Food Science of Animal Resources

Food Sci. Anim. Resour. 2020 September 40(5):844~851 DOI https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2020.e22



SHORT COMMUNICATION

Comparison of Effects of Two Aging Methods on the Physicochemical Traits of Pork Loin

Sang-Keun Jin¹ and Dong-Gyun Yim^{2,*}

- ¹Department of Animal Resources Technology, Gyeongnam National University of Science and Technology, Jinju 52725, Korea
- ²Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea



Received March 6, 2019
Revised March 4, 2020
Accepted March 12, 2020

*Corresponding author: Dong Gyun Yim Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea Tel: +82-33-730-0537

Fax: +82-33-730-0503 E-mail: tousa0994@naver.com

*ORCID

Sang-Keun Jin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8983-5607 Dong-Gyun Yim https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0368-2847 **Abstract** The objective of this study was to compare effects of two different aging methods on physical, chemical, and microbial traits of pork loin: Dry and wet-aged meat was hung in the cooler at 8±1°C and 85±2.1% humidity for 14 days, while wet-aged meat was immersed in a 3.5% salt solution of brine in vacuum pouches. On day 7, pH and moisture content were higher in dry-aged loins than in wet-aged, while drip loss and total plate counts (p<0.05) were lower on day 14. As aging continued, the pH and drip loss of dry-aged loins decreased, while their total plate counts and water holding capacity (WHC) increased (p<0.05). After 7 and 14 days of aging, redness in dry-aged loins was higher than that in wet -aged muscles (p<0.05). On day 14 of aging, hardness, chewiness, and adhesiveness were lower in dry-aged pork loin as compared to those in wet-aged samples (p<0.05). Consequently, the results suggested that dry and wet aging methods differently affects meat quality traits of pork loin.

Keywords dry-aging, immersion in brine, meat quality, pork loin

Introduction

In Korea, pork is the most widely consumed meat (Lee et al., 2016). Notably, limited body parts of pork are used for consumption, for example the belly and the neck. Such a specific utilization of pork's body parts for consumption can be attributed to their tenderness. Tenderness is a crucial factor for determining meat quality, and has a significant influence on consumers' repurchase of meat (Shackelford et al., 2001).

Aging, also referred to as "ripening" or "conditioning", is widely practiced in the meat industry, as it improves meat tenderness and flavor during aging, thereby improving palatability (Sitz et al., 2006). Dry- and wet-aging are the two popular commercial beef aging techniques. Dry aging refers to a process in which unpackaged meat is stored in a refrigerated room with controlled temperature (0°C–4°C) and humidity (62%–87%). This process requires more time and physical space, making it

expensive (DeGeer et al., 2009; Stenström et al., 2014). However, it minimizes yield loss due to trimming and meat contraction owing to the dried surface (Smith et al., 2008). Conversely, wet-aging, in other words, vacuum aging, is a relatively low-cost process in which the meat is packaged under vacuum in a water-impervious bag under refrigerated conditions (Ahnström et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2008). Besides, it prevents weight loss caused by water evaporation and bacterial growth (Campbell et al., 2001). The optimal duration for dry aging for dry-aged meat is 14-21 days while for wetaged meat, 7–10 days for wet-aged meat at optimal temperature (0°C-1°C) (Leroy et al., 2004). Dry-aged beef has beefy and brown-roasted flavor while wet-aged beef has a sour and blood/serum-like flavor with more tenderness (Adegoke and Falade, 2005; Campbell et al., 2001). However, some studies on sensory analysis of dry and wet-aged beef have reported inconsistent results. Several literatures on dry-aged beef reported storage temperatures from 0°C-4°C, relative humidity of 62%-87%, aging time of 14-62 days (USMEF, 2018). The traditional meat salting technologies are usually divided into two modes: drysalting and wet-salting. Wet salting is done by plunging the product into brine or injecting the solution directly into meat (Varnam and Sutherland, 1995). Little study addressing the effects of vacuum aging with salting in brine on quality traits of pork was found in the literature. Although several researchers have compared the impact of wet and dry-aged beef on meat quality (Adegoke and Falade, 2005; Ahnström et al., 2006; Campbell et al., 2001; DeGeer et al., 2009; Leroy et al., 2004; Sitz et al., 2006; Stenström et al., 2014), no study investigating the effects of dry aging and wet aging with salting in brine on the physical, chemical, and microbial traits of pork loin muscle has been published. Our study compares the effect of dry aging and wet aging with salting in brine on the physical, chemical, and microbial traits of pork loin.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation and aging conditions

The *M. longissimus dorsi* (LD) was taken from 6 carcasses of swine, offsprings of Landrace/Yorkshire (sow) and Duroc (boar) crossbreed. The average live weight of pigs was 108 kg during slaughtering. Pork loins were harvested from commercial processors and transferred to the laboratory by a commercial refrigerated transport (3±1°C). Subsequently, the *M. longissimus* was chopped into 6 parallel slices of about 15 cm each. Loins were divided horizontally into two equal parts, which were allotted to one of the two aging treatments (dry/hanger aging, or wet aging with salting in brine in a vacuum pouch at random. Dry and wet aging with salting in brine was carried out at 8±1°C with relative humidity of 85±2.1% for 14 days. Dry aging samples were hung unpacked in presence of air in a refrigerated cooler. Cooler temperatures and humidity were monitored and recorded using temperature probes (175-H2; Testo, Germany). Wet aging samples were vacuum-packaged in vacuum pouches containing brine solution with 3.5% sodium chloride and then stored in a refrigerated cooler at 8±1°C. The samples in both treatment were taken on day 0 (non-aged), 7 and 14 (aged) for analyses.

Physicochemical analysis

Four grams of raw meat was sampled and homogenized with 16 mL distilled water for 1 min at 1,100×g. (Ultra-Turrax T25, Janke & Kunkel, Germany). Subsequently, the pH value of the sample was determined with an electronic pH meter (Model 340, Mettler-Toledo GmbH, Swizerland). Also, 3 g of raw meat was sampled, dried in a drying oven at 105°C for 12 hours, and moisture content was evaluated by calculating weight difference between pre-dried and post-dried samples. Waterholding capacity (WHC) of the samples was measured by the modified method of Joo (2018). Briefly, 3.0 g of intact meat was weighed and placed on a previous desiccated and weighed filter-paper (Whatman No. 1 of 11 cm of diameter) with two

thin plastic films. After weighing them, the filter-paper and plastic film with meat sample were placed between plexiglass plates, then a load of 2.5 kg was applied for 5 min. After accurately removing the compressed meat sample, the damp filter-paper and two plastic films were rapidly weighed. WHC (%) was calculated as follows: WHC (%) = (Damp filter-paper and plastic films weight) – (filter-paper and plastic films weight) / meat sample weight \times 100. Drip loss was estimated by calculating the difference between final weight and the initial weight of meat samples in a bag. Briefly, a 2 cm thick slice (weight 100 ± 5 g) cut from the muscle was vacuum packaged in a polypropylene bag and stored at 4°C. The percent change in weight over the subsequent 48 h was taken as the drip loss.

Meat color was measured with a colorimeter (Minolta CR-400, Minolta, Japan) that was standardized with a white plate (Y=93.5, X=0.3132, y=0.3198) before color measurement. The color parameters were shown as L* (lightness), a* (redness), and b* (yellowness). To determine the texture profiles, the meat blocks (40×40×25 mm) were cooked in a preheated water bath at 85°C for 38 min until the core temperature reached to 75°C, and then cooled under running water (ca. 15°C) for 25 min to achieve a core temperature below 30°C. Sliced samples with a 25-mm diameter were analyzed using a texture analyzer (TA-XT2i, Stable Micro System, Surrey, UK) and their hardness, chewiness, and adhesiveness were measured. Lipid oxidation of the samples was measured using thiobarbituric acid distillation (Yang et al., 2009). 2-Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) was reported as milligrams of malonaldehyde (MDA) per kg of meat sample. For total microbial count analysis, samples (20 g) were aseptically obtained and moved into stomacher bags with 180 mL of 0.85% sodium chloride solution and homogenized for 180 sec in a stomacher (400 Lab Blender, Seward, West Sussex, UK). Total microbial counts were plated using 1 mL sample, inoculated on plate count agar (Difco, Sparks, MD, USA) and incubated for 48 h at 37°C (Vanderzant and Splittstoesser, 1992). Microbiological results were expressed as the log of colony forming unit (CFU)/g.

Statistical analysis

All experimental data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure of SAS (2003) program with three replications. Means of the two groups were compared using the t-test. The significance of differences among the means at the same storage time was determined using Duncan's multiple range tests (p<0.05).

Results and Discussion

Effects of two aging methods on physicochemical traits of pork loin are presented in Table 1. In both samples, pH decreased with aging. We observed significant variation between the dry-aged (pH 5.88) and wet-aged (pH 5.68) pork loin at day 7 of aging (p<0.05). This is in line with another study (Lee et al., 2010), indicating that the pH in wet-aged pork was lower than that of dry-aged pork. This may be attributed to more nitrogen-containing compounds formed due to proteolysis of proteins in dry-aged pork samples, resulting in their higher pH (Aksu et al., 2005). On day 7, the slightly higher moisture content was found in dry-aged loin (71.5%) than in wet-aged sample with salting in brine (69.82%) (p<0.05) and tended to be similar at day 14. This may be because there were no significant differences in the water activity of pork until day 14 for both aged pork meats (data not shown in Table). Ahnström et al. (2006) also found dry-aging method had no effect on moisture contents during the aging periods. Changes during aging in the present study were small and suggested that the samples are relatively static. The WHC of dry-aged loin muscles increased as the aging period increased (p<0.05) as in another study (Cho et al., 2018). Aging condition did not adversely affect WHC. On days 7 and 14, more drip loss was observed in wetaged sample with salting in brine than in dry-aged loin (p<0.05). As aged, drip losses in the samples of both aging conditions

Table 1. Physicochemical traits of pork loin by two aging conditions

	Condition	Aging period (d)					
		0	7	14	SEM	ANOVA	
рН	Dry	5.94 ^a	5.88 ^{Aa}	5.70 ^b	0.039	P***	
	Wet	5.94 ^a	5.68^{Bb}	5.74 ^b	0.043	$P \times C^{**}$	
	SEM	0.032	0.046	0.010			
Moisture (%)	Dry	70.81 ^b	71.50 ^{Aa}	71.26 ^{ab}	0.121	P*	
	Wet	70.81	69.82^{B}	70.70	0.260	$P \times C^*$	
	SEM	0.062	0.395	0.333			
Water holding capacity (%)	Dry	63.17 ^b	78.21ª	78.87 a	2.607	P***	
	Wet	63.17 ^b	79.21 ^a	74.97 ^b	2.442	$P \times C^*$	
	SEM	0.604	0.439	1.126			
Drip loss (%)	Dry	16.06a	3.84 ^{Bb}	0.48 ^{Bc}	2.376	P***	
	Wet	16.06 ^a	6.50^{Ab}	6.16 ^{Ab}	1.648	C***	
	SEM	0.406	0.705	1.270		$P \times C^{***}$	
TBARS (mg MDA/kg) ¹⁾	Dry	0.19 ^c	0.24 ^b	0.48 ^{Aa}	0.041	P***	
	Wet	0.24	0.24	0.21^{B}	0.009	C***	
	SEM	0.012	0.004	0.062		$P \times C^{***}$	
Total plate counts	Dry	2.28 ^b	2.88 ^b	3.89a	0.666	P**	
(Log ₁₀ colony forming unit/g)	Wet	2.26 ^c	3.08^{b}	3.86a	0.745		
	SEM	0.009	0.066	0.048			

¹⁾ 2-Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) (milligrams of malonaldehyde (MDA) per kg).

ANOVA, two-way ANOVA analysis among the treatments; C, condition; P, aging period.

decreased (p<0.05). Straadt et al. (2007) found a decrease in drip loss with aging of pork, confirming what we observed in the present study. The supposition that increased viscosity of drip is a factor in the reduction of drip loss with aging is supported by the work of Rossi et al. (1953). Dry aging of loin samples resulted in increased lipid oxidation during aging (p<0.05). However, TBARS values for wet-aged loins with salting in brine maintained constantly for 14 days (p>0.05). Wet-aged loins with salting in brine had lower TBARS values than dry-aged muscles on day 14 (p<0.05). Lipid oxidation is closely correlated with oxygen exposure (Cho et al., 2018). Elimination of oxygen contact by vacuum packaging could be caused by inhibiting the development of lipid oxidation during storage (Ahn et al., 1992). A level of TBARS value of 0.5 mg MDA/kg is the point at which meat is considered to be off-odor and rancid flavor as perceived by consumers (Wood et al., 2008). This value was not attained in our study. Thus, no excessive lipid oxidation was observed during aging up to day 14. Counts of total aerobic bacteria increased during the aging period. There was no significant difference in total plate counts between the dry-aged and wet-aged cuts with salting in brine on day 14 (p>0.05). Berger et al. (2018) described similar results. This phenomenon could be attributed to the fact that conventional dry-aging naturally produces a protective crust layer or dehydrated lean surface (Berger et al., 2018).

Table 2 describes the color measurements of pork loin samples as a result of aging methods. Regardless of the aging

a-c Means in the same row within the same aging condition with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

A,B Means in the same column within the same aging period with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

^{*} p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001.

method deployed, L* value increased after aging (p<0.05), which is in line with the results of previous study (Obuz et al., 2014). On day 7, wet-aged pork loins with salting in brine had higher L* values as compared to that of dry-aged cuts (p<0.05). This finding is in line with a previous study, which showed that the wet-aged pork cuts with salting in brine had higher L* values than the dry-aged cuts (Hwang et al., 2018). Previous study reported that dry-aged beef showed lower L* values due to moisture evaporation, which causes lower reflection of light (Dikeman et al., 2013). The wet aging with salting in brine of loin muscle resulted in decreased a* values with increased aging period (p<0.05). This might be explained by higher pigment oxidation as the aging period increased. Dry-aged muscles showed higher a* values than the wet-aged muscles with salting in brine on days 7 and 14 (p<0.05). A similar pattern was observed in another study where a* value for wet-aged samples decreased till 14 d of aging (Hwang et al., 2018). In case of b* value, the highest value in the wet-aged muscles with salting in brine was observed on day 7. The higher L* values and lower a* values for wet-aged samples could be due to increased WHC during aging (Hwang et al., 2018). Our findings indicate that dry aging did not adversely affect the color and the dry-aged pork samples were lower lightness value and higher redness value than the wet-aged samples with salting in brine.

Table 3 describes the texture profiles of pork loin as influenced by aging methods. There was no significant difference between the dry-aged and wet-aged cuts with salting in brine on day 7 of aging (p>0.05). However, there were significantly differences between the dry-aged and wet-aged pork loin with salting in brine on day 14. Wet-aged pork loins with salting in brine exhibited a higher hardness, chewiness, and adhesiveness than the dry-aged samples at day 14 of aging (p<0.05).

Conclusion

The results suggested that the quality parameters of pork loin were dependent on aging conditions to some extent. Although dry aging led to higher pH, moisture content and redness values, as well as it exhibited lower drip loss and texture profiles, both dry and wet aging with salting in brine and dry aging methods has no negative impact on physicochemical

Table 2. Color characteristics of pork loin by two aging conditions

CIE	C 4141	Aging period (d)					
	Condition =	0	7	14	SEM	ANOVA	
L*	Dry	46.77 ^b	51.32 ^{Ba}	50.67ª	0.838	P**	
	Wet	46.77 ^b	53.74 ^{Aa}	51.73 ^{ab}	1.356		
	SEM	0.917	0.521	0.843			
a*	Dry	9.92 ^b	11.93 ^{Aa}	9.16 ^{Ab}	0.544	T**	
	Wet	9.92ª	6.55^{Bb}	6.35^{Bb}	0.828	$T\times S^{**}$	
	SEM	0.236	1.224	0.651			
b*	Dry	2.63	1.22 ^B	3.05 ^A	0.409	$T\times S^{**}$	
	Wet	2.63 ^b	5.56 ^{Aa}	1.17^{Bb}	0.724		
	SEM	0.655	0.971	0.471			

a.b Means in the same row within the same aging condition with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

A,B Means in the same column within the same aging period with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

^{*} p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001.

ANOVA, two-way ANOVA analysis among the treatments; P, aging period; T, treatments; S, storage.

Table 3. Texture profile of pork loin by two aging conditions

	Condition =	Aging period (d)				
	Condition –	0	7	14	SEM	ANOVA
Hardness (kgf)	Dry	1.35 ^b	1.82ª	1.17 ^{Bc}	0.099	P***
	Wet	1.35 ^b	1.66ª	1.57 ^{Aa}	0.048	$T\times S^*$
	SEM	0.004	0.056	0.090		
Chewiness (kgf, mm)	Dry	1.06	0.97	$0.47^{\rm B}$	0.165	
	Wet	1.06	0.97	0.85^{A}	0.153	
	SEM	0.298	0.081	0.105		
Adhesiveness (kgf)	Dry	0.23 b	0.47 a	0.15 ^{Bb}	0.052	
	Vacuum	0.23	0.34	0.31^{A}	0.024	
	SEM	0.026	0.037	0.041		

a-c Means in the same row within the same aging condition with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

quality of pork loin during aging. Further study using different pork cuts and novel aging methods should be carried out for establishing optimal aging parameters ensuring high quality, feasibility, and consumer benefit.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Korea Institute of Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (IPET) through High Value-added Food Technology Development Program, funded by Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (MAFRA) (118039-03-1-HD020).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Jin SG. Data curation: Jin SG. Formal analysis: Jin SG. Methodology: Jin SG. Software: Jin SG. Validation: Jin SG. Investigation: Yim DG. Writing - original draft: Jin SG, Yim DG. Writing - review & editing: Jin SG, Yim DG.

Ethics Approval

This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

References

Adegoke GO, Falade KO. 2005. Quality of meat. J Food Agric Environ 3:87-90.

A.B Means in the same column within the same aging period with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

^{*} p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001.

ANOVA, two-way ANOVA analysis among the treatments; P, aging period; T, treatments; S, storage.

- Ahn DU, Wolfe, FH, Sim JS, Kim DH. 1992. Packaging cooked turkey meat patties while hot reduces lipid oxidation. J Food Sci 57:1075-1115.
- Ahnström ML, Setfert M, Hunt MC, Johnson DE. 2006. Dry aging of beef in a bag highly permeable to water vapour. Meat Sci 73:674-679.
- Aksu MI, Kaya M, Ockerman HW. 2005. Effect of modified atmosphere packaging and temperature on the shelf life of sliced pastirma produced from frozen/thawed meat. J Muscle Foods 16:192-206.
- Berger J, Kim YHB, Legako JF, Martini S, Lee J, Ebner P, Stacy M, Zuelly S. 2018. Dry-aging improves meat quality attributes of grass-fed beef loins. Meat Sci 145:285-291.
- Campbell RE, Hunt MC, Levis P, Chambers E. 2001. Dry-aging effects on palatability of beef longissimus muscle. J Food Sci 66:196-199.
- Cho S, Kang SM, Kim YS, Kim YC, Ba HV, Seo HW, Lee EM, Seong PN, Kim JH. 2018. Comparison of drying yield, meat quality, oxidation stability and sensory properties of bone-in shell loin cut by different dry-aging conditions. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 38:1131-1143.
- DeGeer SL, Hunt MC, Bratcher CL, Crozier-Dodson BA, Johnson DE, Stika JF. 2009. Effects of dry aging of bone-in and boneless strip loins using two aging processes for two aging times. Meat Sci 83:768-774.
- Dikeman ME, Obuz E, Gök V, Akkaya L, Stroda S. 2013. Effects of dry, vacuum, and special bag aging; USDA quality grade; and end-point temperature on yields and eating quality of beef *Longissimus lumborum* steaks. Meat Sci 94:228-233.
- Hwang YH, Sabikun N, Ismail I, Joo ST. 2018. Comparison of meat quality characteristics of wet-and- dry-aging pork belly and shoulder blade. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 38:950-958.
- Joo ST. 2018. Determination of water-holding capacity of porcine musculature based on released water method using optimal load. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 38:823-828.
- Lee CW, Lee JR, Jung S. 2016. Quality improvement of pork loin by dry aging. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour. 36:369-376.
- Lee YJ, Kim CJ, Park BY, Seong PN, Kim JH, Kang GH, Kim DH, Cho SH. 2010. Chemical composition, cholesterol, transfatty acids contents, pH, meat color, water holding capacity and cooking loss of Hanwoo beef (Korean native cattle) quality grade. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 30:997-1006.
- Leroy B, Lambotte S, Dotreppe O, Lecocq H, Istasse L, Clinquart A. 2004. Prediction of technological and organoleptic properties of beef *Longissimus thoracis* from near-infrared reflectance and transmission spectra. Meat Sci 66:45-54.
- Obuz E, Akkaya L, Gok V, Dikeman ME. 2014. Effects of blade tenderization, aging method and aging time on meat quality characteristics of *Longissimus lumborum* steaks from cull Holstein cows. Meat Sci 96:1227-1232.
- Rossi HH, Powers SH, Dwork B. 1953. Measurement of flow in straight tubes by means of the dilution technique. Am J Physiol 173:103-108.
- SAS. 2003. SAS software windows version 9.1.3. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Shackelford SD, Wheeler TL, Meade MK, Reagan JO, Byrnes BL, Koohmaraie M. 2001. Consumer impressions of tender select beef. J Anim Sci 79:2605-2614.
- Sitz BM, Calkins CR, Feuz DM, Umberger WJ, Eskridge KM. 2006. Consumer sensory acceptance and value of wet-aged and dry-aged beef steaks. J Anim Sci 84:1221-1226.
- Smith RD, Nicholson KL, Nicholson JDW, Harris KB, Miller RK, Griffin DB, Savell JW. 2008. Dry versus wet aging of beef: Retail cutting yields and consumer palatability evaluations of steaks from US choice and US select short loins. Meat

- Sci 79:631-639.
- Stenström H, Li X, Hunt MC, Lundström K. 2014. Consumer preference and effect of correct or misleading information after ageing beef *longissimus* muscle using vacuum, dry ageing, or a dry ageing bag. Meat Sci 96:661-666.
- Straadt IK, Rasmussen M, Andersen HJ, Bertram HC. 2007. Aging-induced changes in microstructure and water distribution in fresh and cooked pork in relation to water-holding capacity and cooking loss: A combined confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) and low-field nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation study. Meat Sci 75:687-695.
- USMEF. 2018. Guidelines for U.S. dry aged beef for international markets. U.S. Meat Export Federation, Denver, CO, USA. Available from: https://www.usmef.org/guidelines-for-u-s-dry-aged-beef-for-international-markets/. Accessed at Feb 15, 2019.
- Vanderzant C, Splittstoesser DF. 1992. Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, USA.
- Varnam AH, Sutherland JP. 1995. Meat and meat products: Technology, chemistry, and microbiology. Chapman & Hall, London, UK.
- Wood JD, Enser M, Fisher AV, Nute GR, Sheard PR, Richardson RI, Hughes SI, Whittington FM. 2008. Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: A review. Meat Sci 78:343-358.
- Yang Z, Liu C, Xiang L, Zheng Y. 2009. Phenolic alkaloids as a new class of antioxidants in portulaca oleracea. Phytother Res 23:1032-1035.