



Communication Distribution, Polymorphism and Function Characteristics of the GST-Encoding *Fhb7* in *Triticeae*

Xianrui Guo ¹, Mian Wang ^{1,2}, Houyang Kang ³, Yonghong Zhou ³ and Fangpu Han ^{1,2,*}

- State Key Laboratory of Plant Cell and Chromosome Engineering, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Innovation Academy for Seed Design, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- ² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- ³ Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu 611130, China
- Correspondence: fphan@genetics.ac.cn

Abstract: Encoding a glutathione S-transferase (GST) and conferring resistance to Fusarium head blight (FHB), *Fhb7* was successfully isolated from the newly assembled *Thinopyrum elongatum* genome by researchers, with blasting searches revealing that *Thinopyrum* gained *Fhb7* through horizontal gene transfer from an endophytic *Epichloë* species. On the contrary, our molecular evidence reveals that the homologs of *Fhb7* are distributed commonly in *Triticeae*. Other than *Thinopyrum*, the *Fhb7* homologs were also detected in four other genera, *Elymus, Leymus, Roegneria* and *Pseudoroegneria*, respectively. Sequence comparisons revealed that the protein sequences were at least 94% identical across all of the *Fhb7* homologs in *Triticeae* plants, which in turn suggested that the horizontal gene transfer of the *Fhb7* might have occurred before *Triticeae* differentiation instead of *Thinopyrum*. The multiple *Fhb7* homologs detected in some *Triticeae* accessions and wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives might be attributed to the alloploid nature and gene duplication during evolution. In addition, we discovered that some wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives carrying the *Fhb7* homologs had a completely different reaction to Fusarium head blight, which made us question the ability of the GST-encoding *Fhb7* to resist FHB.

Keywords: horizontal gene transfer; Fhb7; Triticeae; Thinopyrum; Fusarium head blight

1. Introduction

It is reported that beneficial plant-associated microbe and fungi possess widespread effects on plant growth and defense throughout their evolutionary history [1]. Researchers discovered that microbes influenced plant responses to global changes through at least four mechanisms: physical modification of the environment, secreting chemicals that mimic plant hormones, altering plant gene expression, and facilitating plant nutrient acquisition [2]. For example, in plants, bacteria in the genus *Azospirillum* have the capability of fixing nitrogen in the soil [3]. Other examples can be found in endophytes, organisms that inhabits the internal tissues of a plant without resulting in visible disease symptoms [4]. These include potentially beneficial micro-organisms for the plant, such as the endophytic fungal *Colletotrichum tropicale*, which induces the expression of hundreds of host defense-related genes in *Theobroma cacao* and resulting in stronger pathogen resistance [5].

Horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is the transmission of genetic material across the genome of biological organisms with reproductive barriers, which are particularly common in endophytic fungi species and their hosts [6,7]. On the one hand, HGT can facilitate microbial adaptation to adverse conditions in the environment and inside the host plant. The ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophile* acquired the genes involved in the catabolism of complex carbohydrates from bacteria and archaea, and these genes facilitated the Ciliates' colonization of the rumen [8]. Eighteen *Rhizophagus irregularis* genes were found to be recently acquired from either plants or bacteria and these acquired genes may participate in diverse but fundamental biological processes such as regulation of gene expression, mitosis, and signal transduction [9]. On the other hand, the horizontal gene transfer and resultant



Citation: Guo, X.; Wang, M.; Kang, H.; Zhou, Y.; Han, F. Distribution, Polymorphism and Function Characteristics of the GST-Encoding *Fhb7* in *Triticeae. Plants* **2022**, *11*, 2074. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11162074

Academic Editor: Tomás Naranjo

Received: 30 June 2022 Accepted: 5 August 2022 Published: 9 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). integration of the transferred material might provide the host with adaptive advantages towards environment changes and acquisition of new traits and functions [7]. A study reported that a gene encoding β -1, 6-glucanase was transferred from fungal endophyte to a cool-season grass host and may function to protect against infection by other fungal pathogens [10]. Another example is that 128 genes of 57 families in the moss *Physcomitrella patens* were identified as derived from prokaryotes, fungi, or viruses. These acquired genes are involved in essential or plants specific activities such as xylem formation, plant defense, and nitrogen recycling, as well as the biosynthesis of starch, polyamines, hormones and glutathione, which played critical roles in the transition of plants from aquatic to terrestrial environments [11].

Different methods have been proposed to identify HGT events, such as relying on gene distribution patterns, unexpected phylogenetic tree topology, and similarity search between genomes [7,12]. The genome composition, including the base compositions, the patterns of codon usage, and the frequencies of di- and trinucleotides in DNA sequence, were also the clues to identifying HGT events [7,13]. *Triticeae*, one tribe of the grasses, comprises many economical important foods (wheat, barley, and rye) and some fine forage accessions, including the genera *Thinopyrum*. Previous reports indicate that endophytic *Epichloë* species were discovered among many grass genera in *Triticeae*, such as *Elymus*, *Leymus*, *Roegneria* and *Agropyron* [14]. With the increasing availability of genomic data, more and more horizontal gene transfer events from microbe to plants are being identified.

Fusarium head blight (FHB), mainly caused by the fungus *Fusarium graminearum*, is currently one of the most economically important wheat diseases in the world, which not only results in grain yield loses but also reduces grain quality due to mycotoxin contamination [15]. Fusarium species easily cloned on the head at the flowering stage and subsequent infection bleached the wheat spikes and shrank the kernels. FHB resistance has been controlled quantitatively and more than 432 quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been mapped on all wheat chromosomes by linkage mapping or by association mapping [16]. Among these QTLs, researchers have formally named seven FHB-resistant ones: *Fhb1* on chromosome 3BS, Fhb2 on chromosome 6BS, Fhb3 introgressed to chromosome 7AS from Leymus racemosus chromosome 7Lr#1, Fhb4 on chromosome 4BL, Fhb5 on chromosome 5AS, Fhb6 on chromosome 1A from 1Ets#1S of Elymus tsukushiensis and Fhb7 on chromosome 7E2 from Thinopyrum ponticum [17-23]. Using recombinant inbred lines derived from a cross between two Thatcher-Th. ponticum substitution lines, K11463 (7E1/7D) and K2620 (7E2/7D), the major FHB resistance locus *FhbLoP* (designated as *Fhb7* now) was mapped to the very distal region of the long arm of chromosome 7E2 [23,24]. Wang et al. sequenced the genome of *Th. elongatum* (D-3458) and successfully mapped and cloned *Fhb7*, which encodes a glutathione S-transferase and confers wheat broad resistance to Fusarium head blight [25]. By blasting against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database, the authors did not find any homolog of *Fhb7* in the *Triticum* genus or in the entire plant kingdom. They found that the *Fhb7* homologs were distributed among Epichloë species, endophytic fungi of temperate grasses. Phylogenetic analyses suggested the Fhb7 in Thinopyrum wheatgrass was transferred from Epichloë species through horizontal gene transfer [25]. Based on these results, we were curious about why *Fhb7* was transferred from *Epichloë* species only to *Thinopyrum* and whether there was a special mechanism for the transfer from *Epichloë* species to *Thinopyrum*.

In recent years, many genomes of *Triticeae* have been deciphered, including diploid, tetraploid, hexaploid wheat species, barley, and rye. However, due to lack of detailed genomic data for many other species in *Triticeae*, a single blast search may not accurately characterize the *Fhb7* distribution in the entire plant kingdom. In this study, we checked the *Fhb7* distribution among *Triticeae* by using specific molecular markers. Our results demonstrate that *Fhb7* homologs are in fact distributed commonly in *Triticeae* and the horizontal gene transfer may have occurred before *Triticeae* differentiation instead of being unique to *Thinopyrum*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The wheat-*Th. elongatum* addition line CS-7EL, the wheat-*Th. elongatum* substitution line 7E/7D and the common wheat Chinese Spring (CS) were provided by Mingcheng Luo (UC Davis Plant Sciences). The wheat-*Th. ponticum* partial amphiploids XY693 and XY784 were provided by Zhensheng Li (Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences). The wheat-*Th. ponticum* partial amphiploid SNTE122 was provided by Honggang Wang (Shandong Agricultural University) and the wheat-*Th. ponticum* translocation lines TNT-B provided by George Fedak (Plant Research Centre, Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada). Moshe Feldman (Weizmann Institute of Sciences) provided the *Th. elonatum* accessions Ae31 and Ae56. Other accessions in *Triticeae* (Table S1) were provided by Yiwen Li (Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences).

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Sequence Amplification and Cloning of Fhb7 Homologs

A 3846-bp length sequence containing the *Fhb7* coding region and its upstream 3 kb sequence were extracted from the released Th. elongatum (D-3458) genome. Primers that are specific for coding region and promoter region were designed by using the software Primer5 (Table 1). The primers 26102F and 26102R were used to amplify the coding region of *Fhb7* homologs. The primers 26102ProF and 26102R were used to amplify the promoter and coding regions of Fhb7 homologs. About ten seeds for all accessions used in this study were germinated on moist filter paper in Petri dishes at room temperature. After five days, three to five seedlings for each accession were sampled for DNA extraction. The genomic DNA was extracted using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method. PCR was conducted in a 50 μ L reaction volume containing 1 μ L 100 ng/ μ L genomic DNA, 1 μL 10 μmol/L of each primer, 25 μL 2× KOD One[™] PCR Master Mix (Toyobo Biotech Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) and 22 µL sterilized ddH₂O. Amplified PCR products were separated on 1.5% agarose gels at 150 V for 20 min, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized using ultraviolet (UV) light. The amplified DNA product was purified by Universal DNA Purification Kit (Tiangen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and cloned into the *pEASY*[®]-Blunt Simple Cloning Vector (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China).

Table 1. Primers used in this study.

Primer Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Tm (°C)	Amplified Region		
26102F	CGATAGAAGA TAGCTTCAATCAACCCTTT	60	CDS		
26102R	CTACTTCACCTCGGCATACTTGTC				
26102ProF	TCCGCATTTCCCTTGCAGAT	60	Promoter and CDS		
26102RT-F 26102RT-R	GGACTTCCCTTGGATCCTGC ACCGACAATCATGTCCGCAT	60	CDS		
Actin-F Actin-R	CAACGAGCTCCGTGTCGCA GAGGAAGCGTGTATCCCTCATAG	60	CDS		

CDS indicates the coding region sequence.

2.2.2. Chromosome Preparation and Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH)

The seeds of the line CS-7EL, 7E/7D, SNTE122, and TNT-B were germinated on moist filter paper in a petri dish at room temperature for two to three days. The main roots were cut from the seedlings and placed in nitrous oxide for 2 h. Subsequently the roots were fixed in 90% acetic acid for 5 min and then washed three times by using sterile water. The section containing dividing cells was cut and digested in 20 μ L 1% pectolyase Y23 and 2% cellulase Onozuka R-10 solution for 1 h at 37 °C. After digestion, the root sections were washed in 75% ethanol two times briefly. The root sections were carefully broken by using

a needle and collected by centrifugation. The sedimentation was resuspended in 100% acetic acid solution. The cell suspension was dropped onto glass slides in a wet box and dried slowly.

The probes were labeled using the nick translation method. 7EL-1 was obtained by Dop-PCR from the 7EL library constructed by chromosome microdissection. It was specific for the genome of *Th. elongatum* and *Th. ponticum*. The centromeric retrotransposon of wheat (CRW) clone 6C6 was labelled with Texas-red-5-dCTP. The 2846-bp *Fhb7* homolog in the line CS-7EL and the probe 7EL-1 were labeled with Alexa Fluor-488-5-dUTP. The labeled probes were dissolved in $2 \times SSC$ and $1 \times TE$, dropped to the chromosome spreads. The slides were covered with a plastic sheet and denatured by heating at 100 °C for 5 min. After denaturing, the slides were placed into a moisturizing aluminum box with a lid and transferred into an incubator held at 55 °C overnight for hybridization. Then the slides were washed in $2 \times SSC$ buffer and the chromosomes were stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole).

2.2.3. FHB Resistance Evaluation on Wheat-Thinopyrum Derivatives

The FHB resistance evaluation was performed in field condition in Beijing (116°42′ E, 40°10′ N). To keep the flowering dates close, all plants were sown in stages. *F. graminearum* strains (Fg16-2, Fg16-5 and Fg16-11) and *Fusarium asiaticum* strain (Fa301) in Mung bean were mixed and 20 μ L fungal suspension (1 × 10⁶ conidia/mL) was injected into the central spikelet at early flowering stage. The wheat cultivar Jimai 22 was used as the susceptible control. For each of the lines, at least 10 spikes were inoculated with *Fusarium* species and the inoculated spikes were covered with a plastic bag for 2 days to keep moist for fungal infection. The number of diseased spikelets for each spike was recorded at 7, 14, and 21 days after inoculation. The statistical analysis was performed by the unpaired t test using the software GraphPad Prism 8.

2.2.4. The Expression Analysis of the *Fhb7* Homolog in the Line TNT-B

Three spikelets around the inoculated one from at least three spikes from different plants of the line TNT-B were collected at 96 h post inoculation and grounded in liquid nitrogen for total RNA extraction using TRIzol[®] Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Shanghai, China). First-strand cDNA synthesis from the total RNA was performed by using the FastKing RT kit (with gDNase) (TianGen Biotech Co., Beijing, China). The expression analyses were performed using the primers 26102RT-F and 26102RT-R (Table 1). The gene *actin* was used as an internal standard by the primers Actin-F and Actin-R. The relative expression of the *Fhb7* homolog was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

3. Results

3.1. Fhb7 Is Not Unique to Thinopyrum in Triticeae

We collected 126 different accessions belonging to *Triticeae* to check the *Fhb7* distribution via polymerase chain reaction (Table S1). *Fhb7* homologs were indeed detected in the genera *Thinopyrum*, including *Th. elongatum* (2n = 2x = 14), *Th. ponticum* (2n = 10x = 70) and *Th. intermedium* (2n = 6x = 42) (Figure 1). These results were confirmed by detecting the *Fhb7* homologs in some artificially synthesized wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives, such as the wheat-*Th. elongatum* addition line CS-7EL, wheat-*Th. ponticum* partial amphiploid XY693 and wheat-*Th. intermedium* partial amphiploid Zhong 2. However, other than the genera *Thinopyrum*, *Fhb7* homologs were also discovered in some accessions among the genera *Elymus*, *Leymus*, *Roegneria* and *Pseudoroegneria*. What is more, unlike *Thinopyrum*, the other four genera all had some accessions that could not detect *Fhb7* homologs, such as the *Elymus* accession PI 655199 and the *Leymus* accession PI 440326.



Figure 1. Detection of the *Fhb7* homologs among different species in *Triticeae*. *Th. elongatum*, diploid, 2n = 2x = 14. *Th.ponticum*, decaploid, 2n = 10x = 70. *Th. intermedium*, hexaploid, 2n = 6x = 42. *Trititrigia* means partial amphiploid produced from distant hybridization between common wheat and *Thinopyrum*. *Elymus*, *Leymus*, *Pseudoregneria*, and *Roegneria* represent four genera in *Triticeae*.

3.2. Polymorphism of Fhb7 Homologs in Triticeae

Fhb7 homologs were amplified from different accessions and inserted into the sequenced vector. Sequence comparisons revealed that the protein sequences were at least 94% identical across all of the *Fhb7* homologs in *Triticeae* plants (Figure 2). Despite indel variation and amino acid substitution across all of the homologs of *Fhb7*, no premature termination and code-shifting mutations occurred in the protein sequences. The main variation was the number of Thr-Ser at the amino terminus of the protein sequence (Figure 2). We also noticed that more than one *Fhb7* homologs were detected in some accessions, such as Th. ponticum PI 179162 and Roegneria kamoji ZY1007. These results were confirmed by detecting more than one *Fhb7* homologs in some artificially synthetic wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives, such as the wheat-Th. elongatum addition line CS-7EL and the wheat-Th. ponticum partial amphiploid XY784. To exclude the possibility of endophytic Epichloë species contamination, we used the reference genome of Th. elongatum (D-3458) to design primers and tried to amplify the fragment that included *Fhb7* homolog and its partial promoter region. Two similar fragments including a 2 kb promoter were isolated and sequenced. Further analysis revealed that the two sequences were corresponding to the two Fhb7 homologs detected in CS-7EL, respectively (Figure 3). These results were also confirmed by detecting two *Fhb7* homologs by performing reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction in CS-7EL. To further analyze the distribution characterization of the two Fhb7 homologs in CS-7EL, fluorescence in situ hybridization was conducted on the metaphase chromosomes in CS-7EL by using a 2846-bp probe including the complete coding region of the Fhb7 homolog and its 2 kb promoter. It was obvious that only one signal was detected at the end of the alien chromosome 7EL (Figure 4). These results suggested that all Fhb7 homologs distributed proximal to the chromosome 7EL and each homolog might arrange in close proximity.

		10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Fhb7	MA <mark>T S</mark>	T ST ST <mark>P</mark> I I	FYDIAQRPP	AETCCAVN	PWKSRLAL	IF <mark>K</mark> AV <mark>PYT</mark> TT	WV <mark>K</mark> MPDIS	SV <mark>R</mark> ASLNVPAC	R K F A DG S D F NT L	. PIIHDPATDSL	IGDSLDIAAY
7EL_1	MA TS	T S T S T P I I	FYDIAQRPP	AETCCAVN	PWKSRLAL	IF KAVPYTTT	WVKMPDIS	SVRASLNVPAC	RKFADGSDFNTL	PIIHDPATDSL	IGDSLDIAAY
7EL_2 XV693	MATSTSTS MA	TTTTT	FYDIAORPPY	AFTCCAVN	PWKSRIAL	FKAVPYTTT	WVKMPDIS	SVRASLNVPAC	RKFADGSDFNTL	PIIHDPATDSL	IGDSEDIAAY
XY784_1	MA	· · · · TT	FYDIAQRPP	AETCCAVN	PWKSRLAL	FKAVPYTTT	WVKMPDIS	SVRASLNVPAC	RKFADGSDYYTL	PIIHDPATDSL	IGDSFDIAAY
XY784_2	MA	<mark>TT</mark> II	FYDIAQRPP	AETCCAVN	PWKSRLAL	IF <mark>K</mark> AV <mark>PY</mark> TTT	WV <mark>K</mark> MPDIS:	SV <mark>R</mark> ASLNVPAC	R K F A DG S D Y Y T L	. <mark>PIIHDPATDS</mark> L	IGDSFDIAAY
PI179162_1	1 M A <mark>T S</mark>	T ST ST <mark>P</mark> I I	FYDIAQRPP	/A <mark>ETCC</mark> AVN	PWK SR LAL	IF <mark>K</mark> AV <mark>PY</mark> TTT	WV <mark>K</mark> MPDIS:	SV <mark>R</mark> ASLNVPAC	R K F A DG S D F NT L	. <mark>PIIHDPATDS</mark> L	I <mark>GDS</mark> F <mark>D</mark> IAAY
PI179162_2	2MA TS <mark>TS</mark>	T S T S T <mark>P</mark> I I	FYDIAQRPP	AETCCAVN	PWKSRLAL	FKAVPYTTT	WV KMPD I S	SVRASLNVPAC	RKFADGSDFNTL	PIIHDPATDSL	IGDSFDIAAY
2 11/008 PI567896		TSTSTPII TSTSTPII	FYDTAORPPY	VETCCAVN	PWKSRLAL		WVKMPDIS	SVRASLNVPAC	RKFADGSDENTL	PITHUPATUSL	IGDSLDIAAY
W621759	MA TSTS	TSTSTPII	FYDIAQRPP	AETCCAVN	PWKSRLAL	FKAVPYTTT	WVKMPDIS	SVRASLNVPAC	RKFADGSDFNTL	PIIHDPATDSL	IGDSLDIAAY
ZY1007_1	MA <mark>T S</mark>	T S T S T <mark>P</mark> I I	FYDIAQRPP	AETCCAVN	PWKSRLAL	IF <mark>K</mark> AV <mark>PY</mark> TTT	WV <mark>K</mark> MPDIS:	SV <mark>R</mark> ASLNVLAC	R K F A DG S D Y N T L	. PIIHDPATDSL	IGDSFDIAAY
ZY1007_2	MA <mark>T S</mark>	T S T S T <mark>P</mark> I I	FYDIAQRPP	/A <mark>etcc</mark> av <mark>n</mark>	PW <mark>K</mark> SRLAL	IF <mark>K</mark> AV <mark>PY</mark> TTT	WV <mark>K</mark> MPDIS:	SV <mark>R</mark> ASLNVPAC	RKFADGSDYNTL	. <mark>PIIHDP</mark> A <mark>TDS</mark> L	IGDSFDIAAY
	110	17	20 1	20	140	150	160	170	190	100	200
5447											
FND/ 7FI 1	LORTYPAS	GAGDLEPP GAGDLEPP	OKIDYAVGRI		FPLSEIRA-	SPELADYAN	FNSNVDAAI			AFFVRRAGISS	
7EL 2	LORTYPAS	GAGDLFPP	OKLDYAVGR	MQ QLL	FPLSEIRA	SPELADYAR	FNSNVDAAI	FTAHVGLMVHG	LPLDPATADVTK	AEFVRRAGLSS	WDDFEMVGEA
XY693	LQRTYPAS	GAGD L F <mark>P P</mark>	QKLDYAVGS	<mark>0</mark> M <mark>Q</mark> L L	I P L S E I R A -	SPELGDYA	F <mark>NSN</mark> VDAAI	F <mark>SAHVG</mark> LMVQ <mark>G</mark>	LPLDPATAEVTK	AEFV <mark>RR</mark> AGL <mark>SS</mark>	WDDFEMVGEA
XY784_1	LQRTYPAS	GAGD L F P P	QKLDYAVGSI	<mark>0</mark> M <mark>Q</mark> L L	I P L S E I R A -	SPELGDYA	F <mark>NSNVD</mark> AAI	F <mark>SAHVG</mark> LMVQ <mark>G</mark>	L P L D P A T A E V T K	A E F V <mark>R R</mark> A G L <mark>S S</mark>	WDDFEMVGEA
XY784_2	LQRTYPAS	GAGDLFPP	QKL DYAVGS	M QLL	IPLSEIRA-	SPELGDYA	FNSNVDAAI	FSAHVGLMVQG		AEFVRRAGLSS	WDDFEMVGEV
PI179162_1		GAGDLEPP GAGDLEPP			IPISEIRA.	SPELADYAR	FNSNVDAAI			AFEVRRAGISS	
ZY17008	LQRTYPAS	GAGDLFPP	QKLDYAYAV	RDMHMQLL	IPLSEIRAF	SPELADYAR	FNSDVDAAI	FTAHVGLMAHG	IPLDPATADVTK	AEFVRRAGLSS	WDDLEMVGEA
PI567896	LQRTYPAS	GAGD L F <mark>P P</mark>	QKLDYAVGR	M Q L L	I P L S E I R A -	SPELADYA	FNSNVDTAI	F <mark>TAHV</mark> GLMVHG	L P L D P A T A D L T K	A E F V <mark>R R</mark> A G L <mark>S S</mark>	WDDFEMVGEA
W621759	LQRTYPAS	G A G D L F P P	QKLDYAVGR	M Q L L	I P L S E I R A -	SPELADYA F	F <mark>NSN</mark> VDAAI	F <mark>TAHVG</mark> LMVH <mark>G</mark>	L P L D P A T A D V T K	. A E F V <mark>R R</mark> A G L <mark>S S</mark>	WDDF <mark>E</mark> MVGEA
ZY1007_1	LORTYPAS	GAGDLFPP	QKLDYAVGRE		IPLSEICA-	SPELADYA	FNNVDAAI	FTAHVGLMAHG		AEFVRRAGLSS	WDDFAMVGEA
2 1007_2	LUKIYPAS	GAGULFPP				SPELAUTA				ALFVKKAGLSS	WDDMEMVGEA
	210	220	230	240	250	2	60	270	280		
Fhb7	R D K MMQS L	R N M L G D L A	A L F <mark>R K D</mark> A <mark>S</mark> G F	^P FLL <mark>GQR</mark> A <mark>T</mark>	YA <mark>D</mark> M I V <mark>GG</mark> V	V L <mark>R</mark> M M <mark>R</mark> A <mark>T</mark> L P	V SEWQEAR	A <mark>CHG</mark> A I F <mark>GQ</mark> L H	DALDKYAEVK		
7EL_1	RDKMMQSL	RNMLGDLA	ALF <mark>QK</mark> DASGF	FLLGQRAT	Y A D M I V G G V	VL RMMRATLF	VSEWQEAR	ACHGAIFGQLH	DALDKYAEVK		
7EL_2	RDKMMQSL		ALFQKDASGF	FLLGQRAT	Y A DM I VGGV		V SEWQEAR	ACHGAIFGQLH	DALDKYAEVK		
XY784 1	RDKMMQSL		ALFRKDASGE	FLLGORAT			ASEWQEVR	ACHGAIFGOLH	DALDKYAEVK		
XY784_2	RDKMMQSL	RNMLGDLA	ALFRKDASG	FLLGQRAT	Y A D M I V GGV		ASEWQEVR	ACHGAIFGQLH	DALDKYAEVK		
PI179162_1	I <mark>RDK</mark> MM <mark>QS</mark> L	R N M L <mark>G D</mark> L A	A L F <mark>R K D</mark> A <mark>S</mark> G F	FLL <mark>GQR</mark> AT	YA <mark>D</mark> MIV <mark>GG</mark> V	VL <mark>R</mark> MM <mark>R</mark> A <mark>T</mark> LF	V <mark>S EWQ E</mark> A <mark>R</mark> /	A <mark>CHG</mark> AIF <mark>GQ</mark> LH	DALD <mark>KY</mark> AEV <mark>K</mark>		
PI179162_2	2 R D K MMQS L	R N M L G D L A	A L F <mark>R K D</mark> A <mark>S</mark> G F	FLL <mark>GQR</mark> AT	YADMI V <mark>GG</mark> V	VL <mark>RMMRAT</mark> LF	V S EWQ E A R	ACHGAIF <mark>GQ</mark> LH	DALDKYAEVK		
ZY17008	RDKMMQSL		ALFRKDASGF	FLLGORAT	Y A DM I VGGV		VSEWQEAR	ACHGAIFGQLH	DALDKYAEVK		
W621759	RDKMMQSL	RNMLGDLA	ALFRKDASG	FLLGORAT			VSEWQEAR	ACHGAIFGOIH	DALDKYAEVK		
ZY1007_1	RDKMMQSL	RNMLGDMA		FLLGQRAT	YADMIVGGV		VSEWQEAR	ACHGAIFGOLH	DALDKYAEVK		
ZY1007_2	R D <mark>K</mark> MMQS L	R N M L G D L A	A L F <mark>R K</mark> D A <mark>S</mark> G F	FLL <mark>GQR</mark> AT	YA <mark>D</mark> MIV <mark>GG</mark> V	V L <mark>R</mark> M M <mark>R</mark> A <mark>T</mark> L F	V S EWQ E V R	A <mark>CHG</mark> AIF <mark>GQ</mark> LH	DALDKYAEVK		

Figure 2. Protein sequence alignments of the *Fhb7* homologs in *Triticeae*. "_1" and "_2" means different *Fhb7* homologs detected in one accession.

7EL 7EL_	1AAAC 2AAAC	ACAAC ACAAC	CGCA1	GGAG GGAG	GAAG	стсст <mark>/</mark> стсст		AAAAG AAAAG	GACTO GACTO	GGTT GGTT	<mark>GTA</mark> TT GT <mark>A</mark> TT	TTT <mark>A</mark> T TTT <mark>A</mark> T	ттттт ттттт	T <mark>GGGC</mark> T <mark>GGGC</mark>	<mark>GGTCT</mark> GGTCT	T <mark>A T G</mark> T T <mark>A T G</mark> T	GCAAA GCAAA	GTTCC GTTCC
7EL_ 7EL_	1 <mark>AAGO</mark> 2AAGO	ACACC ACACC	TGTGT TGTGT	ГТТСТ ГТТСТ	GGATO GGATO	стте	GG <mark>T</mark> G	AG <mark>C</mark> GC AGCGC	T <mark>GCA</mark> T T <mark>GCA</mark> T	GGGT GGGT	GC <mark>G</mark> TT GC <mark>G</mark> TT	G <mark>T</mark> AAC GTAAC	A <mark>TGG</mark> T ATGGT	T <mark>GAC</mark> A TGACA	TATGA TATGA	AACAC AACAC	GTGGT GTGGT	Т <mark>А</mark> ССТ Т <mark>А</mark> ССТ
7EL_ 7EL_	1001A 2001A		AAA <mark>CO</mark> AAA - O	GTAT GTAT	GAAGO GAAGO	GAAG <mark>CI</mark> GAAG <mark>CI</mark>	GAAG GAAG	ATGCA ATGCA	CGTAG CGTAG	CCAA CCAA	ATC <mark>G</mark> C ATC <mark>G</mark> C	GA <mark>T</mark> AA GA <mark>T</mark> AA	C <mark>GCA</mark> C T <mark>GCA</mark> C	CCC <mark>AA</mark> CCC <mark>AA</mark>	AAAAA AAAAA	A A A A A A A A A A	AG <mark>CAT</mark> AG <mark>CAT</mark>	A <mark>CAA</mark> C ACAAC
7EL_ 7EL_	1 <mark>ATTC</mark> 2 <mark>ATTC</mark>	ACTT ACTT	ACTGO ACTGO	стстс	TCTA TCTA		GAGGG	AG <mark>CTT AG</mark> CTT	ТТ <mark>А</mark> ТС ТТ <mark>А</mark> ТС	сттс	C <mark>A</mark> TTT C <mark>A</mark> TTT	GTA <mark>C</mark> G GTA <mark>C</mark> G	A <mark>T</mark> AGA G <mark>T</mark> AGA	AGA <mark>T</mark> G AGA <mark>T</mark> A	<mark>G</mark> CTTC <mark>G</mark> CTTC	AA <mark>TC</mark> A AA <mark>TC</mark> A	ACCCT ACCCT	тттст тттст
7EL_ 7EL_	1 <mark>СТТС</mark> 2 <mark>СТТС</mark>	ATTCA ATTCA	TC <mark>A</mark> TC TC <mark>A</mark> TC	сст <mark>с</mark> с сст <mark>с</mark> с	GA <mark>T</mark> AA GA <mark>T</mark> AA	AGAAA AGAAA	CC <mark>A</mark> CO	CCATA CCATA	TC <mark>AAC</mark> TC <mark>AA</mark> C	CC <mark>A</mark> T	С <mark>G</mark> TC <mark>A</mark> СТТС <mark>А</mark>	тс <mark>а</mark> сс тс <mark>а</mark> сс	ATGGC ATGGC	с с <mark>А</mark> сст	CC <mark>A</mark> CC	<mark>AC</mark> TCC <mark>A</mark> C	стсс <mark>А</mark> стсс <mark>А</mark>	сстсс сстсс
7EL_ 7EL_	1 <mark>ACCT</mark> 2 <mark>ACCT</mark>	CC <mark>A</mark> CC CC <mark>A</mark> CC	CCAA1	гс <mark>а</mark> тс гсатс	ттст/ ттст/	A <mark>C</mark> GA <mark>C</mark> A ACGACA	TAGCO TAGCO	CC <mark>AG</mark> C CC <mark>AG</mark> C	<mark>GG</mark> CCC GGCCC	ccc <mark>g</mark> ccc <mark>g</mark>	TC <mark>GC</mark> A TC <mark>GC</mark> A	GAAA <mark>C</mark> GAAA <mark>C</mark>	AT <mark>GCT</mark> AT <mark>G</mark> CT	<mark>GCG</mark> CC GCGCC	GTCAA GTCAA	сссті сссті	GGAAA GGAAA	TCC <mark>AG</mark> TCC <mark>AG</mark>
7EL_ 7EL_	1 <mark>ACTO</mark> 2 <mark>ACTO</mark>	GCCCТ GCCCТ	CAACT CAACT	TTC <mark>AA</mark> TTC <mark>AA</mark>	GG <mark>CC</mark> (GG <mark>CC</mark> (тсссо тсссо	CT <mark>ACA</mark> CT <mark>ACA</mark>	C <mark>AA</mark> CC CAACC	ACCT ACCT	GGTC GGTC	AAGA <mark>T</mark> AAGA <mark>T</mark>	G <mark>CC</mark> AG G <mark>CC</mark> AG	ACATC ACATC	AG <mark>C</mark> AG AG <mark>C</mark> AG	с <mark>б</mark> тсс с <mark>б</mark> тсс	GCGCC GCGCC	AGCCT AGCCT	CAAC CAAC

Figure 3. Partial sequence comparison of two *Fhb7* homologs coding region and promoter region in wheat-*Th. elongatum* addition line CS-7EL. The red arrow indicates the initiation codon.



Figure 4. *Fhb7* homolog distribution detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization in the line CS-7EL. The CRW is labeled in red. The 2846-bp *Fhb7* homolog is labeled in green. DAPI staining is labeled in blue. The insets show high-magnification images of chromosomes 7EL in the white box.

3.3. Contrast Reactions of Wheat-Thinopyrum Derivatives Carrying Fhb7 Homologs to FHB

As mentioned above, the *Fhb7* homologs were detected in some artificially synthesized wheat-Thinopyrum derivatives. We detected Fhb7 homologs in wheat-Th. elongatum substitution lines 7E/7D, wheat-Th. ponticum amphiploid SNTE122 and wheat-Th. ponticum translocation line TNT-B (Figure 5A,B). However, we found they have different reactions to FHB: the line 7E/7D showed high level resistance to FHB with only several spikelets bleached while SNTE122 and TNT-B were highly susceptible to FHB with nearly whole spike bleached (Figure 5C,D). To exclude the possibility of sequence variation, we cloned and sequenced the Fhb7 homologs in them. Compared with Fhb7, amino acid substitutions and deletions were discovered in the homolog of the line SNTE122 and only substitutions in that of the line TNT-B (Figure 5E). We also discovered that the protein sequence of the Fhb7 homolog in TNT-B is the same with that of the homolog in the wheat-Th. ponticum substitution line 7E2/7D (Figure 5E), which was used as the resistant parent in the *Fhb7* mapping population [25]. Due to the contrast reaction to FHB, the expression pattern of the Fhb7 homolog in TNT-B was characterized by qRT-PCR. We surprisingly found that the Fhb7 homolog in TNT-B was dramatically induced 96 h after inoculation with Fusarium species (Figure 5F). Therefore, contrast reactions of wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives carrying Fhb7 homologs to FHB were discovered in our study.



Figure 5. FHB resistance evaluation on wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives carrying *Fhb7* homologs. (A) Detecting *Fhb7* homolog in wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives. (B) Karyotype analysis on wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives. The white arrows indicate the alien chromosome. (C,D) FHB resistance evaluation on wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives. The spike pictures (C) were photographed and the number of diseased spikelets (D) were recorded 14 d after inoculation with *Fusarium* species. (E) Protein sequence comparison of the *Fhb7* homologs among wheat-*Thinopyrum* derivatives. (F) Expression analysis of the *Fhb7* homolog in the line TNT-B. Fg indicated *Fusarium* species. *** *p* < 0.001, ns, *p* > 0.05.

4. Discussion

Although several methods have been enumerated for identifying potential HGT events, there are some imperfections in each method [7]. Therefore, researchers suggested that one or more of the above-mentioned methods should be used in combination to properly identify identifying HGT events [7]. Although no *Fhb7* homolog was discovered by blast searching the NCBI GenBank database, it may be inaccurate to draw the conclusion that *Thinopyrum* gained *Fhb7* through the HGT from an endophytic *Epichloë* species [25,26]. Lacking detailed genomic information for many species in *Triticeae*, the true distribution of *Fhb7* homologs in *Triticeae* was masked. In our study, the molecular evidence clearly shows that *Fhb7* homologs are distributed commonly in *Triticeae* and is not exclusive to the genus *Thinopyrum* in the plant kingdom. Our results suggested that the HGT of the *Fhb7* was not an accidental happening by chance only in the genera *Thinopyrum* but may have instead occurred before *Triticeae* differentiation. We wonder when *Triticeae* might have borrowed such an alien gene from *Epichloë* species during evolution. More puzzling is why horizontal gene transfer did not occur to the genera *Triticum* that are known to be seriously affected by *Fusarium* species.

As wheat relative species, *Th. elongatum* and *Th. ponticum* are important reservoirs of elite genes for wheat improvement and the genes for FHB resistance derived from them have been located on the homologous group seven, 7EL for *Th. elongatum* and 7E2 for *Th. ponticum*, respectively [23,27,28]. Although two genes were both located to the homologous group seven, no molecular evidence could verify the relationship between different genes or homologs. Referring to the newly assembled *Th. elongatum* (D-3458) genome, a glutathione S-transferase was identified as a candidate for *Fhb7* by map-based

cloning and conferred broad resistance to Fusarium species by detoxifying trichothecenes through de-epoxidation [25]. Unlike the results published, we firstly discovered that some wheat-Thinopyrum derivatives carrying Fhb7 homologs were highly susceptible to FHB. For the *Fhb7* homolog in the line SNTE122, the similar protein sequence shared with that derived from the wheat-*Thinopyrum* substitution line 7E2/7D and the induction by *Fusarium* species lead us to suspect the function of *Fhb7* on FHB resistance. Secondly, *Fhb7* was proved by a single copy in the assembled *Th. elongatum* genome [25]. However, more than one *Fhb7* homolog was detected in some *Thinopyrum* accessions and wheat-Thinopyrum derivatives in our study. By amplifying the promoter sequence of Fhb7 homolog, we excluded the possibility of contamination by the endophytic *Epichloë* species. The two expressed *Fhb7* homologs in CS-7EL might be attributed to a recent burst of gene duplications in Triticeae [29]. The Fhb7 in an FHB-resistant substitution line 7E2/7D used for mapping was demonstrated to be semidominant and it was applied into wheat breeding by creating wheat-*Th. ponticum* translocation lines [25]. As wheat-alien chromosomes addition or substitution lines usually carried linkage drags between useful and undesirable genes, translocation lines were preferred to transfer alien genes to common wheat by breeders for its higher possibility of breaking linkage drag [30,31]. Based on the dosage effect of *Fhb7* homolog, the translocation lines derived from the addition line CS-7EL may have better resistance to FHB than the substitution line 7E2/7D. Other than gene duplications, the alloploid nature might be another reason for the absence of more than one *Fhb7* homologs in some accessions, such as *Th. ponticum* PI 179162 and *R. kamoji* ZY1007.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/plants11162074/s1. Table S1: Distribution of the *Fhb7* homologs in *Triticeae*.

Author Contributions: The experiments were designed by F.H., X.G. and F.H. wrote the manuscript. X.G. conducted DNA extraction, FISH and sequences clone. M.W. helped sequence comparison and date analysis. The manuscript was revised by H.K. and Y.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was founded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0102001).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We thank Yiwen Li (Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences) and Moshe Feldman (Weizmann Institute of Sciences) for providing *Triticeae* accessions. We acknowledge Mingcheng Luo (UC Davis Plant Sciences) for providing the wheat-*Th. elongatum* addition line CS-7EL. We thank James A. Birchler (University of Missouri) and Nathan Han (Washington University, St. Louis) for critically reading and editing the manuscript, and for providing valuable suggestions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Compant, S.; Samad, A.; Faist, H.; Sessitsch, A. A review on the plant microbiome: Ecology, functions, and emerging trends in microbial application. J. Adv. Res. 2019, 19, 29–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Angulo, V.; Beriot, N.; Garcia-Hernandez, E.; Li, E.; Masteling, R.; Lau, J.A. Plant-microbe eco-evolutionary dynamics in a changing world. *New Phytol.* 2022, 234, 1919–1928. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Steenhoudt, O.; Vanderleyden, J. Azospirillum, a free-living nitrogen-fixing bacterium closely associated with grasses: Genetic, biochemical and ecological aspects. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2000, 24, 487–506. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Goodwin, P.H. The Endosphere Microbiome of Ginseng. Plants 2022, 11, 415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mejia, L.C.; Herre, E.A.; Sparks, J.P.; Winter, K.; Garcia, M.N.; Van Bael, S.A.; Stitt, J.; Shi, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Guiltinan, M.J.; et al. Pervasive effects of a dominant foliar endophytic fungus on host genetic and phenotypic expression in a tropical tree. *Front. Microbiol.* 2014, *5*, 479. [CrossRef]
- 6. Huang, J. Horizontal gene transfer in eukaryotes: The weak-link model. *Bioessays* 2013, 35, 868–875. [CrossRef]

- 7. Tiwari, P.; Bae, H. Horizontal Gene Transfer and Endophytes: An Implication for the Acquisition of Novel Traits. *Plants* **2020**, *9*, 305. [CrossRef]
- Ricard, G.; McEwan, N.R.; Dutilh, B.E.; Jouany, J.P.; Macheboeuf, D.; Mitsumori, M.; McIntosh, F.M.; Michalowski, T.; Nagamine, T.; Nelson, N.; et al. Horizontal gene transfer from Bacteria to rumen Ciliates indicates adaptation to their anaerobic, carbohydratesrich environment. *BMC Genom.* 2006, 7, 22. [CrossRef]
- 9. Li, M.; Zhao, J.; Tang, N.; Sun, H.; Huang, J. Horizontal gene transfer from bacteria and plants to the Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus Rhizophagus irregularis. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 701. [CrossRef]
- Shinozuka, H.; Hettiarachchige, I.K.; Shinozuka, M.; Cogan, N.O.I.; Spangenberg, G.C.; Cocks, B.G.; Forster, J.W.; Sawbridge, T.I. Horizontal transfer of a ss-1,6-glucanase gene from an ancestral species of fungal endophyte to a cool-season grass host. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 9024. [CrossRef]
- 11. Yue, J.; Hu, X.; Sun, H.; Yang, Y.; Huang, J. Widespread impact of horizontal gene transfer on plant colonization of land. *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 1152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koonin, E.V.; Makarova, K.S.; Aravind, L. Horizontal gene transfer in prokaryotes: Quantification and classification. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 2001, 55, 709–742. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ochman, H.; Lawrence, J.G.; Groisman, E.A. Lateral gene transfer and the nature of bacterial innovation. *Nature* 2000, 405, 299–304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Song, H.; Nan, Z.; Song, Q.; Xia, C.; Li, X.; Yao, X.; Xu, W.; Kuang, Y.; Tian, P.; Zhang, Q. Advances in research on *Epichloë* endophytes in Chinese native grasses. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 1399. [CrossRef]
- 15. Timmusk, S.; Nevo, E.; Ayele, F.; Noe, S.; Niinemets, Y. Fighting Fusarium pathogens in the era of climate change: A conceptual approach. *Pathogens* **2020**, *9*, 419. [CrossRef]
- Ma, Z.; Xie, Q.; Li, G.; Jia, H.; Zhou, J.; Kong, Z.; Li, N.; Yuan, Y. Germplasms, genetics and genomics for better control of disastrous wheat Fusarium head blight. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2020, 133, 1541–1568. [CrossRef]
- 17. Cuthbert, P.A.; Somers, D.J.; Thomas, J.; Cloutier, S.; Brulé-Babel, A. Fine mapping *Fhb1*, a major gene controlling Fusarium head blight resistance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2006**, *112*, 1465. [CrossRef]
- 18. Cuthbert, P.A.; Somers, D.J.; Brulé-Babel, A. Mapping of *Fhb2* on chromosome 6BS: A gene controlling Fusarium head blight field resistance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2007**, *114*, 429–437. [CrossRef]
- 19. Qi, L.L.; Pumphrey, M.O.; Friebe, B.; Chen, P.D.; Gill, B.S. Molecular cytogenetic characterization of alien introgressions with gene *Fhb3* for resistance to Fusarium head blight disease of wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2008**, *117*, 1155–1166. [CrossRef]
- Xue, S.; Li, G.; Jia, H.; Xu, F.; Lin, F.; Tang, M.; Wang, Y.; An, X.; Xu, H.; Zhang, L.; et al. Fine mapping *Fhb4*, a major QTL conditioning resistance to Fusarium infection in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2010, 121, 147–156. [CrossRef]
- Xue, S.; Xu, F.; Tang, M.; Zhou, Y.; Li, G.; An, X.; Lin, F.; Xu, H.; Jia, H.; Zhang, L.; et al. Precise mapping *Fhb5*, a major QTL conditioning resistance to Fusarium infection in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2011, 123, 1055–1063. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cainong, J.C.; Bockus, W.W.; Feng, Y.; Chen, P.; Qi, L.; Sehgal, S.K.; Danilova, T.V.; Koo, D.-H.; Friebe, B.; Gill, B.S. Chromosome engineering, mapping, and transferring of resistance to Fusarium head blight disease from *Elymus tsukushiensis* into wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2015, *128*, 1019–1027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Guo, J.; Zhang, X.; Hou, Y.; Cai, J.; Shen, X.; Zhou, T.; Xu, H.; Ohm, H.W.; Wang, H.; Li, A. High-density mapping of the major FHB resistance gene *Fhb7* derived from *Thinopyrum ponticum* and its pyramiding with *Fhb1* by marker-assisted selection. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2015, 128, 2301–2316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shen, X.; Ohm, H. Molecular mapping of *Thinopyrum*-derived Fusarium head blight resistance in common wheat. *Mol. Breed.* 2007, 20, 131–140. [CrossRef]
- 25. Wang, H.; Sun, S.; Ge, W.; Zhao, L.; Kong, L. Horizontal gene transfer of *Fhb7* from fungus underlies Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. *Science* 2020, *368*, eaba5435. [CrossRef]
- 26. Wang, Q.; Huang, J. Fungal genes in plants: Impact and potential applications. Trends Plant Sci. 2020, 25, 1064–1067. [CrossRef]
- Fu, S.; Lv, Z.; Qi, B.; Guo, X.; Li, J.; Liu, B.; Han, F. Molecular cytogenetic characterization of wheat-*Thinopyrum elongatum* addition, substitution and translocation lines with a novel source of resistance to wheat Fusarium head blight. *J. Genet. Genom.* 2012, 39, 103–110. [CrossRef]
- Ceoloni, C.; Forte, P.; Kuzmanovic, L.; Tundo, S.; Moscetti, I.; De Vita, P.; Virili, M.E.; D'Ovidio, R. Cytogenetic mapping of a major locus for resistance to Fusarium head blight and crown rot of wheat on *Thinopyrum elongatum* 7EL and its pyramiding with valuable genes from a *Th. ponticum* homoeologous arm onto bread wheat 7DL. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2017, 130, 2005–2024. [CrossRef]
- 29. Wang, X.; Yan, X.; Hu, Y.; Qin, L.; Wang, D.; Jia, J.; Jiao, Y. A recent burst of gene duplications in Triticeae. *Plant Com.* **2021**, 3, 100268. [CrossRef]
- Falke, K.C.; Susic, Z.; Wilde, P.; Wortmann, H.; Mohring, J.; Piepho, H.P.; Geiger, H.H.; Miedaner, T. Testcross performance of rye introgression lines developed by marker-assisted backcrossing using an Iranian accession as donor. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2009, 118, 1225–1238. [CrossRef]
- 31. Jiang, J.; Friebe, B.; Gill, B.S. Recent advances in alien gene transfer in wheat. Euphytica 1993, 73, 199–212. [CrossRef]