### **Review** Article

## Efficacy and Safety of Xiaoyao Recipe in the Treatment of Poststroke Depression: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

# Dou Wang<sup>b</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Tao Li<sup>b</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Jie Ron,<sup>1</sup> Meiling Mao,<sup>2</sup> Yifan Yang,<sup>2</sup> Yalun Feng,<sup>2</sup> and Yongmei Yan<sup>b</sup>,<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Affiliated Hospital of Shaanxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Xianyang 712000, China
<sup>2</sup>First Clinical Medical College, Shaanxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Xianyang 712000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yongmei Yan; 13609216551@163.com

Received 14 October 2021; Accepted 15 March 2022; Published 13 April 2022

Academic Editor: Mozaniel Oliveira

Copyright © 2022 Dou Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Background.* Poststroke depression (PSD) is a common neuropsychiatric disorder that affects the disability, mortality, functional recovery, and quality of daily life of patients. Xiaoyao Recipe (XYR) is often used to treat PSD and has achieved good clinical effects, but it lacks reliable evidence. *Objective.* This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of XYR on PSD through meta-analysis. *Methods.* A comprehensive literature search was carried out in multiple databases, including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, Chinese Biomedical Literature Service System, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang Database, VIP Database, and ClinicalTrials, from inception to July 1, 2021, to collect randomized controlled trials that applied XYR for patients with PSD. For a controlled trial, the search time limit was set from the time of the database's establishment to July 2021. Two experienced researchers independently screened the literature according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, extracted data, evaluated the quality of the literature, and used RevMan 5.3 software for meta-analysis. *Results.* A total of 12 studies were included in this study, involving 882 patients with PSD who were hospitalized or outpatients. The meta-analysis results showed that the total effective rate (p < 0.00001) of the test group (XYR or XYR combined with antidepressants) after treatment was high; Hamilton's Depression Scale score (p < 0.000001), Scandinavian Stroke Scale score (p = 0.004 < 0.05), and Barthel index (p < 0.00001) was high. *Conclusion*. Compared with antidepressant drugs, XYR is more effective and safer in the treatment of PSD patients. However, more high-quality studies are needed to further support the above conclusions.

#### 1. Introduction

Poststroke depression (PSD) refers to a severe neuropsychiatric disorder that occurs after a stroke, showing a series of affective disorder syndromes characterized by low mood, decreased interest, apathy, and pessimism in addition to stroke symptoms. Moreover, it is often accompanied by physical symptoms such as fatigue, pain, palpitation, and loss of appetite [1]. The incidence of PSD can reach 30%– 50% [2, 3]. PSD not only hinders the recovery of neurological function but also severely affects the activities of daily living and even increases the disability and mortality of patients [4–6]. The pathogenesis of PSD involves endogenous mechanisms such as lack of monoamine transmitters, insufficient levels of neurotrophic factors, hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis dysfunction, neuroinflammation, and other endogenous mechanisms [7, 8]. It is also related to poststroke psychological, family, and social reactions. The current treatment methods for PSD mainly include drugs and nondrug therapies (such as acupuncture, rehabilitation, psychology, music, exercise, and physical therapy).

The clinical medications for PSD include selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants. Studies have shown that these antidepressants can effectively improve depression symptoms [9–12]. Long-term use of depression drugs can easily cause side effects such as gastrointestinal dysfunction, neurological symptoms, and mental disorders, and may even increase the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular event [7, 13]. In recent years, traditional Chinese medicine has often been used to treat PSD. Xiaoyao Recipe (XYR) is a classic Chinese herbal medicine used in the treatment of liver depression and spleen deficiency syndrome. XYR is a compound composed of eight kinds of herbs: *Bupleurum*, Radix Paeoniae Rubra, *Angelica*, Poria, *Atractylodes macrocephala*, ginger, peppermint, and licorice. It has the effects of soothing the liver and relieving depression, nourishing the blood and softening the liver, invigorating the spleen, and replenishing qi. It is widely used in the treatment of depression, PSD, chronic hepatitis, chronic gastritis, gastrointestinal neurosis, duodenal ulcer, breast lobular hyperplasia, and cholelithiasis.

Several randomized controlled studies have shown that XYR alone or in combination with antidepressants can improve PSD symptoms [14, 15]. Because few largesample randomized controlled trials (RCTs) using XYR have been conducted on the PSD population, a reliable evidence-based basis regarding the use of XYR in treating PSD is still lacking. Several previous meta-analyses have suggested a beneficial effect on depression, of which few of meta-analyses explored the effect of XYR on PSD [16, 17]. Only one meta-analysis explored the effect of XYR on PSD, but this study included fewer randomized controlled studies, failed to conduct subgroup analysis of different interventions, and the outcome indicators were not comprehensive [15]. Therefore, we used published randomized controlled studies on the effect of XYR on depressive symptoms in PSD patients for our meta-analysis. The outcome measures included total effective rate, Hamilton's Depression Scale (HAMD), and adverse reaction rate. This study aims to comprehensively evaluate the efficacy and safety of XYR in the treatment of PSD through meta-analysis, and the results will provide more effective and reliable evidence for clinicians, researchers, and healthcare policy makers. Therefore, this study intends to systematically evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of XYR in the treatment of PSD and conduct a metaanalysis of existing RCTs.

#### 2. Methods

This systematic review strictly follows the guidance of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols. The research protocol has been published on PROSPERO (CRD42020203349).

2.1. Search Strategy. Databases including PubMed, the Cochrane Library, Chinese Biomedical Literature Service System, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang Database, and VIP Database were searched. The search time range was from the establishment of the database to July 2021. A combination of free words and subject words was used in the search. The search terms included "Xiaoyao San," "Xiaoyao Pill," "Xiaoyao Recipe," "Stroke," "Depression," and "Depression after Stroke."

#### 2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

*2.2.1. Inclusion Criteria.* We used the following research inclusion criteria:

- (1) Study type: this study will include all RCTs for XYR treatment of PSD patients
- (2) Participant type: all patients diagnosed with PSD, regardless of nationality, age, gender, stroke type, and location
- (3) Type of intervention: the experimental group used XYR or XYR combined with antidepressants as interventions, and the control group used placebo or antidepressants alone
- (4) Outcome indicators: the main outcome indicators are as follows: (1) total effective rate; (2) Hamilton's Depression Scale (HAMD). Secondary outcome indicators are as follows: (1) adverse reaction rate; (2) Scandinavian Stroke Scale (SSS); (3) Barthel index (BI) for activities of daily living; and (4) serum serotonin (5-HT) content.

#### 2.2.2. Exclusion Criteria

- (1) Conference papers, abstracts, animal experiments, and reviews
- (2) Repeated publications of the same research population
- (3) Journal articles published by a single author
- (4) Unable to obtain complete data in the literature

2.3. Data Extraction. Two researchers independently screened the literature, extracted the data, and performed cross-checking. If they encountered a disagreement, they resolved it through discussion or negotiation with a third reviewer. When selecting documents, the title and abstract were first read. After excluding obviously irrelevant documents, the full text was read to determine whether to include them. The content of data extraction includes: (1) basic information of the included research: research title, author, publication year, and journal name; (2) baseline characteristics of the research object; (3) intervention measures; and (4) outcome indicators.

2.4. Quality Assessment. Two researchers independently evaluated the risk of bias in the included literature and cross-checked the results. The risk of bias tool described in the Cochrane systematic review manual was used to evaluate the literature included in the RCTs. The evaluation content included the following six aspects: random sequence generation, allocation hiding, blinding of result evaluation, blinding of participants and researchers, incompleteness of result data, and selective reporting of research results and other biases. The evaluation results are divided into three levels: "unclear judgment risk," "low bias risk," and "high bias risk."

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

2.5. Data Analysis. A meta-analysis was performed using RevMan 5.3 software. The included studies were tested for heterogeneity, and the  $x^2$  test was used for analysis (the test level was  $\alpha = 0.1$ ). The degree of heterogeneity was quantitatively judged by combining with  $I^2$ .  $I^2 < 50\%$  and p > 0.1indicate a small heterogeneity, and the fixed effects model is adopted.  $I^2 \ge 50\%$  and  $p \le 0.1$  indicate a large heterogeneity, and the random effects model is used for analysis. When the measurement tool and the unit of the continuous variable are the same, the standardized mean difference (SMD) is used. When the measurement tools or units of continuous variables are not the same, the weighted average difference is used. The risk ratio (RR) is used as the effect analysis statistic for binary variables. All effect sizes are provided with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). If the required research data are not reported in the study, the researcher will contact the original author via phone or e-mail to obtain additional information. If the required research data are not available, we will use descriptive analysis or exclude these studies when necessary.

2.6. Sensitivity Analysis. We used the one-by-one elimination method to analyze the sensitivity of the research results.

2.7. Deviations in Assessment Reports. If the number of included studies is greater than 10, we will draw a funnel chart to assess whether there is publication bias. If the funnel chart is symmetrical, no publication bias is present.

#### 3. Results

3.1. Search Results. A total of 187 references were obtained from the preliminary search, and 137 were obtained after removing duplicates (Figure 1). Two authors independently screened these references. After reading the titles and abstracts, 108 articles were excluded, and the remaining 29 full texts were reviewed. Thereafter, 17 articles were excluded, and 12 eligible articles were included. These 12 studies were conducted in China and published between 2004 and 2020. The basic characteristics of the included studies are shown in Tables 1 and 2, involving a total of 882 PSD patients from hospitalization or outpatient clinics.

3.2. Risk of Bias Assessment. Two investigators independently used the RCT risk of bias tool recommended in Cochrane Handbook 5.1.0 to evaluate the quality of the included studies. One study [18] did not mention randomization, and 11 studies correctly used randomization methods, including 4 studies [18–21] that described specific randomization methods. One study [18] reported allocation concealment and implemented blinding, and the remaining studies did not report allocation concealment and did not use blinding. All studies did not report the loss of follow-up and withdrawal of the study subjects, but the study data report was complete. Although all studies did not report whether the plan was set in advance, they reported in detail that the baseline situation was comparable. The detailed results are shown in Figure 2.

*3.3. Meta-Analysis Results.* Of the 12 included studies, 3 studies [18, 22, 23] compared oral XYR alone with anti-depressants, and 9 studies [19, 20, 22, 24–29] compared the combination of oral XYR and antidepressants with antidepressants.

3.3.1. Total Efficiency Rate. 10 studies [18–23, 26–29] reported the total effective rate (Figure 3). The heterogeneity test indicated that the studies were not heterogeneous (p = 0.37;  $I^2 = 8\%$ ). Meta-analysis of the research data using a fixed effects model showed that the total effective rate of the experimental group was better than that of the control group, and the results showed significant statistical differences (RR = 1.21; 95% CI: 1.13, 1.29; p < 0.00001).

3.3.2. HAMD Score. 11 studies [18–22, 24–29] reported the HAMD score (Figure 4). The heterogeneity test indicated significant heterogeneity in each study (p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 95\%$ ). However, the forest plot and the confidence interval are to the left of the invalid line, indicating that the heterogeneity between studies does not affect the results. Therefore, the random effects model was selected for the meta-analysis, which showed that the HAMD score of the test group was better than that of the control group. The results were statistically significant (MD: – 4.56; 95% CI: –6.39,–2.74; p < 0.000001). The reasons for heterogeneity may be related to differences in intervention measures, antidepressants, and treatment courses between studies.

3.3.3. Adverse Reaction Rate. The heterogeneity test showed significant heterogeneity (p = 0.0001;  $I^2 = 83\%$ ). A one-by-one elimination method was used to analyze the source of heterogeneity. When the Yuan study [21] was excluded, the heterogeneity was significantly reduced (p = 0.86;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), indicating that the study is a source of heterogeneity (Figure 5). After excluding heterogeneity, a fixed effects model was used to conduct a meta-analysis on the research data [19, 20, 23–26, 29]. The results showed that the incidence of adverse reactions in the experimental group was lower than that in the control group, and the results were statistically different (RR = 0.20; 95% CI: 0.08, 0.51; p < 0.00001).

3.3.4. SSS Score. 2 studies [28, 29] reported SSS scores (Figure 6). The heterogeneity test showed significant heterogeneity (p = 0.0003;  $I^2 = 92\%$ ). The reasons for this heterogeneity could not be further analyzed due to the small number of included studies. The research results are all on the left side of the invalid line. The meta-analysis of the research data using the random effects model showed that the experimental group is better than the control group with a significant statistical difference (MD: – 5.73; 95% CI: –9.86, –1.79; p = 0.004).



FIGURE 1: Flow diagram of literature screening.

Гавle 1: Basic с	characteristics	of th	ie includ	ed studies.
------------------	-----------------	-------	-----------	-------------

Study	$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{r}}$ $(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}})$	Ger	nder	А	ge	Outras
	No. $(1/C)$	Т	С	Т	С	Outcome
Han 2019	30/30	18/12	16/14	$56.13 \pm 8.21$	$55.77 \pm 7.16$	12356
Li 2006	43/42	23/20	22/20	$69.53 \pm 7.87$	$68.23 \pm 7.35$	12354
Lu 2013	39/37	24/15	22/15	$56.28 \pm 2.14$	$57.23 \pm 1.92$	12
Min 2018	42/40	22/20	22/18	$64.24\pm9.86$	63.28 + 10.2	12
Wang 2014	60/52	35/25	33/19	55.1	56.7	123
Wang 2019	40/40	20/20	27/13	$55 \pm 1.4$	$55.5 \pm 1.5$	2
Xiao 2004	34/34	_	—	_	_	12
Yang 2018	20/20	10/10	11/9	$53.83 \pm 3.9$	$54.32 \pm 4.3$	2
Yuan 2015	40/40	24/16	22/18	$49.05 \pm 6.25$	$49.85 \pm 5.85$	123
Zeng 2018	43/43	23/20	25/18	$57.53 \pm 4.7$	$59.1 \pm 5.33$	1236
Zeng 2020	31/30	15/16	14/16	$64.35 \pm 7.16$	$64.8 \pm 7.08$	(1)(3)
Zou 2009	30/30	18/12	19/11	$67.9 \pm 6.1$	$66.8\pm7.1$	124

Note. ()effective rate; (2)HAMD; (3)adverse reaction rate; (4)SSS; (5)BI; (6)serum 5-HT content.

3.3.5. BI. 2 studies [20, 29] reported on the BI (Figure 7). The heterogeneity test indicated that the studies were not heterogeneous (p = 0.28;  $I^2 = 13\%$ ). The meta-analysis of

the research data using a fixed effect model showed that the BI score of the experimental group was better than that of the control group, and the results had significant

Study Course (days)		Interventions	
Study	Course (days)	Т	С
Han 2019	28	Xiaoyao decoction, bid; flupentixol and melitracen tablets, 1 <sup>#</sup> bid	Flupentixol and melitracen tablets, 1 <sup>#</sup> bid
Li 2006	56	Xiaoyao decoction, bid; fluoxetine, 20 mg qd	Fluoxetine, 20 mg qd
Lu 2013	28	Xiaoyao decoction, bid; paroxetine, 20 mg qd	Paroxetine, 20 mg qd
Min 2018	90	Xiaoyao pill, 6 g bid	Fluoxetine, 10 mg bid
Wang 2014	14	Xiaoyao pill, 8 <sup>#</sup> tid; flupentixol and melitracen tablets, 1 <sup>#</sup> bid	Flupentixol and melitracen tablets, 1 <sup>#</sup> bid
Wang 2019	60	Xiaoyao decoction, bid; escitalopram, 20 mg bid	Escitalopram, 20 mg bid
Xiao 2004	42	Xiaoyao decoction, bid	Fluoxetine, 20–40 mg qd
Yang 2018	56	Xiaoyao decoction, bid; sertraline, 25 mg qd	Sertraline, 25 mg qd
Yuan 2015	28	Xiaoyao decoction, bid; escitalopram, 10 mg qd	Escitalopram, 10 mg qd
Zeng 2018	28	Xiaoyao pill, 8 <sup>#</sup> tid; fluoxetine, 20 mg qd	Fluoxetine, 20 mg qd
Zeng 2020	42	Xiaoyao decoction, bid	Fluoxetine, 20 mg qd
Zou 2009	42	Xiaoyao pill, 8# tid; flupentixol and melitracen tablets, 1 <sup>#</sup> bid	Flupentixol and melitracen tablets, 1 <sup>#</sup> bid

TABLE 2: Characteristics of interventions.



Random sequence generation (selection bias)
Allocation concealment (selection bias)
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
Selective reporting (reporting bias)
Other bias

FIGURE 2: Summary of risk of bias.

Study or Subgroup	Experii	nental	Cor	trol	Weight	Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio	
Study of Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	(%)	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	CI	M-H, Fix	ed, 95% CI	
Han 2019	28	30	22	30	7.7	1.27 [1.01, 1.61]				
Li 2006	41	43	30	42	10.6	1.33 [1.09, 1.63]				
Lu 2013	36	39	28	37	10.0	1.22 [0.99, 1.50]				
Min 2018	35	42	22	40	7.9	1.52 [1.11, 2.07]				
Wang 2014	57	60	43	52	16.0	1.15 [1.00, 1.32]			<b></b>	
Xiao 2004	29	34	30	34	10.5	0.97 [0.80, 1.16]				
Yuan 2015	37	40	30	40	10.5	1.23 [1.01, 1.51]				
Zeng 2018	39	43	33	43	11.5	1.18 [0.98, 1.43]			<b> </b>	
Zeng 2020	25	31	22	30	7.8	1.10 [0.83, 1.45]		_	<b>+</b> •	
Zou 2009	27	30	22	30	7.7	1.23 [0.96, 1.57]				
Total (95% CI)		392		378	100.0	1.21 [1.13, 1.29]			•	
Total events	354		282							
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 9$ . Test for overall effect: Z	80, <i>df</i> = 9 ( <i>I</i> = 5.58 ( <i>P</i> <	P = 0.37); 0.00001)	$I^2 = 8\%$				0.2	0.5	1 2	5
								Favours [control]	Favours [exp	erimental]

FIGURE 3: Meta-analysis of XYR and the total effective rate of antidepressants.

Study or Subgroup	Exp	erime	ntal	(	Contro	1	Weight	Mean Difference		N	lean Diffe	erence	
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV,	Random	95% CI	
Han 2019	12.3	4.37	30	18.8	3.62	30	9.1	-6.50 [-8.53, -4.47]			-		
Li 2006	6.31	1.93	43	12.55	2.06	42	10.0	-6.24 [-7.09, -5.39]		-			
Lu 2013	10.76	2.77	39	13.08	2.56	37	9.8	-2.32 [-3.52, -1.12]					
Min 2018	13.81	12.1	42	27.96	8.84	40	6.2	-14.15 [-18.72, -9.58]					
Wang 2014	12.16	2.43	60	16.75	6.31	52	9.3	-4.59 [-6.41, -2.77]		_	-		
Wang 2019	4.1	1	40	7.1	2.3	40	10.1	-3.00 [-3.78, -2.22]			-		
Xiao 2004	6.9	8.2	34	7.6	8.4	34	6.9	-0.70 [-4.65, 3.25]				_	
Yang 2018	8.11	1.14	20	10.28	2.72	20	9.7	-2.17 [-3.46, -0.88]					
Yuan 2015	6.23	1.65	40	6.71	1.83	40	10.1	-0.48 [-1.24, 0.28]			-		
Zeng 2018	9.18	2.87	43	12.63	3.74	14	9.0	-3.45 [-5.59, -1.31]		-			
Zou 2009	6.49	2.01	30	15.61	2.91	30	9.8	-9.12 [-10.39, -7.85]		-			
Total (95% CI)			421			379	100.0	-4.56 [-6.39, -2.74]		•			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	= 8.49; Ch : Z = 4.89	$i^2 = 21$ (P < 0	7.20, d	lf = 10 (	<i>P</i> < 0.0	)0001);	$I^2 = 95^{\circ}$	%	20	-10	0	10	20
									Favours	[experim	ental]	Favours [control]	

FIGURE 4: Meta-analysis of XYR and HAMD scores for antidepressants.

Ctra las en Carls anna an	Experi	mental	Con	trol	Weight	Risk Ratio	Risk Rat	tio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total (%)		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed,	95% CI	
Han 2019	2	30	8	30	32.8	0.25 [0.06, 1.08]			
Li 2006	2	43	7	42	29.1	0.28 [0.06, 1.27]			
Wang 2014	0	60	2	52	11.0	0.17 [0.01, 3.54]			
Yuan 2015	34	40	29	40	0.0	1.17 [0.93, 1.48]			
Zeng 2018	0	43	0	43		Not estimable			
Zeng 2020	0	31	6	30	27.1	0.07 [0.00, 1.27]			
Total (95% CI)		207		197	100.0	0.20 [0.08, 0.51]	•		
Total events	4		23			,			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0$ Test for overall effect: Z	.74, $df = 3$ ( $I$ Z = 3.37 ( $P =$	P = 0.86); 0.0008)	$I^2 = 0\%$			0.001	0.1 1	10	1000
						Fave	ours [experimental]	Favours [control]	]



Study or Subgroup	Exp	ental		Control Weight			Mean Difference	Mean Difference			Mean Difference			
Study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Tota	d (%)	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, I	Randon	n, 95% CI		
Li 2006	5.13	0.97	43	12.77	2.52	42	52.7	-7.64 [-8.46, -6.82]						
Zou 2009	14.91	3.94	30	18.52	4.01	30	47.3	-3.61 [-5.62, -1.60]		_	╸			
Total (95% CI)			73			72	100.0	-5.73 [-9.68, -1.79]						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	7.51; Ch Z = 2.85	$i^2 = 13$ (P = 0)	3.24, <i>df</i> 0.004)	= 1 (P	= 0.000	$(03); I^2$	= 92%	T -2	20	-10	0	1	0	20
									Favour	s [experime	ental]	Favours	[control]	



Study or Subgroup	Exp	perime	ntal	(	Control	l	Weight	Mean Difference		Mean	Differe	ence	
study of Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fiz	xed, 959	% CI	
Han 2019	68	3.26	30	52.97	6.8	30	91.3	15.03 [12.33, 17.73]					
Li 2006	66.35	22.19	43	46.33	18.73	42	8.7	20.02 [11.30, 28.74]					
Total (95% CI)			73			72	100.0	15.47 [12.89, 18.04]				•	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 =$	1.15, <i>df</i> =	= 1 (P)	= 0.28)	$; I^2 = 13$	3%			-	50	25		25	50

FIGURE 7: Meta-analysis of XYR and BI index of antidepressants.

statistical differences (MD = 15.47; 95% CI: 12.89, 18.04; p < 0.00001).

3.3.6. Serum 5-HT Content. 2 studies [19, 20] reported serum 5-HT levels (Figure 8). The heterogeneity test indicated significant heterogeneity in each study (p = 0.006;  $I^2 = 87\%$ ). The reasons for this heterogeneity could not be further analyzed due to the small number of included studies. The research results are all on the side of the invalid line. A meta-analysis of the research data using a random effects model showed that the serum 5-HT content of the test group was higher than that of the control group, and the difference was statistically significant (SMD: 5.11; 95% CI: 3.11, 7.12; p < 0.00001).

3.4. Sensitivity Analysis. The abovementioned outcome indicators were all eliminated one by one for sensitivity analysis. After eliminating the included studies one by one, the change in effect size and *p*value was small. This shows that the results of the meta-analysis are stable and credible.

3.5. Publication Bias. The funnel chart was created with the total effective rate [18–23, 26–29] (Figure 9) and the HAMD score [18–22, 24–29] (Figure 10). The graph is not completely symmetrical, indicating that the included studies may have publication bias. This may be due to the fact that some studies with negative results have not been published, the sample size of the included studies is small, and the total number of included studies is small.

#### 4. Discussion

This study is a meta-analysis of the effectiveness and safety of XYR in the treatment of PSD. After treatment, the total effective rate and HAMD score of the test group were better than those of the control group, indicating that XYR alone or in combination with antidepressants is better than antidepressant therapy alone in relieving PSD. The incidence of adverse reactions in the experimental group was significantly lower than that in the control group, proving the safety of XYR. The SSS score can reflect the prognosis of stroke, and the BI can measure the patient's activities of daily living [30]. The two are obviously related. The higher the SSS score, the lower the BI. The SSS score and BI of the experimental group were better than those of the control group, but this result is derived from a few studies. The above results suggest that XYR treatment of PSD not only has better clinical efficacy, but also has higher safety than antidepressant treatment.

The clinical incidence of PSD is seriously underestimated. The following reasons may jointly lead to a high rate of missed diagnosis of PSD. First of all, PSD is easily misunderstood by patients and their families as a psychological burden caused by stroke. Second, the symptoms of aphasia and cognitive impairment caused by stroke may conceal the symptoms of PSD. Finally, and most importantly, the clinical diagnosis of PSD lacks specific laboratory indicators. In addition, PSD is significantly related to the 7

prognosis of stroke. Compared with a simple stroke, patients with PSD have obstacles to recovery of neurological function, a significant decrease in their quality of life, and a significant increase in mortality [31]. Interventions in the process of PSD can not only improve the symptoms of depression but also help the recovery of stroke, and their benefits are far greater than the treatment of depression alone. The occurrence of PSD is not only related to stroke brain damage and accompanying cognitive impairment, functional disability, and reduced quality of life, but also related to social and psychological factors such as past history of affective disorders, personality characteristics, coping styles, and social support [32, 33]. Therefore, in the treatment of PSD, a variety of treatment methods such as drug therapy, psychotherapy, and rehabilitation training should be used in order to achieve the best therapeutic effect. The goal of medical treatment of PSD is to relieve symptoms, improve quality of life, and prevent recurrence. During the treatment process, attention should be paid to monitoring and evaluating the efficacy, compliance, adverse reactions, and the possibility of recurrence of symptoms. As a Chinese herbal compound with good clinical efficacy and high safety, XYR may meet the clinical diagnosis and treatment needs of PSD.

The pathogenesis of PSD involves multiple levels of physiology, psychology, and society. The clinical symptoms are diverse. Therefore, drugs with a single target and mechanism may have limitations in their efficacy. Traditional Chinese medicine conducts syndrome differentiation and treatment from a holistic view. In the case of accurate syndrome differentiation, Chinese herbal medicine has the advantages of remarkable curative effect, strong individualization, low toxic and side effects, good patient compliance, and multilevel efficacy. XYR is a classic prescription in Chinese medicine for treating emotional diseases. Clinical studies and animal experiments have found that XYR has significant antidepressant effects [14]. XYR can improve the content of monoamine neurotransmitters in the hippocampus and cortex, restore the negative feedback function of the HPA axis, inhibit the expression of inflammatory factors, and adjust the abnormal level of brain-gut peptides by interfering with key molecules of the BDNF/CREB signal pathway in the hippocampus and cortex [34-37]. It improves depression through the nerve-endocrine-immune axis pathway. Bupleurum and Radix Paeoniae Alba are the key medicines prescribed for many treatments of emotional or mental illness. Traditional Chinese medicine believes that Bupleurum can soothe the liver and relieve depression, and white peony can nourish the yin and soften the liver. Modern pharmacological studies have shown that Bupleurum extract can inhibit inflammatory factors, reduce neuronal apoptosis, increase brain-derived nerve growth factor, and regulate HPA axis function to have an antidepressant effect [38-40]. In addition to inhibiting inflammatory factors by acting on monoamine neurotransmitters, paeoniflorin has an antidepressant effect, and it also has a certain protective effect on neuronal damage [41, 42]. Of note, the combination of Bupleurum and peony can exert an enhanced antidepressant effect [43].

Starlar - Salara	Exp	perime	ntal	(	Control Weight			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Me	an Diff	erence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Ran	dom, 9	5% CI	
Han 2019	395.2	10.45	30	316.1	14.42	30	46.9	6.20 [4.95, 7.45]					_
Zeng 2018	146.3	6.78	43	121.8	4.73	43	53.1	4.15 [3.39, 4.92]					
Total (95% CI)			73			73	100.0	5.11 [3.11, 7.12]					•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	1.81; C	$hi^2 = 7.$	46, df =	= 1 (P =	0.006)	; $I^2 = 8$	7%	Т					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.0	1 (P < 0)	0.00001	)				-1	.0	-5	0	5	10
									Fa	avours [control]	Fav	ours [experi	imental]

FIGURE 8: Meta-analysis of XYR and antidepressant serum 5-HT levels.



FIGURE 9: The total effective rate of publication bias funnel chart.



FIGURE 10: Funnel chart of publication bias of HAMD score.

This study has several limitations. First of all, most of the studies included did not provide sufficient detailed methodological information, such as allocation concealment methods and blinding information. Second, the studies included may have publication bias. This is related to the fact that positive results are easier to publish, and there may be an overestimation of the impact. Finally, the included studies are heterogeneous in the meta-analysis of some indicators. We used a random effects model to ensure that the studies had uniform weights. The heterogeneity of the study may be related to the disease course, intervention drugs, and treatment courses of the patients included in the study.

#### 5. Conclusion

According to the evidence provided in this study, XYR can also be used to treat PSD on the basis of simple depression in the past, especially for patients with poor response to antidepressants or severe side effects. However, a strictly designed RCT is needed to support the clinical therapeutic effect of XYR treatment on PSD.

#### Abbreviations

PSD:	Poststroke depression
XYR:	Xiaoyao recipe
RCT:	Randomized controlled trial
HAMD:	Hamilton Depression Scale
SSS:	Edinburgh-Scandinavian Stroke Scale
BI:	Barthel Index

- SMD: Standardized mean difference
- WMD: Weighted average difference
- RR: Risk ratio
- 95% CI: 95% confidence interval.

#### **Data Availability**

The data set used in the current study can be obtained from the corresponding author based on reasonable requirements.

#### Disclosure

Dou Wang, Tao Li, and Jei Rong are the co-first authors.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

Dou Wang, Tao Li, and Jei Rong made similar contributions to this research.

#### Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81973843), the Disciplinary Innovation Team Building Project of Shaanxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (2019-YL03), the 2021 Key Scientific Research Project of the Ministry of Education of Shaanxi Province (21JS016), and the Natural Science Basic Research Project of Shaanxi Province (2021JQ-737) funding.

#### References

- S. S. Wang, X. Y. Zhou, and C. Y. Zhu, "Chinese expert consensus on clinical practice of post-stroke depression," *Chinese Journal of Stroke*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 685–693, 2016.
- [2] L. Ayerbe, S. Ayis, C. D. A. Wolfe, and A. G. Rudd, "Natural history, predictors and outcomes of depression after stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis," *British Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 202, no. 1, pp. 14–21, 2013.
- [3] A. Isuru, A. Hapangama, D. Ediriweera, L. Samarasinghe, M. Fonseka, and U. Ranawaka, "Prevalence and predictors of new onset depression in the acute phase of stroke," *Asian journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 59, Article ID 102636, 2021.
- [4] C. Bilge, E. Koçer, A. Koçer, and U. Türk Börü, "Depression and functional outcome after stroke: the effect of antidepressant therapy on functional recovery," *European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 13–18, 2008.
- [5] M. A. Kutlubaev and M. L. Hackett, "Part II: predictors of depression after stroke and impact of depression on stroke outcome: an updated systematic review of observational studies," *International Journal of Stroke*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1026–1036, 2014.
- [6] M. L. Hackett and K. Pickles, "Part I: frequency of depression after stroke: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies," *International Journal of Stroke*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1017–1025, 2014.
- [7] R. F. Villa, F. Ferrari, and A. Moretti, "Post-stroke depression: mechanisms and pharmacological treatment," *Pharmacology* and *Therapeutics*, vol. 184, pp. 131–144, 2018.
- [8] O. A. Levada and A. S. Troyan, "Poststroke depression biomarkers: a narrative review," *Frontiers in Neurology*, vol. 9, p. 577, 2018.
- [9] L. Li, Z. Han, L. Li, L. Han, and B. Yan, "Effectiveness of paroxetine for poststroke depression: a meta-analysis," *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, vol. 29, no. 5, Article ID 104664, 2020.
- [10] X.-M. Xu, D.-Z. Zou, L.-Y. Shen et al., "Efficacy and feasibility of antidepressant treatment in patients with post-stroke depression," *Medicine*, vol. 95, no. 45, Article ID e5349, 2016.
- [11] M. Cui, C. Y. Huang, and F. Wang, "Efficacy and safety of citalopram for the treatment of poststroke depression: a Meta-Analysis," *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 2905–2918, 2018.

- [12] R. Feng, P. Wang, C. Gao et al., "Effect of sertraline in the treatment and prevention of poststroke depression," *Medicine*, vol. 97, no. 49, Article ID e13453, 2018.
- [13] A. Z. Sharrief, B. N. Sánchez, L. D. Lisabeth et al., "The impact of pre-stroke depressive symptoms, fatalism, and social support on disability after stroke," *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 2686–2691, 2017.
- [14] C. Wang, C. Wu, Z. Yan, and X. Cheng, "Ameliorative effect of Xiaoyao-jieyu-san on post-stroke depression and its potential mechanisms," *Journal of Natural Medicines*, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 76–84, 2019.
- [15] X. Jin, M. Jiang, D. Gong, Y. Chen, and Y. Fan, "Efficacy and safety of xiaoyao formula as an adjuvant treatment for poststroke depression: a meta-analysis," *Explore*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 224–229, 2018.
- [16] C. Man, C. Li, D. Gong, J. Xu, and Y. Fan, "Meta-analysis of Chinese herbal Xiaoyao formula as an adjuvant treatment in relieving depression in Chinese patients," *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 362–370, 2014.
- [17] Y. Yu, G. Zhang, T. Han, and H. Huang, "Efficacy and safety of oral traditional Chinese patent medicine in treatment of liver stagnation and spleen deficiency of depression," *Medicine*, vol. 99, no. 7, Article ID e19142, 2020.
- [18] C. X. Min, Study on Xiaoyao Pill in the Treatment of Depression after Cerebral Infarction, vol. 2018, Qingdao University, Qingdao, Chinavol, 2018.
- [19] M. L. Zeng, L. Chen, B. Li et al., "Clinical efficacy of Xiaoyao Pill combined with fluoxetine in the treatment of post-stroke depression and its influence on serum 5-hydroxytryptamine level," *Zhejiang Journal of Integrative Medicine*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 997–999, 2018.
- [20] Y. R. Han, C. L. Liu, and K. Jia, "Clinical efficacy of Xiaoyao SAN combined with Daixin in the treatment of post-stroke depression and its influence on neurotransmitter levels," *TCM Information*, vol. 36, no. 06, pp. 84–87, 2019.
- [21] L. Yuan, J. P. Yang, D. Xu et al., "Xiaoyao SAN combined with escitalopram in the treatment of 40 cases of post-stroke depression," *Clinical study of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 23, pp. 53–55, 2015.
- [22] J. S. Xiao, J. J. Zhang, Z. Y. Huang, and D. A. Me, "Xiaoyao Powder treated 68 cases of depression after stroke," *Journal of Mathematical Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 04, p. 333, 2004.
- [23] Y. Y. Zeng, Clinical Study on Treating Post-stroke Depression by Soothing Liver and Relieving Depression, vol. 2020, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, China, 2020.
- [24] Y. J. Wang, H. Zhou, H. L. Duan, Y. J. Liu, and X. M. Zhang, "A randomized parallel control study of Xiaoyao SAN combined with escitalopram in the treatment of post-stroke depression," *Journal of Yunnan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 01, pp. 55–58, 2019.
- [25] X. Yang, H. P. Su, and H. F. Yang, "Clinical effect and mechanism of Xiaoyao SAN combined with sertraline on patients with post-stroke depression," *World Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 01, pp. 60–63, 2018.
- [26] J. Q. Wang and X. L. Ni, "Effect of flupetixol melitracine combined with Xiaoyao pill on post-stroke depression," *Journal of Practical Cardio-cerebral pulmonary vascular dis*eases, vol. 22, no. 05, pp. 45-46, 2014.
- [27] Q. Lu and J. H. Chen, "Clinical observation of Xiaoyao SAN combined with Paroxetine hydrochloride in the treatment of post-stroke depression," *Inner Mongolia Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 32, no. 35, pp. 19-20, 2013.

#### Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

- [28] L. H. Zou, H. Li, W. Meng, X. D. Chen, and J. Y. Qi, "Clinical observation of Dailixin combined with Xiaoyao Pill in the treatment of post-stroke depression," *Journal of Community Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 23, pp. 2-3, 2009.
- [29] Y. Li, H. Y. Zhu, H. J. Gao, and L. B. Fan, "Effect of Xiaoyao SAN combined with prozac on post-stroke depression," *Chinese rehabilitation theory and Practice*, vol. 06, pp. 501-502, 2006.
- [30] M. Yu, L. Wang, H. Wang, and H. Wu, "The effect of early systematic rehabilitation nursing on the quality of life and limb function in elderly patients with stroke sequelae," *American Journal of Tourism Research*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 9639–9646, 2021.
- [31] L. A. Babkair, "Risk factors for poststroke depression: an integrative review," *Journal of Neuroscience Nursing*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 73–84, 2017.
- [32] C. J. Schwarzbach and A. J. Grau, "Komplikationen nach Schlaganfall," *Nervenarzt*, Der, vol. 91, no. 10, pp. 920–925, 2020.
- [33] J. P. C. Chau, S. H. S. Lo, J. Zhao et al., "Factors associated with post-stroke depression in Chinese stroke survivors," *Journal* of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases, vol. 30, no. 11, Article ID 106076, 2021.
- [34] L. Gutknecht, S. Popp, J. Waider et al., "Interaction of brain 5-HT synthesis deficiency, chronic stress and sex differentially impact emotional behavior in Tph2 knockout mice," *Psychopharmacology*, vol. 232, no. 14, pp. 2429–2441, 2015.
- [35] M. Kubera, E. Obuchowicz, L. Goehler, J. Brzeszcz, and M. Maes, "In animal models, psychosocial stress-induced (neuro)inflammation, apoptosis and reduced neurogenesis are associated to the onset of depression," *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 744–759, 2011.
- [36] X. Peng, N. Zeng, X. P. Gong, L. Gou, Q. Tang, and J. W. Liu, "BDNF/CREB signaling mechanism of Xiaoyao SAN's antidepressant effect," *Chinese Medicine Pharmacology and Clinic*, vol. 28, no. 03, pp. 9–12, 2012.
- [37] L. Yu, S. W. Wu, Z. Z. Xuan et al., "Effect of Jiawei Xiaoyao Powder on the expression of brain gut peptide SS and GAS in depressed rats with gastrointestinal dysfunction," *Shi Zhen Chinese medicine*, vol. 28, no. 06, pp. 1290–1292, 2017.
- [38] H. C. Feng, C. M. Wang, M. Z. Tang et al., "Radix bupleuriantidepressant effect of total saponins of and the underlying mechanism on a mouse model of depression," *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 1097–1103, 2020.
- [39] M. Liu, G. Zhang, S. Naqvi et al., "Cytotoxicity of Saikosaponin A targets HEKa cell through apoptosis induction by ROS accumulation and inflammation suppression via NF-κB pathway," *International Immunopharmacology*, vol. 86, Article ID 106751, 2020.
- [40] Y. Feng, X. Gao, M. Meng, H. Xue, and X. Qin, "Multi-omics reveals the mechanisms of antidepressant-like effects of the low polarity fraction of Bupleuri Radix," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 256, Article ID 112806, 2020.
- [41] R. Sun, K. Wang, D. Wu, X. Li, and Y. Ou, "Original article Protective effect of paeoniflorin against glutamate-induced neurotoxicity in PC12 cells via Bcl-2/Bax signal pathway," *Folia Neuropathologica*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 270–276, 2012.
- [42] X.-L. Wang, S.-T. Feng, Y.-T. Wang, N.-H. Chen, Z.-Z. Wang, and Y. Zhang, "Paeoniflorin: a neuroprotective monoterpenoid glycoside with promising anti-depressive properties," *Phytomedicine*, vol. 90, Article ID 153669, 2021.

[43] Y. Chen, W. Wang, X. Fu et al., "Investigation of the antidepressant mechanism of combined Radix Bupleuri and Radix Paeoniae Alba treatment using proteomics analysis of liver tissue," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 1179, Article ID 122858, 2021.