Concerns about the interpretation of subgroup analysis

To the Editor: We read with interest the article by Li et al. on the association between the use of ACE inhibitors (ACE-Is) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) and in-hospital mortality among patients with COVID-19 (1). The authors concluded that the use of ARBs was associated with a significant reduction in in-hospital mortality among African American patients but not non-African American patients.

However, we believe this conclusion is not per statistical principles and that it potentially misguides readers. As noted by Altman and Bland (2), statistical analysis should be targeted to the clinical question: is the association between ARB use and inhospital mortality different between African American and non-African American patients? To answer this question, one should directly compare the estimates (interaction test; ref. 2) performed and reported by the authors. Here we argue that the authors did not accurately interpret this analysis.

The authors showed an odds ratio (OR) of 0.196 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.074–0.516) in the African American population and an OR of 0.687 (95% CI 0.427–1.106) in the non–African American population. Accordingly, the interaction term was not significant (95% CI 0.185–1.292; P = 0.149; ref. 1). As the authors stated that "Statistical significance was defined as a 2-sided P value less than 0.05, unless otherwise stated," the correct interpretation of this result would be that the association of ACE-I/ARB use and in-hospital mortality was not significantly different between these 2 populations (2). In contrast to this interpretation, the authors concluded that the association was only present in the African American population, which is not compatible with their analysis.

The potential association between ACE-I/ARB use and COVID-19 in-hospital mortality is of great interest to the medical community. Further, the ability to provide reliable subgroup analyses is vital in clinical decision-making (3). Interaction analyses

are essential to answer the clinically relevant question of whether a specific subgroup of patients can benefit more from an intervention than another group. However, we believe the correct interpretation of these results does not support the author's conclusion.

Arthur M. Albuquerque, ¹ Carolina B. Santolia, ² and Ashish Verma³

¹School of Medicine, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ²School of Medicine, Universidade Estadual do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ³Renal Division, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

- Li S, et al. In-hospital use of ACE inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers associates with COVID-19 outcomes in African American patients. J Clin Invest. 2021;131(2):e151418.
- Altman DG, Bland JM. Interaction revisited: the difference between two estimates. BMJ. 2003;326(7382):219.
- Kent DM, et al. Personalized evidence based medicine: predictive approaches to heterogeneous treatment effects. BMJ. 2018;363:k4245.

Address correspondence to: Ashish Verma, Renal Division, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, 650 Albany Street, Office X521, Boston, Massachusetts 02118, USA. Email: Ashish.Verma@bmc.org.

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Reference information: *J Clin Invest*. 2022;132(2):e155991. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI155991.

See related response: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI156711.