



Activation of a Cell Surface Signaling Pathway in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Requires ClpP Protease and New Sigma Factor Synthesis

Thomas F. Bishop, Lois W. Martin and Iain L. Lamont*

Department of Biochemistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors control expression of large numbers of genes in bacteria. Most ECF sigma factors are inhibited by antisigma proteins, with inhibition being relieved by environmental signals that lead to inactivation of the antisigma protein and consequent sigma factor activity. In cell surface signaling (CSS) systems in Gram negative bacteria antisigma activity is controlled by an outer membrane protein receptor and its ligand. In Pseudomonas aeruginosa one such system controls expression of genes for secretion and uptake of a siderophore, pyoverdine. In this system the activities of two sigma factors σ^{Fpvl} and σ^{PvdS} are inhibited by antisigma protein FpvR₂₀ that binds to the sigma factors, preventing their interaction with core RNA polymerase. Transport of ferripyoverdine by its outer membrane receptor FpvA causes proteolytic degradation of FpvR₂₀, inducing expression of σ^{FpvI} - and σ^{PvdS} dependent target genes. Here we show that degradation of FpvR₂₀ and induction of target gene expression was initiated within 1 min of addition of pyoverdine. FpvR₂₀ was only partially degraded in a mutant lacking the intracellular CIpP protease, resulting in an FpvR₂₀ subfragment (FpvR₁₂) that inhibited σ^{FpvI} and σ^{PvdS} . The translation inhibitor chloramphenicol did not prevent induction of an σ^{Fpvl} -dependent gene, showing that degradation of $FpvR_{20}$ released pre-existing σ^{Fpvl} in an active form. However, chloramphenicol inhibited induction of σ^{PvdS} -dependent genes showing that active σ^{PvdS} is not released when FpvR₂₀ is degraded and instead, σ^{PvdS} must be synthesized in the absence of FpvR₂₀ to be active. These findings show that sigma factor activation occurs rapidly following addition of the inducing signal in a CSS pathway and requires ClpP protease. Induction of gene expression that can arise from release of active sigma from an antisigma protein but can also require new sigma factor synthesis.

Keywords: ECF sigma factor, antisigma, ClpP protease, pyoverdine, siderophore, regulated proteolysis, bacterial signal transduction, cell surface signaling

INTRODUCTION

Extracytoplasmic function sigma factors are the largest and most diverse family of sigma factors in bacteria, directing expression of genes in response to a wide range of environmental stimuli (Staron et al., 2009; Ho and Ellermeier, 2012; Mascher, 2013). The activities of most ECF sigma factors are controlled by antisigma proteins that bind to and inhibit their cognate sigma factors

Abbreviations: CSS, cell surface signaling; ECF, extracytoplasmic function.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Daniela De Biase, Sapienza Università di Roma, Italy

Reviewed by:

Kürşad Turgay, Leibniz University of Hanover, Germany Michael L. Vasil, University of Colorado Denver School of Medicine, United States

> *Correspondence: lain L. Lamont iain.lamont@otago.ac.nz

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Physiology and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 18 October 2017 Accepted: 24 November 2017 Published: 12 December 2017

Citation:

Bishop TF, Martin LW and Lamont IL (2017) Activation of a Cell Surface Signaling Pathway in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Requires ClpP Protease and New Sigma Factor Synthesis. Front. Microbiol. 8:2442. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02442

(Campbell et al., 2007; Osterberg et al., 2011). CSS systems control the activities of a large proportion of the ECF sigma factors in Gram negative bacteria. In CSS systems antisigma protein activity (and hence that of the cognate ECF sigma factor) is controlled by an outer membrane protein receptor in response to an extracellular chemical signal, commonly a ferrisiderophore (Visca et al., 2002; Braun et al., 2006; Llamas et al., 2014). One of the best-characterized CSS systems controls expression of genes for synthesis of a siderophore pyoverdine and subsequent uptake of ferripyoverdine in the opportunistic pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Figure 1). In this system sigma factors σ^{FpvI} and σ^{PvdS} are inhibited by antisigma protein FpvR₂₀ that is formed by cleavage of a 37 kDa precursor protein (Draper et al., 2011). FpvR₂₀ extends from the periplasm through the cytoplasmic membrane into the cytoplasm and inhibition involves binding of the sigma factors by FpvR₂₀, which also causes degradation of σ^{PvdS} although not σ^{FpvI} (Spencer et al., 2008; Edgar et al., 2014, 2017). Importation of ferripyoverdine results in molecular rearrangement of its receptor, FpvA (Schalk et al., 2009), initiating a proteolytic cascade that results in complete degradation of FpvR₂₀. σ^{FpvI} and σ^{PvdS} then direct expression of genes for synthesis of FpvA and pyoverdine, respectively. σ^{PvdS} also directs expression of genes encoding a secreted exotoxin and a protease (Lamont et al., 2002). The rate of induction of target gene expression in response to the appropriate environmental signal has not been determined for this or any other CSS pathway.

The molecular mechanisms underlying signal transduction in CSS pathways are only partially understood. The proteolytic cascade that leads to degradation of $FpvR_{20}$ includes the cytoplasmic membrane protease RseP but the other proteases involved have not yet been identified (Draper et al., 2011). RseP and its homologs are also required for cleavage of other antisigma proteins that inhibit ECF sigma factors (King-Lyons et al., 2007; Draper et al., 2011; Damron and Goldberg, 2012; Barchinger and Ades, 2013). The periplasmic protease Prc is part of the proteolytic cascade in other CSS systems (Bastiaansen et al., 2014) but is not required for signal transduction in the pyoverdine system (Draper et al., 2011). The protease(s) required for degradation of the cytoplasmic antisigma component and consequent sigma factor activity are not yet known in this or any other CSS pathway.

The simplest model for induction of gene expression in sigma-antisigma systems is that degradation of antisigma protein releases active sigma factor that can then interact with core RNA polymerase to initiate transcription from target promoters. To the best of our knowledge this model has not been tested experimentally. A possible alternative mechanism, suggested by proteolysis of σ^{PvdS} in the presence of FpvR₂₀, is that sigma factors are inactivated following binding by antisigma proteins and are only active when synthesized in the absence of the cognate antisigma.

The aims of the work described here were to investigate the time-course of degradation of $FpvR_{20}$ and consequent induction of target gene expression in response to the ferripyoverdine inducing signal; to identify the protease responsible for degrading the cytoplasmic portion of $FpvR_{20}$; and to investigate whether active σ^{PvdS} and σ^{FpvI} sigma factors are released following proteolysis of $FpvR_{20}$, or whether sigma factors must be synthesized in the absence of $FpvR_{20}$ to be active.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Growth of Bacteria

Strains of *P. aeruginosa* used in this study are listed in **Table 1**. Bacteria were routinely grown in LB medium or





TABLE 1 Plasmids and strains of Pseudomonas	s <i>aeruginosa</i> used in th	iis study.
---	--------------------------------	------------

Plasmids	Description	Reference
pEX18Gm	oriT ⁺ sacB ⁺ gene replacement vector; Gm ^R	Hoang et al., 1998
mini-CTX2	Tc ^R , integrating vector	Hoang et al., 2000
mini-CTX2 <i>tigclpP</i>	Mini-CTX2 containing 2.3 kb fragment spanning <i>tig</i> and <i>clpP</i> genes (PAO1 genome region 1952446–1954761)	This study
pEX18Gm::∆ <i>clpP</i>	Allele replacement plasmid for the removal of PAO1 genome region 1954077–1954699, 0.9 and 0.8 kb fragments flanking <i>clpP</i> cloned into pEX18Gm as <i>Hin</i> dIII- <i>Bam</i> HI- <i>EcoR</i> I restriction fragments, Gm ^R	This study
pEX18Gm:: ∆Ion	Allele replacement plasmid for the removal of PAO1 genome region 1956251–1959420, 0.9 and 0.8 kb fragments flanking <i>lon</i> cloned into pEX18Gm as <i>Hin</i> dIII- <i>Bam</i> HI- <i>EcoR</i> I restriction fragments, Gm ^R	This study
Strains of P. aeruginosa		
PAO1	Wild-type; Pvd ⁺	Stover et al., 2000
PAO1 <i>pvdF</i>	PAO1 <i>pvdF</i> ::Km ^R ; Pvd ⁻	McMorran et al., 2001
PAO1 pvdF clpP	PAO1 <i>pvdF</i> with an unmarked <i>clpP</i> deletion	This study
PAO1 pvdF clpP (ctx::tigclpP)	PAO1 pvdF clpP containing mini-CTX2tigclpP	This study
PAO1 pvdF lon	PAO1 <i>pvdF</i> with an unmarked lon deletion	This study

on LB agar at 37°C. For Western blotting and reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) *P. aeruginosa* was grown in King's B medium (King et al., 1954). Antibiotics were added as required at the same concentrations as described previously (Mettrick and Lamont, 2009) with chloramphenicol being added to a final concentration of 150 μ g/mL that completely prevents protein synthesis (Kay and Gronlund, 1969).

Genetic Manipulations

Plasmids used in this study are listed in **Table 1**. Restriction of DNA molecules and DNA cloning were carried out using standard methods (Sambrook et al., 2000) with enzymes purchased from Roche Molecular Biologicals. DNA fragments required for strain construction were amplified from genomic DNA of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 by PCR with FirePol DNA Polymerase (Solis Biodyne) or Taq DNA Polymerase Reddymix (ThermoPrime) using appropriate primers (Supplementary Table S1) that were designed on the basis of the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 genome sequence¹ (Winsor et al., 2011). DNA fragments were cloned into the required vectors and all plasmid constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

Construction of an unmarked deletion in the *P. aeruginosa clpP* gene was carried out as described previously (Hoang et al., 1998; Mettrick and Lamont, 2009; Draper et al., 2011). Briefly, fragments of DNA flanking the deletion site in *clpP* were amplified by PCR using primer pairs listed in Supplementary Table S1, ligated together and cloned into the allele replacement vector pEX18Gm to give plasmid pEX18Gm:: $\Delta clpP$. Chromosomal allele replacement was then carried out (Hoang et al., 1998). Over 90% of *clpP* was deleted and the deletion was in-frame with downstream genes. Deletions and allele replacements were confirmed by PCR. An analogous method was used to create a deletion in the *lon* gene. For complementation of the *clpP* mutation, a 2.3 kb PCR product spanning the *clpP* gene, the upstream *tig* gene and the predicted promoter was cloned into the integrating plasmid miniCTX2 (Hoang et al., 2000) that was then transferred into *P. aeruginosa pvdF clpP* by conjugation from *Escherichia coli* S17-1 as described previously (Shirley and Lamont, 2009).

Western Blotting

Bacteria were grown in King's B medium (King et al., 1954) (20 mL) to late exponential phase (OD₆₀₀ between 1.8 and 2.2 [0.6 and 0.8 for PAO1 pvdF clpP]). A sample (400 µL [1000 µL for PAO1 pvdF clpP]) was centrifuged in a bench-top microcentrifuge (13,000 rpm, 20 s) and the pellet resuspended in SDS-PAGE loading buffer [2% (w/v) SDS, 5% (w/v) β-mercaptoethanol, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.002% bromophenol blue, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl; pH 6.8] (15 µL [8 µL for PAO1 pvdF clpP]) and PBS (85 µL [42 µL for PAO1 pvdF clpP]) at 99°C. Pyoverdine (150 µM), purified from P. aeruginosa PAO1 as described previously (Mettrick and Lamont, 2009), was added to each culture and samples were taken 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after the addition of pyoverdine. The samples were centrifuged and the pellets resuspended as described above. The tubes were heated at 99°C for 20 min, centrifuged briefly and vortexed. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis on 12.5% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using standard methods (Harlow and Lane, 1999). Membranes were blocked in 15% (v/v) SeaBlock (Pierce) in TBS buffer (0.9% NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl; pH 7.5) containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween. Blots were probed with monoclonal antibody anti- σ^{PvdS} (Xiong et al., 2000) or anti-FpvRN (Draper et al., 2011) that was raised against a peptide corresponding to residues 62-75 within the N-terminal (cytoplasmic) region of FpvR₂₀. Equal protein loadings were confirmed by probing the membranes with monoclonal antibody anti-RpoD (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Detection was carried out using anti-Mouse HRP conjugates (Sigma), Super Signal ECL (Pierce), and a Fuji LAS-1000 Imager. Western blotting was carried out with bacteria from at least two independent cultures for each strain and growth condition and representative data are shown.

¹http://beta.pseudomonas.com

RT-qPCR

Bacteria were grown at 37°C in King's B medium (King et al., 1954) (20 mL) to late exponential phase. A sample (500 µL) was taken from each culture (0 min) and transferred to a tube containing 1 mL of RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen). Pyoverdine (150 µM) was added to each culture. Samples $(500 \ \mu L)$ were taken 5, 10, 30, and 60 min after the addition of pyoverdine. The samples were transferred to tubes containing 1 mL of RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen) and vortexed vigorously. RNA was extracted and RT-qPCR, including control reactions without template or without reverse transcriptase, was carried out as described previously (Draper et al., 2011; Konings et al., 2013). Relative quantification was performed using the second derivative maximum method corrected for primer efficiencies, with *clpX* and *oprL* as the combined reference genes, as described previously (Draper et al., 2011; Konings et al., 2013); the reference genes gave similar outcomes when used individually, gPCR was carried out twice for each cDNA sample, with three replicates each time. cDNA was prepared from at least two independent cultures for each strain and growth condition and representative data are shown.

RESULTS

Time Course for Induction of Gene Expression

In the absence of ferripy overdine, $FpvR_{20}$ inhibits σ^{PvdS} and $\sigma^{\text{FpvI}}.$ Addition of pyoverdine, which chelates iron to become ferripyoverdine, induces σ^{PvdS} -dependent expression of pyoverdine synthesis genes and the σ^{FpvI} -dependent gene fpvA (Lamont et al., 2002; Beare et al., 2003; Tiburzi et al., 2008; Draper et al., 2011). However, the rate of increase in gene expression following addition of the inducing signal has not been determined for this or any other CSS system. We therefore determined the time-course of activation of transcription for two σ^{PvdS} -dependent genes *pvdH* and *pvdL*, and the sole known σ^{FpvI} dependent gene fpvA (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S1). *pvdH* and *pvdL* had very similar rates of induction, with maximal transcription 30 min after addition of pyoverdine. Expression of the fpvA gene was also maximal after 30 min although transcription of this gene was less strongly induced than that of *pvdH* and *pvdL*.

Degradation of FpvR₂₀ and σ^{PvdS}

 σ^{PvdS} and σ^{FpvI} are inhibited by the FpvR₂₀ protein and induction of gene expression following addition of pyoverdine requires degradation of FpvR₂₀ (Draper et al., 2011). To determine the time-course of degradation of FpvR₂₀, Western blotting was carried out using an antibody specific to the cytoplasmic (sigma factor binding) domain of the protein (**Figure 3A** and Supplementary Figure S2). There was significantly less FpvR₂₀ per cell within 1 min of addition of pyoverdine and FpvR₂₀ was almost undetectable by 30 min. This timeframe correlates well with the induction of gene expression (**Figure 2**). Pyoverdinemediated induction of gene expression is dependent on the



FIGURE 2 Activation of gene expression following addition of pyoverdine. Pyoverdine was added to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 *pvdF* bacteria (0 min) and samples were collected at intervals and analyzed by reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). The amounts of *pvdH*, *pvdL*, and *fpvA* transcripts are shown relative to the reference genes *clpX* and *oprL*. Data are means of six technical replicates with standard deviation shown. Similar results were obtained when the experiment was repeated (Supplementary Figure S1).

FpvA ferripyoverdine receptor protein (Shen et al., 2002; Beare et al., 2003; James et al., 2005; Draper et al., 2011). Addition of pyoverdine to an *fpvA* mutant did not result in degradation of $FpvR_{20}$ (**Figure 3B** and Supplementary Figure S2) confirming the requirement for FpvA as well as pyoverdine for degradation of $FpvR_{20}$.

The presence of FpvR₂₀ results in proteolysis of σ^{PvdS} to generate a subfragment (PvdS₁₅) that is likely to be an intermediate in the proteolytic degradation of σ^{PvdS} , lowering the amount of σ^{PvdS} per cell (Spencer et al., 2008). The amount of PvdS₁₅ decreased following the addition of pyoverdine



(**Figure 3A**). In the absence of FpvA (and consequent presence of FpvR₂₀) there was no change in the amount of PvdS₁₅ following addition of pyoverdine (**Figure 3B**). These findings are consistent with the requirement of FpvR₂₀ for degradation of σ^{PvdS} (Spencer et al., 2008). The amount of σ^{FpvI} per cell is not altered by the presence of FpvR₂₀ (Edgar et al., 2017).

ClpP Protease Is Part of the Degradation Pathway

Degradation of FpvR₂₀ requires one or more cytoplasmic proteases (Draper et al., 2011). The cytoplasmic protease ClpP contributes to the degradation of the antisigma factors RseA in E. coli (Flynn et al., 2004) and RsiW in Bacillus subtilis (Zellmeier et al., 2006). We therefore tested the hypothesis that ClpP contributes to degradation of FpvR₂₀ and consequent activity of σ^{PvdS} and σ^{FpvI} . An in-frame deletion of the *clpP* (PA1801) gene was engineered in P. aeruginosa PAO1 pvdF. The effect of the *clpP* mutation on gene expression was then determined (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S3). The *clpP* mutation completely prevented induction of expression of pvdH, pvdL, and fpvA. Indeed, gene expression in the *clpP* mutant was even lower than in Clp+ bacteria in the absence of pyoverdine. Complementation of the mutant with wild-type *clpP* restored gene expression. These data show that ClpP protease is essential for induction of gene expression in the pyoverdine signaling pathway.

We therefore tested the hypothesis that ClpP is required for degradation of $FpvR_{20}$. Following addition of pyoverdine to clpP



FIGURE 4 | Effect of *clpP* mutation on induction of gene expression. Pyoverdine was added (0 min) to *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 *pvdF clpP* (black triangles) and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 *pvdF clpP* (minictx::*tig-clpP*) (open triangles). Samples were collected at intervals and analyzed by RT-qPCR. Data are means of six technical replicates with standard deviation shown. Equivalent data from strain PAO1 *pvdF* bacteria (**Figure 2**) (black squares) are included for comparison. (A) *pvdH*. (B) *pvdL*. (C) *fpvA*.

mutant bacteria, FpvR₂₀ was degraded and a sub-fragment of approx. 12 kDa (FpvR₁₂) was present that was not detected in Clp⁺ strains (Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure S4). The increasing amount of this fragment following addition of pyoverdine was inversely proportional to the decreasing amount of FpvR₂₀ indicating that FpvR₁₂ is formed as a result of proteolysis of FpvR₂₀. The FpvR₁₂ fragment retains the cytoplasmic sigma binding domain of FpvR₂₀ (Edgar et al., 2014) explaining the inhibition of sigma factor activity that occurs in the *clpP* mutant even when pyoverdine is present (Figure 4). Complementation with wild-type *clpP* restored wild-type phenotype of an absence of FpvR₁₂ (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S4) and largely restored expression of the *pvdH*, *pvdL*, and *fpvA* genes (Figure 4); incomplete restoration of gene expression was most likely a consequence of the different chromosomal context of the introduced *clpP*



gene. In contrast to ClpP⁺ bacteria, reduction in the amount of PvdS₁₅ following addition of pyoverdine did not occur in the *clpP* mutant (**Figure 5A** and Supplementary Figure S4). Collectively these data show that ClpP protease is required for, and most likely catalyzes, degradation of the cytoplasmic portion of FpvR₂₀.

Gene Expression Requires Newly Synthesized σ^{PvdS} But Not σ^{Fpvl}

The proteolytic degradation of σ^{PvdS} that occurs in the presence of FpvR₂₀ raised the question, is active sigma factor released when FpvR₂₀ is degraded or must the sigma factors be synthesized in the absence of FpvR₂₀ to be active? To address this question, the effects of the translation inhibitor chloramphenicol on transcription of target genes were measured. If newly synthesized sigma factor is required for transcription of target genes, prevention of sigma factor synthesis would prevent increased target gene expression; however, if degradation of FpvR₂₀ releases active sigma factor, chloramphenicol would not prevent induction of target gene expression.

The results are shown in **Figure 6A** and Supplementary Figure S5A. Addition of chloramphenicol prevented pyoverdinemediated induction of expression of pvdH and pvdL, indicating that σ^{PvdS} must be synthesized in the absence of $FpvR_{20}$ for pvd



gene expression to occur. Induction of fpvA gene expression was not prevented by the presence of chloramphenicol, indicating that degradation of $FpvR_{20}$ released active σ^{FpvI} that could direct transcription of fpvA. The slight delay in induction of expression of *fpvA* in the presence of chloramphenicol may indicate that σ^{FpvI} released from degraded $FpvR_{20}$ would normally be supplemented by newly synthesized σ^{FpvI} during pyoverdine-mediated induction of gene expression.

The effect of chloramphenicol on protein amounts was also investigated (**Figure 6B**). In the presence of pyoverdine $FpvR_{20}$ was rapidly degraded, as expected and as also occurred in the absence of chloramphenicol (**Figure 2**). In the absence of pyoverdine the amount of $FpvR_{20}$ per cell did not greatly decrease for at least 60 min following addition of chloramphenicol. The continuing presence of $FpvR_{20}$ in the absence of protein synthesis indicates that this protein is relatively stable under these conditions.

In the absence of pyoverdine and consequent presence of FpvR₂₀, the amount of σ^{PvdS} decreased after the addition of chloramphenicol (**Figure 6B** and Supplementary Figure S5B). The amount of PvdS₁₅ formed from σ^{PvdS} did not vary during the course of the experiment suggesting that the rates of formation and degradation of PvdS₁₅ are similar. The decrease in the amount of σ^{PvdS} was slower following the addition of pyoverdine and consequent absence of FpvR₂₀. These results indicate that FpvR₂₀ accelerates, but is not essential for, degradation of σ^{PvdS} .

DISCUSSION

In this research we show that degradation of the FpvR₂₀ antisigma protein in the pyoverdine CSS pathway occurs within 1 min of addition of pyoverdine with expression of the *pvd* and *fpvA* target genes being maximal by 30 min. Target gene expression is dependent on ClpP protease for removal of FpvR₂₀ antisigma activity and requires *de novo* synthesis of σ^{PvdS} , but not σ^{FpvI} .

The time-course of antisigma degradation has previously been examined for the E. coli stress response ECF sigma-antisigma system, in which antisigma RseA inhibits the activity of sigma factor σ^E . RseA has a half-life of about 8 min in uninduced bacteria and 1-2 min following heat-induced envelope stress (Ades et al., 2003; Chaba et al., 2007). In the absence of the pyoverdine inducing signal there was no detectable reduction in the amount of FpvR₂₀ over at least 60 min when chloramphenicol was present to prevent new protein synthesis (Figure 6B), indicating that FpvR₂₀ has a long half-life under non-inducing conditions. In contrast, FpvR₂₀ was almost undetectable 5 min after addition of pyoverdine in the presence of chloramphenicol (Figure 6B) indicating a much shorter half-life. The rates of degradation of the FpvR₂₀ and RseA antisigma proteins in induced and uninduced cells are therefore comparable, in each case allowing a rapid response to the relevant environmental signal. So far as we are aware the time-course of induction of target gene expression has not previously been determined for any CSS system or indeed, any ECF sigma-antisigma system.

The proteolytic cascade that leads to degradation of $FpvR_{20}$ and induction of gene expression requires ClpP protease (**Figures 4, 5**). A CSS system is therefore part of the expanding repertoire of regulatory pathways in which Clp proteases are required for degradation of an antisigma protein and consequent

sigma factor activity (Flynn et al., 2004; Zellmeier et al., 2006). Unfoldase chaperones - either ClpA or ClpX - are required to render substrate proteins susceptible to proteolysis by ClpP (Liu et al., 2014; Olivares et al., 2016) and it will be of interest to determine which unfoldase is required for complete degradation of FpvR₂₀. In the *clpP* mutant a subfragment of FpvR₂₀, FpvR₁₂, is present that is presumably formed by proteolysis of FpvR₂₀ and is further degraded by a ClpP-containing protease in $ClpP^+$ bacteria. The size of $FpvR_{12}$ indicates that it contains the complete cytoplasmic antisigma domain of FpvR₂₀ and so inhibits σ^{PvdS} and σ^{FpvI} (Edgar et al., 2014, 2017). This is consistent with the finding that expression of *pvdH*, *pvdL*, and fpvA was significantly lower in the clpP mutant than in Clp⁺ bacteria in the absence of pyoverdine (Figure 6). Although FpvR₂₀ has a long half-life in the absence of pyoverdine there was a gradual reduction in the amount of FpvR₂₀ in the absence of new protein synthesis (Figure 4). These findings are consistent with proteolytic degradation of FpvR₂₀ in wild-type bacteria even in the absence of pyoverdine. Auto-inducing systems such as the pyoverdine CSS system require a basal level of gene expression in order to produce and detect the inducing signal. In the pyoverdine system, some degradation of FpvR₂₀ in the absence of pyoverdine is evidently necessary for low-level sigma factor activity to provide basal expression of fpvA and pvd genes that is needed for up-regulation of gene expression when pyoverdine is present.

The simplest model for sigma factor activation in sigmaantisigma systems is that degradation of the antisigma protein results in release of active sigma factor and consequent gene expression (Brooks and Buchanan, 2008; Ho and Ellermeier, 2012). So far as we are aware, this model has not been directly tested for any sigma/antisigma pair. Our data indicate that σ^{PvdS} must be synthesized in the absence of the $FpvR_{20}$ antisigma in order for expression of pvd target genes to occur following addition of pyoverdine (Figure 6). This demonstrates an alternative model, in which a sigma factor must be synthesized in the absence of its cognate antisigma in order to be active. The presence of $FpvR_{20}$ is associated with proteolysis of σ^{PvdS} that generates a subfragment (PvdS₁₅), a likely intermediate in the proteolytic degradation of σ^{PvdS} , resulting in a lower amount of σ^{PvdS} per cell when $FpvR_{20}$ is present (Figure 3) (Spencer et al., 2008). Proteolysis of σ^{PvdS} in the presence of FpvR₂₀ may partially explain the requirement for sigma factor synthesis in the absence of $FpvR_{20}$ in order for the σ^{PvdS} to be active and for target gene expression to occur following addition of pyoverdine. Conversely induction of the σ^{FpvI} -dependent fpvA gene did not require new protein synthesis (Figure 4A) showing that in this case, degradation of FpvR₂₀ did result in release of active sigma factor. This is consistent with the cellular level of σ^{FpvI} being unaffected by the presence of FpvR_{20} (Edgar et al., 2017) indicating that FpvR₂₀ does not trigger degradation of σ^{FpvI} . It will be of interest to determine whether other ECF sigma factors must, like σ^{PvdS} , be synthesized in the absence of the cognate anti-sigma in order to be active. A mutation in *clpP* or in the gene encoding Lon protease that degrades a number of regulatory proteins (Van Melderen and Aertsen, 2009) did not prevent formation of PvdS₁₅ (Figure 5 and Supplementary

Figure S6). The protease that catalyzes the formation of $PvdS_{15}$ from σ^{PvdS} therefore remains to be identified.

CONCLUSION

Our results demonstrate the speed with which a CSS system can respond to the presence of the inducing signal and the importance of ClpP protease in this process. They also show that induction of gene expression in an ECF sigma-antisigma system can require synthesis of sigma factor in the absence of antisigma, rather than release of active sigma factor following degradation of the antisigma. The molecular mechanisms that initiate degradation of FpvR₂₀ and presumably involve its interaction with FpvA remain to be elucidated.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

IL conceived the study and the project outline. TB, LM, and IL designed methods and experiments, analyzed the data and interpreted results. TB and LM carried out experiments and collected data. IL, TB, and LM wrote the manuscript. IL, TB,

REFERENCES

- Ades, S. E., Grigorova, I. L., and Gross, C. A. (2003). Regulation of the alternative sigma factor σE during initiation, adaptation, and shutoff of the extracytoplasmic heat shock response in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 185, 2512–2519. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.8.2512-2519.2003
- Barchinger, S. E., and Ades, S. E. (2013). Regulated proteolysis: control of the *Escherichia coli* σE-dependent cell envelope stress response. *Subcell. Biochem.* 66, 129–160. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-5940-4_6
- Bastiaansen, K. C., Ibanez, A., Ramos, J. L., Bitter, W., and Llamas, M. A. (2014). The prc and rsep proteases control bacterial cell-surface signaling activity. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 2433–2443. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12371
- Beare, P. A., For, R. J., Martin, L. W., and Lamont, I. L. (2003). Siderophoremediated cell signalling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: divergent pathways regulate virulence factor production and siderophore receptor synthesis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 47, 195–207. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03288.x
- Braun, V., Mahren, S., and Sauter, A. (2006). Gene regulation by transmembrane signaling. *Biometals* 19, 103–113. doi: 10.1007/s10534-005-8253-y
- Brooks, B. E., and Buchanan, S. K. (2008). Signaling mechanisms for activation of extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1778, 1930–1945. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2007.06.005
- Campbell, E. A., Greenwell, R., Anthony, J. R., Wang, S., Lim, L., Das, K., et al. (2007). A conserved structural module regulates transcriptional responses to diverse stress signals in bacteria. *Mol. Cell* 27, 793–805. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel. 2007.07.009
- Chaba, R., Grigorova, I. L., Flynn, J. M., Baker, T. A., and Gross, C. A. (2007). Design principles of the proteolytic cascade governing the σ E-mediated envelope stress response in *Escherichia coli*: keys to graded, buffered, and rapid signal transduction. *Genes Dev.* 21, 124–136. doi: 10.1101/gad.149 6707
- Damron, F. H., and Goldberg, J. B. (2012). Proteolytic regulation of alginate overproduction in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol. Microbiol. 84, 595–607. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08049.x
- Draper, R. C., Martin, L. W., Beare, P. A., and Lamont, I. L. (2011). Differential proteolysis of sigma regulators controls cell-surface signalling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol. Microbiol.* 82, 1444–1453. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011. 07901.x
- Edgar, R. J., Hampton, G. E., Garcia, G. P. C., Maher, M. J., Perugini, M. A., Ackerley, D. F., et al. (2017). Integrated activities of two alternative sigma

and LM contributed to revision of, and approved, the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported in part by research grant UOO0502 from the Marsden Fund administered by the Royal Society of New Zealand.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr. Rebecca Edgar for her comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2017.02442/full#supplementary-material

factors coordinate iron acquisition and uptake by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 106, 891–904. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13855

- Edgar, R. J., Xu, X., Shirley, M., Konings, A. F., Martin, L. W., Ackerley, D. F., et al. (2014). Interactions between an anti-sigma protein and two sigma factors that regulate the pyoverdine signaling pathway in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *BMC Microbiol.* 14:287. doi: 10.1186/s12866-014-0287-2
- Flynn, J. M., Levchenko, I., Sauer, R. T., and Baker, T. A. (2004). Modulating substrate choice: the SspB adaptor delivers a regulator of the extracytoplasmicstress response to the AAA+ protease ClpXP for degradation. *Genes Dev.* 18, 2292–2301. doi: 10.1101/gad.1240104
- Harlow, E., and Lane, D. (1999). Using Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Ho, T. D., and Ellermeier, C. D. (2012). Extra cytoplasmic function sigma factor activation. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 15, 182–188. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2012.01.001
- Hoang, T. T., Karkhoff-Schweizer, R. R., Kutchma, A. J., and Schweizer, H. P. (1998). A broad-host-range Flp-FRT recombination system for site-specific excision of chromosomally-located DNA sequences: application for isolation of unmarked *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants. *Gene* 212, 77–86. doi: 10.1016/ S0378-1119(98)00130-9
- Hoang, T. T., Kutchma, A. J., Becher, A., and Schweizer, H. P. (2000). Integrationproficient plasmids for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: site-specific integration and use for engineering of reporter and expression strains. *Plasmid* 43, 59–72. doi: 10.1006/plas.1999.1441
- James, H. E., Beare, P. A., Martin, L. W., and Lamont, I. L. (2005). Mutational analysis of a bifunctional ferrisiderophore receptor and signal-transducing protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Bacteriol. 187, 4514–4520. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.13.4514-4520.2005
- Kay, W. W., and Gronlund, A. F. (1969). Amino acid pool formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol. 97, 282–291.
- King, E. O., Ward, M. K., and Raney, D. E. (1954). Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescein. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 44, 301–307.
- King-Lyons, N. D., Smith, K. F., and Connell, T. D. (2007). Expression of hurP, a gene encoding a prospective site 2 protease, is essential for heme-dependent induction of bhuR in *Bordetella bronchiseptica*. J. Bacteriol. 189, 6266–6275. doi: 10.1128/JB.00629-07
- Konings, A. F., Martin, L. W., Sharples, K. J., Roddam, L. F., Latham, R., Reid, D. W., et al. (2013). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* utilises multiple pathways for iron acquisition in cystic fibrosis. *Infect. Immun.* 81, 2697–2704. doi: 10.1128/IAI. 00418-13

- Lamont, I. L., Beare, P. A., Ochsner, U., Vasil, A. I., and Vasil, M. L. (2002). Siderophore-mediated signaling regulates virulence factor production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 7072–7077. doi: 10.1073/pnas.092016999
- Liu, K., Ologbenla, A., and Houry, W. A. (2014). Dynamics of the ClpP serine protease: a model for self-compartmentalized proteases. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 49, 400–412. doi: 10.3109/10409238.2014.925421
- Llamas, M. A., Imperi, F., Visca, P., and Lamont, I. L. (2014). Cell-surface signaling in *Pseudomonas*: stress responses, iron transport, and pathogenicity. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 38, 569–597. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12078
- Mascher, T. (2013). Signaling diversity and evolution of extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 16, 148–155. doi: 10.1016/j.mib. 2013.02.001
- McMorran, B. J., Kumara, H. M. C. S., Sullivan, K., and Lamont, I. L. (2001). Involvement of a transformylase enzyme in siderophore synthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiology* 147, 1517–1524. doi: 10.1099/ 00221287-147-6-1517
- Mettrick, K. A., and Lamont, I. L. (2009). Different roles for anti-sigma factors in siderophore signalling pathways of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol. Microbiol. 74, 1257–1271. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06932.x
- Olivares, A. O., Baker, T. A., and Sauer, R. T. (2016). Mechanistic insights into bacterial AAA+ proteases and protein-remodelling machines. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14, 33–44. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2015.4
- Osterberg, S., Del Peso-Santos, T., and Shingler, V. (2011). Regulation of alternative sigma factor use. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 65, 37–55. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro. 112408.134219
- Sambrook, J., Russell, D. W., and Irwin, N. (2000). Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Schalk, I. J., Lamont, I. L., and Cobessi, D. (2009). Structure-function relationships in the bifunctional ferrisiderophore FpvA receptor from *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Biometals 22, 671–678. doi: 10.1007/s10534-008-9203-2
- Shen, J., Meldrum, A., and Poole, K. (2002). FpvA receptor involvement in pyoverdine biosynthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Bacteriol. 184, 3268–3275. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.12.3268-3275.2002
- Shirley, M., and Lamont, I. L. (2009). Role of TonB1 in pyoverdine-mediated signaling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Bacteriol. 191, 5634–5640. doi: 10.1128/ JB.00742-09
- Spencer, M. R., Beare, P. A., and Lamont, I. L. (2008). Role of cell surface signaling in proteolysis of an alternative sigma factor in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 4865–4869. doi: 10.1128/JB.01998-07

- Staron, A., Sofia, H. J., Dietrich, S., Ulrich, L. E., Liesegang, H., and Mascher, T. (2009). The third pillar of bacterial signal transduction: classification of the extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factor protein family. *Mol. Microbiol.* 74, 557–581. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06870.x
- Stover, C. K., Pham, X. Q., Erwin, A. L., Mizoguchi, S. D., Warrener, P., Hickey, M. J., et al. (2000). Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01, an opportunistic pathogen. *Nature* 406, 959–964. doi: 10.1038/3502 3079
- Tiburzi, F., Imperi, F., and Visca, P. (2008). Intracellular levels and activity of PvdS, the major iron starvation sigma factor of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 67, 213–227. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06051.x
- Van Melderen, L., and Aertsen, A. (2009). Regulation and quality control by Londependent proteolysis. *Res. Microbiol.* 160, 645–651. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2009. 08.021
- Visca, P., Leoni, L., Wilson, M. J., and Lamont, I. L. (2002). Iron transport and regulation, cell signalling and genomics: lessons from *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas*. Mol. Microbiol. 45, 1177–1190. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002. 03088.x
- Winsor, G. L., Lam, D. K., Fleming, L., Lo, R., Whiteside, M. D., Yu, N. Y., et al. (2011). Pseudomonas genome database: improved comparative analysis and population genomics capability for *Pseudomonas* genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, D596–D600. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq869
- Xiong, Y. -Q., Vasil, M. L., Johnson, Z., Ochsner, U. A., and Bayer, A. S. (2000). The oxygen- and iron-dependent sigma factor *pvdS* of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an important virulence factor in experimental infective endocarditis. *J. Infect. Dis.* 181, 1020–1026. doi: 10.1086/315338
- Zellmeier, S., Schumann, W., and Wiegert, T. (2006). Involvement of Clp protease activity in modulating the *Bacillus subtilis* σW stress response. *Mol. Microbiol.* 61, 1569–1582. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05323.x

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Bishop, Martin and Lamont. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.