 258–266.
- Schuette S, Wood AJ, Geisler M, Geisler-Lee J, Ligrone R, Renzaglia KS. 2009 Novel localization of callose in the spores of *Physcomitrella patens* and phylogenomics of the *callose synthase* gene family. *Ann. Bot.* **103**, 749–756. (doi:10.1093/ aob/mcn268)
- Wallace S, Chater CC, Kamisugi Y, Cuming AC, Wellman CH, Beerling DJ, Fleming AJ. 2015 Conservation of *Male Sterility 2* function during spore and pollen wall development supports an evolutionarily early recruitment of a core component in the sporopollenin biosynthetic pathway. *New Phytol.* 205, 390–401. (doi:10.1111/ nph.13012)
- Daku RM, Rabbi F, Buttigieg J, Coulson IM, Horne D, Martens G, Ashton NW, Suh D-Y. 2016 *PpASCL*, the *Physcomitrella patens* anther-specific chalcone synthase-like enzyme implicated in sporopollenin biosynthesis, is needed for integrity of the moss spore wall and spore viability. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0168174. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146817)
- Lee JH, Lin H, Joo S, Goodenough U. 2008 Early sexual origins of homeoprotein heterodimerization and evolution of the plant KNOX/BELL family. *Cell.* 133, 829–840. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.04.028)
- Sakakibara K, Ando S, Yip HK, Tamada Y, Hiwatashi Y, Murata T, Deguchi H, Hasebe M, Bowman JL. 2013 KNOX2 genes regulate the haploid-to-diploid morphological transition in land plants. *Science* 339, 1067–1070. (doi:10.1126/science.1230082)
- Horst NA, Katz A, Pereman I, Decker EL, Ohad N, Reski R. 2016 A single homeobox gene triggers phaase transition, embryogenesis and asexual reprodiction. *Nat. Plants* 2, 15209. (doi:10.1038/ nplants.2015.209)
- Bharatan G, Janssen BJ, Kellogg EA, Sinha N. 1999 Phylogentic relationships and evolution of the KNOTTED class of homeodomain proteins. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16, 553–563. (doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals. molbev.a026136)
- Reiser L, Sánchez-Baracaldo P, Hake S. 2000 Knots in the family tree: evolutionary relationships and functions of *knox* homeobox genes. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 42, 151–166. (doi:10.1023/A:1006384122567)
- Singer SD, Ashton NW. 2007 Revelation of ancestral roles of *KNOX* genes by a functional analysis of *Physcomitrella* homologues. *Plant Cell Rep.* 26, 2039–2054. (doi:10.1007/s00299-007-0409-5)
- Sakakibara K, Nishiyama T, Deguchi H, Hasebe M. 2008 Class 1 *KNOX* genes are not involved in shoot development in the moss *Physcomitrella patens* but do function in sporophyte development. *Evol. Dev.* **10**, 555 – 566. (doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2008. 00271.x)
- Okano Y, Aonoa N, Hiwatashi Y, Murata T, Nishiyama T, Ishikawa T, Kubo M, Hasebe M. 2009 A *polycomb* repressive complex 2 gene regulates apogamy and

gives evolutionary insights into early land plant evolution. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 16 321– 16 326. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0906997106)

- Mosquna A, Katz A, Decker EL, Rensing SA, Reski R, Ohad N. 2009 Regulation of stem cell maintenance by the Polycomb protein FIE has been conserved during land plant evolution. *Development* 136, 2433–2444. (doi:10.1242/dev.035048)
- Tanahashi T, Sumikawa N, Kato M, Hasebe M. 2005 Diversification of gene function: homologs of the floral regulator *FLO/LFY* control the first zygotic cell division in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Development* **132**, 1727–1736. (doi:10.1242/ dev.01709)
- Sakakibara K *et al.* 2014 *WOX13*-like genes are required for reprogramming of leaf and protoplast cells into stem cells in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Development* 141, 1660–1670. (doi:10.1242/dev.097444)
- Bennett TA *et al.* 2014 Plasma membrane-targeted PIN proteins drive shoot development in a moss. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 2776–2785. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014. 09.054)
- Fujita T, Sakaguchi H, Hiwatashi Y, Wagstaff SJ, Ito M, Deguchi H, Sato T, Hasebe M. 2008 Convergent evolution of shoots in land plants: lack of auxin polar transport in moss shoots. *Evol. Dev.* **10**, 176–186. (doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2008.00225.x)
- Ortiz-Ramírez C, Hernandez-Coronado M, Thamm A, Catarino B, Wang M, Dolan L, Feijó JA, Becker JD. 2016 A transcriptome atlas of *Physcomitrella patens* provides insights into the evolution and development of land plants. *Mol. Plant* 9, 205–220. (doi:10.1016/j.molp.2015.12.002)
- Tomescu AMF. 2008 Microphylls, megaphylls and the evolution of leaf development. *Trends Plant Sci.* 14, 5–12. (doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2008.10.008)
- Plackett ARG, Di Stillio VS, Langdale JA. 2015 Ferns: the missing link in shoot evolution and development. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 5328. (doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00972)
- French JC, Paolillo DJ. 1975 Intercalary meristematic activity in the sporophyte of *Funaria* (Musci).
   Am. J. Bot. 62, 86–96. (doi:10.2307/2442082)
- French JC, Paolillo DJ. 1975 Effect of exogenously supplied growth regulators on intercalary meristematic activity and capsule expansion in *Funaria*. *Bryologist* **78**, 431–437. (doi:10.2307/3242165)
- Stewart WN. 1964 Upward outlook in plant morphology. *Phytomorphology* 14, 120-134.
- 91. Cooke, Todd J, Poli D, Cohen JD. 2004 Did auxin play a crucial role in the evolution of novel body plans during the Late Silurian–Early Devonian radiation of land plants? The evolution of plant physiology: from *Whole plants to ecosystems*. Amsterdam: Linnean Society of London and Elsevier Academic Press, 85–107.
- Friedman WE, Moore RC, Purugganan MD. 2004 The evolution of plant development. *Am. J. Bot.* **91**, 1726–1741. (doi:10.3732/ajb.91.10.1726)
- Bennici A. 2008 Origin and early evolution of land plants. *Commun. Integr. Biol.* 1, 212-218. (doi:10. 4161/cib.1.2.6987)
- 94. Bower F. 1935 *Primitive land plants*. London, UK: Macmillan.

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 372: 20150490

11

- Harrison CJ, Corley SB, Moylan EC, Alexander DL, Scotland RW, Langdale JA. 2005 Independent recruitment of a conserved developmental mechanism during leaf evolution. *Nature* 434, 509–514. (doi:10.1038/nature03410)
- Harrison CJ, Rezvani M, Langdale JA. 2007 Growth from two transient apical initials in the meristem of *Selaginella kraussiana*. *Development* **134**, 881–889. (doi:10.1242/dev.001008)
- Harrison CJ, Langdale JA. 2010 Comment: The developmental pattern of shoot apices in *Selaginella kraussiana* (Kunze) A. Braun. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* 171, 690–692. (doi:10.1086/653134)
- Bierhorst DW. 1977 On the stem apex, leaf initiation and early leaf ontogeny in filicalean ferns.
   Am. J. Bot. 64, 125-152. (doi:10.2307/2442101)
- Muthukumar B, Joyce BL, Elless MP, Stewart CN.
  2013 Stable transformation of ferns using spores as targets: *Pteris vittata* and *Ceratopteris thalictroides*. *Plant Physiol.* 163, 648–658. (doi:10.1104/pp.113. 224675)
- Plackett ARG, Huang L, Sanders HL, Langdale JA.
  2014 High-efficiency stable transformation of the model fern species *Ceratopteris richardii* via microparticle bombardment. *Plant Physiol.* 165, 3-14. (doi:10.1104/pp.113.231357)
- Kenrick P, Crane PR. 1997 The origin and early evolution of plants on land. *Nature* 389, 33-39. (doi:10.1038/37918)
- Kenrick P. 2002 The telome theory. In Developmental genetics and Plant Evolution (eds QCB Cronk, RM Bateman, JA Hawkins), pp. 365–387. London, UK: Taylor and Francis.
- Yanai O, Shani E, Dolezal K, Tarkowski P, Sablowski R, Sandberg G, Samach A, Ori N. 2005 Arabidopsis KNOXI proteins activate cytokinin biosynthesis. *Curr. Biol.* **15**, 1566–1571. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005. 07.060)
- 104. Jasinski S, Piazza P, Craft J, Hay A, Woolley L, Rieu I, Phillips A, Hedden P, Tsiantis M. 2005 KNOX action in *Arabidopsis* is mediated by coordinate regulation of cytokinin and gibberellin activities. *Curr. Biol.* **15**, 1560–1565. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.07.023)
- 105. Furumizu C, Alvarez JP, Sakakibara K, Bowman JL. 2015 Antagonistic roles for *KNOX1* and *KNOX2* genes in patterning the land plant body plan following an ancient gene duplication. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1004980. (doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004980)
- Steeves TA, Sussex IM. 1989 Patterns in plant development. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Philipson WR. 1990 The significance of apical meristems in the phylogeny of land plants. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **173**, 17–38. (doi:10.1007/BF00937760)
- Ambrose BA, Vasco A. 2016 Bringing the multicellular fern meristem into focus. *New Phytol.* 210, 790-793. (doi:10.1111/nph.13825)
- 109. Yip HK, Floyd SK, Sakakibara K, Bowman JL. 2016 Class III *HD-Zip* activity co-ordinates leaf development in *Physcomitrella patens*. *Dev. Biol.* (doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.01.012)
- 110. Frank MH, Edwards MB, Schultz ER, McKain MR, Fei Z, Sørensen I, Rose JKC, Scanlon MJ. 2015 Dissecting the

molecular signatures of apical cell-type shoot meristems from two ancient land plant lineages. *New Phytol.* **207**, 893–904. (doi:10.1111/nph.13407)

- Beerling DJ, Fleming AJ. 2007 Zimmermann's telome theory of megaphyll leaf evolution: a molecular and cellular critique. *Curr. Opin Plant Biol.* **10**, 4–12. (doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2006.11.006)
- Sanders HL, Darrah PR, Langdale JA. 2011 Sector analysis and predictive modelling reveals iterative shoot-like development in fern fronds. *Development* 138, 2925–2934. (doi:10.1242/dev.065888)
- 113. Bar M, Ori N. 2014 Leaf development and morphogenesis. *Development* **141**, 4219–4230. (doi:10.1242/dev.106195)
- 114. Sanders HL, Langdale JA. 2013 Conserved transport mechanisms but distinct auxin responses govern shoot patterning in *Selaginella kraussiana*. *New Phytol.* **198**, 419–428. (doi:10.1111/nph.12183)
- Hay A, Tsiantis M. 2010 KNOX genes: versatile regulators of plant development and diversity. *Development* 137, 3153-3165. (doi:10.1242/dev. 030049)
- Byrne ME. 2006 Shoot meristem function and leaf polarity: the role of class III HD-ZIP genes. PLoS Genet. 2, e89. (doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020089)
- Floyd SK, Bowman JL. 2006 Distinct developmental mechanisms reflect the independent origins of leaves in vascular plants. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 1911–1917. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.07.067)
- Prigge MJ, Clarke SE. 2006 Evolution of the class III *HD-Zip* gene family in land plants. *Evol. Dev.* 8, 350-361. (doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2006.00107.x)
- Bennett T *et al.* 2014 Paralagous radiations of PIN proteins with multiple origins of non-canonical PIN structure. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **31**, 2042–2060. (doi:10. 1093/molbev/msu147)
- 120. Niklas KJ. 1997 *The evolutionary biology of plants*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Harrison CJ. 2016 Auxin transport in the evolution of branching forms. *New Phytologist*. (doi:10.1111/ nph.14333)
- 122. Wang Q, Kohlen W, Rossmann S, Vernoux T, Theres K. 2014 Auxin depletion from the leaf axil conditions competence for axillary meristem formation in *Arabidopsis* and tomato. *Plant Cell* 26, 2068–2079. (doi:10.1105/tpc.114.123059)
- 123. Wang Y, Wang J, Shi B, Yu T, Qi J, Meyerowitz EM, Jiao Y. 2014 The stem cell niche in leaf axils is established by auxin and cytokinin in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **26**, 2055–2067. (doi:10.1105/tpc. 114.123083)
- Domagalska MA, Leyser O. 2011 Signal integration in the control of shoot branching. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 12, 211–221. (doi:10.1038/nrm3088)
- Umehara M *et al.* 2008 Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones. *Nature* 455, 195–200. (doi:10.1038/nature07272)
- Gomez-Roldan V *et al.* 2008 Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching. *Nature* **455**, 189–194. (doi:10. 1038/nature07271)
- 127. Shinohara N, Taylor C, Leyser O. 2013 Strigolactone can promote or inhibit shoot branching by triggering rapid depletion of the auxin efflux

protein PIN1 from the plasma membrane. *PLoS Biol.* **11**, e1001474. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001474)

- Jones VAS, Dolan L. 2012 The evolution of root hairs and rhizoids. *Ann. Bot.* **110**, 205–212. (doi:10. 1093/aob/mcs136)
- Kenrick P, Strullu-Derrien C. 2014 The origin and early evolution of roots. *Plant Physiol.* **166**, 570– 580. (doi:10.1104/pp.114.244517)
- Proust H, Honkanen S, Jones VAS, Morieri G, Prescott H, Kelly S, Ishizaki K, Kohchi T, Dolan L.
   2016 RSL Class I genes controlled the development of epidermal structures in the common ancestor of land plants. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 93–99. (doi:10.1016/j. cub.2015.11.042)
- 131. Breuninger H, Sakayama H, Nishiyama T, Dolan L. 2016 Diversification of a bHLH transcription factor family in streptophytes led to the evolution of antagonistically acting genes controlling root hair growth. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, 1622–1628. (doi:10.1016/j. cub.2016.04.060)
- Pires ND, Dolan L. 2010 Origin and diversification of basic-helix-loop-helix proteins in plants. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 27, 862–874. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msp288)
- Yi K, Menand B, Bell E, Dolan L. 2010 A basic helixloop-helix transcription factor controls cell growth and size in root hairs. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 264–267. (doi:10.1038/ng.529)
- 134. Pires ND, Yi K, Breuninger H, Catarino B, Menand B, Dolan L. 2013 Recruitment and remodeling of an ancient gene regulatory network during land plant evolution. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 9571–9576. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1305457110)
- Jang G, Yi K, Pires ND, Menand B, Dolan L. 2011 *RSL* genes are sufficient for rhizoid system development in early diverging land plants. *Development* **138**, 2273–2281. (doi:10.1242/dev.060582)
- 136. Tam THY, Catarino B, Dolan L. 2015 Conserved regulatory mechanism controls the development of cells with rooting functions in land plants. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E3959–E3968. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.1416324112)
- Scotland R. 2010 Deep homology: a view from systematics. *Bioessays* 32, 438–449. (doi:10.1002/ bies.200900175)
- Raven JA, Edwards D. 2001 Roots: evolutionary origins and biogeochemical significance. *J. Exp. Bot.* 52, 381-401. (doi:10.1093/jexbot/52.suppl\_1.381)
- Hetherington AJ, Dolan L. 2016 The evolution of lycopsid rooting structures: conservatism and disparity. *New Phytologist*. (doi:10.1111/nph.14324)
- 140. Hetherington AJ, Berry CM, Dolan L. 2016 Networks of highly branched stigmarian rootlets developed on the first giant trees. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 6695–6700. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1514427113)
- 141. Gifford EM, Foster AS. 1989 *Morphology and evolution* of vascular plants. New York, NY: W.H. Freeman.
- 142. Jernstedt JA, Mansfield MA. 1985 Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis of polypeptides from stems, roots, leaves adn rhizophores of *Selaginella kraussiana*. *Bot. Gazette* **146**, 460–465. (doi:10. 1086/337547)
- 143. Kawai J, Tanabe Y, Soma S, Ito M. 2010 Class I KNOX gene expression supports the Selaginella

rhizophore concept. *J. Plant Biol.* **53**, 268–274. (doi:10.1007/s12374-010-9113-z)

- 144. Fairon-Demaret M, Li C-S. 1993 Lorophyton goense gen. et sp. nov. from the Lower Givetian of Belgium and a discussion of the Middle Devonian Cladoxylopsida. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* **77**, 1–22. (doi:10.1016/0034-6667 (93)90052-V)
- 145. Stein WE, Mannolini F, Hernick LV, Landing E, Berry CM. 2007 Giant cladoxylopsid trees resolve the enigma of the Earth's earliest forest stumps at Gilboa. *Nature* **446**, 904–907. (doi:10.1038/ nature05705)
- 146. Esau K. 1965 *Plant anatomy*, 2nd edn. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons.
- 147. Algeo TJ, Scheckler SE, Maynard JB. 2000 Effects of the Middle to Late Devonian spread of vascular land plants on weathering regimes, marine biotas and global climate. In *Plants invade the land: evolutionary and environmental perspectives* (eds PG Gensel, D Edwards), pp. 213–236. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

- 148. Kenrick P. 2005 The origin of roots. In *Plant roots: the hidden half* (eds Y Waisel, A Eshel, U Kafkafi), 3rd edn. New York, NY: CRC Press.
- 149. Jiang K, Feldman LJ. 2005 Regulation of root apical meristem development. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 21, 485–509. (doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.21. 122303.114753)
- 150. de Vries J, Fischer AM, Roettger M, Rommel S, Schluepmann H, Bräutigam A, Carlsbecker A, Gould SB. 2015 Cytokinin-induced promotion of root meristem size in the fern *Azolla* supports a shootlike origin of euphyllophyte roots. *New Phytol.* 209, 705–720. (doi:10.1111/nph.13630)
- Wachsman G, Sparks EE, Benfey PN. 2015 Genes and networks regulating root anatomy and architecture. *New Phytol.* 208, 26–38. (doi:10.1111/nph.13469)
- 152. Nardmann J, Werr W. 2012 The invention of WUSlike stem cell-promoting functions in plants predates leptosporangiate ferns. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **78**, 123 – 124. (doi:10.1007/s11103-011-9851-4)
- 153. Coudert Y, Dievart A, Droc G, Gantet P. 2013 *ASL/ LBD* phylogeny suggests that genetic mechanisms of

root initiation downstream of auxin are distinct in lycophytes and euphyllophytes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 569–572. (doi:10.1093/molbev/mss250)

- 154. Rensing SA. 2008 The *Physcomitrella* genome reveals evolutionary insights into the conquest of land by plants. *Science* **319**, 64–69. (doi:10.1126/ science.1150646)
- 155. Ohno S. 1970 *Evolution by gene duplication*. New York, NY: Springer.
- 156. Carroll SB, Grenier JK, Weatherbee SD. 2005 From DNA to diversity, 2nd edn. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- 157. Prigge MJ, Otsuga D, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Drews GN, Clark SE. 2005 Class III *Homeodomain-Leucine Zipper* gene family members have overlapping, antagonistic, and distinct roles in *Arabidopsis* development. *Plant Cell* **17**, 61–76. (doi:10.1105/ tpc.104.026161)
- 158. Stevenson SR *et al.* 2016 Genetic analysis of *Physcomitrella patens* identifies *ABSCISIC ACID NON-RESPONSIVE (ANR)*, a regulator of ABA responses unique to basal land plants and required for desiccation tolerance. *Plant Cell* **28**, 1310–1327.