

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Biochem Physiol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 30.

Published in final edited form as:

Biochem Physiol. 2016; 5(1): . doi:10.4172/2168-9652.1000e145.

Cancer Therapeutics Following Newton's Third Law

Ali S. Arbab, Meenu Jain, and Bhagelu R Achyut*

Tumor Angiogenesis Lab, Cancer Center, Augusta University, Augusta 30907, GA, USA

Abstract

Cancer is a wound that never heals. This is suggested by the data produced after several years of cancer research and therapeutic interventions done worldwide. There is a strong similarity between Newton's third law and therapeutic behavior of tumor. According to Newton's third law "for every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction". In cancer therapeutics, tumor exerts strong pro-tumor response against applied treatment and imposes therapeutic resistance, one of the major problems seen in preclinical and clinical studies. There is an urgent need to understand the tumor biology of therapy resistant tumors following the therapy. Here, we have discussed the problem and provided possible path for future studies to treat cancer.

Editorial

There is no doubt that cancer is a smart entity, which is evident by several treatment failures in preclinical and clinical trials. In part, host genetic mutations are known to be responsible for the limited success [1]. However, in most of the cases, following therapy, tumors itself acquires resistant properties. In this case, tumor is initially sensitive to the applied treatment and evolves itself to counteract the anti-tumor effects of drug. On the other hand, de novo resistance is the part of primary refractoriness to a therapy that should have been effective based on the underlying biology or genetics. According to Newton's third law "for every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction". In cancer therapeutics, tumor exerts strong pro-tumor response against applied treatment. Most of the therapies with short and transient benefit (measured in weeks or months), have witnessed relapse of malignant tumor growth [2,3]. Resistant tumors are characterized by hyper-vascularity and hyper-invasiveness, for example; breast cancer and glioblastoma [4,5]. Tumor cells become quiescent by reprograming into mesenchymal phenotypes. In epithelial tumors, this phenomenon is well evident and known as epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) [6,7]. In addition, resistant tumor secretes several immunomodulatory signals in the form of secreted factors such as chemokines and growth factors [8]. By doing so, tumor modulates immune cells and governs to impose pro-tumor properties. Heterogeneous population of tumor associated macrophages (TAMs) and regulatory cells are the most abundant pro-tumor and immunesuppressive immune cells known, which contribute to tumor recurrence following therapy [9]. Recently, much attention has been given to the bone marrow derived cells (BMDCs),

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

^{*}Corresponding author: Bhagelu R. Achyut, Ph.D., Tumor Angiogenesis Lab, Cancer Center, Augusta University, Augusta 30907, GA, USA, Tel: 706-721-9344; bachyut@gru.edu.

Arbab et al.

which is the host component. Ample amount of data suggests that immune cells are derived from bone marrow compartment and resistant tumor recruits these immune cells on regular basis either at the time of tumor initiation, progression or metastasis at the distant organs [5]. Studies, including reports from our lab support that recruitment of bone marrow-derived myeloid cells, especially; myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are critical in therapeutic resistance [10-14]. We believe that MDSCs acquire vasculogenic properties to provide vasculature support to the transiently shrink tumor. Our previous study illustrates that depleting bone marrow-derived myeloid cells through CSF1R blockade, significantly decreased recruitment of BMDCs from bone marrow to the tumor site. In addition, CSF1R blockade decreased tumor associated MDSCs and reduced tumor growth. Thus, targeting TAMs is crucial in avoiding therapeutic resistance [13,14]. At this point, we need more indepth understanding of resistant tumors and their microenvironments through detailed mechanistic studies. We have to evolve our approaches to monitor such resistance at the earlier period of therapy and during the therapy.

In summary, most of the cancer therapies are limited by the development of drug resistance and to bypass this circumventing resistance is our priority in the era of personalized medicine. [15]. Our understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanism(s) of drug resistance has been increasing day-by-day. Discovering biomarkers of therapeutic resistance could be a good tool to find resistant patients, during the therapy. In addition, using new experimental approaches coupled with the systematic genomic and proteomic technologies would identify novel targets [12].

References

- Luo J, Solimini NL, Elledge SJ. Principles of cancer therapy: oncogene and non-oncogene addiction. Cell. 2009; 136:823–837. [PubMed: 19269363]
- Restifo NP, Smyth MJ, Snyder A. Acquired resistance to immunotherapy and future challenges. Nat Rev Cancer. 2016; 16:121–126. [PubMed: 26822578]
- Rahman R, Smith S, Rahman C, Grundy R. Antiangiogenic therapy and mechanisms of tumor resistance in malignant glioma. J Oncol. 2010; 2010:251231. [PubMed: 20414333]
- Robertson FM, Bondy M, Yang W, Yamauchi H, Wiggins S, et al. Inflammatory breast cancer: the disease, the biology, the treatment. CA Cancer J Clin. 2010; 60:351–375. [PubMed: 20959401]
- Bergers G, Hanahan D. Modes of resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008; 8:592–603. [PubMed: 18650835]
- Davis FM, Stewart TA, Thompson EW, Monteith GR. Targeting EMT in cancer: opportunities for pharmacological intervention. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2014; 35:479–488. [PubMed: 25042456]
- 7. Zhang P, Sun Y, Ma L. ZEB1: at the crossroads of epithelial-mesenchymal transition, metastasis and therapy resistance. Cell Cycle. 2015; 14:481–487. [PubMed: 25607528]
- Abraham RT. Chemokine to the rescue: interleukin-8 mediates resistance to PI3K-pathway-targeted therapy in breast cancer. Cancer Cell. 2012; 22:703–705. [PubMed: 23238010]
- Ruffell B, Coussens LM. Macrophages and therapeutic resistance in cancer. Cancer Cell. 2015; 27:462–472. [PubMed: 25858805]
- Achyut BR. Impact of Microenvironment in Therapy-Induced Neovascularization of Glioblastoma. Biochem Physiol. 2013; 2:e121.
- Achyut BR, Arbab AS. Myeloid Derived Suppressor Cells: Fuel the Fire. Biochem Physiol. 2014; 3:e123. [PubMed: 26925346]
- Achyut BR, Arbab AS. Myeloid Cell Signatures in Tumor Microenvironment Predicts Therapeutic Response in Cancer. Onco Targets Ther. 2016

Biochem Physiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 30.

Arbab et al.

- Achyut BR, Shankar A, Iskander AS, Ara R, Angara K, et al. Bone marrow derived myeloid cells orchestrate antiangiogenic resistance in glioblastoma through coordinated molecular networks. Cancer Lett. 2015; 369:416–426. [PubMed: 26404753]
- Achyut BR, Shankar A, Iskander AS, Ara R, Knight RA, et al. Chimeric Mouse Model to Track the Migration of Bone Marrow Derived Cells in Glioblastoma Following Anti-angiogenic Treatments. Cancer Biol Ther. 2016; 21:1–11.
- Garraway LA, Jänne PA. Circumventing cancer drug resistance in the era of personalized medicine. Cancer Discov. 2012; 2:214–226. [PubMed: 22585993]