Open Acce

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Lymphovascular invasion as an independent prognostic indicator in radically resected thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Zhen Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Peng Chen<sup>1</sup>, Feng Wang<sup>1</sup>, Liyan Lin<sup>3</sup> & Shuoyan Liu<sup>1</sup> 10

1 Department of Thoracic Surgery, Fujian Cancer Hospital and Fujian Medical University Cancer Hospital, Fuzhou, China

2 Department of Thoracic Surgery, National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China

3 Department of Pathology, Fujian Cancer Hospital and Fujian Medical University Cancer Hospital, Fuzhou, China

#### Keywords

Esophageal cancer; lymphovascular invasion; prognosis.

#### Correspondence

Shuoyan Liu, Department of Thoracic Surgery, Fujian Cancer Hospital & Fujian Medical University Cancer Hospital, No. 420, Fuma Road, Fuzhou 350014, China. Tel: +86 138 0508 8816 Fax: +86 591 8366 0063 Email: shuoyanliu2010@163.com

Peng Chen and Zhen Wang contributed equally to this study.

Received: 7 October 2018; Accepted: 2 November 2018.

doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.12922

Thoracic Cancer 10 (2019) 150-155

#### Abstract

**Background:** The prognostic value of lymphovascular invasion (LVI) in esophageal cancer remains controversial. This study investigated the impact of LVI on prognosis in thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC).

**Methods:** A total of 1586 patients who underwent radical esophagectomy were selected for the study. Correlations between LVI and clinicopathological features were evaluated by  $\chi^2$  test. Univariate analysis of the survival curve was conducted using the Kaplan–Meier method. Multivariate analysis was carried out by Cox regression. The Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the concordance index (c-index) were employed to assess model prognostic accuracy of different pN staging systems.

**Results:** The presence of LVI was detected in 406 of 1586 (25.6%) patients. LVI frequency was significantly higher in patients with higher pN classifications (P < 0.001). LVI had independent significant prognostic value in ESCC (P < 0.001). In subgroup analyses, the presence of LVI significantly decreased overall survival in pN0, pN2, and pN3 stage patients. The AIC value of the pN staging system modified by LVI was lower than that of the current pN staging system, while the c-index of the modified pN staging system was higher than that of the current pN staging system.

**Conclusion:** Our results suggest that LVI is an independent prognostic indicator in radically resected thoracic ESCC. LVI could potentially supplement the pN ESCC staging system. ESCC patients with LVI could be staged at more advanced pN classifications.

#### Introduction

Esophageal cancer is the fifth most common cancer in China, accounting for more than 20 000 cancer-related deaths annually. More than 90% of esophageal cancer cases have been classified as esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) in China and esophageal adenocarcinoma is the predominant type in Western countries.<sup>1,2</sup> Despite advances made in chemoradiotherapy for ESCC, esophagectomy is still the most effective treatment measure at present.<sup>3–5</sup> However, more than half of the patients who undergo radical esophagectomy develop locoregional or distant recurrence within three years.<sup>6–8</sup>

The current system predicating the prognosis of patients with ESCC is based on the

American Joint Committee on Cancer/Union for International Cancer Control (AJCC/UICC) Tumor Node Metastasis (TNM) classification comprising several clinicopathological features, such as depth of tumor invasion, tumor cell differentiation, regional lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis.<sup>9</sup> Lymphovascular invasion (LVI) provides the substantial basis for cancer cells metastasizing from the primary tumor to locoregional nodes or distant organs; therefore, LVI might have significant prognostic value in cancer patients.<sup>10</sup> Several retrospective studies have

**150** Thoracic Cancer **10** (2019) 150–155 © 2018 The Authors. Thoracic Cancer published by China Lung Oncology Group and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

reported that LVI is a poor prognostic factor for esophageal cancer, while others have reported that LVI is not a risk factor in esophageal cancer recurrence.<sup>11–16</sup> The aim of the current study was to analyze the correlation between the presence of LVI and clinicopathological characteristics in radically resected thoracic ESCC. The impact of LVI on prognosis was also investigated.

#### Methods

#### Patients

The Medical Ethics Committee of Fujian Cancer Hospital approved this study. We screened patients diagnosed with thoracic ESCC who underwent radical esophagectomy at Fujian Cancer Hospital from January 2001 to December 2010. The selection criteria included: (i) pathologically confirmed ESCC; (ii) transthoracic esophagectomy with three-field lymphadenectomy had been performed; (iii) pathological T status of T1, T2, T3, or T4a; (iv) microscopically complete resection of the tumor (R0); and (v) aged  $\geq$  18 and  $\leq$  75 years. We did not include patients who died as a result of perioperative complications. Patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy were also excluded. A total of 1586 patients were selected for the study.

Detailed clinicopathological data including patient age, gender, tumor location, depth of tumor invasion, tumor cell differentiation, lymph node status, and LVI, are summarized in Table 1. Pathological staging was reassessed based on the 7th AJCC staging system.<sup>9</sup>

#### **Pathological review**

A pathologist blinded to the pathological diagnoses and outcomes reviewed a minimum of two original histopathologic primary tumor slides. Any discrepancies between pathological diagnosis and diagnosis at the time of esophagectomy were resolved by simultaneous re-examination of all primary tumor slides by two pathologists. LVI was defined as the presence of neoplastic structures inside the lumen of a vessel (Fig 1).<sup>17</sup> The diameter of the lumen was generally < 300  $\mu$ m, including venules, arterioles, and small lymph vessels. As they cannot be distinguished under a microscope on hematoxylin and eosin stained slides, all of these structures are referred to as LVI.

#### Follow up

After primary treatment, patients were followed up at the outpatient clinic every three months for the first two years, and every six months for the next three years thereafter. If a patient could not afford regular follow-up visits, they

 
 Table 1
 Association
 between
 lymphovascular
 invasion
 and
 clinicopathologic variables

	Lymphovascular invasion		
Variables	Yes (n = 406)	No ( <i>n</i> = 1180)	P
Gender (%)			
Male	328 (27.4)	868 (72.6)	0.004
Female	78 (20.0)	312 (80.0)	
Median age (years)	55	56	
Tumor location (%)			
Upper thoracic	58 (23.5)	189 (76.5)	0.150
Middle thoracic	320 (26.7)	878 (73.3)	
Lower thoracic	28 (19.9)	113 (80.1)	
Pathological T stage (%)			
T1	21 (17.2)	101 (82.8)	0.002
T2	55 (21.2)	204 (78.8)	
Т3	245 (25.8)	704 (74.2)	
T4a	85 (33.2)	171 (66.8)	
Pathological N stage (%)			
NO	107 (17.1)	520 (82.9)	< 0.001
N1	117 (25.3)	346 (74.7)	
N2	112 (32.6)	232 (67.4)	
N3	70 (46.1)	82 (53.9)	
Tumor cell differentiation (%)			
Good	40 (14.9)	229 (85.1)	< 0.001
Moderate	274 (26.9)	743 (73.1)	
Poor	93 (31.0)	207 (69.0)	

were contacted via telephone and asked predetermined questions with special emphasis on their vital status. If the patient had died, family members were contacted to determine whether or not the patient's death was caused by esophageal cancer. All patients were followed up for at least five years or until death.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Correlations between LVI and clinicopathological features were evaluated by  $\chi^2$ test. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to determine any association between clinicopathological features and the presence of LVI. Overall survival (OS) was defined from the date of esophagectomy to the date of death or final follow-up. For univariate analysis, survival was derived using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the differences between curves were assessed by the log-rank test. Factors with statistical significance (P < 0.05) in univariate analyses were included in multivariate analysis. Multivariate analysis was performed using the Cox proportional hazards regression model. We hypothesized that patients with LVI could be staged at more advanced pN classifications. The Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the concordance index (c-index) were employed in the Cox proportional hazards regression model to assess the



Figure 1 Hematoxylin and eosin staining for lymphovascular invasion. Arrows: (a) original magnification ×100, (b) ×400.

prognostic accuracy of the different pN staging systems. AIC was defined as previously described.

Lower AIC values indicate better goodness-of-fit. The cindex was calculated using R software version 3.5.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), and larger c-index values indicate better predicted precision of outcome.<sup>18</sup>

#### Results

#### Lymphovascular invasion (LVI) patterns and association with clinicopathological variables

The presence of LVI was detected in 406 out of 1586 (25.6%) ESCC patients. LVI was significantly associated with gender, depth of tumor invasion, pN classification, and tumor cell differentiation (Table 1). No correlation was observed between LVI and age or tumor location. Logistic analysis revealed that LVI frequency was significantly higher in patients with higher pN classifications (odds ratio 1.520, 95% confidence interval 1.353–1.708; P < 0.001).

#### Prognostic value of LVI in thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) patients

Univariate analyses of clinicopathological factors and the presence of LVI were performed to explore the factors affecting the prognosis of thoracic ESCC patients who underwent radical esophagectomy. Among the factors analyzed, gender (P < 0.001), age ( $\geq 56/< 56$ , P = 0.007),

pathological T stage (P < 0.001), pathological N stage (P < 0.001), and the presence of LVI (P < 0.001) were significant prognostic factors (Fig 2). Other factors, such as tumor location and tumor cell differentiation, did not significantly affect prognosis. We included the five factors detected by univariate analysis as significant in multivariate analysis to identify independent prognostic factors. As shown in Table 2, the presence of LVI had independent significant prognostic value in radically resected thoracic ESCC (P < 0.001).



**Figure 2** Association between the presence of lymphovascular invasion (LVI) and overall survival in thoracic ESCC patients. (——) Tumor without LVI (n = 1180) and (——) Tumor with LVI (n = 406) (P < 0.001).

Factor	HR (95% CI)	Р
Current staging system		
Gender (male/female)	1.266 (1.063–1.508)	0.008
Age (≥ 56/< 56)	1.282 (1.116–1.473)	< 0.001
pT stage (T4a/T3/T2/T1)	1.347 (1.214–1.494)	< 0.001
pN stage (N3/N2/N1/N0)	1.669 (1.558–1.788)	< 0.001
Modified staging system		
Gender (male/female)	1.245 (1.045–1.483)	0.014
Age (≥ 56/< 56)	1.307 (1.137–1.502)	< 0.001
pT stage (T4a/T3/T2/T1)	1.330 (1.200–1.457)	< 0.001
pN stage (N4/N3/N2/N1/N0)	1.615 (1.522–1.715)	< 0.001

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

We then performed univariate survival analyses for the presence of LVI stratified by pN stage. The results demonstrated that the presence of LVI significantly decreased OS in pathological N0 (P < 0.001), N2 (P = 0.004), and N3 (P = 0.002) stage patients, but not N1 (P = 0.131) stage patients (Fig 3). However, pN1 stage patients with LVI had poorer five-year survival than patients without LVI (40.9% vs. 51.4%).

# LVI as a potential supplement to the pN classification of ESCC

Further univariate survival analyses were performed to compare the prognosis of patients with and without LVI at more advanced pN stages. The results showed that the prognosis in pN0 patients with LVI was similar to pN1 patients without LVI (P = 0.851) (Fig 3). The same results were also observed in patients with other pN classifications: pN1 patients with LVI had a prognosis similar to pN2 patients without LVI (P = 0.312); pN2 patients with LVI had a prognosis similar to pN3 patients without LVI (P = 0.983).

According to these results, we hypothesized that LVI is a potential supplement to the pN classification of ESCC: patients with LVI could be staged at more advanced pN classifications. That is, pN0 patients without LVI could be classified as pN0; pN0 patients with LVI and pN1 patients without LVI could be classified as pN1; pN1 patients with LVI and pN2 patients without LVI could be classified as pN2; pN2 patients with LVI and pN3 patients without LVI could be classified as pN3; and pN3 patients with LVI could be classified as pN4. Figure 4 shows the survival curves for the different classifications of the current and modified pN staging systems. Because both pN classifications were determined as prognostic factors in univariate analyses, two separate multivariate models were developed: one included age, gender, pT stage, and current pN stage; while the other included age, gender, pT stage, and modified pN stage. As shown in Table 2, using both models in accordance with the different staging systems, gender, age, pT stage, and pN stage were all independent prognostic factors for OS. The efficiency of the current and modified pN staging systems were quantified based on the likelihood ratio chi-square, AIC, and c-index. The results in Table 3 show that the AIC value in the modified model was lower



**Figure 3** Univariate survival analyses of the presence of lymphovascular invasion (LVI) stratified by pN stage. The presence of LVI significantly decreased overall survival in pathological N0 (P < 0.001), N2 (P = 0.004), and N3 (P = 0.002) stage patients, but not in pathological N1 stage patients (P = 0.131). Patients at pN0 stage with LVI had a similar prognosis to pN1 patients without LVI (P = 0.851); pN1 patients with LVI had a similar prognosis to pN2 patients without LVI (P = 0.312); and pN2 patients with LVI had a similar prognosis to pN3 patients without LVI (P = 0.983).

Thoracic Cancer 10 (2019) 150–155



**Figure 4** Overall survival analyses of the different classifications in the (a) current ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN0 (n = 627), ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN1 (n = 463), ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN2 (n = 344), and ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN3 (n = 152) and (**b**) modified pN staging systems ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN0 (n = 520), ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN1 (n = 453), ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN2 (n = 349), ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN3 (n = 194), and ( $\longrightarrow$ ) pN4 (n = 70). Both the current and modified pN classifications were prognostic factors in univariate analyses.

and the c-index value in the modified model was higher than that of the current model, indicating that the modified pN staging system is a better prognostic stratification.

### Discussion

The current TNM staging system comprising depth of tumor invasion, tumor cell differentiation, regional lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis is considered the most effective prognostic factor of esophageal cancer. Pathological studies have incorporated LVI into the TNM staging systems of multiple cancers;<sup>10,19,20</sup> however the prognostic value of LVI in esophageal cancer remains controversial. Most retrospective studies indicated the presence of LVI as a poor prognostic factor for esophageal cancer.<sup>11–14</sup> Zhu *et al.* proposed a new prognostic model with the pN classification supplemented by LVI that might improve the ability to discriminate esophageal cancer

 Table 3
 Comparison between two multivariate Cox regression models

 in accordance with different pN staging systems

	-2 log		
Cox model	likelihood	AIC value	C-index (95% CI)
Current model	10892.25	10900.25	0.6870 (0.6683–0.7056)
Modified model	10857.16	10865.16	0.6952 (0.6767–0.7138)

Current model, multivariate Cox regression model in accordance with current pN staging system; modified model, multivariate Cox regression model in accordance with pN staging system modified by the presence of lymphovascular invasion. AIC, Akaike information criterion; C-index, concordance index; CI, confidence interval. patient outcomes.<sup>13</sup> On the other hand, some studies suggested that the presence of LVI may not be a significant risk factor in esophageal cancer recurrence.<sup>15,16</sup>

In this study, we retrospectively reviewed the presence of LVI in radically resected thoracic ESCC. Our results demonstrated that LVI was present in 406 out of 1586 (25.6%) ESCC patients and LVI frequency was significantly higher in patients with higher pN classifications, which is consistent with the results of previous reports.<sup>12–14</sup> Survival analyses revealed that LVI was an independent prognostic indicator in radically resected thoracic ESCC. Khan *et al.* and Waraich *et al.* reported that LVI was not a prognostic indicator in esophageal cancer;<sup>15,16</sup> however, the histology of tumors in their studies included adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma was the predominant histology type.

Univariate survival analyses stratified by pN stage showed that patients with LVI had poorer survival than patients at the same pN stage without LVI, but a similar prognosis to patients with more advanced pN stage that in those without LVI. Therefore, we propose LVI as a potential supplement to the pN staging system of ESCC: patients with LVI could be promoted to a more advanced pN classification. Compared to the current pN staging system, the proposed modified system including the presence of LVI showed better prognostic stratification. To our knowledge, this is the first report to incorporate LVI into the ESCC pN staging system. Zhu *et al.* proposed the presence of LVI and lymph node metastasis as equal risk factors in ESCC; however, they did not stratify detailed pN stage.<sup>13</sup> There are some limitations to our study. First, the presence of LVI was evaluated on hematoxylin and eosin stained slides without any distinction between lymphatic and vascular invasion. Wang *et al.* reported that the emergence of biomarkers for lymphatic endothelium (Podoplanin) and vascular endothelium (CD34) provides an opportunity to distinguish the existence of lymphatic and vascular invasion in ESCC.<sup>14</sup> Second, we chose cancerrelated OS as the endpoint rather than disease-free survival (DFS). Some authors consider DFS as a better endpoint because it can more significantly evaluate the association between the presence of LVI and locoregional or distant recurrence. However, we were unable to choose DFS as our research endpoint because of incomplete follow-up data.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that the presence of LVI is significantly correlated with pN classification. LVI is considered an independent prognostic indicator in radically resected thoracic ESCC and could potentially supplement the pN staging system for thoracic ESCC. Patients with ESCC with LVI could be promoted to more advanced pN classifications.

## Acknowledgment

This study was financed by the Fujian Provincial Health and Family Planning Research Talent Training Program (Grant number: 2018-ZQN-16, and 2018-CXB-3).

# Disclosure

No authors report any conflict of interest.

# References

- 1 Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD *et al.* Cancer statistics in China, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2016; **66**: 115–32.
- 2 Chen W, Zheng R, Zeng H *et al.* The incidence and mortality of major cancers in China, 2012. *Chin J Cancer* 2016; **35**: 73.
- 3 van Hagen P, Hulshof MC, van Lanschot JJ et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. N Engl J Med 2012; 366: 2074–84.
- 4 Ku GY, Ilson DH. Long-term survival with salvage surgery for recurrent esophageal adenocarcinoma after chemoradiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2015; **33**: 3854–7.
- 5 Yang H, Liu H, Chen Y *et al.* Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery versus surgery alone for locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus (NEOCRTEC5010): A Phase III multicenter, randomized, open-label clinical trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2018; **36**: 2796–803.
- 6 Jang NY, Kim IA, Byun SS *et al.* Patterns of failure and prognostic factors for locoregional recurrence after radical surgery

in upper urinary tract transitional cell carcinoma: Implications for adjuvant radiotherapy. *Urol Int* 2013; **90**: 202–6.

- 7 Liu Q, Cai XW, Wu B *et al.* Patterns of failure after radical surgery among patients with thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: Implications for the clinical target volume design of postoperative radiotherapy. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e97225.
- 8 Ninomiya I, Okamoto K, Tsukada T *et al.* Recurrence patterns and risk factors following thoracoscopic esophagectomy with radical lymph node dissection for thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol Clin Oncol* 2016; **4**: 278–84.
- 9 Rice TW, Gress DM, Patil DT *et al.* Cancer of the esophagus and esophagogastric junction-Major changes in the American Joint Committee on Cancer eighth edition cancer staging manual. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2017; **67**: 304–17.
- Fisseler-Eckhoff A. New TNM classification of malignant lung tumors 2009 from a pathology perspective. *Pathologe* 2009; **30** (Suppl. 2): 193–9.
- 11 Sugimachi K, Matsuura H, Kai H *et al.* Prognostic factors of esophageal carcinoma: Univariate and multivariate analyses. *J Surg Oncol* 1986; **31**: 108–12.
- 12 Schoppmann SF, Jesch B, Zacherl J *et al.* Lymphangiogenesis and lymphovascular invasion diminishes prognosis in esophageal cancer. *Surgery* 2013; **153**: 526–34.
- 13 Zhu CM, Ling YH, Xi SY *et al.* Prognostic significance of the pN classification supplemented by vascular invasion for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e96129.
- 14 Wang S, Chen X, Fan J *et al.* Prognostic significance of lymphovascular invasion for thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2016; **23**: 4101–9.
- 15 Khan OA, Alexiou C, Soomro I *et al.* Pathological determinants of survival in node-negative oesophageal cancer. *Br J Surg* 2004; **91**: 1586–91.
- 16 Waraich N, Rashid F, Jan A *et al.* Vascular invasion is not a risk factor in oesophageal cancer recurrence. *Int J Surg* 2011; 9: 237–40.
- 17 Li H, Zhang Q, Xu L et al. Factors predictive of prognosis after esophagectomy for squamous cell cancer. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009; 137: 55–9.
- 18 Zheng Y, Wang Z, Wang F *et al.* Proposed modifications of supraclavicular lymph node metastasis in the esophageal squamous cell carcinoma staging system for improved survival stratification. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 41563–71.
- Compton CC, Fielding LP, Burgart LJ *et al.* Prognostic factors in colorectal cancer. College of American Pathologists Consensus Statement 1999. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2000; **124**: 979–94.
- 20 Kojima M, Shimazaki H, Iwaya K *et al.* Pathological diagnostic criterion of blood and lymphatic vessel invasion in colorectal cancer: A framework for developing an objective pathological diagnostic system using the Delphi method, from the Pathology Working Group of the Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon and Rectum. *J Clin Pathol* 2013; **66**: 551–8.