

REVIEW

Toxicology of antimicrobial nanoparticles for prosthetic devices

Rosa Elvira Nuñez-Anita¹ Laura Susana Acosta-Torres² Jorge Vilar-Pineda² Juan Carlos Martínez-Espinosa³ lavier de la Fuente-Hernández² Víctor Manuel Castaño⁴

Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Tarimbaro Municipio de Morelia, Michoacán, México; ²Escuela Nacionalde Estudios Superiores, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Unidad León, Leòn Guanajuato, México; 3Unidad Profesional Interdisciplinaria de Ingenieria Campus Guanajuato, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Leòn Guanajuato, México; ⁴Departamento de Materiales Moleculares, Centro de Física Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Juriquilla, Querètaro, México

Correspondence: Víctor Manuel Castaño Centro de Física Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Juriguilla, Blvd Juriquilla 3000, Juriquilla, Querétaro, México

Tel +52 442 156 0915 Email meneses@unam.mx Abstract: Advances in nanotechnology are producing an accelerated proliferation of new nanomaterial composites that are likely to become an important source of engineered healthrelated products. Nanoparticles with antifungal effects are of great interest in the formulation of microbicidal materials. Fungi are found as innocuous commensals and colonize various habitats in and on humans, especially the skin and mucosa. As growth on surfaces is a natural part of the Candida spp. lifestyle, one can expect that Candida organisms colonize prosthetic devices, such as dentures. Macromolecular systems, due to their properties, allow efficient use of these materials in various fields, including the creation of reinforced nanoparticle polymers with antimicrobial activity. This review briefly summarizes the results of studies conducted during the past decade and especially in the last few years focused on the toxicity of different antimicrobial polymers and factors influencing their activities, as well as the main applications of antimicrobial polymers in dentistry. The present study addresses aspects that are often overlooked in nanotoxicology studies, such as careful time-dependent characterization of agglomeration and ion release.

Keywords: cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, genotoxicity, antifungal effect, denture bases, dentistry

Nanotechnology and health

Nanotechnology has become a major focus in scientific research efforts. Nanotechnology has been used in various fields of science and technology, including physics, electronics, medicine, and chemistry, among other areas of interest to the scientific community. Interest in nanotechnology is growing and continues to change the way we perceive and execute things, has a pronounced effect on therapeutics, and is shaping our ever-evolving society and influencing our daily lives. 1-4

Nanotechnology has contributed to the improvement in materials used in medicine, as it can provide better functionality, mainly due to the nanometric sizes involved (eg, silver nanoparticles [AgNPs] that exhibit different properties once they are applied to biological systems, compared with traditional systems of treatment). The nanoscale endows the materials with the ability to penetrate into different biological membranes, such as bacterial cell walls, thereby increasing bactericidal effects; there are numerous examples of such applications in gene and drug delivery.5-8

The nanoscale range (1–100 nm) used to describe nanoparticles should not be considered strict, due to the variations that may exist in the nanoparticle shape or the appearance of nanoscale properties in particles slightly above or below the nanoscale limits. This can include other important properties, such as shape, surface area-to-mass ratio, and composition.9

how to request permission may be found at: http://www.dovepress.com/permissions.php

Nanoparticles are being explored extensively because of their size-related chemical and physical properties. The size of nanoparticles is similar to that of most biological molecules and structures, making them interesting candidates for applications in both in vivo and in vitro biomedical research. The results of their integration into the field of medicine have led to their applications, mainly in targeted drug delivery, imaging, sensing, and artificial implants. Another interesting opportunity within the field of medicine is the use of nanoparticles as carriers for antimicrobials to target highly pathogenic and drug-resistant microorganisms. However, for the application of nanoparticles in biology, biocompatibility is a greatly desired characteristic. Biocompatibility is a material's ability to perform medically without producing undesired local or systemic effects.10

The flip side of the benefits of nanoparticle use in medicine is their potential toxicity. Nanoparticles react differently when administered in various environments. 11 The observation of dose-related responses is important, because these responses help to determine the appropriate amount of nanoparticles to administer, in terms of median toxicity and the limits for human exposure in order to prevent any side effects. 12

Nanoparticles may have different effects on human health relative to the bulk material from which they have been produced.¹³ Some nanoparticles are small enough to be able to access skin, lungs, and the brain. Currently, no sufficient information is available on the adverse effects of nanoparticles on human health.^{14–16}

Toxicity of nanoparticles

The in vitro testing methods used to evaluate nanoparticle toxicity have revealed the general and biological properties of the materials as they acquire a nanoscale structure and result in the formation of nanoparticles, thereby leading to tremendous applications in therapeutics.

Nanoparticles can cross membrane barriers through transcytosis, which facilitates the function of a drug that is applied to these nanoparticles, by means of hydrophilic surfactants like Tween® 80 for targeted actions. To Several studies have also shown that the interaction between cells and nanoparticles results in DNA damage, causing cancer and developmental toxicity that leads to further growth retardation, malformation, or death of embryos. The surface of the surf

Traditional in vitro assays of nanoparticles can lead to misrepresentation of cellular uptake data, and the results are not always dependable. The shape of nanoparticles is an important characteristic that must be considered when assessing toxicological effects. Some particles may exist in different shapes, eg, carbon nanotubes are considered potentially toxic due to their resemblance to asbestos and other carcinogenic fibers; they are also graphitic and therefore are expected to be biologically persistent in the body. 12,19,20 Several factors such as dose, exposure time, size, shape, surface chemistry, and cell type play important roles in mediating cellular responses when nanoparticles are administered to cells.21 One study evaluated the cell toxicity effect after nanoparticle administration, taking into account the size and dose administered, significant cell toxicity was only evident for 10 nm citrate-coated ($P \le 0.05$) and 10 nm polyvinylpyrrolidone-coated ($P \le 0.01$) AgNPs after 24 hours at their highest doses (50 µg/mL); however, no significant alterations of the mitochondrial activity of the BEAS-2B cells (human lung cells) were observed for any of the lower doses (5 and 10 µg/mL) of the AgNPs.²² Liu et al found that 5 nm AgNPs were more toxic than 20 and 50 nm AgNPs in four cell lines (A549, HepG2, MCF-7, SGC-7901), indicating a size-dependent effect on cell viability.²³

Oxidative stress

Previous studies on the toxicity of nanoparticles have related their effects to oxidative stress^{24,25} and shown that nanoparticles can provoke oxidative stress and inflammatory responses in the nervous system, as they travel along dendrites and axons.¹⁸

Specifically, in vitro studies of AgNP toxicity have shown an increase in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage, DNA damage, and cytotoxicity in BRL 3A rat liver cells, human liver cells, THP-1 monocytes, human alveolar epithelial cells (A549), human mesenchymal stem cells, human fibroblasts, and glioma cells. ^{16,26–32}

Recent reports have suggested that many nanomaterials, especially nanometal oxides, generate O_2^- , a ROS in biological systems. ^{15,33–36}

Cell viability (cytotoxicity)

Viability and proliferation assays are based on the ability of mitochondrial dehydrogenase enzymes, present in living cells, to reduce tetrazolium dye 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) to an insoluble, purple formazan product or 2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide (XTT) to a water-soluble, orange formazan product.^{37,38}

Toxicity testing of engineered nanomaterials, including nano-TiO,, has generated many publications in the last

several years. Conflicting cell viability and proliferation results have been reported from these studies when based on the MTT assay.^{39–42} Nano-TiO₂ increases the formation of ROS in different mammalian cells. 43,44 It is known that the redox potential of tetrazolium salts is highly dependent on their structure and substituents. 45,46 In this sense, MTT and XTT assays may underestimate a compound's cytotoxicity by overestimating cell viability. Thus, it is safe to assume that MTT, XTT, water-soluble tetrazolium salts, and other tetrazolium dyes currently used for toxicity testing may have different reaction rates with superoxide. Depending on the concentration of superoxide dismutase or other molecules that also react with superoxide in cells, these competitive reactions may well influence estimates and interpretations of viability and proliferation. Therefore, tetrazolium dye assays for determination of cell viability and cell proliferation may be affected under experimental conditions that influence the level of O₂ in a biological system.

Acosta-Torres et al summarized common in vitro biologic assays that are used to evaluate a nanocompound material's influence on proliferation, viability, genotoxicity, and oxidative stress.⁴⁷ Two or more assays should be used to analyze possible toxicological effects of nanocompounds.

Antimicrobial nanoparticles

In general, various salts of silver and their derivatives have been used as antimicrobial agents; these materials have also been studied as media for antibiotic delivery and use in synthesis of composites for use as disinfecting filters and coating materials.

Previously we described reports by some authors who described that, under certain conditions, nanoparticles were toxic in a diverse variety of cells. Recent studies have reported that both AgNPs and metal oxide alginate forms (eg, TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃) exhibit antifungal properties when they are joined into the polymer formulation, eg, polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), a versatile polymer used in dentistry and for which biocompatibility and non-genotoxicity have been demonstrated.^{48–50} Some other dental applications of TiO₂ nanoparticles have been reported in addition to a conventional glass ionomer (3% [weight/weight]) to improve mechanical and antibacterial properties. These new materials will be used for higher stress-bearing site restorations.⁵¹

Growing evidence indicates that *Candida albicans* and other *Candida* spp. are able to adhere to acrylic resin dentures (PMMA); this capability predisposes some denture wearers to health problems and can also require a prolonged treatment period for candidiasis.⁵²

Microbial adhesion on biomaterial surfaces depends on the surface structure and the composition of biomaterials, as well as on the physicochemical properties of the microbial cell surface; so that, AgNPs, have been proposed as antimicrobial agents in polymeric materials. Antibacterial, antiviral, and antifungal effects have been reported for AgNPs, which are broadly used as antimicrobial agents in polymeric nanocomposites.^{49,52}

Other nanoparticles used for *C. albicans* are the ZnO nanoparticles. A concentration-dependent effect of ZnO on the viability of *C. albicans* has been observed.¹⁵ The minimal fungicidal concentration of ZnO was found to be 0.1 mg/mL – 1 ZnO; this concentration caused an inhibition of over 95% in the growth of *C. albicans*.¹⁵

Factors related to development of Candida

Commonly used biomaterials exhibit significant differences in surface free energy, ie, the interaction between the forces of cohesion that determine whether or not wetting will occur. Data have shown that the higher the adherence tendency to various base resin materials, the lower the value for the free energy change in tests with both C. albicans and Candida tropicalis.53 Surface roughness directly influences initial microorganism adherence to surfaces, biofilm development, and Candida spp. colonization.⁵⁴ Surface roughness is calculated as the arithmetic average deviation of the surface valleys and peaks of a given surface. It directly influences initial microorganism adherence to surfaces, biofilm development, and Candida spp. colonization. 54 Materials with rougher surfaces usually exhibit higher yeast counts. This happens because surfaces can serve as a reservoir, with surface irregularities providing an increased chance of microorganism retention and protection from shear forces, even during denture cleaning. In addition, these irregularities sometimes allow time for the entrapped microbial cells to attach irreversibly to a surface.

The antibacterial activity of different metal nanoparticles, such as silver colloids, is closely related to their size; that is, the smaller the silver nuclei, the higher the antibacterial activity. Specific controls of shape, size, and size distribution are often achieved by varying the methods and reducing agents and stabilizers used during synthesis.⁵⁵

The interaction of nanoparticles with biomolecules and microorganisms is an expanding field of research. The interaction of nanoparticles with biomolecules and microorganisms is an expanding field of research focused on the bactericidal effects; due to the rapid increase in microbes that are resistant to conventionally used antibiotics.⁵⁶

Inorganic nanoparticles (ZnO, iron oxide, TiO₂) and metal nanoparticles (silver, gold, iron, copper, magnesium) have good antibacterial activities. The size of a metallic nanoparticle ensures that a significantly large surface area of the particle is in contact with the bacterial effluent. Synthesis and characterization of nanoscale materials in terms of novel physicochemical properties are of great interest in the formulation of bactericidal materials. Additionally, it appears that bacteria are far less likely to acquire resistance to metal nanoparticles compared to other conventional and narrow-spectrum antibiotics.

Nanoparticles in dental applications

There are major challenges to overcome in the area of preventive dentistry, such as tooth decay, treatment of injuries, and cavities in teeth. Nowadays, different research laboratories have developed solutions and pastes that are composed of nanometric materials for tissue regeneration in healing these dental lesions. ^{57–59}

Beyth et al described the incorporation of quaternary ammonium polyethyleneimine nanoparticles in a resin composite with antimicrobial effect against a wide range of bacteria with no apparent negative effect on biocompatibility. 60 Quaternary ammonium polyethyleneimine nanoparticles have a strong bactericidal activity against *Streptococcus mutans*, and a wide variety of microorganisms rapidly killing bacterial cells when incorporated at small concentrations into restorative composites. 60

AgNPs are well known for their use in wound dressings and catheters, as well as in various processes involving their antimicrobial potential; nevertheless, the size-dependent toxicity of AgNPs is an expanding field of research. The size of an AgNP is decided upon the basis of its biomedical application. The cytotoxic effects of AgNPs were observed when administered directly in several cell lines, including MC3T3-E1 and PC12 cells, as they induce cellular death when the AgNPs are 10 nm in size, and the toxicity is greater than that seen with AgNPs that are 50–100 nm. 61,62 Additionally, several studies have indicated primary size- and agglomeration-dependent toxic effects of engineered nanoparticles. A comparison of large and small particles/agglomerates revealed greater toxicity for smaller particles/agglomerates that were at least partly due to differences in cellular uptake. 63–66

With the myriad of postulated physicochemical characteristics of engineered nanoparticles that may impact toxicity, it is paramount for risk assessments to determine the concentration range at which well-characterized nanoparticles of varying composition, size, and surface coatings

can cause early cellular perturbations, such as an oxidative stress response. Particularly for AgNPs, for which it has been widely shown that silver ions (Ag+) can induce toxicity, a comparison between the insoluble nanoparticle form and soluble ionic form of silver should focus on characterizing the biological effects of exposure and the impacts of differences in solubility, cellular uptake, particle size, and particle coating.

One subject of debate with respect to AgNPs is the mechanism of their toxicity, specifically, whether ionic silver is the only cause of the toxicity of AgNPs or whether nanoparticles have toxicities distinct from those of ionic silver.^{67–72}

Sivolella et al reviewed potential clinical applications in alveolar bone and dental implant surgery of AgNP-based devices. ⁷³ The authors suggested that AgNPs may be an alternative strategy for reducing bacterial adhesion and preventing biofilm formation, despite AgNPs having exhibited some toxic effects in in vitro and in vivo studies. They also proposed that clinical trials should be undertaken to determine suitability for AgNP applications.

Table 1 summarizes the different metal and metal oxide nanoparticle polymer formulations now in development and their demonstrated antimicrobial effects.

Future trends for antimicrobial denture products

Base materials have been developed in order to reduce and redistribute occlusal forces from dentures that might damage the underlying mucosal tissues; in this sense, the use of denture liners, either hard or soft, has increased. Liners are needed in many clinical situations in which patients have thin, sharp, or badly resorbed residual alveolar ridges or chronic tissue irritation from dentures; even though, these liner materials exhibit excellent tissue tolerance, one associated problem is colonization by *Candida* spp. on and within the material.

Fungal growth on the surface of a liner, may lead to irritation of the oral tissues; these negative effects form the rationale for attempts to incorporate antifungal agents in these materials.⁸⁶ The use of antimicrobial nanoparticles in dentistry is promising, but effective monitoring strategies still remain to be established concerning the toxicity of such materials.⁸⁷

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the following DGPA-UNAM supporting programs: PAPIME-PE202214 and PAPIIT-TA200414. Victor M Castaño is on sabbatical leave at CIATEQ-Queretaro.

 Table 1 Different metal and metal oxide nanoparticles added to polymer formulations increase their antimicrobial effect

	מסוב ביותר ביותר שוני וויפים מווח וויפים כאותר וימויסאם מרובי מחתכת כל לכיל וויפי ויס	to polytical to marginal merease area antilline opial effect		
Nanoparticle material	Antimicrobial characteristics	Applications	Toxicity	References
Titanium dioxide	Nanosized structured TiO ₂ have exhibited	Titanium nanoparticles have been applied in the	The composite material combines the high	49,74–78
	antimicrobial properties, due to TiO_2 -induced	pharmaceutical industry as drug delivery vehicles	adsorption capability of apatite with the	
	photocatalytic production of cytotoxic oxygen	and in excipient formulations.	photocatalytic activity of titanium. Apatite	
	radicals.		coatings may thus become useful in the	
	Previous reports have described the use of TiO ₂ for		attenuation of the toxicological effects of	
	water treatment and air purification.		inorganic metal oxide nanoparticles.	
	Recent reports have mentioned usage of TiO ₂			
	nanoparticles to decrease Candida albicans adherence			
	in an experimental PMMA for dentures.			
Iron oxide	Ferrite nanoparticles may be a source of cellular	Used in cellular therapy, such as cell labeling and	No toxicity reported.	49,79,80
	toxicity.	targeting, and as a tool for cell biology research		
	The hematite crystalline phase of Fe,O,	to separate and purify cell populations.		
	nanoparticles decreased C. albicans adherence in an	Also used in:		
	experimental PMMA for dentures.	ticens consir		
	Transition metal-substituted cobalt ferrite	disagn chair,		
	managed increasing the formed a cubic coincide the coincide with	drug delivery;		
	וומווסף מו נוכופי זטן ווופת מ במסוב אסווופן אנו מבנמו פי אונון			
	a crystallite size in the range of 40–50 nm, that	 magnetic resonance imaging; 		
	significantly improved antibacterial activity against	 hyperthermia; 		
	Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus.	 magnetofection. 		
Silver	PMMA/AgNPs have been proposed for dentistry	Used for covering urinary catheters, surgical	Exposure of metal-containing	21.25.50.
	, , , or	instruments and hone assesses	line paintings to himse line and help	81_83
	aptifingal properties	Additionally silver has been used in water and	cells generates ROS which can lead to	3
	Silver is known for its antimicrobial properties and	air filtration to eliminate micropranisms.	oxidative stress and cellular damage	
	has been used for years in the medical field for	AgNPs have been added to soft tissue	(AgNPs).	
	antimicrobial applications: it has been shown also to	conditioners for prosthetic devices.	Silver nanowires resulted in the strongest	
			extotoxicity and imminological responses.	
	Modified tissue conditioner combined with AgNPs		whereas spherical silver particles had	
	displayed antimicrobial properties against <i>S. aureus.</i>		negligible effects on cells when tested in	
	Strebtococcus mutans, and C. albicans incorporated		human cells.	
	after a 24- or 72-hour incubation.			

Abbreviations: AgNPs, silver nanoparticles; PMMA, polymethyl methacrylate; ROS, reactive oxygen species.

Dovepress

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Chakraborty M, Jain S, Rani V. Nanotechnology: emerging tool for diagnostics and therapeutics. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*. 2011;165(5–6): 1178–1187.
- Chithrani BD, Ghazani AA, Chan WC. Determining the size and shape dependence of gold nanoparticle uptake into mammalian cells. *Nano Lett.* 2006;6(4):662–668.
- Sperling RA, Rivera Gil P, Zhang F, Zanella M, Parak WJ. Biological applications of gold nanoparticles. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2008;37(9): 1896–1908.
- 4. Cai W, Gao T, Hong H, Sun J. Applications of gold nanoparticles in cancer nanotechnology. *Nanotechnol Sci Appl.* 2008;1:17–32.
- Kohler N, Sun C, Wang J, Zhang M. Methotrexate-modified superparamagnetic nanoparticles and their intracellular uptake into human cancer cells. *Langmuir*. 2005;21(19):8858–8864.
- Gómez LG. Nanopartículas de plata: tecnología para su obtención, caracterización y actividad biológica [Silver nanoparticles: technology for their production, characterization and biological activity]. *Investi*gación en Discapacidad. 2013;2:18–22. Spanish.
- Panyam J, Labhasetwar V. Biodegradable nanoparticles for drug and gene delivery to cells and tissue. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2003;55(3): 329–347
- Yang PH, Sun X, Chiu JF, Sun H, He QY. Transferrin-mediated gold nanoparticle cellular uptake. *Bioconjug Chem*. 2005;16(3):494–496.
- Lövestam GH, Rauscher H, Roebben G, et al. JRC Reference Reports: Considerations on a Definition of Nanomaterial for Regulatory Purposes. JRC Reference Reports. JRC58726. Publications Office of the European Union; 2010. Available from: http://www.wtec.org/nano2/. Accessed July 3, 2014.
- Williams DF. On the mechanisms of biocompatibility. *Biomaterials*. 2008;29(20):2941–2953.
- Kurek A, Grudniak AM, Kraczkiewicz-Dowjat A, Wolska KI. New antibacterial therapeutics and strategies. *Pol J Microbiol*. 2011; 60(1):3–12.
- Diana V, Bossolasco P, Moscatelli D, Silani V, Cova L. Dose dependent side effect of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle labeling on cell motility in two fetal stem cell populations. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(11):e78435
- Albrecht MA, Evans CW, Raston CL. Green chemistry and the health implications of nanoparticles. *Green Chem.* 2006;8(5):417–432.
- Oberdörster G, Sharp Z, Atudorei V, et al. Translocation of inhaled ultrafine particles to the brain. *Inhal Toxicol*. 2004;16(6-7): 437-445.
- Lipovsky A, Nitzan Y, Gedanken A, Lubart R. Antifungal activity of ZnO nanoparticles – the role of ROS mediated cell injury. *Nanotechnology*. 2011;22(10):105101.
- Manke A, Wang L, Rojanasakul Y. Mechanisms of nanoparticle-induced oxidative stress and toxicity. *Biomed Res Int.* 2013;2013:942916.
- Sun RW, Chen R, Chung NP, Ho CM, Lin CL, Che CM. Silver nanoparticles fabricated in Hepes buffer exhibit cytoprotective activities toward HIV-1 infected cells. *Chem Commun (Camb)*. 2005;(40): 5059–5061.
- Durnev AD. Toxicology of nanoparticles. Bull Exp Biol Med. 2008; 145(1):72–74.
- Muller J, Huaux F, Moreau N, et al. Respiratory toxicity of multi-wall carbon nanotubes. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 2005;207(3):221–231.
- Yang H, Liu C, Yang D, Zhang H, Xi Z. Comparative study of cytotoxicity, oxidative stress and genotoxicity induced by four typical nanomaterials: the role of particle size, shape and composition. *J Appl Toxicol*. 2009;29(1):69–78.

- Zhang T, Wang L, Chen Q, Chen C. Cytotoxic potential of silver nanoparticles. *Yonsei Med J.* 2014;55(2):283–291.
- Gliga AR, Skoglund S, Wallinder IO, Fadeel B, Karlsson HL. Sizedependent cytotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in human lung cells: the role of cellular uptake, agglomeration and Ag release. *Part Fibre Toxicol*. 2014;11:11.
- 23. Liu W, Wu Y, Wang C, et al. Impact of silver nanoparticles on human cells: effect of particle size. *Nanotoxicology*. 2010;4(3):319–330.
- Nel A, Xia T, Mädler L, Li N. Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. Science. 2006;311(5761):622–627.
- Xia T, Kovochich M, Brant J, et al. Comparison of the abilities of ambient and manufactured nanoparticles to induce cellular toxicity according to an oxidative stress paradigm. *Nano Lett.* 2006;6(8):1794–1807.
- Asharani PV, Hande MP, Valiyaveettil S. Anti-proliferative activity of silver nanoparticles. BMC Cell Biol. 2009;10(1):65.
- AshaRani PV, Low Kah Mun G, Hande MP, Valiyaveettil S. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in human cells. ACS Nano. 2009;3(2):279–290.
- Foldbjerg R, Dang DA, Autrup H. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in the human lung cancer cell line, A549. Arch Toxicol. 2011;85(7):743–750.
- Foldbjerg R, Olesen P, Hougaard M, Dang DA, Hoffmann HJ, Autrup H. PVP-coated silver nanoparticles and silver ions induce reactive oxygen species, apoptosis and necrosis in THP-1 monocytes. *Toxicol Lett.* 2009;190(2):156–162.
- Hackenberg S, Scherzed A, Kessler M, et al. Silver nanoparticles: evaluation of DNA damage, toxicity and functional impairment in human mesenchymal stem cells. *Toxicol Lett.* 2011;201(1):27–33.
- Hussain SM, Hess KL, Gearhart JM, Geiss KT, Schlager JJ. In vitro toxicity of nanoparticles in BRL 3A rat liver cells. *Toxicol In Vitro*. 2005;19(7):975–983.
- 32. Piao MJ, Kang KA, Lee IK, et al. Silver nanoparticles induce oxidative cell damage in human liver cells through inhibition of reduced glutathione and induction of mitochondria-involved apoptosis. *Toxicol Lett.* 2011;201(1):92–100.
- Jin C, Tang Y, Yang FG, et al. Cellular toxicity of TiO2 nanoparticles in anatase and rutile crystal phase. *Biol Trace Elem Res*. 2011; 141(1–3):3–15.
- Shukla RK, Sharma V, Pandey AK, Singh S, Sultana S, Dhawan A. ROS-mediated genotoxicity induced by titanium dioxide nanoparticles in human epidermal cells. *Toxicol In Vitro*. 2011;25(1):231–241.
- Voinov MA, Sosa Pagán JO, Morrison E, Smirnova TI, Smirnov AI. Surface-mediated production of hydroxyl radicals as a mechanism of iron oxide nanoparticle biotoxicity. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133(1):35–41.
- Xue C, Liu W, Wu J, Yang X, Xu H. Chemoprotective effect of N-acetylcysteine (NAC) on cellular oxidative damages and apoptosis induced by nano titanium dioxide under UVA irradiation. *Toxicol In Vitro*. 2011;25(1):110–116.
- 37. Abe K, Matsuki N. Measurement of cellular 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) reduction activity and lactate dehydrogenase release using MTT. *Neurosci Res.* 2000;38(4):325–329.
- Roehm NW, Rodgers GH, Hatfield SM, Glasebrook AL. An improved colorimetric assay for cell proliferation and viability utilizing the tetrazolium salt XTT. *J Immunol Methods*. 1991;142(2):257–265.
- Belyanskaya L, Manser P, Spohn P, Bruinink A, Wick P. The reliability and limits of the MTT reduction assay for carbon nanotubes-cell interaction. *Carbon N Y*. 2007;45(13):2643–2648.
- Kroll A, Pillukat MH, Hahn D, Schnekenburger J. Current in vitro methods in nanoparticle risk assessment: limitations and challenges. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2009;72(2):370–377.
- Simon PL. Cancer Cell Culture: Methods and Protocols. In: Jane AP, editor.
 Cell sensitivity assay: the MTT. New Jersey: Humana Press Inc; 2002: 165–169.

- Qu QL, Zhang YG. Cytotoxic effects of activated carbon nanoparticles, silicon dioxide nanoparticles and titanium dioxide nanoparticles on human gastric carcinoma cell line BGC-823. Chinese Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology. 2010;24:481–487.
- Gurr JR, Wang AS, Chen CH, Jan KY. Ultrafine titanium dioxide particles in the absence of photoactivation can induce oxidative damage to human bronchial epithelial cells. *Toxicology*. 2005;213(1–2):66–73.
- 44. Long TC, Saleh N, Tilton RD, Lowry GV, Veronesi B, Titanium dioxide (P25) produces reactive oxygen species in inmortalized brain microglia (BV2): implications for nanoparticle neurotoxicity. 2006;40(14):4346–4352.
- Kato T, Loo BH, Yokomaku M, Butsugan Y, Sim KY, Fujishima A. Photoelectrochemical reduction of tetrazolium salts to formazans on surfaces of semiconductor powders in alcohol solutions. *Spectrosc Lett.* 1995;28(6):849–859.
- Marques EP, Zhang J, Tse YH, Metcalfe RA, Pietro WJ, Lever ABP. Surface electrochemistry of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide ([MTT]Br) adsorbed on a graphite electrode. *J Electroanal Chem (Lausanne Switz)*. 1995;395(1–2):133–142.
- Acosta-Torres LS, Nunez-Anita RE, Vanegas-Lancon R, de la Fuente J, Lopez-Marin LM, Castano VM. Nanoengineering of dental materials: applications to prosthetics. *Recent Pat Nanomed*. 2013;3(1):2–8.
- Bhola R, Bhola SM, Liang H, Mishra B. Biocompatible denture polymers – a review. *Trends Biomater Artif Organs*. 2010;23(3): 129–136.
- Acosta-Torres LS, López-Marín LM, Núñez-Anita RE, Hernández-Padrón G, Castaño VM. Biocompatible metal-oxide nanoparticles: nanotechnology improvement of conventional prosthetic acrylic resins. *J Nanomater*. 2011;2011:941561.
- Acosta-Torres LS, Mendieta I, Nuñez-Anita RE, Cajero-Juárez M, Castaño VM. Cytocompatible antifungal acrylic resin containing silver nanoparticles for dentures. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012;7: 4777–4786
- Elsaka SE, Hamouda IM, Swain MV. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles addition to a conventional glass-ionomer restorative: influence on physical and antibacterial properties. *J Dent.* 2011;39(9):589–598.
- Muñoz-Bonilla A, Fernández-García M. Polymeric materials with antimicrobial activity. *Prog Polym Sci.* 2012;37(2):281–339.
- Minagi S, Miyake Y, Inagaki K, Tsuru H, Suginaka H. Hydrophobic interaction in Candida albicans and Candida tropicalis adherence to various denture base resin materials. *Infect Immun*. 1985;47(1):11–14.
- Zamperini CA, Machado AL, Vergani CE, Pavarina AC, Giampaolo ET, da Cruz NC. Adherence in vitro of Candida albicans to plasma treated acrylic resin. Effect of plasma parameters, surface roughness and salivary pellicle. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2010;55(10):763–770.
- Zhang L, He R, Gu HC. Synthesis and kinetic shape and size evolution of magnetite nanoparticles. *Mater Res Bull*. 2006;41(2):260–267.
- 56. Goffeau A. Drug resistance: the fight against fungi. *Nature*. 2008;452(7187):541–542.
- Hannig M, Hannig C. Nanomaterials in preventive dentistry. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2010;5(8):565–569.
- Murray PE, Garcia-Godoy F, Hargreaves KM. Regenerative endodontics: a review of current status and a call for action. *J Endod*. 2007;33(4):377–390.
- Uskoković V, Bertassoni LE. Nanotechnology in dental sciences: moving towards a finer way of doing dentistry. *Materials (Basel)*. 2010;3(3):1674–1691.
- Beyth N, Yudovin-Farber I, Weiss EI, Domb AJ. Antimicrobial nanoparticles in restorative composites. In: Subramani K, Ahmed W, editors. *Emerging Nanotechnologies in Dentistry: Materials, Processes and Applications*. Oxford, UK: Elsevier Inc; 2012:35–47.
- Kim JS, Yoon TJ, Yu KN, et al. Toxicity and tissue distribution of magnetic nanoparticles in mice. *Toxicol Sci.* 2006;89(1):338–347.
- 62. Kim TH, Kim M, Park HS, Shin US, Gong MS, Kim HW. Size-dependent cellular toxicity of silver nanoparticles. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. 2012;100(4):1033–1043.

- Carlson C, Hussain SM, Schrand AM, et al. Unique cellular interaction of silver nanoparticles: size-dependent generation of reactive oxygen species. J Phys Chem B. 2008;112(43):13608–13619.
- Park MV, Neigh AM, Vermeulen JP, et al. The effect of particle size on the cytotoxicity, inflammation, developmental toxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles. *Biomaterials*. 2011;32(36):9810–9817.
- Haase A, Rott S, Mantion A, et al. Effects of silver nanoparticles on primary mixed neural cell cultures: uptake, oxidative stress and acute calcium responses. *Toxicol Sci.* 2012;126(2):457–468.
- Lankoff A, Sandberg WJ, Wegierek-Ciuk A, et al. The effect of agglomeration state of silver and titanium dioxide nanoparticles on cellular response of HepG2, A549 and THP-1 cells. *Toxicol Lett*. 2012;208(3):197–213.
- Chen X, Schluesener HJ. Nanosilver: a nanoproduct in medical application. *Toxicol Lett.* 2008;176(1):1–12.
- Hansen SF, Baun A. When enough is enough. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2012;7(7):409–411.
- Johnston HJ, Hutchison G, Christensen FM, Peters S, Hankin S, Stone V. A review of the in vivo and in vitro toxicity of silver and gold particulates: particle attributes and biological mechanisms responsible for the observed toxicity. *Crit Rev Toxicol*. 2010;40(4):328–346.
- Rai M, Yadav A, Gade A. Silver nanoparticles as a new generation of antimicrobials. *Biotechnol Adv.* 2009;27(1):76–83.
- Stensberg MC, Wei Q, Mclamore ES, Porterfield DM, Wei A, Sepulveda MS. Toxicological studies on silver nanoparticles: challenges and opportunities in assessment, monitoring and imaging. *Nanomedicine*. 2012;6(5):879–898.
- 72. Varner K. Scientific, Technical, Research, Engineering and Modeling Support Final Report. State of the Science Literature Review: Everything Nanosilver and More. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development; 2010. Available from: http://www.epa.gov/nanoscience/files/NanoPaper1.pdf. Accessed July 1, 2014.
- Sivolella S, Stellini E, Brunello G, et al. Silver nanoparticles in alveolar bone surgery devices. J Nanomater. 2012;2012:975842.
- Driscoll TJ, Lawandy NM, Nouri M, Yoo D, Ronn AM. Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics; 1997 May 18-23; Baltimore, MD, USA: Optical Society of America.
- Salata O. Applications of nanoparticles in biology and medicine. J Nanobiotechnology. 2004;2(1):3.
- Contado C, Pagnoni A. TiO2 in commercial sunscreen lotion: flow field-flow fractionation and ICP-AES together for size analysis. *Anal Chem.* 2008;80(19):7594–7608.
- Guo Y, Zhou Y, Jia D, Meng Q. Fabrication and in vitro characterization of magnetic hydroxycarbonate apatite coatings with hierarchically porous structures. *Acta Biomater*. 2008;4(4):923–931.
- Sikong L, Kooptarnond K, Niyomwas S, Damchan J. Photoactivity and hydrophilic property of SiO2 and SnO2 co-doped TiO2 nanocomposite thin films. Songklanakarin Journal of Science & Technology. 2010;32(4):413–418.
- Arbab AS, Bashaw LA, Miller BR, et al. Characterization of biophysical and metabolic properties of cells labeled with superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles and transfection agent for cellular MR imaging. *Radiology*. 2003;229(3):838–846.
- Sanpo N, Wen C, Berndt CC, Wang J. Antibacterial properties of spinel ferrite nanoparticles. In: Mendez A, editor. Microbial pathogens and strategies for combating them: science, technology and education. Spain: Formatex Research Centre. 2013:239–250.
- Limbach LK, Wick P, Manser P, Grass RN, Bruinink A, Stark WJ. Exposure of engineered nanoparticles to human lung epithelial cells: influence of chemical composition and catalytic activity on oxidative stress. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2007;41(11):4158–4163.
- Niño-Martínez N, Martínez-Castañón GA, Aragón-Piña A, Martínez-Gutierrez F, Martínez-Mendoza JR, Ruiz F. Characterization of silver nanoparticles synthesized on titanium dioxide fine particles. Nanotechnology. 2008;19(6):065711.

- Ahn SJ, Lee SJ, Kook JK, Lim BS. Experimental antimicrobial orthodontic adhesives using nanofillers and silver nanoparticles. *Dent Mater*. 2009;25(2):206–213.
- McCord JF, Grant AA. Pre-definitive treatment: rehabilitation prostheses. BDJ. 2000;8:419–424.
- Pereira-Cenci T, Del Bel Ccry AA, Crielaard W, Ten Cate JM. Development of Candida-associated denture stomatitis: New insights. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2008;16(2):86–94.
- Pavan S, dos Santos PH, Filho JN, Spolidorio DM. Colonisation of soft lining materials by micro-organisms. *Gerodontology*. 2010;27(3):211–216.
- 87. Reidy B, Haase A, Luch A, Dawson KA, Lynch I. Mechanisms of Silver Nanoparticle Release, Transformation and Toxicity: A Critical Review of Current Knowledge and Recommendations for Future Studies and Applications. Materials 2013;6:2295–2350.

International Journal of Nanomedicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peerreviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch®, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-jour

Dovepress