

# OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Xu N, Chu Y, Chen H, Li X, Wu Q, Jin L, et al. (2018) Rice transcription factor OsMADS25 modulates root growth and confers salinity tolerance via the ABA–mediated regulatory pathway and ROS scavenging. PLoS Genet 14(10): e1007662. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pgen.1007662

Editor: Gloria K. Muday, Wake Forest University, UNITED STATES

Received: February 3, 2018

Accepted: August 27, 2018

Published: October 10, 2018

**Copyright:** © 2018 Xu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31771747, 31271685). JH received the funding. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Rice transcription factor OsMADS25 modulates root growth and confers salinity tolerance via the ABA–mediated regulatory pathway and ROS scavenging

# Ning Xu, Yanli Chu, Hongli Chen, Xingxing Li, Qi Wu, Liang Jin, Guixue Wang, Junli Huang<sup>®</sup>\*

Key Laboratory of Biorheological Science and Technology, Ministry of Education, Bioengineering College, Chongqing University, Chongqing, P. R. China

\* huangjunli@cqu.edu.cn, huang\_junli@126.com

# Abstract

Plant roots are constantly exposed to a variety of abiotic stresses, and high salinity is one of the major limiting conditions that impose constraints on plant growth. In this study, we describe that OsMADS25 is required for the root growth as well as salinity tolerance, via maintaining ROS homeostasis in rice (Oryza sativa). Overexpression of OsMADS25 remarkably enhanced the primary root (PR) length and lateral root (LR) density, whereas RNAi silence of this gene reduced PR elongation significantly, with altered ROS accumulation in the root tip. Transcriptional activation assays indicated that OsMADS25 activates OsGST4 (glutathione S-transferase) expression directly by binding to its promoter. Meanwhile, osgst4 mutant exhibited repressed growth and high sensitivity to salinity and oxidative stress, and recombinant OsGST4 protein was found to have ROS-scavenging activity in vitro. Expectedly, overexpression of OsMADS25 significantly enhanced the tolerance to salinity and oxidative stress in rice plants, with the elevated activity of antioxidant enzymes, increased accumulation of osmoprotective solute proline and reduced frequency of open stoma. Furthermore, OsMADS25 specifically activated the transcription of OsP5CR, a key component of proline biosynthesis, by binding to its promoter. Interestingly, overexpression of OsMADS25 raised the root sensitivity to exogenous ABA, and the expression of ABAdependent stress-responsive genes was elevated greatly in overexpression plants under salinity stress. In addition, OsMADS25 seemed to promote auxin signaling by activating OsYUC4 transcription. Taken together, our findings reveal that OsMADS25 might be an important transcriptional regulator that regulates the root growth and confers salinity tolerance in rice via the ABA-mediated regulatory pathway and ROS scavenging.

# Author summary

Plant roots are constantly exposed to a variety of abiotic stresses, and high salinity is one of major limiting conditions that impose constraints on plant growth. Here, we show that

\_\_\_\_\_

GENETICS

PLOS

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

transcription factor OsMADS25 positively regulates the root system development and tolerance to salinity and oxidative stress in rice plants. We also provide strong evidence that OsMADS25 increases the ROS-scavenging capacity and proline accumulation by activating the expression of *OsGST4* and *OsP5CR* directly. Moreover, *OsMADS25* promotes ABA–dependent abiotic stress–responsive regulatory pathway. In addition, OsMADS25 seems to promote auxin signaling by activating *OsYUC4* transcription. Overall, enhanced antioxidant responses and proline accumulation via the ABA–mediated regulatory pathway, have been proposed to be crucial for OsMADS25 to regulate the salinity tolerance in rice plants.

### Introduction

Rapid root growth is due largely to rapid elongation of cells in plant root. After cell division, newly formed cells begin the process of elongating and differentiation [1], which is regulated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) homeostasis [2]. ROS are important signaling molecules that affect many aspects of plant development such as the cell cycle, programmed cell death, hormone signaling as well as the response to environmental stresses [3–6], and disruption in ROS signaling leads to defects in these developmental processes [7].

Plant roots are constantly exposed to a variety of abiotic stresses, and high salinity is one of major limiting conditions that impose constraints on plant root growth, as a stress that encourages ROS production. In plants, apoplastic ROS, mainly generated by NADPH oxidase (Respiratory Burst Oxidase Homologs, Rbohs), are toxic to plant cells at high concentrations and ROS homeostasis must be strictly controlled by a delicate balance between ROS-producing andscavenging enzymes [8]. To minimize or prevent oxidative damage to cells by ROS and to maintain cellular redox homeostasis, plants have evolved defense systems that include ROS-scavenging enzymes, such as ascorbate peroxidase (APX), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) [9]. Various studies have shown that the ROS-scavenging enzymes are involved in tolerance to abiotic stress in plants [4,10,11]. A gain-of-function mutant of Arabidopsis in which APX2 is constitutively overexpressed shows drought tolerance and exhibits an improved efficiency of water use [12]. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing OsAPXa or OsAPXb exhibit increased tolerance to salinity stress [13]. Constitutive expression of OsGSTU4 (glutathione S-transferase) in Arabidopsis improves the tolerance to salinity and oxidative stress [14]. Although the balance between ROS production and detoxification is shown to be modulated by a large and complicated network, the precise mechanism underlying ROS homeostasis in response to abiotic stresses in plants remains unclear.

Proline has been considered as a multi-functional molecule in plants, and numerous studies have demonstrated that the proline accumulation is enhanced in response to different abiotic stresses [15–17]. In plants, proline is synthesized by the pyrroline–5–carboxylate synthetase (*P5CS*) and P5C reductase (*P5CR*) [18], which are induced by osmotic and salt stresses and also activated by ABA [17,19]. As an osmoprotective molecule, proline is recognized to protect cells against osmotic stress by increasing their antioxidant enzyme capacity, suggesting its ROS–scavenging activity and acting as a singlet oxygen quencher [20]. Exogenous proline treatment reduced Hg<sup>2+</sup> toxicity in rice through scavenging ROS [21]. Correspondingly, ROS accumulation was reduced in overexpression–*P5CS* transgenic tobacco plants, in which proline levels were enhanced by accelerating of the proline biosynthetic pathway [22,23]. By contrast, reduced proline levels in *p5cs1* insertion mutants caused accumulation of ROS and elevated oxidative damage [24]. PLOS GENETICS

Abscisic acid (ABA) is a key regulator of both plant development and stress responses, and has been shown to regulate a range of physiological processes including seed germination and dormancy, root development, stomatal movements and tolerance to abiotic stresses [25–28]. ABA dramatically accumulates under osmotic stress such as drought and salinity, and plays pivotal roles in the stress responses by modulating the gene expression and cellular processes [26,29]. There is convincing evidence that ROS are also integral parts of ABA signaling networks [30,31]. In parallel to pattern-triggered ROS production, ABA also regulates ROS generation through plasma membrane-localized NADPH oxidases [32]. These ROS, as important second messengers, are involved in ABA signaling pathway to regulate the physiological processes [29,30]. In Arabidopsis, a double loss-of-function mutant rbohD/rbohF impairs ABAinduced H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production and stomatal closing, and ABA-mediated inhibition of root growth [32]. In drought stress, ABA induces stomatal closure by stimulating ROS production in guard cells by guard cell-specific NADPH oxidases [26]. 2C-type protein phosphatase ABA INSEN-SITIVE2 (ABI2) interacts with GPX3 to regulate the redox state of guard cells in ABA and drought stress responses [33]. ABI1 binds to phosphatidic acid which is produced by phospholipase Da1, and phosphatidic acid interacts with RBOHD/F, stimulating ROS production [34]. Mutation of *Phospholipase Da1* (*PLDa1*) failed to produce ROS in guard cells in response to ABA [35]. Thus, ROS are important second messengers in ABA signaling in guard cells.

MADS-box transcription factors have been shown play crucial roles in the regulation of developmental processes [36,37]. Recently, there are convincing reports that MADSbox genes also participate in the regulation of hormones and the abiotic stress response. In *Arabidopsis*, the mutation of flowering-time gene *SOC1* confers freezing tolerance [38], and *AGL21* modulates osmotic stress tolerance by controlling the expression of *ABI5* [39]. In rice, *OsMADS26* negatively regulates the resistance to pathogens and drought tolerance [40], while *OsMADS87* is involved in heat sensitivity [41]. Despite the recent progress that *OsMADS25* regulates the root system development in rice in a nitrate-dependent manner [42], its function in abiotic stress remains elusive. In this current work, we aim to reveal the regulatory roles and possible mechanism of *OsMADS25* in response to salinity stress in rice.

### Results

#### Overexpression of OsMADS25 reduces the ROS levels in the roots in rice

*OsMADS25* is preferentially expressed in the root during the growth period (S1A Fig). Here, independent OsMADS25-overexpression transgenic lines (OE1 and OE2) and-RNAi lines (RNAi1 and RNAi2) with significantly up-or down-regulated mRNA levels of OsMADS25 were produced, and the root system of OsMADS25 transgenic lines was indicated to change greatly in standard 1/2 MS medium, compared with their wild-type counterparts (Fig 1A and 1B). Overexpression of OsMADS25 remarkably enhanced the primary root (PR) length, whereas RNAi silence of this gene reduced PR elongation (Fig 1C). The root elongation is inhibited by ROS via enhancing Rbohs expression, and silencing of RbohC accelerates root elongation [7]. To test whether the roots of OsMADS25 transgenic lines have altered ROS levels, we used the ROS-reactive dyes NBT and DAB to detect the levels of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in PRs, respectively. We found that OsMADS25-OE roots had the strong staining just in the first 1 mm of the root tip of PR, and the staining intensity started to decrease in the differentiation zone and elongation zone behind the root meristem, with only weak staining observed at a distance of 3 mm from the root tip (Fig 1D). OsMADS25-RNAi roots also showed strong staining in the root tip as in the wild type; but the staining continued to be strong, and was also detected in the differentiation zone and elongation zone of the root tip (Fig 1D), due to an increase of  $H_2O_2$  content in roots, compared to wild type (Fig 1E).





In addition, *OsMADS25* also greatly affected lateral root (LR) density (S1B Fig). The LR formation is proposed to be divided into the initiation and emergence phases primarily [43]. Further investigations on the developmental basis of LR by methylene blue staining showed that the density of lateral root primordia (LRPs) was significantly increased in OsMADS25–OE plants, whereas remarkably reduced in OsMADS25–RNAi lines, compared to that in wild type (S1C and S1D Fig), which suggests that *OsMADS25* might control LRP initiation in rice. We also detected ROS accumulation in LRPs by DAB and NBT staining, respectively, and there seemed to be no difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and wild type (S2 Fig). Indeed, *OsMADS25* also significantly affected shoot growth (S1E Fig). As expected, less ROS accumulation was detected in 7–day–old shoots, mature leaves, as well as bracts of OsMADS25–OE lines, but increased levels of ROS were deposited in the counterparts of OsMADS25–RNAi plants, compared to wild type (S1F–S1H Fig). Together, these data indicate that *OsMADS25* is required for maintaining cellular redox homeostasis, and down–regulated expression of *OsMADS25* enhances ROS accumulation, especially in the differentiation zone and elongation zone of the root tip, which might affect the root elongation.

### Overexpression of OsMADS25 enhances root cell elongation

ROS are important signaling molecules that regulate the root growth and development [6,44]. We wonder whether the root system architecture of *OsMADS25* transgenic lines in standard 1/2 MS medium is the result of alteration in cell elongation. Then we investigated the epidermal cell length at day 5, when there was significant difference in the root elongation between transgenic lines and wild type in standard 1/2 MS medium (Fig 2A). To ensure that we were measuring comparable cells in wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic lines, the cells we measured were at the same distance, 8–10 mm from the root tip in the mature zone. We found that, at this developmental stage, OsMADS25–RNAi roots had significantly shorter cells, but OsMADS25–OE roots had remarkably longer cells than wild type (Fig 2B). At the same distance from the root tip, the epidermal cell length was reduced more than 30% in OsMADS25–RNAi roots, whereas increased over 40% in OsMADS25–OE lines, compared to their wild–type counterparts (Fig 2B). No significant difference of epidermal cell width was observed between wild type and OsMADS25–OE lines (Fig 2C). These data suggest that *OsMADS25* might modulate cell length by maintaining ROS homeostasis.

# Overexpression of OsMADS25 reduces the sensitivity to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by regulating the activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes

Overexpression of OsMADS25 reduced ROS accumulation in the roots, with a corresponding increase in cell elongation (Figs 1 and 2). Thus, the potential role of OsMADS25 in maintaining ROS homeostasis was further evaluated by exposing the plants to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. It has been reported that there is no significant difference in the root system growth between OsMADS25 transgenic lines and wild type in modified 1/2 MS medium [with glutamine (Gln) as the N nutrition] [42]. Consistent with this, our results showed that the plant growth including PR elongation and LR branching was not affected by OsMADS25 up-or down-regulation in modified 1/2 MS medium (S3A-S3D Fig). Further, we investigated the LRP density and epidermal cell size of PR, and no remarkable difference was observed between OsMADS25 transgenic plants and wild type (S3E-S3I Fig). Meanwhile, OsMADS25 does not affect ROS accumulation in shoots, roots and LRPs (S4 Fig). Thus, the modified 1/2 MS medium was used for investigating the responses of *OsMADS25* transgenic lines to  $H_2O_2$ , to exclude the effect of  $NO_3^-$  on the root development. As shown in Fig 3A-3C, in the absence of  $H_2O_2$ , the PR elongation and LR density between the transgenic lines and wild type were highly similar; however, in the presence of  $10 \text{ mM H}_2O_2$  for 7 days, the root growth in OsMADS25-RNAi lines was impaired severely, with decreased PR elongation and LR formation, whereas not affected in OsMADS25-OE lines, compared to their counterparts under control conditions. After exposed to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 14 days, OsMADS25-OE roots still exhibited superior growth status, but the root growth in OsMADS25-RNAi lines was significantly repressed, compared to wild type (Fig 3A, 3E and 3F). The shoot growth in OsMADS25–OE lines is also not affected by  $H_2O_2$  treatment, while decreased remarkably in RNAi lines, compared to that under control conditions (Fig 3D and 3G). The tolerance to oxidative stress is closely linked to the ROS-scavenging capability. As shown in Fig 3H-3K, after exposed to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, the activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes such as CAT, APX, GPX and GR were significantly enhanced in OsMADS25-OE roots, whereas not altered remarkably in OsMADS25-RNAi lines, compared to their counterparts under control conditions, which led



**Fig 2. Overexpression of** *OsMADS25* **enhances the cell elongation. A.** Propidium iodide (PI)-stained root epidermal cells of 5–day–old wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic roots grown in standard 1/2 MS medium. Scale bars, 50 µm. **B** and **C**. Average epidermal cell length and cell width from 5–day–old wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic roots shown in image A, respectively. To measure cell length, germinated seeds were grown in standard 1/2 MS medium for 5 days, and the roots were stained with PI followed by washing for twice with sterile water, then imaged with a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. Epidermal cell length was averaged from at least 50 cells per root at a distance of 1 cm from the root tips from at least five roots examined for each treatment. Cell length was measured using Leica SP8 software. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. Three independent experiments were performed. The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*–test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (\**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.001 or \*\*\* *P* < 0.001).

to an increase of  $H_2O_2$  content (Fig 3L). Indeed, when exposed to  $H_2O_2$ , the expression of some ROS producers as well as scavengers in transgenic lines was also altered. The transcript levels of *OsRbohs* such as *OsRbohF* and *OsRbohG* were significantly elevated in OsMADS25–RNAi, while reduced in OsMADS25–OE plants, compared to that in wild type in modified 1/2MS medium (S5A and S5B Fig). For ROS scavengers, the expression of *OsCATB* and *OsGST4* was significantly enhanced in OsMADS25–OE lines, whereas reduced remarkably in RNAi lines (S6A and S6B Fig).

*OsMADS25* overexpression also reduced the sensitivity to  $H_2O_2$  in standard 1/2 MS, indicated by less inhibition on the shoot and root growth (S7A–S7E Fig), and had less ROS



**Fig 3.** *OsMADS25* confers H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> tolerance by promoting ROS-scavenging capability. A. Root performance of wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic seedlings in modified 1/2 MS medium (without nitrate, with 5 mM glutamine as the N nutrition) with or without 10 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 7 or 14 days. Scale bars, 1 cm. B–D. Measurement of primary root length, lateral root number and shoot length of 7–day–old seedlings shown in image A. E–G. Measurement of primary root length, lateral root number and shoot length of 14–day–old seedlings shown in image A. H–K. Activities of antioxidant enzymes of CAT, APX, GPX and GR in roots of 7–day–old seedlings shown in image A. L. Quantification of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in the roots of 7–day–old seedlings shown in image A. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression lines. Three independent experiments were performed, and data are means ± SE (n = 15). The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was

determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 or P < 0.001).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007662.g003

accumulation (S7F Fig), as a result of increased activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes (S7G-S7J Fig). The role of *OsMADS25* in response to  $H_2O_2$  was further investigated during seed germination. Almost all of the seeds were germinated on day 5 in the absence of  $H_2O_2$ , although down-regulation of *OsMADS25* clearly retarded seed germination (S7K and S7L Fig). However, after exposed to  $H_2O_2$ , the germination rate was significantly reduced in OsMADS25-RNAi seeds, whereas increased in OsMADS25–OE seeds, compared to that in wild type (S7K and S7L Fig). Together, these observations strongly support that *OsMADS25* could reduce the sensitivity to  $H_2O_2$  during seed germination and post–germination growth by maintaining ROS homeostasis in rice. In fact, the ROS–scavenging capability regulated by *OsMADS25* was also confirmed through transient expression in the leaf of *Nicotiana benthamiana* under salinity stress (S8 Fig). These results suggest that *OsMADS25* has the capability of maintaining ROS homeostasis.

# OsMADS25 binds with the CArG-box motif and directly activates the transcription of *OsGST4 in vivo*

MADS-box proteins have been shown to regulate gene transcription by binding to a consensus core element CArG-box [45]. In our study, multiple ROS-scavengers were found to be potential targets regulated by OsMADS25 via ChIP-seq analysis (S2 Table). To further reveal the regulatory mechanism of OsMADS25 in the tolerance to oxidative stress in rice, OsGST4, one of the potential targets of OsMADS25 was further analyzed (S2 Table and Fig 4A). We wondered whether OsMADS25 has the DNA binding activity on the promoter of OsGST4. Interestingly, *cis*-element scanning of the ~2kb promoter region of *OsGST4* showed one likely OsMADS25-binding CArG-box site at -511 to -520 bp from ATG position of OsGST4 (Fig 4B). To confirm the binding specificity, we further performed EMSA using recombinant OsMADS25 and an oligonucleotide containing the CArG-box motif located in OsGST4 promoter region. As shown in Fig 4C, a supershifted band was observed when labelled DNA probes containing the CArG-box motif was incubated with recombinant OsMADS25 (Lane 2), which means OsMADS25 was able to directly bind to the CArG-box motif. No supershifted signal was observed in the control sample containing only the labeled probe (Lane 1). Moreover, we observed decreased signals when a 50-, 100-, or 200-fold excess of unlabeled probe was added to the EMSA reaction as competitors (Lane 3-5,). Site mutations for the CArG-box motif were performed to further confirm the OsMADS25-binding specificity. When C and G in both ends of the CArG-box motif were mutated (mutation probe), OsMADS25 binding signal was decreased drastically (Lane 6).

To explore if OsMADS25 possesses transcriptional–activation activity, yeast one–hybrid assay was performed. The full–length cDNA of *OsMADS25* was fused in frame to *GAL4* activation domain in the pGADT7 vector, and the sequence of *OsGST4* promoter from –487 to –553 that harbours CArG–box motif was ligated into the pAbAi vector (Fig 4D). The yeast one–hybrid assay showed that OsMADS25 directly interacts with the sequence of *OsGST4* promoter (Fig 4E). To confirm that OsMADS25 can regulate the expression of *OsGST4 in vivo*, we performed transient transactivation assay in *N. benthamiana*. The sequence from –274 to –767 bp in *OsGST4* promoter was fused to the *GUS* reporter gene to use as the reporter, and *OsMADS25*, driven by the *CaMV35S* promoter, was used as the effector (Fig 4F). The reporter and effector plasmids were coinfiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves by *Agrobacterium*-mediated leaf disc





Fig 4. Cis-element binding ability and transcriptional-activation assays of OsMADS25. A. Nucleotide frequency distribution of the OsMADS25 core binding consensus sequence as determined ChIP-seq analysis. B. Schematic diagram of OsGST4 promoter region showing the CArG-box motif. C. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) indicating OsMADS25 binding specific CArG-box motif located in the promoter region of OsGST4. D. Schematic diagrams of the effector and reporter used in the yeast one-hybrid assay. E. Transcriptional-activation assays showing OsMADS25 having transactivation activity in yeast. Panel (I) shows yeast cells containing distinct effector and reporter constructs grown on an SD/-Ura medium without AbA (-Ura; -AbA). 1. pGADT7-p53/p53-AbAi (positive control); 2. pGADT7/p53-AbAi; 3. pAbAi-mOsGST4/pGADT-OsMADS25; 4. pAbAi-OsGST4/pGADT-OsMADS25. Panel (II) shows that yeast cells shown in panel (I) cultured on SD/-Ura medium containing 200 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> AbA (-Ura; +AbA). F. Schematic diagrams of the effector and reporter used for transient transactivation assay in Nicotiana benthamiana. G. Transactivation activity detected by GUS staining after reporter and effector plasmids coinfiltrated into the leaves of N. benthamiana. H. The transcript levels of OsGST4 in 7-day-old wild type and OsMADS25 transgenic roots by qPCR analysis. I. Measurement of GST activity in 7-day-old wild type and OsMADS25 transgenic roots. WT, wild type. RNAil and RNAi2, OsMADS25-RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, OsMADS25 overexpression lines. Three independent experiments were performed. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 10). The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's t-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 or P < 0.001).

infiltration. As we have expected, the *GUS* reporter gene was activated by coexpressing *OsMADS25* with the sequence of *OsGST4* promoter (Fig 4G). More importantly, the transcript abundance of *OsGST4* was found to be enhanced remarkably in OsMADS25–OE plants, but reduced greatly in RNAi lines (Fig 4H), and the GST activity in OsMADS25–OE plants was shown to be significantly higher, whereas lower in RNAi plants than that in wild type (Fig 4I). Taken together, these results indicate that OsMADS25 directly acts upstream of *OsGST4* and activates its transcription by interacting with the *cis*–element.

# osgst4 mutant has reduced ROS-scavenging capability and tolerance to $\rm H_2O_2$

Having elucidated OsMADS25 modulating root growth by regulating ROS scavenging via binding to the promoter region of *OsGST4*, we further provided convincing evidence to support a positive role of *OsGST4* in ROS scavenging in rice. A loss–of–function rice mutant that harbors a T–DNA insertion in *OsGST4* was obtained (Fig 5A and 5B). *OsGST4* contains three exons and two introns and is 5180 bp in total length, and the T–DNA insertion position is – 417 bp from ATG, which caused the deletion of a 16–bp fragment (Figs 5A and S9A). Scarce transcripts of *OsGST4* were detected in *osgst4* by qPCR analysis (Fig 5C).

The contribution to plant growth and oxidative stress tolerance made by OsGST4 was evaluated. OsGST4 is expressed in various tissues (S9B Fig). As shown in Fig 5D-5G, the growth of osgst4 was remarkably inhibited, and the PR elongation, LR formation and shoot growth were significantly reduced in 7-day-old seedlings in standard 1/2 MS medium, compared to wild type (DJ). At maturity, mutation of OsGST4 affected plant growth severely, and the plant height was reduced over 40% in osgst4, compared to the wild-type counterpart (Fig 5H and 51). It is notable that the seed setting rate in *osgst4* was also drastically reduced, with much higher blight grain rate and lower seed germination rate than wild type (Figs 5J, 5K and S9C). We then detected the ROS levels in *osgst4*. When exposed to  $H_2O_2$  or NaCl, *osgst4* accumulated significantly higher levels of ROS than wild type (Fig 5L and 5M). Moreover, the response to oxidative stress showed that osgst4 exhibited more sensitivity to  $H_2O_2$  or NaCl, and the leaf chlorosis in *osgst4* was remarkably much quicker than that in wild type (Fig 5N and 5O), as a result of higher levels of ROS accumulation. As a strong support for this evidence, recombinant OsGST4 was shown to have the ROS-scavenging capability in vitro and improve the tolerance to oxidative stress in *Escherichia coli* (S9D Fig). These results suggest that the growth impairment and hypersensitivity to oxidative stress observed in osgst4 may result from the enhancement of ROS levels, due to reduction of ROS-scavenging capability by OsGST4 knockout.

### Overexpression of OsMADS25 enhances the salinity tolerance in rice

Plants overexpressing–OsMADS25 reduce the sensitivity to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Fig 3), and the transcript levels of OsMADS25 were remarkably enhanced in response to  $H_2O_2$  and NaCl (Fig 6A). Thus, the function of OsMADS25 in response to NaCl was explored during seed germination and post-germination growth. There was no significant difference in seed germination between wild type and OsMADS25 transgenic lines in the absence of NaCl (Figs 6B and S10A). After exposed to 150 mM NaCl, seed germination in OsMADS25-RNAi lines was significantly inhibited, with only about 45% seeds germinated on day 5. Whereas, the inhibition of seed germination in OsMADS25-OE lines by NaCl is not severely, and 78% of seeds germination rate was observed, compared to 53% for wild type (Figs 6B and S10A). As to post-germination growth, wild type and OsMADS25 transgenic plants grew normally with the similar chlorophyll levels in normal condition (Fig 6C and 6D). However, when exposed to salinity, the signs of stress were much severer in OsMADS25-RNAi lines, which exhibited serious chlorosis and wilting of the leaves, whereas the chlorosis of the OsMADS25-OE leaves was more delayed than that of wild type (Fig 6C). The total chlorophyll content, which reflects the presence of chlorosis, was reduced by 30% in the OsMADS25-OE lines, but 49% and 72% in wild-type and RNAi plants, respectively, compared with that in the untreated plants (Fig 6D). Consistent with the salinity-sensitive phenotype, the detached leaves of OsMADS25-RNAi lines showed a quicker rate of bleaching than that of wild type (Fig 6E). Under salinity conditions, MDA content, which reflects membrane injury and lipid peroxidation, was significantly elevated in



**Fig 5.** *osgst4* **mutant exhibits defective growth and reduced tolerance to oxidative stress. A.** Schematic diagram indicating the T–DNA insertion site in genomic region in *osgst4*. **B.** Genotyping of *osgst4* T<sub>2</sub> seedlings performed via PCR analysis. **C.** Transcript levels of *OsGST4* in wild type (DJ) and *osgst4* mutant by qRT–PCR analysis. **D.** Seven–day–old seedlings of DJ and *osgst4* mutant grown in standard 1/2 MS medium. Scale bar, 4 cm. **E–G.** Measurement of primary root length, lateral root number and shoot length in image D. **H.** Plant architecture of DJ and *osgst4* mutant at mature stage. Scale bar, 10 cm. **I–K.** Comparison of plant height, seed setting rate and germination rate, respectively, between DJ and *osgst4* mutant in image H. **L** and **M.** Quantification of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in the shoot and root of 7–day–old seedlings in response to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or NaCl, respectively. **N** and **O.** Detached leaves from 4–week–old DJ and *osgst4* plants exposed to 100 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or 150 mM NaCl for 3 days to indicate the oxidative stress tolerance. NBT, nitroblue tetrazolium. DAB, 3, 3'– diaminobenzidine. Three independent experiments were performed. Data are means ± SE (n = 30). The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 or P < 0.001).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007662.g005

OsMADS25–RNAi lines, whereas affected less severely in OsMADS25–OE lines in comparison with that in untreated plants (Fig 6F). There is also increasing evidence that plants accumulate proline and osmotic solutes to regulate their osmotic potential during salinity stress, which are important for protecting cells against increased levels of ROS under stress [46,47]. As shown in Fig 6G, although salinity stress greatly promoted the proline content in the leaves, OsMADS25–RNAi lines and wild type accumulated less proline than OsMADS25–OE plants, respectively. Under normal conditions, there was no significant difference in accumulation of soluble sugars between transgenic lines and wild type; however, under salinity stress, the content of soluble sugars in RNAi lines was remarkably reduced, whereas not changed significantly in OsMADS25–OE lines in comparison with that in wild type (Fig 6H). These results suggest that *OsMADS25* overexpression significantly improves salinity tolerance during seed germination and seedling growth in rice.

The abiotic stress led to ROS accumulation and ROS-associated oxidative injury. Accumulation of ROS in OsMADS25 transgenic plants treated by NaCl was then determined by DAB and NBT staining, respectively. After the seedlings were grown in 150 mM NaCl for 3 days, weak staining by DAB and NTB was observed in the leaves of OsMADS25-OE plants, compared to that in wild type (Fig 6I), which is in accordance with their performance under salinity stress. The tolerance to salinity stress closely links to the activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes [10]. As shown in Fig 6J, after exposed to salinity stress, the activities of antioxidant enzymes in OsMADS25-OE lines were significantly enhanced, whereas not changed remarkably in wild type and RNAi lines, compared to their counterparts in untreated plants (Fig 6J). It is well recognized that ABA promotes stomatal closure to avoid water loss under various drought and salt stresses. Delayed wilting of the leaves observed in OsMADS25-OE lines in the presence of salinity prompted us to check the ratio of open stomata in response to exogenous ABA. As shown in S10B and S10C Fig, after exposed to exogenous ABA, compared to wild type, the ratio of open stomata in OsMADS25-OE leaves was significantly reduced, which suggests that overexpression of OsMADS25 might result in slower water loss in leaves. Together, these data indicate that overexpression of OsMADS25 could enhance the ability of adaption to salinity stress by regulating the cellular ROS levels.

# Overexpression of *OsMADS25* improves root system development under salinity stress

To further evaluate the role of *OsMADS25* on root development under salinity stress, germinated seeds were grown in modified 1/2 MS medium including 150 mM NaCl. The root growth were not significantly affected by *OsMADS25* up–or down–regulation under control conditions (<u>S11A–S11C Fig</u>). However, after exposed to 150 mM NaCl for 7 days, the impairment of root growth in RNAi plants was much severer than that of wild type, whereas OsMADS25–OE plants showed less repression in PR length and LR density than wild type



**Fig 6.** *OsMADS25* contributes to the salinity tolerance of rice. A. Time course of *OsMADS25* transcription induced by 100mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 150 mM NaCl via qPCR analysis. **B.** Comparison of seed germination rate between wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic lines in the presence of 150 mM NaCl. **C.** Phenotype of wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic seedlings exposed to salinity stress for 7 days. Scale bars, 5 cm. **D.** Measurement of chlorophyll content in wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic seedlings exposed to salinity stress for 7 days. **E.** The detached leaves exposed to 150 mM NaCl for 3 days to indicate the salinity tolerance of wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic lines. **F-H.** Measurement of the content of MDA, proline and soluble sugar in wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic seedlings exposed to salinity stress for 7 days. **I.** DAB and NBT staining for the leaves from wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic seedlings exposed to salinity stress for 7 days, respectively, to indicate ROS levels. Scale bars, 2 cm. **J.** Activities of ROS–scavenging enzymes CAT, APX, GPX and GR in wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic lines. NBT, nitroblue tetrazolium. DAB, 3, 3'–diaminobenzidine. Three independent experiments were performed, and data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 15). The statistical significance of the measurement susing one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01 or \*\*\*P < 0.001).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007662.g006

(S11A–S11C Fig). Corresponding to the root growth, the shoot growth in RNAi plants was also inhibited remarkably, leading to a significant decrease in the dry weight per plant (S11D and S11E Fig). Further we detected the activities of ROS–scavenging enzymes as well as ROS accumulation after exposed to NaCl. The activities of antioxidant enzymes in OsMADS25–OE lines were significantly increased, whereas not altered remarkably in wild type and RNAi lines, compared to that in untreated plants (S11F–S11I Fig). Accordingly, higher levels of ROS were found to be deposited in RNAi plants, while OsMADS25–OE line accumulated less ROS than wild type (S11J and S11K Fig). In parallel to ROS accumulation, the transcription of ROS-producers and ROS-scavengers was observed to change greatly (S5C and S6C Figs). Indeed, when grown in standard 1/2 MS medium including 150 mM NaCl, the root and shoot growth inhibition in OsMADS25–OE lines had higher activities and expression levels of ROS-scavengers (S12D–S12H Fig), whereas had lower expression of ROS-producers than wild type (S12I Fig), after exposed to salt stress. Together, our findings suggest that OsMADS25 is an important regulator in improving plant root adaption to salinity stress by regulating the ROS levels.

#### OsMADS25 elevates ABA sensitivity and mediates ABA response in rice

The phytohormone ABA serves as an endogenous messenger during stress responses in plants [26]. In order to determine whether OsMADS25 is involved in the plant response via the ABAdependent regulatory pathway, the biological function of OsMADS25 in the response of postgermination growth to ABA was evaluated. In the absence of exogenous ABA for 7 days in standard 1/2 MS medium, OsMADS25-OE lines exhibited superior growth status, whereas the growth of RNAi lines was restrained, in comparison with wild type (Fig 7A and 7C–7E). Interestingly, in the medium supplemented with 5 µM ABA for 7 days, the growth of OsMADS25-OE seedlings was inhibited more severely than wild type, with remarkably reduced root and shoot growth (Fig 7B-7E), which suggests that the growth of OsMADS25-OE lines is arrested by ABA; by contrast, OsMADS25-RNAi lines exhibited less sensitivity to ABA than OsMADS25–OE plants, with longer PR and shoot than wild type (Fig 7B–7E). In ABA for 14 days, OsMADS25-OE plants were still more sensitive to ABA than wild type and OsMADS25-RNAi plants, especially the shoot growth (Fig 7F-7J). In addition, when seeds were geminated in standard 1/2 MS medium with 5  $\mu$ M ABA, the germinative-growth in OsMADS25-OE lines was also strongly repressed, whereas RNAi lines were less sensitive, compared to wild type (S13A-S13C Fig). Together, these results indicate that OsMADS25 increases the sensitivity to ABA during germinative-growth and post-germination growth, implying that OsMADS25 might play an important role in ABA response.

To elucidate the role of *OsMADS25* in regulation of salinity tolerance in ABA–dependent pathway, the transcript levels of ABA–dependent stress–responsive genes *OsZIP23*, *OsZIP46*,





*OsTRAB1*, *OsLEA3*, *OsAB15*, *OsP5CS1* and *OsP5CR* were investigated. Notably, the transcription of these genes were shown to be up-regulated in OsMADS25–OE lines under salinity stress (Fig 7K), which suggests that *OsMADS25* might be a transcriptional activator of these abiotic–stress associated genes in the ABA–mediated regulatory pathway; thus, up–or down–regulation of *OsMADS25* altered the expression of the set of genes.

ABA elevates ROS production by regulating the plasma membrane NADPH oxidases RBOHD and RBOHF [32]. We wonder whether exogenous ABA also affects ROS levels in *OsMADS25* transgenic lines. The result showed that, without ABA, higher levels of ROS were accumulated in OsMADS25–RNAi roots in standard 1/2 MS medium, whereas less ROS in

OsMADS25–OE roots was detected than that in wild type (S13D and S13E Fig). By contrast, with 5 µM ABA treatment for 3 days, NBT and DAB staining was clearly weakened in the roots of OsMADS25–RNAi lines, but OsMADS25–OE lines exhibited the strongest staining (S13D and S13E Fig). Moreover, after a 3–d continuous ABA treatment, significantly more ROS had accumulated in the leaves of OsMADS25–OE lines in standard 1/2 MS medium, but less ROS was detected in OsMADS25–RNAi lines than that of wild type (S13F–S13G Fig), which suggests that exogenous ABA reduces ROS accumulation in RNAi lines, but enhances ROS levels in OsMADS25–OE lines. Along with the fact that OsMADS25–OE roots are more sensitive to ABA (Fig 7), it could be proposed that, ROS may function in the downstream of ABA–mediated regulatory pathway in regulating root elongation, and the enhancement of ROS–scavenging capability by *OsMADS25* may be, at least partially, mediated by ABA–mediated regulatory pathway.

#### OsMADS25 directly activates the transcription of OsP5CR

OsMADS25-OE plants exhibited increased tolerance to salinity and accumulated much higher levels of proline than wild type (Fig 6). The transcripts of OsP5CR, responsible for proline biosynthesis, were also shown to be more abundant in OsMADS25-OE plants than that in wild type, whereas significantly reduced in OsMADS25–RNAi lines, in response to salinity (Fig 7K). Moreover, ChIP-seq analysis showed that *OsP5CR* is a candidate target regulated by OsMADS25 (S2 Table). Then, EMSA was performed to test whether OsMADS25 has DNA binding specificity for the OsP5CR promoter with two CArG-box motifs (Fig 8A). As shown in Fig 8B, a shifted band was clearly detected when probe 2 (P2) in the OsP5CR promoter region was incubated with OsMADS25 protein (lane 2); by contrast, no shifted bands were observed when the probe 1 (P1) were incubated with recombinant OsMADS25 (lane 6). Competition assay and probe mutation confirmed that this binding was specific (lane 3–5). We then explored whether OsMADS25 directly regulates OsP5CR expression in vivo. The firefly luciferase reporter driven by OsP5CR promoter (OsP5CR<sub>Pro</sub>::LUC) and Renilla luciferase driven by 35S promoter (35S::REN; as an internal control) were constructed in the same plasmid (Fig 8C). After transiently expressed in rice protoplasts, the LUC and REN activities were then measured and the LUC activity was normalized to REN activity. As shown in Fig 8D, coexpression of 35S:: OsMADS25 with OsP5CR<sub>Pro</sub>::LUC increased the LUC/REN ratio, and LUC activity was approximately 1.75-fold of that in the control (Fig 8D). Thus, our data indicate that OsMADS25 is an upstream transcriptional regulator of OsP5CR. This result suggests that OsP5CR expression activated by OsMADS25 directly might be involved in the response to salinity.

# *OsMADS25* might be involved in auxin signaling to regulate root system architecture

Auxin plays critical roles in the root growth and development, and ROS have been proposed to act as important signals during auxin–regulated LR initiation and emergence [48–50]. There is significant difference in the root system development between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and wild type (Fig 1), which suggests that up–or down–regulation of *OsMADS25* might alter the auxin signaling. *OsYUCCA4* (*OsYUC4*), responsible for auxin biosynthesis, was found to be the potential target of OsMADS25 through ChIP–seq analysis (S2 Table). Knock down of *OsYUC4* seems to impair plant growth in rice [51]. We wonder whether *OsMADS25* regulates the transcription of *OsYUC4 in vivo*, and then the LUC activity was measured by transient coexpression of *OsYUC4<sub>Pro</sub>::LUC* and *35S::OsMADS25* in rice protoplasts (S14A Fig). LUC activity in protoplasts transformed with *LUC* under the control of the *OsYUC4* promoter was 2.76–fold of that of the control (S14B Fig), which suggests that *OsMADS25* might elevate auxin biosynthesis by





activating *OsYUC4*. We then detected the transcript levels of genes involved in auxin biosynthesis and signaling. As shown in S14C Fig, the expression of *OsYUC4*, *OsARF1* and *OsARF16* was increased significantly in OsMADS25–OE lines, while reduced in OsMADS25–RNAi lines. However, for *OsIAA14*, the mRNA levels were remarkably reduced in OsMADS25–OE lines but enhanced in RNAi lines (S14C Fig), which suggests that the overexpression of *OsMADS25* might promote the auxin signaling. When plants are exposed to environmental stresses, stress-induced accumulation of ROS might alter auxin signaling [52–54]. In combination of induced expression of *OsMADS25* by NaCl and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Fig 6A), it is supposed that auxin signaling promoted by OsMADS25 might a positive regulator of stress tolerance.

## Discussion

# *OsMADS25* confers salinity tolerance by enhancing antioxidation capacity and proline biosynthesis

Numerous studies have revealed the involvement of ROS-scavenging capacity in plant tolerance to salinity stress [10,55]. In accordance with these above findings, OsMADS25–OE plants showed increased tolerance to salinity and oxidative stress, with a corresponding increase in the activities of antioxidant enzymes and a decrease in ROS accumulation (Figs 3 and 6, S7 and §8). These results showed that the functions of OsMADS25 in salinity tolerance might be associated with the regulation of antioxidation ability. GSTs are thought to play an important role during oxidative stress in plant [56]. Up-regulation of *PjGSTU1* from *Prosopis juliflora* in tobacco confers drought tolerance and recombinant PjGSTU1 possesses GST and GPX activities [57]. In another report, mutation of Arabidopsis GSTU17 affected the accumulation of GSH and ABA, and gstu17 exhibited ABA hypersensitivity during seed germination [58]. In our study, OsMADS25 elevated the activities of several ROS-scavenging enzymes under oxidative stress (Figs 3, 6, S7, S8, S11 and S12). As the strong evidence supporting OsMADS25 modulating ROS levels in rice, OsMADS25 acts upstream of OsGST4 and positively regulates its expression in vivo (Fig 4). Moreover, recombinant OsGST4 has the ROS-scavenging capability in vitro (S9D Fig). It is notable that the detached leaves of osgst4 mutant also exhibited much higher sensitivity to oxidative and salinity stresses (Fig 5N and 5O). These results suggest that the elevated activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes significantly contribute to lower ROS accumulation and less oxidative damage in OsMADS25-OE lines, which is associated with the improved tolerance to salinity stress.

The osmoprotective function of proline has been well known under environmental stresses, although the correlation between proline accumulation and abiotic stress tolerance in plants is not always apparent. Osmotic stresses activates proline biosynthesis, which is controlled by the *P5CS* and *OsP5CR* [18]. *Arabidopsis P5CS1* is induced by osmotic and salt stress and is activated by an ABA–dependent pathway and  $H_2O_2$ –derived signals [17,19]. Indeed, proline has been shown to protect and stabilize ROS–scavenging enzymes and activate alternative detoxification pathways. Recent research showed that the increased proline accumulation and salinity tolerance induced by ABA results from the up–regulation of *OsP5CR* in rice [59]. In accordance with these findings, in our study, altered ABA response and enhanced expression of ABA–dependent stress–responsive genes were observed in OsMADS25–OE lines, coupled with increased *OsP5CR* expression and proline accumulation (Figs 6G and 7K). These data suggest that ABA–dependent regulatory pathway is linked to proline biosynthesis controlled by *OsP5CR* in OsMADS25–OE lines.

#### ROS, ABA and proline, interact with each other?

In this study, we showed that *OsMADS25* regulated root cell elongation by maintaining ROS homeostasis, and the perturbed balance of ROS led to an excess of ROS and a corresponding decrease in cell elongation in *OsMADS25*–RNAi plants (Figs 1 and 2). Corresponding to the alteration of ROS levels, altered ABA sensitivity was also observed (Figs 7 and S13), which suggests that ROS alteration is correlated to ABA sensitivity. It has been reported that alterations in ROS levels can affect ABA biosynthesis and signaling, as well as change ABA sensitivity [12,19,60], and ABA can also regulate the expression of ROS–producing and–scavenging genes [61,62]. For instance, recent research reveals a link between ABA signaling and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production via G–proteins that are shown to promote H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production but negatively regulate ABA response [63,64]. These data suggest that there are likely to be different mechanisms

by which ABA signaling and ROS production interact and regulate each other. All of these observations strengthen the link between the changed  $H_2O_2$  levels and altered ABA response in *OsMADS25* transgenic plants (Figs 7 and S13). Previous reports demonstrated that salinity-induced proline accumulation is dependent on ABA, which is also consistent with the proposal that proline is associated with redox regulation and that might serve as an antioxidant [65,66]. In many cases, abitotic stress gives rise to various metabolic changes, known as elevated ROS levels [9]. Along with increased ROS, ABA signaling and ABA-dependent proline accumulation, have been proposed to be crucial components of cross tolerance to various stresses [67].

#### The interplay between auxin and the ABA-mediated regulatory pathway?

A crosstalk between ABA and ROS signaling has been proposed and the role of ABA in adaptive abiotic stress responses via redox metabolism has been elucidated [10,29,30]. ABA is often recruited as the primary signal activating the transcription of stress–responsive genes [68], and ABA–triggered ROS production is involved in this process [8]. *OsMADS25* was induced by oxidative stress (Fig 6A), therefore, there is a reasonably strong case that the induction of *OsMADS25* expression operates via the ABA–mediated regulatory pathway. ABA triggers a signaling cascade that up–regulates a suite of abiotic stress–responsive genes [69,70], which were also shown to be up–regulated in OsMADS25–OE lines under salinity (Fig 7K). Intriguingly, *OsMADS25* expression was slightly inhibited by ABA (S15 Fig), which is consistent with previous research [71]. Overall, the decreased ratio of open stomata in response to ABA, the enhancement of ABA sensitivity and the transcription up–regulation of these genes in OsMADS25–OE lines (Figs 7 and S10B and S10C) provide firm evidence for a positive role of OsMADS25 in a stress–responsive ABA–mediated regulatory pathway. Thus, ABA enhances the transcription and activities of ROS network genes, and defects in this network can also disrupt the expression of stress–responsive ABA–dependent genes [33].

Crosstalk between ABA and auxin has been proposed that ABA-potentiating auxin-mediated growth repression is considered as the result of promoted auxin signaling or increased auxin flow and/or auxin levels by ABA [72]. For instance, the auxin signaling-defective mutants have reduced sensitivity to ABA in the root elongation [73,74]. Alternatively, auxin can also enhance ABA-mediated seed germination inhibition and leaf senescence under various oxidative stresses [75,76]. These studies highlight coenhancement between ABA and auxin signaling or auxin homeostasis during seed germination and seedling development [2,72]. In accordance with these data described above, in our study, overexpression of *OsMADS25* seemed to promote auxin signaling (S14 Fig), and OsMADS25–OE lines also showed increased sensitivity to exogenous ABA (Figs 7 and S13). As a fact, besides genes for antioxidant enzymes and proline biosynthesis, genes for auxin biosynthesis and auxin signaling as well as calcium signaling were potential targets regulated by OsMADS25, indicated as ChIP-seq analysis (S2 Table), which suggests that salinity tolerance conferred by OsMADS25 might be the result of crosstalk of multiple cell signaling pathways.

Based on our results, our overall proposition is that, salinity stress produces ROS as well as induces *OsMADS25* expression. OsMADS25 directly activates the transcription of *OsGST4* and ABA-dependent *OsP5CR* to increase antioxidant responses and proline accumulation, in combination with ABA-dependent abiotic stress–responsive regulatory pathway, to fulfill ROS-scavenging; besides, *OsMADS25* might regulate the root growth via auxin signaling, which enhances ABA signaling in oxidative stress, thereby explaining the positive role of *OsMADS25* in the root growth and salinity tolerance in rice (Fig 9).



**Fig 9. Proposed working model for** *OsMADS25* **in the regulation of growth and salinity tolerance in rice.** Salinity stress produces ROS as well as induces *OsMADS25* expression. OsMADS25 directly activates the transcription of *OsGST4* and ABA–dependent *OsP5CR* to increase antioxidant responses and proline accumulation to fulfill ROS-scavenging, in combination with ABA–dependent abiotic stress–responsive regulatory pathway; besides, *OsMADS25* might regulate the root growth via auxin signaling, which also enhances ABA signaling in oxidative stress, thereby accounting for the positive role of *OsMADS25* in the growth and salinity tolerance in rice.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007662.g009

## Materials and methods

#### Plant materials and stress treatments

Rice (Oryza sativa L. ssp. japonica cv. Nipponbare) was used as wild type for physiological experiments and genetic transformation. The T-DNA insertion mutant line PFG\_3A-11112.L (osgst4) in an Oryza sativa ssp japonica cv Dongjin (DJ) background was obtained from RiceGE (the Rice Functional Genomics Express Database) in Korea. Plants were grown in a greenhouse under a 14-h photoperiod (300  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) at 30°C. For the phenotype analysis during post-germination growth, germinated seeds were grown on standard 1/2 MS medium or modified 1/2 MS medium (without nitrate, with 5 mM glutamine as the sole N nutrition). For salinity stress testing, seedlings were grown in modified 1/2 MS medium containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or 5 µM ABA. For oxidative stress of detached leaved,  $100 \text{ mM H}_2\text{O}_2$  was used. For salinity stress in soil, germinated seeds were grown in pots (about 1 dm<sup>3</sup>) containing filled mixture of soil and vermiculite (1:1). After 7 days of growth, plants were irrigated every 3 days with 200 mL of 200 mM NaCl solution. The control plants were irrigated with 200 mL water every 3 days. For the seed germination or germinative-growth assays under stress, the seeds were placed on filter paper moistened with NaCl (150 mM),  $H_2O_2$  (10 mM) or ABA (5  $\mu$ M) solutions, and seed germination and germinative-growth in water as control. The germination rates were recorded daily.

#### Vectors construction and plant genetic transformation

For overexpression vector, the ORF of *OsMADS25* was cloned into pCAMBIA1301, driven under the *35S* promoter. We used the pENTR/D–TOPO vector system to construct RNAi vector [77]. Genetic transformation of rice was performed using *Agrobacterium*–mediated methods [78]. Primers for DNA vectors construction and transformants screening are listed in <u>S1</u> Table.

#### Stomatal observation

Full expanded young leaves of 5 day-old rice seedlings with or without  $50\mu$ M ABA treatment in MES–KCl buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM MES-KOH, pH = 6.15) for 2 h were used for stomatal observation. Stomatal closure was monitored by Hitachi SU3500 scanning electron microscope with a  $-40^{\circ}$ C cool stage.

### **ROS** assays

We used nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) staining to detect  $O_2^-$  and 3, 3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining for  $H_2O_2$ , as described previously [7].  $H_2O_2$  quantification was performed according to the method as described previously [79]. The hydrogen peroxide detection kit was used. Briefly, 10 mg plant tissue samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and homogenized, and 200 µl lysate was added and centrifuged at 12,000 g at 4°C for 3–5 minutes. The supernatant was taken for subsequent measurement. After taking 50 µl supernatant to a 96-well plate, 100 µl of hydrogen peroxide detection reagent was added, and the reactive solution was mixed gently by vortexing and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. Then A560 wavelength was measured, and the hydrogen peroxide concentration was calculated from the standard curve.

# Measurement of chlorophyll, proline, soluble sugar, and malondialdehyde (MDA) content

Leaves from plants exposed to salinity stress for 7 days were used to measure chlorophyll, proline, soluble sugars and MDA levels, and plants grown in normal conditions were used as control. Total chlorophyll content was determined by the protocol as described previously [80]. Briefly, 100 mg of fresh leaves were homogenised in liquid nitrogen, and 5 ml of 80% acetone was added. After being incubated in dark for 1 h, the reaction mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 3 min. The supernatant was used to measure the absorbance spectrophotometrically at 645 and 663 nm against 80% acetone as blank. The chlorophyll content was determined as follows: Total chlorophyll ( $\mu$ g/ml) = 20.2 (A<sub>645</sub>) + 8.02 (A<sub>663</sub>).

Free proline content was measured using the reported method [30]. Tissue samples of 1 g were pulverized in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in a 3% aqueous solution of sulfosalicylic acid. The samples were then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 minutes at 37°C and 2 ml of the supernatant was taken. An equal amount of acidic ninhydrin and glacial acetic acid were added, and the reaction mixture was kept in boiling water for 1 h. The reaction was stopped on ice, and 4 ml of toluene was added to the reaction mixture to collect the material separated from the aqueous phase. The absorbance of toluene was measured at 520 nm and the proline standard curve was used to calculate the proline content.

Soluble sugar content was determined by the anthrone method [81]. Briefly, after fresh leaf samples were homogenized with deionized water, the mixture was filtered and treated with 5% phenol and 98% sulfuric acid, and the absorbance at 485 nm was determined with a spectrophotometer.

MDA content was determined as previously described [81]. Approximately 0.1 g of leaf samples were ground in 10 ml of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 20 min. The reaction mixture containing 2 ml of extract and 2 ml of TBA was heated at 95°C for 30 min, quickly cooled on ice, and then centrifuged again at 10,000 g for 20 min. The absorbances at 450, 532, and 600 nm were determined using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer.

### Antioxidant enzyme activity assays

Fresh leaf samples were used for enzyme extraction. All operations were carried out at 4°C. CAT activity was measured according to the method as described previously [82]. Decrease in absorbance of  $H_2O_2$  was measured in 1 ml of reaction mixture containing 10 mM  $H_2O_2$  and 20 µl of enzyme extract in 50 mM of  $K_3PO_4$  buffer (pH = 7). Specific enzyme activity was expressed as l mole of  $H_2O_2$  decomposed min<sup>-1</sup> mg protein<sup>-1</sup>. The activities of APX and glutathione reductase (GR) were determined by the reported method [83]. The reaction mixture of 1 ml comprised of 0.5 mM ascorbate, 0.1 mM  $H_2O_2$ , 0.1 mM EDTA,  $K_3PO_4$  buffer (pH = 7) and 10 µl of enzyme extract. Decrease in absorbance was observed spectrophotometrically at 290 nm at 25°C. One unit of enzyme activity was expressed as the amount of enzyme required to oxidise 1 µM of ascorbate minute<sup>-1</sup> g tissue<sup>-1</sup>. The specific activity of GR is determined by analysing the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm. One ml reaction mixture used for the assay contained 50 mM  $K_3PO_4$  buffer (pH = 7.8), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM oxidized glutathione (GSSG) and 25 µl enzyme extract. The reaction was started by adding 0.1 mM NADPH. Enzyme activity was expressed as µmol of NADPH oxidised min<sup>-1</sup> mg protein<sup>-1</sup>.

GST activity was determined according to the protocol as described previously [84]. The reaction mixture contained 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH = 6.5), 1.5 mM GSH, 1 mM 1– chloro–2, 4–dinitrobenzene (CDNB), and enzyme extract in a final volume of 0.7 ml. The enzyme reaction was initiated by the addition of CDNB and the increase in absorbance was

measured at 340 nm for 1 min. The activity was calculated using the extinction co-efficient of 9.6 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. GPX activity was investigated by measuring the increase in absorbance at 436 nm, by the method as described previously [85]. The reaction mixture was prepared in 50 mM K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> buffer (pH = 7) with 9 mM guaiacol, 10 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 33  $\mu$ l of enzyme extract. The enzymatic activity of GPX is expressed as the amount of enzyme required to produce 1  $\mu$ mol guaiacol dehydrogenation product min<sup>-1</sup> mg protein<sup>-1</sup>.

### Propidium iodide (PI) staining procedures

For confocal microscopy analysis, root tips of 5–day–old seedlings were stained with PI at a concentration of 10 mg/ml for 5 minutes, and then washed three times with double–distilled water. After staining and mounting, all root tips were viewed using a Leica confocal laser microscope.

# RNA extraction, semi-quantitative and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) analysis

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent. Reverse transcription and qPCR analysis were performed as described previously [86]. The specific primers used for expression analysis are listed in <u>S1 Table</u>.

#### Transcriptional activation assay in yeast

The Matchmaker Gold Yeast One–Hybrid Library Screening System (Clontech) was used to examine protein binding. A fragment from *OsGST4* promoter region containing the CArG–box motif was cloned into the pAbAi vector to act as the reporter. The reporter plasmid was introduced into the yeast strain Y1HGold, and the background *AbA*<sup>r</sup> expression of the reporter strain was tested. The ORF of *OsMADS25* was fused in–frame with the *GAL4* activation domain of the one–hybrid vector pGADT7, forming pGAD–OsMADS25 as the effector. The reporter strain was transformed with pGADT7 or pGAD–OsMADS25. Primers for DNA vector construction are listed in S1 Table.

#### Transient transactivation in N. benthamiana and dual-luciferase assay

We used pGreenII cloning vectors to construct transactivation vectors [87]. The ORF of *OsMADS25* was cloned into pGreenII 62–SK to act as the effector. For reporter construction, pGreenII 0800–LUC or pGreenII 0800–GUS was used. pGreenII 0800–GUS was generated from pGreenII 0800–LUC by substituting *LUC* with *GUS* in the restriction enzyme site of *Xba*I.

The promoter sequence of *OsGST4* was cloned into pGreenII 0800–GUS, acting as the reporter, and the empty vector pGreenII 0800–GUS acts as the control. The vectors were individually transformed into the *A. tumefaciens* strain EHA105. Transient transactivation in the leaves of *N. benthamiana* was performed according to the reported method [88], with minor modification. Briefly, the detached leaves of 4–week–old *N. benthamiana* plants were immersed into the mixture of *Agrobacterium* culture containing the effector or reporter, respectively. Then the leaves together with *Agrobacterium* culture. Co–cultivation of *Agrobacterium*–infected leaves in standard 1/2 MS medium containing acetosyringone for 2 d. GUS histochemical staining was detected as described previously [89]. Primers used for DNA vectors construction are listed in S1 Table.

The promoter sequence of *OsP5CR* or *OsYUC4* was cloned into pGreenII 0800–LUC, respectively, acting as reporters. Serial constructs harboring *LUC* under the control of different regions in *OsP5CR* promoter (F1: -269~-710; F2: -787~-1117; F3–269~-1117) in the pGreenII 0800–LUC vector were generated as reporters. For *OsYUC4*, the fragment form -1486~-2139bp including CArG-box motif in *OsYUC4* promoter was used for construction of reporter. The *Renilla luciferase* (*REN*) gene driven by 35S promoter was used as an internal control. The *OsMADS25* in pGreenII 62–SK construct described above was used as the effector. Rice shoot protoplasts were prepared and transformed using a polyethylene glycolcalcium–mediated method [90]. Firefly LUC and REN activities were surveyed with a Dual–Luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega), and the LUC activity, normalized to REN activity, was determined. All primers used for these constructs are listed in S1 Table.

#### Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA)

To produce the recombinant protein, the ORF of *OsMADS25* was fused in–frame with His in pET32a and expressed in *E. coli* DE3 (BL21) cells, and the target recombinant OsMADS25 was purified. Oligonucleotide probes containing CArG–box motifs were synthesized and labeled with using a Biotin 3' End DNA Labeling Kit (Thermo Scientific). For EMSA experiment assays, 30 ng of purified His-OsMADS25 recombinant protein, 400 fmol of biotin-labeled annealed oligonucleotides, 2  $\mu$ l of 10× binding buffer (100 mM Tris, 500 mM KCl, and 10 mM DTT, pH 7.5), 1 $\mu$ l of 50% (v/v) glycerol, 1 $\mu$ l of 100 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 $\mu$ l of 1  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l poly (dI-dC), 1  $\mu$ l of 1% (v/v) Nonidet P–40, and double–distilled water to a final volume of 20  $\mu$ l. For competition assays, 20 pmol (50×) or 40 pmol (100×) of unlabeled probe was added to the reactions. The mixture was incubated at 25°C for 20 min, electrophoresed on 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels, and then transferred to N+ nylon membranes (Millipore). Biotin-labeled DNA was detected using the LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Thermo Scientific, 20148).

### **Accession numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in RICEGE or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession number: OsMADS25 (Os04g0304400); OsRbohA (Os01g53294); OsRbohB (Os01g25820); OsRbohC (Os05g45210); OsRbohD (Os05g38980); OsRbohE (Os01g61880); OsRbohF (Os08g35210); OsRbohG (Os09g26660); OsRbohH (Os12g35610); OsRbohI (Os11g33120); OsCu/Zn–SOD (Os03g0351500); OsMn–SOD (Os05g0323900); OsCATB (Os06g0727200); OsAPX1 (Os03g0285700); OsFe–SOD (Os06g0143000); OsGR (LOC4331112); OsGTS4 (Os01g0353400); OsP5CS1 (Os05g0455500); OsP5CR (Os01g0948400); OsPOX1 (Os01g0263300); OsLEA3 (Os05g0542500); OsABI5 (Os01g0859300); OsTRAB1 (LOC4345807); OsYUC4 (Os01g0224700).

## Supporting information

S1 Fig. Overexpression of *OsMADS25* enhances later root density as well as reduces ROS levels in rice leaves and bracts. A. Expression profile of *OsMADS25* during the growth stage (n = 3). B. Lateral root density of 5–day–old wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic seedlings. C and D. Lateral root primordium formation in 5–day–old primary roots of wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic lines. Scale bar, 1 mm. E. Shoot length of 5–day–old wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic seedlings. F–H. Quantification of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in the shoots of 5–day–old seedlings grown in standard 1/2 MS medium, or leaves and bracts of 2-month-old plants grown in soil. LB, leaf blade; Ro, root; LS, leaf sheath; In, inflorescence. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 15). The statistical significance of the

measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (*t*-test,  ${}^{*}P < 0.05$ ,  ${}^{**}P < 0.01$  or  ${}^{***}P < 0.001$ ). (TIF)

S2 Fig. ROS accumulation in lateral root primordia of seedlings grown on standard 1/2 MS medium. Five-day-old primary roots stained by DAB or NBT to indicate  $O_2^-$  and  $H_2O_2$  accumulation in lateral root primordium, respectively. Scale bars, 1 mm. Red arrows indicate lateral root primordia. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*-RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. (TIF)

**S3 Fig.** *OsMADS25* does not affect the root growth in modified 1/2 MS medium. A. Fiveday-old seedling in modified 1/2 MS medium (without nitrate, with 5 mM glutamine as the N nutrition). Scale bars, 2 cm. **B–D**. Measurement of primary root length, lateral root density and shoot length in image A. **E–F.** Lateral root primordium formation in 5–day–old primary roots. Scale bars, 1 mm. **G–I.** Propidium iodide (PI)–stained root epidermal cells from 5–day– old seedlings and measurement of cell length and width. Red arrows indicate lateral root primordia. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 15). (TIF)

S4 Fig. ROS accumulation the shoots, roots and lateral root primordia in modified 1/2 MS medium. A–B. Quantification of  $H_2O_2$  content in 5–day–old shoots and roots in modified 1/2 MS medium (without nitrate, with 5 mM glutamine as the N nutrition), respectively. C–D. Primary roots stained by DAB or NBT to indicate  $O_2^-$  and  $H_2O_2$  accumulation in lateral root primordia in modified 1/2 MS medium, respectively. Scale bars, 1 mm. Red arrows indicate lateral root primordia. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. Data are means ± SE (n = 15). (TIF)

S5 Fig. Transcript levels of *OsRbohs* in roots in response to 10 mM  $H_2O_2$  or 150 mM NaCl by qPCR analysis. Seedlings were grown in modified 1/2 MS medium (without nitrate, with 5 mM glutamine as the N nutrition) for 24 hours. The data represent the means  $\pm$  SE of three biological replicates. Three replica experiments were performed. (TIF)

S6 Fig. Transcript levels of ROS-scavengers in roots in response to 10 mM  $H_2O_2$  or 150 mM NaCl by qPCR analysis. Seedlings were grown in modified 1/2 MS medium (without nitrate, with 5 mM glutamine as the N nutrition) for 24 hours. The data represent the means  $\pm$  SE of three biological replicates. Three replica experiments were performed. (TIF)

S7 Fig. *OsMADS25* reduces the sensitivity to  $H_2O_2$  during seed germination as well as post-germination growth in standard 1/2 MS medium. A and B. Seven-day-old seedlings grown in standard 1/2 MS medium without or with 10 mM  $H_2O_2$ . Scale bars, 2 cm. C-E. Measurement of primary root length, lateral root number and shoot length shown in images A and B, respectively. F. Quantification of  $H_2O_2$  content in the roots in images A and B. G-J. Activities of antioxidant enzymes of CAT, APX, GPX and GR in roots shown in images A and B. K and L. Comparison of seed germination in the presence of 10 mM  $H_2O_2$ . Scale bars, 1 cm. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. Data are means ± SE (n = 15–30). The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (*t*-test,  ${}^*P < 0.05$ ,  ${}^{**}P < 0.01$  or  ${}^{***}P < 0.001$ ). (TIF)

**S8 Fig. The ROS-scavenging capability of** *OsMADS25 in vivo*. Transient expression of *35S*:: *OsMADS25* in the leaves of four-week-old *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants via *Agrobacterium*-mediated infiltration, and then treated by 150 mM NaCl for 3 days. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation was indicted by DAB staining.

(TIF)

#### S9 Fig. Expression pattern of OsGST4 and ROS-scavenging activity of recombinant

**OsGST4. A.** Sequencing result of flanking sequence and identification of insertion site in the genomic region of *osgst4*. **B.** Expression profile of *OsGST4* during the growth stage. **C.** Comparison of grains between DJ and *osgst4*, indicating blight grain rate enhanced in *osgst4*. Scale bar, 0.5 cm. D. ROS–scavenging capability of recombinant OsGST4 *in vitro*. (i) Growth response to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> of *Escherichia coli*. (ii) Assay of ROS–scavenging capability of recombinant OsGST4 protein. WST, a water-soluble tetrazolium salt reagent, which can be efficiently reduced by superoxide to a stable water-soluble formazan dye with high molar absorptivity. X axis refers to the concentration of recombinant OsGST4. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significant difference between pET-32a-OsGST4 and pET-32a (*t*-test,  ${}^*P < 0.05$ ,  ${}^{**}P < 0.01$  or  ${}^{***}P < 0.001$ ).

(TIF)

**S10 Fig.** *OsMADS25* overexpression enhances the salt tolerance. A. Seed germination of wild type and *OsMADS25* transgenic lines in the presence of 150 mM NaCl. Scale bars, 1 cm. **B.** Stomatal aperture of leaves of 5-day-old seedlings observed with a scanning electron microscope. Bars, 20 µm. **C.** Frequency of open stomata. WT, wild type. RNAi1, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic line. OE1, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic line. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 80–100). The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (*t*-test, \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01 or \*\*\* *P* < 0.001).



S11 Fig. Germinative growth of transgenic seedlings in the modified 1/2 MS medium supplemented with 150 mM NaCl. A. Three–day–old seedlings grown in modified 1/2 MS medium (without nitrate, with Gln as N nutrition) without or with 150 mM NaCl. Scale bars, 2 cm. B–E. Measurement of primary root length, lateral root number, shoot length and dry weight per plant shown in image A, respectively. F–I. Activities of antioxidant enzymes of CAT, APX, GPX and GR in shoots shown in image A. J and K. Quantification of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content of seedlings in image A. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 15). The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (*t*-test, \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01 or \*\*\**P* < 0.001). (TIF)

S12 Fig. Germinative growth of transgenic seedlings in standard 1/2 MS medium supplemented with 150 mM NaCl. A. Three-day-old seedlings grown in standard 1/2 MS medium without or with 150 mM NaCl. Scale bars, 2 cm. B and C. Measurement of the length of primary root and shoot shown in image A, respectively. **D**–**G**. Activities of antioxidant enzymes of CAT, APX, GPX and GR in shoots shown in image A. The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (*t*–test,  ${}^{*}P < 0.05$ ,  ${}^{**}P < 0.01$  or  ${}^{***}P < 0.001$ ). **H** and **I**. Transcript levels of ROS-producers and ROS-scavengers in seedlings exposed to NaCl in image A. The data represent the means ± SE of three biological replicates, and three replica experiments were performed. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. Data are means ± SE (n = 15). (TIF)

S13 Fig. Comparison of the sensitivity to ABA between wild type and transgenic lines during germinative–growth in standard 1/2 MS medium. A. Three–day–old seedlings grown in standard 1/2 MS medium without or with 5  $\mu$ M ABA. Scale bars, 2 cm. B and C. Measurement of the length of primary root and shoo shown in image A, respectively. D and E. Root system stained with DAB or NBT to indicate the ROS levels under normal conditions or exposed to 5  $\mu$ M ABA for 14 days. F and G. The leaves stained with DAB or NBT indicate the ROS levels exposed to 5  $\mu$ M ABA for 14 days. WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. Data are means ± SE (n = 15). The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (*t*-test, \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01 or \*\*\**P* < 0.001). (TIF)

S14 Fig. *OsYUC4* transcription is activated by OsMADS25 in *vivo*. A. Schematic diagrams of *OsYUC4* promoter region showing the CArG–box motif and the effector and reporter used for transient transactivation assay in rice protoplasts. *REN*, *Renilla luciferase*; *LUC*, firefly luciferase. **B.** Transactivation activity reflected by LUC activity of LUC/REN ratio. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 6). **C.** The transcript levels of the genes responsible for auxin biosynthesis and signaling. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 3). WT, wild type. RNAi1 and RNAi2, *OsMADS25*–RNAi transgenic lines. OE1 and OE2, *OsMADS25* overexpression transgenic lines. The statistical significance of the measurements using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was determined using Student's *t*-test. Asterisks indicate the significant difference between *OsMADS25* transgenic lines and WT plants (*t*–test, <sup>\*</sup>*P* < 0.05, <sup>\*\*</sup>*P* < 0.01 or <sup>\*\*\*</sup>*P* < 0.001). (TIF)

S15 Fig. Time course of *OsMADS25* transcription induced by 20  $\mu$ M ABA via qPCR analysis. The data represent the means ± SE (n = 3). Three replica experiments were performed. (TIF)

**S1** Table. Primer and probe sequences used in this study. (DOC)

S2 Table. Potential targets regulated OsMADS25 might be involved in oxidative stress and growth and development. (DOCX)

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Junli Huang.

Data curation: Xingxing Li.

Funding acquisition: Junli Huang.

Investigation: Ning Xu, Yanli Chu, Hongli Chen.

Methodology: Qi Wu, Liang Jin.

Supervision: Guixue Wang, Junli Huang.

Writing – original draft: Ning Xu.

Writing - review & editing: Junli Huang.

#### References

- Ramirez-Parra E, Perianez-Rodriguez J, Navarro-Neila S, Gude I, Moreno-Risueno MA, del Pozo JC (2017) The transcription factor OBP4 controls root growth and promotes callus formation. New Phytol 213: 1787–1801. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14315 PMID: 27859363
- Tsukagoshi H, Busch W, Benfey PN (2010) Transcriptional regulation of ROS controls transition from proliferation to differentiation in the root. Cell 143: 606–616. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.020</u> PMID: 21074051
- Leng Y, Yang Y, Ren D, Huang L, Dai L, Wang Y, et al. (2017) A rice PECTATE LYASE-LIKE gene is required for plant growth and leaf senescence. Plant Physiol 174: 1151–1166. https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.16.01625 PMID: 28455404
- You J, Zong W, Hu H, Li X, Xiao J, Xiong L (2014) A STRESS-RESPONSIVE NAC1-regulated protein phosphatase pene rice protein phosphatase18 modulates drought and oxidative stress tolerance through abscisic acid-independent reactive oxygen species scavenging in rice. Plant Physiol 166: 2100–2114. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.251116 PMID: 25318938
- Xie H, Wan Z, Li S, Zhang Y (2014) Spatiotemporal production of reactive oxygen species by NADPH oxidase is critical for tapetal programmed cell death and pollen development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 26: 2007–2023. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.125427 PMID: 24808050
- Tognetti VB, Bielach A, Hrtyan M (2017) Redox regulation at the site of primary growth: auxin, cytokinin and ROS crosstalk. Plant Cell Environ 40: 2586–2605. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13021</u> PMID: 28708264
- Zhang C, Bousquet A, Harris JM (2014) Abscisic acid and LATERAL ROOT ORGAN DEFECTIVE/ NUMEROUS INFECTIONS AND POLYPHENOLICS modulate root elongation via reactive oxygen species in Medicago truncatula. Plant Physiol 166: 644–U295. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.248542</u> PMID: 25192698
- 8. Qi J, Wang J, Gong Z, Zhou J-M (2017) Apoplastic ROS signaling in plant immunity. Curr Opin Plant Biol 38: 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.04.022 PMID: 28511115
- Foyer CH, Noctor G (2005) Redox homeostasis and antioxidant signaling: A metabolic interface between stress perception and physiological responses. Plant Cell 17: 1866–1875. <u>https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.033589</u> PMID: 15987996
- Dong W, Wang M, Xu F, Quan T, Peng K, Xiao L, et al. (2013) Wheat oxophytodienoate reductase gene TaOPR1 confers salinity tolerance via enhancement of abscisic acid signaling and reactive oxygen species scavenging. Plant Physiol 161: 1217–1228. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.211854</u> PMID: 23321418
- Huda KMK, Banu MSA, Garg B, Tula S, Tuteja R, Tuteja N (2013) OsACA6, a P-type IIB Ca(2+)ATPase promotes salinity and drought stress tolerance in tobacco by ROS scavenging and enhancing the expression of stress-responsive genes. Plant J 76: 997–1015. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12352</u> PMID: 24128296
- Rossel JB, Walter PB, Hendrickson L, Chow WS, Poole A, Mullineaux PM, et al. (2006) A mutation affecting ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE 2 gene expression reveals a link between responses to high light and drought tolerance. Plant Cell Environ 29: 269–281. PMID: 17080642
- Lu Z, Liu D, Liu S (2007) Two rice cytosolic ascorbate peroxidases differentially improve salt tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Rep 26: 1909–1917. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-007-0395-7 PMID: <u>17571267</u>
- Sharma R, Sahoo A, Devendran R, Jain M (2014) Over-expression of a rice Tau class glutathione Stransferase gene improves tolerance to salinity and oxidative stresses in Arabidopsis. Plos One 9.
- Kishor PBK, Sreenivasulu N (2014) Is proline accumulation per se correlated with stress tolerance or is proline homeostasis a more critical issue? Plant Cell Environ 37: 300–311. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce. 12157 PMID: 23790054

- Haudecoeur E, Planamentea S, Cirou A, Tannieres M, Shelp BJ, Morera S, et al. (2009) Proline antagonizes GABA-induced quenching of quorum-sensing in Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106: 14587–14592. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0808005106 PMID: 19706545
- Szabados L, Savoure A (2010) Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends Plant Sci 15: 89–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2009.11.009 PMID: 20036181
- Hu CAA, Delauney AJ, Verma DPS (1992) A bifunctional enzyme (delta 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase) catalyzes the first two steps in proline biosynthesis in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci 89: 9354– 9358. PMID: 1384052
- Verslues PE, Kim Y, Zhu J (2007) Altered ABA, proline and hydrogen peroxide in an Arabidopsis glutamate: glyoxylate aminotransferase mutant. Plant Mol Biol 64: 205–217. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11103-007-9145-z PMID: 17318317
- Matysik J, Alia, Bhalu B, Mohanty P (2002) Molecular mechanisms of quenching of reactive oxygen species by proline under stress in plants. Curr Sci 82: 525–532.
- Wang F, Zeng B, Sun Z, Zhu C (2009) Relationship between proline and Hg<sup>2+</sup>-induced oxidative stress in a tolerant rice mutant. Arch Environ Con Tox 56: 723–731.
- Hong ZL, Lakkineni K, Zhang ZM, Verma DPS (2000) Removal of feedback inhibition of Delta(1)-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase results in increased proline accumulation and protection of plants from osmotic stress. Plant Physiol 122: 1129–1136. PMID: 10759508
- Siripornadulsil S, Traina S, Verma DPS, Sayre RT (2002) Molecular mechanisms of proline-mediated tolerance to toxic heavy metals in transgenic microalgae. Plant Cell 14: 2837–2847. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1105/tpc.004853</u> PMID: 12417705
- 24. Szekely G, Abraham E, Cseplo A, Rigo G, Zsigmond L, Csiszar J, et al. (2008) Duplicated P5CS genes of Arabidopsis play distinct roles in stress regulation and developmental control of proline biosynthesis. Plant J 53: 11–28. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03318.x PMID: 17971042
- 25. Nee G, Kramer K, Nakabayashi K, Yuan B, Xiang Y, Miatton E, et al. (2017) DELAY OF GERMINA-TION1 requires PP2C phosphatases of the ABA signalling pathway to control seed dormancy. Nat Commun 8.
- Xie Y, Mao Y, Duan X, Zhou H, Lai D, Zhang Y, et al. (2016) Arabidopsis HY1-modulated stomatal movement: an integrative hub is functionally associated with ABI4 in dehydration-induced ABA responsiveness. Plant Physiol 170: 1699–1713. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01550 PMID: 26704641
- Watkins JM, Chapman JM, Muday GK (2017) Abscisic acid-induced reactive oxygen species are modulated by flavonols to control stomata aperture. Plant Physiol 175: 1807–1825. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.01010 PMID: 29051198</u>
- Jeon BW, Kim J (2018) Role of LBD14 during ABA-mediated control of root system architecture in Arabidopsis. Plant Signal Behav 13: 1–3.
- Shi Y, Wang Z, Meng P, Tian S, Zhang X, Yang S (2013) The glutamate carboxypeptidase AMP1 mediates abscisic acid and abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. New Phytol 199: 135–150. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12275 PMID: 23621575</u>
- He J, Duan Y, Hua D, Fan G, Wang L, Liu Y, et al. (2012) DEXH Box RNA Helicase-mediated mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production in Arabidopsis mediates crosstalk between abscisic acid and auxin signaling. Plant Cell 24: 1815–1833. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.098707 PMID: 22652060
- Suzuki N, Miller G, Salazar C, Mondal HA, Shulaev E, Cortes DF, et al. (2013) Temporal-spatial interaction between reactive oxygen species and abscisic acid regulates rapid systemic acclimation in plants. Plant Cell 25: 3553–3569. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.113.114595 PMID: 24038652
- 32. Kwak JM, Mori IC, Pei ZM, Leonhardt N, Torres MA, Dangl JL, et al. (2003) NADPH oxidase AtrobhD and AtrobhF genes function in ROS-dependent ABA signaling in Arabidopsis. Embo J 22: 2623–2633. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg277 PMID: 12773379
- Miao Y, Lv D, Wang P, Wang X-C, Chen J, Miao C, et al. (2006) An Arabidopsis glutathione peroxidase functions as both a redox transducer and a scavenger in abscisic acid and drought stress responses. Plant Cell 18: 2749–2766. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.106.044230 PMID: 16998070
- Zhang Y, Zhu H, Zhang Q, Li M, Yan M, Wang R, et al. (2009) Phospholipase D alpha 1 and phosphatidic acid regulate NADPH oxidase activity and production of reactive oxygen species in ABA-mediated stomatal closure in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 21: 2357–2377. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.062992 PMID: 19690149
- **35.** Zhang W, Qin C, Zhao J, Wang X (2004) Phospholipase D alpha 1-derived phosphatidic acid interacts with ABI1 phosphatase 2C and regulates abscisic acid signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci 101: 9508–9513. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0402112101 PMID: 15197253

- Yu L, Miao Z, Qi G, Wu J, Cai X, Mao J, et al. (2014) MADS-box transcription factor AGL21 regulates lateral root development and responds to multiple external and physiological signals. Mol Plant 7: 1653–1669. https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssu088 PMID: 25122697
- Thompson BE, Bartling L, Whipple C, Hall DH, Sakai H, Schmidt R, et al. (2009) Bearded-ear encodes a MADS box transcription factor critical for maize floral development. Plant Cell 21: 2578–2590. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.067751</u> PMID: 19749152
- Seo E, Lee H, Jeon J, Park H, Kim J, Noh Y-S, et al. (2009) Crosstalk between cold response and flowering in Arabidopsis is mediated through the flowering-time gene SOC1 and its upstream negative regulator FLC. Plant Cell 21: 3185–3197. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.063883 PMID: 19825833
- Yu L, Wu J, Zhang Z, Miao Z, Zhao P, Wang Z, et al. (2017) Arabidopsis MADS-box transcription factor AGL21 acts as environmental surveillance of seed germination by regulating ABI5 expression. Mol Plant 10: 834–845. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.04.004 PMID: 28438576
- 40. Khong GN, Pati PK, Richaud F, Parizot B, Bidzinski P, Chung Duc M, et al. (2015) OsMADS26 negatively regulates resistance to pathogens and drought tolerance in rice. Plant Physiol 169: 2935–2949. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01192 PMID: 26424158
- Chen C, Begcy K, Liu K, Folsom JJ, Wang Z, Zhang C, et al. (2016) Heat stress yields a unique MADS box transcription factor in determining seed size and thermal sensitivity. Plant Physiol 171: 606–622. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01992 PMID: 26936896
- 42. Yu C, Liu Y, Zhang A, Su S, Yan A, Huang L, et al. (2015) MADS-box transcription factor OsMADS25 regulates root development through affection of nitrate accumulation in rice. Plos One 10.
- Laskowski MJ, Williams ME, Nusbaum HC, Sussex IM (1995) Formation of lateral root-meristems is a 2-stage process. Development 121: 3303–3310. PMID: 7588064
- Wang M, Schoettner M, Xu S, Paetz C, Wilde J, Baldwin IT, et al. (2017) Catechol, a major component of smoke, influences primary root growth and root hair elongation through reactive oxygen speciesmediated redox signaling. New Phytol 213: 1755–1770. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14317</u> PMID: 27878986
- 45. de Folter S, Angenent GC (2006) trans meets cis in MADS science. Trends Plant Sci 11: 224–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2006.03.008 PMID: 16616581
- Miller G, Suzuki N, Ciftci-Yilmaz S, Mittler R (2010) Reactive oxygen species homeostasis and signalling during drought and salinity stresses. Plant Cell Environ 33: 453–467. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.</u> 1365-3040.2009.02041.x PMID: 19712065
- Ben Rejeb K, Abdelly C, Savoure A (2014) How reactive oxygen species and proline face stress together. Plant Physiol Bioch 80: 278–284.
- Correa-Aragunde N, Foresi N, Delledonne M, Lamattina L (2013) Auxin induces redox regulation of ascorbate peroxidase 1 activity by S-nitrosylation/denitrosylation balance resulting in changes of root growth pattern in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 64: 3339–3349. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert172</u> PMID: 23918967
- 49. Ma F, Wang L, Li J, Samma MK, Xie Y, Wang R, et al. (2014) Interaction between HY1 and H2O2 in auxin-induced lateral root formation in Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol 85: 49–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-013-0168-3 PMID: 24366686</u>
- Orman-Ligeza B, Parizot B, de Rycke R, Fernandez A, Himschoot E, Van Breusegem F, et al. (2016) RBOH-mediated ROS production facilitates lateral root emergence in Arabidopsis. Development 143: 3328–3339. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.136465 PMID: 27402709
- Yamamoto Y, Kamiya N, Morinaka Y, Matsuoka M, Sazuka T (2007) Auxin biosynthesis by the YUCCA genes in rice. Plant Physiol 143: 1362–1371. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.091561 PMID: 17220367
- Xia X, Zhou Y, Shi K, Zhou J, Foyer CH, Yu J (2015) Interplay between reactive oxygen species and hormones in the control of plant development and stress tolerance. J Exp Bot 66: 2839–2856. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv089 PMID: 25788732</u>
- Peer WA, Cheng Y, Murphy AS (2013) Evidence of oxidative attenuation of auxin signalling. J Exp Bot 64: 2629–2639. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert152 PMID: 23709674
- Blomster T, Salojarvi J, Sipari N, Brosche M, Ahlfors R, Keinanen M, et al. (2011) Apoplastic reactive oxygen species transiently decrease auxin signaling and cause stress-induced morphogenic response in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 157: 1866–1883. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.181883</u> PMID: 22007024
- 55. Liu Y, Ji X, Nie X, Qu M, Zheng L, Tan Z, et al. (2015) Arabidopsis AtbHLH112 regulates the expression of genes involved in abiotic stress tolerance by binding to their E-box and GCG-box motifs. New Phytol 207: 692–709. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13387 PMID: 25827016
- 56. Dixon DP, Lapthorn A, Edwards R (2002) Plant glutathione transferases. Genome Biology 3.

- George S, Venkataraman G, Parida A (2010) A chloroplast-localized and auxin-induced glutathione Stransferase from phreatophyte Prosopis juliflora confer drought tolerance on tobacco. J Plant Physiol 167: 311–318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2009.09.004 PMID: 19800709
- Chen J, Jiang H, Hsieh E, Chen H, Chien C, Hsieh H, et al. (2012) Drought and salt stress tolerance of an Arabidopsis glutathione S-transferase U17 knockout mutant are attributed to the combined effect of glutathione and abscisic acid. Plant Physiol 158: 340–351. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.181875</u> PMID: 22095046
- 59. Sripinyowanich S, Klomsakul P, Boonburapong B, Bangyeekhun T, Asami T, Gu H, et al. (2013) Exogenous ABA induces salt tolerance in indica rice (Oryza sativa L.): The role of OsP5CS1 and OsP5CR gene expression during salt stress. Environ Exp Bot 86: 94–105.
- Pastori GM, Kiddle G, Antoniw J, Bernard S, Veljovic-Jovanovic S, Verrier PJ, et al. (2003) Leaf vitamin C contents modulate plant defense transcripts and regulate genes that control development through hormone signaling. Plant Cell 15: 939–951. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.010538 PMID: 12671089
- Milla MAR, Maurer A, Huete AR, Gustafson JP (2003) Glutathione peroxidase genes in Arabidopsis are ubiquitous and regulated by abiotic stresses through diverse signaling pathways. Plant J 36: 602–615. PMID: 14617062
- 62. Jiang M, Zhang J (2002) Water stress-induced abscisic acid accumulation triggers the increased generation of reactive oxygen species and up-regulates the activities of antioxidant enzymes in maize leaves. J Exp Bot 53: 2401–2410. PMID: 12432032
- Zheng ZL, Nafisi M, Tam A, Li H, Crowell DN, Chary SN, et al. (2002) Plasma membrane-associated ROP10 small GTPase is a specific negative regulator of abscisic acid responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14: 2787–2797. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.005611 PMID: 12417701
- 64. Yu F, Qian L, Nibau C, Duan Q, Kita D, Levasseur K, et al. (2012) FERONIA receptor kinase pathway suppresses abscisic acid signaling in Arabidopsis by activating ABI2 phosphatase. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109: 14693–14698. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212547109 PMID: 22908257
- Hoque MA, Banu MNA, Nakamura Y, Shimoishi Y, Murata Y (2008) Proline and glycinebetaine enhance antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification systems and reduce NaCl-induced damage in cultured tobacco cells. J Plant Physiol 165: 813–824. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2007.07.013</u> PMID: 17920727
- Van Breusegem F, Dat JF (2006) Reactive oxygen species in plant cell death. Plant Physiol 141: 384– 390. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.078295 PMID: 16760492
- Pastori GM, Foyer CH (2002) Common components, networks, and pathways of cross-tolerance to stress. The central role of "redox" and abscisic acid-mediated controls. Plant Physiol 129: 460–468. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.011021 PMID: 12068093
- Lee SC, Luan S (2012) ABA signal transduction at the crossroad of biotic and abiotic stress responses. Plant Cell Environ 35: 53–60. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02426.x PMID: 21923759
- Fukao T, Yeung E, Bailey-Serres J (2011) The Submergence Tolerance Regulator SUB1A Mediates Crosstalk between Submergence and Drought Tolerance in Rice. Plant Cell 23: 412–427. <u>https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.110.080325</u> PMID: 21239643
- 70. Kim H, Hwang H, Hong J-W, Lee Y-N, Ahn IP, Yoon IS, et al. (2012) A rice orthologue of the ABA receptor, OsPYL/RCAR5, is a positive regulator of the ABA signal transduction pathway in seed germination and early seedling growth. J Exp Bot 63: 1013–1024. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err338</u> PMID: 22071266
- Puig J, Meynard D, Khong GN, Pauluzzi G, Guiderdoni E, Gantet P (2013) Analysis of the expression of the AGL17-like clade of MADS-box transcription factors in rice. Gene Expr Patterns 13: 160–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gep.2013.02.004 PMID: 23466806
- Belin C, Megies C, Hauserova E, Lopez-Molina L (2009) Abscisic acid represses growth of the Arabidopsis embryonic axis after germination by enhancing auxin signaling. Plant Cell 21: 2253–2268. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.067702 PMID: 19666738
- 73. Tiryaki I, Staswick PE (2002) An Arabidopsis mutant defective in jasmonate response is allelic to the auxin-signaling mutant axr1. Plant Physiol 130: 887–894. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.005272 PMID: 12376653
- 74. Monroe-Augustus M, Zolman BK, Bartel B (2003) IBR5, a dual-specificity phosphatase-like protein modulating auxin and abscisic acid responsiveness in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 15: 2979–2991. https:// doi.org/10.1105/tpc.017046 PMID: 14630970
- 75. Liu P, Montgomery TA, Fahlgren N, Kasschau KD, Nonogaki H, Carrington JC (2007) Repression of AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR10 by microRNA160 is critical for seed germination and post-germination stages. Plant J 52: 133–146. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03218.x PMID: 17672844

- 76. Mahmood K, El-Kereamy A, Kim S-H, Nambara E, Rothstein SJ (2016) ANAC032 positively regulates age-dependent and stress-induced senescence in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol 57: 2029– 2046. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcw120 PMID: 27388337
- Miki D, Shimamoto K (2004) Simple RNAi vectors for stable and transient suppression of gene function in rice. Plant Cell Physiol 45: 490–495. PMID: 15111724
- Hiei Y, Ohta S, Komari T, Kumashiro T (1994) Efficient transformation of rice (Oryza sativa L.) mediated by Agrobacterium and sequence analysis of the boundaries of the T-DNA. Plant J 6: 271–282. PMID: 7920717
- 79. Zhang H, Liu Y, Wen F, Yao D, Wang L, Guo J, et al. (2014) A novel rice C2H2-type zinc finger protein, ZFP36, is a key player involved in abscisic acid-induced antioxidant defence and oxidative stress tolerance in rice. J Exp Bot 65: 5795–5809. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru313 PMID: 25071223
- Huang X, Zhang X, Yang S (2009) A novel chloroplast-localized protein EMB1303 is required for chloroplast development in Arabidopsis. Cell Res 19: 1205–1216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2009.84</u> PMID: 19581937
- Tang L, Cai H, Ji W, Luo X, Wang Z, Wu J, et al. (2013) Overexpression of GsZFP1 enhances salt and drought tolerance in transgenic alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). Plant Physiol Bioch 71: 22–30.
- 82. Aebi H (1984) Catalase in vitro. Method Enzymol 105: 121-126.
- Murshed R, Lopez-Lauri F, Sallanon H (2008) Microplate quantification of enzymes of the plant ascorbate-glutathione cycle. Anal Biochem 383: 320–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2008.07.020 PMID: 18682244
- **84.** Hossain MA, Hasanuzzaman M, Fujita M (2010) Up-regulation of antioxidant and glyoxalase systems by exogenous glycinebetaine and proline in mung bean confer tolerance to cadmium stress. Physiol Mol Biol Pla 16: 259–272.
- Elia AC, Galarini R, Taticchi MI, Dorr AJM, Mantilacci L (2003) Antioxidant responses and bioaccumulation in Ictalurus melas under mercury exposure. Ecotox Environ Safe 55: 162–167.
- Ma N, Wang Y, Qiu S, Kang Z, Che S, Wang G, et al. (2013) Overexpression of OsEXPA8, a root-specific gene, improves rice growth and root system architecture by facilitating cell extension. Plos One 8.
- Hellens RP, Allan AC, Friel EN, Bolitho K, Grafton K, Templeton MD, et al. (2005) Transient expression vectors for functional genomics, quantification of promoter activity and RNA silencing in plants. Plant Methods 1.
- Gao S, Fang J, Xu F, Wang W, Chu C (2016) Rice HOX12 regulates panicle exsertion by directly modulating the expression of ELONGATED UPPERMOST INTERNODE1. Plant Cell 28: 680–695. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.01021">https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.01021</a> PMID: 26977084
- Yan H, Jia H, Chen X, Hao L, An H, Guo X (2014) The cotton WRKY transcription factor GhWRKY17 functions in drought and salt stress in transgenic Nicotiana benthamiana through ABA signaling and the modulation of reactive oxygen species production. Plant Cell Physiol 55: 2060–2076. https://doi.org/10. 1093/pcp/pcu133 PMID: 25261532
- Zhang Y, Su J, Duan S, Ao Y, Dai J, Liu J, et al. (2011) A highly efficient rice green tissue protoplast system for transient gene expression and studying light/chloroplast-related processes. Plant Methods 7.