Journal of Medical Case Reports



Case report Open Access

Intracystic papillary carcinoma in a male as a rare presentation of breast cancer: a case report and literature review

Laszlo Romics Jr*1, M Emmet O'Brien2, Norma Relihan1, Fionnuala O'Connell3 and H Paul Redmond1

Address: ¹Department of Surgery, Cork University Hospital, University College Cork, Wilton Road, Cork, Ireland, ²Faculty of Medicine and Health, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland and ³Department of Pathology, Cork University Hospital, University College Cork, Wilton Road, Cork, Ireland

Email: Laszlo Romics* - Laszlo.Romics@umassmed.edu; M Emmet O'Brien - emmetobrien@hotmail.com; Norma Relihan - norma.relihan@hotmail.com; Fionnuala O'Connell - Fionnuala.OConnell@mailp.hse.ie; H Paul Redmond - redmondhp@shb.ie

Published: 13 January 2009

Journal of Medical Case Reports 2009, 3:13 doi:10.1186/1752-1947-3-13

Received: 7 May 2008 Accepted: 13 January 2009

This article is available from: http://www.jmedicalcasereports.com/content/3/1/13

© 2009 Romics et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Introduction: The term "intracystic papillary ductal carcinoma *in situ*" has recently changed and is now more appropriately referred to "intracystic papillary carcinoma". Intracystic papillary carcinoma in men is an extremely rare disease with only a few case presentations published in the literature so far.

Case presentation: We discuss a case of a 44-year-old Caucasian man with an intracystic papillary carcinoma treated with simple mastectomy, sentinel lymph-node biopsy and contralateral risk-reducing mastectomy. These were followed by adjuvant radiotherapy of the breast.

Conclusion: Triple assessment (i.e. clinical examination and radiological and histological assessment) with a high level of clinical suspicion is necessary to diagnose intracystic papillary carcinoma in men due to its rarity. Furthermore, genetic testing and risk-reducing mastectomy should also be considered in cases of a strong family history for male breast cancer.

Introduction

Breast carcinoma in men is rare; it represents 0.6% of all breast carcinomas and less than 1% of all malignancies in men. Male breast cancer has an incidence of one per 100,000 per annum. Overall survival rates for men with breast carcinoma, stratified by stage of disease, are lower than for women with breast carcinoma. However, these differences are most likely due to the higher age distribution of male patients and the lower life expectancy of men in the general population [1].

Intracystic papillary carcinoma (IPC) is a rare form of breast cancer, accounting for 0.5–1% of all breast cancers. It typically occurs in older women and has an excellent prognosis. The reported 10-year survival rate for IPC is 100%, the recurrence-free survival rate is 96% and 77% at 2 and 10 years, respectively [2].

Here, we report the case of intracystic papillary ductal carcinoma *in situ* (DCIS)/carcinoma of the breast in a 44-year-old male patient.

^{*} Corresponding author

Case presentation

A 44-year-old Caucasian man presented to the breast clinic with a 3-week history of a swelling in his left breast. He also had a significant family history for breast cancer including a maternal grandmother, two of his maternal aunts and a maternal first cousin diagnosed with breast cancer. On examination, a well-circumscribed, 2.5 cm swelling was palpable within an area of gynecomastia on the left chest wall. Sonographically, a cystic mass with internal echoes was present without posterior acoustic shadowing. Aspiration of the lesion revealed uniformly blood-stained fluid and a residual swelling persisted. Cytology analysis of the aspirate confirmed the presence of atypical cells. Mammography showed a circumscribed mass in the sub-areolar region of the left breast with partially obscured margins. An irregular outline was noted on cranio-caudal view, but no spiculation or suspicious internal micro-calcifications were found. A core biopsy of the lesion revealed atypical ductal hyperplasia, but no evidence of malignancy was seen. In view of the atypical cells and residual swelling the lesion was excised.

Histological analysis revealed a lesion 2.5 cm \times 1.8 cm \times 1.2 cm in size. The lesion comprised a papillary and solid proliferation of atypical cells within a large cystic space with a thick fibrous capsule (Figures 1, 2, 3) Haemorrhage was also noted within the cyst with changes consistent with the prior biopsy. The margins were clear; there was no evidence of stromal or fibrovascular invasion. The lesion displayed features of papillary DCIS and a diagnosis of intracystic papillary DCIS was made. Immunohisto-




Figure I
Hematoxylin-eosin stain in excised tumours. Low-power view illustrating a 2.5 × 1.8 × 1.2 cm lesion within a large cystic space surrounded by a thick fibrous capsule. Haemorrhage was also noted within the cyst with changes consistent with the prior biopsy.




Figure 2
Hematoxylin-eosin stain in excised tumours, At higher power, a papillary and solid proliferation of atypical cells of a uniform population were observed. Fibrovascular cores were well visualized.

chemistry showed oestrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) positivity.

Completion left mastectomy with sentinel lymph-node mapping was carried out. Contralateral risk-reducing mastectomy was also performed in view of the strong family history for breast cancer. No evidence of further disease was detected in the mammary tissue and the sentinel node was clear. Adjuvant radiotherapy (40 Gy in 25 fractions)




Figure 3
Hematoxylin-eosin stain in excised tumours, At higher power, a papillary and solid proliferation of atypical cells of a uniform population were observed. Fibrovascular cores were well visualized.

was advised due to tumour extension close to deep margin.

Due to his significant family history for breast cancer, genetic testing was offered. Breast-cancer gene 2 (BRCA2) mutation had been identified in a maternal aunt recently on the exon 24 insertion called c9481_9482insA. It was very likely that this patient was a carrier of this BRCA2 mutation, which poses a small risk for him to develop prostate cancer. He was therefore advised to undergo prostate-specific antigen (PSA) blood testing on a yearly basis.

Discussion

IPC is a rare malignancy of the breast; however, a relatively higher incidence range of 5–7.5% has been reported in men [3-5]. IPC in men is usually reported among those of an older age group (68 to 84 years) [4,6-9]; however, in our patient, IPC developed at a significantly younger age, which might be due to this patient likely carrying the BRCA2 mutation. The prognosis for this type of tumour is excellent [3,7]. In a study of 77 patients with IPC all patients were alive 10 years after their diagnosis and metastases occurred only in 4% of patients, but none of the patients with low-grade tumours were in this group [10].

The terminology applied to describe papillary breast lesions in the literature is relatively confusing. The traditional term "intracystic papillary carcinoma" generally refers to a localized lesion, *in situ* in a cystically dilated duct. Given the often marked stromal response surrounding these lesions, the distinction between *in situ* and invasive papillary carcinoma can be very difficult to make. Therefore, IPC had been divided it into three subgroups, which seems to correlate with the prognosis: IPC alone, IPC plus DCIS, and IPC with invasion [5]. In this manner, the term "papillary DCIS" would refer to a more diffuse process that involves multiple ducts as opposed to a localized lesion [5].

Recently, Hill et al., using myoepithelial cell staining, suggest a spectrum of progression from *in situ* disease to invasive disease, signifying that what appears to be DCIS on histology may potentially cause distant metastases [11]. The lack of an intact basal myoepithel cell layer can be identified by calponin, smooth-muscle myosin heavy chain (SMM-HC) cytoplasmic stains and by p63 nuclear stains. This "gold standard" method has a relatively high sensitivity and denotes the invasiveness of the tumour cells in malignant papillary breast lesions [11].

The diagnosis of IPC of the male breast should be made carefully. Triple assessment is essential and the goal is to achieve a preoperative diagnosis prior to surgery. The radiological diagnosis of IPC is relatively challenging. The typ-

ical sonographical appearance of IPC is a hypoechoic area with soft tissue echoes projecting from the wall of the cyst [6,7]. However, a relatively large amount of variation exists on ultrasounds from an intraductal (which might be associated with ductal dilatation) and a predominantly solid pattern with the intraductal or intracystic mass totally filling the duct [12]. Importantly, IPCs are highly vascular tumours demonstrating a characteristic flow pattern on colour-flow studies, which are sensitive to identifying even very small IPCs. A distinct vascular pedicle can be identified within the central core with branching vessels arborising within the mass [12].

The mammographic appearance of IPCs is less specific. Small IPCs are often mammographically negative, while larger lesions may resemble any other focal well-circumscribed dense mass on mammography [12]. Both can cause a minimal to moderate duct dilatation in a tapering band-like density pattern from the nipple towards the parenchyma. In addition, one report suggested the use of pneumocystography [8], and another MRI [9], in combination with mammography and ultrasound to diagnose IPC.

Fine-needle aspiration cytology and core biopsy are usually performed; however, the false negative results with cytology are relatively frequent [13]. Therefore, excisional biopsy should be carried out in all cystic lesions of the male breast which are suspicious on any of the above diagnostic modalities.

There are no clear guidelines about the management of IPC, which is due to various factors. On one hand, IPC is a rarity; on the other hand, the histopathological classification and detection of invasiveness in IPC is rather confusing [3,5]. In a recent review, Grabowski et al. [3] confirmed that surgery is the mainstay of treatment, which can be either conservation or mastectomy. Since the prognosis of IPC is excellent with low locoregional and distant recurrence rates, mastectomy is usually not necessary, unless it is technically unavoidable [3]. Axillary node metastasis can occur in up to 14% of the cases [3]; therefore, an axillary staging procedure or clearance is recommended by most authors [3,4,9]. Others argue that IPC should be generally regarded as an in situ disease; therefore axillary surgery is not recommended by these authors [6-8]. There has been no clear indication for adjuvant endocrine therapy, even among patients with oestrogen-receptor-positive tumours. The addition of hormonal treatment does not appear to have impacted the outcome [3]. On the contrary, Fayanju et al. recently reviewed the usual adjuvant treatment applied for IPC and found that patients with DCIS or microinvasive disease in association with IPC were more likely to receive radiotherapy and tamoxifen [14].

Between 4% and 40% of male breast cancers might result from autosomal dominant mutations, primarily BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations [15]. Due to the high risk to our patient, contralateral risk-reducing mastectomy was also carried out. This reduces the incidence of contralateral breast cancer by approximately 95% but will not have an impact on overall survival of the patient [15].

Conclusion

Triple assessment with a high level of clinical scepticismscepticism is necessary to diagnose IPC in a man, due to the rarity of the condition. The treatment of choice for this tumour is ample local excision. However, genetic testing and risk-reducing mastectomy should also be considered in cases of male breast cancer with a strong family history.

Abbreviations

BRCA1 or BRCA2: breast-cancer gene 1 or 2; DCIS: ductal carcinoma *in situ*; ER: oestrogen receptor; IPC: intracystic papillary carcinoma; PR: progesterone receptor; PSA: prostate-specific antigen; SMM-HC: smooth-muscle myosin heavy chain

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LR reviewed the case notes and wrote up the manuscript. ME, OB and NR did the literature review and contributed to the completion of the manuscript. FOC carried out the histopathological analysis and HPR created the final version of the manuscript.

Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal.

References

- Anderson WF, Devesa SS: In situ male breast carcinoma in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database of the National Cancer Institute. Cancer 2005, 104:1733-1741.
- Solorzano CC, Middleton LP, Hunt KK, Mirza N, Meric F, Kuerer HM, Ross MI, Ames FC, Feig BW, Pollock RE, Singletary SE, Babiera G: Treatment and outcome of patients with intracystic papillary carcinoma of the breast. Am J Surg 2002, 184:364-368.
- Grabowski J, Salzstein SL, Sadler GR, Blair S: Intracystic papillary carcinoma: a review of 917 cases. Cancer 2008, 113:916-920.
- Dragoumis DM, Tsiftsoglou AP: Intracystic papillary carcinoma associated with ductal carcinoma in situ in a male breast. J Postgrad Med 2008, 54:39-40.
- Collins LC, Carlo VP, Hwang H, Barry TS, Gown AM, Schnitt SJ: Intracystic papillary carcinomas of the breast: a reevaluation using a panel of myoepithelial cell markers. Am J Surg Pathol 2006, 30:1002-1007.
- Sinha S, Hughes RG, Ryley NG: Papillary carcinoma in a male breast cyst: a diagnostic challenge. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2006, 88:W3-5
- 7. Kinoshita T, Fukutomi T, Iwamoto E, Takasugi M, Akashi-Tanaka S, Hasegawa T: Intracystic papillary carcinoma of the breast in a

- male patient diagnosed by core needle biopsy: a case report. *Breast* 2005, 14:322-324.
- Andrés B, Aguilar J, Torroba A, Martínez-Gálvez M, Aguayo JL: Intracystic papillary carcinoma in the male breast. Breast J 2003, 9:249-250.
- Blaumeiser B, Tjalma WA, Verslegers I, De Schepper AM, Buytaert P: Invasive papillary carcinoma of the male breast. Eur Radiol 2002, 12:2207-2210.
- Lefkowitz M, Lefkowitz W, Wargotz ES: Intraductal (intracystic) papillary carcinoma of the breast and its variants: a clinicopathological study of 77 cases. Hum Pathol 1994, 25:802-809.
- pathological study of 77 cases. Hum Pathol 1994, 25:802-809.

 11. Hill CB, Yeh IT: Myoepithelial cell staining patterns of papillary breast lesions: from intraductal papillomas to invasive papillary carcinomas. Am J Clin Pathol 2005, 123:36-44.
- Ganesan S, Karthik G, Joshi M, Damodaran V: Ultrasound spectrum in intraductal papillary neoplasms of breast. Br J Radiol 2006, 79:843-849.
- Levine PH, Waisman J, Yang GC: Aspiration cytology of cystic carcinoma of the breast. Diagn Cytopathol 2003, 28:39-44.
- 14. Fayanju OM, Ritter J, Gillanders WE, Éberlein TJ, Dietz JR, Aft R, Margenthaler JA: Therapeutic management of intracystic papillary carcinoma of the breast: the roles of radiation and endocrine therapy. Am J Surg 2007, 194:497-500.
- Fentiman IS, Fourquet A, Hortobagyi GN: Male breast cancer. Review. Lancet 2006, 367:595-604.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

