# Peer

# Image-based phenotyping for identification of QTL determining fruit shape and size in American cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* L.)

Luis Diaz-Garcia<sup>1,2</sup>, Giovanny Covarrubias-Pazaran<sup>3</sup>, Brandon Schlautman<sup>4</sup>, Edward Grygleski<sup>5</sup> and Juan Zalapa<sup>2,6</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias, Pabellon de Arteaga, Aguascalientes, Mexico
- <sup>2</sup> University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Innovation Center, Bayer CropScience NV, Ghent, Belgium
- <sup>4</sup> The Land Institute, Salina, KS, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Valley Corporation, Tomah, WI, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Vegetable Crops Research Unit, USDA-ARS, Madison, WI, USA

#### ABSTRACT

Image-based phenotyping methodologies are powerful tools to determine quality parameters for fruit breeders and processors. The fruit size and shape of American cranberry (Vaccinium macrocarpon L.) are particularly important characteristics that determine the harvests' processing value and potential end-use products (e.g., juice vs. sweetened dried cranberries). However, cranberry fruit size and shape attributes can be difficult and time consuming for breeders and processors to measure, especially when relying on manual measurements and visual ratings. Therefore, in this study, we implemented image-based phenotyping techniques for gathering data regarding basic cranberry fruit parameters such as length, width, length-to-width ratio, and eccentricity. Additionally, we applied a persistent homology algorithm to better characterize complex shape parameters. Using this high-throughput artificial vision approach, we characterized fruit from 351 progeny from a full-sib cranberry population over three field seasons. Using a covariate analysis to maximize the identification of well-supported quantitative trait loci (QTL), we found 252 single QTL in a 3-year period for cranberry fruit size and shape descriptors from which 20% were consistently found in all years. The present study highlights the potential for the identified QTL and the image-based methods to serve as a basis for future explorations of the genetic architecture of fruit size and shape in cranberry and other fruit crops.

Subjects Agricultural Science, Genetics, Genomics, Plant Science Keywords Fruit shape, American cranberry, Persistent homology, Fruit size, QTL mapping, Digital phenotyping

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The American cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* L.) is a perennial fruit crop native to North America (*Eck*, 1990), which has gained importance worldwide because of its

Submitted 6 June 2018 Accepted 26 July 2018 Published 15 August 2018

Corresponding author Juan Zalapa, jezalapa@wisc.edu

Academic editor Julin Maloof

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 13

DOI 10.7717/peerj.5461

Copyright 2018 Diaz-Garcia et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

reported human health benefits (*Neto, 2007; Ermel et al., 2011*). Recently, many studies have characterized the cranberry genome, but few studies have studied the genetic architecture governing important horticultural traits. Next-generation sequencing has been used to assemble and study the cranberry plastid (*Fajardo et al., 2013*), mitochondrial (*Fajardo et al., 2014*), and nuclear (*Polashock et al., 2014*) genomes. Similarly, differential gene expression studies during the fruit ripening process have provided information regarding putative genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis, transport, and regulation (*Sun et al., 2015*). The recent development of several biparental populations in cranberry (e.g., GRYG and CNJ populations) have allowed the construction of simple sequence repeat based (*Georgi et al., 2013; Schlautman et al., 2015b*) and single nucleotide polymorphism based genetic maps (*Covarrubias-Pazaran et al., 2016; Daverdin et al., 2017; Schlautman et al., 2017*).

The growing consumer demand for sweetened dried cranberries (SDC) has increased the need for new cranberry varieties that produce large, round, and uniformly shaped fruit (Cranberry Institute data, https://www.cranberryinstitute.org). The cranberry industry has used fruit sizers to separate large fruit, which are sold at a premium for SDC processing compared with small fruit, which are used for cranberry juices (Nicole Hansen, 2017, personal communication; Cranberry Creek Cranberries, Necedah, WI, USA). Most cranberry breeding programs still use manual caliper measurements to gather data about basic cranberry shape and size attributes, and sometimes use visual assessments to categorize complex shapes of interest.

Basic fruit shape descriptors such as length, width, aspect ratio (i.e., length-to-width (LW) ratio), fruit size, and color have long been measured and used as major determinants of fruit quality in many crops (Monforte et al., 2014). More recently, accessible image-based phenotyping methods have been developed allowing the high-throughput collection of basic fruit quality descriptors. For example, image-based phenotyping and shape modeling methodologies have been used in several crops to capture and analyze fruit shape variation in natural populations as well as in segregating crosses for genetic mapping purposes (Van Eck, Van Der Heijden & Polder, 1998; Perin et al., 2001; Beyer et al., 2002; Gonzalo & Van Der Knaap, 2008; Rodriguez et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2015; Langowski, Stacey & Østergaard, 2016). When combined with advances in genotyping technologies, these studies have allowed precise identification of numerous loci controlling fruit size and shape in multiple crops (*Zhang et al., 2009*; *Devoghalaere et al., 2012*; Walter, Liebisch & Hund, 2015; Wu et al., 2015; Grandillo & Cammareri, 2016). Given their global horticultural importance, the characterization of fruit shape has been especially common in crops from the Solanaceae (Ben Chaim et al., 2001, 2003; Lecomte et al., 2004; Tanksley, 2004; Zygier et al., 2005; Gonzalo & Van Der Knaap, 2008; Martínez-López, Ochoa-Alejo & Martínez, 2014) and Cucurbitaceae families (Monforte et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2008; Fernández-Silva et al., 2009).

Computer vision methods, such as landmark-based methodologies (*Costa et al., 2011*) that describe complex shape features in addition to the basic shape descriptors, are widely available. Landmark-based methodologies use strategically located coordinates to mark identifiable features in fruit or leaves, and since the homologous landmarks among

different samples can be compared, their use often reveals genetic and developmental features in fruit and leaf shape variation (*Chitwood et al., 2016*; *Li et al., 2017a*). Although this methodology is easy to implement, major limitations arise when landmark placing is ambiguous or when obvious homologous features are unavailable for coordinate location. To overcome these limitations, *Li et al. (2017a)* recently created a landmark-free persistent homology method to numerically quantify the shape features of more than 200,000 leaves representing 141 plant families. Using this methodology, the authors successfully classified leaf shapes into their corresponding family even when shape references (or landmarks) between different leaves were absent.

In this study, we developed a massive phenotyping approach based on digital imaging to rapidly acquire data for basic cranberry fruit shape descriptors such as length, width, and area in breeding programs. We also implemented a newly developed persistent homology methodology to comprehensively quantify complex fruit shape features that are difficult to quantify with traditional descriptors. Moreover, we used data gathered using such computer-vision methodologies in a full-sib mapping population to map genetic regions governing fruit shape and size in cranberry.

# **METHODS**

## Plant material and phenotyping

Phenotypic data was collected for the biparental population GRYG (n = 351 individuals), which was previously used in recent cranberry genetic mapping studies (*Covarrubias-Pazaran et al., 2016; Schlautman et al., 2017*). This population is derived from a cross between the maternal parent [BGx(BLxNL)]95 and the paternal parent GH1x35. During 2014, 2015, and 2016, we harvested all the cranberry fruit within a 0.09 m<sup>2</sup> quadrat placed in each genotype plot, and randomly selected 25 of those fruit for digital phenotyping using *GiNA* (*Diaz-Garcia et al., 2016*) in MATLAB. All 25 fruit collected for each genotype were photographed on a white background with six reference circles on each side for size normalization purposes. For each fruit, measurements of length (longest diameter), width (shortest axis, both determined by the centroid of the object), LW ratio, projected area (2D area, as observed from the top) and eccentricity (from 0 to 1, where 0 is a perfect circle and 1 is a line segment) were recorded. In addition, we created individual binary images of all the fruit for the persistent homology analysis described below.

#### Shape measurement using persistent homology

In addition to measuring fruit shape using basic attributes such as LW ratio and eccentricity, we applied a topological approach called persistent homology (adapted from *Li et al. 2017a*, and ran in MATLAB). Briefly, this method assigns a value to each pixel in an image depending on the density of neighboring pixels. To differentiate more complex fruit shapes, this method divides the fruit image into subsets of features using increasing annuli emanating from the center of the shape. The persistent homology algorithm used herein discretized each Euler characteristic curve into 30 values and then concatenated them over four annuli; in this sense, each frxsuit shape was

characterized by 120 values. After applying this method, we performed a principal component (PC) analysis on the 120 values measured for each fruit using the function *princomp* in MATLAB. Then, the two first PC1 and PC2 and the other shape descriptors (length, width, LW, area, and eccentricity) were exported to R for further statistical analysis and quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping. The complete code for image analysis and persistent homology is provided (File S1).

#### Statistical analysis

Using image analysis and persistent homology, we measured seven traits, three of them characterizing fruit size (length, width, and projected area), and four characterizing fruit shape/elongation (LW ratio, eccentricity, PC1 and PC2). For each trait on a per-year-basis, we averaged the repeated measurements per genotype (the 25 individual fruit) and proceeded to calculate best linear unbiased predictors (BLUP) by fitting a mixed model  $Y \sim Zu + \varepsilon$  using the R package *sommer* (*Covarrubias-Pazaran, 2016*) where *Y* represented the measured trait in a specific year, *Z* was the incidence matrices for random effects (identity matrix of length equals to the number of individuals), and *u* was a variance-covariance matrix (additive relationship matrix *A*). Genomic heritability ( $h^2$ ) was calculated for each trait within each year according to *De Los Campos, Sorensen & Gianola (2015*).

#### Genetic data and stepwise QTL mapping

The 976 genetic markers genetic used in this QTL mapping study was generated by Covarrubias-Pazaran et al. (2016) and chosen to represent unique locations/recombination bins in the Schlautman et al. (2017) cranberry consensus map. For each year, a four-way cross object with seven phenotypes was created in R using the package qtl (Broman et al., 2003). QTL detection was performed in the context of a two-dimensional two QTL genome scan using the Haley-Knott regression implemented in the *stepwiseQTL* function. The stepwiseQTL function implements a model selection procedure that identifies as many true QTL as possible, while controlling the rate of inclusion of extraneous loci (Manichaikul et al., 2009). We performed QTL mapping using both a univariate (one trait at time) and a covariate approach. The covariate approach was used to partially dissect confounded QTL effects of correlated traits by running *stepwiseQTL* while adding covariates in a complete diallelic fashion. For each trait/year combination, the penalties on the main effects and interactions  $(T_m, T_i^H)$  and  $T_i^L)$  were calculated using the function scantwo with 1,000 permutations. In total, 21,000 permutations were required for the seven traits evaluated over the 3-year period. To speed up this process, we distributed the permutations among 1,000 jobs performed at the Center for High Throughput Computing of the University of Wisconsin-Madison using the software HTCondor (Thain, Tannenbaum & Livny, 2005) and a custom-made Shell script. At the end, the permutations were automatically collected and the specific penalties for each trait/year combination were calculated and used for selecting significant QTL at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . QTL detection using the function *stepwiseQTL* was parallelized using the R package snow on a local server. Circos figures were generated in the R package SOFIA



**Figure 1 Image processing and persistent homology cranberry fruit characterization.** (A) Image processing pipeline: using a conventional digital single-lens reflex (DSLR) camera; images were acquired and then segmented for detection of individual fruit, which were further analyzed to acquire basic descriptors in GiNA, and to perform persistent homology analysis. (B) Genotypes with extreme values for eccentricity and projected area (from the 2014 field season). Comparison of persistent homology PC1 and PC2 with (C) area, (D) length-to-width ratio, and (E) eccentricity, using data from 2014 as an example. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.5461/fig-1

(*Diaz-Garcia et al.*, 2017) to allow visual inspection of QTL identified and their collocalization across linkage groups.

# RESULTS

#### Persistent homology and correlation with other basic descriptors

In total, we analyzed 1,053 pictures from 351 full-sib individuals taken over a 3-year period; each picture contained at least 25 cranberry fruit (Fig. 1A). Measurement of fruit basic descriptors (length, width, LW ratio, area, and eccentricity) produced a massive amount of phenotypic data (more than 30,000 fruit phenotyped). Visual inspection of

extreme values among these traits revealed highly consistent gathered data; in Fig. 1B, genotypes with the most extreme values for eccentricity and projected area (from the 2014 replicate) are shown as example. After computing basic fruit descriptors with GiNA (*Diaz-Garcia et al., 2016*), we measured the shape of each fruit using a persistent homology method (*Li et al., 2017a*). To modify the sensitivity of the persistent homology algorithm, multiple parameters can be adjusted such as increasing/decreasing both the number of blocking annuli and the elements in which each Euler characteristic is decomposed. Because an increment in these two variables considerably multiply the computational resources required, and considering the simplicity of cranberry fruit shapes, we opted to use only four annuli composed of 30 elements.

As expected, the basic attributes determining cranberry fruit size, such as projected area, showed no correlation with persistent homology-based PC1 and PC2 (not average per genotype but single measurements per fruit) (Fig. 1C); however, the basic descriptors characterizing fruit shape (LW and eccentricity) showed a clear correlation with PC1 and PC2 (Figs. 1D and 1E).

Pairwise correlations were computed for the genotype BLUP for each of the seven traits (two persistent homology-based PC and five basic descriptors) on a per-year basis. As expected, high correlations were observed among shape-related (LW, eccentricity, PC1, and PC2) and size-related (length, width, and area) parameters (Fig. 2A). In particular, fruit area was highly correlated with length and width within years (Pearson's correlation = 0.870–0.951); LW and eccentricity showed a similar trend both within and between years. These two traits showed moderate-to-high negative correlation with PC1 and moderate-to-low negative correlation with PC2. Interestingly, we observed moderate correlation between the PC1 with both eccentricity and LW; however, this was inconsistent among years. We observed low correlation (lower than 0.5) between shape and size related parameters. BLUP for each trait were consistent and correlated among years except for PC2; eccentricity and LW showed correlations among years greater than 0.840.

Estimates for genomic heritability  $(h^2)$  on a per-year-basis ranged from 0.159 (PC2, 2015) to 0.921 (eccentricity, 2016) with a mean of 0.676 (Fig. 2B). Averaged across years, eccentricity and LW had the largest  $h^2$  with 0.872 and 0.871, respectively. PC2 had the lowest  $h^2$  with 0.368.

#### Stepwise QTL mapping

The methodology used in this study allowed us to identify a large set of well-supported QTL associated with size and shape in cranberry fruit. In total, we identified 252 single QTL for the seven traits and 3 years (Fig. 1 and File S2). The explained variance by QTL models (i.e., fitting all QTL discovered by trait on a given year) ranged from 77.86% (width, 2014) to 87.68% (PC2, 2014). Explained variance by single QTL ranged from 1.13% to 20.89% with a mean and median of 5.80% and 4.99%. After successfully identifying QTL on a per-year-basis, we searched for collocated QTL in all 3 years using an 8 cM overlapping interval as selection criteria. We found 17 different QTL that collocated QTL with 4, width, area, eccentricity and PC1 had 3 each, length had 1,





and no collocated QTL were found for PC2. QTL found in all 3 years provided additional confidence for those genomic region—trait associations. An additional 30 QTL were found where collocated QTL were present for 2 of the 3 years. In total, consistent QTL in 2 and 3 years added up to 44% of all associations found.

Many of the traits measured in this study were highly correlated (Fig. 2), and we observed multiple collocalizations of QTL among related traits (for fruit size: length, width, and area; for fruit shape: LW, eccentricity, PC1, PC2). Even if they appeared in only 1 or 2 years, collocalization of QTL for related traits suggested that certain genomic regions might have important roles in governing fruit size and shape. For example, there is moderate-strong evidence for a region controlling fruit size at the start of LG4; several QTL (present in up to 2 years) were found in this region for size related traits (Fig. 3, see inner three rings and QTL summary located in the outermost ring).

To partially dissect the confounded QTL effect of correlated traits, we ran *stepwiseQTL* using covariates in a complete diallelic fashion only recording the QTL found consistently





in every in all 3 years. In total, we found 44 QTL (collocated in all 3 years) covering all traits (Fig. 4). Of these, 31 were identified previously using the univariate method and 13 were uniquely identified with the use of covariates. As examples, using the univariate method on the trait length, we identified a single QTL in LG4 69.4 cM (explained variance of 4.301%); however, by using covariates (width, area, eccentricity, and LW), we discovered six additional QTL, from which three represented unique novel positions (in LG1 106.1 M, LG2 55.2 cM and LG12 47.2 cM). For width, we found two new QTL, but they corresponded to the same location as the those found in LGs 2 and 12 for the trait length. Similar results were found for area in which the QTL in LG12 was confirmed.



**Figure 4 Using covariates for QTL mapping in cranberry fruit quality traits.** Columns show the QTL discovered with (blue colored circles, outer three rings in lighter gray background) and without (pink colored circles, inner three rings in darker gray background) the use covariates (rows). Column wise, the inner rings (univariate method, pink circles) are identical since they represent the QTL identified without the use of covariates (serve as reference to identify novel QTL that appear with the addition of covariates). Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.5461/fig-4

For eccentricity and LW, a novel QTL was found in LG7 49.7 cM when using length as covariate; however, similar associations were previously identified in this region for area and width.

# DISCUSSION

In the past few decades, cranberry research has focused mainly on characterizing fruit metabolites with important human health benefits (*Cansfield & Francis*, 1970; *Sapers & Jones*, 1986; *Sapers & Hargrave*, 1987; *Vvedenskaya & Vorsa*, 2004; *Vorsa & Polashock*, 2005; *Valentova et al.*, 2007; *Su*, *Howell & D'Souza*, 2010; *Feldman & Grenier*, 2012; *Sun et al.*, 2015), cataloging the genetic diversity of released cultivars and wild germplasm (*Vorsa, 2003*; *Debnath, 2006*; *Fajardo et al., 2012*; *Schlautman et al., 2016*), and describing the cranberry genome architecture (*Fajardo et al., 2013, 2014*; *Polashock et al., 2014*). More recently, previously developed genomic tools have allowed the discovery of several QTL governing important horticultural traits for cranberry growers, including total yield, fruit weight, and fruit rot resistance (*Georgi et al., 2013*; *Schlautman et al., 2015a*; *Daverdin et al., 2017*). However, fruit size and shape, which are emerging as important quality traits used by the cranberry industry, have not been studied. Traditionally, breeders and geneticists have often measured these fruit attributes quantitatively (using time-consuming manual caliper-based methods) or qualitatively (grouping fruit into three major shape categories—round, elongated, and bell-shaped).

#### Application of image-based methods in cranberry

Novel image-based phenotyping strategies are being developed for many crops to rapidly collect accurate quantitative data related to fruit size and shape that can be used in breeding, QTL mapping and association studies (*Costa et al., 2011*). In this study, we implemented a high-throughput phenotyping approach that dramatically increased the amount of fruit measured (per unit of time compared to manual caliper-based measurements). With the imaging setup used here (which involves minimal equipment), we found that a picture of at least 25 fruit can be taken in ~30 s, which translates into more than 3,000 fruit per hour under well standardized conditions. Moreover, this method provides more accurate data for estimating breeding values for selection and mapping studies. In addition to rapidly capture basic descriptors related to size (length, width, projected area) and shape (LW ratio and eccentricity), we applied a persistent homology algorithm to produce more comprehensive measurements of fruit shape.

Persistent homology has been proven to be a powerful mathematical framework to quantify highly diverse plant morphologies such as leaf shapes, branching topology, and root systems (Chitwood et al., 2016; Klein et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017b, 2018). The main advantages of this method are its ability to process unaligned objects (i.e., orientation-invariant) and to accommodate the different scales commonly found in plant structures (Li et al., 2018). Although the full potential of persistent homology is best observed when applied to highly diverse structures, it has also been used to study phenotypic variation in simpler shapes (Migicovsky et al., 2017). We decided to implement persistent homology in this study for two main reasons. First, cranberry fruit exhibit three main shapes: round, elongated (symmetrical in both main axes), and bell-shaped (symmetrical only across the latitudinal axis). Even though LW ratio discriminates well round from elongated fruit, it does not fully separate elongated from bell-shaped fruit, which can be better studied using methods such as persistent homology. Second, in order to accelerate the imaging process, cranberry fruit in our study were scattered on a white background in a non-defined order or orientation with the only requirement that they were not touching each other. Thus, persistent homology was an excellent alternative to deal with bell-shaped fruit and unaligned fruit objects. Since most of the fruit shape variation observed in the GRYG population was a continuum between round and elongated shapes, persistent homology (at least in the first two PCs) yielded similar results compared with basic

descriptors such as LW ratio and eccentricity. Although the main source of fruit shape phenotypic variation in our study fell into the round-to-elongated range, we observed that bell-shaped fruit produced different persistent homology patterns (Fig. S1).

#### QTL mapping with image-based data

We performed QTL mapping for the five basic shape and size descriptors and the two persistent homology traits (PC1 and PC2) collected from cranberry fruit images. In total, we found more than 252 QTL for the 21 trait-year combinations of data; from these, more than 40% collocalized for the same trait in at least 2 of the 3 years and provided confidence the identified regions are involved in cranberry fruit shape and size expression. The remaining 60% that were unique within a specific trait/year combination may represent false-positives, or could represent genotype-by-environment interactions for the cranberry fruit traits.

Collocalization of QTL from multiple traits also occurred, which was expected considering the high correlations observed among the shape and size related traits (Fig. 2A). To overcome potential confounding effects of these correlations, we performed QTL mapping a second time using covariates in a diallelic fashion for all trait combinations. The covariate approach was an important strategy that revealed genetic regions involved in the expression of cranberry fruit shape and size that were not identified using the standard univariate approach. These QTL are the first insight into the genetic architecture of fruit size and shape and cranberry, and will serve as a foundation for future fine-mapping, association mapping, and marker-assisted selection programs in cranberry.

#### Comparison with previous results and candidate genes

To investigate if fruit size, yield, and mean fruit weight (MFW) are genetically controlled by similar QTL, we searched for overlapping 1.5 LOD intervals between the yield related QTL discovered by Schlautman et al. (2016) and the size-related QTL discovered in the present study. In Schlautman et al. (2016), well-supported QTL for MFW were found in LG10 position 40-50 cM, and LG11 positions ~10 cM and ~80 cM. In the same study, QTL for yield were identified in LG11 position ~25 cM, in close proximity to MFW QTL. Here, we did not find QTL for fruit size related parameters close to the MFW QTL in LG10 described by Schlautman et al. (2016). However, for LG11, we found very significant QTL around ~20 cM and ~80 cM (see Fig. 3), which was consistent with the reported QTL found by Schlautman et al. (2016) for almost all the traits studied herein. The fact that similar QTL were identified in the same genomic region across all of the seven traits (including size and shape traits) in our study and for MFW and yield in Schlautman et al. (2016) provide strong evidence of QTL clustering for these traits, which could be due to closely linked genes or pleiotropy and may explain also the high correlations between fruit size, shape, and weight observed in the current study (Fig. 2), and may even be related to yield related traits such as in the case of MFW (Schlautman et al., 2016). Previous studies in important horticultural crops have shown collocated QTL for fruit shape, size, weight, and yield. For example, using two

backcross-type populations derived from cultivated *Capsicum annuum* and *C. frutescens*, (*Rao et al., 2003*) identified several clusters containing QTL for fruit weight, length, diameter, and yield. Other traits such as pericarp width was also collocated, mainly because its intrinsic high correlation with yield related traits.

Further studies should investigate and validate the presence of acting genes and regulatory regions at the QTL locations discovered in this study. In this sense, in File S2, we provide a list of gene models, based on *Polashock et al. (2014)*, located across all marker positions within the genetic map used herein, which could be used as starting point in future fine-mapping QTL and validation studies.

#### Breeding implications, industry needs, and future studies

The process of domestication in many fruit crops initially focused in fruit size selection with subsequent efforts to select other agronomic and nutritional traits (*Rick, 1995*). Although limited compared with other commercial fruit crops mainly due to the recent domestication (<200 years ago) of the crop and lack of genetically diverse pools to incorporate novel traits, cranberry breeding has also initially focused on selection for fruit size, color, and high productivity (*Vorsa & Johnson-Cicalese, 2012*).

The GRGY population was chosen for this study because it has plentiful genotypic data available (Covarrubias-Pazaran et al., 2016; Schlautman et al., 2017). In minor crops with limited resources, such as cranberry, these populations are extremely valuable and represent the best-or only-opportunity for performing QTL mapping studies for multiple traits. The GRYG population was initially created as a breeding population and not necessarily for genetic or phenotypic studies. Both parents {[BGx(BLxNL)]95 and GH1x35} are second-generation selections with relatively good yield, high anthocyanin content, and round fruit. Although most of the progeny had round or oblong fruit similar to their two parents (data not shown), the population still showed valuable fruit shape and size segregation for QTL mapping. Because the fruit shapes of both parents were mostly non-complex and shape variability was limited in the offspring, the persistent homology method implemented in this study showed some redundant results within the basic shape descriptors (LW ratio and eccentricity), indicating that these are the primary source of variation in cranberry fruit shape. However, given its potential, persistent homology will be extremely useful in future QTL or association studies that make use of larger pools of cranberry genetic and phenotypic diversity, especially when incorporating more complex shapes such as bell-shaped fruit.

Given that the population used here was developed for breeding and selection purposes, our measurements can be immediately used as criteria to select for materials of interest for growers and industry. Among the genotypes with potential for fruit size (considering the traits length, width and area), genotypes P111, P148, P182, P194, P257, P275, P3, P330, P68, and P93, showed consistent values across years in the top 10%. Moreover, considering eccentricity and LW ratio (which measure fruit shape in the same direction), genotypes P104, P112, P121, P129, P136, P14 showed very elongated fruit. On other hand, if the goal is to select large and round fruit at the same time and based on all the traits combined, genotypes P116, P176, and P84 were among the most promising (File S2).

To increase consumption and revenue, the cranberry industry has been developing new high value products based on fruit quality and the inherent cranberry nutritional properties. Therefore, fruit quality characteristics such as fruit color, firmness, size, and shape have become ever more important for cranberry breeding programs, particularly for the production of SDC. For example, the industry requires very specific fruit quality properties to allow efficient industrial processing of these type of products. Thus, varieties with high anthocyanin content and high yield coupled with large round and firm fruit are highly desirable for the industry. Besides the increased production, such ideotype is economically valuable for manufacturing SDC because only large and round cranberries make the sorting for the high value SDC processing lines.

# **SUMMARY**

Commercial cranberry beds consist of very complex systems and infrastructure that provide the required soil, water, and management requirements of cranberry plants. These beds cannot be easily replicated or afforded at typical public agricultural research stations. Moreover, given the requirements for cranberry production, space availability is very limited for cranberry research and breeding programs. The GRYG population is a precious resource for cranberry breeding and genetics studies that is planted in an active commercial bed. Based on our study of this population, we discovered several linkage groups with promising marker-trait association and clustering areas with QTL collocated for multiple traits. Considering the number of QTL detected, the prevalence of QTL clustering, and the number of collocated QTL for multiple related traits, we found that the availability and use of genome-wide distributed markers to compute relationship/kinship matrices—combined with mixed model approaches—was a useful approach for obtaining accurate BLUP (breeding value estimates). This methodology considerably increased our observed genetic correlations among years and the genomic heritability of the evaluated traits. This strategy is useful in both breeding and genetic research purposes to correct for some of the micro-environmental variation not captured by the explicit design terms when suboptimal planting designs are all that is available or the only design possible.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

J.Z. and B.S. wish to express their gratitude through 1 Cor 10:31. We thank to Eric Weisman and other CGGL lab members for all their help, and Nicole Hansen (Cranberry Creek Cranberries, Necedah, WI, USA) for her useful comments about cranberry industrial processing. We also thank the anonymous reviewers who helped enhance the quality of this paper.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

#### Funding

This project was supported by USDA-ARS (project no. 5090-21220-004-00D provided to Juan Zalapa); WI-DATCP (SCBG Project #14-002); Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc.; Wisconsin Cranberry Growers Association; and the Cranberry Institute. Brandon

Schlautman was supported by the UW-Madison Frank B. Koller Cranberry Fellowship Fund for Graduate Students; Giovanny Covarrubias-Pazaran and Luis Diaz-Garcia were supported by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (Mexico). Luis Diaz-Garcia was also supported by the UW-Madison Gabelman-Seminis Distinguished Graduate Research Fellowship. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: USDA-ARS: project no. 5090-21220-004-00D. WI-DATCP: SCBG Project #14-002. Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc. Wisconsin Cranberry Growers Association. Cranberry Institute. UW-Madison Frank B. Koller Cranberry Fellowship Fund for Graduate Students. Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (Mexico). UW-Madison Gabelman-Seminis Distinguished Graduate Research Fellowship.

## **Competing Interests**

Giovanny Covarrubias-Pazaran is employed by Bayer CropScience, Gent, Belgium, and Edward Grygleski is employed by Valley Corporation, Tomah, Wisconsin, United States of America. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# **Author Contributions**

- Luis Diaz-Garcia conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Giovanny Covarrubias-Pazaran conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, approved the final draft.
- Brandon Schlautman conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Edward Grygleski contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, approved the final draft, field trial management.
- Juan Zalapa conceived and designed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/ analysis tools, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

#### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data are provided in the Supplemental Files.

#### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.5461#supplemental-information.

#### REFERENCES

- Ben Chaim A, Borovsky Y, Rao GU, Tanyolac B, Paran I. 2003. fs3.1: a major fruit shape QTL conserved in Capsicum. *Genome* 46(1):1–9 DOI 10.1139/G02-096.
- Ben Chaim A, Paran I, Grube RC, Jahn M, Van Wijk R, Peleman J. 2001. QTL mapping of fruit-related traits in pepper (*Capsicum annuum*). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 102(6–7):1016–1028 DOI 10.1007/s001220000461.
- Beyer M, Hahn R, Peschel S, Harz M, Knoche M. 2002. Analysing fruit shape in sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.). Scientia Horticulturae 96(1–4):139–150 DOI 10.1016/S0304-4238(02)00123-1.
- Broman KW, Wu H, Sen S, Churchill GA. 2003. R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. *Bioinformatics* 19(7):889–890 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg112.
- **Cansfield P, Francis F. 1970.** Chemical effects on anthocyanin biosynthesis in stored cranberries. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **50(6)**:673–677 DOI 10.4141/cjps70-126.
- Chitwood DH, Klein LL, O'Hanlon R, Chacko S, Greg M, Kitchen C, Miller AJ, Londo JP. 2016. Latent developmental and evolutionary shapes embedded within the grapevine leaf. *New Phytologist* 210(1):343–355 DOI 10.1111/nph.13754.
- **Costa C, Antonucci F, Pallottino F, Aguzzi J, Sun DW, Menesatti P. 2011.** Shape analysis of agricultural products: a review of recent research advances and potential application to computer vision. *Food and Bioprocess Technology* **4**(**5**):673–692 DOI 10.1007/s11947-011-0556-0.
- **Covarrubias-Pazaran G. 2016.** Genome-assisted prediction of quantitative traits using the R package sommer. *PLOS ONE* **11(6)**:e0156744 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0156744.
- Covarrubias-Pazaran G, Diaz-Garcia L, Schlautman B, Deutsch J, Salazar W, Hernandez-Ochoa M, Grygleski E, Steffan S, Iorizzo M, Polashock J, Vorsa N, Zalapa J. 2016. Exploiting genotyping by sequencing to characterize the genomic structure of the American cranberry through high-density linkage mapping. *BMC Genomics* 17(1):451 DOI 10.1186/s12864-016-2802-3.
- Daverdin G, Johnson-Cicalese J, Zalapa J, Vorsa N, Polashock J. 2017. Identification and mapping of fruit rot resistance QTL in American cranberry using GBS. *Molecular Breeding* 37(3):38 DOI 10.1007/s11032-017-0639-3.
- **Debnath SC. 2006.** An assessment of the genetic diversity within a collection of wild cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait.) Clones with RAPD-PCR. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **54(3)**:509–517 DOI 10.1007/s10722-006-0007-3.
- De Los Campos G, Sorensen D, Gianola D. 2015. Genomic heritability: what is it? *PLOS Genetics* 11(5):e1005048 DOI 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005048.
- Devoghalaere F, Doucen T, Guitton B, Keeling J, Payne W, Ling TJ, Ross JJ, Hallett IC, Gunaseelan K, Dayatilake GA, Diak R, Breen KC, Tustin DS, Costes E, Chagné D, Schaffer RJ, David KM. 2012. A genomics approach to understanding the role of auxin in apple (Malus × domestica) fruit size control. *BMC Plant Biology* 12(1):7 DOI 10.1186/1471-2229-12-7.
- Diaz-Garcia L, Covarrubias-Pazaran G, Schlautman B, Zalapa J. 2016. GiNA, an efficient and high-throughput software for horticultural phenotyping. *PLOS ONE* 11(8):e0160439 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0160439.
- Diaz-Garcia L, Covarrubias-Pazaran G, Schlautman B, Zalapa J. 2017. SOFIA: an R package for enhancing genetic visualization with circos. *Journal of Heredity* 108(4):443–448 DOI 10.1093/jhered/esx023.
- Eck P. 1990. The American Cranberry. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.

- Ermel G, Georgeault S, Inisan C, Besnard M. 2011. Inhibition of adhesion of uropathogenic Escherichia coli bacteria to uroepithelial cells by extracts from cranberry. Journal of Medicinal Food 15(2):126–134 DOI 10.1089/jmf.2010.0312.
- Fajardo D, Morales J, Zhu H, Steffan SA, Harbut R, Bassil N, Hummer K, Polashock J, Vorsa N, Zalapa J. 2012. Discrimination of american cranberry cultivars and assessment of clonal heterogeneity using microsatellite markers. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 31(2):264–271 DOI 10.1007/s11105-012-0497-4.
- Fajardo D, Schlautman B, Steffan S, Polashock J, Vorsa N, Zalapa J. 2014. The American cranberry mitochondrial genome reveals the presence of selenocysteine (tRNA-Sec and SECIS) insertion machinery in land plants. *Gene* 536(2):336–343 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2013.11.104.
- Fajardo D, Senalik D, Ames M, Zhu H, Steffan SA, Harbut R, Polashock J, Vorsa N, Gillespie E, Kron K, Zalapa JE. 2013. Complete plastid genome sequence of *Vaccinium macrocarpon*: structure, gene content, and rearrangements revealed by next generation sequencing. *Tree Genetics and Genomes* 9(2):489–498 DOI 10.1007/s11295-012-0573-9.
- Feldman M, Grenier D. 2012. Cranberry proanthocyanidins act in synergy with licochalcone A to reduce Porphyromonas gingivalis growth and virulence properties, and to suppress cytokine secretion by macrophages. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 113(2):438–447 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05329.x.
- Fernández-Silva I, Moreno E, Eduardo I, Arús P, Álvarez JM, Monforte AJ. 2009. On the genetic control of heterosis for fruit shape in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Journal of Heredity* 100(2):229–235 DOI 10.1093/jhered/esn075.
- Georgi L, Johnson-Cicalese J, Honig J, Das SP, Rajah VD, Bhattacharya D, Bassil N, Rowland LJ, Polashock J, Vorsa N. 2013. The first genetic map of the American cranberry: exploration of synteny conservation and quantitative trait loci. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 126(3):673–692 DOI 10.1007/s00122-012-2010-8.
- Gonzalo MJ, Van Der Knaap E. 2008. A comparative analysis into the genetic bases of morphology in tomato varieties exhibiting elongated fruit shape. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 116(5):647–656 DOI 10.1007/s00122-007-0698-7.
- **Grandillo S, Cammareri M. 2016.** Molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci in tomato. In: Causse M, Giovannoni J, Bouzayen M, Zouine M, eds. *The Tomato Genome. Compendium of Plant Genomes.* Berlin: Springer, 39–73.
- Klein LL, Caito M, Chapnick C, Kitchen C, O'Hanlon R, Chitwood DH, Miller AJ. 2017. Digital morphometrics of two North American Grapevines (Vitis: Vitaceae) quantifies leaf variation between species, within species, and among individuals. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8:373 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2017.00373.
- Łangowski Ł, Stacey N, Østergaard L. 2016. Diversification of fruit shape in the Brassicaceae family. *Plant Reproduction* 29(1-2):149–163 DOI 10.1007/s00497-016-0278-6.
- Lecomte L, Saliba-Colombani V, Gautier A, Gomez-Jimenez MC, Duffé P, Buret M, Causse M. 2004. Fine mapping of QTLs of chromosome 2 affecting the fruit architecture and composition of tomato. *Molecular Breeding* 13(1):1–14 DOI 10.1023/B:MOLB.0000012325.77844.0c.
- Li M, An H, Angelovici R, Bagaza C, Batushansky A, Clark L, Coneva V, Donoghue M, Edwards E, Fajardo D, Fang H, Frank M, Gallaher T, Gebken S, Hill T, Jansky S, Kaur B, Klahs P, Klein L, Kuraparthy V, Londo J, Migicovsky Z, Miller A, Mohn R, Myles S, Otoni W, Pires JC, Riffer E, Schmerler S, Spriggs E, Topp C, Van Deynze A, Zhang K, Zhu L, Zink BM, Chitwood DH. 2017a. Persistent homology demarcates a leaf morphospace. *bioRxiv preprint* 151712 DOI 10.1101/151712.

- Li M, An H, Angelovici R, Bagaza C, Batushansky A, Clark L, Coneva V, Donoghue MJ, Edwards E, Fajardo D, Fang H, Frank MH, Gallaher T, Gebken S, Hill T, Jansky S, Kaur B, Klahs PC, Klein LL, Kuraparthy V, Londo J, Migicovsky Z, Miller A, Mohn R, Myles S, Otoni WC, Pires JC, Rieffer E, Schmerler S, Spriggs E, Topp CN, Van Deynze A, Zhang K, Zhu L, Zink BM, Chitwood DH. 2018. Topological data analysis as a morphometric method: using persistent homology to demarcate a leaf morphospace. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9:553 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2018.00553.
- Li M, Duncan K, Topp CN, Chitwood DH. 2017b. Persistent homology and the branching topologies of plants. *American Journal of Botany* 104(3):349–353 DOI 10.3732/ajb.1700046.
- Manichaikul A, Moon JY, Sen S, Yandell BS, Broman KW. 2009. A model selection approach for the identification of quantitative trait loci in experimental crosses, allowing epistasis. *Genetics* 181(3):1077–1086 DOI 10.1534/genetics.108.094565.
- Martínez-López LA, Ochoa-Alejo N, Martínez O. 2014. Dynamics of the chili pepper transcriptome during fruit development. *BMC Genomics* 15(1):143 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-15-143.
- Migicovsky Z, Li M, Chitwood DH, Myles S. 2017. Morphometrics reveals complex and heritable apple leaf shapes. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8:2185 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2017.02185.
- Monforte AJ, Diaz A, Caño-Delgado A, Van Der Knaap E. 2014. The genetic basis of fruit morphology in horticultural crops: lessons from tomato and melon. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65(16):4625–4637 DOI 10.1093/jxb/eru017.
- Monforte AJ, Oliver M, Gonzalo MJ, Alvarez JM, Dolcet-Sanjuan R, Arus P. 2004. Identification of quantitative trait loci involved in fruit quality traits in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 108(4):750–758 DOI 10.1007/s00122-003-1483-x.
- Neto CC. 2007. Cranberry and its phytochemicals: a review of in vitro anticancer studies. *Journal of Nutrition* 137(1):186S–193S DOI 10.1093/jn/137.1.186s.
- Perin C, Hagen L, Giovinazzo N, Besombes D, Dogimont C, Pitrat M. 2001. Genetic control of fruit shape acts prior to anthesis in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 266(6):933–941 DOI 10.1007/s00438-001-0612-y.
- Polashock J, Zelzion E, Fajardo D, Zalapa J, Georgi L, Bhattacharya D, Vorsa N. 2014. The American cranberry: first insights into the whole genome of a species adapted to bog habitat. *BMC Plant Biology* 14(1):165 DOI 10.1186/1471-2229-14-165.
- Rao GU, Ben Chaim A, Borovsky Y, Paran I. 2003. Mapping of yield-related QTLs in pepper in an interspecific cross of *Capsicum annuum* and *C. frutescens*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 106(8):1457–1466 DOI 10.1007/s00122-003-1204-5.
- Rick CM. 1995. Lycopersicon esculentum (Solanaceae). In: Smartt J, Simmonds NW, eds. Evolution of Crop Plants. London: Longman Scientific and Technical, 452–457.
- Rodriguez GR, Munos S, Anderson C, Sim S-C, Michel A, Causse M, Gardener BBM, Francis D, Van Der Knaap E. 2011. Distribution of SUN, OVATE, LC, and FAS in the tomato germplasm and the relationship to fruit shape diversity. *Plant Physiology* **156**(1):275–285 DOI 10.1104/pp.110.167577.
- **Sapers G, Hargrave D. 1987.** Proportions of individual anthocyanins in fruits of cranberry cultivars. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **112**:100–104.
- **Sapers G, Jones S. 1986.** Breeding strategies for increasing the anthocyanin content of cranberries. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **111**:618–622.
- Schlautman B, Covarrubias-Pazaran G, Diaz-Garcia L, Iorizzo M, Polashock J, Grygleski E, Vorsa N, Zalapa J. 2017. Construction of a high-density American cranberry (*Vaccinium*

*macrocarpon* Ait.) composite map using genotyping-by-sequencing for multi-pedigree linkage mapping. *G3: Genes Genes Genetics* **7(4)**:1177–1189 DOI 10.1534/g3.116.037556.

- Schlautman B, Covarrubias-Pazaran G, Diaz-Garcia LA, Johnson-Cicalese J, Iorrizo M, Rodriguez-Bonilla L, Bougie T, Bougie T, Wiesman E, Steffan S, Polashock J, Vorsa N, Zalapa J. 2015a. Development of a high-density cranberry SSR linkage map for comparative genetic analysis and trait detection. *Molecular Breeding* 35(8):177 DOI 10.1007/s11032-015-0367-5.
- Schlautman B, Covarrubias-Pazaran G, Fajardo D, Steffan S, Zalapa J. 2016. Discriminating power of microsatellites in cranberry organelles for taxonomic studies in Vaccinium and Ericaceae. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **64(3)**:451–466 DOI 10.1007/s10722-016-0371-6.
- Schlautman B, Fajardo D, Bougie T, Wiesman E, Polashock J, Vorsa N, Steffan S, Zalapa J. 2015b. Development and validation of 697 novel polymorphic genomic and EST-SSR markers in the American cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait.). *Molecules* 20(2):2001–2013 DOI 10.3390/molecules20022001.
- **Su X, Howell AB, D'Souza DH. 2010.** Antiviral effects of cranberry juice and cranberry proanthocyanidins on foodborne viral surrogates—a time dependence study in vitro. *Food Microbiology* **27(8)**:985–991 DOI 10.1016/j.fm.2010.05.027.
- Sun H, Liu Y, Gai Y, Geng J, Chen L, Liu H, Kang L, Tian Y, Li Y. 2015. De novo sequencing and analysis of the cranberry fruit transcriptome to identify putative genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis, transport and regulation. *BMC Genomics* 16(1):652 DOI 10.1186/s12864-015-1842-4.
- Tan J, Tao Q, Niu H, Zhang Z, Li D, Gong Z, Weng Y, Li Z. 2015. A novel allele of monoecious (m) locus is responsible for elongated fruit shape and perfect flowers in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 128(12):2483–2493
  DOI 10.1007/s00122-015-2603-0.
- Tanksley SD. 2004. The genetic, developmental, and molecular bases of fruit size and shape variation in tomato. *Plant Cell* 16(suppl\_1):S181–S189 DOI 10.1105/tpc.018119.
- Thain D, Tannenbaum T, Livny M. 2005. Distributed computing in practice: the Condor experience. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience* 17(2–4):323–356 DOI 10.1002/cpe.938.
- Van Eck JW, Van Der Heijden GWAM, Polder G. 1998. Accurate measurement of size and shape of cucumber fruits with image analysis. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* 70(4):335–343 DOI 10.1006/jaer.1998.0285.
- Valentova K, Stejskal D, Bednar P, Vostalova J, Cíhalík C, Vecerova R, Koukalova D, Kolar M, Reichenbach R, Sknouril L, Ulrichova J, Simanek V. 2007. Biosafety, antioxidant status, and metabolites in urine after consumption of dried cranberry juice in healthy women: a pilot double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 55(8):3217–3224 DOI 10.1021/jf0636014.
- **Vorsa N. 2003.** Genetic inferences and breeding implications from analysis of cranberry germplasm anthocyanin profiles. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **128**:691–697.
- Vorsa N, Johnson-Cicalese J. 2012. American cranberry. In: Badenes ML, Byrne DH, eds. *Fruit Breeding, Handbook of Plant Breeding.* New York: Springer Science and Business Media, 191–223.
- **Vorsa N, Polashock J. 2005.** Alteration of anthocyanin glycosylation in cranberry through interspecific hybridization. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **130**:711–715.

- Vvedenskaya IO, Vorsa N. 2004. Flavonoid composition over fruit development and maturation in American cranberry, *Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait. *Plant Science* 167(5):1043–1054 DOI 10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.06.001.
- Walter A, Liebisch F, Hund A. 2015. Plant phenotyping: from bean weighing to image analysis. *Plant Methods* 11(1):14 DOI 10.1186/s13007-015-0056-8.
- Wu S, Clevenger JP, Sun L, Visa S, Kamiya Y, Jikumaru Y, Blakeslee J, Van Der Knaap E. 2015. The control of tomato fruit elongation orchestrated by sun, ovate and fs8. 1 in a wild relative of tomato. *Plant Science* 238:95–104 DOI 10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.05.019.
- Yuan XJ, Li XZ, Pan JS, Wang G, Jiang S, Li XH, Deng SL, He HL, Si MX, Lai L, Wu AZ, Zhu LH, Cai R. 2008. Genetic linkage map construction and location of QTLs for fruit-related traits in cucumber. *Plant Breeding* 127(2):180–188 DOI 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2007.01426.x.
- Zhang G, Sebolt AM, Sooriyapathirana SS, Wang D, Bink MC, Olmstead JW, Iezzoni AF. 2009. Fruit size QTL analysis of an F1 population derived from a cross between a domesticated sweet cherry cultivar and a wild forest sweet cherry. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* 6(1):25–36 DOI 10.1007/s11295-009-0225-x.
- Zygier S, Chaim AB, Efrati A, Kaluzky G, Borovsky Y, Paran I. 2005. QTLs mapping for fruit size and shape in chromosomes 2 and 4 in pepper and a comparison of the pepper QTL map with that of tomato. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 111(3):437–445 DOI 10.1007/s00122-005-2015-7.