

the CNS by natalizumab [peak α 4-integrin saturation on lymphocytes is achieved 3 days after initial IV infusion and maintained at >80% for 4 weeks, sufficient to prevent significant CNS transmigration of lymphocytes^{5,6}]; (2) ongoing steroid treatment of CNS inflammation; (3) effective endogenous remyelination mechanisms in this patient (suggested by complete and timely recovery from previous disabling relapses); and (4) early resolution of encephalopathy enabling effective therapy and accelerated recovery.

The decision to suspend or continue natalizumab in pregnancy must consider risks to both fetus and mother. Although the risks to the fetus of natalizumab exposure appear low and reversible,⁷ further evidence is required, particularly long-term data on children exposed in utero. Careful counselling at the time of natalizumab initiation in women of childbearing age is imperative.

From ABMU (T.H.M., R.S., S.S., O.R.P.), Morriston Hospital, Swansea; Cardiff University (T.H.M.); and Hywel Dda University Health Board (C.O.), Wyllybush Hospital, Haverfordwest, UK.

Author contributions: Thomas Massey: study concept and design, data accumulation and interpretation, drafting/revision of manuscript. Rachel Smith: data accumulation and interpretation, revision of manuscript. Shaheena Sadiq: data accumulation and interpretation, revision of manuscript. Christopher Overton: data accumulation and interpretation, revision of manuscript. Owen Pearson: study concept and design, data accumulation and interpretation, drafting/revision of manuscript.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank the patient and her family for their assistance with this report.

Study funding: No targeted funding reported.

Disclosure: T. Massey has received support to attend a scientific meeting from Novartis. R. Smith, S. Sadiq, and C. Overton report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. O. Pearson has received honoraria and support to attend scientific meetings, speakers' fees, and advisory boards from Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Teva, Merck Serono, and Roche. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures. The Article Processing Charge was paid by Cardiff University.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Received April 28, 2016. Accepted in final form October 27, 2016.

Correspondence to Dr. Pearson: Owen.Pearson@wales.nhs.uk

Copyright © 2017 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology

1. Lenhard T, Biller A, Mueller W, Metz I, Schönberger J, Wildemann B. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome after withdrawal of natalizumab? *Neurology* 2010; 75:831–833.
2. Tan IL, McArthur JC, Clifford DB, Major EO, Nath A. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in natalizumab-associated PML. *Neurology* 2011;77:1061–1067.
3. Miravalle A, Jensen R, Kinkel RP. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in patients with multiple sclerosis following cessation of natalizumab therapy. *Arch Neurol* 2011;68:186–191.
4. Papeix C, Depaz R, Tourbah A, Stankoff B, Lubetzki C. Dramatic worsening following plasma exchange in severe post-natalizumab withdrawal multiple sclerosis relapse. *Mult Scler* 2011;17:1520–1522.
5. Plavina T, Fox EJ, Lucas N, Muralidharan KK, Mikol D. A randomized trial evaluating various administration routes of natalizumab in multiple sclerosis. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2016; 56:1254–1262.
6. Rudick RA, Sandrock A. Natalizumab: alpha 4-integrin antagonist selective adhesion molecule inhibitors for MS. *Expert Rev Neurother* 2004;4:571–580.
7. Ebrahimi N, Herbstritt S, Gold R, Amezcua L, Koren G, Hellwig K. Pregnancy and fetal outcomes following natalizumab exposure in pregnancy: a prospective, controlled observational study. *Mult Scler* 2015;21: 198–205.

Felix Kleefeld
Sophie Heller
Heiko Jessen, MD
Patrick Ingiliz, MD
Antje Kraft, PhD
Katrin Hahn, MD

EFFECT OF INTERFERON-FREE THERAPY ON COGNITION IN HCV AND HCV/HIV INFECTION: A PILOT STUDY

OPEN

Approval of direct-acting antivirals against the hepatitis C virus (HCV) has dramatically changed the management of HCV infection due to high cure rates and a favorable safety profile. Their influence on neurologic aspects is notably relevant, as studies demonstrated active HCV replication within the CNS¹ and alterations in cerebral metabolism consistent with neuroinflammatory conditions.² These findings may be causative for cognitive deficits in HCV-infected patients.³ Similar impairment has been demonstrated in patients coinfecting with HIV, with a prevalence as high as 60%.⁴ Therefore, these patients may

particularly benefit from HCV eradication. To date, studies addressing the issue of reversibility of cognitive deficits after HCV therapy are based on interferon treatment, which itself can cause continuing cognitive impairment.⁵ The important question whether these deficits are indeed reversible after HCV eradication remains unsolved to date.

Methods. We conducted an open observational trial with HCV and HCV/HIV coinfecting patients and planned HCV treatment. Patients with liver cirrhosis (defined as fibroscan >12.5 kPa), a history of substance dependence, or cerebral diseases were excluded. HIV-infected patients had to have undetectable plasma HIV levels for at least 6 months. We assessed a comparison group of healthy controls (n = 30) for baseline, but not for follow-up. The neuropsychological test battery

Table Cognitive performance at baseline before therapy			
Domains evaluated ^a	Infected (n = 25)	Control (n = 30)	p Value
Visual learning/memory			
Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure: immediate recall	51.73 (11.48)	62.68 (11.00)	0.001
Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure: delayed recall	50.50 (10.82)	62.00 (10.81)	0.000
Attention/working memory			
Digit span: forwards	50.71 (12.04)	59.88 (7.84)	0.002
Digit span: backwards	49.63 (11.00)	61.13 (8.66)	0.000
d2 Test of attention: concentration	43.24 (8.33)	51.50 (8.60)	0.001
Executive function			
Color-Word Interference Test	47.60 (11.00)	54.83 (9.69)	0.012
Trail-Making Test Part B	49.22 (12.69)	57.33 (7.63)	0.005
Processing speed			
Trail-Making Test Part A	48.35 (10.50)	55.20 (8.04)	0.008

Values are presented as mean (SD) of t scores for all tests.

^aNot significant: language/verbal fluency, logical thinking/nonverbal intelligence level.

included standardized tests and—to minimize practice effects—alternate versions for follow-up testing, covering 7 domains (table). We used age-corrected norms. To test for baseline and longitudinal differences, we used *t* tests for dependent and independent samples, respectively. Participants completed self-report assessments of cognitive symptoms, instrumental activities of daily living, fatigue, depression, and quality of life. Testing sessions took place at baseline and at the earliest 12 weeks after the end of HCV treatment.

Results. We have seen 25 HCV-positive outpatients between 2015 and 2016 before treatment. Fifteen were coinfecting with HIV. All but one participant were male. Groups did not differ significantly in age or years of education (patients [mean age 43.8 years (SD 11.7); mean education 15.1 years (SD 2.1)]; controls [mean age 39.5 years (SD 9.9); mean education 16.1 years (SD 2.0)]). Mean nadir CD4 of the coinfecting group was 328 cells/ μ L (SD 215); baseline CD4 cell count was 846 cells/ μ L (SD 242) and remained stable over time. At baseline, the patient group showed significantly poorer performance in the domains of visual and working memory, processing speed, attention, and executive functioning (table). We did not observe a difference between monoinfected and coinfecting patients.

To date, 12 participants have completed therapy and undergone follow-up. Treatment regimens were well-tolerated and included the following: ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir + ribavirin (n = 2), ledipasvir/sofosbuvir (n = 9), or sofosbuvir + ribavirin (n = 1). Mean therapy duration was 10 weeks (8–12 weeks); all patients were HCV-RNA-negative at week 12 after treatment completion.

At follow-up assessment, patients did not show cognitive decline in any domains compared to baseline; neither did any patient report subjective impairment during or after the therapy. On the contrary, 2-tailed *t* tests indicate a significant ($p < 0.05$) improvement in the domains visual memory, processing speed, attention, and executive functioning. In addition, we observed a significant ($p = 0.022$) decline in self-reported fatigue severity. There was no significant change in the level of depression, but an increase in self-reported quality of life.

Discussion. In this study, we investigate the effect of an interferon-free treatment on cognitive function in HCV-monoinfected and HCV/HIV coinfecting patients. Our data show that HCV-infected patients without comorbidities had significantly poorer cognitive performance than controls. Against our expectations, HIV coinfection did not increase the extent of cognitive deficits. These findings partially contradict conclusions made by other studies. A recent study⁶ showed that HCV coinfection did not have an effect on cognitive function in a cohort of HIV-infected patients, which led the authors to question an influence of HCV on cognition per se.

In contrast, our data suggest a significant influence of HCV infection on cognitive function, which seems to outweigh that caused by HIV infection. Our first follow-up data support this assumption, showing an improvement in those cognitive domains that were impaired before HCV eradication. Our study was explicitly designed to detect differences attributable to HCV eradication. In contrast to the cross-sectional approach of most previous studies, we therefore chose a longitudinal approach.

Nevertheless, these first data have to be interpreted carefully due to small sample size. Practice effects might partially contribute to the observed improvements. However, reported effect sizes are relatively small (Cohen $d = 0.00$ – 0.24)⁷ compared to the ones seen in our cohort ($d = 0.20$ – 1.79). To verify an improvement, more follow-up data need to be considered using a reliable change index approach⁸ to differentiate between practice effects and treatment-related cognitive changes.

From Universitätsmedizin Charité (F.K., S.H., A.K., K.H.); Praxis Jessen2+ Kollegen Berlin (H.J.); and Medizinisches Infektologiezentrum Berlin (P.I.), Germany.

Author contributions: Felix Kleefeld: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the manuscript. Sophie Heller: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, revision of the manuscript. Heiko Jessen and Patrick Ingiliz: study concept and design, patient recruiting, revision of the manuscript. Antje Kraft: study concept and design, revision of the manuscript. Katrin Hahn: study concept and design, study supervision, critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Ute Kopp for neuropsychological advice.

Study funding: No targeted funding reported.

Disclosure: F. Kleefeld and S. Heller report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. H. Jessen has received payment for study cost from Gilead Sciences GmbH; for Board membership from Gilead Sciences GmbH, Bioscientia-Institut für Medizinische Diagnostik GmbH, and ViiV Healthcare GmbH; for speaker activities from ViiV Healthcare GmbH, Abbvie Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG, Bristol-Myers Squibb GmbH & Co KGaA, Gilead Sciences GmbH, and Gilead Sciences Ltd.; and for travel/accommodation/meeting expenses from ViiV Healthcare GmbH, Abbvie Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG, Bristol-Myers Squibb GmbH & Co KGaA, Gilead Sciences GmbH, and Gilead Sciences Ltd. P. Ingiliz has received lecture or consultancy fees from AbbVie, BMS, Gilead, Janssen, and MSD. A. Kraft reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. K. Hahn has received lecture fees from AbbVie, Astellas, CSL Behring, Gilead, Pfizer, and ViiV. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures. The Article Processing Charge was paid by the authors.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Received May 16, 2016. Accepted in final form November 22, 2016.

Correspondence to Dr. Hahn: Katrin.hahn@charite.de

Copyright © 2016 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology

1. Wilkinson J, Radkowski M, Laskus T. Hepatitis C virus neuroinvasion: identification of infected cells. *J Virol* 2008; 83:1312–1319.
2. Pflugrad H, Meyer GJ, Dirks M, et al. Cerebral microglia activation in hepatitis C virus infection correlates to cognitive dysfunction. *J Viral Hepat* 2016;23:348–357.
3. Grover VP, Pavese N, Koh SB, et al. Cerebral microglial activation in patients with hepatitis C: in vivo evidence of neuroinflammation. *J Viral Hepat* 2011;19: e89–e96.
4. Hinkin CH, Castellon SA, Levine AJ, Barclay TR, Singer EJ. Neurocognition in individuals co-infected with HIV and hepatitis C. *J Addict Dis* 2008;27:11–17.
5. Cattie JE, Letendre SL, Woods SP, et al. Persistent neurocognitive decline in a clinic sample of hepatitis C virus-infected persons receiving interferon and ribavirin treatment. *J Neurovirol* 2014;20:561–570.
6. Clifford DB, Vaida F, Kao YT, et al. Absence of neurocognitive effect of hepatitis C infection in HIV-coinfected people. *Neurology* 2014;84:241–250.
7. Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, Grant I, Temkin NR. Test-retest reliability and practice effects of expanded Halstead-Reitan neuropsychological test battery. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 1999;5:346–356.
8. Iverson GL. Interpreting change on the WAIS-III/WMS-III in clinical samples. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2001;16: 183–191.

WriteClick® rapid online correspondence

Have a comment on a recent *Neurology*® article you would like to share? Now it is easier and more convenient. *Neurology.org* has launched WriteClick on the home page and sidebars of each article to encourage remarks and debate among users.

WriteClick is restricted to comments about studies published in *Neurology* within the last eight weeks.

Learn more at Neurology.org/letters



Practice Current: An interactive exchange on controversial topics

“How do you treat neuromyelitis optica (NMO)?”

Share your own best practices. Take the survey now!

<http://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/3257706/Practice-Current-4-Jan-26-2017>

Neurology® Clinical Practice