



## Eicosanoids in Cancer: Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> Receptor 4 in Cancer Therapeutics and Immunotherapy

Mc Millan Ching<sup>1</sup>, Jocelyn Reader<sup>2,3</sup> and Amy M. Fulton<sup>3,4,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, <sup>2</sup> Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, <sup>3</sup> University of Maryland Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Maryland, Baltimore, Baltimore, MD, United States, <sup>4</sup> Department of Pathology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, <sup>5</sup> Baltimore Veterans Administration Medical Center, Baltimore, MD, United States

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Emanuela Ricciotti, University of Pennsylvania, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Hiromichi Fujino, Tokushima University, Japan Eva Maria Sturm, Medical University of Graz, Austria

> \*Correspondence: Amy M. Fulton afulton@som.umaryland.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Inflammation Pharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 28 January 2020 Accepted: 19 May 2020 Published: 29 May 2020

#### Citation:

Ching MM, Reader J and Fulton AM (2020) Eicosanoids in Cancer: Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> Receptor 4 in Cancer Therapeutics and Immunotherapy. Front. Pharmacol. 11:819. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00819 The cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) enzyme is frequently overexpressed in epithelial malignancies including those of the breast, prostate, lung, kidney, ovary, and liver and elevated expression is associated with worse outcomes. COX-2 catalyzes the metabolism of arachidonic acid to prostaglandins. The COX-2 product prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> (PGE<sub>2</sub>) binds to four G-protein-coupled EP receptors designated EP1-EP4. EP4 is commonly upregulated in cancer and supports cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and metastasis through activation of multiple signaling pathways including ERK, cAMP/PKA, PI3K/AKT, and NF-κB. EP4 antagonists inhibit metastasis in preclinical models. Cancer stem cells, that underlie therapy resistance and disease relapse, are driven by the expression of EP4. Resistance to several chemotherapies is reversed in the presence of EP4 antagonists. In addition to tumor cell-autonomous roles of EP4, many EP4-positive host cells play a role in tumor behavior. Endothelial cell-EP4 supports tumor angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. Natural Killer (NK) cells are critical to the mechanism by which systemically administered EP4 antagonists inhibit metastasis. PGE<sub>2</sub> acts on EP4 expressed on the NK cell to inhibit tumor target cell killing, cytokine production, and chemotactic activity. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), that inhibit the development of cytotoxic T cells, are induced by PGE2 acting on myeloid-expressed EP2 and EP4 receptors. Inhibition of MDSC-EP4 leads to maturation of effector T cells and suppresses the induction of T regulatory cells. A number of EP4 antagonists have proven useful in dissecting these mechanisms. There is growing evidence that EP4 antagonism, particularly in combination with either chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, or immunebased therapies, should be investigated further as a promising novel approach to cancer therapy. Several EP4 antagonists have now progressed to early phase clinical trials and we eagerly await the results of those studies.

Keywords: EP4, microenvironment, prostaglandin E2, immunotherapy, cancer

#### **EP4 IN THE MALIGNANT CELL**

The cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) enzyme catalyzes the ratelimiting step in the metabolism of arachidonic acid to prostaglandins. COX-2 is frequently overexpressed in epithelial malignancies and is associated with poor clinical outcomes. In cancer, the most abundant COX-2 product is prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> (PGE<sub>2</sub>) which binds four G-protein-coupled EP receptors (EP1-EP4) to activate several intracellular signaling pathways. EP4 is upregulated and contributes to the pathology of many human malignancies including those of the breast, prostate, colon, ovary, and lung (Reader et al., 2011; Majumder et al., 2018; Take et al., 2020). EP4 receptor signaling is linked to many properties of malignant cells including proliferation, migration, invasion, metastasis, angiogenesis, immune evasion, epithelial to mesenchymal transition, and cancer stem cell (CSC) properties. This review will summarize some of the growing evidence that EP4 contributes to cancer progression both in a tumor cell-autonomous manner and by modulating the behavior of EP4-positive host cells in the tumor microenvironment.

#### The Role of EP4 in Cell Proliferation

Heightened EP4 expression supports the proliferation of some, but not all malignancies. Many mechanisms contribute to the growth-promoting properties of the PGE<sub>2</sub>/EP4 axis. Exposure of cultured cancer cell lines to PGE<sub>2</sub> or specific EP4 agonists can lead to modest stimulation of cell growth; conversely, small molecule EP4 antagonists or EP4 gene targeting inhibits proliferation of some cell lines. For example, overexpression of EP4 in prostate cancer cells results in increased proliferation in vitro and in xenograft models (Terada et al., 2010). The proproliferative response is associated with the cAMP/PKA/PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (Xu et al., 2018). These findings support the continued investigation of EP4 as a potential target in castration-resistant prostate cancer. Mice transgenic for epithelial EP4 overexpression display more squamous cell carcinomas (Simper et al., 2014). An exception to the general conclusions regarding the tumor-promoting role of EP4 is in gastric carcinoma cell lines where administration of EP2 and EP4 agonists resulted in growth inhibition, decreased cell proliferation, and was accompanied by cAMP production. The possible role of EP antagonists was not investigated (Okuyama et al., 2002).

Elevated EP4 expression drives COX-2 expression and  $PGE_2$  secretion in uterine cervical cancer tissue, promoting colony formation and VEGF expression (Oh et al., 2009). In colorectal cancer, EP4 occupation leads to ERK activation supporting anchorage-independent growth and resistance to apoptosis that is reversed by small molecule EP4 antagonists ONO-AE3-208 and AH23848 (Hawcroft et al., 2007). Likewise, inhibition of the EP2 and EP4 receptors (with AH6809 and GW627368X, respectively) represses IGF-1-induced proliferation of pancreatic BxPC-3 cancer cells (Takahashi et al., 2019) and is accompanied by increased phospho-PKC- $\theta$  and decreased phospho-ERK (Takahashi et al., 2015).

#### The Role of EP4 in Cell Migration/Invasion/ Metastasis

Tumor dissemination is the chief cause of cancer mortality. Several early studies demonstrated that small molecule EP4 antagonists (AH23848; ONO-AE3-208) or EP4 gene silencing reduced metastatic potential in vivo in preclinical models of breast, prostate, colon, and lung cancer (Ma et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2018). The anti-metastatic activity is partially attributed to direct inhibition of tumor cell migration and invasion. For example, EP4-shRNA knockdown in lung cancer cells led to decreased cell migration in vitro by a βarrestin1-dependent mechanism (Kim et al., 2010). EP4 blockade in prostate cancer cells overexpressing EP4 resulted in reduced migration, invasion, and metastasis. Down-regulation of EP4 and EP2 receptors or the EP4 antagonist AH23848 inhibit migration and invasion of human colorectal carcinoma cells (Jeong et al., 2018). Conversely, agonism of EP4 promoted in vitro lung cancer cell migration (Kim et al., 2010). EP4 is coupled to several downstream signaling pathways. In prostate, colon, and renal cell carcinomas, EP4 supports cell proliferation and invasion via the cAMP-PKA/PI3K-AKT signaling pathway and this response is inhibited by L161982 (Zhang et al., 2017) by ONO-AE3-208 or Cayman 10598 (Kashiwagi et al., 2018) or by RQ15986 (Majumder et al., 2018). EP4 regulates cell migration through Orai1 Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling in human oral squamous carcinoma cell lines that is blocked by ONO-AE3-208; cancer metastasis was inhibited when EP4 gene expression was reduced (Osawa et al., 2020). In melanoma, EP4 agonism induces cell migration accompanied by accumulation of β-catenin and decreased expression of several metalloproteinases (Vaid et al., 2015). Knockdown of EP4 abolished the transendothelial migration and metastatic intravasation capacity in metastatic renal carcinoma (Zhang et al., 2017). EP4 agonists can induce and the EP4 antagonist GW627368x blocks EGFR-dependent degradation of the extracellular matrix that, if left unchecked, facilitates breast cancer invasion (Tönisen et al., 2017).

The COX2/EP4 pathway is coupled to induction of several proinflammatory cytokines; several are tumor-promoting. In prostate cancer, the inhibitory effects of the EP4 antagonist AH23848 were linked to downregulation of several cytokines (CCL2, IL6, and CXCL8) (Han et al., 2019). In a murine model of bone metastatic prostate cancer, the EP4 antagonist ONO-AE3-208 abrogated inflammation-dependent bone metastasis and bone loss (Watanabe et al., 2016). EP4 antagonism with the same compound also prevents bone loss in malignant melanoma cells *via* the suppression of osteoclasts (Takita et al., 2007).

# Cancer Stem Cells and EP4 in Chemoresistance

More recently, EP4 has been implicated in treatment resistance and as a driver of CSCs. Epigenetic activation of the EP4 receptor may support resistance to endocrine therapy in patients with breast cancer that is reversed by GW627368x (Hiken et al., 2017). Several labs have shown that EP4 is upregulated in malignant cells with stem-like properties and that treatment of tumorbearing mice with EP4 antagonists (RQ15986, AH23848, Frondoside A) reduces the number of CSC (Kundu et al., 2014; Majumder et al., 2014). A positive feedback loop involving EP4/ miR-526b and miR-655/COX-2 is critical to the mechanism by which EP4 supports breast CSC (Majumder et al., 2018). Exposure of MCF7 cells to EP4 agonists upregulated both miR species and was accompanied by activation of PI3K/AKT, ERK, and NF-KB pathways leading to increased cell proliferation, migration, invasion, spheroid formation, and epithelial to mesenchymal transition. EP4 can mediate the effects of hypoxia-driven mesenchymal stem cells in the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. This response is reversed in EP4 siRNA expressing cells or in the presence of GW627368x (Liu et al., 2019). The EP4 antagonist L161982 blocks the ability of enteric glial cells to stimulate colon CSCs via a PGE2/EP4/EGFRdependent pathway (Valès et al., 2019). Although the exact mechanism by which EP4 antagonism allows for various cancer cells to transition from the mesenchymal/CSC state to an epithelial phenotype is not clear, one group has proposed that EP4 antagonism with GW627368x results in extracellular vesicle-mediated clearance of CSC markers, integrins, and drug transporters (Lin et al., 2018).

There is growing interest in the potential of EP4 antagonists in combination with other therapies, including chemotherapy. Gynecologic uterine smooth muscle tumors are sensitized to docetaxel in the presence of the EP4 antagonists AH23848 or RQ15986 (Reader et al., 2019). In a model of oxaliplatin-resistant colon cancer, EP4 blockade with L161982 inhibited CSC markers and tumorsphere formation (Huang et al., 2019). Likewise, cisplatin-resistant gastric cancer cells are sensitized to cisplatin *via* the suppression of COX-2/EP4/ERK1/2/P38 signaling by L161982 (Lin et al., 2019).

## THE ROLE OF EP4 IN THE HOST RESPONSE TO MALIGNANCY

#### **Regulation of Lymphangiogenesis**

In addition to tumor cell-autonomous roles for EP4, many host cells express EP4 and should be considered in the context of systemic EP4 targeting. EP4 plays a role in activation of PGE<sub>2</sub> and COX-2 expression in rat mesenteric lymphatic endothelial cells (Nandi et al., 2017). EP4 antagonism with RQ15986 inhibits tumor growth and angiogenesis in a murine model of breast cancer (Majumder et al., 2014). In melanoma, PGE<sub>2</sub> acts on EP4 expressed on fibroblasts in the tumor microenvironment to regulate the release of angiogenic factors (Inada et al., 2015).

#### **Regulatory Function of EP4 on NK Cells**

Innate immunity plays a significant role in tumor control. Natural killer cells recognize and directly kill malignant cells and provide critical cytokines that promote the replication and activation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes. There are also critical interactions between NK and dendritic cells that further drive both innate and adaptive anti-tumor responses. Each of these functions is regulated by  $PGE_2$  acting on EP receptors expressed on the NK cell. While NK cells express each EP, the negative regulation by  $PGE_2$ , in the context of malignancy, is through EP4 and EP2 (Kundu et al., 2009; Holt et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013). EP4 antagonists AH23848, ONO-AE3-208, Frondoside A and RQ15986, or to a lesser extent, the EP2 antagonist AH6809, prevent  $PGE_2$ -mediated inhibition of NK cells.

In preclinical models of triple negative breast cancer, even when EP4 is directly inhibited in the malignant cell by shRNA targeting, the anti-metastatic activity is lost when NK cells are depleted in the host (Kundu et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2013). NK cells isolated from either patients or tumor-bearing mice are compromised regarding the ability to lysis target cells, produce critical cytokines and to migrate in response to chemotactic signals. NK recognition and lytic activity is triggered by the presence of activating ligands and the loss of inhibitory signals on the target cells; the latter are delivered chiefly through the expression of MHC class I antigens on the target cell. EP4 antagonists including Frondoside A, reduce surface expression of MHC class I antigens and, at the same time, increase sensitivity to NK-mediated lysis (Holt et al., 2012). PGE<sub>2</sub> prevents NKG2D, NCR, and CD16 activating receptors from triggering lysis (Martinet et al., 2010). Thyroid cancer-derived PGE<sub>2</sub> inhibits NK maturation as well as the expression of the NK receptors NK44, NK30, TRAIL, and NKG2D whereas NK inhibitory receptors are increased (Park et al., 2018). Either EP2 (AH6809) or EP4 (AH23848) antagonists block the inhibitory actions of PGE2 on NK cells. Administration of EP4 antagonists (RQ15986, AH23848, Frondoside A) to tumor bearing mice restores the profound suppression of NK activity (cytotoxic functions, cytokine production, chemotactic activity), even in the context of progressive tumor growth (Holt et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013). Thus, in both murine and human NK cells, the activating signals for lysis are reduced and the inhibitory signals are enhanced in response to PGE<sub>2</sub> and these inhibitory signals are reversed by EP4 blockade. Importantly, the ability of NK cells to control metastasis is restored.

In addition to direct inhibitory effects of tumor-PGE<sub>2</sub> on NK activities, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) can also negatively regulate NK functions. MDSC derived from patients with advanced melanoma inhibit the activity of co-cultured NK cells (Mao et al., 2014). PGE<sub>2</sub>, acting on EP2 and EP4 receptors on the MDSC, activates p38 MAPK/ERK pathways leading to secretion of TGF $\beta$  to inhibit NK cells. This mechanism of immune suppression is blocked by AH6809 or AH23848. The critical role of PGE<sub>2</sub> in regulating interactions between dendritic cells and NK cells was further elucidated in a recent study (Böttcher et al., 2018). In addition to MDSC regulating NK cells, NK cells can, in turn, recruit conventional type 1 dendritic cells that are critical to immune-mediated tumor control. cDC1 are recruited by the release of CCL5 and XCL1 from NK cells. The ability of PGE<sub>2</sub> to compromise NK functions also results in impaired recruitment of cDC1 to the tumor microenvironment in a COX-2 dependent manner.

## MDSC and M2 Macrophages Are Induced Through PGE2/EP Activation

MDSC can become the dominant immune cell population in progressively growing tumors. PGE<sub>2</sub> induces bone marrow stem cells to differentiate into Gr1+CD11b+ MDSCs but either EP2 (AH6809) or EP4 (AH23848) antagonists block the induction (Sinha et al., 2007). In several tumor models, an EP4 antagonist (E7046) similarly blocked the induction of MDSC and M2 macrophages in vivo (Albu et al., 2017). Novel mechanisms by which PGE<sub>2</sub>/EP4 induces MDSC have been reported (Rodríguez-Ubreva et al., 2017). PGE<sub>2</sub> upregulates DNA methyl transferase 3A (DNMT3A) resulting in hypermethylation and repression of immune-promoting genes, specifically in the MDSC population. Conversely, inhibition of DNMT3A results in loss of this pattern of hypermethylation and loss of the immune suppressive properties of MDSC. The ability of PGE<sub>2</sub> to induce DNMT3A and subsequent hypermethylation in MDSC was blocked in the presence of either EP2 (PF 04418948) or EP4 (L161982) inhibitors. Doxorubicin-resistant mammary tumor cells have higher levels of COX-2 and PGE<sub>2</sub> secretion than the Doxsensitive parental cells (Rong et al., 2016). These resistant cells more effectively induce MDSC and this induction is blocked by EP4 (ONO-AE3-208) or EP2 (AH6809) inhibitors. The microRNA miR-10a is key to the mechanism by which PGE<sub>2</sub> induces MDSC through a PKA/AMPK-dependent signaling pathway.

PGE<sub>2</sub> exerts anti-inflammatory activity on macrophages and monocytes. The EP4 antagonists L161,982 or CJ042794 inhibit LPS-induced production of TNFα by lung macrophages (Gill et al., 2016) or by expression of EP4 siRNA in human monocytic cells (Kashmiry et al., 2018). The acute inflammatory response is supported by M1 macrophages whereas resolution of inflammation is driven by M2 phenotype macrophages. PGE<sub>2</sub> plays a key role in the polarization of macrophages to the M2 phenotype resulting in poor induction of anti-tumor immunity. MicroRNA-21 negatively regulates M2 polarization by PGE<sub>2</sub> (Wang et al., 2015).

#### Actions of EP Blockade on Cytotoxic T Cells and T Regulatory Cells

Mammary epithelial COX-2-derived mediators contribute to protumor immune function, particularly through T lymphocyte and cytotoxic immune cell function (Markosyan et al., 2013). EP4 inhibitors, as monotherapy, do not typically result in marked inhibition of tumor growth in preclinical models of breast, colon, or pancreatic cancer, among others. The modest inhibition of growth of breast tumors is, however, dependent on CD8+ T cells (Albu et al., 2017). The mechanism is not by direct actions of the EP4 inhibitor E7046 on either CD8+ T cells or on T regulatory cells; rather it is likely explained by blockade of MDSC actions. The demonstration that EP4 blockade appears to synergize with checkpoint inhibitor blockade with anti-CTLA-4 antibody or, alternatively, with direct targeting of T regulatory cells with an IL-2/diphtheria toxin fusion product is very promising. The latter combination therapy resulted in an inflamed tumor microenvironment expressing T cell activating and T cell

recruiting chemokines including CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, and Ccl5/RANTES and MIP-1 $\alpha.$ 

## **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

There is growing evidence that EP4 represents a novel therapeutic target in cancer, both expressed on the malignant cell as well as on EP4-positive host cells. It is likely that EP4 antagonists would be used in combination with either cytotoxic therapies or with other immune-modulating strategies (for excellent reviews see Majumder et al., 2018; Take et al., 2020). There is growing evidence that EP4 blockade can reverse chemotherapy resistance in several tumor types and therefore combinations of EP4 antagonists with standard of care chemotherapy should be considered. Combinations of EP4 inhibitors with immune therapies are also being considered. NK cells are particularly important in the control of metastatic disease and, in preclinical models, EP4 antagonists reduce tumor dissemination by an NK-cell-dependent mechanism. Primary tumor control appears to be more dependent on CD8+ T cells. These studies and others suggest that EP4 inhibitors may be more effective when combined with immune based therapies rather than as monotherapy. In support of future combination clinical trials, Albu et al. showed that EP4 antagonism combined with either Treg depletion or with an antibody to CTLA-4 provided superior tumor control to either monotherapy (Albu et al., 2017). Cancer clinical trials to evaluate EP4 inhibitors are recruiting in trials of Grapiprant (ARY-007) in combination with pembrolizumab in subjects with either advanced MSS colorectal cancers (NCT03658772) or, in a separate trial in advanced NSCLC (NCT03696212) (Mizuno et al., 2019; Take et al., 2020). E7046 is being examined in a rectal cancer trial (NCT03152370) and BMS 986310 plus nivolumab is being investigated in a phase 1 trial in advanced solid tumors (NCT03661632). The possible role of EP4 in mediating resistance to endocrine therapies in breast cancer should also be explored further. Based on the ability of EP4 antagonists to inhibit CSCs and chemotherapy resistant cell lines, it is anticipated that clinical trials in these settings will also be forthcoming.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MC and AF drafted the manuscript. MC, AF, and JR contributed to manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

Studies in AF's laboratory was supported by the Department of Health and Human Services (USA); U.S. Department of Defense; U.S. Veterans Administration and the Maryland Department of Health. While at the University of Maryland, Baltimore, MC was supported by the STAR-PREP program of the National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

## REFERENCES

- Albu, D. I., Wang, Z., Huang, K.-C., Wu, J., Twine, N., Leacu, S., et al. (2017). EP4 Antagonism by E7046 diminishes myeloid immunosuppression and synergizes with Treg-reducing IL-2-Diphtheria toxin fusion protein in restoring antitumor immunity. *Oncoimmunology* 6, e1338239. doi: 10.1080/2162402X. 2017.1338239
- Böttcher, J. P., Bonavita, E., Chakravarty, P., Blees, H., Cabeza-Cabrerizo, M., Sammicheli, S., et al. (2018). NK cells stimulate recruitment of cDC1 into the tumor microenvironment promoting cancer immune control. *Cell* 172, 1022– 1037.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.004
- Gill, S. K., Yao, Y., Kay, L. J., Bewley, M. A., Marriott, H. M., and Peachell, P. T. (2016). The anti-inflammatory effects of PGE2 on human lung macrophages are mediated by the EP4 receptor. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 173, 3099–3109. doi: 10.1111/bph.13565
- Han, I.-H., Kim, J.-H., Jang, K.-S., and Ryu, J.-S. (2019). Inflammatory mediators of prostate epithelial cells stimulated with Trichomonas vaginalis promote proliferative and invasive properties of prostate cancer cells. *Prostate* 79, 1133– 1146. doi: 10.1002/pros.23826
- Hawcroft, G., Ko, C. W. S., and Hull, M. A. (2007). Prostaglandin E2-EP4 receptor signalling promotes tumorigenic behaviour of HT-29 human colorectal cancer cells. Oncogene 26, 3006–3019. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1210113
- Hiken, J. F., McDonald, J. I., Decker, K. F., Sanchez, C., Hoog, J., VanderKraats, N. D., et al. (2017). Epigenetic activation of the prostaglandin receptor EP4 promotes resistance to endocrine therapy for breast cancer. *Oncogene* 36, 2319–2327. doi: 10.1038/onc.2016.397
- Holt, D. M., Ma, X., Kundu, N., Collin, P. D., and Fulton, A. M. (2012). Modulation of host natural killer cell functions in breast cancer via prostaglandin E2 receptors EP2 and EP4. J. Immunother. 35, 179–188. doi: 10.1097/CJI.0b013e318247a5e9
- Huang, H., Aladelokun, O., Ideta, T., Giardina, C., Ellis, L. M., and Rosenberg, D. W. (2019). Inhibition of PGE2/EP4 receptor signaling enhances oxaliplatin efficacy in resistant colon cancer cells through modulation of oxidative stress. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 4954. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40848-4
- Inada, M., Takita, M., Yokoyama, S., Watanabe, K., Tominari, T., Matsumoto, C., et al. (2015). Direct melanoma cell contact induces stromal cell autocrine prostaglandin E2-EP4 receptor signaling that drives tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 29781–29793. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.M115:669481
- Jeong, J.-W., Park, C., Cha, H.-J., Hong, S. H., Park, S.-H., Kim, G.-Y., et al. (2018). Cordycepin inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced cell migration and invasion in human colorectal carcinoma HCT-116 cells through down-regulation of prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4. *BMB Rep.* 51, 532–537. doi: 10.5483/ BMBRep.2018.51.10.120
- Kashiwagi, E., Inoue, S., Mizushima, T., Chen, J., Ide, H., Kawahara, T., et al. (2018). Prostaglandin receptors induce urothelial tumourigenesis as well as bladder cancer progression and cisplatin resistance presumably via modulating PTEN expression. Br. J. Cancer 118, 213–223. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2017.393
- Kashmiry, A., Tate, R., Rotondo, G., Davidson, J., and Rotondo, D. (2018). The prostaglandin EP4 receptor is a master regulator of the expression of PGE2 receptors following inflammatory activation in human monocytic cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids* 1863, 1297–1304. doi: 10.1016/ j.bbalip.2018.07.003
- Kim, J. I., Lakshmikanthan, V., Frilot, N., and Daaka, Y. (2010). Prostaglandin E2 promotes lung cancer cell migration via EP4-betaArrestin1-c-Src signalsome. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 8, 569–577. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-09-0511
- Kundu, N., Ma, X., Holt, D., Goloubeva, O., Ostrand-Rosenberg, S., and Fulton, A. M. (2009). Antagonism of the prostaglandin E receptor EP4 inhibits metastasis and enhances NK function. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 117, 235–242. doi: 10.1007/ s10549-008-0180-5
- Kundu, N., Ma, X., Kochel, T., Goloubeva, O., Staats, P., Thompson, K., et al. (2014). Prostaglandin E receptor EP4 is a therapeutic target in breast cancer cells with stem-like properties. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 143, 19–31. doi: 10.1007/s10549-013-2779-4
- Lin, M.-C., Chen, S.-Y., He, P.-L., Herschman, H., and Li, H.-J. (2018). PGE2 /EP4 antagonism enhances tumor chemosensitivity by inducing extracellular vesicle-mediated clearance of cancer stem cells. *Int. J. Cancer* 143, 1440– 1455. doi: 10.1002/ijc.31523

- Lin, X.-M., Li, S., Zhou, C., Li, R.-Z., Wang, H., Luo, W., et al. (2019). Cisplatin induces chemoresistance through the PTGS2-mediated anti-apoptosis in gastric cancer. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 116, 105610. doi: 10.1016/ j.biocel.2019.105610
- Liu, Y., Ren, H., Zhou, Y., Shang, L., Zhang, Y., Yang, F., et al. (2019). The hypoxia conditioned mesenchymal stem cells promote hepatocellular carcinoma progression through YAP mediated lipogenesis reprogramming. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 38, 228. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1219-7
- Ma, X., Kundu, N., Rifat, S., Walser, T., and Fulton, A. M. (2006). Prostaglandin E receptor EP4 antagonism inhibits breast cancer metastasis. *Cancer Res.* 66, 2923–2927. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-4348
- Ma, X., Holt, D., Kundu, N., Reader, J., Goloubeva, O., Take, Y., et al. (2013). A prostaglandin E (PGE) receptor EP4 antagonist protects natural killer cells from PGE2-mediated immunosuppression and inhibits breast cancer metastasis. Oncoimmunology 2, e22647. doi: 10.4161/onci.22647
- Majumder, M., Xin, X., Liu, L., Girish, G. V., and Lala, P. K. (2014). Prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4 as the common target on cancer cells and macrophages to abolish angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, metastasis, and stem-like cell functions. *Cancer Sci.* 105, 1142–1151. doi: 10.1111/cas.12475
- Majumder, M., Nandi, P., Omar, A., Ugwuagbo, K. C., and Lala, P. K. (2018). EP4 as a Therapeutic Target for Aggressive Human Breast Cancer. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19, 1019–1038. doi: 10.3390/ijms19041019
- Mao, Y., Sarhan, D., Steven, A., Seliger, B., Kiessling, R., and Lundqvist, A. (2014). Inhibition of tumor-derived prostaglandin-e2 blocks the induction of myeloidderived suppressor cells and recovers natural killer cell activity. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 20, 4096–4106. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0635
- Markosyan, N., Chen, E. P., Evans, R. A., Ndong, V., Vonderheide, R. H., and Smyth, E. M. (2013). Mammary Carcinoma Cell Derived Cyclooxygenase 2 Suppresses Tumor Immune Surveillance by Enhancing Intratumoral Immune Checkpoint Activity. *Breast Cancer Res.* 15, 1186–1199. doi: 10.1186/bcr3469
- Martinet, L., Jean, C., Dietrich, G., Fournié, J.-J., and Poupot, R. (2010). PGE2 inhibits natural killer and gamma delta T cell cytotoxicity triggered by NKR and TCR through a cAMP-mediated PKA type I-dependent signaling. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 80, 838–845. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2010.05.002
- Mizuno, R., Kawada, K., and Sakai, Y. (2019). Prostaglandin E2/EP signaling in the tumor microenvironment of colorectal cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 6254–6269. doi: 10.3390/ijms20246254
- Nandi, P., Girish, G. V., Majumder, M., Xin, X., Tutunea-Fatan, E., and Lala, P. K. (2017). PGE2 promotes breast cancer-associated lymphangiogenesis by activation of EP4 receptor on lymphatic endothelial cells. *BMC Cancer* 17, 11. doi: 10.1186/s12885-016-3018-2
- Oh, J.-M., Kim, S.-H., Lee, Y.-I., Seo, M., Kim, S.-Y., Song, Y.-S., et al. (2009). Human papillomavirus E5 protein induces expression of the EP4 subtype of prostaglandin E2 receptor in cyclic AMP response element-dependent pathways in cervical cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* 30, 141–149. doi: 10.1093/ carcin/bgn236
- Okuyama, T., Ishihara, S., Sato, H., Rumi, M., Kawashima, K., Miyaoka, Y., et al. (2002). Activation of prostaglandin E2-receptor EP2 and EP4 pathways induces growth inhibition in human gastric carcinoma cell lines. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 140, 92–102. doi: 10.1067/mlc.2002.125784
- Osawa, K., Umemura, M., Nakakaji, R., Tanaka, R., Islam, R. M., Nagasako, A., et al. (2020). Prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4 regulates cell migration through Orai1. *Cancer Sci.* 111, 160–174. doi: 10.1111/cas.14247
- Park, A., Lee, Y., Kim, M. S., Kang, Y. J., Park, Y.-J., Jung, H., et al. (2018). Prostaglandin E2 secreted by thyroid cancer cells contributes to immune escape through the suppression of Natural Killer (NK) cell cytotoxicity and NK cell differentiation. *Front. Immunol.* 9, 1859. doi: 10.3389/fimmu. 2018.01859
- Reader, J., Holt, D., and Fulton, A. (2011). Prostaglandin E2 EP receptors as therapeutic targets in breast cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 30, 449–463. doi: 10.1007/s10555-011-9303-2
- Reader, J., Harper, A. K., Legesse, T., Staats, P. N., Goloubeva, O., Rao, G. G., et al. (2019). EP4 and Class III β-Tubulin expression in uterine smooth muscle tumors: Implications for prognosis and treatment. *Cancers (Basel)* 11, 1590– 1606. doi: 10.3390/cancers11101590
- Rodríguez-Ubreva, J., Català-Moll, F., Obermajer, N., Álvarez-Errico, D., Ramirez, R. N., Company, C., et al. (2017). Prostaglandin E2 Leads to the Acquisition of

DNMT3A-Dependent Tolerogenic Functions in Human Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells. Cell Rep. 21, 154–167. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.09.018

- Rong, Y., Yuan, C.-H., Qu, Z., Zhou, H., Guan, Q., Yang, N., et al. (2016). Doxorubicin resistant cancer cells activate myeloid-derived suppressor cells by releasing PGE2. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 23824. doi: 10.1038/srep23824
- Simper, M. S., Rundhaug, J. E., Mikulec, C., Bowen, R., Shen, J., Lu, Y., et al. (2014). The tumor promoting activity of the EP4 receptor for prostaglandin E2 in murine skin. *Mol. Oncol.* 8, 1626–1639. doi: 10.1016/j.molonc.2014.06.013
- Sinha, P., Clements, V. K., Fulton, A. M., and Ostrand-Rosenberg, S. (2007). Prostaglandin E2 promotes tumor progression by inducing myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Cancer Res.* 67, 4507–4513. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4174
- Tönisen, F., Perrin, L., Bayarmagnai, B., van den Dries, K., Cambi, A., and Gligorijevic, B. (2017). EP4 receptor promotes invadopodia and invasion in human breast cancer. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 96, 218–226. doi: 10.1016/ j.ejcb.2016.12.005
- Takahashi, T., Uehara, H., Ogawa, H., Umemoto, H., Bando, Y., and Izumi, K. (2015). Inhibition of EP2/EP4 signaling abrogates IGF-1R-mediated cancer cell growth: involvement of protein kinase C-θ activation. *Oncotarget* 6, 4829–4844. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.3104
- Takahashi, T., Ichikawa, H., Morimoto, Y., Tsuneyama, K., and Hijikata, T. (2019). Inhibition of EP2/EP4 prostanoid receptor-mediated signaling suppresses IGF-1-induced proliferation of pancreatic cancer BxPC-3 cells via upregulating γglutamyl cyclotransferase expression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 516, 388–396. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.06.054
- Take, Y., Koizumi, S., and Nagahisa, A. (2020). Prostaglandin E receptor 4 antagonist in cancer immunotherapy: mechanisms of action. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 324. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00324
- Takita, M., Inada, M., Maruyama, T., and Miyaura, C. (2007). Prostaglandin E receptor EP4 antagonist suppresses osteolysis due to bone metastasis of mouse malignant melanoma cells. *FEBS Lett.* 581, 565–571. doi: 10.1016/ j.febslet.2007.01.005
- Terada, N., Shimizu, Y., Kamba, T., Inoue, T., Maeno, A., Kobayashi, T., et al. (2010). Identification of EP4 as a potential target for the treatment of castration-resistant prostate cancer using a novel xenograft model. *Cancer Res.* 70, 1606–1615. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2984
- Vaid, M., Singh, T., Prasad, R., Kappes, J. C., and Katiyar, S. K. (2015). Therapeutic intervention of proanthocyanidins on the migration capacity of melanoma

cells is mediated through PGE2 receptors and  $\beta$ -catenin signaling molecules. *Am. J. Cancer Res.* 5, 3325–3338.

- Valès, S., Bacola, G., Biraud, M., Touvron, M., Bessard, A., Geraldo, F., et al. (2019). Tumor cells hijack enteric glia to activate colon cancer stem cells and stimulate tumorigenesis. *EBioMedicine* 49, 172–188. doi: 10.1016/ j.ebiom.2019.09.045
- Wang, Z., Brandt, S., Medeiros, A., Wang, S., Wu, H., Dent, A., et al. (2015). MicroRNA 21 is a homeostatic regulator of macrophage polarization and prevents prostaglandin E2-mediated M2 generation. *PloS One* 10, e0115855. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115855
- Watanabe, K., Tominari, T., Hirata, M., Matsumoto, C., Maruyama, T., Murphy, G., et al. (2016). Abrogation of prostaglandin E-EP4 signaling in osteoblasts prevents the bone destruction induced by human prostate cancer metastases. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 478, 154–161. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.07.075
- Xu, S., Zhou, W., Ge, J., and Zhang, Z. (2018). Prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4 is involved in the cell growth and invasion of prostate cancer via the cAMP-PKA/ PI3K-Akt signaling pathway. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 17, 4702–4712. doi: 10.3892/ mmr.2018.8415
- Yang, L., Huang, Y., Porta, R., Yanagisawa, K., Gonzalez, A., Segi, E., et al. (2006). Host and direct antitumor effects and profound reduction in tumor metastasis with selective EP4 receptor antagonism. *Cancer Res.* 66, 9665–9672. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1271
- Zhang, Y., Thayele Purayil, H., Black, J. B., Fetto, F., Lynch, L. D., Masannat, J. N., et al. (2017). Prostaglandin E2 receptor 4 mediates renal cell carcinoma intravasation and metastasis. *Cancer Lett.* 391, 50–58. doi: 10.1016/ j.canlet.2017.01.007

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Ching, Reader and Fulton. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.