## **META-ANALYSIS**

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2016; 22: 1924-1935 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.895984

ccepted: 2015.11.24 blished: 2016.06.07			ith Alzheimer Disease Risk
Authors' Contribution: Study Design A Data Collection B Statistical Analysis C Data Interpretation D anuscript Preparation E Literature Search F Funds Collection G	CDE 2 BCF 3 BCD 4	Lili Gao Yan Zhang Jinghua Deng Wenbing Yu Yunxia Yu	<ol> <li>Department of Neurology, The Affiliated Hiser Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, Shandong, P.R. China</li> <li>Department of Clinical Nutrition, The Affiliated Hiser Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, Shandong, P.R. China</li> <li>Department of Oral Mucosa, Stomatological Hospital, Yantai, Shandong, P.R. China</li> <li>Fundamental Teaching Center, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, Shandong, P.R. China</li> <li>Department of Neurology, People's Hospital of Haiyang City, Haiyang, Shandong, P.R. China</li> </ol>
Correspondin Source o	ng Author: f support:	Yunxia Yu, e-mail: yifengdu_fd@126.com Departmental sources	
Back	(ground:	lems among seniors. The cause of AD that might contribute to the pathoger	eurodegenerative disease that is one of the most prevalent health prob- has not yet been elucidated, but many risk factors have been identified nesis and prognosis of AD. We conducted a meta-analysis of studies in- orphisms and AD susceptibility to further understand the pathogenesis
Material/N	Aethods:		ience, the Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar were searched for rele- rs3810950, and rs868750 of <i>CHAT</i> ; rs1937 and rs2306604 of <i>TFAM</i> ; and re studied in this meta-analysis.
Con	Results: clusions:	(G>A) in whites and rs3810950 (G>A) ation was detected between rs188067 ation was detected in studied single-n	1 16 446 cases and 16 057 controls were enrolled. For <i>CHAT</i> , rs2177369 in Asians were found to be associated with AD susceptibility. No associ- 76 and rs868750 and AD risk. For <i>TFAM</i> and <i>VR22</i> , no significant associ- ucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). ire associated with AD susceptibility, but rs1880676 and rs868750 are
		not. Rs1937 and rs2306604 of <i>TFAM</i> , a with AD risk.	and rs10997691 and rs7070570 of VR22 are not significantly associated
MeSH Ke	ywords:	Polymorphism, Single Nucleotide •	
Full-1	lext PDF:	http://www.medscimonit.com/abstrac	5 = 52

Polymorphisms of CHAT but not TFAM or VR22



Mar

MEDICAL SCIENCE

MONITOR



## Background

Alzheimer disease (AD) is one of the most prevalent health problems among seniors. It is a chronic neurodegenerative disease characterized by progressive cognition impairment and short-term memory loss, which usually deteriorates with aging. Amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles are identified as 2 hallmarks in the AD process [1].

The amyloid cascade hypothesis is one of the most influential hypotheses regarding AD pathogenesis. It suggests that the initial pathological event in AD is triggered by deposition of amyloid  $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ) in the brain, which further leads to the formation of tau-immunoreactive neurofibrillary tangles (NFT), extracellular senile plaques (SP), neuron dysfunction, and neuronal loss [2]. AB peptides are cut from amyloid precursor protein (APP) by secretases and aggregate to form oligomers. The malformation of oligomers or the dysfunction of oligomers further break down enzymes, leading to amyloid plagues and neurofibrillary tangles and triggering the process of AD. Tau as a microtubules-associated protein is also suspected to play an important part in the progression of AD, and was found to be the major constituent of neurofibrillary tangles. According to the amyloid cascade hypothesis, formation of the insoluble aggregates of tau is triggered by increased AB level via the induced hyperphosphorylation of tau [3]. In contrast, in the tau hypothesis it is the tau protein abnormality that is thought to trigger the disease [4]. Another important hypothesis regarding the pathogenesis of AD is the acetylcholine hypothesis; it is also the basis of most currently available AD drugs. According to this theory, AD is caused by reduced synthesis of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh) [5], and, by external supplementation of ACh, the symptoms of AD can be reduced.

Aside from cells, the mitochondrial cascade hypothesis indicates that critical changes in mitochondrial function initiate other pathologies characteristic of AD. Accumulation of amyloid- $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ) causes mitochondrial dysfunction in AD, leading to decreased ATP levels and increased ROS generation. It can also enhance mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis, and inhibit protein import inside the mitochondria. Mitochondrial DNA mutations and mitochondrial DNA damage are also involved in the pathogenesis of AD. Phosphorylated tau and A $\beta$  can lead to increased mitochondrial fission and neurodegeneration. A $\beta$  and APP impair mitochondrial fusion/fission processes, mitophagy, and mitochondrial movement, and cause abnormal morphology [6].

In addition to the various AD hypotheses, many genes involved in the pathway are suspected to be risk factors of AD, including *APP*, *APOE*, *CASS4*, and *CELF1* [7]. Although the association of AD with some genes has been verified by many studies, the contradictions between different studies make it difficult to form firm conclusions about such associations. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis of published studies to investigate the correlation between suspected genes and AD susceptibly.

*CHAT* (choline O-acetyltransferase) gene encodes an enzyme that catalyzes the biosynthesis of ACh. The enzyme is also characteristic of cholinergic neurons, and changes in these neurons may contribute to some AD symptoms. The A allele of *CHAT* c.2384G>A polymorphism was also associated with earlier onset and possibly accelerated progression of AD [8]. *CHAT* was considered as a suspected gene in this meta-analysis.

*TFAM* (transcription factor A, mitochondrial) gene encodes a key mitochondrial transcription factor that functions in mitochondrial DNA replication and repair. Impaired expression of *TFAM* may influence the function of mitochondria and thus lead to AD.

AD	Alzheimer Disease[Mesh] OR Alzheimer Disease[tiab] OR Alzheimer Sclerosis[tiab] OR Alzheimer Syndrome[tiab] OR Alzheimer Type Senile Dementia[tiab] OR Alzheimer-Type Dementia[tiab] OR Alzheimer Type Dementia[tiab] OR Alzheimer Type Dementia[tiab] OR Senile Dementia[tiab] OR Primary Senile Degenerative Dementia[tiab] OR Alzheimer Dementia[tiab] OR Alzheimer's Disease[tiab] OR Acute Confusional Senile Dementia[tiab] OR Presenile Dementia[tiab] OR Late Onset Alzheimer Disease[tiab] OR Focal Onset Alzheimer's Disease[tiab] OR Familial Alzheimer Disease[tiab] OR Presenile Alzheimer Dementia[tiab] OR Early Onset Alzheimer Disease[tiab] OR AD
SNP	Polymorphism, Genetic[Mesh] OR Polymorphisms, Genetic[tiab] OR Genetic Polymorphism[tiab] OR Polymorphism[tiab] OR Genetic Polymorphisms[tiab] OR Polymorphism, Single Nucleotide[Mesh] OR Nucleotide Polymorphism, Single[tiab] OR Nucleotide Polymorphisms, Single[tiab] OR Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms[tiab] OR SNPs[tiab] OR Single Nucleotide Polymorphism[tiab] OR Polymorphisms, Single Nucleotide[tiab]
CHAT	CHAT[Mesh] OR CHAT[tiab] OR CHOACTASE[tiab] OR Choline O-Acetyltransferase[tiab] OR Choline Acetylase[tiab] OR Choline Acetyltransferase[tiab] OR rs868750[tiab] OR rs3810950[tiab] OR rs2177369[tiab] OR rs1880676[tiab]
TFAM	TFAM[Mesh] OR TFAM[tiab] TCF6[tiab] OR MTTF1[tiab] OR MTTFA[tiab] OR transcription factor A, mitochondrial[tiab] OR rs1937[tiab] OR rs2306604[tiab]
VR22	CTNNA3[Mesh] OR CTNNA3[tiab] OR VR22[tiab] OR ARVD13[tiab] OR rs10997691[tiab] OR rs7070570[tiab]

#### Supplementary Table 1. Research terms.

Gene	SNP	First Author	Voar	Country	Ethnicity	Case	Control		Case			Contro	l
Gene	SNP	FIRST AUTHOR	Tear	Country	Ethnicity	Case	Control	ww	MW	мм	ww	MW	мм
ChAT	rs1880676	Ahn Jo	2006	Korea	Asian	316	264	211	99	6	193	69	2
	G>A	Giedraitis	2009	Sweden	Caucasians	84	384	54	29	1	222	144	18
		Harold	2003	UK	Caucasians	68	85	34	25	9	49	33	3
		Harold	2003	UK	Caucasians	135	135	71	56	8	64	62	9
		Harold	2003	UK	Caucasians	194	209	105	77	12	127	79	3
		Li	2008	Canada	Caucasians	690	681	386	256	48	364	275	42
		Ozturk	2005	USA	Caucasians	1001	705	563	376	62	369	292	44
		Reiman	2007	USA	Caucasians	853	550	478	329	46	303	206	41
	rs2177369	Cook	2014	UK	Caucasians	381	370	158	207	105	162	164	55
	G>A	Cook	2005	UK	Caucasians	202	295	95	124	76	88	85	29
		Cook	2005	UK	Caucasians	202	295	29	85	88	76	124	95
		Cook	2005	UK	Caucasians	179	175	26	79	74	29	83	63
		Piccardi	2007	Italy	Caucasians	158	118	44	75	39	40	57	21
		Scacchi	2008	Italy	Caucasians	442	218	167	200	75	61	117	40
	rs3810950	Ahn Jo	2006	Korea	Asian	316	264	211	99	6	192	70	2
	G>A	Cook	2005	UK	Caucasians	210	315	112	76	22	161	128	26
		Gruenblatt	2008	Austria	Caucasians	120	456	63	45	12	268	164	24
		Harold	2003	UK	Caucasians	131	118	69	51	11	65	47	6
		Kim	2004	Korea	Asian	246	561	171	61	14	419	133	9
		Lee	2012	Korea	Asian	736	1386	505	205	26	1023	342	21
		Mubumbila		Germany & French	Caucasians	122	112	48	32	42	64	34	14
		Ozturk	2005	USA	Caucasians	999	708	562	377	60	363	296	49
		Schwarz	2003	Germany	Caucasians	242	143	139	94	9	83	52	8
		Tang	2008	China	Asian	273	271	190	75	8	179	83	9
	rs868750	Harold	2003	UK	Caucasians	119	116	72	39	8	83	31	2
	G>A	Harold	2003	UK	Caucasians	135	131	88	42	5	95	33	3
		Harold	2003	UK	Caucasians	209	222	129	75	5	130	84	8
		Ozturk	2005	USA	Caucasians	989	706	628	322	39	476	217	13

### Supplementary Table 2. Main characteristics of studies selected in the meta-analysis.

Gene	SNP	First Author	Voar	Country	Ethnicity	Case	Control		Case			Contro	l
Gene	SNP	FIRST AUTHOR	Tear	Country	Ethnicity	Case	Control	ww	MW	ММ	ww	MW	мм
TFAM	rs1937	Alvarez	2008	Spain	Caucasians	300	183	277	23	0	158	23	2
	G>C	Belin	2007	Swedish	Caucasians	423	313	339	78	6	251	55	7
		Blomqvist	2005	Scotland	Caucasians	122	152	95	21	6	123	27	2
		Blomqvist	2005	Sweden	Caucasians	204	174	156	43	5	143	30	1
		Gunther	2004	-	Caucasians	372	295	301	67	4	221	71	3
		Zhang	2011	China	Asian	394	390	274	116	4	250	126	14
	rs2306604	Alvarez	2008	Spain	Caucasians	300	183	93	151	56	50	99	34
	A>G	Belin	2007	Swedish	Caucasians	406	318	164	169	73	100	152	66
		Giedraitis	2009	Sweden	Caucasians	85	400	29	41	15	146	200	54
		Gunther	2004	-	Caucasians	353	291	123	163	67	84	136	71
		Zhang	2012	China	Asian	394	390	98	204	92	100	192	98
VR22	rs10997691	Busby	2004	UK(I)	Caucasians	133	110	94	35	4	81	24	5
	T>C	Busby	2004	UK(II)	Caucasians	108	104	79	25	4	85	19	0
		Busby	2004	USA(I)	Caucasians	265	448	214	45	6	362	82	4
		Busby	2004	USA(II)	Caucasians	94	90	68	23	3	71	18	1
	rs7070570	Blomqvist	2004	Scotland	Caucasians	119	151	53	54	12	75	66	10
	T>C	Blomqvist	2004	Sweden	Caucasians	534	173	277	214	43	89	73	11
		Busby	2004	UK(I)	Caucasians	145	121	72	63	10	54	58	9
		Busby	2004	UK(II)	Caucasians	107	106	56	41	10	53	41	12
		Busby	2004	USA(I)	Caucasians	266	423	141	110	15	226	172	25
		Busby	2004	USA(II)	Caucasians	422	381	222	169	31	195	159	27
		Cellini	2005	Italy	Caucasians	302	164	168	116	18	94	56	14
		Kuwano	2006	Japan	Asian	348	328	23	155	170	33	122	173

#### Supplementary Table 2 continued. Main characteristics of studies selected in the meta-analysis.

W - wild allele; M - mutation allele.

Another suspected gene in this study is *VR22* (also known as CTNNA3, catenin [cadherin-associated protein], alpha 3). The encoded protein plays a role in cell-cell adhesion. The association between *VR22* and AD was first reported in several linkage studies [9–12]. Further studies also provided evidence of significant interaction between *APOE-4* and *VR22* SNPs [13], indicating that *VR22* or a nearby gene may influence susceptibility to AD.

We conducted a meta-analysis of studies concerning *CHAT*, *TFAM*, and *VR22* polymorphisms and AD susceptibility to further understand the pathogenesis of AD.

Included study	Forest plot with <i>ChaT</i> gene	OR (95% CI)	Weight %
ChAT rs1880676 Ahn Jo (2006) Giedraitis (2009) Harold (2003) Harold (2003) Harold (2003) Li (2008) Ozturk (2005) Reiman (2007) Subtotal (P=57.0%, P=0.023)		2.74 (0.55, 13.76) 0.23 (0.03, 1.75) 4.32 (1.09, 17.15) 0.80 (0.29, 2.20) 4.84 (1.33, 17.60) 1.08 (0.70, 1.67) 0.92 (0.61, 1.39) 0.71 (0.46, 1.11) 1.14 (0.74, 1.74)	1.66 1.15 2.07 3.02 2.26 5.30 5.42 5.27 26.16
ChAT rs2177369 Cook (2014) Cook (2005) Cook (2005) Cook (2005) Piccardi (2007) Scacchi (2008) Subtotal (P=72.6%, P=0.003)		1.96 (1.32, 2.90) 2.43 (1.45, 4.07) 2.43 (1.45, 4.07) 1.31 (0.70, 2.45) 1.69 (0.85, 3.34) 0.68 (0.42, 1.11) 1.61 (1.07, 2.43)	5.49 4.96 4.96 4.48 4.24 5.11 29.23
ChAT rs3810950 Ahn Jo (2006) Cook (2005) Gruenblatt (2008) Harold (2003) Kim (2004) Lee (2012) Mubumbila (2002) Ozturk (2005) Schwarz (2003) Subtotal (P=72.5%, P=0.000)		<ul> <li>2.73 (0.54, 13.69)</li> <li>1.22 (0.66, 2.25)</li> <li>2.13 (1.01, 4.48)</li> <li>1.73 (0.60, 4.94)</li> <li>3.81 (1.62, 8.97)</li> <li>2.51 (1.40, 4.50)</li> <li>4.00 (1.96, 8.15)</li> <li>0.79 (0.25, 1.81)</li> <li>0.67 (0.25, 1.81)</li> <li>1.79 (1.12, 2.86)</li> </ul>	1.66 4.52 3.98 2.89 3.55 4.66 4.12 5.46 3.08 33.93
<b>ChAT rs868750</b> Harold (2003) Harold (2003) Harold (2003) Ozturk (2005) Subtotal (P=41.1%, P=0.165)		4.61 (0.95, 22.42) 1.80 (0.42, 7.75) 0.63 (0.20, 1.98) 2.27 (1.20, 4.31) 1.78 (0.86, 3.71)	1.71 1.91 2.63 4.43 10.68
Overall (/²=68.1%, P=0.000) Note: Weights are from random effects	analysis	1.55 (1.21, 1.97)	100.00
.0298	Protector factor Risk factor	335	

## **Material and Methods**

#### Search strategy

In the current study, PubMed/Medline, Embase, Web of Science, the Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar were searched with related terms (details shown in Supplemenatry Table 1). Articles published prior to August 2015 were searched for potential SNP targets. References of retrieved articles were manually checked for other relevant publications.

#### Study selection and data extraction

The following criteria had to satisfied by eligible studies: (a) case-control studies covering the association between SNPs on *CHAT, TFAM,* or *VR22* genes and susceptibility to AD; (b) sufficient requirements for estimating odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence interval (CIs) must have been satisfied; (c) the diagnosis of AD was confirmed by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR) criteria [14] published by the American Psychiatric Association, or the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke (NINCDS) – the Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association (ADRDA) Alzheimer's Criteria [15]. Studies were excluded if they were: (a) not a case-control study; (b) had insufficient data provided; (c) were cited by a previous

Figure 1. Forest plots showed the relationship of the 4 SNPs – rs1880676, rs2177369, rs3810950, and rs868750 – in *CHAT* gene and the risk of AD. The odds ratio from each study is represented by a square and the confidence interval is indicated by error bars. The subtotal and overall odds ratio is signified by a rhombus.

meta-analysis of same subject. The name of first author, publication year, country of origin, ethnicities of subjects, studied SNPs and genes, number of subjects, frequencies of allele and genotype, and indication of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in the controls were documented for each study. Ethnicity was categorized as white or Asian. No study was conducted in African populations. Four SNPs for *CHAT* gene (rs1880676, rs2177369, rs3810950, and rs868750); 2 SNPs for *TFAM* gene (rs1937 and rs2306604); and 2 SNPs for *VR22* gene (rs10997691 and rs7070570) were included in this meta-analysis. Data from retrieved studies were independently extracted by 2 reviewers. In cases of conflicting evaluations, 2 of the authors discussed the issues to reach a consensus; if no agreement could be reached, a third author would decide.

#### Statistical analysis

The strength of associations between the studied SNPs and susceptibility to AD were assessed by OR corresponding to 95% CI. Four genetic models (the allele, the dominant, the recessive, and the homozygous) were examined. A 2-sided P<0.05 in the Z test was considered as statistically significant. Subgroup analyses were performed by ethnicity (Asian/white). Heterogeneities were tested with Cochran's Q-statistic [16] with a  $P_h>0.05$  indicating lack of heterogeneity. Mantel-Haenszel (M-H) method for the fixed-effects model [17] was used to calculate the

<b>A</b>			
Included study	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs188076 by ethnicity	/ OR (95% CI)	Weight %
Caucasians			
Giedraitis (2009)		0.74 (0.48, 1.13)	7.28
Harold (2003)	<u>+</u> → →	<b>—</b> 1.55 (0.93, 2.58)	5.51
Harold (2003)	<b></b>	0.86 (0.59, 1.26)	8.65
Harold (2003)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1.38 (0.99, 1.93)	10.29
.i (2008)		0.96 (0.81, 1.13)	18.93
Dzturk (2005)	<u>→</u> †	0.90 (0.77, 1.05)	20.01
Reiman (2007)		0.92 (0.78, 1.10)	18.73
Subtotal ( <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> =43.6%, <i>P</i> =0.100)	$\sim$	0.92 (0.86, 1.10)	89.39
Asian			
Ah Jo (2006)		1.33 (0.96, 1.83)	10.61
Subtotal (I <sup>2</sup> =.%, P=.)		1.33 (0.96, 1.83)	10.61
Overall (P=51.6%, P=0.044)	♦	1.01 (0.88, 1.15)	100.00
Note: Weights are from random effe	ects analysis		
	387 1	2.58	
8	Protector factor Risk factor		
Included study	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnicit	ty OR (95% CI)	Weight %
Caucasians	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnicit	<sup>ty</sup> OR (95% CI)	Weight %
Caucasians Cook (2005)	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnici	ty OR (95% CI) 1.22 (0.66, 2.25)	Weight %
Caucasians Cook (2005)	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnicit	• OR (95% CI)	
<b>Faucasians</b> Gook (2005) Gruenblatt (2008) Harold (2003)	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnici	1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94)	11.86 10.72 8.23
aucasians cook (2005) Gruenblatt (2008) Harold (2003) Aubumbila (2002)	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnicit	1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02
<b>Caucasians</b> Cook (2005) Gruenblatt (2008) Harold (2003) Mubumbila (2002) Dzturk (2005)	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnicit	1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71
aucasians iook (2005) iruenblatt (2008) larold (2003) Aubumbila (2002) zuruk (2005) ichwarz (2003)	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnicit	1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68
aucasians iook (2005) iruenblatt (2008) larold (2003) Aubumbila (2002) zuruk (2005) ichwarz (2003)	Forest plot for <i>ChaT</i> rs3810950 by ethnicit	1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71
Caucasians Cook (2005) Gruenblatt (2008) Harold (2003) Wubumblia (2002) Dzturk (2005) Schwarz (2003) Subtotal (P=73.9%, P=0.002) Asian		1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81) 1.44 (0.83, 2.52)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68 64.23
caucasians           cook (2005)           Gruenblatt (2008)           Harold (2003)           Wubumbila (2002)           Jzturk (2005)           Schwarz (2003)           Subtotal (P=73.9%, P=0.002)           Asian           Ahn Jo (2006)		1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81) 1.44 (0.83, 2.52) 2.73 (0.54, 13.69)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68 64.23 5.04
caucasians           cook (2005)           Gruenblatt (2008)           Harold (2003)           Vubumbila (2002)           Zuturk (2005)           Schwarz (2003)           Subtotal (P=73.9%, P=0.002)           Asian           hn Jo (2006)           Gim (2004)		1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81) 1.44 (0.83, 2.52) 2.73 (0.54, 13.69) 3.81 (1.62, 8.97)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68 64.23 5.04 9.77
caucasians           cook (2005)           Gruenblatt (2008)           Harold (2003)           Mubumbila (2002)           Dzturk (2005)           Schwarz (2003)           Subtotal (I <sup>2</sup> =73.9%, P=0.002)           Asian           Mn Jo (2006)           Kim (2004)           .ee (2012)		1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81) 1.44 (0.83, 2.52) 2.73 (0.54, 13.69) 3.81 (1.62, 8.97) 2.51 (1.40, 4.50)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68 64.23 5.04 9.77 12.15
caucasians           cook (2005)           Gruenblatt (2008)           Harold (2003)           ubumblia (2002)           Dzturk (2005)           ichwarz (2003)           jubtotal (l <sup>2</sup> =73.9%, P=0.002)           Asian           hhn Jo (2006)           (im (2004)           ee (2012)           fang (2008)		1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81) 1.44 (0.83, 2.52) 2.73 (0.54, 13.69) 3.81 (1.62, 8.97) 2.51 (1.40, 4.50) 0.84 (0.32, 2.22)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68 64.23 5.04 9.77 12.15 8.81
Saucasians           cook (2005)           Gruenblatt (2008)           Harold (2003)           Vubumbila (2002)           Dzturk (2005)           Schwarz (2003)           Subtotal (P=73.9%, P=0.002)           Asian           Ahn Jo (2006)           (im (2004)           e.e (2012)           Yang (2008)           Subtotal (P=46.4%, P=0.133)		1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81) 1.44 (0.83, 2.52) 2.73 (0.54, 13.69) 3.81 (1.62, 8.97) 2.51 (1.40, 4.50) 0.84 (0.32, 2.22) 2.19 (1.17, 4.09)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68 64.23 5.04 9.77 12.15 8.81 35.77
Caucasians           Cook (2005)           Gruenblatt (2008)           Harold (2003)           Wubumbila (2002)           Dzturk (2005)           Schwarz (2003)           Subtotal (P=73.9%, P=0.002)           Asian           Ahn Jo (2006)           Kim (2004)           Lee (2012)           Gang (2008)           Subtotal (P=46.4%, P=0.133)           Overall (P=70.4%, P=0.000)		1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81) 1.44 (0.83, 2.52) 2.73 (0.54, 13.69) 3.81 (1.62, 8.97) 2.51 (1.40, 4.50) 0.84 (0.32, 2.22)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68 64.23 5.04 9.77 12.15 8.81
Caucasians           Cook (2005)           Gruenblatt (2008)           Harold (2003)           Vubumbila (2002)           Dzturk (2005)           Schwarz (2003)           Subtotal (P=73.9%, P=0.002)           Asian           Ahn Jo (2006)           Kim (2004)           e.e (2012)           Fang (2008)           Subtotal (P=46.4%, P=0.133)	ects analysis	1.22 (0.66, 2.25) 2.13 (1.01, 4.48) 1.73 (0.60, 4.94) 4.00 (1.96, 8.15) 0.79 (0.53, 1.18) 0.67 (0.25, 1.81) 1.44 (0.83, 2.52) 2.73 (0.54, 13.69) 3.81 (1.62, 8.97) 2.51 (1.40, 4.50) 0.84 (0.32, 2.22) 2.19 (1.17, 4.09) 1.67 (1.07, 2.60)	11.86 10.72 8.23 11.02 13.71 8.68 64.23 5.04 9.77 12.15 8.81 35.77

Figure 2. The forest plots of (A) CHAT rs1880676 and (B) CHAT rs3810950 by ethnicity. The odds ratio from each study is represented by a square and the confidence interval is indicated by error bars. The subtotal and overall odds ratio is signified by a rhombus.

pooled OR estimate of studies without heterogeneity; otherwise, the DerSimonian and Laird (D-L) method [18] was used for the random-effects model. A funnel plot was used to detect publication bias. The standard error of log (OR) of each study was plotted against its log (OR) in the plot. Possible funnel plot asymmetry was evaluated by Egger's linear regression test on the natural logarithm scale of OR [19]. All statistical analyses were performed with STATA version 12.0 software (Stata Corp, College Station, TX), using 2-sided *P*-values.

## Results

## Study characteristics

In the search for *CHAT* gene polymorphisms and AD association, we retrieved 26 articles [8,20–44] from PubMed/Medline, Embase, Web of Science, the Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar, with 28 studies related to rs1880676, rs2177369, rs3810950, and rs868750. For *TFAM* gene polymorphisms, 10 articles and 11 studies were enrolled. For *VR22*, 4 articles and 12 studies were enrolled. A total of 51 case-control studies were included in our meta-analysis, with 16 446 cases and 16 057 controls. The details of methodological and characteristics qualities of the eligible studies are compiled in Supplementary Table 2.

## CHAT gene polymorphisms correlated with AD risk

Among the studied SNPs, rs2177369 (G>A) and rs3810950 (G>A) were found to be associated with AD susceptibility, but no association was detected between rs1880676 and rs868750 and AD risk (Figures 1, 2A). As shown in Table 1, rs2177369 (G>A) was a risk factor for AD onset (OR=1.61, 95% Cl=1.07–2.43, *P*=0.022). For rs3810950 (G>A), a mutation is a risk factor for AD (OR=1.79, 95% Cl=1.12–2.86, *P*=0.016, Figure 1). In subgroup analysis by ethnicity, the association was confirmed in Asians (Figure 2B), but not in whites (allele model: OR=1.23, 95%Cl=1.01–1.48; homozygous model: OR=2.19, 95%Cl=1.17–4.09; recessive model: OR=2.14, 95%Cl=1.20–3.84, Table 1).

Gene	SNP	Genetic	OR (OTK CI)	P <sub>odds ratio</sub>	Tau <sup>2</sup>	<b> </b> <sup>2</sup>	P <sub>hetero-</sub>	Ethr	nicity		cation as
		model	(95% CI)	ouus ratio			geneity	Caucasians	Asians	<b>P</b> <sub>Begg</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>Egger</sub>
ChAT	rs1880676	<b>A</b> <i>vs</i> . G	1.01 (0.88–1.15)	0.896	0.017	51.6%	0.044	0.97 (0.86–1.11)	1.33 (0.96–1.83)	0.386	0.165
		<b>AA</b> +G <b>A</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	0.97 (0.85–1.11)	0.687	0.010	30.4%	0.185	0.93 (0.83–1.03)	1.35 (0.95–1.94)	0.536	0.239
		<b>AA</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	1.14 (0.74–1.74)	0.551	0.170	57.0%	0.023	1.08 (0.70–1.66)	2.74 (0.55–13.76)	0.536	0.095
		<b>AA</b> vs. GG+G <b>A</b>	1.16 (0.77–1.75)	0.474	0.151	55.1%	0.029	1.11 (0.73–1.69)	2.54 (0.51–12.67)	0.536	0.104
	rs2177369	<b>A</b> <i>vs</i> . G	1.13 (0.83–1.54)	0.439	0.133	88.6%	<0.0001	1.13 (0.83–1.54)	-	0.348	0.178
		<b>AA</b> +G <b>A</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	1.14 (0.76–1.67)	0.531	0.198	82.6%	<0.0001	1.14 (0.76–1.69)	-	0.452	0.220
		<b>AA</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	1.61 (1.07–2.43)	0.022	0.185	72.6%	0.003	1.61 (1.07–2.43)	-	1.000	0.831
		<b>AA</b> vs. GG+G <b>A</b>	1.53 (1.17–2.00)	0.002	0.063	57.0%	0.040	1.53 (1.17–2.00)	-	0.707	0.659
	rs3810950	<b>A</b> <i>vs</i> . G	1.23 (1.02–1.48)	0.033	0.060	77.2%	<0.0001	1.18 (0.90–1.55)	1.23 (1.01–1.48)	0.592	0.214
		<b>AA</b> +G <b>A</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	1.16 (0.97–1.38)	0.105	0.042	61.5%	0.008	1.09 (0.85–1.39)	1.20 (0.10–1.44)	0.592	0.292
		<b>AA</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	1.79 (1.12–2.86)	0.016	0.346	72.5%	<0.0001	1.44 (0.83–2.52)	2.19 (1.17–4.09)	0.858	0.325
		<b>AA</b> vs. GG+G <b>A</b>	1.76 (1.14–2.70)	0.010	0.273	68.5%	0.001	1.45 (0.87–2.41)	2.14 (1.20–3.84)	1.000	0.355
	rs868750	<b>A</b> <i>vs</i> . G	1.21 (0.96–1.52)	0.113	0.027	49.3%	0.116	1.21 (0.96–1.52)	-	0.308	0.689
		<b>AA</b> +G <b>A</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	1.19 (0.95–1.47)	0.125	0.014	27.5%	0.247	1.19 (0.95–1.47)	_	0.308	0.628
		<b>AA</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	1.78 (0.86–3.70)	0.123	0.229	41.1%	0.165	1.78 (0.86–3.71)	-	0.734	0.858
		<b>AA</b> vs. GG+G <b>A</b>	1.72 (0.87–3.37)	0.117	0.161	33.1%	0.213	1.72 (0.87–3.37)	_	0.734	0.919

Table 1. Meta-analysis of four polymorphisms in ChAT gene and AD susceptibility.

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence intervals; In genetic model, the bold one means mutation allele.

# No association observed between SNPs of *TFAM* and *VR22* and AD

A total of 3353 cases and 3089 controls from 11 studies were involved in the meta-analysis concerning rs1937 and rs2306604 of TFAM. No significant association was detected between the 2 SNPs and the risk of AD by the allele, the dominant, the recessive, or the homozygous model (Figure 3, Table 2). In subgroup analysis, 9 of the studies were in whites and only 2 were in Asians. No clear correlation could be identified in the stratification by ethnicity (Figure 4A, 4B). The association of rs10997691 and rs7070570 polymorphism of *VR22* and AD risk was investigated in 12 studies. No statistically significant correlation with AD was observed in the 4 models (Figure 5, Table 3). Nevertheless, increased or decreased AD susceptibility was not observed in subgroup analysis by ethnicity in the studies of rs7070570 polymorphism (Figure 4C).

#### **Publication bias**

Publication biases of the articles were assessed by Begg's funnel plot and Egger's linear regression test on the metadata. The distribution of different studies on the funnel plot of each

Included study	Forest plot for <i>TFAM</i> gene	OR (95% CI)	Weight %
<b>TFAM</b> rs1937			
Alvarez (2008)	<u>→ ¦</u>	0.11 (0.01, 2.39)	0.93
Belin (2007)		0.63 (0.21, 1.91)	5.80
Blomqvist (2005)	<u></u> <u> </u>	3.88 (0.77, 19.68)	3.02
Blomqvist (2005)		4.58 (0.53, 39.70)	1.79
Gunther (2004)		0.98 (0.22, 4.42)	3.44
Zhang (2011)	i	0.26 (0.08, 0.80)	5.63
Subtotal (P=57.3%, P=0.039)		0.86 (0.32, 2.30)	20.62
<b>TFAM</b> rs2306604			
Alvarez (2008)		0.89 (0.51, 1.53)	14.34
Belin (2007)	- <b>→</b> <u>†</u>	0.67 (0.45, 1.02)	18.01
Giedraitis (2009)		1.40 (0.70, 2.81)	11.04
Gunther (2004)		0.64 (0.42, 0.99)	17.46
Zhang (2012)		0.96 (0.64, 1.43)	18.54
Subtotal (P=19.1%, P=0.293)	¢	0.82 (0.65, 1.04)	79.38
Overall (P=40.3%, P=0.080)	4	0.83 (0.61, 1.11)	100.00
Note: Weights are from random effects	analysis		
.00545	$\leftarrow 1 \longrightarrow$	183	
	Protector factor Risk factor		

**Figure 3.** Forest plots showed the association of rs1937 and rs2306604 in *TFAM* gene with the risk of AD. The odds ratio from each study is represented by a square and the confidence interval is indicated by error bars. The subtotal and overall odds ratio is signified by a rhombus.

Table 2. Meta-analysis of two polymorphisms in TFAM gene and AD susceptibility.

Gene	SNP	Genetic model	OR	P <sub>odds ratio</sub>	Tau <sup>2</sup>	ľ	P <sub>hetero</sub>	Ethn	icity	Publication bias	
		ποαει	(95% CI)				geneity	Caucasians	Asians	<b>P</b> <sub>Begg</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>Egger</sub>
TFAM	rs1937	<b>C</b> <i>vs</i> . G	0.90 (0.90–1.17)	0.432	0.066	63.5%	0.018	0.94 (0.68–1.32)	0.76 (0.59–0.99)		0.395
		<b>CC</b> +G <b>C</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	0.88 (0.69–1.13)	0.310	0.045	49.2%	0.080	0.91 (0.66–1.26)	0.78 (0.58–1.05)	1.000	0.496
		<b>CC</b> <i>vs</i> . GG	0.86 (0.32–2.30)	0.759	0.817	57.3%	0.039	1.20 (0.43–3.34)	0.26 (0.09–0.80)	0.452	0.330
		<b>CC</b> <i>vs</i> . GG+G <b>C</b>	0.87 (0.33–2.30)	0.783	0.759	55.6%	0.046	1.22 (0.45–3.32)	0.28 (0.09–0.84)	0.452	0.335
	rs2306604	<b>G</b> <i>vs</i> . A	0.90 (0.79–1.0)	0.084	0.006	28.0%	0.235	0.87 (0.75–1.01)	0.98 (0.80–1.20)	0.462	0.308
		<b>GG</b> +A <b>G</b> <i>vs</i> . AA	0.85 (0.70–1.02)	0.074	0.010	22.7%	0.270	0.78 (0.65–0.94)	1.04 (0.76–1.44)	0.462	0.387
		<b>GG</b> <i>vs</i> . AA	0.82 (0.65–1.04)	0.107	0.014	19.1%	0.293	0.79 (0.59–1.06)	0.96 (0.64–1.43)	0.806	0.192
		<b>GG</b> vs. AA+A <b>G</b>	0.89 (0.74–1.07)	0.200	0.000	0.0%	0.504	0.89 (0.70–1.11)	0.91 (0.66–1.26)	0.462	0.103

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence intervals; In genetic model, the bold one means mutation allele.

SNP appeared to be symmetrical, and no statistically significant asymmetry was detected by Egger's test. Hence, no evidence of publication bias for the correlation between the SNPs and AD susceptibility was found (Tables 1–3).

## Discussion

We performed a systematic meta-analysis of case-control association studies for susceptibility to AD. We screened 3 candidate genes – *CHAT*, *TFAM*, and *VR22* – and their major polymorphisms. In the end, 51 studies of 16 446 cases and 16 057 controls were involved in the analysis. Our results showed that 2 SNPs of *CHAT* (rs2177369 and rs3810950) were significantly associated with AD susceptibility. We also observed ethnic

ncluded study	Forest plot for <i>TFAM</i> rs1937 by ethnic	<sup>ity</sup> OR (95% CI)	Weight %
Caucasians		0.50 (0.20, 0.00)	42.44
Alvarez (2008)		0.50 (0.28, 0.89)	12.01
Belin (2007)		0.96 (0.69, 1.34)	19.18
Blomqvist (2005)		1.38 (0.82, 2.32)	13.26
Blomqvist (2005)		1.47 (0.93, 2.35)	14.87
Gunther (2004)		0.75 (0.53, 1.05)	18.97
Subtotal ( <i>P</i> =67.0%, <i>P</i> =0.017)		0.94 (0.67, 1.32)	78.29
Asian			
Zhang (2011)	~~~	0.76 (0.59, 0.98)	21.71
Subtotal ( <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> =0.0%, <i>P</i> =0.0)		0.76 (0.59, 0.98)	21.72
Overall (12=63.3%, P=0.018)		0.90 (0.69, 1.17)	100.00
Note: Weights are from random	effects analysis		
	.282	3.54	
3	Protector factor Risk factor		
Included study	Forest plot for <i>TFAM</i> rs2306604 by ethnic	city OR (95% CI)	Weight %
Caucasians			
Alvarez (2008)		0.93 (0.72, 1.2	1) 17.29
Belin (2007)		0.79 (0.64, 0.9	7) 23.55
Giedraitis (2009)		1.15 (0.82, 1.6	0) 11.53
Gunther (2004)		0.79 (0.64, 0.9	
Subtotal (I <sup>2</sup> =31.0%, P=0.226)		0.87 (0.75, 1.0	,
Asian			
Zhang (2012)		0.98 (0.80, 1.1	9) 25.55
			,
Subtotal ( <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> =0.0%, <i>P</i> =0.0)		0.98 (0.80, 1.1	9) 25.55
Overall (P=28.0%, P=0.235)	$\Rightarrow$	0.90 (0.79, 1.0	2) 100.00
Note: Weights are from random			
-	.624 <u> </u>	1.6	
-			
Included study	Forest plot for VR22 rs7070570 by ethnic	OR (95% CI)	Weight %
Caucasians		1 33 (0 05 1 77)	7 20
Blomqvist (2004) Blomqvist (2004)		1.22 (0.85, 1.77)	7.38 13.62
Blomqvist (2004) Busby (2004)		1.03 (0.79, 1.35) 0.88 (0.60, 1.27)	7.23
Busby (2004) Busby (2004)		0.88 (0.60, 1.27)	5.78
Busby (2004) Busby (2004)		1.00 (0.78, 1.28)	16.51
Busby (2004)		0.97 (0.78, 1.21)	20.91
Cellini (2005)		0.98 (0.72, 1.33)	10.52
Subtotal ( <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> =0.0%, <i>P</i> =0.918)	$\Rightarrow$	0.99 (0.89, 1.11)	81.95
Asian			
Kuwano (2006)		0.99 (0.78, 1.25)	18.05
Subtotal (I <sup>2</sup> =.%, P=.)		0.99 (0.78, 1.25)	18.05
Overall (P=0.0%, P=0.959)		0.99 (0.90, 1.10)	100.05
Note: Weights are from random	effects analysis	0.22 (0.20, 1.10)	100.05
-			
	.5651	1.77	

Figure 4. The forest plots of (A) *TFAM* rs1937, (B) *TFAM* rs2306604, and (C) *VR22* rs7070570 by ethnicity. The odds ratio from each study is represented by a square and the confidence interval is indicated by error bars. The subtotal and overall odds ratio is signified by a rhombus.

differences for rs3810950 of *CHAT*, with A allele of rs3810950 in Asians as risk factors for AD, whereas rs1880676 and rs868750 of *CHAT*, rs1937 and rs2306604 of *TFAM*, and rs10997691 and rs7070570 of *VR22* did not contribute to AD risk.

*CHAT* encodes the enzyme responsible for the biosynthesis of ACh. CHAT protein is a marker used in evaluating the function of basal forebrain cholinergic cells and dementia severity in AD [45,46]. Previous studies indicated that basal forebrain cholinergic neuron abnormalities are present very early in the course of AD, with altered expression of *CHAT* [47,48].

Mutations or polymorphisms of *CHAT* are also suspected to be related to AD and its treatment [49]. In agreement with previous results, we identified 2 SNPs of *CHAT* that contribute to the onset on AD.

*TFAM* locates in mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid (MTDNA) and encodes a key mitochondrial transcription factor that functions in mitochondrial DNA replication and repair. Mutations on *TFAM* can affect the function of mitochondria and contribute to the pathogenesis of AD. In accordance with the mitochondrial cascade hypothesis, the synergistic interactions

Weight %
55) 3.18
56) 0.67
)9) 3.55
35) 1.10
87) 8.50
22) 6.98
54) 11.66
19) 6.18
98) 6.83
39) 12.73
75) 19.05
51) 10.47
50) 17.60
37) 91.50
42) 100.00
4

**Figure 5.** Forest plots showed the association of rs10997691 and rs7070570 in *VR22* gene with the risk of AD. The odds ratio from each study is represented by a square and the confidence interval is indicated by error bars. The subtotal and overall odds ratio is signified by a rhombus.

Table 3. Meta-analysis of two polymorphisms in VR22 gene and AD susceptibility.

Gene	SNP	Genetic model	OR	P <sub>odds ratio</sub>	, Tau²	l2	P <sub>hetero-</sub>	Ethn	icity	Publication bias	
		model	(95% CI)	ouus ratio			geneity	Caucasians	Asians	<b>P</b> <sub>Begg</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>Egger</sub>
VR22	rs10997691	<b>C</b> <i>vs</i> . T	1.22 (0.96–1.54)	0.106	0.0000	0.0%	0.436	1.22 (0.96–1.54)	-		0.211
		<b>CC+</b> T <b>C</b> <i>vs</i> . TT	1.18 (0.91–1.54)	0.212	0.0000	0.0%	0.579	1.18 (0.91–1.54)	-	0.308	0.098
		CC vs. TT	1.87 (0.72–4.87)	0.200	0.1895	19.1%	0.295	1.87 (0.72–4.87)	-	0.308	0.183
		CC vs. TT+TC	1.82 (0.69–4.82)	0.229	0.2212	21.8%	0.280	1.82 (0.69–4.82)	-	0.308	0.203
	rs7070570	<b>C</b> <i>vs</i> . T	0.99 (0.90–1.10)	0.903	0.0000	0.0%	0.959	1.00 (0.89–1.11)	0.99 (0.78–1.25)	0.902	0.930
		<b>CC</b> +T <b>C</b> <i>vs</i> . TT	1.02 (0.89–1.17)	0.802	0.0000	0.0%	0.740	0.99 (0.86–1.14)	1.58 (0.91–2.75)	0.386	0.269
		CC vs. TT	1.07 (0.83–1.37)	0.617	0.0000	0.0%	0.780	1.00 (0.75–1.32)	1.41 (0.80–2.50)	0.536	0.710
		<b>CC</b> vs. TT+T <b>C</b>	0.94 (0.76–1.14)	0.513	0.0000	0.0%	0.828	1.00 (0.77–1.32)	0.86 (0.63–1.16)	0.711	0.326

OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence intervals; In genetic model, the bold one means mutation allele.

between *TFAM* rs1937 and *APOE4* status have been reported to influence AD risk [50], and rs2306604 A allele of *TFAM* was also found to be a moderate risk factor for AD [22]. However, in the present study, we failed to confirm the results of Belin et al. and Zhang et al. [22,44].

*VR22*, also known as CTNNA3, plays a role in cell-cell adhesion. *VR22* can bind directly to b-catenin, whereas b-catenin forms

a complex with presenilin 1 (PSEN1) [51], mutations of which cause familial cases of early-onset AD [52]. Nonetheless, the 2 SNPs we enrolled in this meta-analysis failed to show significant associations with AD.

The principal results of the present study suggest that *TFAM* and *VR22* gene polymorphisms are not associated with risk of AD. All eligible case-control studies were included in this

meta-analysis, including the most recent ones. However, there remain certain issues that need to be addressed in interpreting our results. Firstly, most of the subjects covered in our study were white (81.6% in cases and 76.0% in controls), which limits the general application of the results. As we have already observed, the association of AD with some SNPs can only be observed in certain ethnic groups. Further studies with more Asian and African subjects are recommended. Secondly, although it is statistically sufficient, the overall sample size for each SNP is still relatively small. Furthermore, individual genetic factors, the biological characteristics of tumors, and their interaction with the environment may influence cancer susceptibility and carcinogenesis. Because the diagnosis of most

#### **References:**

- 1. Ballard C, Gauthier S, Corbett A et al: Alzheimer's disease. Lancet, 2011; 377: 1019–31
- 2. Armstrong RA: A critical analysis of the 'amyloid cascade hypothesis'. Folia Neuropathol, 2014; 52: 211–25
- 3. Small SA, Duff K: Linking Abeta and tau in late-onset Alzheimer's disease: A dual pathway hypothesis. Neuron, 2008; 60: 534–42
- 4. Mudher A, Lovestone S: Alzheimer's disease-do tauists and baptists finally shake hands? Trends Neurosci, 2002; 25: 22–26
- Francis PT, Palmer AM, Snape M, Wilcock GK: The cholinergic hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: a review of progress. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry,1999; 66: 137–47
- Swerdlow RH, Burns JM, Khan SM: The Alzheimer's disease mitochondrial cascade hypothesis: progress and perspectives. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2014; 1842: 1219–31
- Lambert JC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D et al: Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility *loci* for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet, 2013; 45: 1452–58
- Lee JJ, Jo SA, Park JH et al: Choline acetyltransferase 2384G>a polymorphism and the risk of Alzheimer disease. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord, 2012; 26: 81–87
- Ertekin-Taner N, Graff-Radford N, Younkin LH et al: Linkage of plasma Abeta42 to a quantitative locus on chromosome 10 in late-onset Alzheimer's disease pedigrees. Science, 2000; 290: 2303–4
- Kehoe P, Wavrant-De Vrieze F, Crook R et al: A full genome scan for late onset Alzheimer's disease. Hum Mol Genet, 1999; 8: 237–45
- 11. Myers A, Holmans P, Marshall H et al: Susceptibility locus for Alzheimer's disease on chromosome 10. Science, 2000; 290: 2304–5
- 12. Morgan AR, Hamilton G, Turic D et al: Association analysis of 528 intragenic SNPs in a region of chromosome 10 linked to late onset Alzheimer's disease. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet, 2008; 147b: 727–31
- Martin ER, Bronson PG, Li YJ et al: Interaction between the alpha-T catenin gene (VR22) and APOE in Alzheimer's disease. J Med Genet, 2005; 42: 787–92
- 14. American Psychiatric A, American Psychiatric A: Diagnostic and statistical manual-text revision (DSM-IV-TRim, 2000). American Psychiatric Association, 2000
- McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M et al: Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease Report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group\* under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology, 1984; 34: 939–44
- 16. Zintzaras E, Ioannidis J: Heterogeneity testing in meta-analysis of genome searches. Genet Epidemiol, 2005; 28: 123–37
- Mantel N, Haenszel W: Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst, 1959; 22(4): 719–48
- DerSimonian R, Laird N: Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Controlled Clin Trials, 1986; 7: 177–88
- 19. Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR et al: Comparison of two methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis. JAMA, 2006; 295: 676–80

of the AD cases enrolled in the studies were based on diagnostic criteria rather than pathological examination, we cannot exclude that some cases might have been misdiagnosed, which further influences the results of this meta-analysis, and further work is required to minimize this effect.

## Conclusions

Rs2177369 and rs3810950 of *CHAT* are associated with AD susceptibility, but rs1880676 and rs868750 are not. Rs1937 and rs2306604 of *TFAM* and rs10997691 and rs7070570 of *VR22* are not significantly associated with AD risk.

- Ahn Jo S, Ahn K, Kim JH et al: ApoE-epsilon 4-dependent association of the choline acetyltransferase gene polymorphisms (2384G>A and 1882G>A) with Alzheimer's disease. Clin Chim Acta, 2006; 368: 179–82
- Alvarez V, Corao AI, Alonso-Montes C et al: Mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) gene variation and risk of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis, 2008; 13: 275–80
- 22. Belin AC, Bjork BF, Westerlund M et al: Association study of two genetic variants in mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett, 2007; 420: 257–62
- 23. Blomqvist ME, Andreasen N, Bogdanovic N et al: Genetic variation in CTNNA3 encoding alpha-3 catenin and Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Lett, 2004; 358: 220–22
- Blomqvist ME, Reynolds C, Katzov H et al: Towards compendia of negative genetic association studies: an example for Alzheimer disease. Hum Genet, 2006; 119: 29–37
- Busby V: α-T-Catenin is expressed in human brain and interacts with the Wnt signaling pathway but is not responsible for linkage to chromosome 10 in Alzheimer's disease. Neuromolecular Med. 2004; 5(2): 133–46
- 26. Cellini E, Bagnoli S, Tedde A et al: Insulin degrading enzyme and alpha-3 catenin polymorphisms in Italian patients with Alzheimer disease. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord, 2005; 19: 246–47
- Cook LJ, Ho LW, Wang L et al: Candidate gene association studies of genes involved in neuronal cholinergic transmission in Alzheimer's disease suggests choline acetyltransferase as a candidate deserving further study. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet, 2005; 132b: 5–8
- Ertekin-Taner N, Ronald J, Asahara H et al: Fine mapping of the alpha-T catenin gene to a quantitative trait locus on chromosome 10 in late-onset Alzheimer's disease pedigrees. Hum Mol Genet, 2003;12: 3133–43
- 29. Giedraitis V, Kilander L, Degerman-Gunnarsson M et al: Genetic analysis of Alzheimer's disease in the Uppsala Longitudinal Study of Adult Men. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord, 2009; 27: 59–68
- Grunblatt E, Reif A, Jungwirth S et al: Genetic variation in the choline O-acetyltransferase gene in depression and Alzheimer's disease: the VITA and Milano studies. J Psychiatr Res, 2011; 45: 1250–56
- Grunblatt E, Zehetmayer S, Bartl J et al: Genetic risk factors and markers for Alzheimer's disease and/or depression in the VITA study. J Psychiatr Res,2009; 43: 298–308
- Gunther C, von Hadeln K, Muller-Thomsen T et al: Possible association of mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) genotype with sporadic Alzheimer disease. Neurosci Lett, 2004; 369: 219–23
- Harold D, Peirce T, Moskvina V et al: Sequence variation in the CHAT locus shows no association with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Hum Genet, 2003; 113: 258–67
- 34. Kim KW, Suh YJ, Park WY et al: Choline acetyltransferase G +4 A polymorphism confers a risk for Alzheimer's disease in concert with Apolipoprotein E epsilon4. Neurosci Lett, 2004; 366: 182–86
- Kuwano R, Miyashita A, Arai H et al: Dynamin-binding protein gene on chromosome 10q is associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Hum Mol Genet, 2006; 15: 2170–82

- Li H, Wetten S, Li L et al: Candidate single-nucleotide polymorphisms from a genomewide association study of Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol, 2008; 65: 45–53
- 37. Mubumbila V, Sutter A, Ptok U et al: Identification of a single nucleotide polymorphism in the choline acetyltransferase gene associated with Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Lett, 2002; 333: 9–12
- Ozturk A, DeKosky ST, Kamboh MI: Genetic variation in the choline acetyltransferase (CHAT) gene may be associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging, 2006; 27: 1440–44
- Piccardi M, Congiu D, Squassina A et al: Alzheimer's disease: case-control association study of polymorphisms in ACHE, CHAT, and BCHE genes in a Sardinian sample. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet, 2007; 144b: 895–99
- 40. Reiman EM, Webster JA, Myers AJ et al: GAB2 alleles modify Alzheimer's risk in APOE epsilon4 carriers. Neuron, 2007; 54: 713–20
- 41. Scacchi R, Gambina G, Moretto G, Corbo RM: Variability of AChE, BChE, and ChAT genes in the late-onset form of Alzheimer's disease and relationships with response to treatment with Donepezil and Rivastigmine. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet,2009; 150B: 502–7
- 42. Schwarz S, Eisele T, Diehl J et al: Lack of association between a single nucleotide polymorphism within the choline acetyltransferase gene and patients with Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Lett, 2003; 343: 167–70
- 43. Tang M, Rao D, Ma C et al: Evaluation of choline acetyltransferase gene polymorphism (2384 G/A) in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord, 2008; 26: 9–14

- 44. Zhang Q, Yu JT, Wang P et al: Mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) polymorphisms and risk of late-onset Alzheimer's disease in Han Chinese. Brain Res, 2011; 1368: 355–60
- Nunes-Tavares N, Santos LE, Stutz B et al: Inhibition of choline acetyltransferase as a mechanism for cholinergic dysfunction induced by amyloid-beta peptide oligomers. J Biol Chem, 2012; 287: 19377–85
- Gil-Bea FJ, Garcia-Alloza M, Dominguez J et al: Evaluation of cholinergic markers in Alzheimer's disease and in a model of cholinergic deficit. Neurosci Lett, 2005; 375: 37–41
- Geula C, Nagykery N, Nicholas A, Wu CK: Cholinergic neuronal and axonal abnormalities are present early in aging and in Alzheimer disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol, 2008; 67: 309–18
- Counts SE, He B, Che S et al: Galanin hyperinnervation upregulates choline acetyltransferase expression in cholinergic basal forebrain neurons in Alzheimer's disease. Neurodegener Dis, 2008; 5: 228–31
- Lee KU, Lee JH, Lee DY et al: The effect of choline acetyltransferase genotype on donepezil treatment response in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci, 2015; 13: 168–73
- Maruszak A, Safranow K, Branicki W et al: The impact of mitochondrial and nuclear DNA variants on late-onset Alzheimer's disease risk. J Alzheimers Dis, 2011; 27: 197–210
- Zhang Z, Hartmann H, Do VM et al: Destabilization of beta-catenin by mutations in presenilin-1 potentiates neuronal apoptosis. Nature, 1998; 395: 698–702
- 52. Cai Y, An SS, Kim S: Mutations in presenilin 2 and its implications in Alzheimer's disease and other dementia-associated disorders. Clin Interv Aging, 2015; 10: 1163–72