

Does benralizumab effectively treat chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? A protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis

Ru Chen, MB^a, Ke-xin Wang, MB^b, Xue Meng, MB^a, Wen Zhou, MB^{c,*}

Abstract

Background: This study aims to investigate the efficacy and safety of benralizumab for the treatment of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Methods: This study will systematically and comprehensively search relevant literatures in electronic databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Global health, PsycINFO, Scopus, WANGFANG, and CNKI) from inception to the present without language and publication time restrictions. Two reviewers will independently carry out literature identification, data collection, and study quality assessment. Any disagreement will be settled down by a third reviewer through discussion and a consensus will be reached. RevMan 5.3 software will be used for statistical analysis performance.

Results: This study will summarize up-to-date evidence to assess the efficacy and safety of benralizumab for the treatment of COPD.

Conclusion: The findings of this study will provide helpful evidence to determine whether benralizumab is effective or not for the treatment of COPD.

Systematic review registration: INPLASY202040039.

Abbreviations: CIs = confidence intervals, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis, RCTs = randomized controlled trials.

Keywords: benralizumab, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, efficacy, safety

1. Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a preventable and treatable common lung disease.^[1–4] It often manifests as dyspnea, chronic cough, and sputum production.^[5,6] It is characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and airflow

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

This study is financially supported by Mudanjiang Science and Technology Plan Project (Z2018s052). The supporter will not take part in whole process of this study.

The authors report no conflicts of interest

^a Department of Respiratory Medicine, ^b Department of Pediatrics, The Affiliated Hongqi Hospital of Mudanjiang Medical University, ^c Second Ward of Neurology Department, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang 157000, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Wen Zhou, Second Ward of Neurology Department, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Mudanjiang Medical University, No. 15, Dongxiaoyun Street, Aimin District, Mudanjiang 157000, China (e-mail: Wenzhou2001@outlook.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Chen R, Wang Kx, Meng X, Zhou W. Does benralizumab effectively treat chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? A protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2020;99:20(e20241).

Received: 8 April 2020 / Accepted: 14 April 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000020241

limitation.^[7–10] It is reported that about 90% deaths related to COPD occur in Asia and Africa,^[11] and more than 0.9 million deaths are related to COPD.^[12] Thus, effective treatment for COPD is very important.

Benralizumab is a humanized, afucosylated monoclonal antibody, which is utilized for reduction of sputum and blood eosinophil counts.^[13–17] Previous studies have found that it can effectively treat patients with COPD.^[18–20] However, no systematic review has been conducted to examine the efficacy and safety of benralizumab for COPD. Thus, this systematic review will assess the efficacy and safety of benralizumab for the treatment of COPD.

2. Methods

2.1. Study registration

This study has been registered on INPLASY202040039, and it has been reported based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) Protocol statement guidelines.^[21,22]

2.2. Eligibility criteria

2.2.1. Types of studies. Only randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of benralizumab for the treatment of COPD will be included. However, we will exclude any other studies, such as animal studies, case report, case series, review, comments, non-clinical trials, uncontrolled trials, and quasi-RCTs.

Table 1

Search str	ategy for	Cochrane	Library.

Number	Search terms		
1	MeSH descriptor: (pulmonary disease, chronic obstructive) explode all trees		
2	([lung disease [*]] or [chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [*]] or [chronic inflammatory lung disease [*]] or [COPD [*]] or [obstructed airflow [*]]):ti, ab, kw		
3	Or 1-2		
4	(benralizumab) explode all trees		
5	([Fasenra [*]] or [monoclonal antibody [*]] or [benralizumab [*]])		
6	Or 4-5		
7	MeSH descriptor: (randomized controlled trials) explode all trees		
8	([random [*]] or [randomly [*]] or [blind [*]] or [allocation [*]] or [placebo [*]] or [control [*]] or [comparison [*]] or [clinical trials [*]]) or [controlled trials [*]]):ti, ab, kw		
9	Or 7-8		
10	3 and 6 and 9		

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, MeSH = Medical Subject Headings.

2.2.2. Types of participants. Any patient who was diagnosed as COPD will be included irrespective of sex, age, and severity of COPD.

2.2.3. Types of interventions. In the experimental group, all patients who received benralizumab treatment will be included.

In the control group, all patients received any management without restrictions. However, if we identified any study that involved any forms of benralizumab as their comparator, we will exclude it.

2.2.4. Type of outcome measurements. Primary outcome is lung function, which was measured by forced vital capacity or forced expiratory volume in 1 second or other relevant tools.

Secondary outcomes are proportion of participants who had COPD exacerbation, rescue medication use, 6-minute walk test, dyspnea levels, quality of life (as measured by Saint George Respiratory Questionnaire or other tools), and adverse events.

2.3. Search methods for the identification of studies

2.3.1. Electronic database records searches. Electronic searches will be performed systematically and comprehensively for relevant studies in MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Global health, PsycINFO, Scopus, WANGFANG, and CNKI. All these databases will be conducted from inception to the present regardless of their language and publication time. A search strategy sample of Cochrane Library is created (Table 1). Similar search strategies will be adapted and applied to other electronic databases.

2.3.2. Searching other records source. To avoid missing potential studies, other record sources will be identified, such as conference abstracts, dissertations, and reference lists of included studies.

2.4. Data collection and analysis

2.4.1. Study selection. Two reviewers will independently carry out study selection according to the previously designed eligibility criteria. Any disagreement will be solved by a third reviewer through discussion. Titles/abstracts of searched literatures will be identified to remove any irrelevant studies and duplicates. Then, we will read full text of remaining trials to further determine whether they meet all inclusion criteria. The whole process of study selection will be presented in a PRISMA flowchart.

2.4.2. Data collection. Two reviewers will independently extract data based on the predefined data extraction sheet. A

third reviewer will help to solve any discrepancies through discussion. We will collect data of title, first author, year of publication, region, race, gender, diagnostic criteria, eligibility criteria, trial setting, trial methods, details of interventions and controls, outcome indicators, results, findings, adverse events, follow-up information, and conflict of interest.

2.4.3. Methodological quality assessment. Two reviewers will independently appraise study quality of all included trials using Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool, which covers 7 items, and each one is rated as low, unclear, and high risk of bias. We will invite a third reviewer to solve any different opinions by discussion.

2.4.4. Dealing with missing data. Any unclear or missing data will be obtained from primary authors if possible. If we cannot request such data, we will analyze available data by intention-to-treat analysis.

2.4.5. Data synthesis. RevMan 5.3 software will be utilized for performing statistical analysis. All discontinuous outcome variations will be estimated using risk ratio and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and all continuous outcome variations will be calculated using weighted mean difference or standardized mean difference and 95% CIs. Statistical heterogeneity among included trials will be checked using I^2 test: $I^2 \leq 50\%$ means minor heterogeneity, while $I^2 > 50\%$ suggests considerable heterogeneity. A fixed-effects model will be applied to pool the data if $I^2 \leq$ 50%. On the other hand, a random-effects model will be used to synthesize the data if $I^2 > 50\%$. When necessary, we will conduct a meta-analysis based on the similarity in characteristics of study and patient, interventions and controls, and outcome indicators. If obvious heterogeneity is identified, we will undertake a subgroup analysis to investigate possible sources of heterogeneity. In addition, we will report study results using narrative summary descriptions.

2.4.6. Reporting bias. We will examine reporting bias using Funnel plot and Egger regression test if more than 10 RCTs are included.^[23,24]

2.4.7. Subgroup analysis. A subgroup analysis will be investigated to explore the possible sources of heterogeneity according to the different study characteristics, interventions, controls, and outcomes.

2.4.8. Sensitivity analysis. A sensitivity analysis will be conducted to check robustness and stability of study findings by eliminating low-quality studies.

2.5. Dissemination and ethics

We expect to publish this study in a peer-reviewed journal. Ethical approval is not needed because no privacy patient data will be obtained.

3. Discussion

Although published studies have reported that benralizumab has been used for the treatment of COPD,^[18–20] there are still inconsistent results. In addition, no systematic review has been conducted to assess the efficacy and safety of benralizumab for the treatment of COPD. Therefore, this study will systematically investigate the efficacy and safety of benralizumab for the treatment of COPD. The findings of this study may provide evidence for clinical practice and health-related policy maker to improve COPD treatment approach.

Author contributions

- Conceptualization: Ru Chen, Xue Meng, Wen Zhou.
- Data curation: Ru Chen, Ke-xin Wang, Xue Meng, Wen Zhou.
- Formal analysis: Ke-xin Wang, Xue Meng.
- Funding acquisition: Xue Meng, Wen Zhou.
- Investigation: Wen Zhou.
- Methodology: Ru Chen, Ke-xin Wang, Xue Meng.
- Project administration: Wen Zhou.

Resources: Ru Chen, Ke-xin Wang, Xue Meng.

Software: Ru Chen, Ke-xin Wang, Xue Meng.

Supervision: Wen Zhou.

Validation: Xue Meng, Wen Zhou.

Visualization: Ru Chen, Ke-xin Wang, Xue Meng, Wen Zhou.

Writing – original draft: Xue Meng, Wen Zhou.

Writing – review & editing: Ru Chen, Ke-xin Wang, Xue Meng, Wen Zhou.

References

- Viegi G, Pistelli F, Sherrill DL, et al. Definition, epidemiology and natural history of COPD. Eur Respir J 2007;30:993–1013.
- [2] Zhu B, Wang Y, Ming J, et al. Disease burden of COPD in China: a systematic review. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2018;13:1353–64.
- [3] Kim C, Kim Y, Yang DW, et al. Direct and indirect costs of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Korea. Tuberc Respir Dis (Seoul) 2019;82:27–34.
- [4] Kopsaftis ZA, Sulaiman NS, Mountain OD, et al. Short-acting bronchodilators for the management of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the hospital setting: systematic review. Syst Rev 2018;7:213.

- [5] Vestbo J. COPD: definition and phenotypes. Clin Chest Med 2014;35:1-6.
- [6] Plishka C, Rotter T, Kinsman L, et al. Effects of clinical pathways for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) on patient, professional and systems outcomes: protocol for a systematic review. Syst Rev 2016;5:135.
- [7] Celli BR, Wedzicha JA. Update on clinical aspects of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med 2019;381:1257–66.
- [8] Agustí A, Hogg JC. Update on the pathogenesis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med 2019;381:1248–56.
- [9] Shah NM, Murphy PB. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and sleep: an update on relevance, prevalence and management. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2018;24:561–8.
- [10] The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). "Global Strategy for Diagnosis Management and Prevention of COPD 2019." Available from: http://goldcopd.org. [Accessed April 1, 2020].
- [11] May SM, Li JT. Burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: healthcare costs and beyond. Allergy Asthma Proc 2015;36:4–10.
- [12] Zhou M, Wang H, Zhu J, et al. Cause-specific mortality for 240 causes in China during 1990-2013: a systematic subnational analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2016;387:251–72.
- [13] Khorasanizadeh M, Eskian M, Rezaei N. Reductions in eosinophil biomarkers by benralizumab in patients with asthma. Acta Med Iran 2017;55:352–3.
- [14] FitzGerald JM, Bleecker ER, Nair P, et al. Benralizumab, an antiinterleukin-5 receptor (monoclonal antibody, as add-on treatment for patients with severe, uncontrolled, eosinophilic asthma (CALIMA): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet 2016;388:2128–41.
- [15] Tan LD, Bratt JM, Godor D, et al. Benralizumab: a unique IL-5 inhibitor for severe asthma. J Asthma Allergy 2016;9:71–81.
- [16] Nowak RM, Parker JM, Silverman RA, et al. A randomized trial of benralizumab, an antiinterleukin 5 receptor α monoclonal antibody, after acute asthma. Am J Emerg Med 2015;33:14–20.
- [17] Brightling CE, Bleecker ER, Panettieri RAJr, et al. Benralizumab for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and sputum eosinophilia: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2a study. Lancet Respir Med 2014;2:891–901.
- [18] George L, Wright A, Mistry V, et al. Sputum Streptococcus pneumoniae is reduced in COPD following treatment with benralizumab. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2019;14:1177–85.
- [19] Criner GJ, Celli BR, Brightling CE, et al. Benralizumab for the Prevention of COPD Exacerbations. N Engl J Med 2019;381:1023–34.
- [20] Criner GJ, Celli BR, Singh D, et al. Predicting response to benralizumab in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: analyses of GALATHEA and TERRANOVA studies. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8:158–70.
- [21] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. PRISMA-P GroupPreferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;349:g7647.
- [22] Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1.
- [23] Sutton AJ, Duval SJ, Tweedie RL, ABrams KR, Jones DR. Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. BMJ 2000;320:1574–7.
- [24] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.