

Letter

Comments on the study by Taniguchi and coworkers - proving Hippocrates is alive

Nahit Cakar

Istanbul Medical Faculty, Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Capa/Istanbul, Turkey 34093

Corresponding author: Nahit Cakar, cakarn@istanbul.edu.tr

Published: 24 August 2009

This article is online at <http://ccforum.com/content/13/4/415>

© 2009 BioMed Central Ltd

Critical Care 2009, **13**:415 (doi:10.1186/cc7957)

See related research by Taniguchi *et al.*, <http://ccforum.com/content/13/1/R6>, and related commentary by Adıgüzel *et al.*, <http://ccforum.com/content/13/3/142>

I read with interest the article by Taniguchi and coworkers [1] and its accompanying commentary by Adıgüzel and colleagues [2] in *Critical Care*. I have some questions concerning the methodology of the study. Taniguchi and coworkers randomized the postoperative patients to two groups: automated pressure support (PS) mandatory rate ventilation (MRV) and manual PS. In the automated PS MRV group, the patient's expected respiratory rate (RR) was used as a guide to adjust the PS level, employing the algorithm of the Taema-Horus Ventilator® (Air Liquid, France) in MRV mode. However, in the manual PS group the guide for adjusting the PS level was tidal volume/RR (which was kept less than 80 l), and adjustments were done manually every 30 minutes by intensive care staff.

The study did not compare automated weaning with manual weaning. Rather, it compared automated weaning using a RR target versus manual weaning using tidal a volume/RR ratio

target. To justify the conclusions reached by Taniguchi and coworkers and the title of the report, these treatment groups would have needed to differ only in terms of the automated versus manual management component.

Second, they weaned the patients when the PS level decreased to 5 to 7 cmH₂O without conducting a spontaneous breathing trial at the start of the study. This may complicate weaning and prolong the weaning time in this group of patients.

Third, are postoperative patients suitable for such a weaning study? It is likely that whatever protocol you use for weaning, most of them will be weaned without any difficulty in a very short period of time.

To prove that Hippocrates is alive we need fine-tuned studies. If not we may believe that he is alive but it will be unproven.

Authors' response

Corinne Taniguchi and Carmen SV Barbas

We read with interest the comments by Cakar about our article [1] and the commentary by Adıgüzel and colleagues in *Critical Care* [2]. Regarding Cakar's doubts about the methodology of our study that randomized postoperative patients to mandatory rate ventilation or to manual reduction of pressure support, we invite Cakar to observe Figures 5 and 7 of Taniguchi and colleagues study [1] in which the intention to treat analysis showed a mean respiratory rate around 15 bpm and a mean RR/TV (L) around 30 in both groups with no statistical differences between them during the weaning period. According to these results Taniguchi and colleagues actually compared automatic versus manual pressure support reduction in the weaning of postoperative patients as stated in the title of the study.

Regarding the second question about the fact that Taniguchi and colleagues did not perform a spontaneous breathing trial at the initiation of the study the authors would like to clarify that their postoperative patients were sedated and recovering from paralysis at ICU entry, this being the main reason of the absence of spontaneous breathing trial at the beginning of the protocol. Thirdly, our postoperative population consisted of patients that the anesthesiologists decided not to extubate in the recovery room and sent to the ICU for weaning. These postoperative patients are a very good population to test concerning the clinical performance of automatic weaning algorithm. Finally, quoting Adıgüzel, Güngör and Tobin, we also believe that Hippocrates is alive and weaning in Brazil.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Taniguchi C, Eid RC, Saghabi Cilene, Souza R, Silva E, Knobel E, Paes ÁT, Barbas CS: **Automatic versus manual pressure support reduction in the weaning of post-operative patients: a randomised controlled trial.** *Crit Care* 2009, **13**:R6.
2. Adıgüzel N, Güngör G, Tobin Martin J: **Hippocrates is alive and weaning in Brazil.** *Crit Care* 2009, **13**:142.