

# 

**Citation:** McKay R, Law M, McGrail K, Balshaw R, Reyes R, Patrick DM (2019) What can we learn by examining variations in the use of urine culture in the management of acute cystitis? A retrospective cohort study with linked administrative data in British Columbia, Canada, 2005-2011. PLoS ONE 14(3): e0213534. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0213534

**Editor:** Dafna Yahav, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, ISRAEL

Received: October 9, 2018

Accepted: February 23, 2019

Published: March 8, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 McKay et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement**: The individual-level data used for this analysis are held by the British Columbia Ministry of Health and by LifeLabs, a private laboratory. All data were accessed through Population Data BC (https://www.popdata.bc.ca/), a data and education resource which facilitates the access to administrative data by working with data stewards, researchers, and providing de-identified linkage capabilities, under a Research Agreement with the respective organizations. Population Data

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

What can we learn by examining variations in the use of urine culture in the management of acute cystitis? A retrospective cohort study with linked administrative data in British Columbia, Canada, 2005-2011

Rachel McKay<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Michael Law<sup>1,2</sup>, Kimberlyn McGrail<sup>1,2</sup>, Robert Balshaw<sup>3</sup>, Romina Reyes<sup>4</sup>, David M. Patrick<sup>1,5</sup>

1 School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, 2 Centre for Health Services and Policy Research, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, 3 George and Fay Yee Centre for Healthcare Innovation, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada, 4 LifeLabs, Surrey, Canada, 5 British Columbia Centre for Disease Control, Vancouver, Canada

\* rachel.mckay@mcgill.ca

# Abstract

# Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTI) are common community-based bacterial infections. Empiric antibiotic recommendations are guided by local resistance rates. Previous research suggests that cultures are overused for uncomplicated cystitis, but practice patterns have not been described in detail. Variations in culturing have implications for the interpretation of antibiotic resistance rates.

## Methods

We used a retrospective cohort study to analyze variations in urine culturing among physicians, controlling for patient and physician characteristics. We identified all outpatient physician visits among adults and children for cystitis in British Columbia between 2005 and 2011 using administrative data and linked these to laboratory data on urine cultures. Using hierarchical generalized linear mixed models we explored variations in urine culture submissions for cystitis (ICD code 595) and the associations with patient and physician characteristics, stratified by patient sex.

## Results

Urine cultures were associated with 16% of visits for cystitis among females and 9% among males, and 59% of visits overall were associated with antibiotic treatment. Older patients, patients with a recent antibiotic prescription, and long term care residents were significantly less likely to have a culture associated with a cystitis visit, whether male or female. Female physicians and physicians with 16–35 years' experience were more likely to culture, while international medical graduates were less likely–particularly for female visits. Notably, there

BC manages data access requests according to the Research Data Access Framework, which aligns with provincial and federal regulations on the use of administrative data for research. Data access occurs through a secure research environment which means the data are never transferred to the researcher, but reside at all times on a secure network computer. The data are available to eligible researchers pursuant to the data access request process. This data restriction was put in place by the data stewards. More information on the process can be accessed here: https://www. popdata.bc.ca/dataaccess, or by contacting dataaccess@popdata.bc.ca. The data files and variables requested for this study are listed in the Supporting Information.

Funding: RM was supported by a Canadian Institutes for Health Research Doctoral Award -Frederick Banting and Charles Best Canada Graduate Scholarship. This project was supported in part by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Foundation Scheme Grant, Improving Access to Medicines in Canada and Abroad (FDN-148412), held by ML. ML received salary support through a Canada Research Chair and a Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research Scholar Award. RR is employed by LifeLabs. Lifelabs provided support in the form of salary for RR, but did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. ML received salary support through a Canada Research Chair and a Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research Scholar Award. The funders had no role in study design. data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** RR is employed by LifeLabs as a medical microbiologist. This employment does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. RM, KM, ML, RB, and DMP declare no competing interests.

was substantial unexplained variation among physicians after controlling for physician characteristics: we found a 24-fold variation in the odds of culturing a female UTI between physicians who were otherwise similar.

## Conclusion

Individual physicians show substantial variation in their propensity to submit cultures for cystitis visits. Reducing such variation and encouraging appropriate levels of culturing would support effective antibiotic use.

## Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTI) affect approximately 10% of women over the age of 18 every year, and about 60% of women will experience at least one episode in her lifetime [1,2], making UTIs one of the most common types of bacterial infections among outpatients [3]. While more common in women, UTIs affect men as well. UTIs cause considerable discomfort and impact on quality of life [4–6], cost the healthcare system a significant amount (an estimated \$2.3 billion in the US in 2010-equivalent dollars) [2,7], and add to the burden of antibiotic exposure which increases individual risk for antibiotic resistant infections as well as population-level spread of resistant bacteria [8].

The microbiology laboratory is an essential partner in both hospital and community-based antibiotic stewardship initiatives. These labs perform the vital clinical function of working up samples to determine the presence of pathogens, and the susceptibility of the pathogen to pharmaceutical compounds when pathogens are found. Laboratories also analyze and publish aggregate trends in antibiotic resistance, which inform empirical treatment recommendations.

*Escherichia coli* is the predominant pathogen involved in UTI [9]. Complicated UTI generally refers to infection present in an individual with comorbidities, urological abnormalities, men, pregnant and postmenopausal women, while most other cases are considered uncomplicated [3]. Current guidelines recommend treating most uncomplicated UTIs empirically, with urine culture recommended only for patients with complicated UTI, recurrent UTIs, acute pyelonephritis, or those at risk of infection with antibiotic resistant organisms [10]. Local resistance rates should inform treatment practices [3]. Knowledge of local resistance rates derives from urine culture testing results. At best, then, the laboratory-based resistance rate could be considered an overestimate of true community circulation, given that they should be based primarily on complicated UTIs–which carry higher risk of resistance [11]. However, practice patterns are largely unknown. Previous studies have suggested poor adherence to UTI diagnosis and management guidelines [12,13], although the primary focus of these studies has been on decisions regarding antibiotic treatment. Understanding current patterns of urine culturing practice will therefore have the potential to inform our approach to community-level antibiotic stewardship.

From the laboratory perspective, urine cultures comprise a large proportion of the workload; one estimate suggested that 19–32% of all submitted cultures in a clinical laboratory are urine cultures from the outpatient setting [14]. Variations in medical practice have been documented for virtually every condition or procedure studied [15], and it is likely that variations would also be seen among urine culture use [16]. However, research is lacking on the extent and potential drivers of variation in urine culture submission. A better understanding of the use of culture services for UTI and variations in practice will support meaningful discussion around the appropriate and efficient use of laboratory services in the current era of increasing resistance. The objective of this study was to assess factors associated with urine culture for acute uncomplicated cystitis, and to examine variations in culture use across physicians. To accomplish this, laboratory microbiological analysis data from urine cultures was linked with physician visit and antibiotic prescribing administrative data.

## Methods

We undertook a retrospective cohort study using linked administrative data.

#### **Patient visits**

The universal Medical Services Plan (MSP) in the province of British Columbia, Canada (population 4.5 million in 2011) covers all residents [17]. Our cohort includes all individuals (children and adults] with at least one general practitioner (GP] physician visit for cystitis (ICD 9 code 595] between 2005 and 2011, but we restricted the cohort to patients registered with MSP for at least 275 days of any given year (i.e. patients could be eligible for one year, but not another], to ensure stability of residence and adequate follow up potential [18,19]. Urine culture data were obtained from LifeLabs, a private, community-based laboratory serving the province [20]. When a physician orders an outpatient urine culture, the patient is free to provide that culture in any designated facility. Publicly available financial statements indicate that LifeLabs market share comprised between 60–62% of outpatient urine cultures province-wide during the time frame of this study [21] though with some regional variations. Physician visits were linked to antibiotic prescriptions (ATC code J01) dispensed within 3 days following the visit and to urine cultures dated within 4 days following the visit [22]. We assumed that the physical symptoms of cystitis mean that patients will typically present for cultures within one to two days of their physician visit and will rarely delay filling their prescriptions more than one or two days. We allowed an extra day or two to maximize linkages.

We excluded the small fraction of visits associated with more than one antibiotic dispensed on the same day (0.45% of visit records). As we were only looking at cystitis diagnoses, we could not determine whether these multiple antibiotics were intended for the cystitis, or for another infection.

The University of British Columbia's Behavioural Research Ethics Board approved this study (certificate H13-00878). Individual consent was not required as the data were analyzed anonymously.

#### Measures

**Outcome.** The primary outcome was whether a urine culture was associated with the cystitis visit, counting urine cultures that occurred within 4 days following the UTI visit for the same patient and practitioner.

**Patient and physician variables.** At the patient level, age was included as under 15, 15–24, 25–39, 40–54, 55–69, 70–84, and 85 or older. Recent antibiotic use was defined as a filled prescription for any antibiotic in the 6 months preceding the cystitis visit. Long term care facility residence at the time of the cystitis visit was assessed using the Home and Community Care files [23]. The visit was considered to be follow-up for a potential treatment failure if it occurred within 28 days of a previous visit for a cystitis that had been associated with an antibiotic, similar to previous definitions [24]. We identified patients who had been discharged from hospital in the past 30 days using patient hospitalization records [25]. Patients were considered to have diabetes if at least 2 physician visits within a 2-year period, or one hospitalization, with

a diagnosis code of diabetes occurred any time after 2002 and before the cystitis visit. As a proxy for patient attachment with their general practitioner (GP), the proportion of visits in the previous three years that were with the same physician as the cystitis visit was calculated [26], and dichotomized at the median value of 7.3% (meaning that 50% of patients in our cohort had more than 7.3% of visits in the past 3 years with the same physician as that which conducted the UTI visit, and 50% had less than 7.3% of visits with this physician).

At the physician level, variables included sex of the practitioner, as well as variables shown in our previous recent work (submitted and under review) to be associated with antibiotic prescribing decisions in the same time period: medical school location (Canada vs. elsewhere), clinical experience (years of practice as of the date of the cystitis visit), and a measure of UTI service within the physician's practice (number of UTI visits billed in the past 3 months as a time-varying variable). Physician demographic data are maintained in a dedicated file [27], while the practice data were derived from services billed [17].

We also included a regional identifier variable to control for regional variation in LifeLabs coverage and conducted several sensitivity analyses, described below, to address the potential for confounding in this variable.

### Statistical analysis

Generalized linear mixed models with a logit link were used to estimate the log odds of a urine culture being associated with a cystitis visit (i.e., generalized logistic regression). This method recognizes the natural clustering of patient-visits within physicians.

The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated by the latent variable method [28]. The Median Odds Ratio (MOR) represents the median of the theoretical distribution of odds ratios that could be obtained by comparing two randomly chosen patients, with the same covariate values, from two different physicians [29].

Several sensitivity analyses explored the impact of geographic variation of LifeLabs coverage on estimates of other parameter in the model. First, the model was refit without the regional identifier; second, the analysis was repeated, using only the Vancouver Coastal and Fraser health authority regions where LifeLabs has the greatest market share.

SAS statistical software (version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc,. Cary, NC) was used for the analysis.

## Results

During the study period, there were 1,288,696 visits for cystitis, by 595,714 unique patients, seen by 5,825 unique physicians. Male physicians conducted 71% of all visits; two-thirds of visits were conducted by Canadian medical school graduates; just over a quarter (26.1%) of visits were conducted by physicians with 0–15 years of experience, and almost half were conducted by physicians with 16–30 years of experience. Eighty-four percent of these visits were among female patients. Among females, more visits occurred among younger adults, with 56% of female visits occurring among patients between the ages of 15 and 54. In contrast, only 32% of male visits were in this age group. Fifty-nine percent of male visits were among those aged 55 or older, whereas 38% of female visits were. Overall, 58% of cystitis visits were associated with antibiotic prescription (22% with a prescription for ciprofloxacin, 17% with nitrofurantoin, 12% with trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole) and 15% of visits were associated with a urine culture (Table 1).

### Model results

Table 2 shows the results of the final models. Among female visits, there was a lower probability of culturing with increasing age: children under 15 years had higher odds of culture

### Table 1. Distribution of visits and urine cultures.

			Females			Males			Total	
		Total # of visits (N)	% of female visits	% with urine cultures	Total # of visits (N)	% of male visits	% with urine cultures	Total # of visits (N)	% of total visits	% with urine cultures
Overall		1,040,636	83.5	15.7	198,594	16.5	9.1	1,239,230		14.6
Calenda	ar year									
	2005	131,969	12.7	16.7	25,053	12.6	11.4	157,022	12.7	15.9
	2006	137,069	13.2	16.3	26,390	13.3	10.7	163,459	13.2	15.4
	2007	143,861	13.8	13.9	27,855	14.0	7.6	171,716	13.9	12.9
	2008	148,443	14.3	14.3	28,703	14.5	7.6	177,146	14.3	13.2
	2009	152,304	14.6	15.4	29,638	14.9	8.2	181,942	14.7	14.2
	2010	161,365	15.5	16.1	29,972	15.1	9.0	191,607	15.5	15.0
	2011	165,355	15.9	17.1	30,983	15.6	9.3	196,338	15.8	15.9
Patient	age group									
	0-14	62,013	6.0	16.1	19,102	9.6	10.9	81,115	6.6	14.9
	15-24	141,838	13.6	17.8	9,691	4.9	9.8	151,529	12.2	17.3
	25-39	226,468	21.8	17.6	22,747	11.5	9.8	249,215	20.1	16.9
	40-54	223,781	21.5	16.7	33,683	17.0	9.8	257,464	20.8	15.8
	55-69	167,187	16.1	15.1	43,887	22.1	9.4	211,074	17.0	13.9
	70-84	148,046	14.2	13.0	51,256	25.8	8.1	199,302	16.1	11.7
	85+	71,303	6.9	9.1	18,228	9.2	6.6	89,531	7.2	8.6
Potenti	al treatment failure follow-up#									
	No	991,303	95.3	15.6	192,846	97.1	9.0	1,184,179	95.6	14.5
	Yes	49,303	4.7	17.4	5,748	2.9	11.4	55,051	4.4	16.7
The pat time of	ient is a long term care resident at the the visit									
	No	1,005,774	96.7	16.0	189,971	95.7	9.2	1,195,745	96.5	14.9
	Yes	34,862	3.4	7.5	8,623	4.3	5.2	43,485	3.5	7.0
Patient the past	has had an antibiotic prescription in 6 months									
	No	811,225	78.0	16.0	146,851	74.0	9.3	958,076	77.3	15.0
	Yes	229,441	22.1	14.5	51,743	26.1	8.4	281,154	22.7	13.4
Diabete	S									
	No	941,523	90.5	16.1	163,634	82.4	9.4	1,105,157	89.2	15.1
	Yes	99,113	9.5	12.2	34,960	17.6	7.7	134,073	10.8	11.0
In hosp	ital within 30 days of prior UTI visit									
	No	1,034,191	99.4	15.8	194,163	97.8	9.1	1,228,354	99.1	14.7
	Yes	6,445	0.6	7.1	4,431	2.2	5.9	10,876	0.9	6.6
Patient	-physician familiarity									
	Patient has no visits in past 3 years	34,941	3.4	17.2	7,233	3.6	11.8	42,174	3.4	16.2
	Less familiar (< = 7.3% (median) of patient's visits in past 3 years were with the UTI physician)	521,199	50.1	16.2	76,037	38.3	8.9	597,236	48.2	15.3
	More familiar (>7.3% of patient's visits in past 3 years were with UTI physician)	484,496	46.6	15.1	115,324	58.1	9.0	599,820	48.4	13.9
Physicia	an sex									
	Female	317,146	30.5	18.7	41,101	20.7	9.8	358,247	28.9	17.7
	Male	722,265	69.5	14.3	157,382	79.3	8.8	879,647	71.1	13.4
	missing	1,225			111			1,336		

(Continued)

#### Table 1. (Continued)

			Females		Males Total			Total		
		Total # of visits (N)	% of female visits	% with urine cultures	Total # of visits (N)	% of male visits	% with urine cultures	Total # of visits (N)	% of total visits	% with urine cultures
Physici visits) i	an's UTI volume (number of billed n past 3 months									
	Q1, under 13	275,222	26.5	13.7	41,593	20.9	8.1	316,815	25.6	13.0
	Q2, 13-24	272,714	26.2	15.2	47,552	23.9	9.7	320,266	25.8	14.4
	Q3, 25–45	269,156	25.9	16.5	54,581	27.5	9.4	323,737	26.1	15.3
	Q4, 45+	223,544	21.5	17.9	54,868	27.63	8.9	278,412	22.5	16.1
Physici	an years of clinical experience									
	0-5yrs	56,739	5.5	14.6	7,917	4.0	8.1	64,656	5.2	13.9
	6-10yrs	99,105	9.5	13.7	16,225	8.2	8.1	115,330	9.3	12.9
	11-15yrs	126,017	12.1	14.9	20,422	10.3	8.7	146,439	11.8	14.0
	16-20yrs	169,951	16.3	17.6	32,155	16.2	10.1	202,106	16.3	16.4
	21-25yrs	177,547	17.1	16.9	35,348	17.8	9.2	212,895	17.2	15.6
	26-30yrs		13.9	15.0	29,166	14.7	144,654	173,820	14.0	13.9
	31-35yrs	134,938	13.0	16.5	27,536	13.9	10.3	162,474	13.1	15.5
	36-40yrs	85,072	8.2	15.7	19,536	9.7	9.0	104,355	8.4	14.5
	40+yrs	46,613	4.5	11.6	10,542	5.3	6.5	57,155	4.6	10.7
Physici	an place of medical school									
	Canada	685,374	67.2	16.2	132,770	67.9	9.1	818,144	67.4	15.0
	International	333,878	32.8	14.6	62,770	32.1	8.8	396,648	32.7	13.7
	missing	21,384			3,054			24,438		
Antibio	otic therapy									
	Ciprofloxacin	239,810	23.0	19.4	34,790	17.5	17.8	274,600	22.2	19.2
	Nitrofurantoin	201,633	19.4	21.2	9,416	4.7	14.1	211,049	17.0	20.9
	TMP-SMX	136,902	13.2	16.2	13,641	6.9	15.4	150,543	12.2	16.1
	Amoxicillin	28,474	2.7	16.8	3,424	1.7	11.3	31,898	2.6	16.3
	Cefalexin	16,674	1.6	17.2	2,361	1.2	11.8	19,035	1.5	16.5
	Norfloxacin	13,391	1.3	15.3	1,116	0.6	14.6	14,507	1.2	15.2
	Other	21,409	2.1	13.4	5,971	3.0	9.2	27,380	2.2	12.4
	No Rx	382,343	36.7	10.3	127,875	64.4	5.5	510,218	41.2	9.1

#### \*Percent of all visits

<sup>#</sup>Defined as a cystitis visit within 28 days of a prescription for cystitis

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213534.t001

compared to adolescents 15–24 years old (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 1.18, 95% CI 1.14–1.22), while adults 85 years and older had lower odds compared to adolescents (aOR 0.69, 95% CI 0.66–0.71). The same pattern was generally apparent among male patients as well. After accounting for all other variables, visits that were likely the result of prior treatment failure were associated with a decreased probability of culturing among female and male patients. Both male and female long-term care residents were less likely to have a culture submitted to LifeLabs than community-dwelling individuals. Recent hospitalization was associated with lower odds of culture among females (aOR 0.73, 95% CI 0.65–0.81), but not among males (aOR 0.96, 95% CI 0.83–1.12). Both females and males with an antibiotic prescription in the past 6 months were less likely to have a culture. We explored whether this last finding was related to prior use of culture subsequently guiding the present treatment plan, but found no strong evidence to support that theory.

Table 2. Generalized linear mixed models for the odds of urine culture per cystitis visit. Model 1 includes only patient-level covariates, and model 2 includes both patient- and physician-level covariates. Complete case analysis.

			Мо	del 1			Mo		
		Fema	Female patients		e patients	Female patients		Mal	e patients
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Year of visit (reference: 2005)	2006	0.96	0.94-0.98	0.92	0.87-0.99	0.94	0.92-0.97	0.92	0.86-0.99
	2007	0.72	0.71-0.74	0.56	0.52-0.60	0.69	0.67-0.71	0.55	0.51-0.59
	2008	0.77	0.75-0.79	0.59	0.55-0.63	0.74	0.72-0.76	0.59	0.54-0.63
	2009	0.84	0.82-0.86	0.62	0.58-0.66	0.81	0.78-0.84	0.62	0.57-0.67
	2010	Permiterit         Permi	0.64-0.74						
	2011	1.04	1.02-1.07	0.71	0.67-0.76	1.00	0.97-1.04	0.73	0.67-0.79
Patient age group (reference: 15-24)	0-14	0.98	0.95-1.01	1.18	1.07-1.29	1.18	1.14-1.22	1.32	1.20-1.46
	25-39	0.97	0.95-0.99	1.02	0.93-1.12	0.99	0.97-1.01	0.91	0.83-1.00
	40-54	0.94	0.92-0.96	1.07	0.98-1.17	0.95	0.93-0.97	0.91	0.83-0.99
	55-69	0.92	0.89-0.94	1.15	1.05-1.25	0.93	0.91-0.96	0.95	0.87-1.04
	70-84	0.84	0.82-0.86	1.12	1.02-1.22	0.87	0.84-0.89	0.94	0.85-1.03
	85+	0.64	0.62-0.66	1.01	0.91-1.12	0.69	0.66-0.71	0.85	0.76-0.95
Follow up visit#		1.08	1.05-1.11	1.12	1.02-1.24	0.86	0.84-0.89	0.67	0.61-0.74
Long term care resident		0.45	0.43-0.48	0.41	0.36-0.46	0.49	0.46-0.51	0.45	0.40-0.51
Patient had recent antibiotic prescription <sup>†</sup>		0.85	0.84-0.87	0.77	0.74-0.80	0.90	0.89-0.91	0.82	0.79-0.86
Patient was discharged from hospital in the previous	30 days	0.66	0.59-0.74	0.91	0.79-1.06	0.73	0.65-0.81	0.96	0.83-1.12
Diabetes		0.91	0.89-0.93	0.92	0.87-0.97	0.91	0.89-0.93	0.92	0.87-0.97
Proportion of patient's visits in last 3 years that were with this physician (reference: >7.3%)	Patient had no medical visits in the past 3 years					1.01	0.97-1.05	1.09	0.99-1.20
	< = 7.3%					1.00	0.98-1.02	1.08	1.03-1.13
Female Physician						1.62	1.32-1.98	1.37	1.15-1.64
International medical school graduation location (refe	erence: Canada)					0.55	0.44-0.69	0.74 0.62–0.89	
International medical school graduation location (re Number of years of clinical experience (reference	6-10yrs					1.07	1.02-1.13	1.12	0.96-1.30
0-5 years)	11-15yrs					1.16	1.07-1.25	1.10	0.92-1.32
	16-20yrs					1.31	1.20-1.42	1.19	0.98-1.44
	21-25yrs					1.31	1.18-1.44	1.20	0.98-1.47
-5 years)	26-30yrs					1.30	1.16-1.46	1.18	0.95-1.46
	31-35yrs					1.28	1.12-1.46	1.31	1.04-1.65
	36-40yrs					1.17	1.01-1.36	1.14	0.89-1.46
	40+yrs					1.20	1.01-1.44	0.91	0.68-1.22
Physician's UTI volume—number of UTIs seen in	Q2, 13-24					1.07	1.05-1.09	1.15	1.08-1.23
the previous 3 months (reference: Q1, <13)	Q3, 25-45					1.16	1.13-1.19	1.14	1.06-1.24
	Q4, 45+					0.33         0.34-0.35         0.37         0.41-0.74           0.49         0.46-0.51         0.45         0.40-0.51           0.90         0.89-0.91         0.82         0.79-0.86           0.73         0.65-0.81         0.96         0.83-1.12           0.91         0.89-0.93         0.92         0.87-0.97           1.01         0.97-1.05         1.09         0.99-1.20           1.00         0.98-1.02         1.08         1.03-1.13           1.62         1.32-1.98         1.37         1.15-1.64           0.55         0.44-0.69         0.74         0.62-0.89           1.07         1.02-1.13         1.12         0.96-1.30           1.16         1.07-1.25         1.10         0.92-1.32           1.31         1.20-1.42         1.19         0.98-1.47           1.30         1.16-1.46         1.18         0.95-1.46           1.28         1.12-1.46         1.31         1.04-1.65           1.17         1.01-1.36         1.14         0.89-1.46           1.20         1.01-1.44         0.91         0.68-1.22           1.07         1.05-1.09         1.15         1.08-1.23           1.16         1.13-1.19			
Antibiotic prescribed (reference: No antibiotic	Ciprofloxacin					2.37	2.33-2.42	4.35	4.15-4.56
prescription)	Nitrofurantoin					2.22	2.17-2.26	3.11	2.87-3.36
	TMPSMX					2.13	2.08-2.18	3.62	3.38-3.87
	Amoxicillin					1.73	1.66-1.80	1.87	1.64-2.12
	Cefalexin					1.87	1.77-1.97	2.11	1.81-2.46
	Norfloxacin					2.17	2.03-2.31	3.09	2.49-3.84
	Other					1.64	1.56-1.72	1.86	1.68-2.07
Number of observations		1,040,	636	198,594		1,015,911		195,050	
Number of patients		468,14	6	108,96	59	460,41	.9	107,03	8
Number of general practitioners		5,752		4,865		5,637		4,763	
Random intercept variance		11.06		6.23		11.01		4.32	
Random effect standard error		0.39		0.29		0.39		0.20	

(Continued)

# **PLOS** ONE

Table 2. (Continued)

		Мо	del 1			Mo	del 2	
	Fema	le patients	Mal	e patients	Fema	le patients	Mal	e patients
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Median Odds Ratio	23.86		10.81		23.68		7.26	
Intraclass correlation coefficient	0.77		0.65		0.77		0.57	

<sup>#</sup> Defined as a cystitis visit within 28 days of a previous cystitis treated with antibiotics

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213534.t002

Female physicians were significantly more likely to submit a culture for both female and male patients (aOR 1.62, 95 CI 1.32–1.98 and aOR 1.37, 95% CI 1.15–1.64 respectively). International medical graduates were less likely than Canadian graduates to submit a culture. Compared to early-career physicians, those with 16–35 years of experience were generally more likely to culture their patients, although the effect was stronger with female patients. Physicians who saw more UTIs in their practice in the 3 months prior to the visit, generally cultured more.

**Variation across physicians.** The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for the female patient model was 0.77, implying that 77% of the total variation in culturing could be accounted for by differences between physicians. Among male patients, the ICC was slightly smaller, but still large, at 57%. The median odds ratio (MOR) was 23.7 among female visits, and 7.3 among male visits.

**Sensitivity analyses.** Parameter estimates from the model that did not control for Health Service Delivery Area (HSDA) (Table 3) were substantively similar to our original analysis. When we restricted the analysis to the two regions with greatest LifeLabs coverage, there were slightly some differences in the parameter estimates compared to the original analysis, although the directions of effects all remained the same. The small differences in magnitude would not lead to different conclusions in general. The estimated variances of the random effects behaved as expected with larger variances with the exclusion of the HSDA control variable, and smaller variance with restriction to a smaller population of physicians.

## Discussion

Rising antibiotic resistance among uropathogens complicates the treatment of urinary tract infections. Culturing a UTI specimen can facilitate treatment through identification of the organism and susceptibility profile; however, given the stability of organism distribution and relative predictability of susceptibility probabilities, there is good evidence that there is no clinical advantage from routinely sending urine cultures from suspected uncomplicated cystitis for testing [30]. Microbiology services support optimal clinical care when used appropriately, and are an essential component of antimicrobial stewardship [31]. Overuse of culturing in uncomplicated UTI may represent potential system waste. However, in complicated cases, where the distribution of organisms and resistance patterns is less predictable, a urine culture can be important–both in terms of targeting the clinical management of the patient with the goal of reducing symptoms quickly, and for minimizing undue selection pressure for antibiotic resistance. Therefore, encouraging the appropriate balance of use is both a patient care and health system issue. This study found large variations between physicians in their propensity to culture, and these variations were larger than the marginal effect of any of our observed characteristics of patients or physicians.

<sup>†</sup> Defined as any antibiotic prescription within 6 months prior to the cystits visit

Table 3. LifeLabs coverage sensitivity analyses. Generalized linear mixed models for the odds of urine culture per cystitis visit. Full model results presented for model that did not control for region, and that was restricted to the broad regions with highest LifeLabs coverage.

		Without controlling for HSDA <sup>1</sup>			Restricted to VCHA <sup>2</sup> and FHA <sup>3</sup>				
		Fema	le patients	Mal	e patients	Female patients		Mal	e patients
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Year of visit	2006	0.94	0.92-0.97	0.92	0.86-0.99	0.94	0.91-0.97	0.91	0.85-0.99
(reference: 2005)	2007	0.69	0.67-0.71	0.55	0.51-0.59	0.61	0.59-0.63	0.49	0.45-0.53
	2008	0.74	0.72-0.76	0.58	0.54-0.63	0.63	0.61-0.66	0.51	0.46-0.55
	2009	0.81	0.78-0.83	0.62	0.57-0.67	0.68	0.65-0.70	0.52	0.48-0.57
	2010	0.87	0.84-0.90	0.68	0.63-0.74	0.75	0.72-0.77	0.59	0.54-0.64
	2011	1.00	0.97-1.04	0.72	0.66-0.78	0.84	0.81-0.88	0.61	0.56-0.66
Patient age group (reference: 15-24)	0-14	1.18	1.15-1.22	1.36	1.23-1.50	1.19	1.14-1.23	1.38	1.24-1.54
	25-39	0.99	0.97-1.01	0.93	0.85-1.03	0.99	0.96-1.01	0.94	0.84-1.04
	40-54	0.95	0.93-0.97	0.93	0.85-1.02	0.94	0.92-0.97	0.95	0.86-1.05
	55-69	0.93	0.91-0.96	0.98	0.89-1.07	0.92	0.89-0.95	0.99	0.89-1.09
	70-84	0.87	0.85-0.89	0.96	0.88-1.05	0.84	0.82-0.87	0.96	0.87-1.07
	85+	0.69	0.66-0.71	0.87	0.78-0.97	0.67	0.64-0.70	0.88	0.77-0.99
Follow up visit <sup>4</sup>		0.86	0.84-0.89	0.67	0.61-0.74	0.83	0.80-0.86	0.66	0.59-0.74
Long term care resident		0.49	0.46-0.51	0.45	0.40-0.51	0.57	0.54-0.61	0.54	0.48-0.62
Patient had recent antibiotic prescription <sup>5</sup>		0.90	0.89-0.91	0.82	0.78-0.85	0.90	0.88-0.91	0.80	0.76-0.84
Patient was discharged from hospital in the previous	30 days	0.73	0.65-0.81	0.97	0.83-1.13	0.70	0.62-0.80	0.97	0.81-1.15
Diabetes		0.91	0.89-0.94	0.92	0.87-0.97	0.92	0.89-0.94	0.92	0.86-0.98
Proportion of patient's visits in last 3 years that were	no visits	1.01	0.97-1.05	1.10	0.99-1.21	1.03	0.99-1.08	1.08	0.97-1.20
with this physician (reference: >7.3%)	<= 7.3%	1.00	0.98-1.02	1.08	1.03-1.14	1.05	1.03-1.07	1.09	1.03-1.15
Female Physician (reference: male)		1.62	1.32-1.98	1.53	1.26-1.87	1.55	1.30-1.85	1.49	1.23-1.80
International medical school graduation location (ref	erence: Canada)	0.56	0.44-0.69	0.65	0.53-0.79	0.73	0.60-0.88	0.75	0.62-0.92
Number of years of clinical experience/	6-10yrs	1.07	1.05-1.13	1.12	0.96-1.31	1.00	0.94-1.06	1.09	0.92-1.29
	11-15yrs	1.16	1.08-1.25	1.12	0.93-1.35	1.05	0.97-1.14	1.10	0.90-1.34
	16-20yrs	1.30	1.19-1.42	1.24	1.01-1.51	1.16	1.06-1.28	1.13	0.91-1.39
	21-25yrs	1.31	1.18-1.44	1.27	1.02-1.56	1.19	1.07-1.33	1.19	0.96-1.49
	26-30yrs	1.30	1.16-1.44	1.29	1.03-1.62	1.15	1.02-1.31	1.16	0.92-1.47
	31-35yrs	1.28	1.12-1.46	1.48	1.16-1.88	1.16	1.00-1.33	1.28	1.00-1.65
	36-40yrs	1.17	1.01-1.36	1.30	1.00-1.71	1.03	0.88-1.21	1.10	0.84-1.45
	40+yrs	1.20	1.01-1.43	1.09	0.79-1.49	1.12	0.93-1.35	0.98	0.71-1.35
Physician's UTI volume—number of UTIs seen in	Q2, 13-24	1.07	1.05-1.09	1.17	1.10-1.25	1.06	1.03-1.09	1.12	1.03-1.21
the previous 3 months (reference: Q1, <13)	Q3, 25-45	1.16	1.13-1.19	1.17	1.09-1.27	1.14	1.11-1.18	1.12	1.02-1.22
	Q4, 45+	1.16	1.12-1.20	1.23	1.12-1.35	1.11	1.06-1.15	1.15	1.03-1.28
Antibiotic prescribed (reference: No antibiotic	Amoxicillin	1.73	1.67-1.81	1.86	1.63-2.11	1.68	1.60-1.76	1.74	1.50-2.02
prescription)	Cefalexin	1.87	1.78-1.97	2.1	1.80-2.45	1.84	1.74-1.95	2.12	1.79-2.52
	Ciprofloxacin	2.37	2.33-2.42	4.33	4.12-4.54	2.39	2.34-2.44	4.50	4.26-4.75
	Nitrofurantoin	2.22	2.17-2.26	3.08	2.85-3.33	2.19	2.14-2.24	3.12	2.84-3.42
	Norfloxacin	2.17	2.03-2.31	3.11	2.50-3.86	2.04	1.87-2.24	3.21	2.41-4.26
	Other	1.64	1.56-1.73	1.88	1.69-2.09	1.62	1.53-1.72	1.88	1.66-2.12
	TMPSMX	2.13	2.09-2.18	3.59	3.36-3.84	2.13	2.08-2.19	3.70	3.43-3.99
N observations			1,018,207		195,429		627,914		128,597
Random intercept variance			11		6.1		6.02		3.56

(Continued)

#### Table 3. (Continued)

w	ithout contro	lling for	HSDA <sup>1</sup>	Restricted to VCHA <sup>2</sup> and FHA <sup>3</sup>			
Fema	le patients	Mal	e patients	Fema	le patients	Male patients	
OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
	0.39		0.29		0.23		0.19
	W Fema OR	Without contro Female patients OR 95% CI 0.39	Without controlling for           Fem≥ patients         Mai           OR         95% CI         OR           0.39         0.39         0.39	Hyperbolic           Hyperbolic           Ferewise value           Mait particulus           OR         OS% CI           OR         05% CI         0.0         95% CI           0.39         0.39         0.25%         0.29%	HSDA <sup>1</sup> Re           Femal         Femal           OR         95% CI         OR         OR         OR         OR           OR         0.39         O.29         O.29	Without controlling for $HSDA^1$ Restricted to VC       Fem3/2     Fem3/2       OR     95% CI     OR     95% CI       OR     95% CI     OR     95% CI     OR     95% CI       0.39 $0.29$ $0.29$ $0.23$	Without controller for HSDA <sup>1</sup> Restricted to VHA <sup>2</sup> and Restrieted to VHA <sup>2</sup> and Restricted to VHA <sup>2</sup> and Restricted

<sup>1</sup> HSDA: Health Service Delivery Area

<sup>2</sup> VCHA: Vancouver Coastal Health Authority

<sup>3</sup> FHA: Fraser Health Authority

<sup>4</sup> Defined as cystitis visit within 28 days of a previous cystitis treated with antibiotics

<sup>5</sup> Defined as any antibiotic prescription within 6 months prior to the cystitis visit

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213534.t003

There are three aspects of these findings worth focusing on here. First, there were several patient-level variables that would be expected to be positively associated with urine culturing, that were in fact negatively associated. Particularly among female patients, this analysis found that recent antibiotic use, a history of diabetes, recent hospitalization, and older patients had lower odds of a urine culture, despite these being indications for culture [10,32,33]. This suggests potential underuse of the microbiology resource among those who might benefit, or alternatively, potential overuse among those who likely would not. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that physicians had access to a recent previous culture (for instance, in hospital, or through a different laboratory) that was guiding treatment decisions, or that there was some form of systematic bias in these cases being more likely to be cultured at a laboratory other than LifeLabs. Long term care residents, who carry higher prevalence of resistance in urine isolates, had lower odds of having a culture submitted to LifeLabs; however, this could be because their cases are more likely to be referred to hospital. Further assessment will be needed to explore this.

Second, a number of variables at the physician level were associated with the probability of a urine culture, highlighting the complexity of clinical decision-making. In principle, a patient's clinical characteristics and medical need should be the only relevant factors in diagnostic and treatment decisions. However, a number of studies have demonstrated variation in physician practice on the basis of the physician's sex [34], or years in medical practice and international medical graduation [35]. The results of this study support the conclusion that there can be differences in practice associated with these characteristics.

Third, and perhaps most importantly, we have described substantial variations among physicians in the decision to culture for cystitis. A female patient presenting with cystitis to a physician with a higher propensity to culture has a median nearly 24 times the odds of a urine culture compared to a similar patient presenting to a physician with a lower propensity. This has important implications for resource use and patient care. Medical practice variations have been documented across a range of procedures and conditions [15], and have been explained in terms of clinical uncertainty and varying individual clinical thresholds at which physicians act [36].

A previous study in the United States reported that 67% of visits were associated with a prescription [37]. The lower proportion of 59% in our study could be due to prescriptions being provided but not filled in some circumstances, a general move towards more tolerance with a watch and wait approach, some prescriptions being filled outside our designated time window of 4 days, or inaccurate use of the cystitis code (for example, if it were used for asymptomatic bacteriuria). Despite *E. coli* resistance rates to ciprofloxacin over 20% during the study period [38], this was still the most frequently prescribed antibiotic (in 22% of cases). During this period, resistance to TMP-SMX was also above 20%, while resistance to nitrofurantoin was below 5% [38].

The implications of these findings on our understanding of community-level resistance rates should also be considered. Guidelines do not recommended urine cultures for uncomplicated cases; hence, we would expect to see an overrepresentation of complicated cases. However, we did not find that complicated cystitis were to be overrepresented-suggesting that resistance rates based on these cultures are not systematically over-estimating the level of antibiotic resistance. Nonetheless, the wide variation in practice among physicians complicates our understanding of resistance rates: we cannot reliably describe the patient population from whom urine cultures-and hence resistance rates-derive. Our understanding of resistance rates in the community should take this limitation of passive surveillance into account.

## **Policy implications**

The scope of variations in urine culturing described here suggests two potential implications: 1) urine cultures are not being used routinely when they apparently should be, and 2) physicians have practice styles that tend towards, or away from, culturing for cystitis, over and above basic clinical considerations. Antibiotic stewardship programs aim to support judicious and effective antibiotic use; an important component of this effort is the accurate diagnosis of infection and targeting of treatment. Optimization of resource use is always a concern, but is of particular significance in this era of antibiotic resistance: recommendations to expand the indications for additional urine culturing may be required as the epidemiology of UTIs shifts towards increasingly resistant pathogens. A greater understanding of the factors underlying the observed variations is required in order to promote adherence to (and adaptations as necessary of) recommendations.

A simple audit and feedback intervention targeting the top 20% of prescribers with feedback from England's chief medical health officer showed promising results in reducing antibiotic prescriptions [35]. A similar intervention could be designed to address the use of cultures for urinary tract infections, adapted to include targeting of both potential over- and under-use of cultures. In British Columbia, family physicians are becoming more active in quality improvement activities. Understanding and reducing variations is one of the key topics of the professional development program for quality improvement, which is offered by the BC Patient Safety & Quality Council [39]. Efforts to address unwarranted variation would therefore appear to have traction within the profession, which will strengthen the possibilities for implementation and action.

A recent study demonstrated that laboratory-based feedback on indications for culturing changed culturing practices of physicians caring for nursing home [V. Leung, personal communication]. Selective reporting of microbiology results has been shown to influence antibiotic treatment selection [40]. Combining these ideas, laboratory reports to physicians could be designed to include general feedback about the indication for the specific culture (based on age and sex of the patient, for instance–which might reasonably be available in laboratory data), as well as a reminder of the appropriate indications for cultures in the general population.

Choosing Wisely initiatives aim to improve the quality of healthcare, in particular by reducing overuse of low-value services. Efforts to reduce overuse and underuse of urine cultures could integrate with the evaluation of these initiatives; for example, by following a framework to measure provider attitudes and awareness about the issue, assess unintended consequences of the campaign, and assess patient perceptions and outcomes [41].

In addition, sentinel physician networks–where a cohort of primary care physicians agrees to culture every patient with UTI symptoms seen in their practice–may be useful for surveillance of accurate antibiotic resistance rates. Alternatively, regular or periodic active surveillance studies may be required.

Finally, the results of this study suggest that there could be a benefit in re-stating clear and concise guidelines, even if the recommendations remain relatively unchanged.

#### Limitations

This was an exploratory study, and, to the best of our knowledge, is the first time that privately held outpatient microbiology data on urine cultures has been linked to population-based physician service data. Undercounting (i.e., the failure to detect urine cultures associated with some cystitis visits) is an important consideration in our analysis, as LifeLabs is the largest but not the only outpatient laboratory service in the province. The market share is estimated to be approximately 60–62% province-wide, based on publicly available financial statements, during the time frame of this study [21]. Undercounting is a form of misclassification bias, and non-differential misclassification bias in the outcome generally results in bias toward the null [42]. It is unlikely that the presence of LifeLabs clinics, or indeed the compliance of a patient to proceed with sample submission, would differ systematically by the factors included in this analysis, other than by geographic region. As such, HSDA was included as a covariate in the models in an attempt to control for some of the coverage variability; however, the effect estimates for HSDA are themselves potentially biased and should not be directly interpreted (and as such, are not reported in the table). The sensitivity analyses demonstrated some minor changes in parameter estimates, but nothing that would alter the overall conclusions.

Patient variability was not modeled. Around half of individuals had more than one UTI visit during the study period. However, the complex structure of the data precluded the inclusion of both a physician and a patient random effect (i.e. the models did not converge). Patient visits are not nested within physicians. Therefore, any attempt to handle this would mean a manipulation of the data (e.g. forcing the nesting of the patients within physicians) and was not computationally feasible for our large study population.

The reason for the decrease in urine cultures in 2007 is unclear; no relevant change in policy or guidelines could be identified.

### Conclusion

This analysis suggests that physicians have highly variable tendencies to culture for cystitis, and the appropriate and efficient use of urine cultures can likely be improved. Further research is necessary to confirm these exploratory findings. However, effort directed towards both promoting the use of urine cultures in relevant cases, and restricting their use when not required, would not be misplaced. This effort could involve targeted audit and feedback to primary care providers and alignment with Choosing Wisely initiatives.

## Supporting information

**S1** File. A list of the data files and variables requested in the data extract. (DOCX)

### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Rachel McKay, David M. Patrick.

Formal analysis: Rachel McKay.

Investigation: Rachel McKay, Robert Balshaw, Romina Reyes.

Methodology: Rachel McKay, Michael Law, Kimberlyn McGrail, Robert Balshaw, David M. Patrick.

Project administration: Rachel McKay.

Resources: Michael Law, David M. Patrick.

Supervision: Michael Law, Kimberlyn McGrail, Robert Balshaw, David M. Patrick.

Validation: Michael Law, Kimberlyn McGrail, Romina Reyes, David M. Patrick.

Writing – original draft: Rachel McKay.

Writing – review & editing: Michael Law, Kimberlyn McGrail, Robert Balshaw, Romina Reyes, David M. Patrick.

### References

- Sanchez GV, Master RN, Karlowsky JA, Bordon JM. In vitro antimicrobial resistance of urinary Escherichia coli isolates among U.S. outpatients from 2000 to 2010. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2012 Apr; 56(4):2181–3. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.06060-11 PMID: 22252813
- 2. Foxman B, Barlow R, D'Arcy H, Gillespie B, Sobel JD. Urinary tract infection: self-reported incidence and associated costs. Ann Epidemiol. 2000 Nov; 10(8):509–15.
- Gupta K, Hooton TM, Naber KG, Wullt B, Colgan R, Miller LG, et al. International clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis in women: A 2010 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Vol. 52, Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2011. pp. e103–20.
- Kim T, Oh PI, Simor AE. The economic impact of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Canadian hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. JSTOR; 2001; 22(2):99–104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/501871</u> PMID: <u>11232886</u>
- Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: Incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Diseasea-Month. 2003 Feb; 49(2):53–70.
- de Kraker MEA, Davey PG, Grundmann H, BURDEN study group. Mortality and hospital stay associated with resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli bacteremia: estimating the burden of antibiotic resistance in Europe. PLoS medicine. 2011 Oct; 8(10):e1001104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001104 PMID: 22022233</u>
- Foxman B. The epidemiology of urinary tract infection. Nature Reviews. Nature Publishing Group; 2010; 7(12):653–60.
- Bell BG, Schellevis F, Stobberingh E, Goossens H, Pringle M. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of antibiotic consumption on antibiotic resistance. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2014; 14 (1):13.
- Zhanel GG, Hisanaga TL, Laing NM, DeCorby MR, Nichol KA, Palatnik LP, et al. Antibiotic resistance in outpatient urinary isolates: final results from the North American Urinary Tract Infection Collaborative Alliance (NAUTICA). International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents. 2005 Nov; 26(5):380–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2005.08.003 PMID: 16243229
- Bader MS, Loeb M, Brooks AA. An update on the management of urinary tract infections in the era of antimicrobial resistance. Postgrad Med. Taylor & Francis; 2017 Feb 25; 129(2):242–58. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1080/00325481.2017.1246055 PMID: 27712137</u>
- Lopardo G, Fridman D, Gonzalez Arzac M, Calmaggi A, Smayevsky J, Podesta O, et al. Uropathogen Resistance: Are Laboratory-Generated Data Reliable Enough? J Chemother. 2013 Jul 18; 19(1):33–7.
- Llor C, Rabanaque G, Lopez A, Cots JM. The adherence of GPs to guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of lower urinary tract infections in women is poor. Family Practice. 2011 May 20; 28(3):294–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmq107 PMID: 21127022
- Taur Y, Smith MA. Adherence to the Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines in the Treatment of Uncomplicated Urinary Tract Infection. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2007 Mar 15; 44(6):769– 74. https://doi.org/10.1086/511866 PMID: 17304445
- Wilson ML, Gaido L. Laboratory diagnosis of urinary tract infections in adult patients. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2004; 38(8):1150–8. https://doi.org/10.1086/383029 PMID: 15095222

- Corallo AN, Croxford R, Goodman DC, Bryan EL, Srivastava D, Stukel TA. A systematic review of medical practice variation in OECD countries. Health Policy. 2014 Jan 1; 114(1):5–14. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.healthpol.2013.08.002 PMID: 24054709
- Hillier S, Bell J, Heginbothom M, Roberts Z, Dunstan F, Howard A, et al. When do general practitioners request urine specimens for microbiology analysis? The applicability of antibiotic resistance surveillance based on routinely collected data. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006 Dec; 58(6):1303–6. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1093/jac/dkl432</u> PMID: 17062608
- 17. British Columbia Ministry of Health [creator] (2013): Medical Services Plan (MSP) Payment Information File. V2. Population Data BC [publisher]. Data Extract. MOH (2013). http://www.popdata.bc.ca/data
- Morgan SG, Cunningham CM, Hanley GE. Individual and contextual determinants of regional variation in prescription drug use: an analysis of administrative data from British Columbia. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5 (12):e15883. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015883 PMID: 21209960
- British Columbia Ministry of Health [creator] (2013): Consolidation File (MSP Registration & Premium Billing). V2. Population Data BC [publisher]. Data Extract. MOH (2013). http://www.popdata.bc.ca/data
- 20. LifeLabs. Urine cultures. Population Data BC, editor. LifeLabs (2015).
- 21. Medical Services Commission. MSC Financial Statement (Blue Book) [Internet]. Available from: http:// www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/health/practitioner-professional-resources/msp/publications
- 22. British Columbia Ministry of Health [creator] (2011): PharmaNet. V2. BC Ministry of Health [publisher]. Data Extract. Data Stewardship Committee (2011). http://www.popdata.bc.ca/data
- 23. British Columbia Ministry of Health [creator] (2013). Home & Community Care (Continuing Care) V2. Population Data BC [publisher]. Data Extract. MOH (2013). http://www.popdata.bc.ca/data
- Goettsch WG, Janknegt R, Herings RMC. Increased treatment failure after 3-days' courses of nitrofurantoin and trimethoprim for urinary tract infections in women: a population-based retrospective cohort study using the PHARMO database. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2004 Aug; 58(2):184–9. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-2125.2004.02106.x PMID: 15255801
- Canadian Institute for Health Information [creator]:2014. Discharge Abstract Database (Hospital Separations). V2. Population Data BC [publisher]. Data Extract. MOH (2014). http://www.popdata.bc.ca/data
- Breslau N, Reeb KG. Continuity of care in a university-based practice. Acad Med. 1975 Oct 1; 50 (10):965.
- British Columbia Ministry of Health. Medical Services Plan (MSP) Practitioner File. College of Physicians and Surgeons of British Columbia (2014).
- Goldstein H, Browne W, Rashbash J. Partitioning variation in multilevel models. Understanding Statistics. 2002; 1(4):223–31.
- Merlo J, Chaix B, Ohlsson H, Beckman A, Johnell K, Hjerpe P, et al. A brief conceptual tutorial of multilevel analysis in social epidemiology: using measures of clustering in multilevel logistic regression to investigate contextual phenomena. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health. 2006 Apr 1; 60 (4):290–7.
- **30.** Little P, Moore MV, Turner S, Rumsby K, Warner G, Lowes JA, et al. Effectiveness of five different approaches in management of urinary tract infection: randomised controlled trial. BMJ. British Medical Journal Publishing Group; 2010 Feb 5; 340(feb05 1):c199–9.
- Baron EJ, Miller JM, Weinstein MP, Richter SS, Gilligan PH, Thomson RB, et al. A Guide to Utilization of the Microbiology Laboratory for Diagnosis of Infectious Diseases: 2013 Recommendations by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the American Society for Microbiology (ASM)a. Clinical Infectious Diseases. Oxford University Press; 2013 Aug 15; 57(4):e22–e121. https://doi.org/10. 1093/cid/cit278 PMID: 23845951
- Mulder M, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Goessens WHF, de Visser H, Hofman A, Stricker BH, et al. Risk factors for resistance to ciprofloxacin in community-acquired urinary tract infections due to Escherichia coliin an elderly population. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2016 Dec 16; 72(1):281–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/ dkw399 PMID: 27655855
- Linhares I, Raposo T, Rodrigues A, Almeida A. Frequency and antimicrobial resistance patterns of bacteria implicated in community urinary tract infections: a ten-year surveillance study (2000–2009). BMC Infectious Diseases. BioMed Central; 2013 Jan 18; 13(1):19.
- Roter DL, Hall JA, Aoki Y. Physician gender effects in medical communication—A meta-analytic review. JAMA. 2002; 288(6):756–64. PMID: 12169083
- Cadieux G, Tamblyn R, Dauphinee D, Libman M. Predictors of inappropriate antibiotic prescribing among primary care physicians. Canadian Medical Association Journal. 2007; 177(8):877–83. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.070151">https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.070151</a> PMID: 17923655

- 36. Djulbegovic B, van den Ende J, Hamm RM, Mayrhofer T, Hozo I, Pauker SG, et al. When is rational to order a diagnostic test, or prescribe treatment: the threshold model as an explanation of practice variation. Eur J Clin Invest. 2015 Mar 9; 45(5):485–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.12421 PMID: 25675907
- Huang ES, Stafford RS. National patterns in the treatment of urinary tract infections in women by ambulatory care physicians. Arch Intern Med. American Medical Association; 2002; 162(1):41–7. PMID: 11784218
- Services CDPC. Antimicrobial Resistance Trends in the Province of British Columbia. Control BCCFD, editor. bccdc.ca. BC Provincial Health Services Authority; 2012 May.
- BC Patient Safety and Quality Council. Clinician Quality Academy: Professional development program for quality improvement [Internet]. 2016. pp. 1–18. Available from: https://bcpsqc.ca/documents/2016/ 11/Clinician-Quality-Academy-Cohort-2-Brochure.pdf
- 40. Coupat C, Pradier C, Degand N, Hofliger P, Pulcini C. Selective reporting of antibiotic susceptibility data improves the appropriateness of intended antibiotic prescriptions in urinary tract infections: a case-vignette randomised study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012 Dec 8; 32(5):627–36. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10096-012-1786-4 PMID: 23224717
- Bhatia RS, Levinson W, Shortt S, Pendrith C, Fric-Shamji E, Kallewaard M, et al. Measuring the effect of Choosing Wisely: an integrated framework to assess campaign impact on low-value care. BMJ Quality & Safety. 2015 Jul 17; 24(8):523–31.
- Jonsson Funk M, Landi SN. Misclassification in Administrative Claims Data: Quantifying the Impact on Treatment Effect Estimates. Curr Epidemiol Rep. 3rd ed. Springer International Publishing; 2014 Oct 15; 1(4):175–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-014-0027-z PMID: 26085977