



# Hepatocyte Growth Factor-Dependent Antiviral Activity of Activated cdc42-Associated Kinase 1 Against Hepatitis B Virus

Hye Won Lee<sup>1</sup>, Yongwook Choi<sup>1</sup>, Ah Ram Lee<sup>2</sup>, Cheol-Hee Yoon<sup>1</sup>, Kyun-Hwan Kim<sup>2</sup>, Byeong-Sun Choi<sup>1\*</sup> and Yong Kwang Park<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Chronic Viral Diseases, Center for Emerging Virus Research, National Institute of Infectious Disease, National Institute of Health, Cheongju, South Korea, <sup>2</sup> Department of Precision Medicine, School of Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, South Korea

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Li Yongqing, Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, China

#### Reviewed by:

Lin Deng, Kobe University, Japan Masahiko Ito, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Japan

#### \*Correspondence:

Byeong-Sun Choi byeongsun@korea.kr Yong Kwang Park yk1029@korea.kr

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Virology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 24 October 2021 Accepted: 06 December 2021 Published: 23 December 2021

#### Citation:

Lee HW, Choi Y, Lee AR, Yoon C-H, Kim K-H, Choi B-S and Park YK (2021) Hepatocyte Growth Factor-Dependent Antiviral Activity of Activated cdc42-Associated Kinase 1 Against Hepatitis B Virus. Front. Microbiol. 12:800935. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.800935 Activated cdc42-associated kinase 1 (ACK1) is a well-known non-receptor tyrosine kinase that regulates cell proliferation and growth through activation of cellular signaling pathways, including mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). However, the anti-HBV activity of ACK1 has not been elucidated. This study aimed to investigate the role of ACK1 in the HBV life cycle and the mechanism underlying the anti-HBV activity of ACK1. To examine the antiviral activity of ACK1, we established HepG2-ACK1 cells stably overexpressing ACK1. The HBV life cycle, including HBeAg/HBsAg secretion, HBV DNA/transcription, and enhancer activity, was analyzed in HepG2 and HepG2-ACK1 cells with HBV replication-competent HBV 1.2mer (HBV 1.2). Finally, the anti-HBV activity of ACK1 was examined in an HBV infection system. ACK1 suppressed HBV gene expression and transcription in HepG2 and HepG2-ACK1 cells. Furthermore, ACK1 inhibited HBV replication by decreasing viral enhancer activity. ACK1 exhibited its anti-HBV activity via activation of Erk1/2, which consequently downregulated the expression of HNF4 $\alpha$  binding to HBV enhancers. Furthermore, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) induced ACK1 expression at an early stage. Finally, ACK1 mediated the antiviral effect of HGF in the HBV infection system. These results indicated that ACK1 induced by HGF inhibited HBV replication at the transcriptional level by activating the MAPK-HNF signaling pathway. Our findings suggest that ACK1 is a potentially novel upstream molecule of MAPK-mediated anti-HBV activity.

Keywords: activated cdc42-associated kinase 1, hepatitis B virus, HBV enhancer, hepatocyte nuclear factor, hepatocyte growth factor

### INTRODUCTION

Activated cdc42-associated kinase 1 (ACK1), encoded by tyrosine kinase non-receptor 2, is a ubiquitously expressed non-receptor tyrosine kinase and well-known adaptor of activated receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) (Galisteo et al., 2006; Mahajan and Mahajan, 2015). ACK1 is phosphorylated by Src family kinase (SFK) (Chan et al., 2011), and phosphorylation of ACK1 promotes cancer progression and growth via activation of tyrosine kinase signaling (Mahajan and Mahajan, 2015). In prostate cancer, activated ACK1 promotes tumor growth via androgen receptor (AR) tyrosine phosphorylation, facilitating androgen-independent transactivation of AR (Mahajan et al., 2007) and degradation of tumor suppressor WW domain containing oxidoreductase (Wwox) (Mahajan et al., 2005). ACK1 activation by RTK HER2 induces phosphorylation of the histone demethylase KDM3A and recruits the estrogen receptor (ER) to form a complex with KDM3A. This promotes the homeobox A1 (HOAX1) transcription associated with breast cancer progression in the absence of estrogen (Mahajan et al., 2014). ACK1 overexpression enhances invasive and metastatic properties of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) via AKT-activated epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Lei et al., 2015). In addition to tumorigenesis, ACK1 contains the clathrin interaction domain and is involved in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Variations in ACK1 expression regulate endocytosis of the transferrin receptor (Teo et al., 2001). ACK1 interacts with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) to regulate its degradation, thereby inhibiting EGFR signaling (Shen et al., 2007; Grovdal et al., 2008). Collectively, these studies suggest that ACK1 plays an important role in kinase-mediated cellular processes. It is therefore important to address the precise function of ACK1 in viral infection.

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) has a partially double-stranded DNA genome of 3.2 kb. Persistent HBV infection is a major cause of chronic hepatitis B (CHB), cirrhosis, and HCC, increasing the risk for developing HCC in over 200 million infected individuals worldwide (Trepo et al., 2014; Seeger and Mason, 2015). HBV proteins, particularly HBx and large HBV surface proteins (LHBs), disrupt various cellular signaling cascades, leading to chronic liver disease (Seeger and Mason, 2015). Interestingly, signaling cascades regulated by ACK1, namely SFK, EGFR, AR, ER, and Akt signaling, are associated with HBV replication and pathogenesis. HBx and LHBs are implicated in liver carcinogenesis via activation of Src and Akt signaling, respectively (Klein and Schneider, 1997; Liu et al., 2011), and the activation of SFK by HBx stimulates HBV replication (Klein et al., 1999). Furthermore, AR signaling promotes HCC and increases HBV replication in vitro and in vivo by binding to the androgen response elements of the HBV enhancer I (Wang et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2012). In contrast, ER signaling attenuates viral enhancer activity by interacting with and disrupting the binding of hepatocyte nuclear factor  $4\alpha$  (HNF4 $\alpha$ ) to the enhancer I region, thus suppressing HBV gene transcription (Wang et al., 2012). EGFR is also a co-factor that facilitates the internalization of HBV via the NTCP-EGFR complex (Iwamoto et al., 2019). HBx is a substrate of Akt kinase and augments the oncogenic function of HBx through phosphorylation (Khattar et al., 2012). Together, these studies indicate ACK1 may potentially affect HBV replication. Thus, this study aimed to investigate the role of ACK1 in the HBV life cycle and examine the molecular mechanism underlying the anti-HBV activity of ACK1.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Cell Culture and Transfection**

Human hepatoma (HepG2) cells were purchased from the Korean Cell Line Bank (KCLB), and HepG2-NTCP and HepAD38 cells were cultured as described previously (Park et al., 2016, 2020). HepG2 cells stably expressing ACK1 (HepG2-ACK1), were established via puromycin (1  $\mu$ g/mL) selection. All cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, United States) at 37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified incubator. HepG2-ACK1 and HepAD38 cells were cultured in medium supplemented with puromycin and tetracycline, respectively. Plasmids and small interfering RNA (siRNA) were transfected at 40–50% confluence in 6- or 12-well plates with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) and RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocols.

### **Plasmids and Reagents**

The HBV 1.2mer, encoding 1.2 copies of the HBV genome (3-8 kb, genotype D), EnhI·II, EnhI· $\Delta$ EnhII, and EnhII/Cp, used in previous studies (Park et al., 2016, 2020), were used. ACK1 and ACK1· $\Delta$ SK were cloned into pIRES-FLAG (Creative Biogene, NY, United States) at the *Afl*II and *XbaI* sites, respectively. PD98059 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, United States), AIM-100 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, United States) were purchased. Control siRNA (Cat. No. sc-37007, Santa Cruz biotechnology, Dallas, TX, United States) and ACK1 siRNA (SMARTpool, Dharmacon) were also used in this study.

### HBeAg and HBsAg Levels

To assess the secretion of HBeAg and HBsAg, the culture supernatants were harvested at 3-day after HBV 1.2 transfection into HepG2 and HepG2-ACK1 cells. HBeAg and HBsAg levels were determined using a hepatitis B e/s antigen kit (Wantai Bio-Pharm, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Supernatants were diluted in phosphate-buffered saline to prevent signal saturation, and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer (Epoch, BioTek, United States).

### **Hepatitis B Virus Enhancer Activity**

HepG2 and HepG2-ACK1 cells were cultured to 40–50% confluence in 12-well plates, following which the plasmids of enhancer mutants (**Figure 3A**) were transfected. After 48 h, luciferase activity was determined using the Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, United States). To normalize the transfection efficiency,  $\beta$ -Galactosidase activity was measured using a  $\beta$ -galactosidase enzyme assay system (Promega).

### Southern Blotting

HBV replication was evaluated as previously described (Park et al., 2016, 2020). Briefly, HepG2 and HepG2-ACK1 cells were grown to 40–50% confluence in 6-well plates and co-transfected with HBV 1.2 and/or ACK1. At 72 h after transfection, cell pellets were lysed in HEPES buffer containing 1% NP-40 and subsequently treated with DNase I (Sigma) and mung bean nuclease (Takara, Shiga, Japan) at 37°C for 30 min. Core particles were precipitated with 26% polyethylene glycol 8000 solution (1.2 M NaCl, 60 mM EDTA, 30% sucrose, and 26% polyethylene glycol). To degradate the core particle and





polymerase, proteinase K (20 mg/mL, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and 0.5% SDS solution (25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.5% SDS) were added, and the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 2.5 h. Finally, HBV DNA was purified with a mixture of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1; Sigma) and precipitated with ethanol. Purified HBV

DNA was separated on a 0.8% agarose gel and transferred onto a Hybond-N + nylon membrane (GE Healthcare). HBV DNA was detected with highly pure randomly primed probes labeled with  $[\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P] dCTP (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, United States) and quantified using a Phosphorimager (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

### Western Blotting

To analyze the indicated proteins, HepG2 and HepG2-ACK1 cells were cultured to 40-50% confluence in 6-well plates, after which transfection was performed. The cells were harvested 2 or 3-day after transfection with the indicated plasmids. Thereafter, cells were harvested using the M-PER Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) containing protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cell lysates in Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States) were subjected to SDS-PAGE in 4-20% Mini-Protein TGX Precast Protein gels (Bio-Rad) and electro-transferred to Trans-Blot Turbo Mini PVDF Transfer packs (Bio-Rad). Proteins were detected using primary anti-ACK1 (Cat. No. sc-28336; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-FLAG (Cat. No. A5316, Sigma), anti-Erk1/2 (Cat. No.9102; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-phospho-Erk1/2 (Cat. No.9101; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-JNK (Cat. No.9252, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-phospho-JNK (Cat. No.9251, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p38 (Cat. No.9212, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-phospho-p38 (Cat. No.9211, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-C/EBPa (Cat. No. sc-365318; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-HNF1a (Cat. No. sc-393668; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-HNF4a (Cat. No. sc-374229; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-HNF3ß (Cat. No. sc-374376; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and anti-β-actin (Cat. No. A5316; Sigma) antibodies.

#### Immunofluorescence Staining

HepG2 cells were seeded on 6-well plates and transfected with HBV 1.2 and/or ACK1. Three days after transfection, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100. Following blocking with 3% bovine serum albumin, the cells were treated with primary antibodies against HBV core (Cat. No. B0586, Dako) and ACK1 (Cat. No. sc-28336; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) proteins at 4°C overnight. The nuclei were stained with ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Cat. No. 8961S, Cell signaling).

### Reverse-Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction and Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

To analyze the mRNA level of HNF1 $\alpha$ , C/EBP1 $\alpha$ , HNF3 $\beta$ , HNF4 $\alpha$ , and HBV RNA, total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the SuperScript III First-strand synthesis kit for reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RT-PCR was performed using indicated primers with the following conditions: denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 25~30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55~60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min, with final extension at 72°C for 5 min. For the HBV rcDNA and cccDNA, total genomic and viral DNAs were extracted using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen). To purify the cccDNA, 500 ng of extracted DNA was treated with plasmid safe DNase I (PSD, Epicentre Technologies, United States) and inactivated by incubation for 30 min at

70°C. To assess HBV RNA, rcDNA, and cccDNA levels, realtime PCR was performed using Power SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) with primers for HBV RNA, rcDNA (nt 256 to 421) and cccDNA (nt 1824 to 2068) (**Supplementary Table 1**) and amplified using the QuantStudio 3.0 (Applied Biosystems). Relative gene expression levels were normalized against those of *GAPDH*.

### **Hepatitis B Virus Infection**

To isolate HBV particles, HepAD38 cells were maintained for 60-day. Culture media was changed every  $3\sim$ 4-day with fresh DMEM/F-12 (1:1) medium. The supernatant was harvested from day 15 until day 60 and concentrated 50–fold using the PEG Virus Precipitation Kit (BioVision, United States). HepG2-NTCP cells (1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells) were seeded onto 6-well plates coated with collagen I (Gibco) and infected with 2000 HBV genome equivalents per cell (Geq/cell) in DMEM supplemented with 4% PEG 8000 (Sigma) and 2.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma) for 16–20 h. Thereafter, cells were washed thrice with DMEM, maintained in DMEM containing 2% DMSO, and harvested 7-day post-infection (dpi).

### **Statistical Analysis**

All data were obtained from at least two or three independent experiments and values represent the mean  $\pm$  SD. Indicated figures show representative data, and statistical significance was determined by comparison with the control group. The *p* value was analyzed by paired *t*-test using GraphPad Prism 5 software.

# RESULTS

# Ectopic Activated cdc42-Associated Kinase 1 Expression Inhibits Hepatitis B Virus Replication

To address whether ACK1 regulates HBV replication, a plasmid expressing ACK1 was constructed and transfected into HepG2 cells with HBV replication-competent HBV 1.2mer (HBV 1.2). Ectopic ACK1 expression inhibited HBV replication and viral antigen (HBeAg/HBsAg) secretion. ACK1 expression was confirmed with western blotting (Figures 1A,B). The effect of ACK1 on core protein expression was analyzed by immunofluorescence staining. In cells co-transfected with HBV 1.2 and ACK1, the expression of core proteins was decreased (Figure 1C). This indicated that ACK1 suppressed core protein expression. To determine the inhibitory effect of ACK1 on HBV replication and gene expression, we investigated HBV RNA level. As shown in Figure 1D, ACK1 reduced HBV transcription in a dose-dependent manner. These results indicated that ACK1 overexpression suppress HBV transcription. Previous studies reported that cellular expression of ACK1 is retained at low level (Yokoyama and Miller, 2003; Mahajan et al., 2005). Thus, to validate the anti-HBV activity of ACK1, we established HepG2-ACK1 cells constitutively expressing ACK1. Two HepG2-ACK1 clones (1.3 and 2.10) showing different expression levels of ACK1 were selected for further analysis (Figure 2A). In HepG2-ACK1







**FIGURE 4** | ACK1 activates the Erk1/2-HNF4 $\alpha$  signaling pathway in a kinase-independent manner. (A) Effect of ACK1 expression on MAPK-HNF4 $\alpha$  signaling pathway. One microgram of HBV 1.2 was transfected into HepG2-ACK1 cells grown in a 6-well plate, and cells were harvested after 3-day. Cell lysates were subjected to western blotting analysis. The proteins were detected by western blotting using the indicated antibodies. (B,C) One microgram of HBV 1.2 was transfected into HepG2-ACK1 cells grown in a 6-well plate, and cells were treated with AIM-100 (10  $\mu$ M) and PD98059 (20  $\mu$ M) for 16 h before harvest. (B) Effect of kinase inhibitors on HNF4 $\alpha$  expression. (C) Effect of kinase inhibitors on HBV replication. HBV DNA was analyzed using real-time PCR. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01. (D) Experimental procedure for panels (E,F). Geq/cell, genome equivalents per cell. (E) Effects of ACK1 and ACK1- $\Delta$ SK, 2  $\mu$ g. \*\*p < 0.01. (F) Effect of ACK1 expression on MAPK-HNF4 $\alpha$  signaling pathway in HBV-infected HepG2-NTCP cells. HBV 1.2, 1  $\mu$ g; ACK1 and ACK1- $\Delta$ SK, 2  $\mu$ g.



**FIGURE 5** | ACK1 is involved in HGF-mediated inhibitory effect of HBV. (A) Effect of HGF treatment on the ACK1-Erk1/2- HNF4 $\alpha$  signaling cascade. HepG2 cells were treated with HGF (20 ng/mL) for indicated times before harvest. Values represent the mean  $\pm$  SD calculated from at least three independent experiments. (B) Effect of siRNA on the ACK1-Erk1/2- HNF4 $\alpha$  signaling cascade. siRNAs of control (Ctrl) and ACK1 (20 nM) were transfected into HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were treated with HFG (20 ng/mL) for 6 h before harvest. (C) Effect of PD98059 treatment on the ACK1-Erk1/2- HNF4 $\alpha$  signaling cascade. HepG2 cells were seeded in a 6-well plate and treated with HGF and/or PD98059 (20  $\mu$ M) for 6 h before harvest. (D) Experimental procedure for panels (E,F). Geq/cell, genome equivalents per cell. (E) Effect of HGF on rcDNA and cccDNA level in an HBV infection system. HGF (20 ng/mL) with/without PD98059 (20  $\mu$ M) used for treatment for the indicated times. HBV rcDNA and cccDNA were analyzed using real-time PCR. \*p < 0.05; \*\*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001. (F) Effect of HGF on the ACK1-Erk1/2-HNF4 $\alpha$  signaling cascade in an HBV infection system. HGF (20 ng/mL) with/without PD98059 (20  $\mu$ M) was used for treatment for the indicated times. cells, HBeAg/HBsAg levels and HBV replication were markedly inhibited as compared to those in cells ectopically expressing ACK1 (**Figures 2B–D**). Consistently, the expression levels of HBV RNA, core, and surface protein were attenuated by ACK1 (**Figure 2E** and **Supplementary Figure 1**). These results indicate that ACK1 exerts anti-HBV activity at the transcriptional level. Furthermore, ACK1 expression was increased in HBV-expressing cells (**Supplementary Figure 2A**), and knockdown of ACK1 by siRNA enhanced HBV DNA (**Supplementary Figures 2B,C**). Taken together, our data demonstrate that ACK1 is a novel antiviral molecule against HBV.

### Activated cdc42-Associated Kinase 1 Suppresses Viral Enhancer Activity by Downregulating Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4α

HBV transcription is reportedly regulated by the viral enhancers, enhancer I (Enh I) and enhancer II overlapping with the core promoter (Enh II/Cp) (Yuh and Ting, 1990; Su and Yee, 1992; Moolla et al., 2002; Doitsh and Shaul, 2004). Since ACK1 inhibits HBV transcription, we first investigated whether ACK1 affects viral enhancer activity. Enh I-II and its deletion mutants were constructed to determine which enhancer region is affected by ACK1 (Figure 3A). The activities of all enhancer constructs were decreased by ACK1 (Figure 3B), indicating that ACK1 suppresses the activity of both Enh I and Enh II/Cp. HBV enhancers possess specific DNA binding sequences for several transcription factors. Our group and others have reported that HBV enhancers are regulated by HNF1a, HNF4a, and CCAAT/enhancer binding protein 1a (C/EBP1a) and HNF3B (Lopez-Cabrera et al., 1990; Garcia et al., 1993; Tang and Mclachlan, 2001, 2002; Banks et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2004; Park et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018). Hence, to elucidate the mechanism underlying the suppression of HBV enhancer activity by ACK1, we first examined the expression of these transcription factors. Notably, ACK1 dysregulated the protein levels of HNF1a, C/EBP1a, HNF3β, and HNF4a. Among them, only HNF4 $\alpha$  expression was regulated at the transcriptional level (Figures 3C,D). HNF4 $\alpha$  is the most potent regulator of HBV transcription (Tang and Mclachlan, 2001; Park et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018). Therefore, our data suggest that ACK1 inhibits HBV replication by suppressing viral enhancer activity via HNF4α downregulation.

# Activated cdc42-Associated Kinase 1 Downregulates Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor $4\alpha$ by Activating Erk1/2 in a Kinase-Independent Manner

Since HNF4 $\alpha$  expression is regulated by the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade (Hösel et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2012; Park et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018), we examined whether ACK1 regulates MAPK signaling. Although MAPK phosphorylation was dysregulated, phosphorylation of Erk1/2 was most strongly increased (**Figure 4A**), indicating that activation of Erk1/2 by ACK1 correlated with HNF4 $\alpha$  downregulation. To confirm ACK1-Erk1/2 signaling-mediated

HNF4α expression, HepG2-ACK1 cells were treated with ACK1 and Erk1/2 inhibitors. Treatment with PD98059, an inhibitor of Erk1/2 phosphorylation, reverted HNF4α expression to baseline levels (Figure 4B), indicating that ACK1 attenuates HNF4α expression via Erk1/2 activation. Intriguingly, AIM-100, a specific inhibitor of ACK1 phosphorylation, did not affect the levels of phosphorylated Erk1/2 and HNF4a (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 3). Consistent with HNF4 $\alpha$ expression, HBV replication was only rescued by PD98509 treatment (Figure 4C). These results suggest that the kinase activity of ACK1 is not responsible for the regulation of Erk1/2 phosphorylation, HNF4a expression, and HBV DNA. To validate whether ACK1 regulates HBV DNA irrespective of its kinase activity, an ACK1 mutant (ACK1 $\cdot \Delta$ SK) lacking the kinase domain was constructed (Supplementary Figure 4A). ACK1 $\cdot \Delta$ SK overexpression was a more potent inhibitor of HBeAg/HBsAg secretion and HBV DNA than ACK1 (Supplementary Figures 4B,C). Finally, the anti-HBV activity of ACK1·ΔSK was confirmed using an HBV infection system (Figure 4D). Ectopic ACK1·ΔSK expression also significantly inhibited HBV DNA, consistent with that of ACK1 (Figure 4E). Both ACK1 and ACK1 $\cdot \Delta$ SK commonly regulated the activation of Erk1/2 and inhibition of HNF4a expression in HBV-infected HepG2-NTCP cells (Figure 4F). Together, our data revealed that ACK1 suppresses HBV replication by decreasing viral enhancer activity via activation of the Erk1/2-HNF4a signaling pathway without kinase activity.

# Hepatocyte Growth Factor Is the Upstream Stimulator of the Activated cdc42-Associated Kinase 1-Erk1/2-HNFs Signaling Pathway

ACK1 is activated by growth factors and extracellular stimuli such as EGF, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and integrin (Galisteo et al., 2006). Since ACK1 exhibited anti-HBV activity in hepatocytes, we investigated whether ACK1 expression is stimulated by the hepatocyte-enriched growth factor, HGF. As shown in Figure 5A, ACK1 expression level following treatment with HGF rapidly increased (0.5 h) and peaked (2.6-fold increase) after 1-3 h in HepG2 cells. At later time points (6 h), ACK1 expression decreased. Similar to ACK1 expression, Erk1/2 phosphorylation peaked (11.6-fold increase) at 1 h and subsequently declined. Conversely, HNF4a expression was downregulated at 6 h (Figure 5A, left panel) and was salvaged by siRNA of ACK1 and PD98059 treatment (Figures 5B,C) after HGF stimulation. These results suggest that HGF-induced ACK1 rapidly activated Erk1/2 phosphorylation and consequently decreased HNF4a expression. Finally, we determined whether HGF is involved in the ACK1-mediated HBV inhibition in an HBV infection system by infecting HepG2-NTCP cells with HBV (Figure 5D). Notably, HGF suppressed rcDNA and cccDNA levels, which were significantly recovered by inhibition of the ACK1-Erk1/2 signaling cascade (Figure 5E). Moreover, HGF induced Erk1/2 activation and HNF4α suppression, which were reversed by siRNA of ACK1 and PD98059 treatment (Figure 5F).

Collectively, these results indicate that HGF play a role as an upstream regulator of the ACK1-Erk1/2-HNF4 $\alpha$  signaling cascade, exerting HBV inhibitory effect.

#### DISCUSSION

ACK1 plays important roles as an adaptor kinase in cellular signaling pathways, including carcinogenesis, receptor trafficking, and epigenetic modulation (Teo et al., 2001; Mahajan and Mahajan, 2015). However, the antiviral activity of ACK1 has not been investigated thus far. Although one study reported that single nucleotide polymorphism rs2278034 in ACK1 affects the outcomes of IFN- $\alpha$  therapy in chronic hepatitis C patients (Fujimoto et al., 2011), the precise mechanism underlying the antiviral activity of ACK1 had not been elucidated. This study provides novel insight into the anti-HBV activity of ACK1. Our results show that ACK1 inhibits HBV replication by downregulating HNF4a, thus suppressing viral enhancer activity (Figure 3). Intriguingly, the antiviral activity of ACK1 was independent of its kinase activity. The ACK1 $\cdot\Delta$ SK construct was expressed at high levels and was a more potent inhibitor of HBV replication than ACK1 in HepG2 cells (Supplementary Figure 4). Moreover, ACK1· $\Delta$ SK strongly activated Erk1/2 phosphorylation and decreased HNF4α expression (Figure 4F) in HBV-infected HepG2-NTCP cells. Furthermore, knockdown of endogenous ACK1 enhanced HBV DNA level (Supplementary Figure 2D). These data indicated that ACK1 is a cellular inhibitor of HBV infection.

Various cytokines are involved in Erk1/2-HNF4a signalingmediated inhibition of HBV transcription in hepatocytes. Interleukin (IL)-6 and TGF-1ß attenuate HBV replication by suppressing HNF4α via Erk1/2 phosphorylation (Hösel et al., 2009; Hong et al., 2012). Furthermore, p22-FLIP, hepatocystin, and IL-32 induced by TNF- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\gamma$  control HBV transcription by downregulating HNF4a via Erk1/2 activation in HepG2 and primary human hepatocytes (Shin et al., 2014; Park et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2018). Moreover, this study shows that HGF-induced ACK1 suppressed HBV DNA by downregulating HNF4α expression via Erk1/2 activation in HBV-infected cells (Figure 5). These findings indicated that HNF4 $\alpha$  is responsible for the cytokine-mediated inhibition of HBV transcription. HNF4α is regulated by a transcription factor complex comprising HNF6β, HNF1α, and C/EBPα in the promoter region (Hatzis and Tallanidis, 2001; Hatzis et al., 2006). ACK1 suppressed HNF1a and C/EBPa expression (Figures 3C,D), suggesting that ACK1 may be implicated in the transcription factor complex-mediated suppression of HNF4 $\alpha$  as well as Erk1/2 activation.

HGF is a mesenchymal cell-derived protein with mitogenic function during liver regeneration and the development of primary hepatocytes (Tsukada et al., 2001). However, the antiviral effect of HGF has not been investigated. Here, we first identified the inhibitory effect of HGF against HBV infection. HGF simultaneously induced ACK1 expression and phosphorylated Erk1/2, thereby decreasing HNF4 $\alpha$  levels (**Figures 5A,F**). Moreover, Erk1/2 inhibition by siRNA of ACK1 and PD98059 rescued HNF4 $\alpha$  expression (**Figures 5B,C,F**). These findings reveal that HGF is an upstream molecule in the ACK1-dependent anti-HBV signaling pathway. Although siRNA of ACK1 and PD98059 treatment significantly recovered HBV rcDNA levels, HGF strongly downregulated cccDNA levels (Figure 5E). This result suggests that HGF primarily suppresses cccDNA rather than the ACK1-Erk1/2-HNF4α signaling cascade. The cccDNA conformation depends on rcDNA level during new HBV infections and capsid recycling, and the conversion of rcDNA to cccDNA is regulated by host DNA repair systems, such as topoisomerase and tyrosyl-DNA-phosphodiesterase (Nassal, 2015). Therefore, HGF may reduce cccDNA levels by affecting the host DNA repair system or blocking capsid recycling via an unknown mechanism. These hypotheses suggest a novel antiviral activity of HGF against HBV infection. We are currently investigating the molecular mechanism of HGF-mediated cccDNA reduction. Interestingly, we previously reported that HBV inhibits HGF maturation via epigenetic regulation of urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) by HBx during liver regeneration (Park et al., 2013). uPA is a serine protease that cleaves and activates pro-HGF (Naldini et al., 1992; Mars et al., 1993). HBx suppresses uPA expression by hypermethylation of the uPA promoter, consequently inhibiting HGF maturation (Park et al., 2013), which is a protective mechanism against the antiviral activity of HGF. This phenomenon may be considered a novel mechanism for persistent HBV infection.

In conclusion, this study elucidates the role of ACK1 in HGFmediated suppression of HBV. Our results show that ACK1 is induced by HGF in HepG2 cells and that it inhibits HBV transcription and replication. Notably, HGF decreased HBV DNA and cccDNA levels in an HBV infection system, and ACK1 was implicated in the inhibitory effect of HGF on HBV infection. Furthermore, this study demonstrates that ACK1 attenuates HBV enhancer activity by downregulating HNF4 $\alpha$ , leading to the suppression of viral transcription and replication. Finally, the antiviral signaling cascade of HGF was confirmed in an HBV infection system. Our findings suggest a novel signaling pathway for anti-HBV activity through which HGF suppresses HBV replication during the regeneration of liver tissue damaged by persistent HBV infection.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

HL performed the experiment and wrote the draft of the manuscript. YC and AL performed the experiment. C-HY suggested the conception of study. K-HK revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. B-SC was a project administrator and revised the manuscript. YP designed, performed the experiment, wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# **FUNDING**

This work was supported by the intramural fund (Grant No. 2019-NI-065) from Korea National Institutes of Health.

# REFERENCES

- Banks, K. E., Anderson, A. L., Tang, H., Hughes, D. E., Costa, R. H., and McLachlan, A. (2002). Hepatocyte nuclear factor 3b inhibits hepatitis B virus replication in vivo. J. Virol. 76, 12974–12980. doi: 10.1128/jvi.76.24.12974-12980.2002
- Chan, W., Sit, S. T., and Manser, E. (2011). The Cdc42–associated kinase ACK1 is not autoinhibited but requires Src for activation. *Biochem. J.* 435, 355–364. doi: 10.1042/BJ20102156
- Doitsh, G., and Shaul, Y. (2004). Enhancer I predominance in hepatitis B virus gene expression. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 24, 1799–1808. doi: 10.1128/MCB.24.4.1799-1808.2004
- Fujimoto, Y., Ochi, H., Maekawa, T., Abe, H., Hayes, C. N., Kumada, H., et al. (2011). A single nucleotide polymorphism in activated cdc42 associated tyrosine kinase 1 influences the interferon therapy in hepatitis C patients. *J. Hepatol.* 54, 629–639. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2010.07.021
- Galisteo, M. L., Yang, Y., Urena, J., and Schlessinger, J. (2006). Activation of the nonreceptor protein tyrosine kinase Ack1 by multiple extracellular stimuli. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 9796–9801. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0603714103
- Garcia, A. D., Ostapchuk, P., and Hearing, P. (1993). Functional interaction of nuclear factors EF–C, HNF–4, and RXRa with hepatitis B virus enhancer I. J. Virol. 67, 3940–3950. doi: 10.1128/JVI.67.7.3940-3950.1993
- Grovdal, L. M., Johannessen, L. E., Rodland, M. S., Madshus, I. H., and Stang, E. (2008). Dysregulation of Ack1 inhibits down-regulation of the EGF receptor. *Exp. Cell Res.* 314, 1292–1300. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2007.12.017
- Hatzis, P., Kyrmizi, I., and Tallanidis, I. (2006). Mitogen–activated protein kinase–mediated disruption of enhancer–promoter communication inhibits hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α expression. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 26, 7017–7029. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00297-06
- Hatzis, P., and Tallanidis, I. (2001). Regulatory mechanisms controlling human hepatocyte nuclear factor  $4\alpha$  gene expression. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 21, 7320–7330. doi: 10.1128/mcb.21.21.7320-7330.2001
- Hong, M. H., Chou, Y. C., Wu, Y. C., Tsai, K. N., Hu, C., Jeng, K. S., et al. (2012). Transforming growth factor-β1 suppresses hepatitis B virus replication by the reduction of hepatocyte nuclear factor-4α expression. *PLoS One* 7:e30360. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0030360
- Hösel, M., Quasdorff, M., Wiegmann, K., Webb, D., Zedler, U., Broxtermann, M., et al. (2009). Not interferon, but interleukin–6 controls early gene expression in hepatitis B virus infection. *Hepatology* 50, 1773–1782. doi: 10.1002/hep.23226
- Iwamoto, M., Saso, W., Sugiyama, R., Ishii, K., Ohki, M., Nagamori, S., et al. (2019). Epidermal growth factor receptor is a host–entry cofactor triggering hepatitis B virus internalization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 8487–8492. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1811064116
- Khattar, E., Mukherji, A., and Kumar, V. (2012). Akt augments the oncogenic potential of the HBx protein of hepatitis B virus by phosphorylation. *FEBS J.* 279, 1220–1230. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2012.08514.x
- Kim, D. H., Park, E. S., Lee, A. R., Park, S., Park, Y. K., Ahn, S. H., et al. (2018). Intracellular interleukin-32γ mediates antiviral activity of cytokines against hepatitis B virus. *Nat. Commun.* 9:3284. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05782-5
- Klein, N. P., Bouchard, M. J., Wang, L. H., Kong, C., and Schneider, R. J. (1999). Src kinase involved in hepatitis B virus replication. *EMBO J.* 18, 5019–5027. doi: 10.1093/emboj/18.18.5019
- Klein, N. P., and Schneider, R. J. (1997). Activation of Src family kinases by hepatitis B virus HBx protein and coupled signaling Ras. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 17, 6427–6436. doi: 10.1128/MCB.17.11.6427
- Lei, X., Li, Y. F., Chen, G. D., Ou, D. P., Qiu, X. X., Zuo, C. H., et al. (2015). Ack1 overexpression promotes metastasis and indicates poor prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 6, 40622–40641. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.5872
- Liu, H., Xu, J., Zhou, L., Yun, X., Chen, L., Wang, S., et al. (2011). Hepatitis B virus large surface antigen promotes liver carcinogenesis by activating the

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2021.800935/full#supplementary-material

Src/PI3K/Akt pathway. Cancer Res. 71, 7547–7557. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-11-2260

- Lopez-Cabrera, M., Letovsky, J., Hu, K. Q., and Siddiqui, A. (1990). Multiple liverspecific factors bind to the hepatitis B virus core/pregenomic promoter, transactivation and repression by CCAAT/enhancer binding protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 87, 5069–5073. doi: 10.1073/pnas.87.13. 5069
- Mahajan, K., Lawrence, H. R., Lawrence, N., and Mahajan, N. P. (2014). ACK1 tyrosine kinase interacts with histone demethylase KDM3A to regulate the mammary tumor oncogene HOXA1. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 28179–28191. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.584425
- Mahajan, K., and Mahajan, N. P. (2015). ACK1/TNK2 tyrosine kinase: molecular signaling and evolving role in cancers. Oncogene 34, 4162–4167. doi: 10.1038/ onc.2014.350
- Mahajan, N. P., Liu, Y., Majumder, S., Warren, M. R., Parker, C. E., Mohler, J. L., et al. (2007). Activated Cdc42-associated kinase Ack1 promotes prostate cancer progression via androgen receptor tyrosine phosphorylation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 8438–8443. doi: 10.1073/pnas.070042 0104
- Mahajan, N. P., Whang, Y. E., Mohler, J. L., and Earp, H. S. (2005). Activated tyrosine kinase Ack1 promotes prostate tumorigenesis: role of ACk1 in polyubiquitination of tumor suppressor Wwox. *Cancer Res.* 65, 10514–10523. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-1127
- Mars, W. M., Zarnegar, R., and Michalopoulos, G. K. (1993). Activation of hepatocyte growth factor by the plasminogen activators uPA and tPA. Am. J. Pathol. 143, 949–958.
- Moolla, N., Kew, M., and Arbuthnot, P. (2002). Regulatory element of hepatitis B virus transcription. *J. Viral Hepat.* 9, 323–331. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2893.2002. 00381.x
- Naldini, L., Tamagnone, L., Vigna, E., Sachs, M., Hartmann, G., Birchmeier, W., et al. (1992). Extracellular proteolytic cleavage by urokinase is required for activation of hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor. *EMBO J.* 11, 4825–4833. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1992.tb05588.x
- Nassal, M. (2015). HBV cccDNA, viral persistence reservoir and key obstacle for a cure of chronic hepatitis B. *Gut* 64, 1972–1984. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309809
- Park, E. S., Park, Y. K., Shin, C. Y., Park, S. H., Ahn, S. H., Kim, D. H., et al. (2013). Hepatitis B virus inhibits liver regeneration via epigenetic regulation of urokinase-type plasminogen activator. *Hepatology* 58, 762–776. doi: 10.1002/ hep.26379
- Park, Y. K., Lee, S. Y., Lee, A. R., Kim, K. C., Kim, K., Kim, K. H., et al. (2020). Antiviral activity of interferon-stimulated gene 20, as a putative repressor binding to hepatitis B virus enhancer II and core promoter. J. Gasrtroenterol. Hepatol. 35, 1426–1436. doi: 10.1111/jgh.14986
- Park, Y. K., Park, E. S., Kim, D. H., Ahn, S. H., Park, S. H., Lee, A. R., et al. (2016). Cleaved c–FLIP mediates the antiviral effect of TNF-α against hepatitis B virus by dysregulating hepatocyte nuclear factors. *J. Hepatol.* 64, 268–277. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.09.012
- Seeger, C., and Mason, W. S. (2015). Molecular biology of hepatitis B virus infection. *Virology* 479, 672–686.
- Shen, F., Lin, Q., Gu, Y., Childress, C., and Yang, W. (2007). Activated cdc42– associated kinase 1 is a component of EGF receptor signaling complex and regulates EGF receptor degradation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 18, 732–742. doi: 10.1091/ mbc.e06-02-0142
- Shin, G. C., Ahn, S. H., Choi, H. S., Kim, J., Park, E. S., Kim, D. H., et al. (2014). Hepatocystin contributes to interferon–mediated antiviral response to hepatitis B virus by regulating hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1842, 1648–1657. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2014.04.016
- Su, H., and Yee, J. K. (1992). Regulation of hepatitis B virus gene expression by its two enhancers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 89, 2708–2712.

- Tang, H., and Mclachlan, A. (2001). Transcriptional regulation of hepatitis B virus by nuclear hormone receptors is a critical determinant of viral tropism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 1841–1846. doi: 10.1073/pnas.041479698
- Tang, H., and Mclachlan, A. (2002). Mechanisms of inhibition of nuclear hormone receptor-dependent hepatitis B virus replication by hepatocyte nuclear factor 3β. J. Virol. 76, 8572–8581. doi: 10.1128/jvi.76.17.8572-8581.2002
- Teo, M., Tan, L., Lim, L., and Manser, E. (2001). The tyrosine kinase ACK1 associated with clathrin-coated vesicles through a binding motif share by arrestin and other adaptors. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 18392–18398. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M008795200
- Tian, Y., Kuo, C. F., Chen, W. L., and Ou, J. J. (2012). Enhancement of hepatitis B virus replication by androgen and its receptor in mice. J. Virol. 86, 1904–1910. doi: 10.1128/JVI.06707-11
- Trepo, C., Chan, H. L. Y., and Lok, A. (2014). Hepatitis B virus infection. Lancet 384, 2053–2063.
- Tsukada, Y., Miyazawa, K., and Kitamura, N. (2001). High intensity Erk signal mediates hepatocyte growth factor induced proliferation inhibition of the human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HepG2. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 40968– 40976. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M010890200
- Wang, S. H., Yeh, S. H., Lin, W. H., Wang, H. Y., Chen, D. S., and Chen, P. J. (2009). Identification of androgen response elements in the enhancer I of hepatitis B virus: a mechanism for sex disparity in chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 50, 1392–1402. doi: 10.1002/hep.23163
- Wang, S. H., Yeh, S. H., Lin, W. H., Yeh, K. H., Yuan, Q., Xia, N. S., et al. (2012). Estrogen receptor α represses transcription of HBV genes via interaction with hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α. *Gastroenterology* 142, 989–998. doi: 10.1053/j. gastro.2011.12.045
- Wu, M. H., Ma, W. L., Hsu, C. L., Chen, Y. L., Ou, J. H. J., Ryan, C. K., et al. (2010). Androgen receptor promotes hepatitis B virus-induced hepatocarcinogenesis through modulation of hepatitis B virus RNA transcription. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2:32r35. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3001143

- Yokoyama, N., and Miller, W. T. (2003). Biochemical properties of cdc42– associated tyrosine kinase ACK1. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 47713–47723.
- Yuh, C. H., and Ting, L. P. (1990). The genome of hepatitis B virus contains a second enhancer, cooperation of two elements within this enhancer is required for its function. *J. Virol.* 64, 4281–4287. doi: 10.1128/JVI.64.9.4281-4287. 1990
- Zhao, Z., Hong, W., Zeng, Z., Wu, Y., Hu, K., Tian, X., et al. (2012). Mucroporin–M1 inhibits hepatitis B virus replication by activating mitogen– activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and down–regulating HNF4a in vitro and in vivo. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 30181–30190. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.37 0312
- Zheng, Y., Li, J., and Ou, J. H. (2004). Regulation of hepatitis B virus core promoter by transcription factors HNF1 and HNF4 and the viral X protein. J. Virol. 78, 6908–6914. doi: 10.1128/JVI.78.13.6908-6914.2004

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Lee, Choi, Lee, Yoon, Kim, Choi and Park. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.