

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Diethyl 6*H*,12*H*-5,11-methanodibenzo-  
[*b*,*f*][1,5]diazocine-1,7-dicarboxylateM. Delower H. Bhuiyan,<sup>a</sup> Jack K. Clegg<sup>b</sup> and Andrew C. Try<sup>a\*</sup><sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biomolecular Sciences, Building F7B, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia, and <sup>b</sup>Crystal Structure Analysis Facility, School of Chemistry, F11, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: andrew.try@mq.edu.au


Received 17 December 2008; accepted 17 December 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 150$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.036;  $wR$  factor = 0.099; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.2.

In the molecule of the title compound,  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ , the 1,7-diethyl ester analogue of Tröger's base, the dihedral angle between the two benzene rings is  $93.16$  ( $3$ )°; the molecule is  $\text{C}_2$  symmetric.

## Related literature

For background to the synthesis of Tröger's base products, see: Hansson *et al.* (2003); Solano *et al.* (2005); Bhuiyan *et al.* (2007); Didier & Sergeev (2007); Zhu *et al.* (2008); Vande Velde *et al.* (2008). For related structures, see: Faroughi *et al.* (2006); Bhuiyan *et al.* (2006).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$   
 $M_r = 366.41$   
 Monoclinic,  $C2/c$   
 $a = 14.306$  (3) Å  
 $b = 9.251$  (2) Å  
 $c = 15.081$  (4) Å  
 $\beta = 118.149$  (4)°

$V = 1759.8$  (7) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 4$   
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 0.10$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 150$  (2) K  
 $0.47 \times 0.30 \times 0.19$  mm

## Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD  
 diffractometer  
 Absorption correction: multi-scan  
 (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.856$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.980$

8475 measured reflections  
 2135 independent reflections  
 1925 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.020$

## Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.099$   
 $S = 1.04$   
 2135 reflections

124 parameters  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.33$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.19$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: SAINT and XPREP (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare *et al.* 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and WinGX32 (Farrugia, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: enCIFer (Allen *et al.*, 2004).

The authors thank the Australian Research Council for a Discovery Project grant to ACT (DP0345180) and Macquarie University for the award of a Macquarie University Research Development grant to ACT and the award of an iMURS grant to MDHB.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ZL2169).

## References

- Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **37**, 335–338.
- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 115–119.
- Bhuiyan, M. D. H., Jensen, P. & Try, A. C. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o4393.
- Bhuiyan, M. D. H., Try, A. C., Klepetko, J. & Turner, P. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* **E62**, o4887–o4888.
- Didier, D. & Sergeev, S. (2007). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 3905–3910.
- Faroughi, M., Try, A. C. & Turner, P. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* **E62**, o3479–o3480.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.
- Hansson, A. P., Jensen, J., Wendt, O. F. & Wärnmark, K. (2003). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 3179–3188.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Siemens (1995). SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Solano, C., Svensson, D., Olomi, Z., Jensen, J., Wendt, O. F. & Wärnmark, K. (2005). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 3510–3517.
- Vande Velde, C. M. L., Didier, D., Blockhuys, F. & Sergeev, S. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o538.
- Zhu, K.-X., Craig, D. C. & Try, A. C. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o1797.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2009). E65, o187 [ doi:10.1107/S1600536808042967 ]

## Diethyl 6*H*,12*H*-5,11-methanodibenzo[*b,f*][1,5]diazocine-1,7-dicarboxylate

M. D. H. Bhuiyan, J. K. Clegg and A. C. Try

### Comment

Dibenzo Tröger's base analogues are formed from the acid catalysed condensation of an aniline with either formaldehyde or formaldehyde equivalents. It was a long-held belief that a *para*-substituent was required on the aniline to prevent polymerization during the Tröger's base reaction and that the presence of an electron-withdrawing group would result in negligible yields of Tröger's base products. These beliefs have been proved to be incorrect, with the synthesis of tetranitro- (Bhuiyan *et al.*, 2007) and octafluoro- (Vande Velde *et al.*, 2008) analogues (in yields of 11% and 37%, respectively), and the synthesis of Tröger's base analogues from 2- and 3-substituted anilines lacking a substituent in the *para*-position (Hansson *et al.*, 2003), and even from aniline itself (Didier & Sergeev, 2007). The title compound is another example of a Tröger's base analogue unsubstituted in the 2,8-positions. An important feature of all Tröger's base analogues is the V-shaped structure of the compounds. The dihedral angle between the aromatic rings has been measured for over 25 simple dibenzo Tröger's base analogues and has been found to lie between 82° (Solano *et al.*, 2005) and 110° (Zhu *et al.*, 2008). The X-ray structures of two related Tröger's base esters have also been reported (Faroughi *et al.*, 2006; Bhuiyan *et al.*, 2006). It is noteworthy that the title compound was the sole Tröger's base analogue isolated from the reaction and results from carbon-carbon bond formation at the more hindered *ortho*-site, relative to the aniline amino group.

The title compound, Fig. 1, crystallizes in space group *C2/c* and it was prepared as outlined in Fig. 2.

### Experimental

Ethyl 3-aminobenzoate (2.0 g, 12.1 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (582 mg, 19.38 mmol) were dissolved in trifluoroacetic acid (75 ml) and the mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere in the dark 7 days. The reaction mixture was then basified with a solution of concentrated ammonia (80 ml) in water (120 ml). A saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (100 ml) was added and the crude material was extracted into ethyl acetate (3 × 75 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 ml), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and evaporated to dryness to yield an orange solid. The crude material was purified by recrystallization from hexane to afford the title compound (760 mg, 34%) as a white solid and a racemic mixture, m.p. 441–443 K.

Single crystals of the title compound were produced by slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution.

### Refinement

C-bound H atoms were included in idealized positions and refined using a riding model. Methylene, aromatic and methyl C—H bond lengths were fixed at 0.99, 0.95 and 0.98 Å, respectively.  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H})$  values were fixed at  $1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$  for methylene and aromatic H atoms, and at  $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$  for methyl H atoms.

## Figures




Fig. 1. View of the title compound, showing the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Symmetry code used to generate equivalent atoms: 2-x, y, 1.5-z.



Fig. 2. Synthetic scheme for the synthesis of the title compound showing the numbering system used in naming the compound.

## Diethyl 6*H*,12*H*-5,11-methanodibenzo[*b*,*f*][1,5]diazocine- 1,7-dicarboxylate

### Crystal data

$C_{21}H_{22}N_2O_4$	$F_{000} = 776$
$M_r = 366.41$	$D_x = 1.383 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Melting point: 441 K
Hall symbol: $-C 2yc$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 14.306 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$b = 9.251 (2) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 5209 reflections
$c = 15.081 (4) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.7\text{--}28.5^\circ$
$\beta = 118.149 (4)^\circ$	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 1759.8 (7) \text{ \AA}^3$	$T = 150 (2) \text{ K}$
$Z = 4$	Shard, colourless
	$0.47 \times 0.30 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$

### Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer	2135 independent reflections
Radiation source: sealed tube	1925 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.020$
$T = 150(2) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.5^\circ$
$\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.7^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -19 \rightarrow 19$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.856$ , $T_{\text{max}} = 0.980$	$k = -12 \rightarrow 11$
8475 measured reflections	$l = -20 \rightarrow 20$

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.099$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0513P)^2 + 1.146P]$
	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$S = 1.04$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
2135 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
124 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: none

*Special details*

**Experimental.** The crystal was coated in Exxon Paratone N hydrocarbon oil and mounted on a thin mohair fibre attached to a copper pin. Upon mounting on the diffractometer, the crystal was quenched to 150(K) under a cold nitrogen gas stream supplied by an Oxford Cryosystems Cryostream and data were collected at this temperature.

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$	Occ. (<1)
C1	0.83967 (10)	0.37215 (14)	1.11492 (10)	0.0346 (3)	
H1A	0.7669	0.4024	1.0692	0.052*	
H1B	0.8563	0.3944	1.1844	0.052*	
H1C	0.8465	0.2679	1.1081	0.052*	
C2	0.91539 (9)	0.45165 (12)	1.08889 (8)	0.0291 (2)	
H2A	0.9125	0.5564	1.1007	0.035*	
H2B	0.9886	0.4177	1.1328	0.035*	
C3	0.92507 (8)	0.30443 (11)	0.96454 (8)	0.0217 (2)	
C4	0.88028 (8)	0.27735 (11)	0.85453 (8)	0.0205 (2)	
C5	0.92893 (8)	0.17644 (10)	0.81900 (8)	0.0194 (2)	
C6	0.87857 (8)	0.14597 (11)	0.71558 (8)	0.0201 (2)	
C7	0.78414 (8)	0.21655 (12)	0.65048 (8)	0.0238 (2)	
H7	0.7503	0.1941	0.5808	0.029*	
C8	0.73945 (8)	0.31834 (12)	0.68617 (8)	0.0262 (2)	
H8	0.6764	0.3673	0.6411	0.031*	
C9	0.78727 (8)	0.34861 (12)	0.78832 (8)	0.0242 (2)	
H9	0.7566	0.4180	0.8133	0.029*	
C10	1.03523 (8)	0.10512 (11)	0.88716 (8)	0.0211 (2)	
H10A	1.0846	0.1783	0.9335	0.025*	
H10B	1.0250	0.0294	0.9282	0.025*	
C11	1.0000	-0.05009 (15)	0.7500	0.0236 (3)	
H11A	0.9674	-0.1129	0.7810	0.028*	0.50
H11B	1.0326	-0.1129	0.7190	0.028*	0.50
N1	0.91816 (7)	0.03970 (9)	0.67236 (6)	0.0214 (2)	

## supplementary materials

---

O1	0.88792 (6)	0.42718 (8)	0.98415 (6)	0.02669 (19)
O2	0.98621 (6)	0.22448 (9)	1.02960 (6)	0.0285 (2)

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0422 (7)	0.0347 (6)	0.0350 (6)	-0.0024 (5)	0.0249 (5)	-0.0045 (5)
C2	0.0339 (6)	0.0266 (5)	0.0284 (5)	-0.0023 (4)	0.0161 (5)	-0.0066 (4)
C3	0.0205 (5)	0.0209 (5)	0.0272 (5)	-0.0007 (4)	0.0142 (4)	0.0015 (4)
C4	0.0195 (5)	0.0198 (5)	0.0247 (5)	-0.0003 (4)	0.0124 (4)	0.0025 (4)
C5	0.0178 (4)	0.0174 (4)	0.0246 (5)	-0.0002 (3)	0.0113 (4)	0.0032 (4)
C6	0.0186 (4)	0.0182 (4)	0.0256 (5)	-0.0032 (4)	0.0121 (4)	0.0005 (4)
C7	0.0192 (5)	0.0274 (5)	0.0234 (5)	-0.0028 (4)	0.0090 (4)	0.0014 (4)
C8	0.0182 (5)	0.0294 (5)	0.0293 (5)	0.0037 (4)	0.0097 (4)	0.0062 (4)
C9	0.0210 (5)	0.0240 (5)	0.0305 (5)	0.0039 (4)	0.0146 (4)	0.0033 (4)
C10	0.0208 (5)	0.0206 (5)	0.0230 (5)	0.0031 (4)	0.0113 (4)	0.0027 (4)
C11	0.0254 (7)	0.0177 (6)	0.0296 (7)	0.000	0.0145 (6)	0.000
N1	0.0215 (4)	0.0191 (4)	0.0257 (4)	-0.0021 (3)	0.0128 (4)	-0.0009 (3)
O1	0.0322 (4)	0.0230 (4)	0.0277 (4)	0.0043 (3)	0.0165 (3)	0.0007 (3)
O2	0.0307 (4)	0.0292 (4)	0.0258 (4)	0.0078 (3)	0.0135 (3)	0.0048 (3)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

C1—C2	1.5065 (17)	C6—C7	1.4014 (14)
C1—H1A	0.9800	C6—N1	1.4354 (13)
C1—H1B	0.9800	C7—C8	1.3820 (16)
C1—H1C	0.9800	C7—H7	0.9500
C2—O1	1.4544 (13)	C8—C9	1.3876 (16)
C2—H2A	0.9900	C8—H8	0.9500
C2—H2B	0.9900	C9—H9	0.9500
C3—O2	1.2103 (13)	C10—N1 <sup>i</sup>	1.4770 (13)
C3—O1	1.3445 (13)	C10—H10A	0.9900
C3—C4	1.4909 (15)	C10—H10B	0.9900
C4—C9	1.3959 (14)	C11—N1	1.4623 (12)
C4—C5	1.4124 (14)	C11—H11A	0.9900
C5—C6	1.4039 (15)	C11—H11B	0.9900
C5—C10	1.5262 (13)		
C2—C1—H1A	109.5	C8—C7—H7	119.5
C2—C1—H1B	109.5	C6—C7—H7	119.5
H1A—C1—H1B	109.5	C7—C8—C9	119.58 (10)
C2—C1—H1C	109.5	C7—C8—H8	120.2
H1A—C1—H1C	109.5	C9—C8—H8	120.2
H1B—C1—H1C	109.5	C8—C9—C4	120.20 (10)
O1—C2—C1	110.36 (9)	C8—C9—H9	119.9
O1—C2—H2A	109.6	C4—C9—H9	119.9
C1—C2—H2A	109.6	N1 <sup>i</sup> —C10—C5	111.09 (8)
O1—C2—H2B	109.6	N1 <sup>i</sup> —C10—H10A	109.4
C1—C2—H2B	109.6	C5—C10—H10A	109.4

H2A—C2—H2B	108.1	N1 <sup>i</sup> —C10—H10B	109.4
O2—C3—O1	123.18 (10)	C5—C10—H10B	109.4
O2—C3—C4	124.49 (10)	H10A—C10—H10B	108.0
O1—C3—C4	112.31 (9)	N1 <sup>i</sup> —C11—N1	110.77 (11)
C9—C4—C5	120.99 (10)	N1 <sup>i</sup> —C11—H11A	109.5
C9—C4—C3	118.74 (9)	N1—C11—H11A	109.5
C5—C4—C3	120.21 (9)	N1 <sup>i</sup> —C11—H11B	109.5
C6—C5—C4	117.88 (9)	N1—C11—H11B	109.5
C6—C5—C10	119.18 (9)	H11A—C11—H11B	108.1
C4—C5—C10	122.88 (9)	C6—N1—C11	111.33 (8)
C7—C6—C5	120.31 (9)	C6—N1—C10 <sup>i</sup>	112.54 (8)
C7—C6—N1	117.22 (9)	C11—N1—C10 <sup>i</sup>	107.39 (7)
C5—C6—N1	122.43 (9)	C3—O1—C2	115.98 (8)
C8—C7—C6	120.98 (10)		
O2—C3—C4—C9	159.42 (10)	C7—C8—C9—C4	0.40 (16)
O1—C3—C4—C9	-19.11 (13)	C5—C4—C9—C8	1.66 (16)
O2—C3—C4—C5	-17.78 (16)	C3—C4—C9—C8	-175.52 (10)
O1—C3—C4—C5	163.70 (9)	C6—C5—C10—N1 <sup>i</sup>	13.93 (12)
C9—C4—C5—C6	-2.43 (14)	C4—C5—C10—N1 <sup>i</sup>	-163.33 (9)
C3—C4—C5—C6	174.70 (9)	C7—C6—N1—C11	-165.60 (8)
C9—C4—C5—C10	174.85 (9)	C5—C6—N1—C11	12.31 (12)
C3—C4—C5—C10	-8.01 (14)	C7—C6—N1—C10 <sup>i</sup>	73.76 (11)
C4—C5—C6—C7	1.21 (14)	C5—C6—N1—C10 <sup>i</sup>	-108.32 (10)
C10—C5—C6—C7	-176.18 (9)	N1 <sup>i</sup> —C11—N1—C6	-51.41 (6)
C4—C5—C6—N1	-176.64 (8)	N1 <sup>i</sup> —C11—N1—C10 <sup>i</sup>	72.21 (6)
C10—C5—C6—N1	5.97 (14)	O2—C3—O1—C2	-7.03 (15)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.80 (15)	C4—C3—O1—C2	171.51 (8)
N1—C6—C7—C8	178.76 (9)	C1—C2—O1—C3	-83.19 (12)
C6—C7—C8—C9	-1.62 (16)		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+2, y, -z+3/2$ .

Fig. 1






Fig. 2

