BRIEF REPORT

Trypanosoma cruzi Reactivation After Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Therapy

Bayan Alahmdi, $^{1,a,\oplus}$ Avneet Kaur, 2,a Samantha E. Jacobs, 3 Timothy Sullivan, $^{3,\oplus}$ Maya Barghash, 4 and Sarah Taimur $^{3,\oplus}$

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, ²Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, City of Hope, Duarte, California, USA, ³Division of Infectious Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA, and ⁴Division of Cardiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

Graphical Abstract



https://tidbitapp.io/tidbits/trypanosoma-cruzi-reactivation-post-chimeric-antigen-receptor-t-cell-therapy/update

Keywords. chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy; diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; hematopoietic cell transplant; *Trypanosoma cruzi*.

Reactivation of *Trypanosoma cruzi* is well described among solid organ transplant [1] and hematopoietic cell transplant [2, 3] recipients who are chronically infected. Although less commonly reported, reactivation illness can occur in patients who are seropositive, chronically infected, and undergoing chemotherapy for leukemia or lymphoma, as well as those prescribed immunosuppressive therapies for autoimmune conditions [4–6]. Guidelines

Received 26 June 2023; editorial decision 12 December 2023; published online 23 January 2024

^aB. A. and A. K. contributed equally to the article.

Correspondence: Sarah Taimur, MD, Division of Infectious Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1 Gustave L. Levy PI, New York, NY 10029 (sarah.taimur@mssm. edu); Samantha Jacobs, MD, Division of Infectious Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1 Gustave L. Levy PI, New York NY 10029 (samantha.jacobs1@mssm.edu).

Open Forum Infectious Diseases[®]

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofad698



recommend *T cruzi* serologic screening for donors and recipients of solid organ transplant and hematopoietic cell transplant based on epidemiologic risk, with preemptive monitoring for donor-derived and reactivation illness in the recipients [7–9].

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy has emerged as one of the most promising treatments for B-cell malignancies, including acute lymphocytic leukemia and B-cell lymphoma. These patients are at increased risk of infection due to immunodeficiency related to refractory malignancy, prior cancer therapies, and lymphodepleting conditioning chemotherapy [10]. Furthermore, CAR T cells can induce a range of specific adverse events, such as cytokine release syndrome and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome, and the use of immunosuppressives for the management of these treatment-associated toxicities enhances the global immunodeficiency and associated infection risk observed in CAR T-cell recipients [11, 12]. As the indication for CAR T-cell therapy expands beyond relapsed/ refractory B-cell malignancies to include refractory multiple myeloma, the spectrum and risk of infectious complications are expected to evolve [13]. Considering the heterogeneous nature of CAR T-cell recipients, individual patient characteristics become vital in assessing the infectious risk associated with this innovative therapy [12]. Infectious complications following CAR T-cell therapy include predominantly bacterial and respiratory viral infections, but there are increasing reports of Cytomegalovirus and fungal infections [10, 12, 14]. Recommendations for infection screening in candidates of CAR T-cell therapy were published in a recent position article, and they include screening for chronic T cruzi infection based on the patient's geographic risk [14].

We report the first case of *T cruzi* reactivation in a patient with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma who was seropositive and chronically infected, which occurred following CAR T-cell therapy. This case highlights a global and emerging infectious disease problem due to the increasing use of CAR T-cell therapy in patients with B-cell malignancies and the rising prevalence of Chagas disease in nonendemic areas of the world.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 64-year-old woman originally from Colombia presented with refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Her initial treatment for lymphoma included R-CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone, rituximab) plus intrathecal methotrexate. Subsequently, she received bendamustine plus rituximab and ifosfamide-carboplatin-etoposide plus rituximab for relapsed disease. After 2 years of chemotherapy, her bone marrow biopsy showed residual disease, which led to evaluation for CD-19-targeted CAR T-cell therapy for refractory diffuse



Figure 1. Trend of post-CAR T-cell immune markers and Trypanosoma cruzi polymerase chain reaction positivity. CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; CT, cycle threshold.

large B-cell lymphoma. The patient underwent lymphodepleting conditioning with lenalidomide, dexamethasone, fludarabine, and cyclophosphamide, followed by the infusion of CD-19-targeted CAR T cells (axicabtagene ciloleucel) [15]. During the treatment, she experienced neutropenia for 9 days, with the lowest absolute neutrophil count of $300 \times 10^3/\mu$ L (range, $300-800 \times$ $10^3/\mu$ L). Before CAR T-cell therapy, 2-step serologic testing for T cruzi was performed and resulted positive. Confirmatory T cruzi serology was performed at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Parasitic Diseases Laboratory [15]. To monitor for T cruzi reactivation following lymphodepleting conditioning and CAR T-cell infusion, planned preemptive surveillance for reactivation by blood polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and buffy coat microscopy was pursued. Reactivation of T cruzi was detected 30 days after CAR T-cell infusion on surveillance PCR testing performed at the CDC Parasitic Diseases Laboratory (see Supplementary Table 1) [15, 16]. Following the detection of reactivation, she was evaluated by cardiology for end-organ disease due to T cruzi. The patient was noted to have frequent premature ventricular contractions on her pretreatment electrocardiogram, which persisted without change during the period of reactivation. Transthoracic echocardiography in comparison with pre-CAR T-cell study showed unchanged ejection fraction of 40% and moderate left ventricular dysfunction, with no wall motion abnormalities. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging showed similar findings and no myocardial scar or fibrosis. These cardiac findings were attributed to toxicity from prior anthracycline-based chemotherapy, and the patient was established in care for long-term management and monitoring of her cardiac function.

The patient's *T cruzi* PCR result remained stable but persistently positive based on CDC laboratory threshold values [15], which led to the initiation of benznidazole dosed at 5 mg/kg/d (300 mg/d divided into 2 daily doses) on day 130 after CAR T-cell therapy. During the course of benznidazole, serial clinical assessment,

2 • OFID • BRIEF REPORT

drug toxicity monitoring (weekly complete blood count and liver enzymes), and blood *T cruzi* PCR plus microscopy were conducted. The patient remained asymptomatic during the reactivation period; blood smears were negative for trypomastigotes; and there was no escalation in the level of blood PCR positivity. The patient tolerated benznidazole without any adverse events, and the PCR positivity resolved by day 148 after CAR T-cell therapy. The trend of parasitologic and immunologic markers in relation to CAR T-cell therapy is illustrated in Figure 1. The patient completed the full 60-day course of benznidazole and, at the last oncology follow-up (722 days after CAR T-cell therapy), was reported feeling well and showed no signs of recurrent malignancy. She remained under cardiology care with no interval issues reported.

DISCUSSION

T cruzi represents a substantial burden among parasitic diseases in the Western hemisphere. In the United States, approximately 300 000 individuals are estimated to be infected with *T* cruzi [17]. While the majority of infections have the indeterminate form of the disease (ie, asymptomatic), around 30% can develop progression over the lifetime to determinate disease forms, most commonly involving the cardiac and/or digestive system [16]. Humoral and cell-mediated immune response to *T* cruzi can be detected in humans who are infected, but the exact mechanism that leads to the transition of an asymptomatic infection to symptomatic Chagas disease is unclear. Experimental data suggest the important function that several cell types and molecules within the innate and adaptive immune response serve to control parasitemia, such as Toll-like receptor signaling pathways, CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell subsets, and interferon γ [18].

T cruzi reactivation in our patient was diagnosed on planned preemptive monitoring following CAR T-cell therapy. While CAR T cells themselves can cause profound B-cell immunodeficiency, lymphodepleting conditioning likely played a significant role, and T cruzi reactivation following various types of chemotherapy in patients with hematologic malignancies has been reported [3–5].

Our patient also had low-level stable PCR positivity without the typical manifestations of T cruzi reactivation, such as signs of end-organ disease, the presence of T cruzi trypomastigotes on peripheral blood smear/buffy coat, or a rising parasite load based on PCR cycle threshold values. Due to the unclear clinical significance of this finding, benznidazole treatment was delayed but ultimately pursued because of the persistent immunosuppressed state after CAR T-cell therapy and the concern for possible progression to symptomatic T cruzi infection. While we believe that it was appropriate to treat our patient for T cruzi reactivation, we acknowledge that low-level PCR positivity does not necessarily equate to reactivation and that persistent or intermittent low-level PCR positivity has been seen in immunocompetent cases with various stages of chronic T cruzi infection [19-22]. As more patients with hematologic malignancy are diagnosed with T cruzi infection through targeted pretreatment screening and after CAR T-cell monitoring, we hope that there will be more clarity on the clinical significance of asymptomatic low-level T cruzi DNAemia, as seen in our patient, to inform treatment guidance.

In this era of targeted immune-based therapies, CAR T-cell therapy stands as a promising option for hematologic malignancies. Our understanding of the risk factors and microbiologic spectrum of infections in these patients is evolving. As CAR T-cell therapy gains wider adoption, the incidence of infectious complications, including parasitic infections, is expected to rise. Furthermore, due to the increasing geographic diversity in individuals seeking CAR T-cell and other targeted immunotherapies, it is crucial that our clinical management include evaluation for lifetime endemic exposures and the institution of posttreatment preemptive monitoring and/or prophylaxis targeted to endemic pathogens.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of T *cruzi* reactivation following lymphodepleting conditioning and CAR T-cell therapy. This case supports the recommendations made by Hill et al [10] of targeted screening based on epidemiologic risk and planned preemptive monitoring following CAR T-cell therapy.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Acknowledgments. We acknowledge Susan P. Montgomery, DVM, MPH, from the Parasitic Diseases Branch at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, who assisted with PCR testing for the patient and with editing the manuscript.

Patient consent statement. Written informed consent was not obtained from the patient as the study involved presentation of anonymized data that did not reveal the patient's identity. Therefore, the patient's privacy and confidentiality were protected, and no potential harm or risk was posed to the patient.

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: No reported conflicts.

References

- Gray EB, La Hoz RM, Green JS, et al. Reactivation of Chagas disease among heart transplant recipients in the United States, 2012–2016. Transpl Infect Dis 2018; 20: e12996.
- Fabiani S, Fortunato S, Petrini M, Bruschi F. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients and parasitic diseases: a review of the literature of clinical cases and perspectives to screen and follow-up active and latent chronic infections. Transpl Infect Dis 2017; 19:e12669.
- Guiang KM, Cantey P, Montgomery SP, et al. Reactivation of Chagas disease in a bone marrow transplant patient: case report and review of screening and management. Transpl Infect Dis 2013; 15:E264–7.
- Rezende REF, Lescano MA, Ramalho LNZ, et al. Reactivation of Chagas' disease in a patient with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: gastric, oesophageal and laryngeal involvement. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2006; 100:74–8.
- Kohl S, Pickering LK, Frankel LS, Yaeger RG. Reactivation of Chagas' disease during therapy of acute lymphocytic leukemia. Cancer 1982; 50:827–8.
- Czech MM, Nayak AK, Subramanian K, et al. Reactivation of Chagas disease in a patient with an autoimmune rheumatic disease: case report and review of the literature. Open Forum Infect Dis 2021; 8:ofaa642.
- Pinazo MJ, Miranda B, Rodríguez-Villar C, et al. Recommendations for management of Chagas disease in organ and hematopoietic tissue transplantation programs in nonendemic areas. Transplant Rev (Orlando) 2011; 25: 91–101.
- Tomblyn M, Chiller T, Einsele H, et al. Guidelines for preventing infectious complications among hematopoietic cell transplantation recipients: a global perspective. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2009; 15:1143–238.
- La Hoz RM, Morris MI. Tissue and blood protozoa including toxoplasmosis, Chagas disease, leishmaniasis, *Babesia, Acanthamoeba, Balamuthia*, and *Naegleria* in solid organ transplant recipients—guidelines from the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases community of practice. Clin Transpl 2019; 33:e13546.
- Hill JA, Li D, Hay KA, et al. Infectious complications of CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor-modified T-cell immunotherapy. Blood 2018; 131:121–30.
- Wudhikarn K, Perales MA. Infectious complications, immune reconstitution, and infection prophylaxis after CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy. Bone Marrow Transpl 2022; 57:1477–88.
- Kambhampati S, Sheng Y, Huang CY, et al. Infectious complications in patients with relapsed refractory multiple myeloma after BCMA CAR T-cell therapy. Blood Adv 2022; 6:2045–54.
- Gea-Banacloche JC. Infectious complications of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapies. Semin Hematol 2023; 60:52–8.
- Kampouri E, Little JS, Rejeski K, Manuel O, Hammond SP, Hill JA. Infections after chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)–T-cell therapy for hematologic malignancies. Transpl Infect Dis 2023; 25:e14157.
- Food and Drug Administration. YESCARTA (axicabtagene ciloleucel). Available at: https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/cellular-gene-therapy-products/ yescarta-axicabtagene-ciloleucel. Accessed 27 January 2022.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. American trypanosomiasis. 16 June 2021. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/dpdx/trypanosomiasisamerican/index. html.
- Qvarnstrom Y, Schijman AG, Veron V, Aznar C, Steurer F, da Silva AJ. Sensitive and specific detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* DNA in clinical specimens using a multi-target real-time PCR approach. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2012; 6:e1689.
- Bern C, Montgomery SP. An estimate of the burden of Chagas disease in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 49:e52–4.
- Boscardin SB, Torrecilhas AC, Manarin R, et al. Chagas' disease: an update on immune mechanisms and therapeutic strategies. J Cell Mol Med 2010; 14:1373–84.
- Morillo CA, Marin-Neto JA, Avezum A, et al. Randomized trial of benznidazole for chronic Chagas' cardiomyopathy. New Engl J Med 2015; 373:1295–306.
- Morillo CA, Waskin H, Sosa-Estani S, et al. Benznidazole and posaconazole in eliminating parasites in asymptomatic *T cruzi* carriers: the STOP-CHAGAS trial. J Am Coll Cardiol **2017**; 69:939–47.
- 22. Torrico F, Gascón J, Barreira F, et al. New regimens of benznidazole monotherapy and in combination with fosravuconazole for treatment of Chagas disease (BENDITA): a phase 2, double-blind, randomised trial. Lancet Infect Dis 2021; 21:1129–40.