



Dairy Calves in Uruguay Are Reservoirs of Zoonotic Subtypes of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and Pose a Potential Risk of Surface Water Contamination

Rubén Darío Caffarena^{1,2*}, Marcelo Vasconcelos Meireles³, Leonidas Carrasco-Letelier⁴, Catalina Picasso-Risso^{2,5}, Bruna Nicoleti Santana³, Franklin Riet-Correa¹ and Federico Giannitti^{1*}

¹ Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIA), Plataforma de Investigación en Salud Animal, Estación Experimental INIA La Estanzuela, Colonia, Uruguay, ² Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay, ³ Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Araçatuba, Brazil, ⁴ Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIA), Programa de Producción y Sustentabilidad Ambiental, Estación Experimental INIA La Estanzuela, Colonia, Uruguay, ⁵ Department of Veterinary Population Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, United States

Cryptosporidium parvum, a major cause of diarrhea in calves, is of concern given its zoonotic potential. Numerous outbreaks of human cryptosporidiosis caused by C. parvum genetic subtypes are reported yearly worldwide, with livestock or water being frequently identified sources of infection. Although cryptosporidiosis has been reported from human patients in Uruguay, particularly children, epidemiologic information is scant and the role of cattle as reservoirs of zoonotic subtypes of C. parvum has not been explored. In this study, we aimed to (a)-identify C. parvum subtypes infecting dairy calves in Uruguay (including potentially zoonotic subtypes), (b)-assess their association with calf diarrhea, (c)-evaluate their spatial clustering, and (d)-assess the distance of infected calves to surface watercourses draining the farmlands and determine whether these watercourses flow into public water treatment plants. Feces of 255 calves that had tested positive for Cryptosporidium spp. by antigen ELISA were selected. Samples had been collected from 29 dairy farms in seven Uruguayan departments where dairy farming is concentrated and represented 170 diarrheic and 85 non-diarrheic calves. Selected samples were processed by nested PCRs targeting the 18S rRNA and gp60 genes followed by sequencing to identify C. parvum subtypes. Of seven C. parvum subtypes detected in 166 calves, five (identified in 143 calves on 28/29 farms) had been identified in humans elsewhere and have zoonotic potential. Subtype IIaA15G2R1 was the most frequent (53.6%; 89/166), followed by IIaA20G1R1 (24.1%; 40/166), IIaA22G1R1 (11.4%; 19/166), IIaA23G1R1 (3.6%; 6/166), IIaA17G2R1 (3%; 5/166), IIaA21G1R1 (2.4%; 4/166), and IIaA16G1R1 (1.8%; 3/166). There were no significant differences in the proportions of diarrheic and non-diarrheic calves infected with any of the C. parvum subtypes. Two spatial clusters were detected, one of which overlapped with Uruguay's capital city and its main water treatment plant (Aguas Corrientes), harvesting

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Olivier Andre Sparagano, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Reviewed by:

Grace Mulcahy, University College Dublin, Ireland Lucy Robertson, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Norway

*Correspondence:

Rubén Darío Caffarena dcaffarena@inia.org.uy Federico Giannitti fgiannitti@inia.org.uy

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Parasitology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 20 March 2020 Accepted: 15 July 2020 Published: 21 August 2020

Citation:

Caffarena RD, Meireles MV, Carrasco-Letelier L, Picasso-Risso C, Santana BN, Riet-Correa F and Giannitti F (2020) Dairy Calves in Uruguay Are Reservoirs of Zoonotic Subtypes of Cryptosporidium parvum and Pose a Potential Risk of Surface Water Contamination. Front. Vet. Sci. 7:562. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00562

1

surface water to supply \sim 1,700,000 people. Infected calves on all farms were within 20–900 m of a natural surface watercourse draining the farmland, 10 of which flowed into six water treatment plants located 9–108 km downstream. Four watercourses flowed downstream into Aguas Corrientes. Calves are reservoirs of zoonotic *C. parvum* subtypes in Uruguay and pose a public health risk.

Keywords: bovine cryptosporidiosis, *Cryptosporidium parvum* zoonotic subtypes, dairy calves, diarrhea, spatial clusters, surface water, Uruguay

INTRODUCTION

Cryptosporidiosis is a global disease caused by protozoa of the genus Cryptosporidium. It affects a wide variety of hosts, including humans and ruminants. The predominant species that infect cattle are C. parvum, C. andersoni, C. bovis, and C. ryanae (1). C. parvum is a major cause of diarrhea in neonate calves, which shed large amounts of highly resistant fecal oocysts that contaminate the environment (2). Moreover, it is a zoonotic pathogen and a leading cause of waterand foodborne diarrheal disease in humans (3). Sources of Cryptosporidium infection to humans include contaminated surface water (lakes, rivers), municipal drinking water (as oocysts are largely resistant to chlorination), recreational water (swimming pools, water playgrounds), food, and infected livestock (4-6). Of the numerous outbreaks of human cryptosporidiosis reported annually worldwide (7), many have been linked to cattle as sources of C. parvum infection (5, 8, 9).

After fecal-oral transmission, C. parvum infects the host enterocytes and undergoes a phase of sexual reproduction, during which the recombination of genes takes place, with the consequent generation of different genetic families and subtypes that, depending on epidemiological conditions, can differ between and within geographical regions (10, 11). It is not possible to identify Cryptosporidium to the species level or C. parvum subtypes with conventional techniques traditionally used to detect cryptosporidia, such as acid-fast or auramine-phenol stains and immunological assays, such as direct immunofluorescence or ELISA. However, genetic analysis of the 18S ribosomal RNA gene allows for Cryptosporidium species identification and analysis of the glycoprotein 60 (gp60) locus allows not only for C. parvum species confirmation, but also for further identification to the family and subtype levels (12). This molecular approach has been used in epidemiologic studies to assess geographic segregation and interspecies transmission (8, 9), which have led to a better understanding of cryptosporidiosis in animals and humans. For instance, evidence indicates that most infections in young calves are caused by C. parvum, primarily from the IIa family, which is known to contain the most frequently zoonotic subtype worldwide IIaA15G2R1 (13-15), regarded as a hyper-transmissible subtype (13). Thus, molecular techniques have aided in the understanding of the epidemiological patterns and transmission chains of cryptosporidiosis and can ultimately help to delineate prevention and control strategies (16).

Exposure to recreational water (35.1%) and direct contact with cattle (14.6%) were the main sources identified in 444 outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis reported in humans in the USA in 2009-2017 (16). Human cryptosporidiosis has been documented in Uruguay (17, 18), although the sources of infection have not been explored. With ~12.2 million head of cattle in 2017-2018, and a total human population of 3.53 million, Uruguay is the country with the highest number of cattle per capita worldwide (19). The area allocated to cattle farms accounts for \sim 75% of the country's territory (20). Most cattle are raised outdoors in pasture-based farming systems, which causes environmental contamination with feces, exposure of fecal depositions to rainfall, and cattle access to surface natural watercourses. Uruguay's topography is represented by water-rich land and flat plains that sometimes flood. Its humid temperate climate without a dry season (21), annual rainfalls of 700-1,200 mm, along with the dense network of surface natural watercourses (22) averaging 1.4 linear km per km² of area, provide favorable conditions for the transmission of waterborne disease agents.

Considering the reservoir potential of cattle and that *Cryptosporidium* spp. is a frequent cause of diarrhea in dairy calves in Uruguay (23), we wondered whether *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes infecting cattle could pose a potential risk to public health through either direct contact or surface water contamination. Given the current scenario, in this study, we aimed to (a) identify *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes infecting dairy calves in Uruguay, including potentially zoonotic *C. parvum* subtypes, (b) assess the association of different *C. parvum* subtypes with calf diarrhea, (c) evaluate their spatial clustering, and (d) assess the distance of infected calves to natural surface watercourses flow downstream into public water treatment plants, which may indicate a potential risk to public health.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples and Farms

A total of 255 stool samples from dairy calves stored at -20° C at the Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIA) veterinary diagnostic laboratory (Plataforma de Investigación en Salud Animal) were selected for this study. All samples were non-randomized, had been collected for another study between January and November 2016, and had tested positive for *Cryptosporidium* spp. antigen using a commercial antigen capture ELISA kit (Pathasure Enteritis-4; Biovet, St. Hyacinthe,

Quebec, Canada) (23), which was an inclusion criterion. Samples represented 170 diarrheic and 85 non-diarrheic dairy calves up to 30 days of age, from 29 commercial dairy farms (farms 1-29) located in seven departments of Uruguay (Colonia, San José, Flores, Soriano, Florida, Canelones and Río Negro). In the original study (23), feces of 552 diarrheic (n: 267, cases) and non-diarrheic (n: 285, controls) neonate dairy calves were sampled. Samples were obtained from commercial farms experiencing spontaneous cases of neonatal diarrhea (convenience sampling). The sample size was calculated using a free online calculator (Epitools, Australia: https://epitools. ausvet.com.au/casecontrolss?page=case-controlSS), considering a power of 80% to detect an association between diarrhea and infection with a given pathogen, a percentage of exposed controls of 5%, and an Odds Ratio (OR) of 2.5 with a 95% confidence level. Of all 552 calves tested by ELISA for Cryptosporidium spp. antigen, 265 (48%) resulted positive, 255 of which were available for this study. The sampling protocol was approved by INIA's animal ethics committee for the use of animals in experimentation (CEUA, protocol # 20199).

Additional information was collected from the farms, including herd size (number of milking cows and number of reared calves in 2016), the area (surface in m^2) of the calf-rearing areas, the type of calf housing in the calf-rearing areas (individual vs. collective or group pens, indoors vs. outdoors), the type of floor in the calf-rearing areas, whether feces were removed from the floor of the calf-rearing areas, and the drinking water sources for the calves (**Supplementary Table 1**).

DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing for *Cryptosporidium* Speciation and Subtyping

DNA was extracted from 150 mg of each of the 255 fecal samples using a commercial kit (Quick DNA Fecal/Soil Microbe Miniprep Kit; Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. A nested PCR protocol targeting the 18S rRNA gene for the detection and speciation of Cryptosporidium spp. was performed using the PCR primers 5'-TTCTAGAGCTAATACATGCG-3' and 5'-CCCATTTCCTTC GAAACAGGA-3' (~1,319 bp) and the nested PCR primers 5'-GGAAGGGTTGTATTTATTAGATAAAG-3' and 5'-AAGGAG TAAGGAACAACCTCCA-3 (~834 bp) as previously described (24, 25). Additionally, C. parvum speciation and subtyping were performed using a two-step nested PCR protocol targeting a fragment of the gp60 gene using the PCR primers 5'-ATAGTC TCCGCTGTATTC-3' and 5'-GGAAGGAACGATGTATCT-3' (\sim 900 bp), and the nested PCR primers 5'-TCCGCTGTATTC TCAGCC-3' and 5'-GCAGAGGAACCAGCATC-3' (~860 bp) (26), in 166 (74.8%) of the 18S rRNA PCR-positive samples. Samples were selected for speciation and subtyping ensuring that all 29 farms were represented. Amplification reactions for the 18S rRNA and gp60 genes were performed in a volume of 25 µL containing Platinum[®] PCR SuperMix (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 200 nM of each primer and 2 µL of target DNA in both PCR and nested PCRs. Reactions were performed on a CFX96TM Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Samples were denaturated at 94° C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94° C for 30 s, annealing for 30 s. at 55° C (18S rRNA gene) or 50° C (gp60 gene) and extension for 1 min. at 72° C, with a final extension at 72° C for 7 min. *C. parvum* DNA and ultrapure water were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Amplified fragments were analyzed by GelRed[®] (Biotium, Fremont, CA, USA) stained gel electrophoresis.

The obtained amplicons for both the 18S rRNA and gp60 genes were purified using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and sequenced using the ABI Prism[®] Dye Terminator Cycling Sequence kit in an ABI 3730XL automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). DNA sequences were assembled and aligned with CodonCode Aligner version 7.1.2 (CodonCode Corporation, Centerville, MA, USA) and BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor (27), and compared with homologous sequences available in GenBank using Clustal W (28). *C. parvum* subtypes were identified based on the number of TCA (A), TCG (G), and ACATCA (R) repeats (29).

Statistical Analyses

Comparisons among proportions of diarrheic and non-diarrheic calves infected with each different *C. parvum* subtype were performed with a one-sample *z*-test of proportion (when $n \ge 30$) or binomial test (when n < 30) for a significance level of P < 0.05 using STATA[®] version 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

For spatial cluster detection, multinomial modeling of the spatial scan statistics was performed to assess the relative risk for clusters of each *C. parvum* subtype detected at the farm level, as described previously (30, 31). Briefly, different sized circular windows are placed randomly over the area of study and the likelihood ratio of the cases (each *C. parvum* subtype) clustered within the window is compared with the expected in the remaining areas as generated by 999 Monte Carlo simulations. Cluster detection was implemented in SaTScanTM version 9.4.4 (Martin Kulldorff, Boston, MA, USA).

Assessment of the Location and Distance Between Infected Calves, Natural Surface Watercourses, and Downstream Surface Water Treatment Plants

The calf-rearing areas of the 29 dairy farms where the infected calves were located were georeferenced and mapped. The elevation and the slope of the farmland, obtained from the digital terrain model of Uruguay (32), were considered to assess the water drainage network for each calf-rearing area. The distance between each calf-rearing area and the nearest surface natural watercourse (streams or rivers) was measured in meters with QGIS software (33), considering the shortest natural water drainage route. Additionally, we assessed whether these natural surface watercourses would flow downstream in the direction of any public water treatment plants harvesting surface water for sanitation and human consumption. The geographic locations of these water plants was obtained from the website of the Uruguayan "Ministerio de Vivienda, Ordenamiento

Territorial y Medio Ambiente" (22), and the distance between these points following the path of the watercourses down to the treatment plants was measured in kilometers using QGIS software.

RESULTS

Cryptosporidium Species and Subtype Identification

Of the 255 samples included in the study, 222 (87.1%) were positive by the nested PCR targeting the 18S rRNA gene, confirming Cryptosporidium spp. To conduct Cryptosporidium species identification, 60 (27%) of the 222 18S rRNA PCRpositive samples, selected at random and representing all 29 farms, were further sequenced; C. parvum was the only species identified in all 60 calves. Based on these results, considering that C. parvum is the main species found in calves up to 30 days of age (15), and due to financial constraints, we decided to pursue additional C. parvum speciation and subtyping using a nested PCR assay targeting the gp60 gene followed by sequencing. This approach was followed in 166 (74.8%) of the 18S rRNA PCRpositive samples, including the above-mentioned 60 samples identified as C. parvum by 18S rRNA amplicon sequencing, and representing all 29 farms. One of seven different C. parvum subtypes were identified in all 166 calves (Table 1). Nucleotide sequences generated in this study (18S rRNA and gp60 genes) were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MT010356 through MT010363.

The most frequent subtype in the 166 calves was IIaA15G2R1 (53.6%; 89/166), followed by IIaA20G1R1 (24.1%; 40/166), IIaA22G1R1 (11.4%; 19/166), IIaA23G1R1 (3.6%; 6/166), IIaA17G2R1 (3%; 5/166), IIaA21G1R1 (2.4%; 4/166), and IIaA16G1R1 (1.8%; 3/166). Subtype IIaA15G2R1 was also the most frequent at the farm level (20/29, 69%), and was identified in 100% of the seven departments. Subtype IIaA20G1R1 was identified in 8/29 (27.6%) farms and 3/7 (42.9%) departments (Río Negro, San José and Flores). Subtype IIaA22G1R1 was identified in 6/29 farms (20.7%) in 3/7 departments (Colonia, Río Negro and Florida). The other four subtypes (IIaA23G1R1, IIaA17G2R1, IIaA21G1R1, and IIaA16G1R1) were only identified in individual farms, one in Colonia and three in San José. The latter department was the only one where all seven different C. parvum subtypes were found. In seven of the 29 farms (24.1%) more than one subtype of C. parvum was found. The combination of subtypes included IIaA15G2R1-IIaA22G1R1 and IIaA15G2R1-IIaA20G1R1 in three farms each, and IIaA15G2R1-IIaA21G1R1 in a single farm. At least one zoonotic subtype was identified in 28 of the 29 (96.6%) farms.

Cryptosporidium parvum Subtypes and Calf Diarrhea

Of the 166 samples subjected to subtyping, 119 were from diarrheic and 47 from non-diarrheic calves. There were no significant differences in the proportions of diarrheic and non-diarrheic calves infected with any of the *C. parvum* subtypes (**Table 2**).

Cryptosporidium parvum Subtypes and Spatial Analysis

The geographic locations of the 29 farms are shown in **Figures 1**, **2**, and the outputs of the spatial analysis are summarized in **Table 3**. Two spatial clusters were identified (P < 0.0001). The primary cluster comprised seven farms, located in most of Río Negro, the south of Paysandú, and the north of Soriano (**Figures 1**, **2**). The cluster included a total of 38 infected calves, with the main subtypes being IIaA20G1R1 and IIaA22G1R1 (**Table 3**). The secondary cluster comprised 12 farms located in the departments of San José, Florida, and Canelones, overlapping with the country's largest metropolitan area and capital city, Montevideo (**Figure 2**). The total number of infected calves in this cluster was 67, and the main subtypes identified were IIaA15G2R1, IIaA17G2R1, and IIaA21G1R1.

Location and Distance Between *Cryptosporidium parvum*-Infected Calves, Natural Watercourses, and Downstream Surface Water Treatment Plants

A map showing the natural surface watercourses of the study area and the location of farms with C. parvum-positive calves is depicted in Figure 2. The distance between the calf-rearing areas on these farms and the nearest watercourse (considering the shortest water drainage route based on the altitude and slope of the terrain), as well as the subtypes detected in each farm, are shown in Table 4. The average distance between the calfrearing areas with C. parvum-positive calves (n: 29) and natural surface watercourses was 352 m, with a range of 20-900 m. Ten of these 29 (34.5%) watercourses flowed downstream into six surface water treatment plants located in the departments of Canelones, Flores, Soriano, San José, and Colonia (Figure 2 and Table 4). The average distance between these 10 calf-rearing areas and the respective nearest natural watercourse was 311 m (range: 20-700 m), and the average distance between these points and the closest downstream water treatment plants was 52.95 km (range: 9-108 km). Four of these watercourses, which drained farms in the secondary spatial cluster, flowed downstream into the Santa Lucía River in Canelones, and further down into two water treatment plants (Santa Lucía and Aguas Corrientes) that overlapped with the secondary spatial cluster (Figure 2 and Table 4). The average distance between these four calf-rearing areas and the nearest watercourse was 307.5 m, with a range of 50-550 m; the distances to these two water treatment plants are shown in Table 4. At least one zoonotic subtype of C. parvum was identified in 28 of the 29 (96.6%) farms, including 9/10 (90%) farms located upstream from water treatment plants (Table 4).

Additional Information of the Farms and Calf-Rearing Areas

In the year of sampling (2016) the farms included in the study had herd sizes that ranged from 70 to 1,260 milking cows and raised between 52 and 1,342 calves in calf-rearing areas ranging from 179 to 7,500 m². In 24 of the 29 farms (82.8%) calves were raised outdoors on dirt floor either in collective pens (n: 13 farms) or individual housing systems (n: 11 farms), while in

| Department | C. parvum subtypes | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----|--|
| | *IIaA15G2R1 | *IIaA20G1R1 | IIaA22G1R1 | *IIaA23G1R1 | *IIaA17G2R1 | IIaA21G1R1 | *IIaA16G1R1 | | |
| Colonia | 19 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | |
| San José | 48 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 70 | |
| Flores | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| Soriano | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | |
| Florida | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | |
| Canelones | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Río Negro | 1 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | |
| Total | 89 | 40 | 19 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 166 | |

TABLE 1 | Number of < 30-day-old dairy calves infected with different Cryptosporidium parvum subtypes in seven departments of Uruguay.

*Subtypes that have been found in humans elsewhere: IIaA15G2R1 (34), IIaA16G1R1 (35, 36), IIaA17G2R1 (35, 37, 38), IIaA20G1R1 (39), and IIaA23G1R1 (40).

TABLE 2 | Proportion of Cryptosporidium parvum subtypes in non-diarrheic and diarrheic calves, and results of the one-sample test of proportion or binomial test.

| C. parvum subtype | | Consisten | cy of feces | | | | |
|-------------------|----|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| | | Non-diarrheic | Diarrheic | | Total | | P-value |
| | n | Proportion, 95%Cl | n | Proportion, 95%Cl | n | Proportion, 95%Cl | |
| llaA15G2R1 | 21 | 45%, 3.1–58.7 | 68 | 57%, 48.1–65.6 | 89 | 54%, 46.0–61.0 | 0.253 |
| llaA20G1R1 | 15 | 32%, 20.4–46.1 | 25 | 21%, 14.6–29.2 | 40 | 24%, 18.2–31.1 | 0.203 |
| llaA22G1R1 | 2 | 4%, 1.2–14.2 | 17 | 14%, 9.1–21.7 | 19 | 11%, 7.5–17.2 | 0.139 |
| llaA23G1R1 | 2 | 4%, 0.5–14.5 | 4 | 3%, 0.9–8.3 | 6 | 4%, 1.3–8.0 | 0.239 |
| llaA17G2R1 | 3 | 6%, 1.3–17.5 | 2 | 2%, 0.2–5.9 | 5 | 3%, 0.9–7.0 | 0.166 |
| llaA21G1R1 | 3 | 6%, 1.3–17.5 | 1 | 0.8%, 0.02–5.0 | 4 | 2%, 0.6–6.0 | 0.103 |
| llaA16G1R1 | 1 | 2%, 0.05–11.3 | 2 | 0.2%, 0.2–6.0 | 3 | 2%, 0.3–5.2 | 0.574 |
| Total | 47 | | 119 | | 166 | | |

Cl, confidence interval.

4/29 farms (13.8%) calves were raised indoors in individual (n: 2 farms) or collective (n: 2 farms) housing systems with cement (n: 3 farms) or wood floor (n: 1 farm). In all 24 farms raising calves outdoors on dirt floor, calf feces were left on the floor and were not routinely removed from the calf-rearing areas. In the 4 farms raising calves indoors, the cement or wood floor was routinely hosed down, but these facilities did not have a sanitary drainage system for the resulting liquid waste, that overflowed to the adjacent farmland. In all 29 farms (100%) the drinking water source for the calves was untreated underground water. The individual information for each farm and calf-rearing area is summarized in **Supplementary Table 1**.

DISCUSSION

In Uruguay, information on *Cryptosporidium* spp. is scarce, dating since 1986, when the parasite was first detected (18). *Cryptosporidium* spp. was identified as a cause of diarrhea in children in 6.15 and 8.9% of the studied population in two independent studies (17, 18), and later considered an emerging disease in this country (41). More recently, *Cryptosporidium* spp. was recognized as a causative agent of neonatal diarrhea in dairy calves (23), and *C. parvum* was identified in shallow

watercourses in industrialized areas of the country (42), the latter being the only description of *C. parvum* species confirmation in Uruguay. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no descriptions of zoonotic cryptosporidiosis in the country. Considering this background information, we wondered whether calves were reservoirs of zoonotic subtypes of *C. parvum* and could pose a potential risk for public health either through direct contact or surface water contamination.

Cryptosporidium spp. is a major cause of diarrhea in dairy calves in Uruguay. In a case-control study by our group, *Cryptosporidium* spp. antigen was identified by capture ELISA in feces of 189/271 (69.7%) diarrheic and 79/285 (27.7%) non-diarrheic calves from 100% of 30 dairy farms in seven departments where dairy farming is concentrated. Infected calves were six times more likely to be diarrheic than non-infected ones (23). This indicates that cryptosporidiosis is widespread in calves, although the eventual role of cattle as reservoir of zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* strains had not been explored, as the molecular identification of *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes infecting these calves was not pursued. In this follow-up study, using mostly the same sample set, we showed the wide distribution of *C. parvum* at the calf and dairy farm levels, even with a limited sample size that is unlikely to be representative of the dairy cattle



population of the country. The predominance of *C. parvum* is somewhat expected and consistent with the age of the studied calves, as this is the most frequent species in neonate animals

(15). In all the farms and departments included in the study, at least one subtype of *C. parvum* was identified, as in other studies carried out in Europe (43–46), Australia (47), Argentina





| Cluster rank | Number of dairy herds in each cluster | Centroid geolocation | | Radius (km) | Total number of cases | Subtype | Observed/expected cases for | RR | LLR | P-value |
|--------------|---|----------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| | | South | West | | | | each subtype | | | |
| 1 | 7 | 32.757967 | 57.931379 | 48.21 | 38 | llaA15G2R1 | 0.049 | 0.038 | 48.821332 | <0.0001 |
| | | | | | | llaA16G1R1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | llaA17G2R1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | llaA20G1R1 | 3.28 | 10.11 | | |
| | | | | | | llaA21G1R1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | llaA22G1R1 | 1.61 | 1.96 | | |
| | | | | | | llaA23G1R1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 2 | 12 | 34.718798 | 56.602989 | 58.42 | 67 | llaA15G2R1 | 1.56 | 2.51 | 46.881090 | <0.0001 |
| | | | | | | llaA16G1R1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | llaA17G2R1 | 2.48 | Infinity | | |
| | | | | | | llaA20G1R1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | | | llaA21G1R1 | 2.48 | Infinity | | |
| | | | | | | llaA22G1R1 | 0.26 | 0.17 | | |
| | | | | | | llaA23G1R1 | 0 | 0 | | |

TABLE 3 | Outputs of the spatial analysis for Cryptosporidium parvum subtype cluster detection in dairy calves.

RR, relative risk; LLR, log likelihood ratio.

(11, 48, 49), New Zealand (50), Brazil (51), Chile (52), and Colombia (53). However, the results differ from those observed in Sweden (54), China (55, 56), Canada (57), and Ethiopia (58), where *C. bovis* was the most frequently reported species in cattle of this age range.

The analysis of the sequence of the gp60 gene allowed us to identify seven different C. parvum subtypes, all within the family IIa, which is widely recognized for its zoonotic potential (59). The subtypes of the IIa family differ from each other in the number of trinucleotides encoding the amino acid serine, as well as in the number of copies of the ACATCA sequence at the end of the sequence. As observed in other studies from South America, including Argentina (11, 48), Brazil (51), Colombia (53), and Chile (60), all detected subtypes in our study also had only one copy of the ACATCA sequence (R1), with variable copy numbers of the TCA trinucleotide (A15, A16, A17, A20, A21, A22, and A23). Unlike studies from neighboring Argentina (11, 48), we identified two subtypes with two copies of the trinucleotide TCG (G), including subtype IIaA15G2R1 that has also been identified in Brazil, Chile, and Colombia (53, 60, 61), as well as subtype IIaA17G2R1 (35, 37, 38) that so far -of the south American countries-had only been identified in Chile (60) and Brazil (62). In this sense, our study broadens the current knowledge on C. parvum subtypes infecting cattle in this subcontinent.

Of the seven subtypes identified in our study, five had been found in humans elsewhere (34–40), and are considered zoonotic. At least one of these five zoonotic subtypes was detected in 28/29 (96.6%) of the farms in all departments in our study, indicating a widespread distribution. As described by other studies (13, 14, 43, 44, 63, 64), the most frequent subtype in our study was IIaA15G2R1. In South America, this subtype has been reported in cattle in Brazil (51), Chile (60), and Colombia (53) but not in Argentina (11, 48, 49). The second and third most frequent subtypes identified in our study (IIaA20G1R1 and IIaA22G1R1) are the most frequent ones found in Argentina (11, 48). Subtype IIaA17G2R1 found in our study was reported in cattle in Australia (38, 65), Italy (63), Germany (43), Brazil (62), and Chile (60), as well as in humans in the USA (37), Malaysia (35), and Australia (38). Interestingly, this subtype was involved in an outbreak of diarrhea in a summer camp in the USA, where there was contact between humans and infected calves, with the concurrent identification of this subtype in samples of both species (5). In Brazil, this subtype was found in the feces of calves, as well as in water from dairy farms (62), suggesting water contamination and waterborne transmission. To the best of our knowledge, there is no published information on the identification of Cryptosporidium species or subtypes infecting people or any animal species in Uruguay; thus, our study provides novel information that could be used to frame future studies on diagnostics, molecular epidemiology, and risk assessments.

Although we have previously documented that Cryptosporidium spp. infection in dairy calves in Uruguay was statistically associated with neonatal diarrhea (23), none of the subtypes found in this study was statistically associated with this clinical manifestation, indicating that diarrhea is associated with C. parvum infection regardless of the subtype. The lack of association between some of these C. parvum subtypes in neonate calves under similar rearing conditions has also been documented by other authors (11, 48, 53). Additional studies are necessary to determine the eventual implication of different subtypes in terms of their pathogenicity and elucidate the epidemiology of bovine cryptosporidiosis.

Despite the wide geographic distribution and subtype diversity found in our study, two spatial clusters were detected. In the primary cluster, there was a greater risk for farms to have subtypes IIaA20G1R1 and IIaA22G1R1. In the secondary cluster

TABLE 4 | Distance of farms with calves infected with different Cryptosporidium parvum subtypes from surface natural watercourses and downstream water treatments plants.

| Farm ID | Distance of the calf-rearing areas to the nearest draining surface natural watercourse (m) | Does the watercourse flow downstream into surface water treatment plants? | Surface water treatment plant ID and department | Distance from the watercourse to the downstream water treatment plant (km) | <i>C. parvum</i> subtypes and calves | Spatial cluster |
|---------|--|--|--|---|--|-----------------|
| 1 | 300 | No | _ | _ | *llaA15G2R1 (7 calves) | None |
| 2 | 200 | No | - | - | llaA22G1R1 (2 calves), *llaA15G2R1 (1 calf) | None |
| 3 | 20 | Yes | San Juan, Colonia | 30 | *IIaA23G1R1 (6 calves) | None |
| 4 | 40 | No | - | - | *IlaA15G2R1 (3 calves) | Secondary |
| 5 | 900 | No | - | - | llaA22G1R1 (7 calves), *llaA15G2R1 (1 calf) | None |
| 6 | 265 | No | - | - | *IIaA15G2R1 (8 calves) | Secondary |
| 7 | 250 | Yes | Bequeló, Soriano | 108 | IIaA22G1R1 (7 calves) | Primary |
| 8 | 50 | Yes | Santa Lucía (SL) and Aguas Corrientes (AC), Canelones | 25.5 (SL), 36.5 (AC) | *llaA17G2R1 (5 calves) | Secondary |
| 9 | 700 | No | - | - | *IIaA15G2R1 (4 calves) | Secondary |
| 10 | 600 | No | - | - | *IIaA20G1R1 (7 calves) | Primary |
| 11 | 500 | No | - | - | *IIaA20G1R1 (3 calves) | Primary |
| 12 | 150 | Yes | Bequeló, Soriano | 101 | *IIaA20G1R1 (5 calves) | Primary |
| 13 | 620 | No | - | - | *IlaA20G1R1 (4 calves), *IlaA15G2R1 (1 calf) | Primary |
| 14 | 300 | Yes | Ecilda, San José | 20 | *llaA16G1R1 (3 calves) | None |
| 15 | 90 | No | - | - | *IlaA15G2R1 (4 calves) | Secondary |
| 16 | 365 | No | - | - | *IIaA15G2R1 (10 calves) | Secondary |
| 17 | 340 | No | - | - | *IlaA20G1R1 (9 calves), *IlaA15G2R1 (1 calf) | None |
| 18 | 450 | Yes | SL and AC, Canelones | 15 (SL), 26 (AC) | *llaA15G2R1 (5 calves) | Secondary |
| 19 | 200 | No | - | - | *IIaA15G2R1 (5 calves) | None |
| 20 | 400 | No | - | - | *IIaA15G2R1 (3 calves) | None |
| 21 | 860 | No | - | - | llaA21G1R1 (4 calves), *llaA15G2R1 (3 calves) | Secondary |
| 22 | 550 | Yes | SL and AC, Canelones | 9 (SL), 20 (AC) | *IlaA15G2R1 (1 calf) | Secondary |
| 23 | 50 | No | - | - | *IIaA15G2R1 (6 calves) | Secondary |
| 24 | 430 | No | - | - | *IIaA15G2R1 (5 calves) | Secondary |
| 25 | 460 | Yes | Arroyo Grande, Flores | 97 | *llaA15G2R1 (8 calves) | None |
| 26 | 700 | Yes | Arroyo Grande, Flores | 94 | *IIaA15G2R1 (4 calves), *IIaA20G1R1 (1 calf) | None |
| 27 | 120 | No | _ | - | *IIaA20G1R1 (3 calves) | Primary |
| 28 | 120 | No | _ | - | *IIaA20G1R1 (8 calves) | Primary |
| 29 | 180 | Yes | SL and AC, Canelones | 30 (SL), 41 (AC) | *llaA15G2R1 (8 calves), llaA22G1R1 (1 calf) | Secondary |

*Subtypes that have been found in humans elsewhere: IIaA15G2R1 (34), IIaA16G1R1 (35, 36), IIaA17G2R1 (35, 37, 38), IIaA20G1R1 (39), and IIaA23G1R1 (40).

there was increased risk for subtypes IIaA15G2R1, IIaA17G2R1, and IIaA21G1R1. Geographic differences in *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes have long been described (10, 34, 66). In our study, one of the clusters was located to the north and the other to the south of the Río Negro. This river could have implications as a natural geographic barrier, which would help to explain

these differences, also considering that cattle movement across this river is controlled by a sanitary barrier by the Ministry of Livestock, Agriculture, and Fisheries. Additionally, the cluster in the north, adjacent to Argentina, contained the same subtypes that are more frequent in that country. The cluster located in the south presented the most frequent subtype in cases of zoonosis worldwide (IIaA15G2R1), as well as one involved in waterborne outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis (IIaA17G2R1) (5). As suggested by other authors, the origin of the animals, sources of infection, and management practices probably determine that in some areas there are greater frequencies of certain subtypes (10, 11). Interestingly, one of the spatial clusters identified in our study overlapped with Uruguay's most populated metropolitan area, which includes the capital city, Montevideo. The identification of the geographic distribution and spatial clustering of the subtypes of *C. parvum* facilitate the identification of risk areas for both animals and humans.

It should be considered that cattle movements either within Uruguay or internationally, could eventually determine geographic shifting of different *C. parvum* subtypes over time. In Uruguay, ~16% of dairy farmers send female dairy calves from the farms where they are born, to be custom raised in distant farms referred to as dairy rearing farms (DRFs) that gather tens of thousands of calves owned by many different farmers (67). Once heifers reach puberty, they are bred in the DRFs and sent back to their farms of origin before calving. In turn, neonate male dairy calves are usually sold and transported to beef farms within the country where they are reared for meat. This indicates that *C. parvum* subtypes detected in spatial clusters in this study could potentially spread to other geographic regions of the country.

Similarly, the international trade of livestock represents a potential way of transboundary dissemination of *C. parvum*, and other pathogens. From 2008 to 2016 Uruguay exported over 1.5 million live cattle head to Turkey (53%), Egypt (15%), China (14%), Brazil (5%), Lebanon (3%), and other destinations (9%) (68). As we will discuss in the following paragraphs, many of the zoonotic *C. parvum* subtypes identified in our study have either a limited occurrence or have not been identified in livestock species in countries importing cattle from Uruguay; thus trading provides a chance for transcontinental spread of these subtypes.

The first study on molecular subtyping of C. parvum in Turkey, the main country importing cattle from Uruguay, was published in 2012, and analyzed 13 bovine strains. Subtype IIaA15G2R1, the most frequent subtype found in our study, was identified in 10 animals, while subtype IIaA16G3R1 was identified in 2 animals and subtype IIdA15G1 was found in the remainder (69); these two subtypes were not identified in our study. Later, in 2016, a broader study that identified C. parvum in 27 dairy calves and 9 goat kids in Turkey revealed subtypes IIaA13G2R1 (20/23), IIdA18G1 (2/23), and IIdA20G1b (1/23) in cattle, and subtypes IIaA13G2R1 (3/8), IIaA15G1R1 (2/8), IIdA22G1 (2/8), and IIdA18G1 (1/8) in goat kids (70). None of these subtypes were identified in our study. Another Turkish study from 2017, described C. parvum in 73 of 112 diarrheic goat kids from 12 goat farms (71). Sequence analysis of the gp60 locus could be achieved in 67 cases, and revealed subtypes IIaA14G1R1 and IIaA15G1R1 in 25 goat kids each, IIdA18G1 (n: 9), and IIdA17G1 (n: 8). None of these subtypes were identified in our study. A more recent and even larger study from this country assessed 415 fecal specimens from diarrheic calves (n: 333), lambs (n: 67), and goat kids (n: 15), and identified C. parvum in 90 calves, 13 lambs, and 2 goats kids (72). Of the 11 subtypes detected (IIaA11G2R1, IIaA11G3R1, IIaA12G3R1,

IIaA13G2R1, IIaA13G4R1, IIaA14G1R1, IIaA14G3R1, IIaA15G2R1, IIdA16G1, IIdA18G1, IIdA22G1) in 82 cases (70 calves, 10 lambs and 2 goat kids), only one (IIaA15G2R1, identified in 4 calves and 3 lambs) was found in our study. Another recent study from Turkey identified C. parvum in 138 of 550 calves and heifers (73). Gp60 gene sequence analysis reveled only two subtypes (IIaA13G2R1, IIaA14G1R1) in all 138 samples, none of which were identified in our study. Altogether, this indicates that IIaA15G2R1, the most common subtype identified in Uruguay and regarded as an hyper-transmissible zoonotic subtype, is uncommon in livestock in Turkey (so far only identified in 14 cattle and 3 lambs), and that all other subtypes found in cattle in Uruguay have not been detected in livestock in Turkey, so livestock trading could represents a risk of introduction of these subtypes in the country.

A study on molecular epidemiology of Cryptosporidium spp. in 804 livestock (cattle and buffalo) and 165 humans in Egypt sampled in April-June 2011 (74), revealed an overall prevalence of Cryptosporidium spp. of 32.3% in livestock (260 animals), and 49.1% in humans (81 cases). C. parvum was identified in 142 livestock and 32 humans. All C. parvumpositive samples for which a nested gp60 PCR product was obtained were sequenced (n: 120); subtype family IId (which was not identified in our study) was significantly more frequent than subtype family IIa. All C. parvum of subtype family IIa detected from cattle (22.5%) and buffalo (11.4%) belonged to the IIaA15G1R1 subtype (which was not identified in our study), while human infections with subtype family IIa (50%) were found to be caused by subtypes IIaA15G1R1 (n: 2, subtype not identified in our study) and IIaA15G2R1 (n: 5, subtype most frequently identified in our study). Another study from Egypt evaluated the prevalence and molecular characteristics of Cryptosporidium spp. in dairy cattle in four Nile River delta provinces (75). Cryptosporidium spp. were identified in 13.6% of 1,974 fecal specimens obtained from 12 farms between December 2009 and November 2011. Successful amplification and sequencing of the gp60 locus for C. parvum subtyping was possible in 37 specimens, 27 were identified as IIaA15G1R1, 9 as IIdA20G1, and 1 as IIaA14G1R1r1b. None of these subtypes were identified in Uruguay. Another study on prevalence and genotyping of Cryptosporidium spp. in farm animals in Egypt that evaluated 466 samples from buffalo, 1,697 from cattle and 120 from sheep, identified C. parvum in 2 buffalo, 23 cattle and 0 sheep, the subtypes involved being IIdA20G1 and IIaA15G1R1 (76), none of which were identified in our study. Lastly, another study on Cryptosporidium genotypes and subtypes in dairy calves in Egypt identified C. parvum in 24 from 96 sampled calves, 23 were subtyped as IIdA20G1 (not identified in our study), and only 1 as IIaA15G2R1 (77), which was the most frequent subtype identified in our study. In summary, the most frequent subtype found in Uruguay (IIaA15G2R1), which has been regarded as an hyper-transmissible subtype, has a limited occurrence in Egypt, and to the best of our knowledge, it has so far only been identified in one dairy calf (77) and 5 human patients (74) in this country. In this context, livestock exports from Uruguay could represent a risk of introduction of C. parvum subtypes that are either infrequently identified or have not been identified in livestock and people in Egypt.

In China, there is a high diversity of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and subtypes, and the dominant C. parvum subtypes detected in this country are rarely detected in other countries. Domestic ruminants (calves, lambs, goat kids) are mostly infected with non-pathogenic Cryptosporidium spp., such as C. bovis (calves) or C. xiaoi (lambs and goat kids). C. parvum started to appear in dairy calves as a consequence of concentrated animal feeding operations. Subtyping of C. parvum in 9 studies involving dairy calves in 8 geographic areas of China published between 2011 and 2017 identified the exclusive occurrence of IId subtypes, mostly IIdA15G1 and IdA19G1 (78). The few IIa subtypes identified in cattle in China include IIaA15G2R1 (n: 8), IIaA16G2R1 (n: 2), IIaA14G1R1 (n: 1), IIaA14G2R1 (n: 1), and IIaA16G3R1 (n: 1), which were geographically restricted to the Qinghai province (79). Of these subtypes rarely detected in Chinese cattle, only the hyper-transmissible subtype IIaA15G2R1 was identified in Uruguay. C. parvum subtypes have also been identified in other domestic ruminants in China, including yak, sheep, and goats. One study identified the exclusive occurrence of a few IIa subtypes in yak, including IIaA15G2R1 (n: 8), IIaA16G2R1 (n: 2), IIaA14G1R1 (n: 1), IIaA14G2R1 (n: 1) and IIaA16G3R1 (n: 1) (80). The IIa subtypes identified in sheep include IIaA15G2R1 and IIaA17G2R1 (81), and those identified in goats include IIaA14G2R1, IIaA15G1R1, IIaA15G2R1 and IIaA17G2R1 (82). Of these seven IIa subtypes identified in yak, sheep, and goats in China, only subtypes IIaA15G2R1 and IIaA17G2R1 were identified in calves in Uruguay. In short, cattle imports from Uruguay could potentially determine the introduction of IIa subtypes that have not been detected or have a limited occurrence in livestock in most Chinese provinces.

Because Cryptosporidium is one of the most important waterborne parasites worldwide (7), and given the risk for contamination of aquatic environments by infected animals (57, 83), we assessed the distance between the calf-rearing areas in the studied farms and the closest natural surface watercourses. This was performed by considering the shortest natural drainage route of the farmlands, as well as whether these watercourses would flow downstream into public water treatment plants harvesting surface water for human consumption. This approach revealed some interesting observations. For instance, we found that watercourses draining four farms in the secondary spatial cluster flowed downstream into the Santa Lucía river and further down into Aguas Corrientes water treatment plant, which also overlapped with the secondary spatial cluster. Aguas Corrientes is the country's main water treatment plant, supplying drinking water to \sim 1.7 million people in the largest metropolitan area in the departments of Montevideo and Canelones (84). The calfrearing areas in these four farms, lodging 19 calves infected with the zoonotic subtypes IIaA17G2R1 and IIaA15G2R1, were 50, 180, 450, and 550 m away from their respective closest surface watercourses. The calf-rearing area that was closest (20 m) to a natural watercourse was in farm three and lodged six calves infected with the zoonotic subtype IIaA23G1R1. This watercourse flows down into the San Juan water treatment plant in the department of Colonia. Additionally, it should be stated that all the watercourses draining farmlands in this study eventually flow downstream into the Río Negro, Río Uruguay and/or Río de La Plata, all of which line the coast of recreational freshwater beaches in the departments of Río Negro, Soriano, Colonia, San José, Montevideo, and Canelones.

Studies that assessed the presence and concentration of Cryptosporidium oocysts in surface watercourses located upstream and downstream from cattle farms found higher proportions and concentrations of oocysts in downstream samples, suggesting that cattle represent a source of surface water contamination with this parasite (57, 85). In one of these studies, the natural watercourses were located within 500 m of the cattle housing facilities (57). Based on this information, it is reasonable to speculate that water draining from the calf-rearing areas in our study (particularly those housing calves outdoors on dirt floor where feces were not removed from the calf-rearing areas) could eventually act as a vehicle of C. parvum oocysts to the respective natural watercourses, i.e., after heavy rainfalls leading to surface runoff or floods. Taken together, our results indicate that water contamination by oocysts of zoonotic subtypes of C. parvum shed by cattle in Uruguay is likely and could represent a potential risk to public health if people are exposed to natural watercourses or if water used for drinking, recreation, or crop/produce irrigation is not sanitized properly.

Flooding events are frequent in Uruguay. In 2015 and 2016 the El Niño phenomenon caused extremely unstable climatic conditions in the region, causing rivers to swell and overflow their banks. In 2016, the same year of the sampling in our study, the accumulated rainfall in the country was 1,268 mm, 207 mm (19.5%) higher than the average annual accumulated rainfall in the previous decade (2006–2015), which was of 1,061 mm (86). In April of 2016, heavy rains and a tornado caused floods and thousands of flood victims in the entire country, according to Uruguay's national emergency system (87). Such extreme climatic conditions facilitate the environmental contamination with waterborne disease agents.

Additionally, surface water in Uruguay is used by farmers to irrigate crops and produce, which could also represent a possible source of transmission of C. parvum to humans through contaminated soil and vegetables (88). Another potential way of exposure of humans to untreated surface freshwater is through recreation. Activities such as swimming, sailing, kayaking, canoeing, fishing, waterskiing, windsurfing, and kiteboarding are commonly practiced in Uruguay by inhabitants and tourists alike, which in 2016 Uruguay accounted for over 3.3 million tourists (89). Furthermore, agritourism is expanding in the country, and some farms offer hands-on experiences with livestock, such as milking cows or herding cattle (90). It should be noted that human outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis with proven bovine-to-human C. parvum transmission have been documented in recreational spring pasture events in Sweden (8).

Water contamination probably perpetuates the transmission cycle of cryptosporidiosis in cattle in Uruguay. In all farms included in this study, calves were administered untreated underground water (**Supplementary Table 1**). In a previous

study we found group A rotavirus (RVA) RNA as well as viable and infective RVA particles in drinking water sources administered to neonate dairy calves in Uruguayan farms (91). This indicates that water likely acts as a vehicle in the transmission of causative agents of neonatal calf diarrhea that are less resistant in the environment than *Cryptosporidium* spp. oocysts. Unfortunately, we have not been able to validate laboratory tests to efficiently identify *Cryptosporidium* spp. in water samples.

In Uruguay, 90% of treated water is obtained from superficial sources and undergoes conventional treatment, a physicochemical process consisting of 6 phases: A- pretreatment, B- coagulation with aluminum sulfate, C- flocculation, D- sedimentation (or flotation), E- filtration, and F- disinfection (chlorination) (92). Cryptosporidium spp. oocysts can be physically removed from water supplies by conventional particle separation processes including chemical coagulationflocculation, sedimentation, and granular media filtration (93). Although conventional water treatment can be effective in reducing Cryptosporidium spp. oocyst loads, the effectiveness depends on the initial oocyst concentration in the source water. Additional special physical and chemical processes such as pressure-driven membrane microfiltration or ultrafiltration, or special disinfection procedures such as treatment with ozone or ultraviolet light irradiation, may be required to inactivate *Cryptosporidium* spp. oocysts, as the parasite is highly resistant to chlorination (even at very high doses after prolonged contact time) (93). To the best of our knowledge, such procedures are not available in Uruguayan water treatment plants and drinking water in the country is not specifically screened for Cryptosporidium spp. before, during or after the potabilization process. Major waterborne outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis have been linked to evidence of suboptimal water treatment in other countries (6).

CONCLUSION

C. parvum infection is widespread in dairy calves in Uruguay, and calves are reservoirs of zoonotic *C. parvum* subtypes, the most frequent being IIaA15G2R1 and IIaA20G1R1. Spatial clustering of zoonotic *C. parvum* subtypes in cattle overlapping with highly populated metropolitan areas and natural surface watercourses that flow downstream into public water treatment plants, including the country's main water plant harvesting surface water for human consumption, raises a concern for potential zoonotic waterborne transmission.

REFERENCES

- Fayer R. Taxonomy and species delimitation in *Cryptosporidium*. *Exp Parasitol*. (2010) 124:90–7. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2009.03.005
- Uga S, Matsuo J, Kono E, Kimura K, Inoue M, Rai SK, et al. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection and pattern of oocyst shedding in calves in Japan. *Vet Parasitol.* (2000) 94:27–32. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4017(00)00338-1
- 3. Xiao L, Fayer R. Molecular characterisation of species and genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* and assessment of zoonotic

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal sampling protocol was approved by INIA's animal ethics committee for the use of animals in experimentation (CEUA, protocol # 20199). Verbal informed consent for participation was obtained from the owners of the calves/farms.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FG, FR-C, and RC conceived the study. RC, MM, and BS performed molecular testing and DNA sequence analyses. RC and LC-L built the maps and analyzed data. CP-R analyzed data. RC and FG wrote the first draft and final version of the manuscript. MM, LC-L, CP-R, and FR-C wrote parts and/or edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the content of the manuscript.

FUNDING

funded grants This work was partially bv FMV_1_2014_1_104922, Uruguayan of the Agencia Nacional de Investigación e Innovación (ANII), and grants N-15156_PL_15_0_00 and N-23398 of the Instituto Agropecuaria (INIA). RC Nacional de Investigación acknowledges support from ANII through a master's scholarship (POS_FMV_2015_1_1005180).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Elís Domingos Ferrari from Universidade Estadual Paulista, and Yisell Perdomo, María Laura Casaux, Carlos Schild, Martín Fraga, and the staff from the Plataforma de Investigación en Salud Animal of INIA for their technical assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets. 2020.00562/full#supplementary-material

transmission. Int J Parasitol. (2008) 38:1239-55. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2008. 03.006

- Brankston G, Boughen C, Ng V, Fisman DN, Sargeant JM, Greer AL Assessing the impact of environmental exposures and *Cryptosporidium* infection in cattle on human incidence of cryptosporidiosis in Southwestern Ontario, Canada. *PLoS ONE*. (2018) 13:e0196573. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0196573
- Centers for disease control and prevention (CDC). Cryptosporidiosis outbreak at a summer camp — North Carolina, 2009. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* (2011) 60:918–22.

- Widerström M, Schönning C, Lilja M, Lebbad M, Ljung T, Allestam G, et al. Large outbreak of *Cryptosporidium hominis* infection transmitted through the public water supply, Sweden. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2014) 20:581– 9. doi: 10.3201/eid2004.121415
- Efstratiou A, Ongerth JE, Karanis P. Waterborne transmission of protozoan parasites: review of worldwide outbreaks - An update 2011–2016. *Water Res.* (2017) 114:14–22. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.036
- Alsmark C, Nolskog P, Angervall AL, Toepfer M, Winiecka-Krusnell J, Bouwmeester J, et al. Two outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis associated with cattle spring pasture events. *Vet Parasitol Reg Stud Reports*. (2018) 14:71– 4. doi: 10.1016/j.vprsr.2018.09.003
- Ng JS, Eastwood K, Walker B, Durrheim DN, Massey PD, Porigneaux P, et al. Evidence of *Cryptosporidium* transmission between cattle and humans in northern New South Wales. *Exp Parasitol.* (2012) 130:437– 41. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2012.01.014
- Cacciò SM, de Waele V, Widmer G. Geographical segregation of *Cryptosporidium parvum* multilocus genotypes in Europe. *Infect Genet Evol.* (2015) 31:245–9. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2015.02.008
- Del Coco VF, Córdoba MA, Bilbao G, de Almeida Castro AP, Basualdo JA, Fayer R, et al. *Cryptosporidium parvum* GP60 subtypes in dairy cattle from Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Res Vet Sci.* (2014) 96:311–4. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.12.010
- Khan A, Shaik JS, Grigg ME. Genomics and molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* species. *Acta Trop.* (2018)184:1– 14. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.10.023
- Mammeri M, Chevillot A, Chenafi I, Thomas M, Julien C, Vallée I, et al. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from diarrheal dairy calves in France. *Vet Parasitol Reg Stud Reports*. (2019) 18:100323. doi: 10.1016/j.vprsr.2019.100323
- Rieux A, Chartier C, Pors I, Delafosse A, Paraud C. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from high-excreting young dairy calves in dairy cattle herds in Western France. *Parasitol Res.* (2013) 112:3423– 31. doi: 10.1007/s00436-013-3520-2
- Xiao L. Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis: an update. *Exp Parasitol.* (2010) 124:80–9. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2009.03.018
- Gharpure R, Perez A, Miller AD, Wikswo ME, Silver R, Hlavsa MC. Cryptosporidiosis outbreaks - United states, 2009-2017. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. (2019) 68:568–72. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6825a3
- Torres ME, Pírez MC, Schelotto F, Varela G, Parodi V, Allende F, et al. Etiology of children's diarrhea in Montevideo, Uruguay: associated pathogens and unusual isolates. J Clin Microbiol. (2001) 39:2134–9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.39.6.2134-2139.2001
- Zanetta E, Bonifacino R, Carmona C, Acuña A, Guerrero J. Primeros hallazgos en Uruguay de un nuevo agente de diarrea aguda infantil: *Cryptosporidium* sp. *Arch Pediatr Urug.* (1987) 58:37–45.
- Cook R. World Cattle Inventory vs. Human Population. (2020). Available online at: https://beef2live.com/story-world-cattle-inventory-vs-humanpopulation-country-0-111575 (accessed August 10, 2020).
- Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca (MGAP), Oficina de Estadísticas Agropecuarias (DIEA). Anuario Estadístico Agropecuario 2019. (2019). Available online at: https://descargas.mgap.gub.uy/DIEA/Anuarios/ Anuario2019/Anuario2019.pdf (accessed August 10, 2020).
- Climate Change & Infectious Diseases Group. World Maps of Köppen-Geiger Climate Classification. (2019). Available online at: http://koeppen-geiger.vuwien.ac.at/ (accessed August 10, 2020).
- 22. Ministerio de Vivienda, Ordenamiento territorial y Medio ambiente (MVOTMA), Dirección Nacional de Medio Ambiente (DINAMA). Sistema de Información Ambiental. Available online at: https://www.dinama.gub.uy/ visualizador/index.php?vis=sig# (accessed August 10, 2020).
- 23. Caffarena RD. Aspectos Clínicos y Epidemiológicos de la Diarrea Neonatal en Terneros de Tambos de Uruguay y su Asociación con Infección por Cryptosporidium spp. y Escherichia coli F5 (K99)+. (Master's thesis). Universidad de La República, Montevideo, Uruguay (2017).
- Xiao L, Escalante L, Yang C, Sulaiman I, Escalante AA, Montali RJ, et. al. Phylogenetic analysis of *Cryptosporidium* parasites based on the small-subunit rRNAgene locus. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (1999) 65:1578– 83. doi: 10.1128/AEM.65.4.1578-1583.1999

- Xiao L, Alderisio K, Limor J, Royer M, Lal AA. Identification of species and sources of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in storm waters with a small-subunit rRNA-based diagnostic and genotyping tool. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2000) 66:5492–8. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.12.5492-5498.2000
- Alves M, Xiao L, Sulaiman I, Lal AA, Matos O, Antunes F. Subgenotype analysis of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from humans, cattle, and zoo ruminants in Portugal. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2003) 41:2744–7. doi: 10.1128/JCM.41.6.2744-2747.2003
- Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl Acids Symp Ser. (1999) 41:95– 8.
- Thompson J, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (1997) 25:4876– 82. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.24.4876
- Sulaiman IM, Hira PR, Zhou L, Al-Ali FM, Al-Sheladi FA, Shweiki HM, et al. Unique endemicity of cryptosporidiosis in children in Kuwait. J Clin Microbiol. (2005) 43:2805–9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.43.6.2805-2809.2005
- Jung I, Kulldorff M, Richard OJ. A spatial scan statistic for multinomial data. Stat Med. (2010) 29:1910–8. doi: 10.1002/sim.3951
- Kulldorff M. A spatial scan statistic. Commun Stat Theory Methods. (1997) 26:1481–96. doi: 10.1080/03610929708831995
- 32. Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca (MGAP). *Modelo Digital de Terreno*. (2020). Available online at: https://www.gub.uy/ministerio-gana deria-agricultura-pesca/tramites-y-servicios/servicios/modelo-digital-terreno (accessed August 10, 2020).
- QGIS Development Team. Release 3.8.0-Zanzibar [Computer Software]. (2019). Available online at: https://qgis.org/es/site/ (accessed August 10, 2020).
- Alves M, Xiao L, Antunes F, Matos O. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* subtypes in humans and domestic and wild ruminants in Portugal. *Parasitol Res.* (2006) 99:287–92. doi: 10.1007/s00436-006-0164-5
- Iqbal A, Lim YAL, Surin J, Sim BLH. High diversity of *Cryptosporidium* subgenotypes identified in Malaysian HIV/AIDS individuals targeting gp60 gene. *PLoS ONE*. (2012) 7:e31139. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031139
- Valenzuela O, González-Díaz M, Garibay-Escobar A, Burgara-Estrella A, Cano M, Durazo M, et al. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in children from Mexico. *PLoS ONE*. (2014) 9:1–5. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096128
- Hira KG, Mackay MR, Hempstead AD, Ahmed S, Karim MM, O'Connor RM, et al. Genetic diversity of *Cryptosporidium* spp. from Bangladeshi children. J *Clin Microbiol.* (2011) 49:2307–10. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00164-11
- Ng J, Eastwood K, Durrheim D, Massey P, Walker B, Armson A, et al. Evidence supporting zoonotic transmission of *Cryptosporidium* in rural New South Wales. *Exp Parasitol*. (2008) 119:192–5. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2008.01.010
- Segura R, Prim N, Montemayor M, Valls ME, Muñoz C. Predominant virulent IbA10G2 subtype of *Cryptosporidium hominis* in human isolates in Barcelona: a five-year study. *PLoS ONE*. (2015) 10:1–7. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121753
- Khalil S, Mirdha BR, Paul J, Panda A, Singh Y. Disseminated cryptosporidiosis: case report and literature review. World J Clin Infect Dis. (2017) 7:32–7. doi: 10.5495/wjcid.v7.i2.32
- Conti Díaz IA. Enfermedades emergentes y reemergentes en Uruguay. Rev Med Uruguay. (2001) 17:180–99.
- Rey F, Acosta S, Martínez W, Lena A, Tort C, Acuña A, et al. Implementación de métodos moleculares y microscópicos para estudios clínicos y ambientales relativos a *Cryptosporidium* sp. en Uruguay. *Rev del Lab Tecnológico del Uruguay*. (2016) 12:34–47.
- Broglia A, Reckinger S, Cacció SM, Nöckler K. Distribution of Cryptosporidium parvum subtypes in calves in Germany. Vet Parasitol. (2008) 154:8–13. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.02.029
- Brook EJ, Anthony Hart C, French NP, Christley RM. Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* subtypes in cattle in England. *Vet J.* (2009) 179:378– 82. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.10.023
- Kváč M, Kouba M, Vítovec J. Age-related and housing-dependence of *Cryptosporidium* infection of calves from dairy and beef herds in South Bohemia, Czech Republic. *Vet Parasitol.* (2006) 137:202– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.01.027

- 46. Thompson HP, Dooley JS, Kenny J, McCoy M, Lowery CJ, Moore JE, et al. Genotypes and subtypes of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in neonatal calves in Northern Ireland. *Parasitol Res.* (2006) 100:619–24. doi: 10.1007/s00436-006-0305-x
- O'Brien E, McInnes L, Ryan U. *Cryptosporidium* GP60 genotypes from humans and domesticated animals in Australia, North America and Europe. *Exp Parasitol.* (2008) 118:118–21. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2007.05.012
- Lombardelli JA, Tomazic ML, Schnittger L, Tiranti KI. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in dairy calves and GP60 subtyping of diarrheic calves in central Argentina. *Parasitol Res.* (2019) 118:2079– 86. doi: 10.1007/s00436-019-06366-y
- Tomazic ML, Maidana J, Dominguez M, Louge Uriarte E, Galarza R, Garro C, et al. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from calves in Argentina. *Vet Parasitol.* (2013) 198:382–6. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.09.022
- Al Mawly J, Grinberg A, Velathanthiri N, French, N. Cross sectional study of prevalence, genetic diversity and zoonotic potential of *Cryptosporidium parvum* cycling in New Zealand dairy farms. *Parasit Vectors*. (2015) 8:240. doi: 10.1186/s13071-015-0855-9
- Meireles MV, De Oliveira FP, Teixeira WFP, Coelho WMD, Mendes LCN. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy calves from the state of São Paulo, Brazil. *Parasitol Res.* (2011) 109:949– 51. doi: 10.1007/s00436-011-2336-1
- Díaz-Lee A, Mercado R, Onuoha EO, Ozaki LS, Muñoz P, Muñoz V, et al. *Cryptosporidium parvum* in diarrheic calves detected by microscopy and identified by immunochromatographic and molecular methods. *Vet Parasitol.* (2011) 176:139–44. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.11.001
- Avendaño C, Ramo A, Vergara-Castiblanco C, Sánchez-Acedo C, Quílez J. Genetic uniqueness of *Cryptosporidium parvum* from dairy calves in Colombia. *Parasitol Res.* (2018) 117:1–7. doi: 10.1007/s00436-018-5818-6
- Silverlås C, De Verdier K, Emanuelson U, Mattsson JG, Björkman C. *Cryptosporidium* infection in herds with and without calf diarrhoeal problems. *Parasitol Res.* (2010) 107:1435–44. doi: 10.1007/s00436-010-2020-x
- 55. Feng Y, Ortega Y, He G, Das P, Xu M, Zhang X, et al. Wide geographic distribution of *Cryptosporidium bovis* and the deer-like genotype in bovines. *Vet Parasitol.* (2007) 144:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.10.001
- Wang R, Wang H, Sun Y, Zhang L, Jian F, Qi M, et al. Characteristics of *Cryptosporidium* transmission in preweaned dairy cattle in Henan, China. J *Clin Microbiol.* (2011) 49:1077–82. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02194-10
- 57. Budu-Amoako E, Greenwood SJ, Dixon BR, Barkema HW, McClure JT. Giardia and Cryptosporidium on dairy farms and the role these farms may play in contaminating water sources in Prince Edward Island, Canada. J Vet Intern Med. (2012) 26:668–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-1676.2012.00930.x
- Wegayehu T, Karim RM, Li J, Adamu H, Erko B, Zhang L, et al. Prevalence and genetic characterization of *Cryptosporidium* species in dairy calves in Central Ethiopia. *PLoS ONE*. (2016) 11:e0154647. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154647
- Xiao L, Zhou L, Santin M, Yang W, Fayer R. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* parvum subtypes in calves in eastern United States. *Parasitol Res.* (2007) 100:701-6. doi: 10.1007/s00436-006-0337-2
- 60. Peña Frías S. Caracterización molecular de Cryptosporidium parvum aislado en bovinos con diarrea neonatal en dos regiones de Chile usando el gen gp60. (Master's thesis). Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile (2016).
- Meireles MV, Soares RM, Bonello F, Gennari SM. Natural infection with zoonotic subtype of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in Capybara (*Hydrochoerus hydrochaeris*) from Brazil. *Vet Parasitol.* (2007) 147:166–70. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.03.034
- 62. Toledo RD, Martins FD, Ferreira FP, de Almeida, JC, Ogawa L, Dos Santos HL, et al. *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia* spp. in feces and water and the associated exposure factors on dairy farms. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12:1–20. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0175311
- Duranti A, Cacciò SM, Pozio E, Di Egidio A, De Curtis M, Battisti A, et al. Risk factors associated with *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in cattle. *Zoonoses Public Health.* (2009) 56:176–82. doi: 10.1111/j.1863-2378.2008. 01173.x
- Feng Y, Torres E, Li N, Wang L, Bowman D, Xiao L. Population genetic characterisation of dominant *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtype IIaA15G2R1. *Int J Parasitol.* (2013) 43:1141–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.09.002
- 65. Ng J, Yang R, McCarthy S, Gordon C, Hijjawi N, Ryan U. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in pre-weaned calves in

Western Australia and New South Wales. Vet Parasitol. (2011) 176:145-50. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.10.056

- 66. Tiranti K, Larriestra A, Vissio C, Picco N, Alustiza F, Degioanni A, et al. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia* spp., spatial clustering and patterns of shedding in dairy calves from Córdoba, Argentina. *Rev Bras Parasitol Veterinária.* (2012) 20:140–7. doi: 10.1590/S1984-29612011000200009
- 67. Costa M, Bussoni A, Mello R, Santoro M, Rodríguez D, Landa F. Campos de recría en el Uruguay: gestión de los recursos y formas contractuales. *Agrociencia Uruguay.* (2010) 14:66–76.
- CPA Ferrere. Análisis del Impacto de la Exportación de Ganado en Pie en Uruguay. (2017). Available online at: https://rurales.elpais.com.uy/wpcontent/uploads/2017/09/INFORME-CPA.pdf (accessed August 10, 2020).
- Arslan MO, Ekinci AI. Determination of *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtypes in cattle in Kars province of Turkey. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg.* (2012) 18:221–6. doi: 10.9775/kvfd.2012.6565/Err
- Taylan-Ozkan A, Yasa-Duru S, Usluca S, Lysen C, Ye J, Roellig DM, et al. *Cryptosporidium* Species and *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtypes in dairy calves and goat kids reared under traditional farming systems in Turkey. *Exp Parasitol.* (2016) 170:16–20. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2016.06.014
- Sayin Ipek DN. Prevalence and molecular characterisation of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in diarrhoeic pre-weaned goat kids reared under traditional farming system in Diyarbakir, Southeastern Anatolia City, Turkey. *Rev Med Vet*. (2017) 168:229–34.
- Bin Kabir MH, Ceylan O, Ceylan C, Shehata AA, Bando H, Essa MI, et al. Molecular detection of genotypes and subtypes of *Cryptosporidium* infection in diarrheic calves, lambs, and goat kids from Turkey. *Parasitol Int.* (2020) 79:102163. doi: 10.21203/rs.2.23993/v1
- Yildirim A, Adanir R, Inci A, Yukari BA, Duzlu O, Onder Z, et al. Prevalence and genotyping of bovine *Cryptosporidium* species in the mediterranean and central Anatolia region of Turkey. *Comp Immunol Microb.* (2020) 69:101425. doi: 10.1016/j.cimid.2020.101425
- Helmy YA, Krücken J, Nöckler K, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, Zessin KH. Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* in livestock animals and humans in the Ismailia province of Egypt. *Vet Parasitol.* (2013) 193:15– 24. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.12.015
- Amer S, Zidan S, Adamu H, Ye J, Roellig D, Xiao L, et al. Prevalence and characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle in Nile river delta provinces, Egypt. *Exp Parasitol.* (2013) 135:518–23. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2013.09.002
- Mahfouz ME, Mira N, Amer S. Prevalence and genotyping of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in farm animals in Egypt. J Vet Med Sci. (2014) 76:1569–75. doi: 10.1292/jvms.14-0272
- Amer S, Honma H, Ikarashi M, Tada C, Fukuda Y, Suyama Y, et al. *Cryptosporidium* genotypes and subtypes in dairy calves in Egypt. *Vet Parasitol.* (2010) 169:382–6. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.01.017
- Feng Y, Xiao L. Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in China. Front Microbiol. (2017) 8:1701. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01701
- Gong C, Cao XF, Deng L, Li W, Huang XM, Lan JC, et al. Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* infection in cattle in China: a review. *Parasite*. (2017) 24:1. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2017001
- Mi R, Wang X, Li C, Huang Y, Zhou P, Li Z, et al. Prevalence and genetic characterization of *Cryptosporidium* in yaks in Qinghai province of China. *PLoS ONE*. (2013) 9:e97348. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074985
- Mi R, Wang X, Huang Y, Mu G, Zhang Y, Jia H, et al. Sheep as a potential source of zoonotic Cryptosporidiosis in China. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2018) 84:e00868–18. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00868-18
- Mi R, Wang X, Huang Y, Zhou P, Liu Y, Chen Y, et al. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* in goats across four provincial level areas in China. *PLoS ONE*. (2014) 9:e111164. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111164
- Carey CM, Lee H, Trevors JT. Biology, persistence and detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis* oocyst. Water Res. (2004) 38:818–62. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2003.10.012
- Obras Sanitarias del Estado | Uruguay (OSE). Abastecimiento. (2020). Available online at: http://www.ose.com.uy/agua (accessed August 10, 2020).
- Ong C, Moorehead W, Ross A, Isaac-Renton J. Studies of *Giardia* spp. and *Cryptosporidium* spp. in two adjacent watersheds. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (1996) 62:2798–805. doi: 10.1128/AEM.62.8.2798-2805.1996

- Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIA) Unidad de Agroclima y Sistemas de Información (GRAS). Portal INIA Banco Datos Agroclimatico. (2020). Available online at: http://www.inia.uy/gras/Clima/ Banco-datos-agroclimatico
- International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. DREF Final Report – Uruguay: Floods and Tornado. (2016). Available online at: https:// reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/MDRUY002dfr.pdf
- Hong S, Kim K, Yoon S, Park WY, Sim S, Yu JR. Detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in environmental soil and vegetables. *J Korean Med Sci.* (2014) 29:1367–71. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2014.29.10.1367
- Uruguay Natural. Uruguay Received More Than 3 Million Tourists During 2016. (2017). Available online at: https://marcapaisuruguay.gub.uy/en/ uruguay-received-more-than-3-million-tourists-during-2016/#:~:text=Intern ational%20tourism%20left%20the%20country,spent%20in%20Punta%20del% 20Este (accessed August 10, 2020).
- Ministerio de Turismo del Uruguay. Agro-tourism (2020). Available online at: https://turismo.gub.uy/index.php/en/places-to-go/south-centralregion/ beaches/atlantida/item/1193-agro-tourism
- 91. Castells M, Schild C, Caffarena D, Bok M, Giannitti F, Armendano J, et al. Prevalence and viability of group A Rotavirus in dairy farm

water sources. J Appl Microbiol. (2018) 124:922–9. doi: 10.1111/jam.13691

- 92. Obras Sanitarias del Estado | Uruguay (OSE). *Etapas del Proceso de Potabilización*. (2020). Available online at: http://www.ose.com.uy/agua/ etapas-del-proceso-de-potabilizacion (accessed August 10, 2020).
- Betancourt WQ, Rose JB. Drinking water treatment processes for removal of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*. Vet Parasitol. (2004) 126:219–34. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.09.002

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Caffarena, Meireles, Carrasco-Letelier, Picasso-Risso, Santana, Riet-Correa and Giannitti. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.