



mSphere of Influence: the Wild Genetic Diversity of Our **Closest Yeast Companions**

Douda Bensasson^a

^aUniversity of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA

ABSTRACT Douda Bensasson uses the population genomics of model yeast species to understand how wild yeast colonize new environments, such as humans or their food. In this mSphere of Influence article, she reflects on how the discovery of "Surprisingly diverged populations of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in natural environments remote from human activity" (Q.-M. Wang, W.-Q. Liu, G. Liti, S.-A. Wang, and F.-Y. Bai, Mol Ecol 21:5404–5417, 2012, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012 .05732.x) showed that a field survey and population genetic analysis of old growth forests could "unveil the hidden part of the iceberg" of natural variation in S. cerevisiae that went unnoticed for over a hundred years of yeast research.

KEYWORDS wine yeast, Candida albicans, climate

ang et al. (1) searched the primeval forests of China for the yeast species that humans used to make food and drink for millennia and discovered "Surprisingly diverged populations of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in natural environments remote from human activity." This came at a time when we knew that S. cerevisiae lived wild on the bark of oak trees (2, 3), but there was a world shortage of environmental isolates. Wang et al. (1) showed that the genetic diversity of forest S. cerevisiae isolates on a single Chinese island smaller than Belgium exceeded the genetic diversity seen across all other continents or habitats. This enormous diversity implied that old-growth trees represent the ancestral habitat of S. cerevisiae and finally dispelled the concern that it is too domesticated to be useful as a model for ecology and evolution.

The tropics have always been poorly sampled for yeast (4, 5), and Wang et al. closed this gap by comparing S. cerevisiae isolation frequencies among 11 provinces in tropical, subtropical, and temperate regions (1). By coupling fieldwork with population genetics, they noticed that genetic diversity centered in hot climates, while known domesticated lineages clustered around Chinese cities in temperate regions and could be feral. They therefore proposed that S. cerevisiae is tropical and subtropical. Leaving no loose ends, they also generated mutants for crossing experiments and showed that the new lineages are S. cerevisiae and not new species. Seven years on, the known genetic diversity of S. cerevisiae in Far East Asia has grown and remains unsurpassed despite the discovery of large-scale diversity in Brazilian rainforests (6). The East Asian origin for S. cerevisiae that Wang et al. proposed is probably true (7).

If correct, Wang et al.'s proposal that S. cerevisiae is tropical and subtropical would explain why generations of yeast biologists living in temperate regions believed that S. cerevisiae was a domesticated species with no natural conspecifics. It also would explain why yeast labs in cool temperate climates found few wild S. cerevisiae isolates in their large field surveys (8-11). When Wang et al. published, I realized that my lab had sampled woodlands in the wrong climate. Most immediately, this paper inspired me to develop climate envelope models using field data on the closest relative of S. cerevisiae. The labs in temperate climates (including mine) had no trouble isolating the sister species, Saccharomyces paradoxus (8-11), which prefers cooler temperatures in the lab

Citation Bensasson D. 2019. mSphere of Influence: the wild genetic diversity of our closest yeast companions. mSphere 4:e00650-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00650-19.

Copyright © 2019 Bensasson. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license

Address correspondence to dbensasson@uga.edu.

The views expressed in this article do not necessarily reflect the views of the journal or of ASM.

Manget al.'s mutation of mean of the second survey of primeval forests in 2012 revealed the wild genetic diversity of wine yeast and showed its potential as a model for ecology, by @dbensasson

Published 25 September 2019





(12). We used our European *S. paradoxus* data and the known species difference in thermal preference to show that *S. cerevisiae* is indeed subtropical and tropical and to correctly predict the temperate locations where only feral *S. cerevisiae* strains occur in China (11). Unsurprisingly, the interdisciplinary work of Wang et al. influenced me in other ways. It inspired me to combine population genomic analyses with ecological data to show that old oak trees provide a natural habitat for *Candida albicans*, previously considered an obligate commensal (13). There was also a sociological lesson: despite over a hundred years of research into "man's best (micro) friend" (14), most of the natural diversity of the model *S. cerevisiae* remained unnoticed until Wang et al.'s exciting discovery.

REFERENCES

- Wang Q-M, Liu W-Q, Liti G, Wang S-A, Bai F-Y. 2012. Surprisingly diverged populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in natural environments remote from human activity. Mol Ecol 21:5404–5417. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05732.x.
- Fay JC, Benavides JA. 2005. Evidence for domesticated and wild populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. PLoS Genet 1:e5. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0010005.
- Aa E, Townsend JP, Adams RI, Nielsen KM, Taylor JW. 2006. Population structure and gene evolution in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res 6:702–715. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00059.x.
- Robert V, Stalpers J, Boekhout T, Tan S. 2006. Yeast biodiversity and culture collections, p 31–44. *In* Rosa CA, Péter G (ed), Biodiversity and ecophysiology of yeasts. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Kurtzman CP, Mateo RQ, Kolecka A, Theelen B, Robert V, Boekhout T. 2015. Advances in yeast systematics and phylogeny and their use as predictors of biotechnologically important metabolic pathways. FEMS Yeast Res 15:fov050. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/fov050.
- Barbosa R, Almeida P, Safar SVB, Santos RO, Morais PB, Nielly-Thibault L, Leducq J-B, Landry CR, Gonçalves P, Rosa CA, Sampaio JP. 2016. Evidence of natural hybridization in Brazilian wild lineages of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genome Biol Evol 8:317–329. https://doi.org/10.1093/ gbe/evv263.
- Peter J, De Chiara M, Friedrich A, Yue J-X, Pflieger D, Bergström A, Sigwalt A, Barre B, Freel K, Llored A, Cruaud C, Labadie K, Aury J-M, Istace B, Lebrigand K, Barbry P, Engelen S, Lemainque A, Wincker P, Liti G, Schacherer J. 2018. Genome evolution across 1,011 Saccharomyces

cerevisiae isolates. Nature 556:339-344. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586 -018-0030-5.

- Charron G, Leducq J-B, Bertin C, Dubé AK, Landry CR. 2014. Exploring the northern limit of the distribution of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces paradoxus* in North America. FEMS Yeast Res 14:281–288. https://doi.org/10.1111/1567-1364.12100.
- 9. Kowallik V, Miller E, Greig D. 2015. The interaction of *Saccharomyces paradoxus* with its natural competitors on oak bark. Mol Ecol 24: 1596–1610. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13120.
- Sylvester K, Wang Q-M, James B, Mendez R, Hulfachor AB, Hittinger CT. 2015. Temperature and host preferences drive the diversification of *Saccharomyces* and other yeasts: a survey and the discovery of eight new yeast species. FEMS Yeast Res 15:fov002. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/ fov002.
- 11. Robinson HA, Pinharanda A, Bensasson D. 2016. Summer temperature can predict the distribution of wild yeast populations. Ecol Evol 6:1236–1250. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1919.
- Sweeney JY, Kuehne HA, Sniegowski PD. 2004. Sympatric natural Saccharomyces cerevisiae and S. paradoxus populations have different thermal growth profiles. FEMS Yeast Res 4:521–525. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1567-1356(03)00171-5.
- Bensasson D, Dicks J, Ludwig JM, Bond CJ, Elliston A, Roberts IN, James SA. 2019. Diverse lineages of *Candida albicans* live on old oaks. Genetics 211:277–288. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301482.
- Eberlein C, Leducq J-B, Landry CR. 2015. The genomics of wild yeast populations sheds light on the domestication of man's best (micro) friend. Mol Ecol 24:5309–5311. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13380.