

A cohort study of low Apgar scores and cognitive outcomes

D E Odd,¹ F Rasmussen,² D Gunnell,³ G Lewis,⁴ A Whitelaw¹

¹Clinical Science at North Bristol, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; ²Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; ³Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; ⁴Department of Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Correspondence to: Dr D E Odd, Clinical Science at North Bristol, Paul O'Gorman Lifeline Centre, Southmead Hospital, Bristol BS10 5NB, UK; mddeo@bristol.ac.uk

Accepted 3 October 2007
Published Online First
4 October 2007

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the association of brief (0–5 minutes) and prolonged (>5 minutes) low Apgar scores (<7) in non-encephalopathic infants with educational achievement at age 15–16 and intelligence quotients (IQs) at age 18.

Design: Population-based record-linkage cohort study of 176 524 male infants born throughout Sweden between 1973 and 1976.

Patients and methods: Data from the Medical Birth Register were linked to Population and Housing Censuses, conscription medical records (IQ), and school registers (summary school grade). Infants were classified according to the time for their Apgar score to reach 7 or above. Premature infants and those with encephalopathy were excluded.

Results: Infants with brief (OR = 1.14 (1.03–1.27)) or prolonged (OR = 1.35 (1.07–1.69)) low Apgar scores were more likely to have a low IQ score. There was an increased risk of a low IQ score ($p = 0.003$) the longer it took the infant to achieve a normal Apgar score. There was no association between brief (OR = 0.96 (0.87–1.06)) or prolonged (OR = 1.01 (0.81–1.26)) low Apgar scores and a low summary school grade at age 15–16, or evidence for a trend in the risk of a low school grade ($p = 0.61$). The estimated proportion with an IQ score below 81 due to transiently low Apgar scores was only 0.7%.

Conclusions: Infants in poor condition at birth have increased risk of poor functioning in cognitive tests in later life. This supports the idea of a “continuum of reproductive casualty”, although the small individual effect suggests that these mild degrees of fetal compromise are not of clinical importance.

A severely low Apgar score at 5 minutes is strongly associated with an increased risk of neonatal encephalopathy, subsequent cerebral palsy (CP) and learning difficulties,^{1–3} although a low score may be due to conditions other than brain injury or intrapartum events. The current consensus is that clinically important brain damage leading to CP, with or without learning disability, can occur only if the hypoxic insult is significant enough to produce clinical encephalopathy in the neonatal period.^{4,5}

The long-term outcome of infants with low Apgar scores who do not develop encephalopathy is considered to be normal with respect to CP,³ although infants with moderate neonatal encephalopathy who do not develop CP may develop some degree of cognitive impairment as teenagers.^{6,7} However, there is a lack of evidence as to whether infants with low Apgar scores without encephalopathy have subtle changes in brain function and

reduced cognitive function later in life. It has been postulated that a “continuum of reproductive casualty” exists⁸—while profound perinatal events cause death or obvious neurological deficit, milder insults may cause subtle defects in functioning only detectable as the child grows older.

This study aimed at determining whether low Apgar scores in term newborn infants without encephalopathy are associated with measures of cognitive function and education attainment in adolescence and early adulthood.

METHODS

Linkages of Swedish datasets

The dataset is based on the birth registry records of male infants born in Sweden between 1973 and 1976, providing data on 98–99% of births.⁹ This registry was linked to the Population and Housing Census of 1970 and 1990 supplying socioeconomic data on their parents. Linkage to the Military Service Conscription data and Statistics Sweden's register on school grade provided educational measures at 15–16 years and cognitive measures at 18 years old. This study was conducted as part of a longstanding collaboration between Bristol University, UK and the Karolinska Institute, Sweden.

Measures of cognitive and educational performance

The Swedish military and civil service conscription examination involves an assessment of cognitive function (the Swedish Enlistment Battery (SEB)). This examination was required by law, with only men of foreign citizenship or those with a severe medical condition or disability excused.¹⁰ During the SEB, intelligence quotient (IQ) was measured by four subtests representing logical, spatial, verbal and technical abilities. All test scores, including global IQ (a summation of the subtests), were standardised to give a Gaussian distributed score between 1 and 9. All children in Sweden have a summary school grade calculated at the age of 15–16 years. This grade is made up of all subjects taken and is scored between 1 and 5. Higher values indicate greater performance.

We created indicator variables for a poor performance in the SEB; with a score of 1–2 categorised as a low score (approximately the lowest 9% of scores). Poor performance in the school grade was defined as a score in the lowest 10%.

Measure of condition at birth

The Swedish Birth Registry records the Apgar scores measured at 1 and 5 minutes after birth.



This paper is freely available online under the BMJ Journals unlocked scheme, see <http://adc.bmj.com/info/unlocked.dtl>

Infants were classified depending on the time for their Apgar score to reach 7 or above (a normal score):

- ▶ A normal score by 1 minute of age (reference)
- ▶ A normal score by 5 minutes (briefly low)
- ▶ A score of <7 at 5 minutes (prolonged low).

Potential confounders or modifying variables

In addition, other risk factors were included in the analyses as potential confounders, split into three groups. Factors identified with an asterisk were extracted from maternal or infant diagnoses coded in the birth register, as appropriate:

- ▶ Antenatal
 - maternal parity
 - birth weight, length and head circumference (standardised for gestational age, and categorised into five groups based on the number of standard deviations (SD) their values were above or below the mean)
 - pre-eclampsia*
- ▶ Intrapartum/neonatal
 - mode of delivery (caesarean section, instrumental (vacuum extraction or forceps) or unassisted vaginal delivery)
 - maternal and neonatal infection*
 - season and year of birth
- ▶ Social factors
 - parental age at the time of the subject's birth (<20 years, 20–24 years, 25–29 years and >29 years)
 - parental occupation (manual, non-manual, self-employed or other (including unemployed and students) and parental education (<9 years, 9–10 years, full secondary or higher education). Data from the 1990 census were used where possible, or where this was unavailable, the 1970 data were used.

Inclusion criteria

The dataset contained information on 212 606 male infants. Infants from multiple births ($n = 3428$), born before 37 weeks' completed gestation ($n = 11\ 155$) or diagnosed with cardiovascular, respiratory, neurological or multiple system congenital abnormalities ($n = 1613$) were removed. Infants with a neonatal diagnosis of seizures, encephalopathy or brain injury (asphyxial or unknown cause) were excluded from the analysis ($n = 2310$ (1.1%)). Two hundred and four of these infants had a diagnosis of encephalopathy or seizures. The others were diagnosed with "asphyxia" or "anoxia" and were removed to ensure we excluded all infants with contemporaneous concern of a clinically important ischaemic insult. People who emigrated or died before conscription ($n = 8709$) were removed. This left 185 391 eligible subjects for the study. Birth weights, lengths or head circumferences more than 5 SDs from the mean (corrected for gestational age) were considered improbable entries and were removed ($n = 1894$). Infants with insufficient data to assign to one of the Apgar categories ($n = 2565$) or missing data on potential confounders ($n = 4408$) were removed. This left 176 524 subjects for the analyses (95.2% of eligible subjects).

Statistical methods

Subjects with and without missing data were compared.

Linear and logistic regression models were used to investigate the association of brief and prolonged low Apgar scores with each measure of cognition. Logistic regression models used the presence of a poor score (see above) as their outcome. All regression models used random effects to adjust for possible

clustering of data within the family (using the mother's ID). Adjustment for possible confounders was performed by adding the appropriate variables in the three groups (described above) to the models. Parental occupation and education as well as infant birth weight were investigated to see if they modified any effect of low Apgar scores on cognitive or educational outcome by fitting appropriate interaction terms to the models. Ordinal variables were tested for linearity and included in the model as linear or quadratic terms if appropriate. Population-attributable risks were calculated to assess the proportion of subjects with low IQ scores that was attributable to low Apgar scores.

In sensitivity analyses the association of low Apgar scores with IQ was examined using a definition of <4 as a "low" Apgar score (rather than 7). To provide context, the association between infants with encephalopathy and IQ was calculated.

All analyses were conducted with Stata 9 software (Stata Corp, TX, USA). All data are presented as odds ratio (OR) (95% confidence interval (95% CI)), mean (SD), median (interquartile range (IQR)), mean difference in standard deviations/z-scores (95% CI), or number (%).

RESULTS

In total 10 972 infants had missing data for one or more risk factors, or missing SEB and school grade data. Infants with missing data differed from those without in all indices measured. In particular, they weighed less (3522 g vs 3608 g) and were more likely to have brief (4.34% vs 3.15%) or prolonged (0.93% vs 0.57%) low Apgar scores. Owing to the large sample size, all comparisons were significant ($p < 0.001$). Table 1 shows the distribution of the risk factors in the eligible infants. Owing to the introduction of a revised examination, the proportion of infants with appropriate SEB information decreased with the year of conscription (31 238 (91.8%) in 1991 vs 22 743 (58.7%) in 1994, $p < 0.001$). School grade data were missing in 9407 (5.4%) subjects.

A total of 169 880 (96.2%) infants had achieved a normal (≥ 7) Apgar score by 1 minute, 5611 (3.2%) infants had achieved it by 5 minutes and 1033 (0.6%) still had a score of <7 at this point.

There was little evidence that the strength of association between Apgar scores and IQ scores differed according to parental occupation (mother $p = 0.75$, father $p = 0.94$), parental education (mother $p = 0.68$, father $p = 0.48$) or birth weight ($p = 0.47$).

Linear regression results are presented as the mean difference in SD/z-scores for that measure (table 2). In the fully adjusted models, infants with briefly low Apgar scores had lower logic (0.034 (0.005 to 0.063)), technical (0.052 (0.022 to 0.083)) and IQ (0.033 (0.005 to 0.061)) scores, but not lower synonym (0.011 (–0.015 to 0.038)) or spatial (–0.007 (–0.037 to 0.024)) scores. Infants with prolonged low Apgar scores also had low logic (0.092 (0.025 to 0.158)), spatial (0.091 (0.021 to 0.161)), technical (0.071 (0.001 to 0.140)) and IQ (0.081 (0.017 to 0.145)) scores, but not low synonym (0.036 (–0.025 to 0.097)) scores. There was no association between briefly low Apgar scores and school grade (0.010 (–0.015 to 0.034)), although infants with prolonged low Apgar scores had lower school grades (0.109 (0.053 to 0.166)).

In the fully adjusted logistic regression model (table 3) infants with briefly low Apgar scores were more likely to have low logic (OR = 1.25 (1.10 to 1.43)), technical (OR = 1.18 (1.04 to 1.35)) and IQ (OR = 1.14 (1.03 to 1.27)) scores, but not low synonym (OR = 1.09 (0.96 to 1.25)) or spatial (OR = 1.02 (0.90 to 1.16)) scores. Infants with prolonged low Apgar scores were more

Table 1 Clinical risk factors and social economic characteristics of the study population (n = 176 524)

Risk factor/characteristics	Data
<i>Antenatal/developmental factors</i>	
Parity	2 (1–2)
Pre-eclampsia, No (%)	15 394 (8.7)
Birth weight (g)	3606 (491)
Birth length (cm)	51.1 (2.1)
Head circumference (cm)	34.9 (1.5)
<i>Intrapartum/neonatal factors</i>	
Maternal infection, No (%)	2111 (1.2)
Neonatal infection, No (%)	314 (0.2)
Mode of delivery, No (%)	
Vaginal	151 971 (86.1)
Instrumental	13 306 (7.5)
Caesarean section	11 247 (6.4)
Season of birth, No (%)	
Spring	50 717 (28.7)
Summer	43 984 (24.9)
Autumn	40 636 (23.0)
Winter	41 187 (23.3)
Year of birth, No (%)	
1973	44 779 (25.4)
1974	46 714 (26.5)
1975	43 593 (24.7)
1976	41 438 (23.5)
<i>Maternal data</i>	
Maternal age (years)	26.5 (23.3–29.8)
Maternal occupation, No (%)	
Manual worker	66 428 (37.6)
Non-manual worker	80 213 (45.4)
Self-employed	8170 (4.6)
Other	21 713 (12.3)
Maternal education status, No (%)	
<9 Years	28 935 (16.4)
9–10 Years	35 379 (20.0)
Full secondary	68 253 (38.7)
Higher education	43 957 (24.9)
<i>Paternal data</i>	
Paternal age (years)	28.8 (25.8–32.2)
Paternal occupation, No (%)	
Manual worker	63 453 (36.0)
Non-manual worker	76 669 (43.4)
Self-employed	17 657 (10.0)
Other	18 745 (10.6)
Paternal education status, No (%)	
<9 Years	49 120 (27.8)
9–10 Years	25 827 (14.6)
Full secondary	61 758 (35.0)
Higher education	39 819 (22.6)

Data are mean (SD), median (IQR) or number (%) as appropriate.

likely to have low logic (OR = 1.34 (1.01 to 1.78)), spatial (OR = 1.37 (1.05 to 1.77)) and IQ (OR = 1.35 (1.07 to 1.69)) scores, but not low synonym (OR = 1.26 (0.95 to 1.67)) or technical (OR = 1.03 (0.77 to 1.39)) scores.

There was no association between briefly (OR = 0.96 (0.87 to 1.06)) or prolonged (OR = 1.01 (0.81 to 1.26)) low Apgar scores and a low school grade.

Tests for trend indicated there was an increased risk of a low score in logic ($p < 0.001$), synonym ($p = 0.04$), technical ($p = 0.04$) and IQ scores ($p = 0.003$) the longer it took the

infant to achieve a normal Apgar score. There was no strong evidence for a trend in the risk of a low spatial ($p = 0.08$) or school grade score ($p = 0.61$).

The proportion of people with a low IQ scores attributable to a briefly low Apgar scores was 0.50% (0.03% to 1.01%) and a prolonged low Apgar score 0.21% (0.01% to 0.19%). Repeating the analysis with a cut point of ≥ 4 for the Apgar scores produced similar results, although owing to the smaller number of infants in the exposure groups the CI were wider (eg, OR for low IQ; briefly low Apgar, 1.21 (0.97 to 1.50); prolonged low Apgar, OR = 1.33 (0.72 to 2.45); test for trend $p = 0.06$). There was an increased risk of low IQ scores (OR = 3.31 (1.89 to 5.78)) in the 82/204 infants with encephalopathy who survived and had SEB data available.

DISCUSSION

Principal findings

We found an association between poor condition at birth and long-term cognitive functioning in term infants without apparent neonatal encephalopathy. Infants with prolonged, or even brief, low Apgar scores but without neurological signs seemed to have a higher risk of poor IQ scores at age 18 years. Given the limited effect on mean scores, and modest association with “poor” scores, the effects seen are probably of limited clinical significance for individual infants. In context, infants with encephalopathy had a substantially increased risk of a low IQ (OR = 3.31 (1.89 to 5.78)) score.

Study design

This was a large study, with relatively few missing data, and an opportunity to control for several important confounders such as socioeconomic position¹¹ and birth weight,¹² although any interpretation may be limited to male infants only. Around 2–3% of men are exempt from the SEB examination owing to medical conditions¹⁰ but this should not have affected our ability to investigate the hypothesis. The higher proportion of subjects with missing data in the later years was due to administrative changes and is unlikely to have biased any results. Although the Apgar score is dependent on factors other than hypoxia, it has been shown to be strongly associated with other measures of asphyxia.¹³ In addition, many other causes of a low Apgar score have been controlled for (eg, prematurity, congenital abnormalities, sepsis, etc.) or are rare (eg, neuromuscular disorders).

This study aimed at investigating the association in term infants without encephalopathy, and 2310 infants were excluded because of possible clinical concerns. Two hundred and four (1.0/1000) term infants had a diagnosis of encephalopathy or seizures, a prevalence similar to that reported elsewhere.¹⁴ Analyses repeated including these infants produced similar results (data not presented). We chose not to use Bonferroni corrections to adjust our p values for the associations with the six different cognitive end points we investigated. Scores for the measures of cognitive function were strongly intercorrelated (correlations coefficients between 0.53 and 0.85), and so such an approach would be overly conservative.

Possible mechanisms

The data suggest that mild perinatal hypoxia, causing transiently low Apgar scores, maybe sufficient to cause neuronal damage and affect cognition. Prolonged partial hypoxia tends to target the hemispheric grey matter and subcortical white matter.¹⁵ Despite considerable integration of different brain areas, logical thinking is associated with the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex and pre-supplementary motor cortex.¹⁶

Table 2 Linear regression models for the association of duration to achieve a normal Apgar score and mean reduction in cognitive score

Time to reach an Apgar score of > 6 (min)	Measures of cognition						p*	Mean reduction (z-score)	p*	Mean reduction (z-score)	p*	Mean reduction (z-score)	p*						
	Logic score (n = 134 596)		Synonym score (n = 133 858)		Spatial score (n = 133 825)									Technical score (n = 124 149)		IQ score (n = 136 788)		Measure of education School grade (n = 167 117)	
	Mean reduction (z-score)	p*	Mean reduction (z-score)	p*	Mean reduction (z-score)	p*								Mean reduction (z-score)	p*	Mean reduction (z-score)	p*	Mean reduction (z-score)	p*
<i>Unadjusted</i>																			
<1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref						
1-5	0.017 (-0.014-0.048)	0.006	-0.014 (-0.043-0.015)	0.991	-0.017 (-0.048-0.015)	0.040	0.045 (0.013-0.076)	<0.001	0.013 (-0.017-0.043)	0.023	-0.005 (-0.032-0.022)	0.019	0.129 (0.067-0.192)						
>5	0.107 (0.036-0.179)	0.038 (-0.028-0.105)	0.038 (-0.028-0.105)	0.087	0.108 (0.035-0.181)	0.040	0.094 (0.022-0.167)	<0.001	0.091 (0.021-0.161)	<0.001	0.129 (0.067-0.192)	0.019	0.129 (0.067-0.192)						
<i>Adjusted for antenatal[†] and intrapartum/ neonatal factors[‡]</i>																			
<1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref						
1-5	0.036 (0.005-0.067)	<0.001	0.011 (-0.018-0.039)	0.087	-0.001 (-0.032-0.031)	0.040	0.057 (0.026-0.089)	<0.001	0.035 (0.005-0.065)	<0.001	0.011 (-0.016-0.038)	0.001	0.138 (0.076-0.200)						
>5	0.120 (0.049-0.191)	0.061 (-0.005-0.127)	0.061 (-0.005-0.127)	0.087	0.119 (0.047-0.192)	0.040	0.100 (0.028-0.173)	<0.001	0.109 (0.039-0.178)	<0.001	0.138 (0.076-0.200)	0.001	0.138 (0.076-0.200)						
<i>Adjusted for antenatal[†] and intrapartum/ neonatal factors[‡] and social factors[§]</i>																			
<1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref						
1-5	0.039 (0.008-0.070)	<0.001	0.016 (-0.013-0.045)	0.037	-0.003 (-0.034-0.029)	0.057	0.058 (0.026-0.089)	<0.001	0.039 (0.009-0.069)	<0.001	0.019 (-0.008-0.046)	<0.001	0.145 (0.083-0.207)						
>5	0.123 (0.052-0.194)	0.067 (0.001-0.133)	0.067 (0.001-0.133)	0.037	0.116 (0.043-0.188)	0.057	0.096 (0.024-0.169)	<0.001	0.112 (0.042-0.181)	<0.001	0.145 (0.083-0.207)	<0.001	0.145 (0.083-0.207)						
<i>Adjusted for antenatal[†], intrapartum/neonatal factors[‡] and social factors[§]</i>																			
<1	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref						
1-5	0.034 (0.005-0.063)	0.001	0.011 (-0.015-0.038)	0.169	-0.007 (-0.037-0.024)	0.188	0.052 (0.022-0.083)	<0.001	0.033 (0.005-0.061)	0.001	0.010 (-0.015-0.034)	0.002	0.109 (0.053-0.166)						
>5	0.092 (0.025-0.158)	0.036 (-0.025-0.097)	0.036 (-0.025-0.097)	0.169	0.091 (0.021-0.161)	0.188	0.071 (0.001-0.140)	<0.001	0.081 (0.017-0.145)	<0.001	0.109 (0.053-0.166)	0.002	0.109 (0.053-0.166)						

*p for trend.

[†]Parity, pre-eclampsia, birth weight, length and head circumference.[‡]Mode of delivery, maternal or infant infection, season and year of birth.[§]Maternal and paternal education status, occupation and age.

Table 3 Logistic regression models for the association of duration to achieve a normal Apgar score and poor score at cognitive testing

Time to reach an Apgar score of > 6 (min)	Measures of cognition																		
	Low logic score (n = 134 596)			Low synonym score (n = 133 858)			Low spatial score (n = 133 825)			Low technical score (n = 124 149)			Low IQ score (n = 136 788)			Measure of education (n = 167 117)			
	OR (95% CI)	p*	p*	OR (95% CI)	p*	p*	OR (95% CI)	p*	p*	OR (95% CI)	p*	p*	OR (95% CI)	p*	p*	OR (95% CI)	p*		
<i>Unadjusted</i>																			
<1	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
1–5	1.20 (1.05 to 1.38)	0.001	1.04 (0.91 to 1.19)	0.11	1.01 (0.89 to 1.15)	0.05	1.19 (1.04 to 1.36)	0.02	1.09 (0.98 to 1.21)	0.008	1.09 (0.98 to 1.21)	0.008	0.89 (0.80 to 1.00)	0.60	1.20 (0.95 to 1.51)				
>5	1.43 (1.05 to 1.94)		1.30 (0.98 to 1.74)		1.47 (1.12 to 1.93)		1.13 (0.83 to 1.54)		1.30 (1.04 to 1.64)		1.30 (1.04 to 1.64)								
<i>Adjusted for antenatal[†]'s factors</i>																			
<1	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00
1–5	1.26 (1.10 to 1.44)	<0.001	1.10 (0.96 to 1.25)	0.03	1.03 (0.91 to 1.18)	0.03	1.19 (1.04 to 1.37)	0.02	1.12 (1.01 to 1.25)	0.002	1.12 (1.01 to 1.25)	0.002	0.95 (0.85 to 1.06)	0.86	1.24 (0.98 to 1.56)				
>5	1.43 (1.06 to 1.94)		1.33 (1.00 to 1.79)		1.45 (1.11 to 1.91)		1.09 (0.80 to 1.49)		1.31 (1.04 to 1.65)		1.31 (1.04 to 1.65)								
<i>Adjusted for antenatal[†] and intrapartum/neonatal factors[‡]</i>																			
<1	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00
1–5	1.26 (1.10 to 1.44)	<0.001	1.11 (0.97 to 1.27)	0.01	1.03 (0.90 to 1.17)	0.03	1.20 (1.05 to 1.38)	0.02	1.14 (1.03 to 1.27)	0.001	1.14 (1.03 to 1.27)	0.001	0.98 (0.89 to 1.08)	0.80	1.10 (0.89 to 1.36)				
>5	1.43 (1.06 to 1.94)		1.36 (1.02 to 1.82)		1.46 (1.11 to 1.91)		1.09 (0.80 to 1.49)		1.35 (1.07 to 1.69)		1.35 (1.07 to 1.69)								
<i>Adjusted for antenatal[†], intrapartum/neonatal factors[‡] and social factors[§]</i>																			
<1	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00
1–5	1.25 (1.10 to 1.43)	<0.001	1.09 (0.96 to 1.25)	0.04	1.02 (0.90 to 1.16)	0.08	1.18 (1.04 to 1.35)	0.04	1.14 (1.03 to 1.27)	0.003	1.14 (1.03 to 1.27)	0.003	0.96 (0.87 to 1.06)	0.61	1.01 (0.81 to 1.26)				
>5	1.34 (1.01 to 1.78)		1.26 (0.95 to 1.67)		1.37 (1.05 to 1.77)		1.03 (0.77 to 1.39)		1.35 (1.07 to 1.69)		1.35 (1.07 to 1.69)								

*p for trend.

[†]Pancy, pre-eclampsia, birth weight, length and head circumference.[‡]Mode of delivery, maternal or infant infection, season and year of birth.[§]Maternal and paternal education status, occupation and age.

What is already known on this topic

- ▶ Infants with prolonged poor condition at birth who develop encephalopathy are at increased risk of cerebral palsy.
- ▶ Infants with moderate neonatal encephalopathy who do not develop cerebral palsy may develop some degree of cognitive impairment as teenagers.

Synonym identification is associated with the dominant parietal association cortex, and spatial tasks with the non-dominant parietal association cortex.¹⁷ Thus the spectrum of cognitive changes in this study is compatible with mild prolonged partial hypoxic injury to the brain at term.

Another possibility is that prenatal disease, including the effects of gene polymorphisms, uncontrolled for in these analyses, may present with poor Apgar scores and predispose to later cognitive dysfunction. We have attempted to control for likely important confounders in our analyses, but some degree of residual confounding is possible. The prevalences seen in our data are consistent with the published literature—for example, the population risk for neonatal septicaemia/meningitis (2.3/1000) is similar to that found in comparable studies (2.8/1000).¹⁸

Another explanation may be that infants who received resuscitation developed cognitive defects owing to their exposure to high concentrations of oxygen, and not the underlying cause of their poor birth condition.¹⁹

Comparisons with other studies

We are not aware of any previous studies that have found an association between a transiently low Apgar score and cognitive defects in subsequently well infants. Seidman *et al* were unable to show an association between low Apgar scores and IQ at 18 years.²⁰ Moster *et al*²¹ and Blackman²² reported evidence of reduced cognition only in infants who had developed signs of encephalopathy after birth. It is likely that there was limited power in these studies to detect the modest association seen here.

However, the modest effect means that the absolute risk increase of a low IQ score for an individual infant with a briefly low Apgar score is only 0.7%, and 2.4% for infants with prolonged low Apgar scores. The score used in this study has previously been approximated to the more conventional IQ scale¹⁰ (with mean score 100), and on this scale, the proportion of men in this study with a low IQ score (below 81) estimated to be due to all transiently low Apgar scores is only 94 (0.7%) out of a total of 13 448, while infants with briefly low Apgar scores only showed a reduction in mean IQ of 0.5 points, and those with prolonged low Apgar scores a reduction of 1.2 points.

CONCLUSIONS

Infants in poor condition at birth have an increased risk of poor functioning in cognitive tests at age 18, even if the infants recover quickly. These data are supportive of the “continuum of reproductive risk”,²³ and is, to our knowledge, the first demonstration of an association between a mild fetal compromise and cognition. It raises the possibility of a greater impact from birth asphyxia than previously thought and supports the idea that quite mild degrees of poor fetal condition at birth can have longlasting effects on the central nervous system. However, even if this relationship is causal, it is a relatively small effect and had little influence on educational achievement. At present, neonatologists regard those with temporary low Apgar scores as having a good prognosis. Our study supports

What this study adds

- ▶ Infants with a poor condition at birth have an increased risk of poor functioning in cognitive tests at age 18, even if they recovered quickly and did not develop encephalopathy.
- ▶ This association is modest and unlikely to be of clinical significance for individual infants.

this opinion; for individual infants the effect on IQ is very small, with little or no functional impact. We cannot rule out the possibility that more subtle or specific aspects of cognition might be affected and only further research will answer this question.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the Wellcome Trust. The funding organisation did not participate in the design and conduct of the study, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, or in the preparation, review or approval of the manuscript.

Competing interests: None.

Ethics approval: Obtained from Southmead Research Ethics Committee, Bristol, UK, and the Ethics Committee, Stockholm, Sweden.

REFERENCES

1. **Moster D**, Lie RT, Irgens LM, *et al*. The association of Apgar score with subsequent death and cerebral palsy: A population-based study in term infants. *J Pediatr* 2001;**138**:798–803.
2. **Levene MI**, Sands C, Grindulis H, *et al*. Comparison of two methods of predicting outcome in perinatal asphyxia. *Lancet* 1986;**1**:67–9.
3. **Nelson KB**, Ellenberg JH. Apgar scores as predictors of chronic neurologic disability. *Pediatrics* 1981;**68**:36–44.
4. **Committee on Obstetric Practice, ACOG, American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Fetus and Newborn, ACOG**. ACOG Committee Opinion. Number 333, May 2006 (replaces No. 174, July 1996): the Apgar score. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;**107**:1209–12.
5. **MacLennan A**. A template for defining a causal relation between acute intrapartum events and cerebral palsy: international consensus statement. *BMJ* 1999;**319**:1054–9.
6. **Lindstrom K**, Lagerroos P, Gillberg C, *et al*. Teenage outcome after being born at term with moderate neonatal encephalopathy. *Pediatr Neurol* 2006;**35**:268–74.
7. **Gonzalez FF**, Miller SP. Does perinatal asphyxia impair cognitive function without cerebral palsy? *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2006;**91**:F454–9.
8. **Pasamanick B**, Rogers ME, Lilienfeld AM. Pregnancy experience and the development of behavior disorders in children. *Am J Psychiatry* 1956;**112**:613–8.
9. **Thorngren-Jerneck K**, Herbst A. Low 5-minute Apgar score: a population-based register study of 1 million term births. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001;**98**:65–70.
10. **David AS**, Malmberg A, Brandt L, *et al*. IQ and risk for schizophrenia: a population-based cohort study. *Psychol Med* 1997;**27**:1311–23.
11. **Gisselmann MD**. The influence of maternal childhood and adulthood social class on the health of the infant. *Soc Sci Med* 2006;**63**:1023–33.
12. **Seidman DS**, Laor A, Gale R, *et al*. Birth weight and intellectual performance in late adolescence. *Obstet Gynecol* 1992;**79**:543–6.
13. **Valentin L**, Ekman G, Isberg PE, *et al*. Clinical evaluation of the fetus and neonate. Relation between intra-partum cardiotocography, Apgar score, cord blood acid-base status and neonatal morbidity. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 1993;**253**:103–15.
14. **Pierrat V**, Haouari N, Liska A, *et al*. Prevalence, causes, and outcome at 2 years of age of newborn encephalopathy: population based study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2005;**90**:F257–61.
15. **Myers RE**. Two patterns of perinatal brain damage and their conditions of occurrence. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1972;**112**:246–76.
16. **Crone EA**, Donohue SE, Honomichl R, *et al*. Brain regions mediating flexible rule use during development. *J Neurosci* 2006;**26**:11239–47.
17. **Lynch J**. Parietal association cortex. In: Adelman G, ed. *Encyclopedia of neuroscience*. Boston: Birkhauser, 1987:925–6.
18. **Persson E**, Trollfors B, Brandberg LL, *et al*. Septicaemia and meningitis in neonates and during early infancy in the Goteborg area of Sweden. *Acta Paediatr* 2002;**91**:1087–92.
19. **Tan A**, Schulze A, O'Donnell CP, *et al*. Air versus oxygen for resuscitation of infants at birth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;CD002273.
20. **Seidman DS**, Paz I, Laor A, *et al*. Apgar scores and cognitive performance at 17 years of age. *Obstet Gynecol* 1991;**77**:875–8.
21. **Moster D**, Lie R, T TM. Joint association of Apgar scores and early neonatal symptoms with minor disabilities at school age. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2002;**86**:F16–21.
22. **Blackman JA**. The value of Apgar scores in predicting developmental outcome at age five. *J Perinatol* 1988;**8**:206–10.
23. **Pasamanick B**, Knobloch H. Brain and behavior. Symposium, 1959. 2. Brain damage and reproductive casualty. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 1960;**30**:298–305.