



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Quantitative and qualitative analysis of the influence of confinement by COVID-19 in fracture patients entered in a traumatology service at a third level hospital[☆]



D. González-Martín^a, J. Álvarez-De la Cruz^a, P. Martín-Vélez^a, J. Boluda-Mengod^a, J.L. Pais-Brito^{a,b}, M. Herrera-Pérez^{a,b,*}

^a Servicio de Cirugía Ortopédica y Traumatología, Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Tenerife, Spain

^b Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Received 9 June 2020; accepted 14 July 2020

Available online 25 May 2021

KEYWORDS

Covid-19;
Fractures;
Traumatology;
Hospital stay

Abstract

Introduction: The COVID-19 pandemic has led to the confinement of approximately one third of the world population, causing a drastic change in the activities of daily life with many repercussions at the health, economic and social levels.

Objectives: The objective of the present work is to present the epidemiological variations in the production of fractures in the period of mandatory confinement in our reference population.
Methods: Analytical retrospective comparative study of two groups of patients: Group A: patients admitted before the state of alarm that forced confinement in the period from January 13 to March 13 compared to Group B: patients admitted in the two months of confinement, until the de-escalation period began, March 13-May 13. Epidemiological variables including age, personal history, type of fracture, mechanism of injury, outpatient rate, and hospital stay were recorded.

Results: A total of 190 patients were included. 112 in the pre-confinement period and 78 in the confinement (30% decrease). The mean age ($p = 0.007$) and falls at home ($p < 0.001$) were higher in the confinement group. The postoperative ($p = 0.006$) and overall ($p < 0.001$) hospital stay were significantly less in the confinement group. No differences were found in the anatomical location of the lesion, sex, comorbidities, mechanism of injury, outpatient rate, or death.

[☆] Please cite this article as: González-Martín D, Álvarez-De la Cruz J, Martín-Vélez P, Boluda-Mengod J, Pais-Brito JL, Herrera-Pérez M. Análisis cuantitativo y cualitativo de la influencia del confinamiento por COVID-19 en los pacientes con fractura ingresados en un servicio de traumatología en un hospital de tercer nivel. Rev Esp Cir Ortop Traumatol. 2021;65:374–381.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: herrera42@gmail.com (M. Herrera-Pérez).

Conclusions: Based on the results of our study, the period of forced confinement due to the COVID-19 pandemic has produced a drastic decrease in the total number of fractures admitted to the traumatology service of a third level hospital. On the other hand, osteoporotic hip fractures have not varied in their incidence and a decrease in the average postoperative and overall stay has been observed.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of SECOT. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Covid-19;
Fracturas;
Traumatología;
Estancia hospitalaria

Análisis cuantitativo y cualitativo de la influencia del confinamiento por COVID-19 en los pacientes con fractura ingresados en un servicio de traumatología en un hospital de tercer nivel

Resumen

Introducción: La pandemia por COVID-19 ha supuesto el confinamiento de aproximadamente un tercio de la población mundial, provocando un cambio drástico en las actividades de la vida diaria con enorme impacto sanitario, económico y social.

Objetivos: El objetivo de este trabajo es presentar las variaciones epidemiológicas en la producción de fracturas en el período de confinamiento obligatorio en nuestra población de referencia.

Material y métodos: Estudio analítico retrospectivo comparativo de dos grupos de pacientes: Grupo A: personas ingresadas antes del estado de alarma que obligó al confinamiento en el período del 13 enero al 13 de marzo vs. Grupo B: individuos atendidos durante los dos meses de confinamiento, hasta que comenzó la desescalada, período del 13 marzo al 13 mayo. Se registraron variables epidemiológicas, incluyendo edad, antecedentes personales, tipo de fractura, mecanismo de lesión, porcentaje de ambulatorización y estancia hospitalaria.

Resultados: Se incluyeron un total de 190 pacientes. En el período de pre-confinamiento 112 y en el confinamiento 78 (disminución del 30%). La edad media ($p = 0,007$) y las caídas en domicilio ($p < 0,001$) fueron mayores en este último grupo. La estancia hospitalaria postoperatoria ($p = 0,006$) y global ($p < 0,001$) resultaron significativamente menores en el mismo grupo. No se encontraron diferencias en la localización anatómica de la lesión, el sexo, las comorbilidades, el mecanismo de lesión, la tasa de ambulatorización ni el éxitus.

Conclusiones: Con base en los resultados de nuestro estudio, el período de confinamiento forzoso por la pandemia de COVID-19 ha producido una drástica disminución del número total de fracturas ingresadas en el servicio de traumatología de un hospital de tercer nivel. Por otro lado, las fracturas osteoporóticas de cadera que requirieron tratamiento quirúrgico no variaron en número y se ha constatado un decremento de la estancia media postoperatoria y global.

© 2021 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de SECOT. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The recent declaration of the COVID-19 pandemic by the World Health Organisation on 10 March this year led to the confinement of approximately one third of the world's population, causing a drastic change in the activities of daily living of most of the inhabitants of the affected countries, with major economic, occupational and social changes.¹⁻³ In Europe, Italy, Spain and France, in decreasing order of prevalence and incidence,⁴ have been the most affected, and in Spain, at the time of writing, the number of deaths is close to 28,000, with a total of 229,398 infected cases.⁵ But confinement has not only led to a decrease in outdoor activities, whether in sports venues or in the workplace, it has also resulted in more activities at home that we were not used to doing in our own homes. These facts, taken together, have probably led to a decrease in the total number of fractures diagnosed, not only because their incidence

has directly decreased, but also because very often patients have decided not to go to hospital to confirm or discount these injuries due to the risk of infection by COVID-19.^{3,6}

In the history of humanity, the so-called "Spanish flu" in 1918–1919 remains the epidemic that caused the most deaths worldwide, ranging from 50 to 100 million.⁷ The dreadful consequences of that epidemic, aggravated by the socio-sanitary conditions of the time and the high rate of bacterial over-infection due to the ineffectiveness of the existing antibiotics, are clearly behind us. However, although living conditions and development in our country have improved radically, the virulence of COVID-19 and the social alarm at the growing number of infections and deaths secondary to this virus have triggered the greatest social and health crisis in Spain and Europe of the last 100 years.

The objective of this study is to present the variation in hospital admissions for fractures with surgical indication during the period of compulsory confinement

Table 1 Epidemiological analysis.

	Groups		p value	Total (n = 190)
	Pre-confinement 13/1/20–13/3/20 (n = 112)	Confinement 14/3/20–13/5/20 (n = 78)		
<i>Sex</i>			.116	
Male	56 (50%)	48 (61.5%)		104 (54.7%)
Female	56 (50%)	30 (38.5%)		86 (45.3%)
Age	65.4 (2–100)	73 (4–98)	.007	68.5 (2–100)
<i>Comorbidities^a</i>			.274	
0	18 (16.1%)	19 (24.4%)		37 (19.5%)
1 – 3	31 (27.7%)	16 (20.5%)		47 (24.7%)
> 3	63 (56.3%)	43 (55.1%)		106 (55.8%)
<i>Limb</i>			.654	
Lower	92 (82.1%)	66 (84.6%)		158 (83.2%)
Upper	20 (17.9%)	12 (15.4%)		32 (16.8%)
<i>Laterality</i>			.728	
Left	56 (50%)	37 (47.4%)		93 (48.9%)
Right	56 (50%)	41 (52.6%)		97 (51.1%)
<i>Site of trauma</i>			< .001	
At home	63 (56.3%)	66 (84.6%)		129 (67.9%)
Public place	49 (43.8%)	12 (15.4%)		61 (32.1%)
<i>Mechanism of injury</i>			.288	
Low energy	98 (87.5%)	72 (92.3%)		170 (89.5%)
High energy	14 (12.5%)	6 (7.7%)		20 (10.5%)
Outpatient care	9 (8%)	10 (12.8%)	.279	19 (10%)
COVID-19	0 (0%)	4 (5.1%)	.015	4 (2.1%)
Death	7 (6.3%)	3 (3.8%)	.465	10 (5.3%)

^a Relevant comorbidities (diabetes, high blood pressure, heart failure, kidney disease, dementia, etc.).

in our reference population. The hypothesis is that confinement drastically decreases the number of fractures and the average length of hospital stay of admitted patients.

Material and methods

After approval by the healthcare ethics committee, a retrospective historical cohort study was conducted, comparing fractures admitted to a tertiary level hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic with those treated during the pre-pandemic stage in the same institution. The *pre-pandemic cohort* included the period from 13 January to 13 March 2020 (start of confinement) and the *pandemic cohort* the period from 14 March to 13 May 2020 (start of de-escalation).

The inclusion criteria for the study were patients with a diagnosis of fracture with criteria for admission for surgery.

The exclusion criteria were patients who refused hospital admission and those in whom the mechanism for the fracture could not be determined.

The epidemiological variables, age, sex, personal history, risk factors and those related to the fracture were recorded, with special interest in the type and mechanism of injury, and location where it occurred (Tables 1 and 2). Mean pre-surgical, post-surgical and overall mean hospital stay were recorded (Table 3), as well as the percentage of outpatients,

i.e., patients not admitted and undergoing surgery on an outpatient basis.

Statistical analysis

The patients' characteristics were summarised showing the frequencies and their respective percentages. The different epidemiological characteristics analysed with respect to belonging to the pre-confinement or confinement group were compared using the X^2 test and in the case of 2×2 tables, the Yates correction. A student's t-test was used to compare the age variable treated as a continuous variable. Group comparisons of quantitative and ordinal variables were performed with the Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney test, or analysis of variance (ANOVA), as appropriate. Probability values of less than .05 were considered significant. The SPSS statistical package (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY) was used for the data analyses.

Results

In the pre-pandemic period 112 patients were admitted and in the pandemic stage this number decreased to 78, representing a decrease of 30.4% (Table 1). The age of the cases who underwent surgery during confinement was higher (73 [4–98]) than those operated in

Table 2 Anatomical site of the fractures.

	Groups		p value	Total (n = 190)
	Pre-confinement 13/1/20 - 13/3/20 (n = 112)	Confinement 14/3/20–13/5/20 (n = 78)		
Anatomical site			.162	
Hip	48 (42.9%)	44 (56.4%)		92 (48.4%)
Femur	8 (7.1%)	6 (7.7%)		14 (7.4%)
Foot and ankle	26 (23.2%)	9 (11.5%)		35 (18.4%)
Hand, wrist, and forearm	16 (14.3%)	9 (11.5%)		25 (13.2%)
Humerus	3 (2.7%)	3 (3.8%)		6 (3.2%)
Clavicle	1 (.9%)	0 (0%)		1 (.5%)
Patella	0 (0%)	3 (3.8%)		3 (1.6%)
Tibia (plateau and diaphysis)	6 (5.4%)	3 (3.8%)		9 (4.7%)
Pelvis and acetabulum	4 (3.6%)	1 (1.3%)		5 (2.6%)

Table 3 General hospital stay.

	Groups		p value	Total (n = 162 ^a)
	Pre-confinement 13/1/20–13/3/20 (n = 96 ^a)	Confinement 14/3/20–13/5/20 (n = 66 ^a)		
Preoperative stay	4.14 (SD 5.8)	2.77 (SD 2.9)	.575	3.59 (SD 4.9)
Postoperative stay	10.76 (SD 17.9)	4.11 (SD 3.5)	.006	8.05 (SD 14.3)
Total hospital stay	14.92 (SD 19.6)	5.16 (SD 11.6)	< .001	11.64 (SD 15.9)

SD: standard deviation.

^a Excluding outpatients and those treated conservatively.

the pre-pandemic control group (65.4 [2–100]) (Table 1). In the case of forced confinement, there was a statistically significant increase in the occurrence of fractures at home in patients under 55 years of age. No significant differences were found in terms of sex, comorbidities, preferential involvement of the upper or lower limb, laterality, mechanism of injury, percentage of outpatient care or deaths.

No statistically significant differences were found in terms of the anatomical location of the injury (Table 2).

Only four COVID-19 positive cases were diagnosed in our series (three with hip fractures and one with an ankle fracture), none required admission to the intensive care unit.

Regarding mean hospital stay, statistically significant differences were observed in reduction of postoperative stay (10.76 before vs. 4.11 after) and in total hospital stay (14.92 vs. 5.16) (Table 3).

Regarding hip fractures, although the total number of fractures was maintained (48 before vs. 44 in the pandemic period), the reduction in overall length of stay was statistically significant (15.35 days vs. 7.02) (Table 4).

Finally, there was a statistically significant difference in the occurrence of fractures at home, in patients under 55 years of age, from 17.5% in the control stage to 64.7% during confinement (Table 5).

Discussion

The results of our study show a dramatic decrease in the total number of admissions for fracture to a trauma department due to forced confinement, with a reduction of 30.4% during the pandemic period compared to the pre-pandemic stage (112 vs. 78). This percentage of 30% is similar to that published by French authors in the same study period.⁸ The decrease in the overall number of fractures admitted is directly related to the decrease in the total number of patients seen in the emergency department. Recent publications state that the decrease (between 50%–60%⁹) in diseases seen in the emergency department not related to respiratory infections or possible cases of COVID-19 infection is striking. Non-essential work being halted has resulted in a clear decrease in the number of occupational and traffic accidents and, on the other hand, confinement of the population has resulted in an absence of injuries related to accidental falls in public places and outdoor or team sports. However, the important psychological factor that has accompanied this pandemic must not be forgotten, with almost 30,000 recorded deaths and its omnipresence in the press and social networks. Another key reason for the fall in the number of fractures admitted is likely to have been that patients have felt afraid

Table 4 Hospital stay of hip fractures.

	Hip fractures ^a		p value	Total (n = 89 ^b)
	Pre-confinement 13/1/20–13/3/20 (n = 46)	Confinement 14/3/20–13/5/20 (n = 43)		
Preoperative stay	3.3 (SD 5.9)	2.6 (SD 2.1)	.512	2.97 (SD 4.5)
Postoperative stay	11.98 (SD 12.5)	4.47 (SD 3.3)	< .001	8.35 (SD 9.9)
Total hospital stay	15.35 (SD 13.1)	7.02 (SD 4.3)	< .001	11.37 (SD 10.7)

SD: Standard Deviation.

^a Intracapsular, extracapsular and periprosthetic.^b Excluding conservative treatment.**Table 5** Site of injury in young adults (18–55a).

	Fractures in young adults (18–55a)		p value	Total (n = 57)
	Pre-confinement 13/1/20–13/3/20 (n = 40)	Confinement 14/3/20–13/5/20 (n = 17)		
At home	7 (17.5%)	11 (64.7%)	< 0,001	18 (31.6%)
Public place	33 (82.5%)	6 (35.3%)		39 (68.4%)

to go to hospital.^{3,6} Perhaps, from this point on, there will be a phase of traumatic sequelae due to delayed treatment, which will be interesting to examine in future studies.

A detailed analysis of the reduced number of fractures shows that it has not been homogeneous for all types. Thus, osteoporotic hip fractures, typical in older patients, have not varied substantially (46 vs. 43), which has recently been published by other authors in our country.¹⁰ However, Italian researchers have recently published a reduction in the total number of hip fractures admitted in two hospitals in the north of Italy, also comparing the pre-pandemic period with the pandemic period.¹¹ It seems logical that these proportions should be maintained in our study if we consider that most fractures in these cases occur in the home. Likewise, the maintenance of the proportion of older patients with hip fracture admitted and the relative decrease in other younger population groups, has meant that the mean age of our pandemic series is higher than the pre-pandemic (73 vs. 65.4) series. Overall, hip fractures accounted for 56.4% of the total fractures admitted, figures very similar to those published by Zhu et al.¹² in China (58.3%), where the pandemic originated.

At the other end of the population pyramid, we only saw two fractures in the paediatric age group, the same number as the total number of admissions in the pre-pandemic period, both figures (before and during the pandemic) being unusually low in our centre. In this sense, a recent publication reports a striking decrease in the number of paediatric fractures worldwide,¹³ also understandable because it has been impossible for children to play or practise

sport, which is the main cause of these injuries in this population.

Regarding the mechanisms of injury and places where fractures occurred, it is clear that most fractures were sustained in the home (84% vs. 56% in the pre-pandemic period). This may seem simple to explain, as people were not allowed to leave home, injuries could only occur at home. However, we are struck by the high percentage of fractures occurring at home in the population apparently without associated risk factors. Understanding that most of the injuries were due to low-energy mechanisms within the home, we performed a specific analysis of the 18–55 age group (considered a group of healthy patients) (Table 5), and found a statistically significant increase in fractures at home in this age group. This can only be explained by the fact that sports and recreational activities were likely to have been undertaken in unsuitable premises, with unsuitable footwear and perhaps by people unaccustomed to these activities. On the other hand, the confinement meant that homeowners were performing DIY tasks, minor repairs or even doing up their homes, since non-essential work was prohibited. This was found in up to four cases in our series, and although we cannot infer a direct relationship, undertaking “unusual” activities in the home may be one of the predisposing causes of fractures.

We followed the recommendations of the Spanish Society of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology (SECOT) with regard to COVID-19 infection in hospitalised patients,¹⁴ and the guidelines of the Ministry of Health.¹⁵ We redistributed tasks within the service by work team, with rest days and taking the recommended contact and

always occurred at home) and an abnormally high number of these injuries were recorded at home in patients under 55 years of age. The average postoperative and overall length of stay have decreased significantly for many reasons, perhaps the most relevant being the greater availability of operating theatres and the greater involvement of relatives and patients in recovery at home, although further scientific analysis would be needed to confirm the influence of these factors in reducing length of hospital stay.

Level of evidence

Level of evidence III (historical cohort).

Funding

This paper has received no funding of any type.

Conflict of interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

- Gobierno de España. Real Decreto 463/2020, de 14 de Mar, por el que se declara el estado de alarma para la gestión de la situación de crisis sanitaria ocasionada por la COVID-19. 2020, <https://www.boe.es/eli/es/rd/2020/03/14/463.2>.
- Ali I, Alharbi OML. COVID-19; disease, management, treatment, and social impact. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;728:138861, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138861>.
- Williams T. The impact of COVID-19. *J Perioper Pract*. 2020;30:114–5. PMID: 32367779.
- Ceylan Z. Estimation of COVID-19 prevalence in Italy, Spain, and France. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;729:138817, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138817>.
- Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo. Centro de Coordinación de Alertas y Emergencias Sanitarias. Actualización N°121. Enfermedad por el coronavirus (COVID 19). 2020, https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/ccayes/alertasActual/nCov-China/documentos/Actualizacion_121_COVID-19.pdf.
- Montemurro N. The emotional impact of COVID-19: from medical staff to common people. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;87, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.032>.
- Morens DM, Taubenberger JK, Harvey HA, Memoli MJ. The 1918 influenza pandemic: lessons for 2009 and the future. *Crit Care Med*. 2010;38:e10–20.
- Hernigou J, Morel X, Callewier A, Bath O, Hernigou P. Staying home during COVID-19 decreased fractures, but trauma did not quarantine in one hundred and twelve adults and twenty eight children and the “tsunami of recommendations” could not lockdown twelve elective operations. *Int Orthop*. 2020:1473–80, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00264-020-04619-5>.
- García-Castrillo L, Petrino R, Leach R, Dodt C, Behringer W, Khoury A, Sabbe M. European Society For Emergency Medicine position paper on emergency medical systems’ response to COVID-19. *Eur J Emerg Med*. 2020;27:174–7.
- Núñez JH, Sallent A, Lakhani K, Guerra-Farfan E, Vidal N, Ekhtiari S, Minguell J. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on an emergency traumatology service: experience at a tertiary trauma centre in Spain. *Injury*. 2020;51:1414–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2020.05.016>.
- Maniscalco P, Poggiali E, Quattrini F, Ciatti C, Magnacavallo A, Caprioli S, et al. Proximal femur fractures in COVID-19 emergency: the experience of two orthopedics and traumatology departments in the first eight weeks of the Italian epidemic. *Acta Biomed*. 2020;91:89–96, <http://dx.doi.org/10.23750/abm.v91i2.9636>.
- Zhu Y, Chen W, Xin X, Yin Y, Hu J, Lv H, et al. Epidemiologic characteristics of traumatic fractures in elderly patients during the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *Int Orthop*. 2020;44:1565–70, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00264-020-04575-0>.
- Bram JT, Johnson MA, Magee LC, Mehta NN, Fazal FZ, Baldwin KD, et al. Where have all the fractures gone? The epidemiology of pediatric fractures during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2020;40:373–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BPO.0000000000001600>.
- Sociedad Española de Cirugía Ortopédica y Traumatología. Recomendaciones generales de la Sociedad Española de Cirugía Ortopédica y Traumatología frente a la COVID-19. 2020, <https://www.secot.es/media/docs/covid19/RecomendacionesSECOTGeneralesDeCOTFrenteAlCovid19.pdf>.
- Ministerio de Sanidad. Recomendaciones para la programación de cirugía en condiciones de seguridad durante el periodo de transición de la pandemia COVID-19. 2020, [https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/ccayes/alertasActual/nCov-China/documentos/200517-DOCUMENTO_CIRUGIA-FINAL_\(2\).pdf](https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/ccayes/alertasActual/nCov-China/documentos/200517-DOCUMENTO_CIRUGIA-FINAL_(2).pdf).
- Mi B, Chen L, Xiong Y, Xue H, Zhou W, Liu G. Characteristics and early prognosis of COVID-19 infection in fracture patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2020;102:750–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.20.00390>.
- Meng Y, Leng K, Shan L, Guo M, Zhou J, Tian Q, et al. A clinical pathway for pre-operative screening of COVID-19 and its influence on clinical outcome in patients with traumatic fractures. *Int Orthop*. 2020;44:1549–55, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00264-020-04645-3>.
- Randelli PS, Compagnoni R. Management of orthopaedic and traumatology patients during the Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic in northern Italy. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2020;28(6):1683–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-020-06023-3>.
- Coimbra R, Edwards S, Kurihara H, Bass GA, Balogh ZJ, Tilsed J, et al. European Society of Trauma and Emergency Surgery (ESTES) recommendations for trauma and emergency surgery preparation during times of COVID-19 infection. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2020;46:505–10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00068-020-01364-7>.
- Gok AFK, Eryılmaz M, Ozmen MM, Alimoglu O, Ertekin C, Kurtoglu MH. Recommendations for trauma and emergency general surgery practice during COVID-19 pandemic. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg*. 2020;26:335–42, <http://dx.doi.org/10.14744/tjtes.2020.79954>.
- Al-Jabir A, Kerwan A, Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Khan M, Sohrabi C, et al. Impact of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic on surgical practice — part 1 (review article). *Int J Surg*. 2020;79:168–79, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2020.05.022>.
- Información Gobierno de Canarias COVID-19 (Servicio Canario de Salud). 2020, <https://grafcan1.maps.arcgis.com/apps/opsdashboard/index.html#/156eddd4d6fa4ff1987468d1fd70efb6>.

23. Estudio ENE-COVID19: Primera ronda. Estudio nacional de sero-epidemiología de la infección por SARS-CoV-2 en España informe preliminar 13 de mayo de 2020. 2020, https://www.ciencia.gob.es/stfls/MICINN/Ministerio/FICHEROS/ENECOVID_Informe_preliminar_cierre_primera_ronda_13Mayo2020.pdf.
24. Lauer SA, Grantz KH, Bi Q, Jones FK, Zheng Q, Meredith HR, et al. The incubation period of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from publicly reported confirmed cases: estimation and application. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;172:577–82, <http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/M20-0504>.