



Metagenomic Analysis of the Abundance and Composition of Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Hospital Wastewater in Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland

[®] Melina A. Markkanen,^{a,b} Kaisa Haukka,^{a,g} [®] Katariina M. M. Pärnänen,^a Victorien Tamegnon Dougnon,^c Isidore Juste O. Bonkoungou,^d Zakaria Garba,^e Halidou Tinto,^e Anniina Sarekoski,^a [®] Antti Karkman,^{a,b} Anu Kantele,^{b,f,g} [®] Marko P. J. Virta^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Microbiology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

^bMultidisciplinary Center of Excellence in Antimicrobial Resistance Research, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

cResearch Unit in Applied Microbiology and Pharmacology of Natural Substances, Polytechnic School of Abomey-Calavi, University of Abomey-Calavi, Abomey-Calavi, Benin

^dDepartment of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

eClinical Research Unit Nanoro, Institute for Research in Health Sciences, National Center for Scientific and Technological Research, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso fMeilahti Vaccine Research Center MeVac, Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland 9Human Microbiome Research Program, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Marko P. J. Virta and Anu Kantele contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined alphabetically.

ABSTRACT Antibiotic resistance is a global threat to human health, with the most severe effect in low- and middle-income countries. We explored the presence of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in the hospital wastewater (HWW) of nine hospitals in Benin and Burkina Faso, two low-income countries in West Africa, with shotgun metagenomic sequencing. For comparison, we also studied six hospitals in Finland. The highest sum of the relative abundance of ARGs in the 68 HWW samples was detected in Benin and the lowest in Finland. HWW resistomes and mobilomes in Benin and Burkina Faso resembled each other more than those in Finland. Many carbapenemase genes were detected at various abundances, especially in HWW from Burkina Faso and Finland. The *bla*_{GES} genes, the most widespread carbapenemase gene in the Beninese HWW, were also found in water intended for hand washing and in a puddle at a hospital yard in Benin. *mcr* genes were detected in the HWW of all three countries, with *mcr-5* being the most common *mcr* gene. These and other *mcr* genes were observed in very high relative abundances, even in treated wastewater in Burkina Faso and a street gutter in Benin. The results highlight the importance of wastewater treatment, with particular attention to HWW.

IMPORTANCE The global emergence and increased spread of antibiotic resistance threaten the effectiveness of antibiotics and, thus, the health of the entire population. Therefore, understanding the resistomes in different geographical locations is crucial in the global fight against the antibiotic resistance crisis. However, this information is scarce in many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), such as those in West Africa. In this study, we describe the resistomes of hospital wastewater in Benin and Burkina Faso and, as a comparison, Finland. Our results help to understand the hitherto unrevealed resistance in Beninese and Burkinabe hospitals. Furthermore, the results emphasize the importance of wastewater management infrastructure design to minimize exposure events between humans, HWW, and the environment, preventing the circulation of resistant bacteria and ARGs between humans (hospitals and community) and the environment.

KEYWORDS antibiotic resistance, West Africa, hospital wastewater (HWW), carbapenemase, colistin resistance, metagenomes

Editor Mariana Castanheira, JMI Laboratories Copyright © 2023 Markkanen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Marko P. J. Virta, marko.virta@helsinki.fi, or Melina A. Markkanen, melina.markkanen@helsinki.fi.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 1 November 2022 Accepted 3 January 2023 Published 2 February 2023 The global antibiotic resistance crisis has multifaceted effects on human and animal health and comes with substantial economic losses (1). Due to limitations in diagnostic testing in low-resource settings in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), broad-spectrum antibiotics are often used empirically without microbiological verification of the causative pathogen or its sensitivity to different antibiotics (2). In addition, unregulated access to antibiotics results in self-medication for humans and animals in these countries (3–5).

Acquired, potentially mobile antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) have a pronounced clinical relevance and impact on the current antimicrobial resistance (AMR) problem (6, 7). Furthermore, the genetic context of the ARG (e.g., whether under a strong promoter or not) influences its expression and the resulting resistance phenotype (8). Class 1 integrons are strongly linked to the dissemination of clinically relevant acquired ARGs (9, 10). Although integrons are not mobile as such, multidrug resistance gene cassettes carried by integrons can be transferred to new hosts: for example, via plasmids (11). The *intl1* and *qacE* Δ genes (genes encoding the integron integrase and quaternary ammonium compound resistance) are typically used as markers for class 1 integrons (10, 12).

The use of broad-spectrum antibiotics has increased in clinical practice as a consequence of the increased prevalence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (ESBL-PE) (13–15). The carbapenem resistance-encoding genes bla_{GES} , bla_{IMP} , bla_{KPC} , bla_{NDM} , bla_{OXA-48} , bla_{OXA-58} , and bla_{VIM} , which are typically carried by plasmids, have emerged and spread around the world during the past 3 decades (16–19). Colistin is a last-resort antibiotic used for treating infections caused by multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant bacteria, such as those resistant to carbapenems (20). The rapid emergence of colistin resistance mediated by *mcr* genes threatens the efficacy of colistin in clinical use (21, 22).

Although AMR is a global concern, the crisis dramatically affects LMICs, such as those in West Africa (2, 23–26). Lack of research data is one major factor hindering tackling the AMR problem in these countries (2, 23, 27, 28). Despite these gaps in resistance surveillance data, it is well known that the level of AMR is elevated in LMICs, including African countries (14, 24, 29, 30). In contrast, in Northern European countries, such as Finland, AMR occurrence is among the lowest globally, both in the community (30, 31) and in health care settings (32).

Hospital wastewater (HWW) from health care facilities is at the frontline of AMR emergence and spread due to the frequent use of antibiotics and the presence of immunocompromised patients (33). Thus, we set out to investigate the AMR situation and the characteristics of the resistomes in nine hospitals in two West African countries, Benin and Burkina Faso, where prior data were scarce (14). For comparison, we analyzed samples from six hospitals in Finland, where the level of AMR was expected to be low (32). We used shotgun metagenomic sequencing to obtain a holistic view of the resistomes, mobilomes (a set of ARGs and mobile genetic elements [MGEs], respectively), and microbial communities present in the studied environments.

RESULTS

General features of resistomes, mobilomes, and taxonomical compositions in HWW and other water samples from Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland. We studied hospital wastewater (HWW) collected from Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland (Table 1; see Data Set S1, Sheets 1 and 2, in the supplemental material; see Fig. S1 and S2 in the Supplemental Data Repository, https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/9wxb37t49z/1). In addition, 11 non-HWW water samples, such as those collected from rivers and near or within the hospital environment, were analyzed to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the ARG prevalence in Benin and Burkina Faso. On average, 31 million sequence reads per sample were analyzed. HWW from Benin showed the highest and HWW from Burkina Faso the second-highest abundance of all detected ARGs normalized to bacterial 16S rRNA genes (the sum of the relative abundance of ARGs). On the other hand, the sum of the relative abundance of ARGs was the lowest in HWW from Finland (Fig. 1A). Also, the lowest diversity of ARGs was observed in HWW from

TABLE 1 Sample information

Sample type and ID ^a	Country	Category	Ν	Hospital/area	Collection date (day/mo/yr)	HWW
HWW hospital samples		· ·				
BH01-BH09	Benin	BENN HWW A	7	А	27/11/19	Yes
BH27-BH39		BENN HWW B	11	В	29/11/19	Yes
BH44-BH50		BENN HWW C	5	С	9/12/19	Yes
BH58-BH61		BENN HWW D	3	D	11/12/19	Yes
BFH1-BFH4	Burkina Faso	BF HWW E	2	E	22/11/19	Yes
BFH6-BFH12		BF HWW F	6	F	28/11/19	Yes
BFH13-BFH15		BF HWW G	3	G	28/11/19	Yes
BFH16-BFH28		BF HWW H	10	Н	4/12/19	Yes
BFH29–BFH41		BF HWW I	13	I	12/12/19	Yes
FH1	Finland	FI HWW J	1	J	20/1/20	Yes
FH2		FI HWW K	1	К	20/1/20	Yes
FH3		FI HWW L	1	L	20/1/20	Yes
FH4–FH6		FI HWW M	3	Μ	20/1/20	Yes
FH7		FI HWW N	1	Ν	23/1/20	Yes
FH9		FI HWW O	1	0	28/1/20	Yes
Other samples						
BH11	Benin	BENN well water A (drinking)	1	Α	27/11/19	No
BH13		BENN street gutter B	1	В	27/11/19	No
BH48		BENN puddle at yard C	1	С	9/12/19	No
BH52		BENN hand-washing C	1	С	9/12/19	No
BSE100		BENN river P (drinking)	1	Р	4/12/19	No
BSE74		BENN river Q (drinking)	1	Q	4/12/19	No
BSE79		BENN river R (drinking)	1	R	4/12/19	No
BSE93		BENN tap water S (drinking)	1	S	4/12/19	No
BFH26	Burkina Faso	BF exit after biological treatment	1	Н	4/12/19	No
BFH27		BF wetland receiving treated HWW	1	Н	4/12/19	No
BFH42		BF receiving river after WWTP	1	Т	12/12/19	No

^aHWW samples from hospitals in Benin (n = 26), Burkina Faso (n = 34), and Finland (n = 8) are denoted at the top, while the other samples are noted at the bottom.

Finland and the highest in Burkina Faso (see Fig. S3A in the Supplemental Data Repository).

According to the Kruskal-Wallis test, there were significant (P < 0.005) country-wise differences in the sums of relative abundances of ARGs (Fig. 1A) and class 1 integron genes (*intl1*) (Fig. 1C), but not when no particular type of MGE was specified (Fig. 1B). The significant differences were investigated further using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, where



FIG 1 Sum of the relative abundances of (A) ARGs, (B) MGEs, and (C) class 1 integrons (*intil*1) in HWW samples from Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland. The gene counts were normalized to 16S rRNA gene counts and gene lengths. Country medians are shown as horizontal lines, and the interquartile ranges (25th and 75th quartiles) as box plot hinges. The horizontal lines represent the highest and lowest values. Outliers are defined as values higher or lower than 1.5 times the upper or lower quartiles, respectively, and denoted with a text label referring to the category represented by that sample. The box plots were drawn after excluding the outliers. The comparisons between countries were computed using the pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test, where the *P* values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg algorithm. The significance levels are as follows: *, $P \le 0.05$; **, $P \le 0.01$; ***, $P \le 0.001$.



FIG 2 Principal-component analysis (PCA) showing the significant dissimilarities of (A) resistomes, (B) taxonomical composition Metaphlan3, and (C) mobilomes in HWW from Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland. Count data were transformed using centered log ratio transformation (clr). Confidence ellipses are drawn for visualization and represent 95% confidence levels.

the *P* values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg algorithm. The differences in *intl1* followed a similar pattern in country-wise comparisons to ARGs (Fig. 1C). Contigs where multiple ARGs, such as carbapenemase or ESBL variants of bla_{GES} and quinolone resistance genes (*qnrVC*), were located in proximity to each other were identified (Fig. S4 in the Supplemental Data Repository). These contigs might indicate gene cassettes carried by class 1 integron elements as previously reported for bla_{GES} and *qnrVC* in various ARG combinations (8, 34, 35). In contrast to *intl1* and *qacE* Δ , no significant correlations were observed between ARGs and *int2* or *int3* (Fig. S5C and D in the Supplemental Data Repository), except with HWW from Burkina Faso (Fig. S5D in the Supplemental Data Repository).

Compositional data analysis (CoDa) methods were applied to investigate the ordination of the samples from the different countries by their resistome, mobilome, and taxonomical composition with respect to each other. Centered log ratio (clr) transformation, which uses the geometric mean of the sample vector as reference (36), was applied to transform the count data for the ordinations. The significance of the distances between samples from country pairs was calculated on untransformed count data using Aitchison distance, which corresponds to Euclidean distances between clr-transformed sample abundance vectors (37). HWW resistomes from Benin and Burkina Faso resembled each other and formed clusters distinct from the resistomes from Finland (permutational multivariate analysis of variance [PERMANOVA]; P < 0.001; Benin versus Finland [BENN-FI], $R^2 = 0.211$, Burkina Faso versus Finland [BF-FI]; $R^2 = 0.160$; Benin versus Burkina Faso [BENN-BF], $R^2 = 0.0801$) (Fig. 2A; Table S1A). Country (BENN-FI, BENN-BF, and BF-FI) explained less of the variance between taxonomical compositions than variance between resistomes (PERMANOVA; P <0.001; BENN-FI, $R^2 = 0.178$; BF-FI, $R^2 = 0.104$; P < 0.005; BENN-BF, $R^2 = 0.0441$) (Fig. 2B; Table S1B). Similar to resistomes, distinct country-wise clusters were seen in the ordinations of mobilomes (PERMANOVA; P < 0.001; BENN-FI, $R^2 = 0.196$; BF-FI, $R^2 = 0.103$; P < 0.005; BENN-BF, $R^2 = 0.0478$) (Fig. 2C; Table S1C). When non-HWW water samples were included in the analysis, these samples seemed to be located approximately within the clusters of their respective countries (PERMANOVA; P < 0.001) (Table S1D to F; Fig. S6 in the Supplemental Data Repository). Since the HWW resistomes of Benin and Burkina Faso differed notably from the resistomes of Finland, the drivers for these differences were subsequently investigated.

Significantly differentially abundant ARGs. ARGs significantly differentially abundant in HWW from each country-wise comparison were investigated. For that, analysis by the ANOVA-Like Differential Expression tool for high-throughput sequencing data (ALDEx2) was performed with additive log ratio (alr) transformation (36). alr transformation



FIG 3 Significantly differentially abundant ARGs in HWW in pairwise comparisons in Benin versus Finland, Burkina Faso versus Finland, and Benin versus Burkina Faso were defined using ALDEx2 (36) with 16S rRNA as the reference gene. The difference-between (diff.btw) values represent the difference in alr-transformed values of each ARG in samples from the compared countries. For instance, in panel A, significantly differentially abundant ARGs for Benin (green dots above the blue dotted line) have negative diff.btw values (*x* axis), while ARGs that were significantly differentially abundant in Finland (blue dots above the blue dotted line) have positive values. The dotted line represents a *P* value of <0.05 of the Wilcoxon rank sum test, where the *P* values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg algorithm (wi.eBH). These *P* values were log₁₀ transformed for the figure.

uses a single component (here, the 16S rRNA counts) as the reference for analyzing all individual components.

In the comparisons Benin versus Finland and Burkina Faso versus Finland, ARGs significantly differentially abundant in HWW from Finland were fewer than those from Benin or Burkina Faso (Fig. 3A and B) (BENN [n = 258]-FI [n = 33]; BF [n = 257]-FI [n = 29]). In addition, the ARGs that were significantly differentially abundant in HWW from Finland in both comparisons (Benin versus Finland and Burkina Faso versus Finland) were primarily the same (Table 2; Table S1A and B). $bla_{OXA-211}$ -like genes and *erm*(B) macrolide resistance genes were characteristic of HWW from Finland (Table 2 [and see Table S1A to C for all results]). Those ARGs that were characteristic of HWW from Benin included tetracycline resistance gene *tetA*, quinolone resistance genes *qnrVC*, and ESBL gene bla_{VEB} (Table 2; and Table S1A). For Burkina Faso, significantly differentially abundant ARGs included bla_{CMY} genes, trimethoprim resistance genes of the gene family *dfrA15*, and genes of bla_{OXA-10^-} and bla_{OXA-46} -like gene families (Table 2; Table S1B).

The comparison between HWW from Benin and Burkina Faso revealed fewer differentially abundant ARGs between these countries (BENN [n = 118]-BF [n = 53]) than in the comparisons with Finnish HWW. In addition, the volcano plot in Fig. 3C is more skewed toward the center (diff.btw value of zero), thus referring to less drastic differences in this comparison. These results support the previous notion that the resistomes in HWW from Benin and Burkina Faso were more similar to each other than those from Finland (Table 2; Table S1A to C). However, ESBL genes bla_{BEL} and bla_{CMY} and carbapenemase genes of the bla_{OXA-58} -like gene family, were characteristic of HWW from Burkina Faso (Table 2; Table S1C). Instead, different aminoglycoside and lincosamide resistance genes, such as lnu(F) and lnu(C), were characteristic of HWW from Benin (Table 2; Table S1C).

As a further notion, those bla_{OXA} variants that were significantly differentially abundant in HWW from Finland compared to Benin and Burkina Faso were predominantly those that are intrinsically carried by some specific species and encode carbapenemases (e.g., $bla_{OXA-211}$ -like genes) (Table 3). Instead, the bla_{OXA} variants characteristic for HWW from Benin and Burkina Faso were those that are typically acquired and, furthermore, do not encode carbapenemase activity (e.g., bla_{OXA-5} -like genes, significantly differentially abundant in HWW from Benin, are typically carried by class 1 integrons (38).

TABLE 2 Top	10 differentially	abundant ARG	is in HWW fron	n Benin, Burkina	a Faso, and
Finland ^a					

Benin 0.000032 -5.811741 tet(A)_6 tet(A) Benin 0.000033 -5.267743 tet(A)_6 tet(A) 0.000034 -4.932008 tet(A)_5 tet(A) 0.000037 -7.475163 qn/VC4_1 qn/VC4 0.000042 -6.688637 bla/EB-2,1 bla/en 0.000045 -6.600232 bla/EB-2,1 bla/en 0.000045 -6.600232 bla/EB-7,1 bla/en 0.000045 -6.600232 bla/EB-7,1 bla/en 0.000058 -8.506581 Inul(F)_3 Inul(F) 0.000068 4.334039 erm(B),18 erm(B) 0.000013 -4.352021 erm(B),10 erm(B) 0.000113 4.735091 bla/XA-309,1 bla_0xA-311/like 0.000118 -6.153927 bla/XA-309,1 bla_0xA-311/like 0.00018 -7.153927 bla/XA-309,1 bla_0xA-311/like 0.000016 -7.153927 bla/XA-40,1 bla_0xA-31/like 0.000018 -6.183994 drA15,2 drA15,	Comparison	wi.eBH	Diff.btw	Gene	Gene family
Benin 0.000032 -5.81741 tet(A)_1 tet(A) 0.000033 -5.267743 tet(A)_6 tet(A) 0.000034 -4.932008 tet(A)_5 tet(A) 0.000034 -4.932008 tet(A)_5 tet(A) 0.000037 -7.475163 gn/VC4_1 gn/VC4 0.000043 -6.630232 bla/EB-2,1 blares 0.000058 -6.600232 bla/EB-1,1 blares 0.000058 -6.830232 bla/ZB-7,1 blares 0.000058 -6.830232 bla/ZB-7,1 blares 0.000058 -6.337372 bla/ZK-7,1 blares 0.000058 4.34039 erm(B),18 erm(B) 0.000079 5.493227 bla/XA-329,1 blares 0.000113 4.334039 erm(B),21 erm(B) 0.000113 -7.153927 bla/XA-232,1 blares 0.000013 -6.185994 bla/X-4,1 blares 0.000014 -7.153927 bla/XA-282,1 blares 0.000051 -5	Benin vs Finland				
Burkina Faso 0.000033 -5.267743 tet(A)_6 tet(A) 0.000034 -4.932008 tet(A)_5 tet(A) 0.000037 -7.475163 gnrVC4_1 gnrVC4 0.000042 -6.688637 blaVEB-2_1 blaven 0.000043 -6.500325 blaVEB-1_1 blaven 0.000045 -6.600232 blaVEB-1_1 blaven 0.000047 -6.620323 blaVEB-1_1 blaven 0.000068 -4.334039 erm(B)_18 erm(B) 0.000079 5.493227 blaOXA-371_1 blacoxa-11***********************************	Benin	0.000032	-5.811741	tet(A)_1	tet(A)
Print 0.000034 -4.932008 tet(A)_5 tet(A) 0.000037 -7.475163 gn/VC4_1 gn/VC4 gn/VC4 0.000043 -6.68637 blu/EB-2_1 blaves 0.000043 -6.629523 blu/EB-2_1 blaves 0.000047 -6.629523 blu/EB-1_1 blaves 0.000058 -8.506581 lnu(F)_3 lnu(F) 0.000058 -8.34039 erm(B) erm(B) 0.000058 4.34039 erm(B) erm(B) 0.000059 5.493227 bla/XA-212_1 blaves 0.000118 5.20211 erm(B) erm(B) 0.000113 4.735091 bla/XA-31_1 bla/XA-32_1 bla/XA-32_1 0.000118 5.20211 erm(B) erm(B) erm(B) 0.000118 5.20211 erm(B) erm(B) erm(B) 0.000118 -7.153927 bla/XA-32_1 bla/XA-32_1 bla/XA-32_1 0.000016 -7.153927 bla/XA-33_1 bla/XA-33_1 bla/XA-33_1 <td< td=""><td></td><td>0.000033</td><td>-5.267743</td><td>tet(A)_6</td><td>tet(A)</td></td<>		0.000033	-5.267743	tet(A)_6	tet(A)
Burkina Faso 0.000014 0.000042 4.842077 6.688637 ter(A)_5 bit/VEP_2_1 bit/vea bit/VEP_2_1 bit/vea bit/VEP_2_1 Finland 0.000043 6.51372 bit/VEP_1_1 bit/vea bit/VEP_1_1 bit/vea bit/VEP_1_1 bit/vea bit/VEP_1_1 Finland 0.000055 5.930829 bit/XEP_1_1 bit/vea bit/XEP_1_1 bit/XEP_1_1 0.000055 5.930829 bit/XEP_1_1 bit/XEA_37_1_1 bit/XEA_37_1_1 0.000056 5.930829 bit/XA_302_1_1 bit/XEA_37_1_1 bit/XEA_37_1_1 0.000079 5.4493227 bit/XA_302_1_1 bit/XA_302_1_1 bit/XA_302_1_1 0.0000113 4.735091 bit/XA_302_1_1 bit/XA_302_1_1 bit/XA_302_1_1 0.000118 -5.32372 bit/XA_302_1_1 bit/XA_302_1_1 bit/XA_302_1_1 0.000018 -6.183994 dr/A15_2_1_1 bit/XA_30_1_1 bit/XA_30_1_1 0.000018 -6.183994 dr/A15_2_1_1 bit/XA_41_1 bit/XA_41_1 0.000019 -5.225170 bit/XA_10_1_1 bit/XA_30_1_1 bit/XA_30_1_1 0.000051 -5.2261070<		0.000034	-4.932008	tet(A)_4	tet(A)
Pinland 0.000037 -7.475163 pm/VC4_1 pm/VC4_1 pm/VC4_1 0.000042 -6.686637 blaVEB-2_1 blaves blaves 0.000043 -6.501372 blaVEB-2_1 blaves blaves 0.000045 -6.602323 blaVEB-1_1 blaves blaves 0.000058 -8.506581 Inu(F)_3 Inu(F) blaves 0.000065 5.930829 blaXA-373_1 bla _{Ox6311} -like 0.000079 5.493227 blaXA-30_1 bla _{Ox6311} -like 0.000018 4.334039 erm(B)_18 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_9 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_9 erm(B) 0.000225 5.338762 blaXA-321_1 bla _{Ox6431} -like 0.000016 -7.153927 blaXA-241_1 bla _{Ox6431} -like 0.000017 -5.223876 blaXA-26_1_1 bla _{Ox6431} -like 0.000051 -5.223876 blaXA-26_1_1 bla _{Ox6431} -like 0.000051 -5.223876 blaXA-40_1_1 bla		0.000034	-4.842077	tet(A)_5	tet(A)
0.000042 -6.686637 blaVEB-2_1 blaves blavEB-6_1 blaves blaves blavEB-1_1 0.000043 -6.529523 blaVEB-1_1 blaves blaVEB-1_1 blaves blaves blaVEB-1_1 blaves blaves blaves blaves Finland 0.000058 -8.306531 lnu(F) blaves blaves blaves blaves blaves blaves blaves blaves Finland 0.000058 5.330829 blaves blaves blaves blaves 0.000079 5.493227 blaves blaves blaves blaves blaves blaves 0.000079 5.493227 blaves blaves blaves 0.000018 4.334039 errn(B)_10 errn(B) blaves blaves 0.000118 5.320211 errn(B)_10 errn(B) blaves blaves 0.00018 -6.185994 dfn15_2 dfn15 blaves 0.000018 -6.185994 dfn15_2 dfn15 blaves 0.000018 -6.185994 dfn15_2 dfn15 blaves 0.000016 -7.153927 blaCM-12_1 blaves blaves 0.0000071 -5.261070 blaVA		0.000037	-7.475163	qnrVC4_1	qnrVC4
0.000043 -6.521372 blaVEB-6_1 blaves 0.000045 -6.60232 blaVEB-7_1 blaves 0.000058 -8.506581 Inu(F)_3 Inu(F) 0.000068 4.334039 erm(B)_18 erm(B) 0.000068 4.334039 erm(B)_18 erm(B) 0.000079 5.493227 blaXA-373_1 bla _{ONA311} -like 0.000018 4.334039 erm(B)_21 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_9 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_9 erm(B) 0.000016 -7.153927 blaCMY-4_1 bla _{ONA311} -like 0.000018 -6.693325 blaCMY-4_1 bla _{ONA311} -like 0.000016 -7.153927 blaCMY-4_1 bla _{ONA311} -like 0.000017 -5.25315 blaCMY-4_1 bla _{ONA311} -like 0.000018 -6.185994 dirA15_2 dirA15_1 0.000017 -5.223876 blaCMY-2_1 bla _{ONA4101} -like 0.000051 -5.261070 blaOXA-101_1 bla _{ONA4101} -like		0.000042	-6.688637	blaVEB-2_1	bla _{VEB}
0.000045 -6.600232 blaVEB-7_1 blaws blaVEB-7_1 blaws blaVEB-7_1 blaws blaVEB-7_1 blaws blaVEB-7_1 blaws blaveB-7_1 Finland 0.000058 5.930829 blaOXA-272_1 bla _{DXA-371} , tilke 0.000058 4.334039 erm(B)_18 erm(B) 0.000079 5.493227 blaOXA-212_1 bla _{DXA-371} , tilke 0.000018 4.334230 erm(B)_21 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_10 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_10 erm(B) 0.000118 5.338762 blaOXA-334_1 bla _{DXA-371} , tilke 0.000047 4.719647 blaOXA-281_1 bla _{DXA-371} , tilke 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 0.000011 -5.25515 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000011 -5.25515 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000051 -5.22876 blaOXA-26_1 bla _{DXA-6} 0.000051 -5.261070 blaOXA-46_1 bla _{DXA-6} 0.000057 5.261032 blaOXA-46_1 <td></td> <td>0.000043</td> <td>-6.521372</td> <td>blaVEB-6_1</td> <td>bla_{VEB}</td>		0.000043	-6.521372	blaVEB-6_1	bla _{VEB}
Finland 0.000047 0.000058 -6.505513 -8.506581 Inu(F) Inu(F) Inu(F) Inu(F) Finland 0.000058 -5.330829 bla0XA-372_1 bla _{DAX-371} -1ike 0.000058 4.334039 errn(B)_18 errn(B) 0.000079 5.493227 bla0XA-372_1 bla _{DAX-371} -1ike 0.000113 4.735091 bla0XA-302_1 bla _{DAX-371} -1ike 0.000113 4.735091 bla0XA-329_1 bla _{DAX-371} -1ike 0.000118 5.338762 bla0XA-329_1 bla _{DAX-371} -1ike 0.000125 5.338762 bla0XA-299_1 bla _{DAX-371} -1ike 0.000409 4.664600 bla0XA-299_1 bla _{DAX-371} -1ike 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 0.000017 -5.25315 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 0.000051 -5.232876 bla0XH-21_1 bla _{DAX-11} -1ike 0.000051 -5.261705 bla0XH-21_1 bla _{DAX-11} -1ike 0.000051 -5.261705 bla0XH-32_1 bla _{DAX-11} -1ike		0.000045	-6.600232	blaVEB-7_1	bla _{VEB}
Finland 0.000058 -8.506581 Inu(F)_3 Inu(F)_3 Inu(F)_3 Inu(F)_3 Finland 0.000065 5.930829 bla0XA-373_1 bla _{0XA-211} -1ike 0.000079 5.493227 bla0XA-302_1 bla _{0XA-311} -1ike 0.0000113 4.735091 bla0XA-302_1 bla _{0XA-311} -1ike 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_10 erm(B) 0.000125 5.338762 bla0XA-324_1 bla _{0XA-211} -1ike 0.0000407 4.719647 bla0XA-281_1 bla _{0XA-211} -1ike 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15_1 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000011 -5.252515 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-26_1 bla _{0XA-10} -1ike 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-324_1 bla _{0XA-30} -1ike 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-324_1 bla _{0XA-311} -1ike 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-324_1 bla _{0XA-311}		0.000047	-6.629523	blaVEB-1_1	blaver
Finland 0.000065 5.930829 bla0XA-373_1 bla _{0XA-211} -like erm(B)_18 erm(B)_118 0.000078 4.834230 erm(B)_18 erm(B)_118 erm(B)_118 0.000113 4.735091 bla0XA-309_1 bla _{0XA-212} , like erm(B)_10 erm(B)_11 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_10 erm(B)_10 erm(B)_10 0.000118 5.338762 bla0XA-334_1 bla _{0XA-219} , like exp(A-234_1) bla _{0XA-219} , like exp(A-234_1) 0.000125 5.338762 bla0XA-234_1 bla _{0XA-211} , like exp(A-241_1) bla _{0XA-211} , like exp(A-241_1) 0.000016 -7.153927 bla0XA-134_1 bla _{0XA-211} , like exp(A-11) bla _{0XA-211} , like exp(A-11) 0.000016 -7.153927 bla0XA-101_1 bla _{0XA-101} , like exp(A-11) bla _{0XA-101} , like exp(A-11) bla _{0XA-101} , like exp(A-10) 0.000051 -5.25315 dfrA15_4 dfrA15_4 dfrA15_4 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-46_1 bla _{0XA-10} -like exp(A-10) bla _{0XA-10} -like exp(A-10) 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-36_1 bla _{0XA-21} -like exp(A-10) bla _{0XA-10} -like exp(A-10) bla		0.000058	-8.506581	lnu(F)_3	Inu(F)
0.000068 4.334039 erm(B)_18 erm(B)_10 0.000079 5.493227 bla0XA-212_1 bla _{0XA-211} -1ike 0.000013 4.735091 bla0XA-202_1 bla _{0XA-211} -1ike 0.000113 4.735091 bla0XA-309_1 bla _{0XA-211} -1ike 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_10 erm(B) 0.000125 5.338762 bla0XA-239_1 bla _{0XA-211} -1ike 0.000407 4.719647 bla0XA-239_1 bla _{0XA-211} -1ike 0.00018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 0.000039 -5.625515 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-101_1 bla _{0XA-10} -like 0.000066 -4.173189 tet(A)_1 tet(A) 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-261_1 bla _{0XA-10} -like 0.000066 -5.93035 blaCMY+2_1 bla _{0XA-10} -like 0.000072 -5.478843 bla0XA-280_1 bla _{0XA-10} -like 0.000072 -5.478843 bla0XA-241_1 bla _{0XA-10} -like 0.000071 5.478169 bl	Finland	0.000065	5.930829	blaOXA-373_1	<i>bla</i> _{0XA-211} -like
0.000079 5.493227 blaQXA-212_1 bla _{QXA-217} like 0.000085 4.834230 erm(B)_21 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_10 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_9 erm(B) 0.000125 5.338762 blaQXA-329_1 blaQXA-317-like 0.000407 4.719647 blaQXA-329_1 blaQXA-211-like 0.000407 4.719647 blaQXA-231_1 blaQXA-211-like 0.000407 4.719647 blaQXA-231_1 blaQXA-211-like 0.00018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 0.000016 -7.153927 blaQXA-101_1 blaQXA-11-like 0.000016 -6.185994 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000051 -5.223876 blaQXA-61_1 blaQXA-61 0.000066 -4.173189 tet(A)_1 tet(A)_1 0.000066 -4.173189 tet(A)_1 tet(A)_1 0.000071 6.062276 blaQXA-321_1 blaQXA-211_1 0.000051 5.261960 blaQXA-211_1 blaQXA-211_1		0.000068	4.334039	erm(B)_18	erm(B)
		0.000079	5.493227	blaOXA-212_1	<i>bla</i> _{0XA-211} -like
0.000113 4.735091 bla0XA-309_1 bla _{DXA-211} -like 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_10 erm(B) 0.000118 5.320211 erm(B)_0 erm(B) 0.000125 5.338762 bla0XA-334_1 bla _{DXA-211} -like 0.000407 4.719647 bla0XA-299_1 bla _{DXA-211} -like 0.000407 4.719647 bla0XA-299_1 bla _{DXA-211} -like 0.000018 -6.185994 dfA15_2 dfA15 0.000018 -6.185994 dfA15_4 dfA15 0.000018 -6.185994 dfA15_4 dfA15 0.000031 -6.923325 blaCMY-2_1 bla _{CMA-10} -like 0.000051 -5.228176 blaCMY-2_1 bla _{CMA-10} -like 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-36_11 bla _{CMA-201} -like 0.000051 -5.261070 bla0XA-46_11 bla _{CMA-201} -like 0.000051 -5.261032 bla0XA-39_1 bla _{CMA-201} -like 0.000057 5.261032 bla0XA-39_1 bla _{CMA-201} -like 0.000051 5.261032 bla0XA-37_1		0.000085	4.834230	erm(B)_21	erm(B)
		0.000113	4.735091	blaOXA-309_1	<i>bla</i> _{0XA-211} -like
		0.000118	5.320211	erm(B)_10	erm(B)
0.000225 5.338762 bla0XA-334_1 bla _{0XA-297} .1 bla _{0XA-298} .1 bla _{0XA-297} .1 bla _{0XA-298} .1 bla _{0XA-298} .1 bla _{0XA-101} .1 bla _{0XA-110} .1 <thbla<sub>0XA-211.1 bla_{0XA-211}.1</thbla<sub>		0.000189	3.499903	erm(B)_9	erm(B)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000225	5.338762	blaOXA-334_1	<i>bla</i> _{0XA-211} -like
0.000409 4.664600 blaQXA-281_1 blaQXA-211-like Burkina Faso 0.000016 -7.153927 blaCMY-4_1 blaCMY-150 Burkina Faso 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 0.000031 -6.923325 blaQXA-101_1 blaCMY-150 0.000039 -5.625515 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000051 -5.223876 blaVE8-6.1 blaQxA-10 ⁻ like 0.000051 -5.261070 blaQXA-456_1 blaQxA+10 ⁻ like 0.000066 -4.173189 tet(A)_1 tet(A) 0.000072 -5.478843 blaQXA-45_1 blaQxA+a5 ⁻ like 0.000075 5.261032 blaQXA-309_1 blaQxA+30 ⁻ like 0.000075 5.261032 blaQXA-309_1 blaQxA+31 ⁻ like 0.000071 6.062276 blaQXA-311 blaQxA+31 ⁻ like 0.000072 5.378873 blaQXA-320_1 blaQxA+31 ⁻ like 0.000268 5.311696 blaQXA-280_1 blaQxA+31 ⁻ like 0.001721 4.643516 errm(B)_6 errm(B) Beni		0.000407	4.719647	blaOXA-299_1	bla _{OXA-299} -like
Burkina Faso vs Finland Burkina Faso 0.000016 -7.153927 blaCMY-4_1 blaCMY-4_1 blaCMY-150 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 dfrA15 0.000031 -6.923325 blaCMA-101_1 blaCM-101_1 blaCM-101_1 blaCMY-101_1 blaCMY-101_1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>0.000409</td><td>4.664600</td><td>blaOXA-281_1</td><td>bla_{OXA-211}-like</td></t<>		0.000409	4.664600	blaOXA-281_1	bla _{OXA-211} -like
Burkina Faso vs Finland Burkina Faso 0.000016 -7.153927 blaCMY-4_1 bla _{CMY-150} 0.000018 -6.185994 dfrA15_2 dfrA15 0.000031 -6.923325 blaOXA-101_1 bla _{CMX-150} 0.000039 -5.625515 dfrA15_4 dfrA15 0.000051 -5.223876 blaCMF-6_1 bla _{VEB} 0.000051 -5.223876 blaCMY-2_1 bla _{CMX-150} 0.000051 -5.261070 blaOXA-56_1 bla _{VEB} 0.000066 -4.173189 tet(A)_1 tet(A) 0.000068 -5.930935 blaCMY-121_1 bla _{CMX-150} 0.000072 -5.478843 blaOXA-46_1 bla _{CMX-150} 0.000072 -5.478843 blaOXA-46_1 bla _{CMX-150} 0.000055 5.481699 blaOXA-29_1 bla _{CMX-11} -like 0.000057 5.261032 blaOXA-211_1 bla _{CMX-11} -like 0.000071 6.062276 blaOXA-281_1 bla _{CMX-11} -like 0.000071 6.062276 blaOXA-281_1 bla _{CMX-11} -like 0.000158 7.159558 blaOXA-281_1 bla _{CMX-11} -like 0.001580 7.159558 blaOXA-281_1 bla _{CMX-11} -like 0.0015180 7.159558 blaOXA-281_1 bla _{CMX-11} -like 0.001523 3.711949 erm(B)_6 erm(B) Benin 1.64E-08 -5.4719564 blaOXA-28_1 bla _{CMX-10} -like 4.10E-05 -5.3303508 aph(2')-lb_1 aph(2')-lb 5.43E-05 -5.244107 aac(6')-lin_1 ac(6')-lin 0.0002553 -2.2747178 tet(C)_3 tet(C) 0.0003175 -2.3241004 tet(C)_2 tet(C) 0.00051131 -4.0449182 aph(2')-lb_2 aph(2')-lb 0.00051264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.00051264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{CMX-68} like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1					
Burkina Faso 0.00016 -7.153927 $blaCMY-4_1$ $bla_{CMY-150}$ 0.000018 -6.185994 $dfrA15_2$ $dfrA15$ 0.000030 -6.923325 $blaOXA-101_1$ bla_{OXA-10} 0.000039 -5.625515 $dfrA15_4$ $dfrA15$ 0.000051 -5.223876 $blaCWF-2_1$ $bla_{CMY-150}$ 0.000051 -5.223876 $blaCWF-6_6_1$ bla_{VEB} 0.000066 -4.173189 $tet(A)_1$ $tet(A)_1$ 0.000066 -4.173189 $tet(A)_1$ $tet(A)_1$ 0.000072 -5.478843 $blaOXA-46_1$ bla_{OXA-10}^{-11ke} 0.000072 -5.478843 $blaOXA-46_1$ bla_{OXA-21}^{-11ke} 0.000055 5.481699 $blaOXA-309_1$ $bla_{OXA-211}^{-11ke}$ 0.000057 5.261032 $blaOXA-299_1$ $bla_{OXA-211}^{-11ke}$ 0.000057 5.261032 $blaOXA-290_1$ $bla_{OXA-211}^{-11ke}$ 0.000058 5.311696 $blaOXA-280_1$ $bla_{OXA-211}^{-11ke}$ 0.000229 5.378873 $blaOXA-280_1$ $bla_{OXA-211}^{-11ke}$ 0.000228 5.311696 $blaOXA-280_1$ $bla_{OXA-211}^{-11ke}$ 0.000253 3.711949 $erm(B)_10$ $erm(B)$ 0.002253 3.711949 $erm(B)_10$ $ac_{1}(6')-lin$ 0.002253 -5.244107 $aac(6')-lin_1$ $aac(6')-lin_1$ 0.0002678 -3.303508 $aph(2')-lb_1$ $aac(6')-lin_1$ 0.000511266 -2.254968 $tet(C)_1_NC$ $tet(C)_1$ 0.00051266 -2	Burkina Faso vs Finland				
	Burkina Faso	0.000016	-7.153927	blaCMY-4_1	bla _{CMY-150}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000018	-6.185994	dfrA15_2	dfrA15
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		0.000031	-6.923325	blaOXA-101_1	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-10} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000039	-5.625515	dfrA15_4	dfrA15
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000047	-5.795837	blaCMY-2_1	bla _{CMY-150}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000051	-5.223876	blaVEB-6_1	Ыа _{veb}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000051	-5.261070	blaOXA-56_1	<i>bla</i> _{oxa-10} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000066	-4.173189	tet(A)_1	tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000068	-5.930935	blaCMY-121_1	bla _{CMY-150}
Finland 0.000043 5.262960 $bla0XA-334_1$ $bla_{0XA-211}$ -like 0.000055 5.481699 $bla0XA-299_1$ $bla_{0XA-229}$ -like 0.000057 5.261032 $bla0XA-309_1$ $bla_{0XA-211}$ -like 0.000071 6.062276 $bla0XA-212_1$ $bla_{0XA-211}$ -like 0.000229 5.378873 $bla0XA-373_1$ $bla_{0XA-211}$ -like 0.000268 5.311696 $bla0XA-280_1$ $bla_{0XA-211}$ -like 0.000513 5.474025 $bla0XA-280_1$ $bla_{0XA-211}$ -like 0.000533 7.159558 $bla0XA-280_1$ $bla_{0XA-211}$ -like 0.001580 7.159558 $bla0XA-211_1$ $bla_{0XA-211}$ -like 0.002353 3.711949 $erm(B)_10$ $erm(B)$ Benin vs Burkina Faso $1.64E-08$ -5.4719564 $bla0XA-129_1$ bla_{0XA-51} -like $8.19E-06$ -3.0755306 $bla0XA-256_1$ bla_{0XA-10} -like $4.10E-05$ -5.3303508 $aph(2'')-lb_1$ $aph(2'')-lb$ $5.43E-05$ -5.244107 $aac(6')-lm_1$ $aac(6')-lm$ 0.00020678 -3.4867524 $lnu(C)_1$ $lnu(C)$ 0.0002553 -2.2747178 $tet(C)_{2}$ $aph(2'')-lb_{2}$ 0.00051131 -4.0449182 $aph(2'')-lb_{2}$ $aph(2'')-lb$ 0.00051266 -2.256968 $tet(39)_1$ $tet(39)$ 0.00051266 -2.256968 $tet(3)_1$ $tet(3)$ 0.00051266 -2.256968 $tet(3)_1$ $tet(3)$ 0.0002124 2.91935432 $tet(3)_1$ $tet(3)$		0.000072	-5.478843	blaOXA-46_1	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-46} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Finland	0.000043	5.262960	blaOXA-334_1	<i>bla</i> _{0XA-211} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000055	5.481699	blaOXA-299_1	bla _{OXA-299} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000057	5.261032	blaOXA-309_1	<i>bla</i> _{0XA-211} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000071	6.062276	blaOXA-212_1	bla _{oxa-211} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000229	5.378873	blaOXA-373_1	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-211} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000268	5.311696	blaOXA-281_1	bla _{OXA-211} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000513	5.474025	blaOXA-280_1	bla _{OXA-211} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.001580	7.159558	blaOXA-211_1	bla _{OXA-211} -like
$Benin vs Burkina Faso$ $Benin vs Burkina Faso$ $Benin 1.64E - 08 - 5.4719564 blaOXA - 129_1 bla_{OXA-5}-like$ $1.97E - 06 - 5.3861927 aac(6') - lic_1_NC aac(6') - lic$ $8.19E - 06 - 3.0755306 blaOXA - 256_1 bla_{OXA-10}-like$ $4.10E - 05 - 5.3303508 aph(2'') - lb_1 aph(2'') - lb$ $5.43E - 05 - 5.244107 aac(6') - lm_1 aac(6') - lm$ $0.00020678 - 3.4867524 lnu(C)_1 lnu(C)$ $0.0002553 - 2.2747178 tet(C)_3 tet(C)$ $0.00030175 - 2.3241004 tet(C)_2 tet(C)$ $0.00051131 - 4.0449182 aph(2'') - lb_2 aph(2'') - lb$ $0.00051266 - 2.256968 tet(C)_1_NC tet(C)$ $Burkina Faso$ $0.00091224 2.91935432 tet(39)_1 tet(39)$ $0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL - 1_1 bla_{BEL}$ $0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB$ $0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA - 58_1 bla_{OXA-58}-like$ $0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1$		0.001/21	4.643516	erm(B)_10	erm(B)
Benin vs Burkina Faso Benin 1.64E-08 -5.4719564 blaOXA-129_1 bla _{OXA-5} -like 1.97E-06 -5.3861927 aac(6')-llc_1_NC aac(6')-lic 8.19E-06 -3.0755306 blaOXA-256_1 bla _{OXA-10} -like 4.10E-05 -5.3303508 aph(2")-lb_1 aph(2")-lb 5.43E-05 -5.244107 aac(6')-lm_1 aac(6')-lm 0.00020678 -3.4867524 lnu(C)_1 lnu(C) 0.00020573 -2.2747178 tet(C)_2 tet(C) 0.00051131 -4.0449182 aph(2")-lb_2 aph(2")-lb 0.00051266 -2.256968 tet(C)_1_NC tet(C) 0.00051266 -2.256968 tet(39)_1 tet(39) 0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB_1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like		0.002353	3./11949	erm(B)_6	erm(B)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Benin vs Burkina Faso				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Benin	1.64E-08	-5.4719564	blaOXA-129 1	<i>bla</i> ox,like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.97E-06	-5.3861927	aac(6')-IIc 1 NC	aac(6')-lic
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		8.19E-06	-3.0755306	blaOXA-256_1	bla _{ova to} -like
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		4.10E-05	-5.3303508	aph(2")-lb 1	aph(2")-lb
0.00020678 -3.4867524 Inu(C)_1 Inu(C) 0.0002553 -2.2747178 tet(C)_3 tet(C) 0.00030175 -2.3241004 tet(C)_2 tet(C) 0.00051131 -4.0449182 aph(2")-Ib_2 aph(2")-Ib 0.00051266 -2.256968 tet(C)_1_NC tet(39) 0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1		5.43E-05	-5.244107	aac(6')-lm 1	aac(6')-Im
0.0002553 -2.2747178 tet(C)_3 tet(C) 0.00030175 -2.3241004 tet(C)_2 tet(C) 0.00051131 -4.0449182 aph(2")-lb_2 aph(2")-lb 0.00051266 -2.256968 tet(C)_1_NC tet(C) Burkina Faso 0.00091224 2.91935432 tet(39)_1 tet(39) 0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1		0.00020678	-3.4867524	lnu(C) 1	Inu(C)
0.00030175 -2.3241004 tet(C)_2 tet(C) 0.00051131 -4.0449182 aph(2")-lb_2 aph(2")-lb 0.00051266 -2.256968 tet(C)_1_NC tet(C) Burkina Faso 0.00091224 2.91935432 tet(39)_1 tet(39) 0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1		0.0002553	-2.2747178	tet(C)_3	tet(C)
0.00051131 -4.0449182 aph(2")-lb_2 aph(2")-lb Burkina Faso 0.00091224 2.91935432 tet(C)_1_NC tet(C) 0.00151266 -2.256968 tet(39)_1 tet(39) 0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1		0.00030175	-2.3241004	tet(C)_2	tet(C)
0.00051266 -2.256968 tet(C)_1_NC tet(C) Burkina Faso 0.00091224 2.91935432 tet(39)_1 tet(39) 0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 blaBEL 0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1		0.00051131	-4.0449182	aph(2")-Ib 2	aph(2")-lb
Burkina Faso 0.00091224 2.91935432 tet(39)_1 tet(39) 0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 bla _{BEL} 0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1		0.00051266	-2.256968	tet(C)_1_NC	tet(C)
0.00151264 4.1710954 blaBEL-1_1 blaBEL 0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1	Burkina Faso	0.00091224	2.91935432	tet(39)_1	tet(39)
0.002193 2.53504117 cmlB1_1 cmlB 0.00328808 3.4417663 blaOXA-58_1 bla _{OXA-58} -like 0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1		0.00151264	4.1710954	blaBEL-1 1	bla _{BEL}
0.00328808 3.4417663 <i>blaOXA-58_1 bla_{OXA-58}_like</i> 0.00556017 2.5513906 <i>dfrB5_1 dfrB1</i>		0.002193	2.53504117	cmlB1_1	cmIB
0.00556017 2.5513906 dfrB5_1 dfrB1		0.00328808	3.4417663	blaOXA-58_1	bla _{OXA-58} -like
=		0.00556017	2.5513906	dfrB5_1	dfrB1

(Continued on next page)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Comparison	wi.eBH	Diff.btw	Gene	Gene family
	0.00999705	2.36292741	blaCMY-15_1	bla _{CMY-150}
	0.01017209	2.18229534	blaCMY-95_1	bla _{CMY-150}
	0.0104837	3.39104568	blaBEL-3_1	bla _{BEL}
	0.01139229	2.93238914	blaOXA-397_1	bla _{OXA-58} -like
	0.01498384	1.9958258	blaCMY-94_1	bla _{CMY-150}

"The diff.btw values represent the median difference in the alr-transformed count data between the compared HWW. The *P* values of the Wilcoxon rank sum test, where the *P* values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg algorithm, are indicated in the table as "wi.eBH." The table was sorted by the wi.eBH value before subdividing the entries into the top 10 differentially abundant ARGs by country: Benin, Burkina Faso, or Finland. The ARGs were clustered into gene families (Gene family column) based on 90% shared sequence identity using CD-HIT (80). In the case of *bla*_{OXA} genes, the gene family naming followed the scheme by Naas and colleagues (46).

Carbapenemase genes. The presence of seven acquired carbapenemase genes $(bla_{GES}, bla_{IMP}, bla_{KPC}, bla_{NDM}, bla_{OXA-48}, bla_{OXA-58}, and bla_{VIM})$ was analyzed in detail. These ARGs were selected as their putative resistance phenotype is often associated with complex infections with minimal treatment options (16, 19, 39), especially in LMICs (2, 25). The highest relative abundance of these carbapenemase genes was observed in Finnish hospital J (Fig. 4). On the other hand, hospital M in Finland was nearly free from these carbapenemase genes (Fig. 4). In HWW from Benin, the carbapenemase genes in the

TABLE 3 Differentially abundant bla_{OXA} variants in HWW from Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland^a

Comparison for ALDEx2	Cluster name in BLDB	Acquired/intrinsic ^b	Host species if intrinsic	Carbapenemase activity
Benin vs Finland				
Benin	<i>bla</i> _{oxa-s} -like	A		
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-10} -like	A		
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-2} -like	A		
	bla _{OXA-347}	A and I	Bacteroides spp.	
	bla _{OXA-229}	I	Acinetobacter bereziniae	
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-46} -like	A		
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-1} -like	A		
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-209} -like	A		
Finland	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-296} -like	I	Acinetobacter bohemicus	
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-58} -like	I	Acinetobacter baumannii	Yes
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-211} -like	I	Acinetobacter johnsonii	Yes
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-299} -like	I	Acinetobacter bouvetii	
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-427} -like	А		Yes
Burkina Faso vs Finland				
Burkina Faso	<i>bla</i> _{oxa-10} -like	A		
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-46} -like	A		
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-2} -like	A		
Finland	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-296} -like	I	Acinetobacter bohemicus	
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-211} -like	I	Acinetobacter johnsonii	Yes
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-299} -like	I	Acinetobacter bouvetii	
Benin vs Burkina Faso				
Benin	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-5} -like	A		
	bla _{OXA-10} -like	A		
	bla _{OXA-347}	A and I	Bacteroides spp.	
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-2} -like	A		
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-209} -like	A		
	bla _{oxA-46} -like	A		
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-1} -like	A		
Burkina Faso	bla _{OXA-10} -like	А		
	bla _{oxa se} -like	1	Acinetobacter baumannii	Yes

^aThe ARGs significantly differentially abundant in each country are denoted by country. The data concerning the origin of the *bla_{OXA}* gene, whether it is intrinsic or acquired, was retrieved from Beta Lactamase Database (BLDB) (46). However, we used the expression "intrinsic" instead of "natural" due to the misleading connotation of the word "natural" in the context of antibiotic resistance. ^bA, acquired; I, intrinsic.



FIG 4 Relative abundances of carbapenemase genes in relation to 16S rRNA gene counts in HWW from Benin (left, green background), Burkina Faso (center, red background), and Finland (upper right, blue background), as well as various other water sources in Benin (center right, green background) and Burkina Faso (bottom right, red background). Note the differences in the *y* axis scales between the figures for HWW and non-HWW samples. Only variants known to encode carbapenemases were screened (46) (Table S3A).

 bla_{GES} gene family seemed to dominate over other carbapenemase genes in all four hospitals (Fig. 4). bla_{GES} genes were also detected in the water puddle surrounding the surgery room septic tank at a Beninese hospital yard (hospital C) (Fig. 4; Fig. S2E in the Supplemental Data Repository) as well as in the water intended for hand washing in the same hospital (Fig. 4; Fig. S2F in the Supplemental Data Repository). Also, the street gutter, located ~100 m away from another Beninese hospital, was contaminated by bla_{GES} carbapenemase genes (Fig. 4).

In contrast to the homogeneity of carbapenemase genes in HWW from Benin, most of the other carbapenemase genes were present in HWW from Burkina Faso and Finland at various prevalence levels (Fig. 4). bla_{IMP} , bla_{NDW} and bla_{VIM} were mainly detected in Burkinabe and Finnish HWW samples, and the latter was significantly differentially abundant in HWW from Burkina Faso (Fig. 4; Table S2B). bla_{OXA-48} was detected in two HWW samples from Burkina Faso and not at all or in very low relative abundances in samples from elsewhere (Fig. 4). Instead, bla_{OXA-58} -like genes were present in the majority of Burkinabe and Finnish HWW samples but only in a few Beninese samples (Fig. 4). The detection of bla_{KPC} genes was restricted to one Finnish HWW sample (Fig. 4). The samples collected from natural waters and other drinking waters showed only low relative abundances of these carbapenemase

HWW Resistomes in Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland

mSphere

HWW Resistomes in Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland



FIG 5 Relative abundances of *mcr* genes in relation to 16S rRNA gene counts in HWW from Benin (left, green background), Burkina Faso (center, red background), and Finland (upper right, blue background), as well as various other water sources in Benin (center right, green background) and Burkina Faso (bottom right, red background). Variants were clustered based on 90% similarity in their sequence identity using CD-HIT (80) (Table S3B).

genes (Fig. 4). However, some bla_{OXA-58} -like were detected in tap water used for drinking in Benin (Fig. 4).

In Burkina Faso, bla_{GES} carbapenemase genes were detected in HWW, which had gone through the biological treatment in similar relative abundances as in some of the samples of untreated HWW from the same hospital (hospital H) (Fig. 4 [note the differences in the plot scales]). Also, bla_{VIM} was found both in the untreated and treated water of that hospital (Fig. 4). Lower relative abundances of the studied carbapenemase genes were observed in wetlands and rivers receiving treated HWW in Burkina Faso (Fig. 4). However, the spectrum of these carbapenemase genes, namely, bla_{OXA-58} -like, bla_{VIM} and bla_{GES} , reflected the ones detected in the HWW in Burkina Faso (Fig. 4).

Mobile colistin resistance (mcr) genes. mcr genes were detected in several HWW samples in Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland (Fig. 5). mcr-5 (variants mcr-5.1 and mcr-5.2) (Table S3B) was the most common of the mcr genes as they were found in HWW from all except two hospitals in Burkina Faso (hospitals E and G) and two hospitals in Finland (hospitals K and L) among the three countries (Fig. 5). In the few samples selected for metagenomic assembly, this gene was found to be located within a Tn3-like element (Fig. S7 in the Supplemental Data Repository).

In addition to the HWW samples, high relative abundances of *mcr-5* genes were also detected in the immediate and more distant surroundings of the hospitals in Benin and

Burkina Faso. A very high relative abundance (2.80×10^{-2}) of *mcr-5* was observed in the biologically treated HWW from hospital H (Fig. 5). In the wetland receiving treated HWW from the same hospital, the relative abundance was lower (4.30×10^{-4}) but still high, considering that the sample represented a larger body of natural water. In Benin, *mcr-5* was detected in river water in a distant village and a street gutter near a hospital (hospital B) (Fig. 5). Furthermore, for hospital C, the relative abundance of *mcr-5* was greater in a puddle near the septic tanks in the hospital yard (1.99×10^{-3}) than the average in the actual HWW of that hospital (5.24×10^{-4}) (Fig. 5).

The next most prevalent *mcr* genes were *mcr-3.1*, *mcr-10*, and *mcr-7*, and similarly to *mcr-5*, they were also present in non-HWW water samples, such as the water intended for hand washing in the Beninese hospital C (Fig. 5). The gene *mcr-2* was not detected at all, and the lowest average relative abundance was detected for the gene *mcr-1* (Fig. 5).

Taxonomical compositions. The taxonomical compositions of bacteria present in septic tanks and sumps in Benin and Burkina Faso differed from those found in hospital sewers in Finland. Genera belonging to the *Bacteroidales* family showed significantly different abundances in HWW from different countries and were characteristic of the HWW from Benin and Burkina Faso (Fig. S8 and S9 in the Supplemental Data Repository). Other significantly differentially abundant taxa in HWW from Benin compared to Finland included *Chloracidobacterium*, *Geobacter, Aminomonas, Flexilinea, Desulfovibrio,* and genera of *Synergistetes* (Table S4A; Fig. S8A in the Supplemental Data Repository). The first two mentioned were characteristic also of the HWW from Burkina Faso (Table S4B; Fig. S8B at Supplemental Data Repository). In addition, in many HWW samples from Burkina Faso, the relative abundances of *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* were high (Fig. S9 in the Supplemental Data Repository), and the difference in their abundance in HWW from Burkina Faso versus Benin was significant (Table S4C; Fig. S8C in the Supplemental Data Repository).

Coprococcus, Enterococcus, Lactococcus, Streptococcus, Trichococcus, Tessaracoccus, Delftia, Raultella, and genera of the *Proteobacteria* family, were all significantly differentially abundant in HWW from Finland in comparison to Benin and Burkina Faso (Table S3A and B; Fig. S8A and S8B in the Supplemental Data Repository). Also, the genera that were significantly differentially abundant for HWW in Finland and not Benin were more commonly from the phylum *Pseudomonadota* (synonym *Proteobacteria*), which are typically considered the major contributors to the spread of ARGs carried by plasmids, integrons, or other MGEs (8, 40) (Fig. S8 in the Supplemental Data Repository).

A great variation was seen in the top 11 taxa in the non-HWW samples (Fig. S9 in the Supplemental Data Repository). The biologically treated HWW from Burkina Faso showed high relative abundances of *Aeromonas* and *Pseudomonas*. In contrast, high relative abundances of *Bacteroides* and *Klebsiella* were detected in the street gutter water located near hospital B (Fig. S9 in the Supplemental Data Repository). In some samples, the top 11 taxa were present only in low relative abundances. In contrast, the single genus *Polynucleobacter* dominated over other genera in a few sampled natural waters receiving treated wastewater in both Benin and Burkina Faso (Fig. S9 in the Supplemental Data Repository). The relative abundance of *Acinetobacter* in tap water used for drinking in Benin reached a similar level to that in many HWW samples (Fig. S9) in the Supplemental Data Repository, which possibly explained the finding of *bla*_{OXA-58}-like genes in this sample mentioned earlier (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

We characterized the bacterial community composition, resistome, and mobilome of 60 HWW and 11 other water samples from Benin and Burkina Faso and compared them to 8 HWW samples from Finland. Due to the lack of systematic AMR surveillance in these West African countries, available data on bacterial resistance are patchy and heterogeneous (1, 2, 22, 26, 29). Thus, the magnitude of the resistance problem and the specific ARG reservoirs are yet to be unraveled. This is the first study investigating hospital wastewater from Benin and Burkina Faso using a shotgun metagenomic approach.

Interestingly, the ARGs observed in HWW from Benin were the highest in number but probably less clinically important (16, 19, 39) than those in the HWW from Burkina Faso and Finland. While carbapenemase genes bla_{GES} , bla_{IMP} , bla_{NDM} , bla_{OXA-48} -like, bla_{OXA-58} -like, and bla_{VIM} were detected at various abundances in at least one sample in HWW from Burkina Faso and Finland, bla_{GES} was the predominant carbapenemase in HWW from Benin. bla_{GES} genes were also present in the water puddle at the Beninese hospital's yard and in water intended for visitors' hand washing in the hospital. Thus, one route of transmission of these ARGs within hospitals might be via hands contaminated by hand washing water, which might explain the high prevalence of these genes in hospitals. Jacobs and colleagues have also drawn attention to the potentially inferior microbiological water quality in similar hand washing water tanks, which are very common in West Africa (2).

We speculate that the dominance of bla_{GFS} carbapenemase genes might have been caused by selection pressure due to the presence of antibiotic residues in the HWW. However, as carbapenem antibiotics are used less in West African countries than in North America and Southern and Central Europe, due to their high price (15), we suggest that compounds from other antibiotic classes could have driven the selection. In fact, bla_{GES} genes are typically carried by class 1 integrons, in which they may be coupled with multiple other ARGs (41). Thus, we speculate that class 1 integrons (12) and coselection phenomena (25, 42) have a role in the dominance of bla_{GFS} in HWW in Benin. That is, in the example of metagenome-assembled contigs in which quinolone ARGs (qnrVC) and blaGES genes seemed to be located near each other, putatively carried by the class 1 integron gene cassette, the selection pressure targeted to the quinolone ARG would enrich both genes even in the absence of the target substrate for bla_{GES} . However, there is a high sequence similarity among bla_{GES} variants, which include those conferring ESBL and carbapenem resistance. Therefore, we acknowledge the possibility that the putative lack of specificity related to the read mapping might have resulted in some misidentifications between the carbapenemase- and ESBLencoding variants of bla_{GES} genes. Nonetheless, ESBL-producing bacteria are very common in African countries (43) and cause major challenges for infection control (28) as carbapenem antibiotics are less available.

Although the lowest sum of the relative abundance of ARGs was detected in HWW from Finland, some of the seven carbapenemase genes showed higher relative abundances in Finland than in HWW of the surveyed West African hospitals. For the occurrence of bla_{OXA} genes, the abundances of specific species present in the different HWW collection systems might have played a role, as bla_{OXA} variants that encode carbapenemases and are typically intrinsically carried by certain Acinetobacter species (44, 45) were characteristic of Finnish HWW. Instead, those bla_{OXA} variants that are typically acquired and mainly encode more-narrow-spectrum β -lactamases were significantly differentially abundant in HWW from Benin and also Burkina Faso (46), although the occurrence of the seven carbapenemase genes was not homogenous among the Finnish samples. For example, bla_{KPC} was limited to a single HWW sample from Finland (hospital J) and no bla_{KPC} gene was found from Benin or Burkina Faso. This finding aligns with local and global reports and systematic reviews (47–50), indicating that $bla_{\rm KPC}$ carbapenemase genes are spreading more profusely in Europe and North America than in Africa. Moreover, the two hospitals J and K, both part of the University Central Hospital of Helsinki, the capital of Finland, showed the highest sum of relative abundance of the seven carbapenemase genes among all HWW samples in this study. These results are somewhat surprising as, to date, Finland is known as one of the countries with the lowest level of bacterial resistance in Europe and globally (51), and the carbapenemase-producing strains detected in Finnish hospitals are relatively rare and typically associated with international travel or hospitalization (52).

One factor likely explaining our findings of the distinctive features in the HWW resistomes in Benin and Burkina Faso compared to Finland was the differences in the wastewater collection systems between the studied countries. In Finland, the hospital toilet waters containing human fecal material are directed to HWW, while for the majority of the Burkinabe and especially Beninese HWW studied here, this was not the case. Additionally, in septic tanks (Benin and Burkina Faso), the water remains stagnant, possibly giving rise to anaerobes, unlike the Finnish HWW, which flows through the system. Significant prevalence of anaerobic genera, such as *Geobacter, Aminomonas, Flexilinea*, and *Desulfovibrio*, as well as genera of *Synergistetes* and *Bacteroidales* observed in HWW from Benin and Burkina Faso and not in Finland, is in line with this speculation except for the last-mentioned taxa, which are typical human gut commensals (53). These findings also align with the previous reports on bacterial genera typically found in soil and aquatic environments (54) and previously described to dominate HWW from Benin and Burkina Faso (55). Genera of the facultatively anaerobic bacteria, such as the lactic acid bacterium *Aeromonas*, and of the anaerobic bacterium *Bifidobacterium* (56), which are considered typical human gut microbes (53), were significantly differentially abundant in the Finnish HWW instead of HWW of Benin or Burkina Faso.

Compared to many high-income countries, such as those in Northern Europe, antibiotic usage in agricultural (4, 5) and clinical (2) settings differs (15) and is less controlled—or even unregulated—in many African countries. For example, while banned in many other countries, the use of colistin as a feed additive is allowed in many LMICs (57), including in Africa (5). *mcr-5* was the most commonly detected *mcr* gene in the HWW in our study, contrary to previous reports on the prevalence of *mcr* genes globally (21) and in Africa (22, 58). This difference may be due to the methodologies used to screen for colistin resistance. Other than the best-known *mcr* genes (*mcr-1*, *-2*, and *-3*), other *mcr* gene variants are rarely targeted when screening for *mcr* genes using conventional PCR (59). Therefore, our study shows that to obtain a more realistic view of *mcr* genes in Africa, screening should be conducted for a broader set of different *mcr* genes, as was recently done by Ngbede and colleagues (60).

The *mcr-5* gene detected was embedded in a Tn3-like element, similar to previous reports for *Salmonella enterica* (61, 62) and *Escherichia coli* (63) plasmids and the chromosome of *Cupriavidus gilardii* (61). These Tn3-like elements are flanked by inverted repeats, which enable translocation and putatively a broad host range for the *mcr-5*-harboring element (61–63). Based on our results, the occurrences of *mcr-5* and other *mcr* genes in Benin and Burkina Faso were not restricted to HWW septic tanks. These genes were also detected in water intended for the hand washing of visitors to the hospital. The high prevalence of *mcr-5* among various samples in this study raises questions about its origin and ecology: whether it is of clinical origin or intrinsically carried by some environmental bacteria. However, the highest relative abundances of *mcr-5* were observed in samples influenced by human activity, and all four rivers in the remote village in Benin were free from this gene.

In Burkina Faso, the relative abundance of mcr-5 in HWW, even after biological treatment, was high. Although we could not confirm the association between the mcr-5 genes detected in the treated and the hospital-associated wastewater in the Burkinabe hospital, our study suggests the inability of the currently used wastewater treatment processes in Burkina Faso to remove the mcr genes. Wastewater treatment systems have indeed previously been described as inadequate in Benin and Burkina Faso (64). The situation appears to be especially critical in Cotonou, Benin, where the hospitals involved in the study did not use any kind of wastewater treatment. Groundwater in the city is extracted from the shallow aquifer, which is polluted due to unauthorized waste deposits, inadequate toilets, pit latrines, and septic tanks prone to leakage and hydraulic failure (65). This enables the continuous circling of mcr genes and other ARGs as the inadequately treated water is released into natural waters and used for various purposes by local people. Furthermore, in Africa, untreated wastewater is commonly used for irrigation in urban agriculture, possibly enabling the dissemination of ARGs to fresh produce (66, 67). Our study shows that untreated or even treated hospital wastewater, which can leak into groundwater and the environment, may carry clinically hazardous antibiotic-resistant bacteria or resistance genes.

Based on our results from nine hospitals, we can state that clinically important ARGs are circulating in Beninese and Burkinabe hospitals and their surroundings. There were differences in the HWW collection systems in Benin and Burkina Faso compared to Finland. This, among other factors, seems to be reflected to some extent in the

taxonomical compositions and, therefore, resistomes found in these HWW. However, taxonomy explained the variance in the resistomes from the different countries only partially, at least at the genus level. Although there were fewer dissimilarities in the resistomes between HWW from Benin and Burkina Faso than in the comparisons with Finland, it is important to consider the differences among West African countries in AMR surveillance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample description. Hospital wastewater (HWW) samples were collected in Benin from four hospitals (hospitals A to D; n = 26) and in Burkina Faso from five different hospitals (hospitals E to I; n = 34) in November and December 2019. In Finland, HWW samples were collected from six different hospitals (hospitals J to O; n = 8) in January 2020. The various wards, clinics, and other units typically had their own septic tanks or sumps in the Beninese and Burkinabe hospitals. In Burkina Faso, the samples were mainly from septic tanks or sewers in the hospital area. In Benin, none of the hospitals was connected to a sewer system, and the samples were from septic tanks or sumps (unstructured wastewater wells), which were never emptied to our knowledge. In most cases, the toilet water was not directed into these sumps. For comparison, 11 non-HWW water sources were sampled. These included samples from the tap [BENN tap water S (drinking); n = 1] and river waters [BENN river P, Q, R (drinking); n = 3] used for drinking in a remote countryside village in the community of Savalou in central Benin as well as drinking water from a well located in a 100-m distance from hospital A in Benin [BENN well water A (drinking); n = 1]. Street gutter water near hospital B (in a 100-m distance) (BENN street gutter A; n = 1) and a water puddle at hospital C yard next to HWW septic tank (BENN puddle at yard C; n = 1) and a tank distributing water for hand washing in hospital C in Benin (BENN hand-washing C; n = 1) were also sampled. In Burkina Faso, samples from biologically treated HWW from hospital H (BF exit after biological treatment H; n = 1) and a wetland receiving this water (BF wetland receiving treated HWW H; n = 1) were collected. Additionally, one sample was collected from wastewater treated in a local wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and destined for a river in Burkina Faso (BF receiving river after WWTP; n = 1) (Table 1). Detailed sample descriptions are provided in the Data Set S1, Sheets 1 and 2, in the supplemental material. Illustrative pictures and a map indicating sample collection regions are shown in Fig. S1 and S2 in the Supplemental Data Repository, https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/9wxb37t49z/1.

DNA extraction and metagenomic sequencing. Water samples were collected into 1- L bottles and transported to a laboratory on ice. They were kept at $+4^{\circ}$ C until processed within 24 h. A volume of 50 to 100 mL was filtered through a 0.2- μ m-pore polycarbonate filter (Whatman; GE Healthcare Life Sciences) using a portable vacuum pump (Millivac-Mini vacuum pump XF54; Millipore, Merck). DNA was extracted from the filters using the Qiagen Dneasy PowerWater DNA kit following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration and quality of the extracted DNA were determined with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Altogether, 79 samples were subjected to shotgun metagenomic sequencing using Illumina Novaseq6000 with Nextera XT library preparation at the Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki.

Bioinformatic analyses. All quality control and read mapping analyses were run using an in-house Snakemake (v.5.3.0) (68) workflow. Briefly, the quality control steps included in the workflow were performed using FastQC (v.0.11.8) (69) and MultiQC (v.1.9) (70), with adapter and low-quality read removal using Cutadapt (v.2.7) (71) (parameters -O 10 -m 30 -q 20). Nucleotide sequence reads were mapped using Bowtie2 (v.2.4.1) (72) (parameters -D 20 -R 3 -N 1 -L 20 -I S,1,0.50) against the ResFinder database (v.3.2; downloaded on 28 June 2020) (73). The reads were sorted and filtered using SAMtools (v.1.9) (74), such that the reads mapping as pairs or alone were calculated as a single count. Mobile genetic elements were identified by mapping the reads with Bowtie2, similarly to the procedure described above, against the MobileGeneticElementDatabase (56) (https:// github.com/KatariinaParnanen/MobileGeneticElementDatabase; downloaded on 28 June 2020), consisting of 2,714 unique MGE sequences, including transposons, integrons of classes 1, 2, and 3, the integron-associated disinfectant resistance gene $qacE\Delta$, and standard insertion sequences (ISs) and ISs with insertion sequence common regions (ISCRs).

Taxonomic profiling was performed using both Metaphlan3 (v.3.0.1) (75) and Metaxa2 (v.2.2.1) (76). Metaphlan3 was run to achieve both relative abundances and "absolute abundances" defined by the program developers using the parameter -t rel_ab_w_read_stats. The outputs from the former were used for ordinations and the latter for diversity analyses with vegan package (v2.6.2) (77, see below). In both cases, the merged abundance tables were modified so that only taxa that were identified to species level were included in the downstream analyses. However, knowing the limitations of species-level taxon identification using shotgun metagenomics (78), the results were presented only at the genus level after taxon agglomeration by the function tax_glom from the phyloseq package (v.1.40.0) (79) prior to analyses.

The counts for bacterial 165 rRNA from Metaxa2 were used to normalize ARGs and MGE counts to obtain relative abundances. Gene lengths were taken into account in the normalization. Three HWW samples were collected in duplicate (Data Set S1, Sheet 2). These replicates were not included in the statistical analyses but were used to evaluate the selected methods for detecting resistance. The sums of the relative abundances of ARGs in the replicated sample pairs were highly similar to each other (Fig. S10 in the Supplemental Data Repository).

All resistance genes found in the ResFinder database (73) were clustered into gene families based on 90% similarity in their sequence identity using CD-HIT (v.4.8.1) (80). Data available in the Beta-Lactamase DataBase (BLDB) (46) were used to confirm the carbapenemase activity of the variants of bla_{oxA} gene

families as well as other carbapenemase genes studied here (Table S3A). For instance, only bla_{GES} variants known to encode carbapenemases were included in the visualization (Fig. 4), while those encoding ESBL phenotypes were not. Similarly, ARG clustering of 90% shared nucleotide identity was applied to group *mcr* variants (Table S3B).

To study the genetic environment of ARGs, a subset of samples was assembled into contigs with MEGAHIT (v.1.2.8) (81) with parameters –min-contig-len 1000 -m 3200000000. The anvi'o (v.7) (82) and Bandage (83) programs were applied to visualize the genetic environments (Fig. S5 and S7 in the Supplemental Data Repository). For the *mcr-5* gene, one to two *mcr-5*-positive samples from all studied countries were selected for the assembly and the analysis of the genetic background. The same samples were used to search putative integron-carried multidrug resistance gene cassettes. Those putative gene cassettes with multiple ARGs encoded by the same fragment are represented in Fig. S4 in the Supplemental Data Repository.

Statistical analyses. (i) General. All statistical analyses described below were performed for the 68 HWW samples (Table 1; Data Set 1, Sheet 1). The sum of the relative abundances for ARGs, MGEs, and *intl1* was obtained using 16S rRNA gene counts and gene lengths to normalize the count data. As the data did not fulfill the assumptions of normality, a Kruskal-Wallis test from the stats package (v.4.2.0) (84) was applied to study whether the differences between countries were significant. Pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg from the stats package (v.4.2.0) (84) were performed to determine which comparisons were significant for ARGs and *intl1*. Pearson correlations for the relative abundances of ARGs and MGEs were computed using package ggpubr (v 0.4.0.999) (85, see below).

(ii) Compositional analyses. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) data are compositional as they contain only relative information (37). By ignoring this compositional nature of NGS data, results conducted by traditional normalization methods may suffer from technical artifacts due to sequencing depth limitations (86). The ANOVA-Like Differential Expression tool for high-throughput sequencing data (ALDEx2) (v.1.28.0) (36) was applied to study the divergent features of the resistomes in HWW from each studied country. ALDEx2 handles the compositionality of the data by applying suitable data transformations. To study the differentially abundant ARGs in this study, additive log ratio (alr) transformation was used with the 16S rRNA gene as the denominator gene. First, the ARG count data were split, so that pairwise comparisons between countries were possible (e.g., Benin versus Finland). The command aldex.clr(df, conditions, denom = ref) excludes the features with zero count in all samples and performs the alr transformation with the selected reference gene. The significance of the comparisons was tested using the Wilcoxon rank test [command aldex.ttest(x, paired.test = FALSE, verbose = FALSE)], in which Benjamini-Hochberg corrections control false-positive identifications. Finally, the effect sizes and the within- and between-condition values were estimated with the command aldex.effect. ALDEx2 (36) was also applied to study the differentially abundant taxa between the HWWs from different countries. For that, centered log ratio transformation, which uses the geometric mean of the sample vector as the reference, was applied to Metaphlan3 count data (generated using the parameter -t rel_ab_w_read_stats), and the significance of the comparisons was tested similarly as described above.

For principal-component analysis (PCA) ordinations, clr-transformed ARG, MGE, and taxon counts (generated by Metaphlan3 with parameter -t rel_ab_w_read_stats) were visualized using microViz (v.0.9.1) (87) with the command count_data %>% tax_transform("clr") %>% ord_calc(method = "PCA") %>% ord_plot(color = "country"). For PCA, taxa were fixed to the genus level as described earlier. The significance of the distances between samples from country pairs was calculated using Aitchison distance on the untransformed count data by microViz (87) [command count_data %>% dist_calc("aitchison") %>% dist_permanova(variables = c("country"), n_perms = 9999, seed = 12345)].

All statistical analyses were performed in RStudio (v.4.2.0), and the results were visualized using ggplot2 (v.3.3.6) (88) and patchwork (v.1.1.1) (89). Vector maps were drawn using the packages rnaturalearth (v.0.1.0) (90), ggspatial (v.1.1.6) (91), and maps (v.3.4.0) (92).

Ethical permission. The ethical permissions for the project were received from Comité National d'Ethique pour la Recherche en Santé under the Health Ministry in Benin and Comité d'Ethique pour la Recherche en Santé under the Health Ministry in Burkina Faso.

Data availability. The data for this study have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession no. PRJEB47975. They will be public upon article publication. All custom codes used for the analyses are available from https://github.com/melinamarkkanen/AMRIWA upon article publication. Analysis scripts were provided for the reviewers prior to publication.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **TABLE S1**, XLSX file, 0.02 MB. **TABLE S2**, XLSX file, 0.1 MB. **TABLE S3**, XLSX file, 0.01 MB. **TABLE S4**, XLSX file, 0.02 MB. **DATA SET S1**, XLSX file, 0.1 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff in all of the participating hospitals for their collaboration in sampling. We also thank the laboratory personnel of the Research Unit in Applied Microbiology and Pharmacology of Natural Substances at the University of Abomey-Calavi in Benin and the Clinical Research Unit of Nanoro (CRUN) in Burkina Faso for

sample processing. Finally, we acknowledge CSC, IT Center for Science, Finland, for providing the computational resources for the study.

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland (grant no. 346125, 318643, and 316708) and the University of Helsinki HiLife Grand Challenges funding. Open access funded by Helsinki University Library.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. 2016. Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241509763.
- Jacobs J, Hardy L, Semret M, Lunguya O, Phe T, Affolabi D, Yansouni C, Vandenberg O. 2019. Diagnostic bacteriology in district hospitals in sub-Saharan Africa: at the forefront of the containment of antimicrobial resistance. Front Med (Lausanne) 6:205. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2019.00205.
- Belachew SA, Hall L, Selvey LA. 2021. Non-prescription dispensing of antibiotic agents among community drug retail outlets in sub-Saharan African countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 10:13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-020-00880-w.
- Butcher A, Cañada JA, Sariola S. 2021. How to make noncoherent problems more productive: towards an AMR management plan for low resource livestock sectors. Humanit Soc Sci Commun 8:287. https://doi.org/10.1057/ s41599-021-00965-w.
- Van TTH, Yidana Z, Smooker PM, Coloe PJ. 2020. Antibiotic use in food animals worldwide, with a focus on Africa: pluses and minuses. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 20:170–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.07.031.
- Martínez JL, Coque TM, Baquero F. 2015. What is a resistance gene? Ranking risk in resistomes. Nat Rev Microbiol 13:116–123. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nrmicro3399.
- Zhang AN, Gaston JM, Dai CL, Zhao S, Poyet M, Groussin M, Yin X, Li LG, van Loosdrecht MCM, Topp E, Gillings MR, Hanage WP, Tiedje JM, Moniz K, Alm EJ, Zhang T. 2021. An omics-based framework for assessing the health risk of antimicrobial resistance genes. Nat Commun 12:4765. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-021-25096-3.
- Nielsen TK, Browne PD, Hansen LH. 2022. Antibiotic resistance genes are differentially mobilized according to resistance mechanism. Gigascience 11:giac072. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giac072.
- Karkman A, Berglund F, Flach CF, Kristiansson E, Larsson DGJ. 2020. Predicting clinical resistance prevalence using sewage metagenomic data. Commun Biol 3:711. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01439-6.
- Gillings MR, Gaze WH, Pruden A, Smalla K, Tiedje JM, Zhu YG. 2015. Using the class 1 integron-integrase gene as a proxy for anthropogenic pollution. ISME J 9:1269–1279. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.226.
- Li Y, Yang X, Zhang J, Yang S, Zhang S, Chen M, Xue L, Ding Y, Zeng H, Gu Q, Zhang Y, Wei X, Wang J, Wu Q. 2021. Molecular characterisation of antimicrobial resistance determinants and class 1 integrons of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serotype Enteritidis strains from retail food in China. Food Control 128:108191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021 .108191.
- 12. Gillings MR. 2014. Integrons: past, present, and future. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 78:257–277. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00056-13.
- 13. Ventola CL. 2015. The antibiotic resistance crisis. Part 1: causes and threats. P T 40:277–283.
- World Health Organization. 2021. Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS) report 2021. https://www.who.int/publications/ i/item/9789240027336.
- World Health Organization. 2018. WHO report on surveillance of antibiotic consumption: 2016–2018 early implementation. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/277359/ 9789241514880-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.
- Suay-García B, Pérez-Gracia MT. 2019. Present and future of carbapenemresistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) infections. Antibiotics 8:122. https://doi .org/10.3390/antibiotics8030122.
- Poirel L, Marqué S, Héritier C, Segonds C, Chabanon G, Nordmann P. 2005. OXA-58, a novel class D β-lactamase involved in resistance to carbapenems in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49: 202–208. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.1.202-208.2005.
- Poirel L, Weldhagen GF, Naas T, de Champs C, Dove MG, Nordmann P. 2001. GES-2, a class A β-lactamase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with increased hydrolysis of imipenem. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:2598–2603. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.9.2598-2603.2001.

- Nordmann P, Poirel L. 2014. The difficult-to-control spread of carbapenemase producers among *Enterobacteriaceae* worldwide. Clin Microbiol Infect 20:821–830. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12719.
- Falagas ME, Kasiakou Sofia K. 2005. Colistin: the revival of polymyxins for the management of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections. Clin Infect Dis 40:1333–1341. https://doi.org/10.1086/429323.
- Ling Z, Yin W, Shen Z, Wang Y, Shen J, Walsh TR. 2020. Epidemiology of mobile colistin resistance genes mcr-1 to mcr-9. J Antimicrob Chemother 75:3087–3095. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa205.
- Anyanwu MU, Odilichukwu C, Okpala R, Chah KF, Shoyinka VS. 2021. Prevalence and traits of mobile colistin resistance gene harbouring isolates from different ecosystems in Africa. Biomed Res Int 2021:6630379. https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2021/6630379.
- Collignon P, Beggs JJ, Walsh TR, Gandra S, Laxminarayan R. 2018. Anthropological and socioeconomic factors contributing to global antimicrobial resistance: a univariate and multivariable analysis. Lancet Planet Health 2: e398–e405. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30186-4.
- van Boeckel TP, Pires J, Silvester R, Zhao C, Song J, Criscuolo NG, Gilbert M, Bonhoeffer S, Laxminarayan R. 2019. Global trends in antimicrobial resistance in animals in low-and middle-income countries. Science 365: eaaw1944. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw1944.
- Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, Zaidi AKM, Wertheim HFL, Sumpradit N, Vlieghe E, Hara GL, Gould IM, Goossens H, Greko C, So AD, Bigdeli M, Tomson G, Woodhouse W, Ombaka E, Peralta AQ, Qamar FN, Mir F, Kariuki S, Bhutta ZA, Coates A, Bergstrom R, Wright GD, Brown ED, Cars O. 2013. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. Lancet Infect Dis 13:1057–1098. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70318-9.
- Iskandar K, Molinier L, Hallit S, Sartelli M, Hardcastle TC, Haque M, Lugova H, Dhingra S, Sharma P, Islam S, Mohammed I, Naina Mohamed I, Hanna PA, Hajj SE, Jamaluddin NAH, Salameh P, Roques C. 2021. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in low- and middle-income countries: a scattered picture. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 10:63. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-021 -00931-w.
- 27. Ambler RP. 1980. The structure of beta-lactamases. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 289:321–331. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1980.0049.
- Bernabé KJ, Langendorf C, Ford N, Ronat JB, Murphy RA. 2017. Antimicrobial resistance in West Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Antimicrob Agents 50:629–639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.07.002.
- 29. Ouchar Mahamat O, Kempf M, Lounnas M, Tidjani A, Hide M, Benavides JA, Carrière C, Bañuls A-L, Jean-Pierre H, Ouedraogo A-S, Dumont Y, Godreuil S. 2021. Epidemiology and prevalence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-and carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in humans, animals and the environment in West and Central Africa. Int J Antimicrob Agents 57:106203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106203.
- 30. Hendriksen RS, Munk P, Njage P, van Bunnik B, McNally L, Lukjancenko O, Röder T, Nieuwenhuijse D, Pedersen SK, Kjeldgaard J, Kaas RS, Clausen PTLC, Vogt JK, Leekitcharoenphon P, van de Schans MGM, Zuidema T, de Roda Husman AM, Rasmussen S, Petersen B, Global Sewage Surveillance Project Consortium, Amid C, Cochrane G, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Schmitt H, Alvarez JRM, Aidara-Kane A, Pamp SJ, Lund O, Hald T, Woolhouse M, Koopmans MP, Vigre H, Petersen TN, Aarestrup FM. 2019. Global monitoring of antimicrobial resistance based on metagenomics analyses of urban sewage. Nat Commun 10:1124. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08853-3.
- 31. Pärnänen KMM, Narciso-da-Rocha C, Kneis D, Berendonk TU, Cacace D, Do TT, Elpers C, Fatta-Kassinos D, Henriques I, Jaeger T, Karkman A, Martinez JL, Michael SG, Michael-Kordatou I, O'Sullivan K, Rodriguez-Mozaz S, Schwartz T, Sheng H, Sørum H, Stedtfeld RD, Tiedje JM, Giustina SVD, Walsh F, Vaz-Moreira I, Virta M, Manaia CM. 2019. Antibiotic resistance in European wastewater treatment plants mirrors the pattern of clinical antibiotic resistance prevalence. Sci Adv 5:eaau9124. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.aau9124.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. 2020. Antimicrobial resistance in the EU/EEA (EARS-Net)—annual epidemiological report 2019.

https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/surveillance-antimicrobial -resistance-europe-2019.

- Buelow E, Rico A, Gaschet M, Lourenço J, Kennedy SP, Wiest L, Ploy M-C, Dagot C. 2020. Hospital discharges in urban sanitation systems: longterm monitoring of wastewater resistome and microbiota in relationship to their eco-exposome. Water Res X 7:100045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .wroa.2020.100045.
- Luk-In S, Pulsrikarn C, Bangtrakulnonth A, Chatsuwan T, Kulwichit W. 2017. Occurrence of a novel class 1 integron harboring *qnrVC4* in Salmonella Rissen. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 88:282–286. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.diagmicrobio.2017.03.016.
- Xia R, Guo X, Zhang Y, Xu H. 2010. *qnrVC*-like gene located in a novel complex class 1 integron harboring the ISCR1 element in an *Aeromonas punctata* strain from an aquatic environment in Shandong Province, China. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:3471–3474. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.01668-09.
- 36. Fernandes AD, Reid JNS, Macklaim JM, McMurrough TA, Edgell DR, Gloor GB. 2014. Unifying the analysis of high-throughput sequencing datasets: characterizing RNA-seq, 16S rRNA gene sequencing and selective growth experiments by compositional data analysis. Microbiome 2:15. https://doi .org/10.1186/2049-2618-2-15.
- Gloor GB, Macklaim JM, Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Egozcue JJ. 2017. Microbiome datasets are compositional: and this is not optional. Front Microbiol 8:2224. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02224.
- Ma L, Li AD, Le Yin X, Zhang T. 2017. The prevalence of integrons as the carrier of antibiotic resistance genes in natural and man-made environments. Environ Sci Technol 51:5721–5728. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est .6b05887.
- Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A, Kattula D, Burkert F. 2017. Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics. http://remed.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/ 03/lobal-priority-list-of-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria-2017.pdf. Accessed 3 February 2019.
- Rice EW, Wang P, Smith AL, Stadler LB. 2020. Determining hosts of antibiotic resistance genes: a review of methodological advances. Environ Sci Technol Lett 7:282–291. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00202.
- 41. Araújo S, Sousa M, Tacão M, Baraúna RA, Silva A, Ramos R, Alves A, Manaia CM, Henriques I. 2021. Carbapenem-resistant bacteria over a wastewater treatment process: carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in untreated wastewater and intrinsically-resistant bacteria in final effluent. Sci Total Environ 782:146892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146892.
- 42. Zieliński W, Korzeniewska E, Harnisz M, Drzymała J, Felis E, Bajkacz S. 2021. Wastewater treatment plants as a reservoir of integrase and antibiotic resistance genes—an epidemiological threat to workers and environment. Environ Int 156:106641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106641.
- Anago E, Ayi-Fanou L, Akpovi CD, Hounkpe WB, Agassounon-Djikpo Tchibozo M, Bankole HS, Sanni A. 2012. Antibiotic resistance and genotype of beta-lactamase producing Escherichia coli in nosocomial infections in Cotonou, Benin. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 14:5. https://doi .org/10.1186/s12941-014-0061-1.
- 44. Figueiredo S, Bonnin RA, Poirel L, Duranteau J, Nordmann P. 2012. Identification of the naturally occurring genes encoding carbapenem-hydrolysing oxacillinases from Acinetobacter haemolyticus, Acinetobacter johnsonii, and Acinetobacter calcoaceticus. Clin Microbiol Infect 18:907–913. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03708.x.
- 45. Périchon B, Goussard S, Walewski V, Krizova L, Cerqueira G, Murphy C, Feldgarden M, Wortman J, Clermont D, Nemec A, Courvalin P. 2014. Identification of 50 class D β-lactamases and 65 Acinetobacter-derived cephalosporinases in Acinetobacter spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58: 936–949. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01261-13.
- Naas T, Oueslati S, Bonnin RA, Dabos ML, Zavala A, Dortet L, Retailleau P, lorga BI. 2017. Beta-lactamase database (BLDB)—structure and function. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 32:917–919. https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366 .2017.1344235.
- 47. Olalekan A, Onwugamba F, Iwalokun B, Mellmann A, Becker K, Schaumburg F. 2020. High proportion of carbapenemase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* among extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producers in Nigerian hospitals. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 21:8–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.09.007.
- Manenzhe RI, Zar HJ, Nicol MP, Kaba M. 2015. The spread of carbapenemase-producing bacteria in Africa: a systematic review. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:23–40. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku356.

- van Duin D, Doi Y. 2017. The global epidemiology of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Virulence 8:460–469. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 21505594.2016.1222343.
- 50. Mushi MF, Mshana SE, Imirzalioglu C, Bwanga F. 2014. Carbapenemase genes among multidrug resistant Gram negative clinical isolates from a tertiary hospital in Mwanza, Tanzania. Biomed Res Int 2014:303104. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/303104.
- WHO Regional Office for Europe and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. 2021. Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance in Europe, 2020. Executive Summary. https://www.who.int/europe/publications/i/item/ 9789289056298.
- Räisänen K, Lyytikäinen O, Kauranen J, Tarkka E, Forsblom-Helander B, Grönroos JO, Vuento R, Arifulla D, Sarvikivi E, Toura S, Jalava J. 2020. Molecular epidemiology of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales in Finland, 2012–2018. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 39:1651–1656. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10096-020-03885-w.
- Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ. 2012. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 486:222–227. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature11053.
- 54. Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D, Amir A, Ladau J, Locey KJ, Prill RJ, Tripathi A, Gibbons SM, Ackermann G, Navas-Molina JA, Janssen S, Kopylova E, Vázquez-Baeza Y, González A, Morton JT, Mirarab S, Zech Xu Z, Jiang L, Haroon MF, Kanbar J, Zhu Q, Jin Song S, Kosciolek T, Bokulich NA, Lefler J, Brislawn CJ, Humphrey G, Owens SM, Hampton-Marcell J, Berg-Lyons D, McKenzie V, Fierer N, Fuhrman JA, Clauset A, Stevens RL, Shade A, Pollard KS, Goodwin KD, Jansson JK, Gilbert JA, Knight R, Earth Microbiome Project Consortium. 2017. A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551:457–463. https://doi .org/10.1038/nature24621.
- Oyem IM, Oyem HH, Atuanya El. 2020. Molecular characterisation of bacteria strains of septic tank sewage samples from related sites in Delta and Edo States of Nigeria using 16S rRNA denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE). Afr J Environ Sci Technol 14:132–138. https://doi.org/10 .5897/AJEST2020.2851.
- Pärnänen K, Karkman A, Hultman J, Lyra C, Bengtsson-Palme J, Larsson DGJ, Rautava S, Isolauri E, Salminen S, Kumar H, Satokari R, Virta M. 2018. Maternal gut and breast milk microbiota affect infant gut antibiotic resistome and mobile genetic elements. Nat Commun 9:389. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-018-06393-w.
- Olumuyiwa Olaitan A, Dandachi I, Alexandra Baron S, Daoud Z, Morand S, Rolain JM. 2021. Banning colistin in feed additives: a small step in the right direction. Lancet Infect Dis 21:29–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473 -3099(20)30915-4.
- Olowo-Okere A, Yacouba A. 2020. Molecular mechanisms of colistin resistance in Africa: a systematic review of literature. Germs 10:367–379. https://doi.org/10.18683/germs.2020.1229.
- 59. Kneis D, Berendonk TU, Heß S. 2019. High prevalence of colistin resistance genes in German municipal wastewater. Sci Total Environ 694:133454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.260.
- 60. Ngbede EO, Poudel A, Kalalah A, Yang Y, Adekanmbi F, Adikwu AA, Adamu AM, Mamfe LM, Daniel ST, Useh NM, Kwaga JKP, Adah MI, Kelly P, Butaye P, Wang C. 2020. Identification of mobile colistin resistance genes (*mcr-1.1, mcr-5* and *mcr-8.1*) in *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Alcaligenes faecalis* of human and animal origin, Nigeria. Int J Antimicrob Agents 56:106108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106108.
- Borowiak M, Fischer J, Hammerl JA, Hendriksen RS, Szabo I, Malorny B. 2017. Identification of a novel transposon-associated phosphoethanolamine transferase gene, *mcr-5*, conferring colistin resistance in d-tartrate fermenting *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Paratyphi B. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:3317–3324. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx327.
- Borowiak M, Hammerl JA, Deneke C, Fischer J, Szabo I, Malorny B. 2019. Characterization of *mcr-5*-harboring *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium isolates from animal and food origin in Germany. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63:e00063-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00063-19.
- 63. Guo S, Tay MYF, Thu AK, Seow KLG, Zhong Y, Ng LC, Schlundt J. 2019. Conjugative IncX1 plasmid harboring colistin resistance gene *mcr-5.1* in *Escherichia coli* isolated from chicken rice retailed in Singapore. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63:e01043-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01043-19.
- Peace Hounkpe S, Codjo Adjovi E, Crapper M, Awuah E. 2014. Wastewater management in Third World cities: case study of Cotonou. Benin J Environ Protect 5:387–399. https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2014.55042.
- 65. Totin HS, Ernest A, Odoulami L, Edorh PA, Boukari M, Boko M. 2013. Groundwater pollution and the safe water supply challenge in Cotonou

town, Benin (West Africa). IAHS-AISH Proc Rep 361:191–196. https://doi .org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2668.0168.

- Bougnom BP, Zongo C, McNally A, Ricci V, Etoa FX, Thiele-Bruhn S, Piddock LJV. 2019. Wastewater used for urban agriculture in West Africa as a reservoir for antibacterial resistance dissemination. Environ Res 168: 14–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.09.022.
- 67. Bougnom BP, Thiele-Bruhn S, Ricci V, Zongo C, Piddock LJV. 2020. Raw wastewater irrigation for urban agriculture in three African cities increases the abundance of transferable antibiotic resistance genes in soil, including those encoding extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs). Sci Total Environ 698: 134201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134201.
- Mölder F, Jablonski KP, Letcher B, Hall MB, Tomkins-Tinch CH, Sochat V, Forster J, Lee S, Twardziok SO, Kanitz A, Wilm A, Holtgrewe M, Rahmann S, Nahnsen S, Köster J. 2021. Sustainable data analysis with Snakemake. F1000Res 10:33. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.29032.1.
- Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
- Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. 2016. Data and text mining MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32:3047–3048. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btw354.
- Marcel M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J 17:10–12. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200.
- 72. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.
- 73. Bortolaia V, Kaas RS, Ruppe E, Roberts MC, Schwarz S, Cattoir V, Philippon A, Allesoe RL, Rebelo AR, Florensa AF, Fagelhauer L, Chakraborty T, Neumann B, Werner G, Bender JK, Stingl K, Nguyen M, Coppens J, Xavier BB, Malhotra-Kumar S, Westh H, Pinholt M, Anjum MF, Duggett NA, Kempf I, Nykäsenoja S, Olkkola S, Wieczorek K, Amaro A, Clemente L, Mossong J, Losch S, Ragimbeau C, Lund O, Aarestrup FM. 2020. ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes. J Antimicrob Chemother 75:3491–3500. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa345.
- 74. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- Beghini F, McIver LJ, Blanco-Míguez A, Dubois L, Asnicar F, Maharjan S, Mailyan A, Manghi P, Scholz M, Thomas AM, Valles-Colomer M, Weingart G, Zhang Y, Zolfo M, Huttenhower C, Franzosa EA, Segata N. 2021. Integrating taxonomic, functional, and strain-level profiling of diverse microbial communities with bioBakery 3. eLife 10:e65088. https://doi.org/10 .7554/eLife.65088.
- Bengtsson-Palme J, Hartmann M, Eriksson KM, Pal C, Thorell K, Larsson DGJ, Nilsson RH. 2015. metaxa2: improved identification and taxonomic classification of small and large subunit rRNA in metagenomic data. Mol Ecol Resour 15:1403–1414. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12399.

- 77. Oksanen J, Blanchet GF, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H. 2022. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5–7. https://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan.
- Thomas AM, Segata N. 2019. Multiple levels of the unknown in microbiome research. BMC Biol 17:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-019-0667-z.
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013. Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One 8: e61217. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217.
- Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. 2012. Sequence analysis CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics 28:3150–3152. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565.
- Li D, Liu CM, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam TW. 2015. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics 31:1674–1676. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btv033.
- 82. Eren AM, Kiefl E, Shaiber A, Veseli I, Miller SE, Schechter MS, Fink I, Pan JN, Yousef M, Fogarty EC, Trigodet F, Watson AR, Esen ÖC, Moore RM, Clayssen Q, Lee MD, Kivenson V, Graham ED, Merrill BD, Karkman A, Blankenberg D, Eppley JM, Sjödin A, Scott JJ, Vázquez-Campos X, McKay LJ, McDaniel EA, Stevens SLR, Anderson RE, Fuessel J, Fernandez-Guerra A, Maignien L, Delmont TO, Willis AD. 2021. Community-led, integrated, reproducible multi-omics with anvi'o. Nat Microbiol 6:3–6. https://doi .org/10.1038/s41564-020-00834-3.
- Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2015. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 31:3350–3352. https://doi .org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383.
- R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2022. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.R-project.org/. https://www.r-project.org/.
- Kassambara A. 2022. ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots. https:// cran.r-project.org/package=ggpubr.
- Quinn TP, Erb I, Gloor G, Notredame C, Richardson MF, Crowley TM. 2019. A field guide for the compositional analysis of any-omics data. Gigascience 8:giz107. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giz107.
- Barnett D, Arts I, Penders J. 2021. microViz: an R package for microbiome data visualization and statistics. J Open Source Softw 6:3201. https://doi .org/10.21105/joss.03201.
- Wickham H. 2022. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. https:// ggplot2.tidyverse.org.
- Pedersen TL. 2020. patchwork: the composer of plots. https://cran.r-project .org/package=patchwork.
- South A. 2017. rnaturalearth: world map data from Natural Earth. https:// cran.r-project.org/package=rnaturalearth.
- Dunnington D. 2022. ggspatial: spatial data framework for ggplot2. https:// paleolimbot.github.io/ggspatial/.
- Becker RA, Wilks AR, Brownrigg R, Minka TP, Deckmyn A. 2021. maps: draw geographical maps. https://cran.r-project.org/package=maps.