Scapular Spine Stress Fracture Following Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: Successful Management with Low-Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound

Esther Wright ^{1, 2}, Quen Tang ¹, Edward Ibrahim ¹

1. Trauma and Orthopaedics, West Middlesex University Hospital, London, GBR 2. Surgery, Imperial College London, London, GBR

Corresponding author: Esther Wright, esthervwright@gmail.com

Abstract

Scapular spine stress fractures are a rare but well-recognised complication following reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (RTSA). They present a challenge with no consensus on management. Both operative fixation and conservative measures are associated with high rates of mal- or non-union and decreased functional outcomes.

We present the case of a 60-year-old female, who presented with a scapular spine fracture one year following RTSA. Treatment consisted of initial immobilisation, physiotherapy and the application of a portable low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) system (EXOGENÒ Ultrasound Bone Healing System, Bioventus, Durham NC, Netherlands). Following a three-month treatment course, there was a significant improvement in patient-reported pain and functional scores (Oxford Shoulder Score from 5/48 to 38/48). Sequential radiographic imaging confirmed fracture union. Clinicians may consider the use of LIPUS therapy as a potential adjunctive treatment modality to promote the union of scapular spine stress fractures following RTSA.

Categories: Orthopedics, Rheumatology, Trauma Keywords: reverse shoulder arthroplasty, glenohumeral osteoarthritis, scapula, non-union

Introduction

In 1993, Grammont and Baulot pioneered the current concepts of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (RTSA) [1]. The design principles allowed the shoulder to elevate in the absence of a superior cuff and rapidly became a reliable option for patients with rotator cuff arthropathy. The indications for RTSA have since extended and include an irreparable rotator cuff in the elderly, primary osteoarthritis with deformed glenoid, revision of failed arthroplasty and complex proximal humerus fractures [2-3].

RTSAs are associated with a number of postoperative complications, including instability, infection, glenoid and humeral loosening, scapular notching, acromion and scapular spine stress fractures and peripheral nerve injuries [2,4]. Fundamental to the design principles, restoration of appropriate deltoid tension is key to optimising function [5]. Over-tensioning can inadvertently increase the stresses across the scapular spine, leading to insufficiency fractures. Further reported causes of fractures include the placement of a superior metaglene screw and patient factors contributing to low bone mineral density, highlighting the multifactorial nature of this rare complication [6]. Incidence following RTSA is reported between 0.8% and 7.2% [5]. Although infrequent, scapular spine stress fractures present a challenging complication associated with poor outcomes [5,7].

Both conservative and surgical fixation of scapular spine stress fractures have been described with unpredictable outcomes. Consequently, consensus on management is not well-defined [5,8-9]. Conservative measures include a period of initial immobilisation, analgesia and subsequent physiotherapy. The use of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS), however, is not, to our knowledge, been reported in the literature. A systematic review demonstrates that LIPUS successfully reduces time to radiographic union in other types of fracture [10]. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) has approved LIPUS (EXOGENÒ Ultrasound Bone Healing System, Bioventus, Durham NC, Netherlands) to treat non-union in long bone fractures, estimating savings of approximately £2,407 per patient as compared with operative management. The cost-effectiveness for scapular spine fractures has not yet been established [11]. We present a case of scapular spine stress fracture following RTSA, which was successfully managed non-operatively using LIPUS.

Case Presentation

A 60-year-old female, with a diagnosis of left-sided glenohumeral osteoarthritis, presented in February 2018

How to cite this article

Wright E, Tang Q, Ibrahim E (October 16, 2020) Scapular Spine Stress Fracture Following Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: Successful Management with Low-Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound. Cureus 12(10): e10978. DOI 10.7759/cureus.10978

Review began 10/05/2020 Review ended 10/12/2020 Published 10/16/2020

© Copyright 2020

Wright et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. with a two-year history of worsening pain, weakness and stiffness in the affected shoulder. These symptoms had a profound effect on her activities of daily living (ADLs), leading to a deterioration in mental health and a requirement for regular analgesia. Past medical history included a gastric bypass, a lower limb deep vein thrombosis, for which she had completed treatment, bilateral carpal tunnel decompression and bilateral osteoarthritis of the hands. She was a non-smoker, did not drink alcohol and mobilised with a stick indoors.

Initial physical examination revealed posterior joint line tenderness and both limited active anterior elevation (40°) and active external rotation (10°). There was no evidence of rotator cuff pathology or neurological deficit of the forearm or cervical spine. Her computed tomography (CT) revealed significant glenoid retroversion and posterior static subluxation of the humeral head.

Following the implantation of RTSA, her initial post-operative recovery was uncomplicated. Figures *1-2* demonstrate initial post-operative plain radiographs demonstrating satisfactory implant positioning. Five months later, she presented with acute-onset severe posterosuperior shoulder pain with no precipitating trauma. Despite an absence of acute pathology on plain radiographs or CT scan, her symptoms remained persistent.



FIGURE 1: Initial post-operative plain radiograph of the left shoulder (AP view)

AP: anteroposterior



FIGURE 2: Initial post-operative plain radiograph of the left shoulder (Y-view)

A repeat CT scan performed 12 months post-operatively demonstrated an undisplaced fracture of the middle third of the scapula spine, without extension to the implant (Figure 3 and Figure 4). There was no evidence of coracoid fracture, hardware failure, loosening or infection.



FIGURE 3: 3D re-format CT scan demonstrated a fracture of the medial third of the left scapula spine

CT: computed tomography



FIGURE 4: Axial section demonstrating a scapular spine fracture prior to EXOGENÒ therapy

EXOGENÒ Ultrasound Bone Healing System, Bioventus, Durham NC, Netherlands

LIPUS therapy

After initial advice to rest the left shoulder using a broad arm sling, the patient was provided with a portable LIPUS system (EXOGENÒ Ultrasound Bone Healing System), which was used every day for a three-month period. Daily application directly over the fracture site was conducted for a period of 20 minutes as per manufacturer guidelines [10]. The patient achieved 100% compliance over three months. Active physiotherapy exercises were gradually re-instituted as her symptoms subsided.

Outcome

The patient reported a rapid resolution of pain within a few weeks of initiating LIPUS therapy. Following the completion of treatment, her posterosuperior shoulder pain had completely resolved. Her Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS) also improved from 5/48 at the time of stress fracture diagnosis to 38/48 at the point of LIPUS therapy completion. This was paralleled by an improvement in her range of active anterior elevation and active external rotation from 30° and 0°, respectively, prior to commencing treatment, to 130° and 30° respectively at completion. Restoration of the patient's ability to perform unassisted ADLs was also achieved (dressing and performing overhead activities). Despite this complication, the patient reported overall satisfaction greater than her pre-operative status, which is in keeping with current literature [11]. Repeat imaging demonstrated bony union with substantial surrounding callous formation (Figure *5* and Figure *6*).



FIGURE 5: 3D re-format CT scan taken three months later demonstrates fracture resolution

CT: computed tomography



FIGURE 6: Axial section demonstrating a healed scapular spine fracture following EXOGENÒ therapy

EXOGENÒ Ultrasound Bone Healing System, Bioventus, Durham NC, Netherlands

Discussion

The annual incidence of RTSA is increasing within Europe and the United States, highlighting the importance of successfully recognising and managing potential complications [3]. Scapular spine stress fractures are particularly challenging due to universally poor outcomes and poorly defined treatment strategies [12]. Levy et al. classified post-RTSA scapular stress fractures into three types, of which Type III fractures include the scapular spine [13]. Risk factors for Type III fractures are broadly classified into patient or operative factors. Osteoporosis is considered the most significant patient risk factor, with limited evidence for other contributors, such as alcohol excess, smoking and autoimmune disease, all of which are associated with low bone mineral density [12]. Operative factors include the placement of a superior metaglene screw, which may act as a stress riser, and excessive deltoid tensioning, although the evidence for both is largely conflicting [8,14-15].

Type III fractures may present late and are often undetected on plain radiographs. The mean time to diagnosis is reported as 15.2 months from a retrospective multicentre analysis of 53 patients [14]. This is consistent with our case, in which the patient presented with acute pain four months post-operatively, with no definitive evidence of radiographic fracture for a further eight months. This may be explained by an initial stress reaction progressing to an undisplaced and finally displaced fracture [12]. Plain radiographs are unreliable in identifying undisplaced fractures and a high index of suspicion should be maintained if symptoms persist [5,8,13]. Otto et al. reported that 32.1% of patients with persistent pain and normal post-operative plain radiographs were later diagnosed with displaced stress fractures [14]. Early and repeated cross-sectional imaging of the entire scapula is, therefore, recommended in cases of suspected fracture [12].

Numerous approaches in the management of Type III fractures have yielded consistently poor results [5,8,14,16]. Of four patients in a small case series, who were managed with open reduction and internal fixation, one proceeded to revision surgery and another required metalwork removal. Union rates were not reported [8]. Conversely, another series of nine cases, all managed non-operatively, reported eight incidences of non-union at 12 months. Overall, however, reported functional outcomes were improved compared to pre-operative status [16]. A 50% non-union rate was also reported in four patients with scapular spine stress fractures treated with sling immobilisation [17]. This figure was reproduced by Teusink et al. [5]. Walch et al. reported two cases of fracture union following non-operative management at 24 months [7]. Despite the majority of studies favouring non-operative treatment, the outcomes are unpredictable and optimal management is not defined.

Conclusions

We report a case of a scapular spine stress fracture following RTSA, where a rapid and significant improvement in symptoms, function and fracture union was achieved through non-operative management. It is unclear whether LIPUS therapy has a direct positive effect on the bony union rates of scapular spine stress fractures following RTSA. Nonetheless, given the speed of resolution in this case, in comparison to the poor union rates reported in the literature despite invasive and prolonged treatment, we would suggest consideration of LIPUS therapy as a potential adjunctive non-invasive treatment modality. In order to establish the reliability and effectiveness of LIPUS in promoting union for this rare complication, further research within larger patient groups must be conducted.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- 1. Grammont P, Baulot E: Delta shoulder prosthesis for rotator cuff rupture. Orthopedics. 1993, 16:65-68.
- Alentorn-Geli E, Samitier G, Torrens C, Wright TW: Reverse shoulder arthroplasty. Part 2: systematic review of reoperations, revisions, problems, and complications. Int J Shoulder Surg. 2015, 9:60-67. 10.4103/0973-6042.154771
- Familiari F, Rojas J, Doral MN, Huri G, McFarland EG: Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. EFORT Open Rev. 2018, 3:58-69. 10.1302/2058-5241.3.170044
- Zumstein MA, Pinedo M, Old J, Boileau P: Problems, complications, reoperations, and revisions in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: a systematic review. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2011, 20:146-157. 10.1016/j.ise.2010.08.001
- Teusink MJ, Otto RJ, Cottrell BJ, Frankle MA: What is the effect of postoperative scapular fracture on outcomes of reverse shoulder arthroplasty?. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2014, 23:782-790. 10.1016/j.jse.2013.09.010
- Kennon JC, Lu C, McGee-Lawrence ME, Crosby LA: Scapula fracture incidence in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty using screws above or below metaglene central cage: clinical and biomechanical outcomes. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2017, 26:1023-1030. 10.1016/j.jse.2016.10.018
- Walch G, Mottier F, Wall B, Boileau P, Molé D, Favard L: Acromial insufficiency in reverse shoulder arthroplasties. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2009, 18:495-502. 10.1016/j.jse.2008.12.002
- Crosby LA, Hamilton A, Twiss T: Scapula fractures after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: classification and treatment. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2011, 469:2544. 10.1007/s11999-011-1881-3
- Groot D, Giesberts AME, van Mourik JBA: Spontaneous scapular spine fracture related to rotator cuff pathology: a report of two cases. Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr. 2012, 7:105-107.
- Rutten S, van den Bekerom MPJ, Sierevelt IN, Nolte PA: Enhancement of bone-healing by low-intensity pulsed ultrasound. A systematic review. JBJS Reviews. 2016, 4:10.2106/jbjs.Rvw.O.00027
- NICE. EXOGEN ultrasound bone healing system for long bone fractures with non-union or delayed healing . (2019). https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/mtg12.
- Mayne IP, Bell SN, Wright W, Coghlan JA: Acromial and scapular spine fractures after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. Shoulder Elbow. 2016, 8:90-100. 10.1177/1758573216628783
- Levy JC, Anderson C, Samson A: Classification of postoperative acromial fractures following reverse shoulder arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2013, 95:e104.
- Otto RJ, Virani NA, Levy JC, Nigro PT, Cuff DJ, Frankle MA: Scapular fractures after reverse shoulder arthroplasty: evaluation of risk factors and the reliability of a proposed classification. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2013, 22:1514-1521. 10.1016/j.jse.2013.02.007
- 15. Farshad M, Gerber C: Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty—from the most to the least common complication. Int Orthop. 2010, 34:1075-1082. 10.1007/s00264-010-1125-2
- 16. Hattrup SJ: The influence of postoperative acromial and scapular spine fractures on the results of reverse shoulder arthroplasty. Orthopedics. 2010, 33:302. 10.3928/01477447-20100329-04
- 17. Lópiz Y, Rodríguez-González A, García-Fernández C, Marco F: Scapula insufficiency fractures after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in rotator cuff arthropathy: what is their functional impact?. Rev Esp Cir Ortop Traumatol. 2015, 59:318-325. 10.1016/j.recote.2015.07.007