



Fundamental Contribution and Host Range Determination of ANP32A and ANP32B in Influenza A Virus Polymerase Activity

Haili Zhang,^a Zhenyu Zhang,^a Yujie Wang,^a Meiyue Wang,^a Xuefeng Wang,^a Xiang Zhang,^a Shuang Ji,^a Cheng Du,^a Hualan Chen,^a [®] Xiaojun Wang^a

aState Key Laboratory of Veterinary Biotechnology, Harbin Veterinary Research Institute, The Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Harbin, China

ABSTRACT The polymerase of the influenza virus is part of the key machinery necessary for viral replication. However, the avian influenza virus polymerase is restricted in mammalian cells. The cellular protein ANP32A has been recently found to interact with viral polymerase and to influence both polymerase activity and interspecies restriction. We report here that either human ANP32A or ANP32B is indispensable for human influenza A virus RNA replication. The contribution of huANP32B is equal to that of huANP32A, and together they play a fundamental role in the activity of human influenza A virus polymerase, while neither human ANP32A nor ANP32B supports the activity of avian viral polymerase. Interestingly, we found that avian ANP32B was naturally inactive, leaving avian ANP32A alone to support viral replication. Two amino acid mutations at sites 129 to 130 in chicken ANP32B lead to the loss of support of viral replication and weak interaction with the viral polymerase complex, and these amino acids are also crucial in the maintenance of viral polymerase activity in other ANP32 proteins. Our findings strongly support ANP32A and ANP32B as key factors for both virus replication.

IMPORTANCE The key host factors involved in the influenza A viral polymerase activity and RNA replication remain largely unknown. We provide evidence here that ANP32A and ANP32B from different species are powerful factors in the maintenance of viral polymerase activity. Human ANP32A and ANP32B contribute equally to support human influenza viral RNA replication. However, unlike avian ANP32A, the avian ANP32B is evolutionarily nonfunctional in supporting viral replication because of a mutation at sites 129 and 130. These sites play an important role in ANP32A/ ANP32B and viral polymerase interaction and therefore determine viral replication, suggesting a novel interface as a potential target for the development of antiinfluenza strategies.

KEYWORDS ANP32A, ANP32B, RNA replication, influenza A virus, interspecies transmission, polymerase activity

Wirus transmission from its natural host species to a different species reflects mechanisms of molecular restriction, evolution, and adaptation. Birds harbor most of the influenza A viruses, which are typically replication-restricted in human hosts because of receptor incompatibility and limited viral polymerase activity in human cells. Although many host factors have been reported to be involved in viral replication (1–8), the key mechanisms that determine viral polymerase activity and host range are poorly understood.

Influenza A viral ribonucleoprotein (vRNP), the viral minimum replicon, comprises the viral genome, the heterotrimeric RNA polymerase PB1, PB2, PA, and the nucleoprotein (NP), and carries out viral RNA transcription and replication in infected cells. Avian influenza A polymerases have very limited activity in mammalian cells, indicating an unknown host-specific restriction mechanism that directly affects viral RNA replicaWang X, Zhang X, Ji S, Du C, Chen H, Wang X. 2019. Fundamental contribution and host range determination of ANP32A and ANP32B in influenza A virus polymerase activity. J Virol 93:e00174-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI .00174-19.

Citation Zhang H, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Wang M,

Editor Adolfo García-Sastre, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Copyright © 2019 Zhang et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Xiaojun Wang, wangxiaojun@caas.cn.

H.Z. and Z.Z. contributed equally to this work.

Accepted 9 April 2019

Accepted manuscript posted online 17 April 2019 Published 14 June 2019 tion. The adaptation of avian viruses to mammals, such as occurred with the H5N1 and H7N9 avian viruses, occurs along with substitutions on the viral polymerase, mainly on the PB2 subunit (E627K and other signatures), which enhance viral replication (9–28). Many host factors have been reported to interact with the vRNP complex to help viral replication (7, 29–40). Of these proteins, the acidic nuclear phosphoprotein 32 family, members A and B (ANP32A and ANP32B), have been found to regulate viral RNA synthesis (7). Interestingly, chicken ANP32A (chANP32A) has been reported to specifically promote avian influenza replication due to the 33-amino-acid insert (8). This 33-amino-acid insert includes a hydrophobic SUMO interaction motif, which connects to host SUMOylation to partially contribute to the promotion of avian viral polymerase activity (41). Furthermore, ANP32A from birds has splicing variants without SUMO interaction motif also support viral replication and polymerase adaptation (42). These studies suggest that ANP32A plays an important role in viral replication.

The acidic (leucine-rich) nuclear phosphoprotein 32-kDa (ANP32) family comprises several members, including ANP32A, ANP32B, and ANP32E, which have various functions in the regulation of gene expression, intracellular transportation, and cell death (43). Although ANP32A is considered to be an important cofactor of the influenza virus polymerase and to influence the viral host range, the roles of different ANP32 members in viral replication, and the extent to which the proteins are involved in the activity of the polymerase remain unclear. In this study, by using CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screening, we found that huANP32A and huANP32B play fundamental roles in the facilitation of human influenza A viral RNA synthesis, and that both huANP32A and huANP32B contribute equally. The mammalian ANP32 proteins give no or only limited support to the avian virus polymerase. Human ANP32A&B do not support the replication of the avian influenza virus, but this restriction can be overcome by E627K substitution in the viral PB2 protein. Furthermore, we found that chicken ANP32B has no effect on polymerase activity, which can be ascribed to mutations in two key amino acid residues of ANP32A&B that determine their activity in supporting viral RNA replication. Together, these data reveal fundamental roles for ANP32A and ANP32B in supporting influenza virus A polymerase activity as well as a site key for their function, and show that both ANP32A and B determine viral polymerase adaptation and host range.

(This article was submitted to an online preprint archive [44].)

RESULTS

Human ANP32A&B are critical host factors that determine viral polymerase activity and virus replication. Previous studies have reported that several host factors, including BUB3, CLTC, CYC1, NIBP, ZC3H15, C14orf173, CTNNB1, ANP32A, ANP32B, SUPT5H, HTATSF1, and DDX17, interact with influenza viral polymerase and that some of these factors have an effect on viral polymerase activity (3, 4, 29). All of these observations were based on the gene transient knockdown technique, meaning that the results may vary because of the different knockdown efficiency of target genes. Using a CRISPR/Cas9 system, however, allows rapid knockout of certain genes and accurate evaluation of target proteins. To identify the critical roles of the abovementioned host factors in influenza viral replication, we used a CRISPR/Cas9 system to establish a series of knockout 293T cell lines, and a model virus-like luciferase RNA was expressed, together with the viral polymerases PB1, PB2, PA, and NP, to determine the polymerase activity in these cell lines. First, we tested the polymerase activity of 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus A/Sichuan/01/2009 (H1N1_{SC09}) (45) on these knockout 293T cells and found that individual knockout of NIBP, ZC3H15, or DDX17 results in a 2- to 4-fold decrease in viral polymerase activity, which is consistent with previous results (3, 29). However, in none of the other single-gene-knockout cells was viral polymerase activity blocked (Fig. 1A). We did not observe any reduction of viral polymerase activity in ANP32A or ANP32B knockout cells, which was a surprising result since ANP32A and ANP32B (ANP32A&B) were reported to be important host factors supporting viral polymerase activity (7, 8, 41, 42). Since ANP32A and ANP32B have high similarity in both structure and known functions, we predicted that in single-knockout cell lines of



FIG 1 huANP32A&B are indispensable for influenza A virus polymerase activity and viral replication. (A) Wild-type 293T cells and single-gene-knockout 293T cell lines, including BUB3, CLTC, CYC1, NIBP, ZC3H15, C14orf173, CTNNB1, ANP32A, ANP32B, SUPT5H, HTATSF1, and DDX17, were transfected with firefly minigenome reporter, Renilla expression control, and the polymerase of H1N1_{scop}. The luciferase activity was measured 24 h after transfection, and data indicate the firefly luciferase gene activity normalized to the Renilla luciferase gene activity. Statistical differences between samples are indicated, according to a one-way ANOVA, followed by a Dunnett's test (NS, not significant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001). Error bars represent the SEM within one representative experiment. (B) Wild-type 293T cells were transfected with pMJ920 vector (a plasmid expressing eGFP and Cas9) and gRNAs targeting huANP32A and huANP32B to generate huANP32A&B double-knockout cells (DKO). The endogenous proteins of different cells (293T cells, huANP32A knockout cells [AKO], huANP32B knockout cells [BKO], and DKO cells) were identified by Western blotting with antibodies against β -actin, huANP32A, and huANP32B as described in Materials and Methods. (C) Design scheme of sgRNAs for huANP32A and huANP32B. (D to F) Wild-type 293T cells, huANP32A knockout cells (AKO), huANP32B knockout cells (BKO), and huANP32A&B double-knockout cells (DKO) were transfected with firefly minigenome reporter, Renilla expression control, and either H1N1_{SC09} polymerase (D), H7N9_{AH13} polymerase (E), or WSN polymerase (F). The luciferase activity was measured at 24 h posttransfection. For panels D to F, the data are the firefly luciferase gene activity normalized to that of Renilla luciferase activity. Statistical differences between cells are indicated, following a one-way ANOVA and subsequent Dunnett's test (NS, not significant; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001). Error bars represent the SEM of replicates within one representative experiment. The expression levels of H1N1_{scop} polymerase proteins were assessed by Western blotting in panel D. (G) Wild-type 293T, AKO, BKO, and DKO cells were infected with WSN virus at an MOI of 0.01. The supernatants were sampled at 0, 12, 24, 36, and 48 h postinfection, and the virus titers were determined by endpoint titration in MDCK cells. Error bars indicate the SD from three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.

ANP32A or ANP32B, the presence of either one of these two proteins could support viral replication in the absence of the other. We then developed an ANP32A and ANP32B double-knockout cell line to confirm this hypothesis. Human ANP32A (huANP32A) knockout 293T cells (AKO cells), human ANP32B (huANP32B) knockout 293T cells (BKO cells), and ANP32A and ANP32B double-knockout cells (DKO) were confirmed by Western blotting with ANP32A- and ANP32B-specific antibodies (Fig. 1B). The target sequences of sgRNAs for huANP32A and huANP32B are shown in Fig. 1C. In AKO and BKO cells, the viral polymerases have similar activities to wild-type (WT) 293T cells, but when both ANP32A and ANP32B were knocked out (DKO), the polymerase activity was abolished (\sim 10,000-fold reduction) (Fig. 1D), although the polymerase was expressed equally in the 293T, AKO, BKO, and DKO cells (Fig. 1D). We then tested the polymerase activities of 2013 China H7N9 human isolate A/Anhui/01/2013 (H7N9_{AH13}) (46), and H1N1 virus A/WSN/1933 (WSN) on AKO, BKO, and DKO cell lines and found that the viral polymerase complex lost all activity in the DKO cells but not in the AKO or BKO cells (Fig. 1E and F). We further confirmed the effect of huANP32A&B on viral infectivity by infecting 293T cells and KO cells with WSN virus. In DKO cells, but not AKO or BKO cells, the infectivity of WSN decreased by >10,000-fold (Fig. 1G). These results indicate a crucial role for both ANP32A&B in viral RNA replication.

The knockout of both ANP32A and ANP32B led to dramatic loss of viral polymerase activity (\sim 10,000-fold), which is distinct from a previous report that used a gene knockdown method and resulted in an approximately 3- to 5-fold reduction in viral polymerase activity (8). We found that reconstitution of either huANP32A or huANP32B, or both of them, in the DKO cells restored the viral polymerase activities of H1N1_{SCO9}, H7N9_{AH13}, and WSN viruses (Fig. 2A to C). Expression of huANP32A or huANP32B in DKO cells supported the H1N1_{SC09} viral polymerase activity in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2D). We confirmed that the required level of expression of huANP32A or B is very low, and overdose expression has a negative effect (Fig. 2E), suggesting an explanation of the confusing phenotype previously observed, that in normal 293T cells overexpression of ANP32 protein decreased viral polymerase activity (8). Reconstitution of huANP32A or huANP32B or both of them in DKO cells restored full viral infectivity (Fig. 2F), indicating that huANP32A and huANP32B are of fundamental importance in human influenza viral replication. These data proved that huANP32A and huANP32B are key factors required for polymerase activity, that they have similar functions in the support of viral replication, and that they can function independently and contribute equally to influenza virus polymerase activity.

Influenza A virus replication starts from the transcription and replication of the negative single-stranded viral RNA (vRNA) by the vRNP complex. vRNA is transcribed into positive cRNA (cRNA) and mRNA; the cRNA is then used as a template to amplify into new vRNA (47, 48). We found that vRNA, cRNA, and mRNA synthesis was dramatically reduced in DKO cells. However, when huANP32A was reconstituted in the DKO cells, vRNA, cRNA, and mRNA synthesis was fully recovered (Fig. 3A to C). We observed similar results from the reconstitution of ANP32B or both ANP32A and ANP32B (Fig. 3A to C), indicating that huANP32A&B are key factors in triggering the replication of the human influenza viral genome.

We next used an eight-plasmid reverse genetics system in $H1N1_{sCO9}$ and tested the virus production in the supernatant of the transfected cells using an antigen capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (49). The result showed that the DKO cells had very low NP production in the cell supernatant compared to the 293T, AKO, or BKO cells (Fig. 3D). The NP production was recovered when the huANP32A and/or huANP32B was expressed in DKO cells (Fig. 3E). Taken together, these results suggest that huANP32A and huANP32B determine viral RNA replication efficiency and subsequent viral production in 293T cells.

Support of influenza A viral replication by ANP32A or ANP32B from different species. ANP32A&B are members of the evolutionarily conserved ANP32 family, which has various functions in the regulation of gene expression, intracellular transport, and cell death (43). ANP32A&B exist in almost all eukaryotic cells, with the exception of early



FIG 2 Reconstitutions of huANP32A&B rescue polymerase activity and replication of influenza A virus. We cotransfected 20 ng of huANP32A or huANP32B, 10 ng of huANP32A and 10 ng of huANP32B, or 20 ng of empty vector with either H1N1_{SC09} polymerase (A), H7N9_{AH13} polymerase (B), or WSN polymerase (C) into DKO cells and then assayed the luciferase activity at 24 h after transfection. (D and E) Increasing doses of huANP32A or huANP32B were cotransfected with H1N1_{SC09} polymerase into DKO cells. The luciferase activity was measured at 24 h posttransfection. For panels A to E, the data are the firefly luciferase gene activities normalized to that of the *Renilla* luciferase activity. Statistical differences between cells are indicated, following a one-way ANOVA and subsequent Dunnett's test (NS, not significant; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001). Error bars represent the SEM of the replicates within one representative experiment. (F) DKO cells were infected with WSN virus at an MOI of 0.0.1. The supernatants were determined as described above. Error bars indicate the SD from three independent experiments (NS, not significant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001.

eukaryotic life (yeast and other fungi) (50). We then investigated the support of ANP32A or ANP32B from different species for viral polymerase activity in DKO cells. ANP32A from human, chicken, duck, turkey, zebra finch, mouse, pig, and horse sources and ANP32B from human and chicken sources were expressed individually with minigenomes of either H1N1_{SCO9}, human isolate H7N9_{AH13}, H3N2 canine influenza virus A/canine/Guangdong/1/2011(H3N2_{GD11}), H3N8 equine influenza virus A/equine/Xinjiang/1/2007(H3N8_{XJ07}), A/equine/Jilin/1/1989(H3N8_{JL89}), or H9N2 chicken virus A/chick-



FIG 3 huANP32A&B determine viral RNA replication efficiency and viral production. (A to C) Wild-type 293T or DKO cells were transfected with the H1N1_{SC09} minigenome reporting system, together with 20 ng of empty vector, huANP32A, huANP32B, or huANP32A plus huANP32B. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 h before reverse transcription, followed by quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) for vRNA, mRNA, and cRNA of the luciferase gene. Error bars represent the SD from three independent experiments (NS, not significant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001). (D and E) Replication kinetics of H1N1_{SC09} in ANP32 knockout cells. 293T, AKO, BKO, and DKO cells in 6-well plates were transfected with 0.5 μ g each of the eight plasmids of H1N1_{SC09} (D), or, in DKO cells, 40 ng of huANP32A, 40 ng of huANP32B, 20 ng each of huANP32A and huANP32B, or 40 ng of empty vector was cotransfected with the eight plasmids of H1N1_{SC09} (E). The cells were cultured at 37°C, and supernatants were collected at 0, 12, 24, 48, and 60 h posttransfection and subjected to virus production assay by ELISA as described in Materials and Methods. Error bars indicate the SD from three independent experiments (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.000; ****

en/Zhejiang/B2013/2012(H9N2_{Z112}) in DKO cells (Fig. 4A to G). Interestingly, we found that chicken and other avian ANP32A proteins, which contain an additional 33 amino acids compared to the ANP32 proteins of mammals (8), supported viral polymerase activities in all cases, whereas the ANP32A proteins from humans, pigs, and horses, as well as human ANP32B, supported mammalian influenza virus polymerase activities, but not that of chicken $H9N2_{ZJ12}$ or the $H3N8_{JL89}$ (an avian-like virus). This result is consistent with previous reports that avian ANP32A can promote avian viral polymerase activity in human cells (8, 42). PB2 is the most important polymerase subunit and affects host range (31, 51, 52). Almost all the avian viruses had a glutamic acid (E) at PB2 residue 627, while it could be rapidly selected as a lysine (K) when the virus adapted to mammals, accompanied with increased pathogenicity and transmission abilities. The PB2 E627K mutation has long been regarded as a key signature of the avian influenza virus in overcoming the block to replicate in mammalian cells (9, 20, 22, 27, 28, 45, 53, 54). The H7N9 virus strain A/Anhui/01/2013 is an avian original virus with E627K (human viral signature) on the PB2 subunit; however, other key residues of PB2, including 588A, 591Q, 598 V, and 701D, are all avian viral signatures. We observed that the K627E mutation in H7N9_{AH13} lost support from mammalian ANP32 proteins (Fig. 4H), while



FIG 4 Support of influenza A viral replication by ANP32A or ANP32B from different species. (A) One microgram of each ANP32 plasmid was transfected into 293T cells using Lipofectamine 2000. At 48 h posttransfection, the cell lysates were analyzed using SDS-PAGE and Western blotting with antibodies (Continued on next page)

Journal of Virology

Zhang et al.

E627K mutations in isolates of avian origin (horse H3N8_{JL89} or chicken H9N2_{ZJ12}) dramatically changed viral fitness to mammalian ANP32 proteins (Fig. 4I and J). These results revealed that ANP32A&B play important roles in influenza A viral replication across different species and that mammalian ANP32 proteins provide poor support for avian influenza viral RNA replication, which may be the major determinant for the adaptation of influenza A virus to different host.

A novel 129-130 site vital for influenza polymerase activity in ANP32A&B. Surprisingly, chicken ANP32B did not support any viral RNA replication, and mouse ANP32A showed limited support to H1N1_{SC09} and H3N8_{X107}, indicating a functional loss in these molecules (Fig. 4). The ANP32 protein family has a conserved structure containing an N-terminal leucine-rich repeat (LRR) and a C-terminal low-complexity acidic region (LCAR) domain (43). ANP32A&B have been reported to interact directly with the polymerase complex (7, 42). The key functional domains of ANP32 proteins remain largely unknown. We noticed that the chicken ANP32B (chANP32B) was functionally inactive and hypothesized that certain amino acids may be responsible for this phenotype. Alignment of huANP32B, pgANP32B, and chANP32B revealed scattered substitutions on the proteins (Fig. 5A). Chimeric clones between chicken and human ANP32B were constructed and evaluated (Fig. 5B). We found that the replacement of amino acids 111 to 160 of huANP32B with those of chANP32B aborted the activity of this protein, while chANP32B with the human fragment from amino acids 111 to 161 (fragment 111-161) gained the ability to boost viral polymerase activity (Fig. 5C and D). Further comparing of ANP32B fragments 111-161 for chickens, humans, and pigs showed eight amino acid substitutions (Fig. 6A). Of these, a combined mutation N129I/D130N, but not others, on huANP32B impaired H1N1 polymerase activity (Fig. 6B), and the N129I showed stronger impairment than did the D130N mutation (Fig. 6C). We confirmed this phenotype on H7N9 polymerase activity (Fig. 6D). The 129-130 mutations also aborted the support of huANP32A of H1N1 and H7N9 polymerases (Fig. 6E and F). Furthermore, substitutions at amino acids 129 and 130 in chANP32A and chANP32B reversed the support of these proteins of the H7N9 virus human isolate (Fig. 6G). Interestingly, in the context of a chicken H7N9 viral minigenome, although the mutation of amino acids 129 and 130 of chANP32A impaired the polymerase activity, the chANP32B reverse mutation did not restore its support to chicken-like H7N9 viral RNA replication (Fig. 6H), indicating that chicken virus replication may require both the 129-130 site and an extra 33-amino-acid peptide as in chANP32A. Most terrestrial mammalian ANP32A&B proteins have a 129-ND-130 signature, but most of the avian ANP32Bs have 129-IN-130 residues (Table 1). Murine ANP32A (muANP32A) has 129-NA-130, and this may explain the impaired support of H1N1 polymerase by muANP32A (Fig. 4 and 6l). To further confirm the function of the 129-130 site, we made inactivating mutations of huANP32A and huANP32B at the sites 129 and 130 (N129I, D130N) on the 293T genome using CRISPR-Cas9 mediated recombination (Fig. 7A to D); the double mutated proteins expressed well and fully impaired the replication of both H1N1_{SC09} and H7N9_{AH13} (Fig. 7E and F). Interestingly, the single ANP32A or ANP32B mutations led to slightly decreased polymerase activities compared to wild-type 293T cells, suggesting competition between the mutated proteins and the native proteins for viral polymerase binding.

FIG 4 Legend (Continued)

against Flag peptide and β -actin. (B to J) Twenty nanograms of either empty vector or ANP32A or ANP32B from one of several different species was cotransfected with a minigenome reporter, *Renilla* expression control, and human influenza virus polymerase from H1N1_{SC09} (B), H7N9_{AH13} (C), canine influenza virus H3N2_{GD11} (D), equine influenza virus H3N8_{XJ07} (E) and an avian origin equine influenza virus H3N8_{JL89} (F), avian influenza virus H9N2_{ZJ12} (G), H7N9_{AH13} with PB2 K627E (H), H3N8_{XJ07} with PB2 E627K (I), or H9N2_{ZJ12} with PB2 E627K (I) into DKO cells. The luciferase activity was measured 24 h later. Data indicate the firefly luciferase gene activity normalized to that of *Renilla* luciferase activity. Statistical differences between cells are indicated, following a one-way ANOVA and subsequent Dunnett's test (NS, not significant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001). Error bars represent the SEM of the replicates within one representative experiment. dk, duck; ty, turkey; *z*f, zebra finch; mu, mouse; pg, pig; eq, equine.



FIG 5 Mapping of crucial sites in ANP32 proteins that influence viral polymerase activity. (A) ANP32B of chicken, human, and pig sequences were aligned using Geneious R6 software. The notches are marked with dashes. The similarity of amino acid identity is highlighted in different colors. (B) Schematic diagram of chimeric clones between chicken and human ANP32B, constructed according to the known domains (separated by dotted lines: 1 to 41 amino acids, LRR1 region; 42 to 110 amino acids, LRR2,3&4 region; 111 to 161 amino acids, LRRCT region; 162 to 251 amino acids, LCAR region [as showed in panel A]). The bars indicate the origins of the genes by color as follows: white, huANP32B; and blue, chANP32B. (C and D) Chimeric clones were cotransfected with minigenome reporter, *Renilla* expression control, and H1N1_{SCO9} polymerase into DKO cells. The luciferase activity was measured 24 h later. Data indicate the polymerase activity normalized to that of *Renilla*. The statistical differences between samples are indicated, following one-way ANOVA and subsequent Dunnett's test (NS, not significant; ****, P < 0.0001). Error bars represent the SEM of replicates within a representative experiment.

The 129-130 site in ANP32B affects its interaction with viral polymerase. It has been reported that ANP32A variants interact with the polymerase subunit PB2 and that the avian ANP32A can enhance avian viral RNP assembly in human cells (42). ANP32A and ANP32B can interact with polymerase only when three subunits of the polymerase are present (7). ANP32B proteins from different species have a conserved structure that comprises a N-terminal LRR region and a C-terminal LCAR (Fig. 8A). To investigate the function of the 129-130 site in ANP32B in the interaction of this protein with viral polymerase, we used ANP32B and its variants to cotransfect with the WSN minireplicon into DKO cells. Coimmunoprecipitations detected strong interactions between huANP32A and the polymerase subunits PB1 and PA (Fig. 8B, lane 1) but weak interactions when chANP32B was present (Fig. 8B, lane 2). No interactions were detected when PB1 was absent (Fig. 8B, lane 3), indicating that this interaction occurred between ANP32B and the viral trimeric polymerase complex, which is consistent with previous findings (7, 41). As controls, we also observed that the LCAR truncations of huANP32B were unable to interact with the viral polymerase (Fig. 8B, lanes 4 to 6), in agreement with previous observations (41). When we mutated the functional human



FIG 6 Key amino acids in ANP32A&B determine the activity of influenza viral polymerases. (A) Amino acid sequence comparison for chicken, human, and pig ANP32B proteins (amino acids 110 to 161). The amino acid sequences are available in GenBank. The black dots indicate the different amino acid within these proteins. (B to D) huANP32B mutants were cotransfected with polymerase plasmids from $H1N1_{SCO9}$ (B and C) or $H7N9_{AH13}$ (D), plus a minigenome reporter and a *Renilla* luciferase control, into DKO cells. The luciferase activity was then assayed 24 h after transfection. (E and F) huANP32A mutants were cotransfected with polymerase plasmids from $H7N9_{AH13}$ (F), plus a minigenome reporter and a *Renilla* luciferase control, into DKO cells. The luciferase cotransfected with polymerase plasmids from $H7N9_{AH13}$ (F), plus a minigenome reporter and a *Renilla* luciferase control, into DKO cells. (G and H) chANP32A or chANP32B mutants were cotransfected with polymerase plasmids from $H7N9_{AH13}$ (F), plus a minigenome reporter, *Renilla* expression control, (G) or 627E (H), respectively. (I) Mutated muANP32A or human ANP32 proteins were cotransfected with minigenome reporter, *Renilla* expression control, and $H1N1_{SCO9}$ polymerase into DKO cells. Luciferase activity was analyzed as mentioned above. The data indicate the firefly luciferase gene activity. Statistical differences between cells are indicated, following one-way ANOVA and subsequent Dunnett's test (NS, not significant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001). Error bars represent the SEM of the replicates within a representative experiment.

ANP32B at the 129-130 site to the chicken ANP32B signature, the huANP32B lost the ability to interact with viral polymerase. Conversely, chANP32B gained the ability to coimmunoprecipitate with viral polymerase when its 129-130 sites were mutated to the human ANP32B signature (Fig. 8C). Together, these results revealed a fundamental function of ANP32A and ANP32B in supporting influenza A viral polymerase activity and

Host	Residue at amino acid position 129 or 130 ^a			
	ANP32A		ANP32B	
	129	130	129	130
Gallus	Ν	D		N
Anas platyrhynchos	Ν	D	I	N
Homo sapiens	Ν	D	Ν	D
Gorilla gorilla	Ν	D	Ν	D
Felis catus	Ν	D	Ν	D
Canis lupus familiaris	Ν	D	Ν	D
Bos taurus	Ν	D	Ν	D
Sus scrofa	Ν	D	Ν	D
Mus musculus	Ν	А	S	D
Eauus caballus	Ν	D	Ν	D

^aThe sequences of different species ANP32 proteins were retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information.

a novel 129-130 site of ANP32A&B in different species that may influence influenza virus replication.

DISCUSSION

Although the host factors that are involved in influenza A virus replication have been long investigated, and genome-wide screening has shown that many host proteins interact with viral polymerase, the key mechanisms that determine viral polymerase activity in different cells remain largely unclear. ANP32A and ANP32B have been previously identified binding with viral polymerase and promoting human influenza viral vRNA synthesis (7). Furthermore, a recent study showed for the first time that chicken ANP32A can rescue avian polymerase activity in human cells because the chicken ANP32A harbors an extra stretch of 33 amino acids that is absent in mammalian ANP32A (8). However, the potencies of ANP32A and ANP32B (as well as other host factors) in viral replication are not well investigated in different hosts. In our study, we used CRISPR/Cas9 knockout cells to screen the candidate host proteins involved in viral RNA replication. We identified that ANP32A and ANP32B are key host cofactors that determine influenza A virus polymerase activity. Without ANP32A&B, the viral polymeras activity decreased by 10,000-fold, and the viral infectivity decreased by >10,000fold. In contrast, the DDX17 knockout cells showed \sim 3-fold-decreased viral polymerase activity (Fig. 1A), which was in agreement with prior reports (29). We found that ANP32A or ANP32B can independently restore viral polymerase activity, indicating that both have a similar function in viral replication (7).

Influenza viruses and their hosts have a long coevolution history. ANP32 family members are expressed in animal and plant cells, with both conserved LRR and LCAR domains (50). Three conserved ANP32 members (ANP32A, ANP32B, and ANP32E) in vertebrates were reported to have several functions in cells. We found that knockout of both ANP32A and ANP32B abolished influenza viral replication, whereas ANP32E may not be involved in viral replication. Interestingly, we found that the chicken ANP32A keeps the conserved function to support both avian and mammalian virus polymerase activity, while human ANP32A or ANP32B did not support avian viral replication. Thus, we demonstrate here that ANP32A and ANP32B are key host factors that play a fundamental role in influenza A viral RNA replication.

A recent study revealed that avian ANP32A has a hydrophobic SUMO interaction motif (SIM) in an extra 33-amino-acid insert region which connects to host SUMOylation to specifically promote avian viral polymerase activity (41). However, this SIM-like domain is not present in all of the ANP32B proteins from different hosts, nor is it found in mammalian ANP32A. We showed that most ANP32Bs from different species are functional as ANP32As in support of viral replication, but chANP32B is naturally unable to support polymerase activity (Fig. 4). We finally found that the amino acids 129I and 130N chANP32B are responsible for the loss of this function. Sequence analysis and



FIG 7 Amino acid N129I/D130N substitutions into ANP32A&B impaired influenza polymerase activity in 293T cells. N129I/D130N substitutions of huANP32A and ANP32B on 293T chromosome were generated by the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Positive colonies were identified by sequencing and Western blotting. (A) ANP32A stable mutated cells (ASM) were identified carrying the N129I/D130N substitutions on ANP32A but not on ANP32B. (B) ANP32B stable mutated cells (BSM) were identified harboring the N129I/D130N substitutions on ANP32B but not on ANP32A. (C) Double stable mutated cells (DSM) had N129I/D130N substitutions on both ANP32A&B. (D) The endogenous protein expressions of different cell lines were identified by Western blotting with antibodies against β -actin, huANP32A, and huANP32B. (E and F) Selected 293T cell lines were transfected with firefly minigenome reporter, *Renilla* expression control, and polymerase from H1N1_{SCO9} (E) or H7N9_{AH13} (F). Cells were assayed for luciferase activity. The data indicate the firefly luciferase gene activity normalized to that of the *Renilla* luciferase activity. Statistical differences between cells are given, following a one-way ANOVA and subsequent Dunnett's test (NS, not significant; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001). Error bars represent the SEM of the replicates within a representative experiment.

mutagenesis studies suggest that the 129-130 sites are important for maintaining the function of avian ANP32A and human ANP32A/B in viral replication (Fig. 6). The coimmunoprecipitation assay showed that mutations on these 129-130 sites changed the interaction efficiency between the ANP32 proteins and viral polymerase complex (Fig. 8). Mutating the 129-130 sites in chANP32B to the functional signature 129N and 130D enables chANP32B to support polymerase activity in human viruses, but not chicken viruses with PB2 627E, indicating that the ANP32B may undergo selection in two areas during coevolution with the avian influenza virus: chANP32B does not harbor an extra insert as chANP32A and the 129-130 mutations. This result also suggests that avian ANP32A is the only protein from the avian ANP32 family to support avian viral replication. Currently, the vaccine is the best way to control avian influenza virus, such



FIG 8 The 129-130 site in ANP32B contributes to the interaction with influenza polymerase. (A) Schematic showing the structure of ANP32B. LRR, leucine-rich repeat, including four LRR repeats and one C-terminal LRR domain (LRRCT); LCAR, low-complexity acidic region; NLS, nuclear localization signal. (B and C) 293T cells were transfected with different ANP32-Flag constructs, together with the viral polymerase subunits PA, PB1, and PB2. The coimmunoprecipitation of the anti-Flag antibodies and the proteins was assessed by Western blotting.

as H7N9 virus (55, 56). However, the 129-130 substitution of chANP32A could be used in the future as a novel target to develop transgenic chickens that may be totally resistant to influenza virus infection.

Together, our data give new insights into the functions of ANP32A and ANP32B. The 129-130 substitution could be used as a novel target to modify the genomes of animals to develop influenza A-resistant transgenic chickens or other animals, which will benefit the husbandry industry, as well as animal and human health. Further investigation into the molecular mechanisms that determine how ANP32 proteins work with the viral polymerase complex, the structure of the ANP32 and vRNP complex, and the fitness of different virus subtypes, for example, would contribute to our understanding of viral pathogenesis and host defense.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells, viruses, and plasmids. Human embryonic kidney (293T; ATCC CRL- 3216) and Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK; CCL-34) cells were maintained in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM; HyClone) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma), and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Gibco) and kept at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Certain reagents were kindly provided by the indicated individuals: polymerase plasmids of H1N1 human influenza A virus A/Sichuan/01/2009 (H1N1_{SCO9}) and H7N9 A/Anhui/01/2013 (H7N9_{AH13}) were provided by Hualan Chen; H1N1 human influenza virus A/WSN/1933 (WSN) was provided by Shoujun Li from China Southern Agriculture University; and H9N2 avian influenza virus A/chicken/Zhejiang/B2013/2012 (H9N2_{Z112}) was provided by Zejun Li from Shanghai Veterinary Research Institute of CAAS. H3N8 equine influenza viruses A/equine/Jilin/1/1989 (H3N8_{JL89}) and A/equine/Xinjiang/1/2007 (H3N8_{X107}) were preserved in our lab. The reverse genetics system based on the pBD vector for the H1N1_{SCO9} virus was established in Hualan Chen's lab. The pCAGGS plasmids containing full-length ANP32A isoforms of several species were generated by gene synthesis (Synbio Technologies, China)

according to the sequences deposited in GenBank, including chicken ANP32A (chANP32A, XM_413932.5, XP_413932.3), human ANP32A (huANP32A, NM_006305.3, NP_006296.1), zebra finch ANP32A (zfANP32A, XM_012568610.1, XP_012424064.1), duck ANP32A (dkANP32A, XM_005022967.1, XP_005023024.1), turkey ANP32A (tyANP32A, XM_010717616.1, XP_010715918.1), pig ANP32A (pgANP32A, XM_003121759.6, XP_003121807.3), mouse ANP32A (muANP32A, NM_009672.3, NP_033802.2), equine ANP32A (eqANP32A, XM_001495810.5, XP_001495860.2), chicken ANP32B (chANP32B, NM_001030934.1, NP_001026105.1), and human ANP32B (huANP32B, NM_006401.2, NP_006392.1). Site-directed mutants of these sequences were generated using overlapping PCR and identified by DNA sequencing. Mutants of pcAGGS-huANP32B-Δ216/190/165 and pcDNA3.1-PA-V5 were constructed according to the online In-Fusion HD cloning kit user manual (http://www.clontech.com/CN/Products/Cloning_and_Competent_ Cells/Cloning_Kits/xxclt_searchResults.jsp). Briefly, the fragments of the pcAGGS/pcDNA3.1 vector and each target gene were amplified with a 15-bp homologous arm and then fused using In-Fusion HD enzyme (Clontech, Felicia, CA). To create the pcAGGS-huANP32B-Δ216/190/165 plasmids, pcAGGShuANP32B was used as a template to amplify the pcAGGS vector. This sequence was then fused with different truncated huANP32B fragments (huANP32B-∆216/190/165). To obtain pcDNA3.1-PA-V5 plasmid, pBD-H1N1_{scog}-PA was used as the template to amplify the PA-V5 sequence and then fused with pcDNA3.1 vector.

Knockout cell lines. To generate knockout cell lines for host proteins BUB3 (AF081496.1), CLTC (NM_004859.3), CYC1 (CR541674.1), NIBP (BC006206.2), ZC3H15 (NM_018471.2), C14orf173 (DQ395340.1), CTNNB1 (NM_001904.3), ANP32A (NM_006305.3), ANP32B (NM_006401.2), SUPT5H (U56402.1), HTATSF1 (NM_014500), and DDX17 (NM_006386, NM_001098504) (3, 4, 29), the gRNA design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/) was used for gRNAs design and off-target prediction (57). DNA fragments that contained the U6 promoter, gRNAs specific for host factors, a guide RNA scaffold, and U6 termination signal sequence were synthesized and subcloned into the pMD18-T backbone vector. The Cas9-eGFP expression plasmid (pMJ920) was a gift from Jennifer Doudna (Addgene, plasmid 42234) (58). Briefly, 293T cells in 6-well plates were transfected with $1.0 \,\mu$ g of pMJ920 plasmids and $1.0 \,\mu$ g of gRNA expression plasmids by Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen, catalog no. 11668-027) using the recommended protocols. Green fluorescent protein (GFP)-positive cells were sorting by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) at 48 h posttransfection, and then monoclonal knockout cell lines were screened by Western blotting and/or DNA sequencing.

Generation of a site-directed, amino-acid-substituted 293T cell line. High-efficiency guide sequences for ANP32A and ANP32B that bind upstream and downstream with close proximity to the target (129/130 ND) were chosen. The gRNA expression plasmids were constructed as described above. An 80-nucleotide oligonucleotide with the desired mutations at the target site was used as the donor DNA. 293T cells were transfected with 1 μ g of pMJ920 plasmids, 1 μ g of gRNA expression plasmids, and 50 pmol of donor DNA. After 48 h, GFP-positive cells were isolated by FACS, and site-directed mutagenesis clones were identified after screening by sequencing and Western blotting with anti-PHAP1 antibody (ab51013) and anti-PHAP12/APRIL antibody EPR14588 (ab200836). Cell lines with double gene mutations were generated by second-round transfection and selection.

Polymerase assay. A minigenome reporter, which contains the firefly luciferase gene flanked by the noncoding regions of the influenza hemagglutinin gene segment with a human polymerase I promoter and a mouse terminator sequence (59) was transfected with viral polymerase and NP expression plasmids to analyze the polymerase activity. Mutants of PB2 genes were generated using overlapping PCR and identified by DNA sequencing. To determine the effect of host proteins on viral polymerase activity, 293T or different host protein knockout 293T cells in 12-well plates were transfected with plasmids of the PB1 (80 ng), PB2 (80 ng), PA (40 ng) and NP (160 ng), together with 80 ng of minigenome reporter and 10 ng of Renilla luciferase expression plasmids (pRL-TK, kindly provided by J. Luban), using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were incubated at 37°C. The cells were lysed with 100 μ l of passive lysis buffer (Promega) at 24 h after transfection, and the firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were measured using a dual-luciferase kit (Promega) with a Centro XS LB 960 luminometer (Berthold Technologies). The function of ANP32 was examined using a polymerase assay by cotransfection of DKO cells with different ANP32 proteins for 24 h. All of the experiments were performed independently at least three times. Results represent means \pm the standard errors of the mean (SEM) of the replicates within one representative experiment. The expression levels of polymerase proteins on different cell lines were detected by Western blotting, using specific mouse monoclonal antibodies for the NP and PB1 proteins and anti-V5 tag antibody (ab27671) for the PA-V5 protein.

RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and quantification by RT-PCR. Total RNA from 293T cells was extracted using an RNeasy minikit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the synthesis of first-strand cDNA derived from firefly luciferase RNAs driven by influenza polymerase, equal concentrations of RNA were subjected to cDNA synthesis using a reverse transcription (RT) kit (Prime-Script RT reagent kit with a gDNA Eraser [Perfect Real Time], catalog no. RR047A). Primers used in the RT reaction were as follows: 5'-CATTTCGCAGCCTACCGTGGTGTT-3' for the firefly luciferase vRNA, 5'-AGTA GAAACAAGGGTG-3' for the firefly luciferase cRNA, and oligo-dT20 for the firefly luciferase mRNA (60). The cDNA samples were subjected to quantification by real-time PCR with the specific primers F (5'-GATTACCAGGGATTTCAGTCG-3') and R (5'-GACACCTTTAGGCAGACCAG-3') using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TII RNase H Plus; TaKaRa catalog no. RR820A). The fold change in RNA was calculated by double-standard curve methods, and β -actin served as an internal control.

Quantitative ELISA for determination of virus production. The ELISA has been previously described (49). Briefly, a 96-well microtiter plate (Costar, Bodenheim, Germany) was coated with 1 μ g/well

of the mouse monoclonal anti-IAV NP protein antibody in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), incubated overnight at 4 or 37°C for 2 h. The plate was washed three times with washing buffer (PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 [PBST]) and blocked with 200 μ l of 5% calf serum at 37°C for 2 h. After three washes with PBST buffer, 100- μ l portions of virus or virus-like particle (VPL) samples were added in dilution buffer (PBS containing 10% calf serum and 0.1% Triton X-100), followed by incubation at 37°C for 1 h. The plate was then washed, and 100 μ l of a 1:2,000 dilution of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-IAV NP protein monoclonal antibody was added. After incubation at 37°C for 0.5 h, the plate was washed again, followed by incubation with freshly prepared TMB peroxidase substrate (Galaxy Bio, Beijing, China) for 10 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by adding 2 M H₂SO₄, and the optical density at 450 nm was measured using a VersaMax microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT). Dilution buffer was taken as a blank control, and the purified IAV-NP protein was double diluted eight times in dilution buffer to obtain the standard curve. The virus production was calculated using the standard curve.

Influenza virus infection and infectivity. Human influenza virus WSN was rescued from a 12plasmid rescue system (61). Briefly, a 293T culture in a 6-well plate was transfected with 0.1 μ g each of pPoll plasmids and 1 μ g each of pCAGGS-NP, pCAGGS-PA, pCAGGS-PB1, and pCAGGS-PB2 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) in Opti-MEM. At 8 h posttransfection, the medium was changed to DMEM plus 10% FBS. The supernatant was harvested after 48 h and injected into 9-day-old specific-pathogenfree embryonated eggs for virus propagation. Eggs were incubated at 35°C for 72 h, the allantoic fluid from eggs was detected by hemagglutination assay, and the titers of virus on 293T cells were determined using the method of Reed and Muench (62). The 293T cells, huANP32A knockout 293T cells (AKO cells), huANP32B knockout 293T cells (BKO cells), and huANP32A&B double-knockout 293T cells (DKO) were infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01 for 1 h, washed twice with PBS, and cultured at 37°C in Opti-MEM containing 0.5% FBS and tosylsulfonyl phenylalanyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-trypsin (Sigma) at 1 mg/ml. At the indicated time points, the culture supernatant was harvested, and the virus titers in MDCK cells were determined as described above.

Immunoprecipitation and Western blotting. For immunoprecipitation and Western blotting, transfected cells were lysed using an ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-NaOH [pH 7.9], 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 0.25% NP-40, 1 mM dithiothreitol) and centrifuged at 13,000 × g and 4°C for 10 min. After centrifugation, the crude lysates were incubated with anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. M8823) or anti-NP magnetic beads (MCE protein A/G magnetic beads and NP antibody from our lab) at 4°C for 2 h. After incubation, the resins were collected using a magnetic separator and washed three times with PBS. The resin-bound materials were eluted using a 3× Flag peptide or by boiling in the SDS-PAGE loading buffer, subjected to SDS-PAGE, and then transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% milk powder in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) for 2 h. Incubation with the first anti-mouse antibody (anti-Flag antibody from Sigma [catalog no. F1804], anti-V5 antibody from Abcam [catalog no. ab27671], and anti-NP and PB1 antibody from our lab) was performed for 2 h at room temperature, followed by three washes with TBST. The secondary antibody (Sigma, 1:10,000) was then applied, and the samples were incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Subsequently, the membranes were washed three times for 10 min with TBST. Signals were detected using an Odyssey imaging system (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE).

Statistics. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism (v5; GraphPad Software). Statistical differences between groups were assessed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by a Dunnett's post test. All the experiments were performed independently at least three times. Error bars represent standard deviations (SD) or standard errors of the mean (SEM) in each group, as indicated in the figure legends (NS, not significant; P > 0.05; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank W. Barclay, S. J. Li, Z. J. Li, J. Luban, and J. Doudna for providing plasmids and advice. We thank C. J. Li and D. M. Zhao for helpful discussions.

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China to H.C. (no. 31521005) and Z.Z. (no. 31702269).

REFERENCES

- Brass AL, Huang IC, Benita Y, John SP, Krishnan MN, Feeley EM, Ryan BJ, Weyer JL, van der Weyden L, Fikrig E, Adams DJ, Xavier RJ, Farzan M, Elledge SJ. 2009. The IFITM proteins mediate cellular resistance to influenza A H1N1 virus, West Nile virus, and dengue virus. Cell 139: 1243–1254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.017.
- Konig R, Stertz S, Zhou Y, Inoue A, Hoffmann HH, Bhattacharyya S, Alamares JG, Tscherne DM, Ortigoza MB, Liang Y, Gao Q, Andrews SE, Bandyopadhyay S, De Jesus P, Tu BP, Pache L, Shih C, Orth A, Bonamy G, Miraglia L, Ideker T, Garcia-Sastre A, Young JA, Palese P, Shaw ML, Chanda SK. 2010. Human host factors required for influenza virus replication. Nature 463:813–817. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08699.
- Watanabe T, Kawakami E, Shoemaker JE, Lopes TJS, Matsuoka Y, Tomita Y, Kozuka-Hata H, Gorai T, Kuwahara T, Takeda E, Nagata A, Takano R, Kiso M, Yamashita M, Sakai-Tagawa Y, Katsura H, Nonaka N, Fujii H, Fujii K, Sugita Y, Noda T, Goto H, Fukuyama S, Watanabe S,

Neumann G, Oyama M, Kitano H, Kawaoka Y. 2014. Influenza virushost interactome screen as a platform for antiviral drug development. Cell Host Microbe 16:795–805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014 .11.002.

- Bradel-Tretheway BG, Mattiacio JL, Krasnoselsky A, Stevenson C, Purdy D, Dewhurst S, Katze MG. 2011. Comprehensive proteomic analysis of influenza virus polymerase complex reveals a novel association with mitochondrial proteins and RNA polymerase accessory factors. J Virol 85:8569–8581. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00496-11.
- Shapira SD, Gat-Viks I, Shum BO, Dricot A, de Grace MM, Wu L, Gupta PB, Hao T, Silver SJ, Root DE, Hill DE, Regev A, Hacohen N. 2009. A physical and regulatory map of host-influenza interactions reveals pathways in H1N1 infection. Cell 139:1255–1267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009 .12.018.
- 6. Karlas A, Machuy N, Shin Y, Pleissner KP, Artarini A, Heuer D, Becker D,

Khalil H, Ogilvie LA, Hess S, Maurer AP, Muller E, Wolff T, Rudel T, Meyer TF. 2010. Genome-wide RNAi screen identifies human host factors crucial for influenza virus replication. Nature 463:818–822. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature08760.

- Sugiyama K, Kawaguchi A, Okuwaki M, Nagata K. 2015. pp32 and APRIL are host cell-derived regulators of influenza virus RNA synthesis from cRNA. Elife 4:e08939. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.08939.
- Long JS, Giotis ES, Moncorge O, Frise R, Mistry B, James J, Morisson M, Iqbal M, Vignal A, Skinner MA, Barclay WS. 2016. Species difference in ANP32A underlies influenza A virus polymerase host restriction. Nature 529:101–104. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16474.
- 9. Subbarao EK, London W, Murphy BR. 1993. A single amino acid in the PB2 gene of influenza A virus is a determinant of host range. J Virol 67:1761–1764.
- Xiao C, Ma W, Sun N, Huang L, Li Y, Zeng Z, Wen Y, Zhang Z, Li H, Li Q, Yu Y, Zheng Y, Liu S, Hu P, Zhang X, Ning Z, Qi W, Liao M. 2016. PB2-588 V promotes the mammalian adaptation of H10N8, H7N9 and H9N2 avian influenza viruses. Sci Rep 6:19474. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19474.
- Song W, Wang P, Mok BW, Lau SY, Huang X, Wu WL, Zheng M, Wen X, Yang S, Chen Y, Li L, Yuen KY, Chen H. 2014. The K526R substitution in viral protein PB2 enhances the effects of E627K on influenza virus replication. Nat Commun 5:5509. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6509.
- Sediri H, Schwalm F, Gabriel G, Klenk HD. 2015. Adaptive mutation PB2 D701N promotes nuclear import of influenza vRNPs in mammalian cells. Eur J Cell Biol 94:368–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2015.05.012.
- Manz B, de Graaf M, Mogling R, Richard M, Bestebroer TM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Fouchier RA. 2016. Multiple natural substitutions in avian influenza A virus PB2 facilitate efficient replication in human cells. J Virol 90: 5928–5938. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00130-16.
- Liu Q, Qiao C, Marjuki H, Bawa B, Ma J, Guillossou S, Webby RJ, Richt JA, Ma W. 2012. Combination of PB2 271A and SR polymorphism at positions 590/591 is critical for viral replication and virulence of swine influenza virus in cultured cells and *in vivo*. J Virol 86:1233–1237. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JVI.05699-11.
- Zhang H, Li X, Guo J, Li L, Chang C, Li Y, Bian C, Xu K, Chen H, Sun B. 2014. The PB2 E627K mutation contributes to the high polymerase activity and enhanced replication of H7N9 influenza virus. J Gen Virol 95:779–786. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.061721-0.
- Mok CK, Lee HH, Lestra M, Nicholls JM, Chan MC, Sia SF, Zhu H, Poon LL, Guan Y, Peiris JS. 2014. Amino acid substitutions in polymerase basic protein 2 gene contribute to the pathogenicity of the novel A/H7N9 influenza virus in mammalian hosts. J Virol 88:3568–3576. https://doi .org/10.1128/JVI.02740-13.
- Hu M, Yuan S, Zhang K, Singh K, Ma Q, Zhou J, Chu H, Zheng BJ. 2017. PB2 substitutions V598T/l increase the virulence of H7N9 influenza A virus in mammals. Virology 501:92–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol .2016.11.008.
- Li Z, Chen H, Jiao P, Deng G, Tian G, Li Y, Hoffmann E, Webster RG, Matsuoka Y, Yu K. 2005. Molecular basis of replication of duck H5N1 influenza viruses in a mammalian mouse model. J Virol 79:12058–12064. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.79.18.12058-12064.2005.
- Gao Y, Zhang Y, Shinya K, Deng G, Jiang Y, Li Z, Guan Y, Tian G, Li Y, Shi J, Liu L, Zeng X, Bu Z, Xia X, Kawaoka Y, Chen H. 2009. Identification of amino acids in HA and PB2 critical for the transmission of H5N1 avian influenza viruses in a mammalian host. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000709. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000709.
- Steel J, Lowen AC, Mubareka S, Palese P. 2009. Transmission of influenza virus in a mammalian host is increased by PB2 amino acids 627K or 627E/701N. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000252. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .ppat.1000252.
- Bussey KA, Bousse TL, Desmet EA, Kim B, Takimoto T. 2010. PB2 residue 271 plays a key role in enhanced polymerase activity of influenza A viruses in mammalian host cells. J Virol 84:4395–4406. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JVI.02642-09.
- Hatta M, Gao P, Halfmann P, Kawaoka Y. 2001. Molecular basis for high virulence of Hong Kong H5N1 influenza A viruses. Science 293: 1840–1842. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1062882.
- Gao P, Watanabe S, Ito T, Goto H, Wells K, McGregor M, Cooley AJ, Kawaoka Y. 1999. Biological heterogeneity, including systemic replication in mice, of H5N1 influenza A virus isolates from humans in Hong Kong. J Virol 73:3184–3189.
- Salomon R, Franks J, Govorkova EA, Ilyushina NA, Yen HL, Hulse-Post DJ, Humberd J, Trichet M, Rehg JE, Webby RJ, Webster RG, Hoffmann E. 2006. The polymerase complex genes contribute to the high virulence of

the human H5N1 influenza virus isolate A/Vietnam/1203/04. J Exp Med 203:689-697. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20051938.

- Munster VJ, de Wit E, van Riel D, Beyer WE, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus AD, Kuiken T, Fouchier RA. 2007. The molecular basis of the pathogenicity of the Dutch highly pathogenic human influenza A H7N7 viruses. J Infect Dis 196:258–265. https://doi.org/10.1086/518792.
- 26. Fouchier RA, Schneeberger PM, Rozendaal FW, Broekman JM, Kemink SA, Munster V, Kuiken T, Rimmelzwaan GF, Schutten M, Van Doornum GJ, Koch G, Bosman A, Koopmans M, Osterhaus AD. 2004. Avian influenza A virus (H7N7) associated with human conjunctivitis and a fatal case of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:1356–1361. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308352100.
- Mehle A, Doudna JA. 2008. An inhibitory activity in human cells restricts the function of an avian-like influenza virus polymerase. Cell Host Microbe 4:111–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2008.06.007.
- 28. Shi JZ, Deng GH, Kong HH, Gu CY, Ma SJ, Yin X, Zeng XY, Cui PF, Chen Y, Yang HL, Wan XP, Wang XR, Liu LL, Chen PC, Jiang YP, Liu JX, Guan YT, Suzuki Y, Li M, Qu ZY, Guan LZ, Zang JK, Gu WL, Han SU, Song YM, Hu YZ, Wang Z, Gu LL, Yang WY, Liang LB, Bao HM, Tian GB, Li YB, Qiao CL, Jiang L, Li CJ, Bu ZG, Chen HL. 2017. H7N9 virulent mutants detected in chickens in China pose an increased threat to humans. Cell Res 27: 1409–1421. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2017.129.
- Bortz E, Westera L, Maamary J, Steel J, Albrecht RA, Manicassamy B, Chase G, Martinez-Sobrido L, Schwemmle M, Garcia-Sastre A. 2011. Hostand strain-specific regulation of influenza virus polymerase activity by interacting cellular proteins. mBio 2:e00151-11.
- Diot C, Fournier G, Santos MD, Magnus J, Komarova A, Werf SVD, Munier S, Naffakh N. 2016. Influenza A virus polymerase recruits the RNA helicase DDX19 to promote the nuclear export of viral mRNAs. Sci Rep 6:33763. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33763.
- Eisfeld AJ, Neumann G, Kawaoka Y. 2015. At the centre: influenza A virus ribonucleoproteins. Nat Rev Microbiol 13:28–41. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nrmicro3367.
- 32. Gabriel G, Klingel K, Otte A, Thiele S, Hudjetz B, Arman-Kalcek G, Sauter M, Shmidt T, Rother F, Baumgarte S, Keiner B, Hartmann E, Bader M, Brownlee GG, Fodor E, Klenk HD. 2011. Differential use of importin-alpha isoforms governs cell tropism and host adaptation of influenza virus. Nat Commun 2:156. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1158.
- Landeras-Bueno S, Jorba N, Pérez-Cidoncha M, Ortín J. 2011. The splicing factor proline-glutamine rich (SFPQ/PSF) is involved in influenza virus transcription. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002397. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .ppat.1002397.
- Marcos-Villar L, Pazo A, Nieto A. 2016. Influenza virus and chromatin: role of the CHD1 chromatin remodeler in the virus life cycle. J Virol 90:3694. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00053-16.
- Momose F, Naito T, Yano K, Sugimoto S, Morikawa Y, Nagata K. 2002. Identification of Hsp90 as a stimulatory host factor involved in influenza virus RNA synthesis. J Biol Chem 277:45306–45314. https://doi.org/10 .1074/jbc.M206822200.
- Shaw ML, Stertz S. 2017. Role of host genes in influenza virus replication. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 419:151–189. https://doi.org/10.1007/82_ 2017_30.
- Su WC, Hsu SF, Lee YY, Jeng KS, Lai MM. 2015. A nucleolar protein, ribosomal RNA processing 1 homolog B (RRP1B), enhances the recruitment of cellular mRNA in influenza virus transcription. J Virol 89:11245. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01487-15.
- Ver LS, Marcos-Villar L, Landeras-Bueno S, Nieto A, Ortín J. 2015. The cellular factor NXP2/MORC3 is a positive regulator of influenza virus multiplication. J Virol https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01530-15.
- Zhang J, Li G, Ye X. 2010. Cyclin T1/CDK9 interacts with influenza A virus polymerase and facilitates its association with cellular RNA polymerase II. J Virol 84:12619–12627. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01696-10.
- Minakuchi M, Sugiyama K, Kato Y, Naito T, Okuwaki M, Kawaguchi A, Nagata K. 2017. Pre-mRNA processing factor Prp18 is a stimulatory factor of influenza virus RNA synthesis and possesses nucleoprotein chaperone activity. J Virol 91:e01398-16.
- Domingues P, Hale BG. 2017. Functional insights into ANP32Adependent influenza A virus polymerase host restriction. Cell Rep 20: 2538–2546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.061.
- Baker SF, Ledwith MP, Mehle A. 2018. Differential splicing of ANP32A in birds alters its ability to stimulate RNA synthesis by restricted influenza polymerase. Cell Rep 24:2581–2588. e2584. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .celrep.2018.08.012.
- 43. Reilly PT, Yu Y, Hamiche A, Wang L. 2014. Cracking the ANP32 whips:

important functions, unequal requirement, and hints at disease implications. Bioessays 36:1062–1071. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201400058.

- 44. Zhang H, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Wang M, Wang X, Zhang X, Ji S, Du C, Chen H, Wang X. 2019. Fundamental contribution and host range determination of ANP32 protein family in influenza A virus polymerase activity. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/529412.
- 45. Zhang Y, Zhang Q, Kong H, Jiang Y, Gao Y, Deng G, Shi J, Tian G, Liu L, Liu J, Guan Y, Bu Z, Chen H. 2013. H5N1 hybrid viruses bearing 2009/ H1N1 virus genes transmit in guinea pigs by respiratory droplet. Science 340:1459–1463. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229455.
- 46. Zhang Q, Shi J, Deng G, Guo J, Zeng X, He X, Kong H, Gu C, Li X, Liu J, Wang G, Chen Y, Liu L, Liang L, Li Y, Fan J, Wang J, Li W, Guan L, Li Q, Yang H, Chen P, Jiang L, Guan Y, Xin X, Jiang Y, Tian G, Wang X, Qiao C, Li C, Bu Z, Chen H. 2013. H7N9 influenza viruses are transmissible in ferrets by respiratory droplet. Science 341:410–414. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1240532.
- 47. Young RJ, Content J. 1971. 5' terminus of influenza virus RNA. Nat New Biol 230:140–142. https://doi.org/10.1038/newbio230140a0.
- Hay AJ, Skehel JJ, McCauley J. 1982. Characterization of influenza virus RNA complete transcripts. Virology 116:517–522. https://doi .org/10.1016/0042-6822(82)90144-1.
- Wang M, Zhang Z, Wang X. 2018. Strain-specific antagonism of the human H1N1 influenza A virus against equine tetherin. Viruses 10:E264.
- Matilla A, Radrizzani M. 2005. The Anp32 family of proteins containing leucine-rich repeats. Cerebellum 4:7–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 14734220410019020.
- 51. Almond JW. 1977. A single gene determines the host range of influenza virus. Nature 270:617–618. https://doi.org/10.1038/270617a0.
- 52. Nilsson BE, Te Velthuis AJW, Fodor E. 2017. Role of the PB2 627 domain in influenza A virus polymerase function. J Virol 91:e02467-16.
- Chen H, Bright RA, Subbarao K, Smith C, Cox NJ, Katz JM, Matsuoka Y. 2007. Polygenic virulence factors involved in pathogenesis of 1997 Hong Kong H5N1 influenza viruses in mice. Virus Res 128:159–163. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.virusres.2007.04.017.

- Shinya K, Hamm S, Hatta M, Ito H, Ito T, Kawaoka Y. 2004. PB2 amino acid at position 627 affects replicative efficiency, but not cell tropism, of Hong Kong H5N1 influenza A viruses in mice. Virology 320:258–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2003.11.030.
- 55. Shi J, Deng G, Ma S, Zeng X, Yin X, Li M, Zhang B, Cui P, Chen Y, Yang H, Wan X, Liu L, Chen P, Jiang Y, Guan Y, Liu J, Gu W, Han S, Song Y, Liang L, Qu Z, Hou Y, Wang X, Bao H, Tian G, Li Y, Jiang L, Li C, Chen H. 2018. Rapid Evolution of H7N9 highly pathogenic viruses that emerged in China in 2017. Cell Host Microbe 24:558–568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .chom.2018.08.006.
- Zeng X, Tian G, Shi J, Deng G, Li C, Chen H. 2018. Vaccination of poultry successfully eliminated human infection with H7N9 virus in China. Sci China Life Sci 61:1465–1473. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-018-9420-1.
- Hsu PD, Scott DA, Weinstein JA, Ran FA, Konermann S, Agarwala V, Li YQ, Fine EJ, Wu XB, Shalem O, Cradick TJ, Marraffini LA, Bao G, Zhang F. 2013. DNA targeting specificity of RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases. Nat Biotechnol 31:827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2647.
- Jinek M, East A, Cheng A, Lin S, Ma EB, Doudna J. 2013. RNAprogrammed genome editing in human cells. Elife 2:e00471. https://doi .org/10.7554/eLife.00471.
- Pleschka S, Jaskunas R, Engelhardt OG, Zurcher T, Palese P, Garcia-Sastre A. 1996. A plasmid-based reverse genetics system for influenza A virus. J Virol 70:4188-4192.
- Kawakami E, Watanabe T, Fujii K, Goto H, Watanabe S, Noda T, Kawaoka Y. 2011. Strand-specific real-time RT-PCR for distinguishing influenza vRNA, cRNA, and mRNA. J Virol Methods 173:1–6. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.jviromet.2010.12.014.
- Neumann G, Watanabe T, Ito H, Watanabe S, Goto H, Gao P, Hughes M, Perez DR, Donis R, Hoffmann E, Hobom G, Kawaoka Y. 1999. Generation of influenza A viruses entirely from cloned cDNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:9345–9350. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.16.9345.
- 62. Muench H, Reed L. 1938. A simple method of estimating fifty percent endpoints. J Epidemiol 27:493-497.