RESEARCH

Open Access

The relationship between immune status as measured by stimulated ex-vivo tumour necrosis factor alpha levels and the acquisition of nosocomial infections in critically ill mechanically ventilated patients



Gabrielle Levin¹, J. Gordon Boyd², Andrew Day¹, Miranda Hunt¹, David M. Maslove², Patrick Norman¹, Nicole O'Callaghan¹, Stephanie Sibley¹ and John Muscedere^{2*}

* Correspondence: John. Muscedere@kingstonhsc.ca ²Department of Critical Care Medicine, Queen's University, Watkins C, 76 Stuart Street, Kingston, Ontario K7L 2V3, Canada Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Introduction: Immunological dysfunction is common in critically ill patients but its clinical significance and the optimal method to measure it are unknown. The level of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) after ex-vivo whole blood stimulation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) has been proposed as a possible method to quantify immunological function. We hypothesized that in a cohort of critically ill patients, those with a lower post-stimulation TNF- α level would have increased rates of nosocomial infections (NIs) and worse clinical outcomes.

Methods: A secondary analysis of a phase 2 randomized, multi-centre, doubleblinded placebo-controlled trial. As there was no difference between treatment and control arms in outcomes and NI rate, all the patients were analyzed as one cohort. On enrolment, day 4, 7, and weekly until day 28, whole blood was incubated with LPS ex-vivo and subsequent TNF- α level was measured. Patients were grouped in tertiles according to delta and peak TNF- α level. The primary outcome was the association between NIs and tertiles of TNF- α level post LPS stimulation; secondary outcomes included ICU and 90-day mortality, and ICU and hospital length of stay.

Results: Data was available for 201 patients. Neither the post LPS stimulation delta TNF- α group nor the peak TNF- α post-stimulation group were associated with the development of NIs or clinical outcomes. Patients in the highest tertile for post LPS stimulation delta TNF- α compared to the lowest tertile were younger [61.1 years \pm 15.7 vs. 68.6 years \pm 12.8 standard deviations (SD) in the lowest tertile], had lower acuity of illness (APACHE II 25.0 \pm 9.7 vs. 26.7 \pm 6.1) and had lower baseline TNF- α (9.9 pg/mL \pm 19.0 vs. 31.0 pg/mL \pm 68.5). When grouped according to peak post-stimulation TNF- α levels, patients in the highest tertile had higher serum TNF- α at baseline (21.3 pg/mL \pm 66.7 compared to 6.5 pg/mL \pm 9.0 in the lowest tertile).

(Continued on next page)



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

(Continued from previous page)

Conclusion: In this prospective multicenter study, ex-vivo stimulated TNF- α level was not associated with the occurrence of NIs or clinical outcomes. Further study is required to better ascertain whether TNF levels and ex-vivo stimulation can be used to characterize immune function in critical illness and if other assays might be better suited to this task.

Keywords: Immune dysfunction, critically ill, TNF- α, nosocomial infections

Introduction

Nosocomial infections (NI), also known as healthcare-associated infections (HAI) complicate 30% of intensive care unit (ICU) admissions and are associated with increased mortality and morbidity including both longer ICU and hospital stays [1, 2]. In the ICU, mechanically ventilated patients are at the highest risk for NIs, likely due to the presence of multiple risk factors [3]. An increasingly recognized potential risk factor for infection in the critically ill is immunological dysfunction, which has been described in both critically ill adults and children since the 1980s [4, 5]. Immune dysfunction can occur in patients who experience severe trauma, are post-operative or in patients with sepsis [4, 6, 7]. The exact mechanism of immune dysfunction is unknown, but is likely related to abnormal regulation of inflammation, as well as the development of tolerance to stimulation by the immune system.

Studies that have examined immune dysfunction and the relationship with patient outcomes have reported inconsistent findings. In patients with either sepsis or trauma, most studies show a significantly lower survival rate in patients with prolonged low human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DR expression by monocytes, as well as higher rates of major infections compared to those patients who only had a transient or less severe drop in HLA-DR expression [8–13]. However, Perry and colleagues did not find a relationship between HLA-DR expression in septic patients and patient outcomes [14]. Further studies also found that HLA-DR expression had poor discriminating power in identifying septic patients at high risk of dying [15]. Oberholzer et al. found that selected baseline cytokines including interleukin (IL)-6 and soluble tumor necrosis factor were helpful in predicting patient outcomes, while other cytokines, including TNF- α , as well as the change in cytokine concentrations over time, were not predictive of patient outcomes [16].

The relationship between immune dysfunction and the acquisition of NIs remains uncertain. Studies looking at cytokine levels in patients admitted with sepsis and the correlation with the development of NIs, have yielded mixed results [17, 18]. One study found no difference in cytokine levels between patients admitted with sepsis who later developed NIs, and those admitted with sepsis who did not develop NIs [17]. However, Van Vught et al found significant elevations of inflammatory cytokines in patients with sepsis who developed NIs as compared to patients who did not, and suggested that these patients have concomitant hyper-inflammation and immune suppression to a greater degree than those patients who only had sepsis [18]. Immune dysfunction has also been studied in the development of NIs after trauma and elective surgery [5, 6, 19-21]. These studies suggested that distinct inflammatory marker patterns exist in patients who develop NIs. Currently, there are few studies that look at cytokine levels as

they relate to NIs in all patients admitted to an ICU. Further, it is still unknown whether higher or lower levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines correlate to the development of NIs, and whether admission cytokine levels can help predict who develops these infections.

The best way to measure immune dysfunction is unknown, but some studies suggest that TNF- α levels in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated whole blood are more accurate in predicting patient outcomes than using HLA-DR expression [22, 23]. LPS, also known as endotoxin, is a component of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria and is known to stimulate monocytes to release cytokines, including TNF- α . Studies of TNF- α levels post-LPS stimulation in healthy adults, show significant variation in both baseline levels of TNF- α and levels post-LPS stimulation [24–28]. Patients with middle range initial levels of TNF- α had a response to LPS stimulation, while people with high levels and some with low levels of TNF- α did not respond [28]. Bruunsgard et al and von Haehling et al showed that there are differences in immune stimulation between age groups, but their results are conflicting [29]. There is little research on the use of an *ex-vivo* LPS assay in critically ill patients, but the data available suggests that there is less of a response to LPS in patients in the ICU versus healthy patients [22, 30]. Further, there is minimal data on whether TNF- α response to LPS is related to patient outcomes. Ploder et al. and Heagy et al. suggested that patients who had a lower $TNF-\alpha$ response to LPS at baseline had a worse prognosis than patients who had a higher TNF- α response [22, 31]. Few studies have looked at TNF- α response to LPS as it evolves over the course of a patient's admission to the ICU.

We hypothesized that patients with lower peak levels and smaller changes (delta) of post-stimulation TNF- α on ICU admission would develop more NIs, have longer ICU and hospital lengths of stays, and increased mortality. To test our hypothesis, we conducted a descriptive analysis to describe the characteristics of an ex-vivo whole blood LPS stimulation assay in critically ill, mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU as measured by change in the level of TNF- α , and explore how levels of TNF- α after stimulation by an LPS assay are associated with clinical outcomes including mortality and the development of NIs.

Methods

Design

A secondary analysis of the PREVAIL study, a phase 2 randomized, multi-centre, double-blinded placebo controlled trial conducted in five Canadian tertiary ICUs studying the effect of lactoferrin on the acquisition of NIs [32]. The protocol for this study has been published and the trial was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov on 18 November 2013 (registration number NCT01996579) [33].

Patients

Adult patients (\geq 18 years old) receiving invasive mechanical ventilation on ICU admission and who were expected to receive mechanical ventilation for > 72 hours were included in the original trial. Patients who met the following criteria were excluded:

a. who were expected to be in the ICU for < 72 hours,

- b. immunocompromised patients including those post-organ transplant, patients with Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS), neutropenia, use of glucocorticoids (> 20 mg/day of prednisone equivalent for more than 6 months), use of immunosuppressant medication (e.g. patients with rheumatological conditions on methotrexate, etc.)
- c. patients with end stage liver disease or fulminant liver failure
- d. pregnant or lactating patients
- e. patients with a life expectancy of less than six months due to pre-existing conditions
- f. enrollment in other interventional trials.

All patients were followed for the duration of their ICU or until day 28 for the acquisition of NIs. During the study, if there was a prescription of a new antibiotic or the patient was investigated for infection with the collection of microbial cultures, a suspicion of infection event was triggered; the attending physician was then asked to assess the probability of infection; definite, probable and possible which was then centrally adjudicated to ensure consistency [33]. The definitions used for each category of NI are outlined further in the supplemental digital content (Supplementary Digital Content 1: Definitions of nosocomial infections). These suspicions were then adjudicated by an assessor blinded to treatment allocation for the presence of infection. Discrepancies between the attending physician and adjudicator were resolved by consensus.

As part of the original protocol, laboratory investigations included measurement of the following cytokines on admission to the ICU, as well as on days 4 and 7, and then weekly until 28 days post-admission: IL-6, IL-10, and TNF- α . Immune function was measured over time by the levels of TNF- α in response to an ex-vivo LPS stimulation assay on those same days. The LPS stimulation assay was conducted by sampling the patient's blood in sodium heparin tubes. Fifty (50) µl of whole blood was then pipetted into 500 µl of LPS stimulation solution, at a concentration of 500 pg/mL [34]. The time between collection of the blood and processing was less than 30 minutes. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 4 hours and then centrifuged. The supernatant was pipetted into the microcentrifuge tubes, stored at -80°C and then analyzed.

The primary outcome for this study was the occurrence of NIs acquired during the ICU admission in relation to the tertiles of delta and peak TNF- α levels post-LPS stimulation. NIs were defined as an infection occurring after 72 hours of ICU admission. For the purposes of this analysis we considered all categories of suspected infection including possible, probable and definite as positive [35]. Secondary outcomes included clinical outcomes and laboratory outcomes. Clinical outcomes included ICU and hospital length of stay (LOS) and ICU, hospital and 90-day mortality. Severity of illness was measured using the APACHE II and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) scores. The SOFA score was recorded throughout the ICU admission.

Statistical Analysis

Sample Size

The sample size was based on the clinical study [33]. A total of 214 patients were enrolled; blood samples for analysis were available for analysis in 201. The PREVAIL study found no difference in outcomes based on allocation so both allocation groups were combined for this secondary analysis.

Statistical Methods

The primary variable of interest (TNF- α response) was represented in two ways: the maximum TNF- α level post-LPS challenge (peak TNF- α) and the change from prechallenge to post-challenge levels (delta TNF- α). As done in prior studies by Heagy, W., et al. and Mózes, T et al, patients were divided into tertiles based on the level of TNF- α in response to ex-vivo LPS stimulation assay on admission to the ICU [31, 36]. Any patients without a peak TNF- α measure were excluded from both baseline analyses, while patients without a pre-challenge TNF- α measure reported were excluded from the delta TNF- α analysis.

Clinical outcomes represented as continuous variables, including the total number of adjudicated nosocomial infections and the rate of adjudicated nosocomial infections per patient, were compared between TNF- α tertiles using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Categorical and binary variables, including mortality and source of adjudicated infection, were compared using the Chi-Squared test. This comparison method was mirrored for all other variables that were compared, with one exception: primary diagnosis on admission was compared using Fisher's exact test due to small cell sizes. Because the large number of diagnosis categories made this computationally prohibitive, a Monte Carlo simulation was employed.

Multivariable logistic regression models for each of peak TNF- α and delta TNF- α data were created using the occurrence of ever having a nosocomial infection during the hospital stay as the dependent variable (See Supplemental Content 2: Multivariable analysis). Age, sex, medical or surgical admission type, lactoferrin versus placebo arm, APACHE II score, and severe sepsis were included as covariates, in addition to either the peak or delta TNF- α tertile (using the highest tertile as the referent).

Multiple Time Point Analysis

Data on TNF- α response levels were collected on ICU days 0, 4, 7, 14, 21 and 28. Box plots of the distributions of peak TNF- α , delta TNF- α and baseline serum TNF- α were created. A panel of profile plots showing the change from baseline to peak TNF- α for each patient, on each day, was produced. A longitudinal plot showing the average baseline and peak TNF- α values on each day was also produced, as was a similar longitudinal plot of the change in TNF- α values for each patient on each day.

Results

Data for the peak and delta TNF- α analyses were available for 201 patients and 200 patients, respectively (Figure 1). No significant differences were found between delta TNF- α tertiles or peak TNF- α tertiles for any measure of NIs. Tables 1 and 2 report the results of comparing clinical outcomes including suspected and adjudicated infections, mortality, and length-of-stay measures between TNF- α response tertiles. No significant differences were found between delta TNF- α tertiles or peak TNF- α tertiles for any measure of NIs.



The characteristics of patients when grouped according to peak TNF- α tertiles revealed statistically significant differences in patient characteristics in primary diagnosis, baseline serum TNF- α levels, peak TNF- α levels and delta TNF- α levels (Table 3). Patients in the highest tertile had the highest baseline TNF- α levels [21.3 pg/mL ± 66.7 standard deviations (SD) compared to 6.5 pg/mL ± 9.0 in the lowest TNF- α tertile], the highest peak TNF- α levels [255.4 pg/mL ± 299.4 versus 0.6 pg/mL ± 0.5 in the lowest TNF- α tertile], and the greatest change in TNF- α levels [234.1 pg/mL ± 313.9 compared to -6.0 pg/mL ± 9.0 in the lowest TNF- α tertile]. There was no statistically significant difference between age, sex, APACHE II score, or requirement of vasopressor/inotrope support.

When patients were grouped according to delta TNF- α tertiles, statistically significant differences in patient characteristics were found in age, primary diagnosis, requirement of vasopressor/inotrope support, APACHE II score, baseline serum TNF- α levels, and peak TNF- α levels (Table 4). Patients in the highest tertile compared to the lowest tertile were younger [61.1 years ± 15.7 vs. 68.6 years ± 12.8 SD in the lowest tertile], had lower acuity of illness [APACHE II 25.0 ± 9.7 vs. 26.7 ± 6.1], lower baseline TNF- α

	Tertile 1 (Low TNF-α levels) (<i>n</i> = 67)	Tertile 2 (Medium TNF-a levels) (<i>n</i> =67)	Tertile 3 (High TNF-α levels) (<i>n</i> =67)	Total cohort (n=201)	<i>P</i> -value
Suspected nosocomial infection: N (%)	31 (46%)	39 (58%)	39 (58%)	109 (54%)	
Total positive adjudicated infections: ${\sf n}$	16	22	29	67	0.494
Adjudicated positive infections per subject: $Mean \pm SD$	0.2 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.7	0.066
Source of positive infections					
Surgical	2 (12.5%)	1 (4.5%)	1 (3.4%)	4 (2.0%)	
Skin-soft tissue	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.5%)	2 (6.9%)	3 (1.5%)	
Catheter BSI	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.5%)	4 (13.8%)	5 (2.5%)	
Primary BSI	0 (0.0%)	3 (13.6%)	3 (10.3%)	6 (3.0%)	
UTI	0 (0.0%)	4 (18.2%)	6 (20.7%)	10 (14.9%)	
Intra-abdominal	0 (0.0%)	2 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.0%)	
Lower RTI	6 (37.5%)	2 (9.1%)	2 (6.9%)	10 (5.0%)	
ICU Pneumonia	6 (37.5%)	7 (31.8%)	11 (37.9%)	24 (11.9%)	
Other	2 (12.5%)	1 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.5%)	
ICU Mortality: n (%)	21 (31.3%)	21 (31.3%)	23 (34.3%)	65 (32.3%)	0.913
Hospital mortality: n (%)	23 (34.3%)	21 (31.3%)	26 (38.8%)	70 (34.8%)	0.659
90-day mortality: n (%)	24 (35.8%)	24 (35.8%)	28 (41.8%)	76 (37.8%)	0.713
ICU length of stay					0.552
Median [IQR]	9.1 [5.5 to 13.8]	9.0 [6.9 to 13.3]	9.0 [5.3 to 15.9]	9.0 [5.9 to 15.2]	
Hospital length of stay					0.237
Median [IQR]	17.6 [7.7 to 30.3]	14.6 [8.5 to 33.9]	19.1 [9.0 to 34.0]	16.8 [8.5 to 32.3]	

Table 1 Outcomes grouped by peak TNF-a post-LPS challenge

	Tertile 1 ((Post-LPS) - (Pre-LPS) <= -3.09 (n=66)	Tertile 2 ((Post-LPS) - (Pre-LPS) <= 19.42 (n=67)	Tertile 3 ((Post-LPS) - (Pre-LPS) > 19.42 (n=67)	Total cohort (<i>n</i> =200)	<i>P</i> -value
Suspected nosocomial infection: N (%)	34 (52%)	35 (52%)	39 (58%)	108 (54%)	
Total positive adjudicated infections: n	21	16	29	66	0.489
Adjudicated positive infections per subject: Mean ± SD	0.3 ± 0.7	0.2 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.7	0.22
Source of positive infections					
Surgical	2 (9.5%)	1 (6.3%)	1 (3.4%)	4 (2.0%)	
Skin-soft tissue	1 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.9%)	3 (1.5%)	
Catheter BSI	1 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (13.8%)	5 (2.5%)	
Primary BSI	3 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (10.3%)	6 (3.0%)	
UTI	2 (9.5%)	2 (12.5%)	6 (20.7%)	10 (14.9%)	
Intra-abdominal	1 (4.8%)	1 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.0%)	
Lower RTI	2 (9.5%)	6 (37.5%)	2 (6.9%)	10 (5.0%)	
ICU Pneumonia	9 (42.9%)	4 (25.0%)	10 (34.5%)	23 (11.5%)	
Other	0 (0.0%)	2 (12.5%)	1 (3.4%)	3 (1.5%)	
ICU Mortality: n (%)	24 (36.4%)	20 (29.9%)	21 (31.3%)	65 (32.5%)	0.703
Hospital mortality: n (%)	25 (37.9%)	21 (31.3%)	24 (35.8%)	70 (35.0%)	0.721
90-day mortality: n (%)	26 (39.4%)	24 (35.8%)	26 (38.8%)	76 (38.0%)	0.901
ICU length of stay					0.743
Median [IQR]	9.1 [5.5 to 13.8]	9.0 [6.9 to 13.3]	9.0 [5.3 to 15.9]	9.0 [5.9 to 15.2]	
Hospital length of stay					0.699
Median [IQR]	17.6 [7.7 to 30.3]	14.6 [8.5 to 33.9]	19.1 [9.0 to 34.0]	16.8 [8.5 to 32.3]	

Table 2 Oulcomes grouped by change in TNF-Q bost-LF's change	Table 2	Outcomes	arouped I	ov change ir	ι TNF-α	post-LPS	challenc
--	---------	----------	-----------	--------------	---------	----------	----------

levels [9.9 pg/mL \pm 19.0 vs. 31.0 pg/mL \pm 68.5] and had the highest post-LPS TNF- α level [253.0 pg/mL \pm 301.0 vs. 6.4 pg/mL \pm 18.0 in the lowest tertile]. No statistically significant differences were found between sex, and serum IL-10 levels.

No significant differences were observed in any mortality or length of stay measure for either delta TNF- α tertiles or peak TNF- α tertiles. In the multivariate logistic regression models, neither the delta TNF- α or peak TNF- α were associated with the development of NIs when controlling for the co-variates of severity of illness as measured by APACHE II score, admission diagnosis, sex, age or lactoferrin or placebo arm (Supplementary Digital Content 2: Multivariate analysis).

Multiple Time Point Analysis

Box plots of the distributions of peak TNF- α , delta TNF- α and baseline serum TNF- α are presented in Figures 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Peak TNF- α levels and delta TNF- α

	Tertile 1 (Low TNF- a Levels) (<i>n</i> =67)	Tertile 2 (Medium TNF- α Levels) (<i>n</i> =67)	Tertile 3 (High TNF- a Levels) (<i>n</i> =67)	Total Cohort (<i>n</i> =201)	<i>P</i> -value
Age: Mean ± SD (range)	65.9 ± 15.4	64.8 ± 13.9	61.4 ± 15.8	64.0 ± 15.1)	0.215
Sex: Female	36 (53.7%)	34 (50.7%)	27 (40.3%)	97 (48.3%)	0.263
Primary Diagnosis					0.001
Cardiovascular	10 (14.9%)	15 (22.4%)	7 (10.4%)	32 (15.9%)	
Respiratory	27 (40.3%)	14 (20.9%)	15 (22.4%)	56 (27.9%)	
Neurologic	12 (17.9%)	3 (4.5%)	15 (22.4%)	30 (14.9%)	
Sepsis	10 (14.9%)	20 (29.9%)	14 (20.9%)	44 (21.9%)	
Trauma	5 (7.5%)	3 (4.5%)	7 (10.4%)	15 (7.5%)	
Other	3 (4.5%)	12 (17.9%)	9 (13.4%)	24 (11.9%)	
APACHE II: Mean ± SD	24.2 ± 7.0	25.6 ± 7.8	25.5 ± 9.5	25.1 ± 8.1	0.646
Vasopressor support: N (%)	52 (77.6%)	54 (80.6%)	44 (65.7%)	150 (74.6%)	0.11
Temperature: Mean °C \pm SD	37.9 ± 0.9	37.6 ± 0.8	38.0 ± 1.0	37.9 ± 0.9	0.08
On Antibiotics: N (%)	43 (64.2%)	42 (62.7%)	41 (61.2%)	126 (62.7%)	0.938
Positive culture 48 hrs prior to or after randomization: N (%)	59 (88.1%)	60 (89.6%)	53 (79.1%)	172 (85.6%)	0.177
Adjudicated positive culture 48 hours prior to or after randomization: N (%)	43 (64.2%)	46 (68.7%)	40 (59.7%)	129 (64.2%)	0.557
Highest white blood cell: mean ± SD	14.5 ± 6.0	17.3 ± 9.4	17.0 ± 8.1	16.3 ± 8.0	0.277
Serum TNF-α: Mean pg/mL ± SD	6.5 ± 9.0	16.4 ± 27.7	21.3 ± 66.7	14.8 ± 42.4	<0.001
Post-LPS TNF-α: Mean pg/mL ± SD	0.6 ± 0.5	9.3 ± 8.0	255.4 ± 299.4	88.4 ± 208.9	<0.001
Change in TNF-α: pg/mL	-6.0 ± 9.0	-7.0 ± 29.1	234.1 ± 313.9	74.1 ± 214.4	<0.001
Serum IL-6: Mean pg/mL ± SD	483.2 ± 2823.8	1229.5 ± 5551.9	197.0 ± 485.6	636.6 ± 3615.2	0.081
Serum IL-10: Mean pg/mL ± SD	49.6 ± 81.6	143.2 ± 690.2	51.1 ±74.2	81.3 ± 403.9	0.700

Table 3 Baseline characteristics grouped by peak TNF-α levels post-LPS challenge

tended to be relatively low on days 0, 4 and 7, with a slight increase on days 14, 21 and 28. Baseline pre-challenge TNF- α levels remained low on all days, with no important day-to-day changes appearing. These results were corroborated by the panel of profile plots and the average change appeared fairly stagnant on days 0, 4, and 7 but increased on days 14, 21 and 28.

Discussion

In this analysis of the PREVAIL dataset, we found that there was a wide range of TNF- α response to ex-vivo LPS stimulation. While most patients showed very little TNF- α response, a relatively small subset of patients exhibited a marked increase in TNF- α levels following LPS stimulation. There were baseline differences in clinical characteristics across TNF- α tertiles (both peak and delta), but these did not carry through to the outcomes. Neither admission *ex-vivo* stimulated peak TNF- α level, nor the amount of change in TNF- α level post-stimulation were associated with the occurrence of NIs or clinical outcomes.

	Tertile 1 ((Post-LPS) - (Pre-LPS) <= -3.09 (<i>n</i> =66)	Tertile 2 ((Post-LPS) - (Pre-LPS) <= 19.42 (<i>n</i> =67)	Tertile 3 ((Post-LPS) - (Pre-LPS) > 19.42 (<i>n</i> =67)	Total Cohort (<i>n</i> =200)	P-value
Age: Mean ± SD	68.6 ± 12.8	62.6 ± 15.7	61.1 ± 15.7	64.1 ± 15.1	0.021
Sex: Female	36 (54.5%)	32 (47.8%)	29 (43.3%)	97 (48.5%)	0.425
Primary Diagnosis					0.045
Cardiovascular	13 (19.7%)	12 (17.9%)	7 (10.4%)	32 (16.0%)	
Respiratory	19 (28.8%)	21 (31.3%)	16 (23.9%)	56 (28.0%)	
Neurologic	5 (7.6%)	9 (13.4%)	15 (22.4%)	29 (14.5%)	
Sepsis	13 (19.7%)	17 (25.4%)	14 (20.9%)	44 (22.0%)	
Trauma	3 (4.5%)	6 (9.0%)	6 (9.0%)	15 (7.5%)	
Other	13 (19.7%)	2 (3.0%)	9 (13.4%)	14 (7.0%)	
APACHE II: Mean ± SD	26.7 ± 6.1	23.8 ± 7.7	25.0 ± 9.7	25.2 ± 8.0	0.037
Vasopressor support: N (%)	59 (89.4%)	49 (73.1%)	42 (62.7%)	150 (75.0%)	0.002
Temperature: Mean °C ± SD	37.9 ± 0.8	37.8 ± 1.0	38.0 ± 0.9	37.9 ± 0.9	0.397
On Antibiotics					0.388
N (%)	46 (69.7%)	40 (59.7%)	40 (59.7%)	126 (63.0%)	
Positive culture 48 hrs prior to/after randomization: N (%)	58 (87.9%)	60 (89.6%)	54 (80.6%)	172 (86.0%)	0.284
Highest white blood cell: mean \pm SD	15.5 ± 8.0	17.0 ± 8.2	16.4 ± 8.0	16.3 ± 8.0	0.617
Serum TNF-α: Mean pg/mL ± SD	31.0 ± 68.5	3.7 ± 3.4	9.9 ± 19.0	14.8 ± 42.4	<0.001
Post-LPS TNF-α: Mean pg/mL ± SD	6.4 ± 18.0	6.0 ± 7.8	253.0 ± 301.0	88.9 ± 209.3	<0.001
Serum IL-6: Mean pg/mL ± SD	1600.6 ± 6195.2	130.5 ± 443.0	200.9 ± 484.8	636.6 ± 3615.2	0.01
Serum IL-10: Mean pg/mL ± SD	147.2 ± 695.7	45.6 ± 75.3	52.7 ± 74.8	81.3 ± 403.9	0.775

Table	4 Baseline	characteristics	arouped	by change i	n TNF-a	post-LPS	challenge
TUNIC	- Duschine	characteristics	grouped	by chunge i		POSCEIS	chunchge

Immune dysfunction is common in critically ill patients, yet the best method to evaluate the immune system in this setting is unknown. Measuring TNF- α levels post-stimulation by an LPS assay has been suggested as a way to quantify function of the immune system, but previously reported associations between stimulated TNF- α levels and patient outcomes have been inconsistent. In our study, the patients in the lowest peak TNF- α tertile had lower initial TNF- α levels and a smaller overall change in their TNF- α levels, suggesting that their immune system did not respond appropriately to stimulation by LPS. Unlike other studies however, the patients in our study who had a lower immune response did not have worse outcomes than patients who had a higher overall TNF- α response [8, 22, 31].

Previous studies have examined immunosuppression over time in critically ill patients and suggested that it is associated with worse outcomes, but they have not looked specifically at stimulated TNF- α levels [8–13]. Our multiple time point analysis did not find any difference in outcome between patients with prolonged lower TNF- α levels



and those with only briefly suppressed levels. Ours is not the only study to find no relationship between immune dysfunction and outcomes, although other studies have looked at HLA-DR expression in critically ill patients rather than TNF- α [14, 15].

As with other studies that have looked at immune dysfunction in critically ill patients, it is difficult to consider all patients admitted to the ICU as a whole, given that patients admitted with sepsis are presumably different than those who are admitted with a primarily neurological condition, to mention one of many possible distinctions. This may be part of the reason there is such a large range in the TNF- α levels. Further, it may be part of the reason we did not find a correlation between TNF- α levels and outcomes, as other studies have examined solely patients with trauma or sepsis [22].

Our study has a number of strengths, including its multicenter design, a relatively large sample size, the availability of reliable infection status based on expert adjudication, and the longitudinal profiling of immune function over the course of the ICU stay. There are, however, some limitations. First, this study was a post-hoc analysis of a randomized controlled trial, meant to be hypothesis generating and was not specifically powered for the primary of outcome differences in nosocomial infections between tertiles of TNF- α response. Second, we included all critically ill patients undergoing invasive mechanical ventilation, regardless of their primary diagnosis. Non-mechanically





ventilated patients were excluded since it is thought that endotracheal intubation increases the risk for the development of NIs, and thus these patients would be more likely to benefit from a strategy to prevent NIs [3, 33]. Examining all critically ill patients regardless of ventilation status may be helpful, as patients with other indwelling devices (e.g. central venous catheters, hemodialysis lines) are also at risk of developing NIs and may have immune dysfunction [37]. Third, infection prevention methods were not protocolized in our study. This may have affected the rates of NIs, but is likely more representative of real practice. Fourth, the decision to analyze patients by tertiles was somewhat arbitrary, but there is precedent in other studies that have used either tertiles or quartiles [31, 36]. There is no standardized way of analyzing this data at this time, and it is possible that other strategies for the statistical analysis could have yielded different results.

Conclusion

The measurement of immunological function in critically ill patients and the correlation with patient centered outcomes is an unmet need. Within the limitations of this study, we found that TNF- α levels in LPS stimulated *ex-vivo* blood are not associated with clinical outcomes. Further study is required to evaluate the ability of this assay to quantify immune function over the course of critical illness, the optimal method for measuring and analyzing the longitudinal TNF- α response to LPS stimulation, and the utility of other biomarkers for characterizing immune dysfunction in critical illness.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s40635-020-00344-w.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Digital Content 1: Definitions of nosocomial infections. Supplementary Digital Content 2: Multivariate analysis.

Abbreviations

AIDS: Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome; HAI: Healthcare-associated infections; HLA: Human leukocyte antigen; ICU: Intensive care unit; IL: Interleukin; LPS: Lipopolysaccharide; NI: Nosocomial infection; SD: Standard deviation; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor alpha

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

JM and GL conceptualized the study. AD and PN analyzed and interpreted the data. All other authors contributed to the study conduct and provided input on the manuscript. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Funding for the PREVAIL study was received from the Lotte and John Hecht Memorial Foundation and South Eastern Academic Medical Association (SEAMO). The bovine lactoferrin was provided by Advanced Ortho-Molecular Research (AOR). The funders did not have any role in the conceptualization, conduct of the study, study analysis, interpretation of the data or writing of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The PREVAIL study was approved by the Queen's University Health Sciences & Affiliated Teaching Hospitals Research Ethics Board and by the local Research Ethics Boards of the participating hospitals. Each study participant or their substitute decision maker gave informed consent prior to the study including for the collection of blood samples and the analysis of cytokines.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author details

¹Kingston Health Sciences Center, Kingston, Ontario, Canada. ²Department of Critical Care Medicine, Queen's University, Watkins C, 76 Stuart Street, Kingston, Ontario K7L 2V3, Canada.

Received: 29 April 2020 Accepted: 4 September 2020 Published online: 16 September 2020

References

- Burgmann H et al (2010) Impact of nosocomial infections on clinical outcome and resource consumption in critically ill patients. Intensive Care Med 36(9):1597–1601
- Organization, W.H (2011) Report on the Burden of Endemic Health Care-Associated Infection Worldwide. WHO Document Production Services, Geneva
- Wolkewitz M et al (2008) Risk factors for the development of nosocomial pneumonia and mortality on intensive care units: application of competing risks models. Crit Care 12(2):R44
- Grealy R et al (2013) Characterising cytokine gene expression signatures in patients with severe sepsis. Mediators Inflamm 2013;164246
- Frazier WJ, Hall MW (2008) Immunoparalysis and adverse outcomes from critical illness. Pediatr Clin North Am 55(3): 647–668 xi
- White M et al (2011) Hospital-acquired pneumonia after lung resection surgery is associated with characteristic cytokine gene expression. Chest 139(3):626–632
- O'Dwyer MJ et al (2006) The occurrence of severe sepsis and septic shock are related to distinct patterns of cytokine gene expression. Shock 26(6):544–550
- Volk HD et al (1996) Monocyte deactivation-rationale for a new therapeutic strategy in sepsis. Intensive Care Med 22(Suppl 4):S474–S481
- Monneret G et al (2006) Persisting low monocyte human leukocyte antigen-DR expression predicts mortality in septic shock. Intensive Care Med 32(8):1175–1183
- Hershman MJ et al (1990) Monocyte HLA-DR antigen expression characterizes clinical outcome in the trauma patient. Br J Surg 77(2):204–207
- 11. Polk HC et al (1986) A systematic study of host defense processes in badly injured patients. Ann Surg 204(3):282–299
- 12. Livingston DH et al (1988) Depressed interferon gamma production and monocyte HLA-DR expression after severe injury. Arch Surg 123(11):1309–1312
- Tschaikowsky K et al (2002) Coincidence of pro- and anti-inflammatory responses in the early phase of severe sepsis: Longitudinal study of mononuclear histocompatibility leukocyte antigen-DR expression, procalcitonin, C-reactive protein, and changes in T-cell subsets in septic and postoperative patients. Crit Care Med 30(5):1015–1023
- 14. Perry SE et al (2003) Is low monocyte HLA-DR expression helpful to predict outcome in severe sepsis? Intensive Care Med 29(8):1245–1252
- 15. Hynninen M et al (2003) Predictive value of monocyte histocompatibility leukocyte antigen-DR expression and plasma interleukin-4 and -10 levels in critically ill patients with sepsis. Shock 20(1):1–4
- 16. Oberholzer A et al (2005) Plasma cytokine measurements augment prognostic scores as indicators of outcome in patients with severe sepsis. Shock 23(6):488–493
- 17. van Vught LA et al (2016) Incidence, Risk Factors, and Attributable Mortality of Secondary Infections in the Intensive Care Unit After Admission for Sepsis. JAMA 315(14):1469–1479

- van Vught L et al (2017) The Host Response in Patients with Sepsis Developing Intensive Care Unit-acquired Secondary Infections. Am J Respir Crit Care Med:458–470
- Namas RA et al (2016) Temporal Patterns of Circulating Inflammation Biomarker Networks Differentiate Susceptibility to Nosocomial Infection Following Blunt Trauma in Humans. Ann Surg 263(1):191–198
- 20. Xiao W, Mindrinos MN, Seok J (2011) A genomic storm in critically injured humans. J Experimental Med:2581-2590
- White M et al (2011) Post-operative infection and sepsis in humans is associated with deficient gene expression of yc cytokines and their apoptosis mediators. Crit Care 15(3):R158
- 22. Ploder M et al (2006) Lipopolysaccharide-induced tumor necrosis factor alpha production and not monocyte human leukocyte antigen-DR expression is correlated with survival in septic trauma patients. Shock 25(2):129–134
- Chen J et al (2010) Comparative in vitro stimulation with lipopolysaccharide to study TNFalpha gene expression in fresh whole blood, fresh and frozen peripheral blood mononuclear cells. J Immunol Methods 357(1-2):33–37
- 24. Myrianthefs P et al (2003) Seasonal variation in whole blood cytokine production after LPS stimulation in normal individuals. Cytokine 24(6):286–292
- 25. Copeland S et al (2005) Acute Inflammatory Response to Endotoxin in Mice and Humans. Clin. Diagn. Lab
- 26. Beckmann I et al (2004) Tumor necrosis factor-alpha in whole blood cultures of preeclamptic patients and healthy pregnant and nonpregnant women. Hypertens Pregnancy 23(3):319–329
- Bruunsgaard H et al (1999) Impaired production of proinflammatory cytokines in response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation in elderly humans. Clin Exp Immunol 118(2):235–241
- Mozes T et al Deviations in Circulating TNF-α Levels and TNF-α Production by Mononuclear Cells in Healthy Human Populations. 2011. Mediators of Inflammation.
- 29. von Haehling S et al (2003) The relationship between age and production of tumour necrosis factor-alpha in healthy volunteers and patients with chronic heart failure. Int J Cardiol 90(2-3):197–204
- Kim M et al (2017) Hyporesponsiveness of natural killer cells and impaired inflammatory responses in critically ill patients. BMC Immunol 18(1):48
- 31. Heagy W et al (2000) Impaired ex vivo lipopolysaccharide-stimulated whole blood tumor necrosis factor production may identify "septic" intensive care unit patients. Shock 14(3):271–276 discussion 276-7
- Muscedere J et al (2018) Prevention of Nosocomial Infections in Critically III Patients With Lactoferrin: A Randomized, Double-Blind. Placebo-Controlled Study. Crit Care Med 46(9):1450–1456
- Muscedere J et al (2016) Prevention of nosocomial infections in critically ill patients with lactoferrin (PREVAIL study): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 17(1):474
- 34. Albert M et al (2014) Candida in the respiratory tract secretions of critically ill patients and the impact of antifungal treatment: a randomized placebo controlled pilot trial (CANTREAT study). Intensive Care Med 40(9):1313–1322
- 35. Calandra T, Cohen J (2005) and I.S.F.D.o.I.i.t.I.C. Conference, The international sepsis forum consensus conference on definitions of infection in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 33(7):1538–1548
- 36. Mózes T et al (2011) Deviations in circulating TNFα levels and TNFα production by mononuclear cells in healthy human populations. Mediators Inflamm 2011:972609
- Conway Morris A et al (2013) Combined dysfunctions of immune cells predict nosocomial infection in critically ill patients. Br J Anaesth 111(5):778–787

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com