## 918 COVID-19: A Detailed Analysis on Fit-Testing for Respiratory Protective Equipment in the UK

## A. Gani<sup>1</sup>, S. Green<sup>2</sup>, M. Bailey<sup>3</sup>, O. Brown<sup>1</sup>, C. Hing<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>St Georges University, London, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Basingstoke, United Kingdom, <sup>4</sup>St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation, London, United Kingdom

**Introduction:** There is limited data in the literature regarding the adequacy of generic FFP3 masks and their facial fit to ensure adequate protection. Mask fit-testing is therefore essential to protect healthcare workers.

**Method:** Using the Freedom of Information Act, 137 acute NHS trusts in the UK were approached on the 26/3/2020 by an independent researcher to provide data on the outcome of fit testing at each site.

**Results:** 85 Trusts responded to the FOI with 51 trusts providing pertinent data relevant to the FOI request. There was a total of 72 mask types used across 51 trusts. The commonest of which was the FFP3M1863 (used by 47/51 trusts, 92.16%). A positive correlation was found between staff members and number of mask types used (r = 0.75, P = <0.05).

Overall fit-testing pass rates were provided by 32 trusts. The mean percentage pass rate was 80.74%.

Gender specific failure rates were provided by seven trusts. 4386 males underwent fit-testing in comparison to 16305 females. Across all seven trusts 20.08% of men tested failed the fit-test while only 19.89% of women failed the fit-test.

**Conclusions:** Our results may be utilised in choosing respirators for fit testing programme in healthcare-workers during the COVID-19 pandemic.