Research Article

Pyrethroid Acaricide Resistance Is Proportional to P-450 Cytochrome Oxidase Expression in the Cattle Tick *Rhipicephalus microplus*

Raquel Cossío-Bayúgar,¹ Francisco Martínez-Ibañez,² Hugo Aguilar-Díaz ¹,¹ and Estefan Miranda-Miranda ¹

¹Centro Nacional de Investigación Disciplinaria en Parasitología Veterinaria, Instituto Nacional de

Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias, Jiutepec Morelos, Mexico

²Departamento de Ectoparásitos y Dípteros, Servicio Nacional de Sanidad, Inocuidad y Calidad Agroalimentaria SAGARPA, Jiutepec Morelos, Mexico

Correspondence should be addressed to Estefan Miranda-Miranda; miranda.estefhan@inifap.gob.mx

Received 27 October 2017; Revised 7 May 2018; Accepted 12 June 2018; Published 4 July 2018

Academic Editor: Stephen Munga

Copyright © 2018 Raquel Cossío-Bayúgar et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The goal of the present study was to assess the gene expression of xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes (XMEs) Cytochrome P-450 (CYP) and carboxylesterase (CE) related to detoxification of synthetic pyrethroids, plus acetylcholinesterase (AChE), in field isolates of acaricide-resistant Rhipicephalus microplus. The XMEs expression levels were assessed by mRNA measurement using quantitative reverse transcription PCR. The XME expression levels of field-isolated acaricide-resistant ticks were compared against acaricide-susceptible reference ticks used in this study as a gene expression baseline and represented as relative expression units (REU). Field isolates were subjected to toxicological bioassays and determined resistant to all the Pyr acaricides (Pyr), whereas most of them were found susceptible to organophosphorous acaricides (OP), with the exception of three isolates, which exhibited moderate resistance to Diazinon. Significantly higher levels of CYP were detected in pyrethroid-resistance ticks when compared to Su ticks (P<0.01). A linear regression analysis showed that pyrethroid acaricide resistance levels of R. microplus were proportional to the CYP expression levels (correlation coefficient (R):0.85; P<0.05). Analysis on CE expression levels showed only one isolate resistant to Pyr and OP with a statistically significant increase (P<0.01). AChE expression levels showed statistically significant (P<0.01) subexpression in all tick isolates when compared to the susceptible reference. Our results suggest that pyrethroid acaricide resistance in the cattle tick may be diagnosed by measuring the CYP expression levels using quantitative PCR.

1. Introduction

Pesticide resistance in arthropods is a multifactorial phenomenon involving behavioral, biochemical, and metabolic mechanisms designed to counteract the effect of the pesticide on the target organism [1, 2]. Previous studies have shown that pesticide resistance is facilitated by the action of xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes (XME) [3]. XME are found in most metazoan organisms, and they provide an enzymatic mechanism of defense against the potentially toxic actions of natural xenobiotics compounds [4]; these enzymes act by biochemically transforming exogenous and endogenous chemical radicals into hydrophilic derivatives through reactions collectively known as biotransformation [3].

The cytochrome P-450 monooxygenase (CYP) enzymatic family is a set of ubiquitous enzymes that participate in the regulation of endogenous bioactive molecules, such as hormones; they control the metabolism and detoxification of cell damaging chemicals such as plant toxins, drugs, and pesticides in a large variety of arthropods [5]. As a consequence, arthropods with naturally high levels of CYP are insensitive to certain pyrethroid (Pyr) formulations, rendering these pesticides ineffective for certain types of pest control [6, 7]. CYP-mediated metabolism is implicated in the deactivation of pesticides in several pesticide-resistant arthropods, including Culex spp. [4], Anopheles gambiae [8], Blattella germanica [9], Helicoverpa armigera [10], Tribolium castaneum [11], and the house fly Musca spp. [12, 13]. Previous reports suggest that Pyr acaricide toxicity is neutralized in *R*. *microplus* through the metabolic action of CYP [14]. Another study reported high expression levels of CYP in Pyr acaricideresistant ticks combined with subexpressed CYP levels in ticks that were resistant to organophosphorous (OP) based acaricides, suggesting that CYP expression is selectively modulated in response to different pesticide formulas [1, 15]. OP acaricides are chemically designed to be metabolized by the CYP enzymatic pathway in order to undergo transformation to a more toxic form [3]. As such, R. microplus tolerance against the OP acaricides Coumaphos and Diazinon has been linked to lower expression and/or enzymatic function of CYP. Loss of CYP expression in this case allows the ticks to block the metabolic transformation of the acaricide to a toxic molecule [3, 15]. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) is found in a wide range of organisms, including arthropods; it regulates cholinergic transmission by hydrolyzing the neurotransmitter acetylcholine. Organophosphorous pesticides inactivate AChE by phosphorylation of the enzyme's active site [3]. An altered AChE able to resist phosphorylation of the active site produced by OP pesticides is a common resistance mechanism, used by Musca domestica [16], Culex pipiens [17], Anopheles albimanus [18], and Drosophila sp. [19]. However, an alternate mechanism may be to increase the expression of putative AChE enzymes that are responsible for sequestering and detoxifying the excess OP chemicals [20]. Members of the carboxylesterase (CE) family are closely related to AChE. Like AChE, CE also enhances pesticide detoxification in several pesticide-resistant arthropods via ester-hydrolysis and metabolism of xenobiotic compound [20–22]; both enzymes share a common affinity for several synthetic substrates [23]. Previous reports have implicated CE in the pesticide resistance of a large variety of pest arthropods, including the sheep ectoparasite Lucilia cuprina [24, 25] the human blood-sucking mosquitoes Culex sp., Aedes sp., and Anopheles sp. [21, 25] and the peach-potato aphid Myzuz persicae [26, 27]. Some of the acaricide resistance of the cattle tick is related to detoxification of synthetic pyrethroids mediated by ester bond hydrolysis of the acaricide by a specific CE [28]. Further studies have also shown that this CE gene expression and enzymatic activity are increased in Mexican pyrethroid-resistant strains of R. microplus ticks [29, 30] and a correlation between CE activity and cattle tick acaricide resistance [29-32]; additionally a study on Australian R. microplus ticks suggested that altered AChE and CE may work simultaneously during OP and Pyr acaricide resistance [20].

2. Materials and Methods

All animals used in these experiments were housed at the Isolation Units of the Centro Nacional de Investigación Disciplinaria en Parasitología Veterinaria at Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias (CENID-PAVET, INIFAP). This study was approved by the INI-FAP Animal Experimentation and Ethics Committee and conducted considering ethic and methodological aspects in agreement with the Mexican regulations related to use, housing, and transport of experimental animals NOM-0 NOM-062-ZOO-1999.

2.1. Ticks. An acaricide-susceptible reference strain (Su) was used to establish a baseline for CYP, AChE, and CE gene expression (Table 1). The field-isolated ticks used in this work were obtained from cattle in the southern Mexican state of Michoacán during cattle inspection for the acaricide resistance-monitoring program mandated by the Mexican Federal Government. All the ticks were maintained and analyzed by bioassays at the Mexican FAO Regional Reference Laboratories for Diagnosis and Monitoring of Acaricide Resistance and other parasites of veterinary importance, at the Centro Nacional de Parasitología Animal (CENAPA, SAGARPA), Departamento de Ectoparásitos y Dípteros del Servicio Nacional de Sanidad, Inocuidad y Calidad Agroalimentaria (SENASICA-SAGARPA).

All the ticks used in this study were cultured as described previously [33]; cattle was infested with 2 X 10⁴ 10-15-day-old larvae. The engorged females were collected 21 days after the infestation, groups of ten engorged ticks per strain were then incubated in Petri dishes at 28°C, and 80% relative moisture until oviposition was completed as previously described [2]. The tick egg masses were collected and weighed, and 200 mg of the egg mass was aliquoted into vials until larval eclosion. The larvae were then kept at 28°C in 80% relative moisture.

2.2. Bioassays. Reference Su strain of ticks and tick isolates were assayed for Pyr and OP resistance by the acaricide discriminant doses bioassay [34]. Bioassays were run using acaricides diluted in trichloroethylene at the following concentrations: coumaphos 0.2%, diazinon 0.08%, chlorpyrifos 0.2%, cypermethrin 0.05%, deltamethrin 0.09%, and flumethrin 0.01%. One milliliter of each dilution was applied evenly to a 7 by 9 cm piece of filter paper. The trichloroethylene was allowed to evaporate from the filter paper for two hours. Treated papers were then folded in half and sealed onto slides with clips, thus forming a packet into which approximately one hundred 8-10 days-old larvae could be placed. The top of the packet was then sealed with another clip. The packets were kept at 27°C with 92% relative humidity for 24 h. The packets were then removed from the incubator and opened, live and dead larvae were counted, and the data were processed as survival rates (%) for each tick group and each acaricide concentration (Table 1).

2.3. Relative Quantification of Cytochrome P-450, Carboxylesterases, and Cholinesterases. The total RNA was isolated from each *R. microplus* tick strains and/or isolates following the manufacturer's instructions (RNAqueous®-4PCR kit, Ambion, TX, USA). The RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using random decamer primers as per the

	Organophosphates			Pyrethroids		
Tick Sample	Chlorpyrifos	Coumaphos	Diazinon	Cypermethrin	Deltamethrin	Flumethrin
Su	0	0	0	0	0	0
Isolate 32	0	0	14.47	73.52	68.12	72.09
Isolate 38	0	0	8.58	54.5	50	47.73
Isolate 39	0	0	0	84.73	82.99	85.63
Isolate 42	0	0	0	14.56	12.35	12.98
Isolate 45	0	0	32.56	53.02	61.83	61.82

TABLE 1: Survival rates (%) of susceptible reference strain and field isolates determined by acaricide bioassay.

manufacturer's instructions (High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit, Applied Biosystems).

The relative gene expression of CYP, CE, and AChE was quantified by real-time PCR as previously described (Cossio-Bayugar et al. 2009), using a fluorogenic 5' nuclease assay (TaqMan® system) and an ABI Prism 7300 Sequence Detector (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The TaqMan probes were designed based on reported DNA sequences for CE (GenBank accession: AF182283; AF286096, DQ533868), AChE (GenBank accession: AJ278345, AJ278344, AJ278343, AJ278342, and AF067771), and CYP (GenBank accession: AAD54000). The real-time PCR reactions included 900 nM of each primer, 300 nM of probe, and 1X TaqMan Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The real-time PCR cycling program consisted of one cycle at 50°C for 2 min and one cycle at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The AChE, CE, and CYP gene-specific PCR primers and the TaqMan probes labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM)/MGB were designed as follows: AChE forward primer AChEFor 5'- GGCACTGAA-ATGGATCCAGGAA-3', AChE reverse primer AChERev 5'- CGTGACTTCACCAGGGTTACC -3' and AChE Taq-Man probe 5'-CCAAATGCAGCAATGTT-3'; CE forward primer CEFor 3'-CGACGCATTCCTTCCAAAGATG-5', CE reverse primer CERev 3'- TCGACGGACGCGAAGAAG-5' and CE TaqMan probe -CACTTGTAGCCATGAATC-5'; CYP forward primer CYPF 5'- CAAGCTGGTTGCTCT-ACATTATCGA -3', CYP reverse primer CYPR 5'- TTG-GCCTCAGGACGAGTTC -3' and CYP TaqMan probe 5'-CCATGACATGAATCTTG -3'. The R. microplus eukaryotic 18S rRNA (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) probe served as an endogenous control and was labeled with MGB®. To verify reproducibility, the real-time PCR analysis, including the 18S rRNA endogenous control, was independently performed twice with four replicates per experiment (n=8).

The RNA transcript levels for each strain and field isolates were determined by the 7300 SDS Software v1.2.2 (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) using the comparative Ct method and the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method used to calculate the fold differences in CE, AchE, and CYP between samples; the Su expression levels were used as calibrator and named as relative expression units (REU), as described in the ABI Prism 7300 Sequence Detector real-time thermal cycler manufacturer's manual (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), available at http://www3 .appliedbiosystems.com/cms/groups/mcb_support/documents/generaldocuments/cms_042380.pdf.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. To find field isolates exhibiting significant expression levels, means and dispersion measurements of the relative gene expression of CE, AChE, and CYP from tick field isolates were compared against Su reference strain using ANOVA. Data set found to have significant differences of relative expression were analyzed by Tukey's HSD post-ANOVA test. All statistical tests were done using Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software Inc. CA, USA). Linear regression analysis was carried out using Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software Inc. CA, USA).

3. Results

The acaricide-susceptible reference strain exhibited a 0% survival rate when exposed to all the acaricides used in this study (Table 1).

Field isolates were resistant to all the Pyr acaricides used in this study, and most of the isolates were susceptible to the OP acaricides, with the exception of isolates 32, 38, and 45, which exhibited moderate resistance to Diazinon (Table 1). A summary of the survival rate of every isolate in response to each acaricide formula during the bioassays is displayed on Table 1.

The CYP, CE, and AChE gene expression levels of the Su reference strain were determined as to 1 relative expression unit (REU). All Pyr acaricide-resistant ticks exhibited a statistically significant overexpression of CYP, when compared against Su ranging from 1.69 to 2.49 REUs (Figure 1). Isolate number 45 exhibited double resistance, a statistically significant overexpression of CE of 1.62 REUs. CE subexpression was observed in the remaining tick isolates. AChE gene presented statistically significant subexpression in all tick isolates. The REUs for each isolate and each enzyme have been graphically represented in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1: Cytochrome P-450 (CYP), carboxylesterase (CE), and acetylcholinesterase (AChE) gene expression by real-time PCR in acaricide-susceptible ticks and field isolates. The black bars represent the CYP data, the gray bars represent the CE data, and the clear bars represent the AChE data. The data are represented as relative expression units (REU) compared to the susceptible reference strain. The means and SD are shown. * Statistically significant difference (P<0.01) compared to Su reference strain. ** Statistically significant difference difference (P<0.05) compared to Su reference strain.



FIGURE 2: Linear regression analysis of acaricide-susceptible ticks and field isolates. The X axis represents the CYP expression in REUs and the Y axis represents the tick survival rate under different Pyr acaricide formulations; R of 0.85 (P<0.05).

The regression analysis of CYP REUs expression against the survival rate of Su reference strain field tick isolates exposed to Pyr acaricides showed a correlation coefficient (R) of 0.85 (P<0.05) (Figure 2).

4. Discussion

The ability of arthropods to exhibit resistance against pesticides is due to underlying genetic mechanisms that may involve the expression of one or several XMEs genes [35], which allow for increased enzymatic detoxification [32]. In this study, we analyzed the gene expression levels of CE related to detoxification of synthetic pyrethroids, AChE, and CYP from tick isolates with differing levels of acaricide resistance. Our results show that the expression patterns of these genes correlate with the toxicological profiles of the ticks, which strongly suggest a relationship between the XMEs gene expression and the acaricide resistance levels (Table 1, Figure 1). The high CYP expression levels corresponded with increased levels of resistance to all pyrethroid formulations; however, CYP overexpression did not promote resistance to other acaricides (Figure 1, Table 1). These results are consistent with the notion that CYP-mediated detoxification confers Pyr resistance by neutralizing Pyr acaricides [6, 12]. Accordingly, Pyr acaricide-resistant ticks showed a consistent enzyme expression profile with statistically significant overexpression of CYP for all isolates (P<0.01-0.05). A linear regression analysis of CYP expression against the Pyr acaricide survival rate of field-isolated ticks revealed that Pyr resistance was proportional to CYP expression, suggesting that monooxygenase XMEs may be neutralizing the Pyr acaricides, as occurs in the cattle tick and other Pyr-resistant pest arthropods [13, 14]. Our experimental data reinforces the role of CYP in the phenomenon of cattle tick acaricide resistance and they suggest that increased CYP expression leads to Pyrresistant ticks. During this study we measured R. microplus expression levels of CE, which previous research had related to detoxification of synthetic pyrethroids and increased gene expression in Mexican pyrethroid-resistant strains of R. microplus ticks [29]. Our study on pyrethroid-resistant field isolates showed that only isolate 45 exhibited an increased CE and CYP gene expression, and toxicological bioassays demonstrated that isolate 45 was resistant to the OP Diazinon and all Pyr acaricides, suggesting a link between CE and Pyr and multiple acaricide resistance, corroborating previous studies [30, 31]. Most notably, all isolates showed significantly increased CYP expression (Figure 1) strongly suggesting that the principal Pyr resistance mechanism is mediated by cytochrome oxidases. AChE gene showed a statistically significant subexpression in all the analyzed isolates (Figure 1), reduced AChE expression may be explained by a lack of relationship between this enzyme and pyrethroid resistance on the field isolates analyzed; this result is consistent with other models of pesticide-resistant arthropods which show a strong correlation between high levels of CYP and the pesticide resistance phenomenon.

Our results also suggest that a measurement of CYP and CE gene expression by real-time PCR may be able to predict Pyr acaricide resistance. This hypothesis requires validation in field surveys and acaricide resistance field monitoring in order to establish a definitive trend and biochemical relationship between acaricide resistance and monooxygenase expression levels in the cattle tick. Further studies are needed to establish a diagnostic predictive parameter that will be useful for the management of pesticide resistance.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias, Project PRECI6216970P, and by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología Project PDCNP248049.

References

- R. Cossio-Bayúgar, E. Miranda-Miranda, A. Ortiz-Nájera, S. Neri-Orantes, and F. Olvera, "a) Cytochrome P-450 monoxygenase gene expression supports multifactorial origin for acaricide resistance in Rhipicephalus microplus," *Journal of Parasitology Research*, vol. 3, pp. 59–66, 2008.
- [2] R. Cossió-Bayúgar, E. Miranda-Miranda, M. Fernández-Rubalcaba, V. Narvaéz Padilla, and E. Reynaud, "Adrenergic ligands that block oviposition in the cattle tick Rhipicephalus microplus affect ovary contraction," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 5, Article ID 15109, 2015.
- [3] A. Parkinson, "Biotransformation of xenobiotics," in *Cassarett and Doulls Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons*, C. D. Klaassen, Ed., pp. 113–196, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 1996.
- [4] S. Scarcella, E. Miranda-Miranda, R. Cossío-Bayúgar, L. Ceballos, V. Fernandez, and H. Solana, "Increase of carboxylesterase activity in Fasciola hepatica recovered from triclabendazole treated sheep," *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, vol. 185, no. 2, pp. 151–153, 2012.
- [5] S. Kasai, "Role of cytochrome P450 in mechanism of pyrethroid resistance," *Journal of Pesticide Science*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 220-221, 2004.
- [6] J. G. Scott, "Cytochromes P450 and insecticide resistance," *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 757– 777, 1999.
- [7] J. David, H. M. Ismail, A. Chandor-Proust, and M. J. Paine, "Role of cytochrome P450s in insecticide resistance: impact on the control of mosquito-borne diseases and use of insecticides on Earth," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 368, no. 1612, pp. 20120429-20120429, 2013.
- [8] R. F. Djouaka, A. A. Bakare, O. N. Coulibaly et al., "Expression of the cytochrome P450s, CYP6P3 and CYP6M2 are significantly elevated in multiple pyrethroid resistant populations of Anopheles gambiae s.s. from Southern Benin and Nigeria," *BMC Genomics*, vol. 9, article no. 538, 2008.
- [9] G. Guo, Y. Geng, D. Huang, C. Xue, and R. Zhang, "Level of CYP4G19 Expression Is Associated with Pyrethroid Resistance in," *Journal of Parasitology Research*, vol. 2010, pp. 1–7, 2010.
- [10] S. Chen, Y. Yang, and Y. Wu, "Correlation between fenvalerate resistance and cytochrome P450-mediated O-demethylation activity in Helicoverpa armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)," *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 943–946, 2005.
- [11] F. Zhu, R. Parthasarathy, H. Bai et al., "A brain-specific cytochrome P450 responsible for the majority of deltamethrin resistance in the QTC279 strain of Tribolium castaneum," *Proceedings of the National Acadamy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 19, pp. 8557–8562, 2010.
- [12] S. Kasai and J. G. Scott, "Overexpression of cytochrome P450 CYP6D1 is associated with monooxygenase-mediated pyrethroid resistance in house flies from Georgia," *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 34–41, 2000.

- [13] J. G. Scott and T. Tomita, "Characterization of a cytochrome P450 responsible for pyrethroid resistance in the house fly," *Journal of Pesticide Science*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 244-245, 1995.
- [14] R. J. Miller, R. B. Davey, and J. E. George, "Characterization of pyrethroid resistance and susceptibility to coumaphos in Mexican Boophilus microplus (Acari: Ixodidae)," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 533–538, 1999.
- [15] R. Cossío-Bayúgar, E. Miranda-Miranda, A. Ortiz-Nájera, and S. Neri-Orantes, "b) Boophilus microplus pyrethroid resistance associated to increased levels of monooxygenase enzymatic activity in field isolated Mexican tick," *The Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 8, pp. 204–409, 2008.
- [16] C.-S. Kim, W.-T. Kim, K.-S. Boo, and S.-I. Kim, "Cloning, mutagenesis, and expression of the acetylcholinesterase gene from a strain of Musca domestica; the change from a drugresistant to a sensitive enzyme," *Molecules and Cells*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 208–215, 2003.
- [17] M. Weill, P. Fort, A. Berthomieu, M. P. Dubois, N. Pasteur, and M. Raymond, "A novel acetylcholinesterase gene in mosquitoes codes for the insecticide target and is non-homologous to the ace gene in Drosophila," *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Science*, vol. 269, no. 1504, pp. 2007–2016, 2002.
- [18] F. A. Dzul, R. P. Penilla Navarro, and A. D. Rodríguez, "Susceptibility and insecticide resistance mechanisms in Anopheles albimanus from the southern Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico," *Salud Pública de México*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 302–311, 2007.
- [19] Y. Boublik, P. Saint-Aguet, A. Lougarre et al., "Acetylcholinesterase engineering for detection of insecticide residues," *Protein Engineering, Design and Selection*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 43– 50, 2002.
- [20] G. D. Baxter and S. C. Barker, "Analysis of the sequence and expression of a second putative acetylcholinesterase cDNA from organophosphate-susceptible and organophosphateresistant cattle ticks," *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 815–820, 2002.
- [21] J. Hemingway and S. H. P. P. Karunaratne, "Mosquito carboxylesterases: A review of the molecular biology and biochemistry of a major insecticide resistance mechanism," *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 1998.
- [22] S. H. P. P. Karunaratne and J. Hemingway, "Malathion resistance and prevalence of the malathion carboxylesterase mechanism in populations of mosquito vectors of disease in Sri Lanka," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 79, no. 11, pp. 1060–1064, 2001.
- [23] G. P. Manchenko, Handbook of detection of enzymes on electrophoretic gels, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2003.
- [24] C. J. Hartley, R. D. Newcomb, R. J. Russell et al., "Amplification of DNA from preserved specimens shows blowflies were preadapted for the rapid evolution of insecticide resistance," *Proceedings of the National Acadamy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 103, no. 23, pp. 8757–8762, 2006.
- [25] R. D. Newcomb, P. M. Campbell, D. L. Ollis, E. Cheah, R. J. Russell, and J. G. Oakeshott, "A single amino acid substitution converts a carboxylesterase to an organophosphorus hydrolase and confers insecticide resistance on a blowfly," *Proceedings of the National Acadamy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 94, no. 14, pp. 7464–7468, 1997.
- [26] L. M. Field and A. L. Devonshire, "Evidence that the E4 and FE4 esterase genes responsible for insecticide resistance in the aphid Myzus persicae (Sulzer) are part of a gene family," *Biochemical Journal*, vol. 330, no. 1, pp. 169–173, 1998.

- [27] L. M. Field, R. L. Blackman, C. Tyler-Smith, and A. L. Devonshire, "Relationship between amount of esterase and gene copy number in insecticide-resistant Myzus persicae (Sulzer)," *Biochemical Journal*, vol. 339, no. 3, pp. 737–742, 1999.
- [28] J. De Jersey, J. Nolan, P. A. Davey, and P. W. Riddles, "Separation and characterization of the pyrethroid-hydrolyzing esterases of the cattle tick, Boophilus microplus," *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 349–357, 1985.
- [29] R. C. Jamroz, F. D. Guerrero, J. H. Pruett, D. D. Oehler, and R. J. Miller, "Molecular and biochemical survey of acaricide resistance mechanisms in larvae from Mexican strains of the southern cattle tick, Boophilus microplus," *Journal of Insect Physiology*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 685–695, 2000.
- [30] R. Hernandez, H. He, A. C. Chen et al., "Identification of a point mutation in an esterase gene in different populations of the southern cattle tick, Boophilus microplus," *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 969–977, 2000.
- [31] R. Cossío-Bayúgar, E. Miranda-Miranda, D. Portilla-Salgado, and J. Osorio-Miranda, "Quantitative PCR detection of cholinesterase and carboxylesterase expression levels in acaricide resistant Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus," *Journal of Entomology*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 117–123, 2009.
- [32] N. K. Singh and S. S. Rath, "Esterase mediated resistance against synthetic pyrethroids in field populations of *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) microplus (Acari: Ixodidae) in Punjab districts of India," Veterinary Parasitology, vol. 204, no. 3-4, pp. 330–338, 2014.
- [33] R. Cossío-Bayúgar, E. Miranda-Miranda, V. Narváez Padilla, F. Olvera-Valencia, and E. Reynaud, "Perturbation of tyraminergic/octopaminergic function inhibits oviposition in the cattle tick Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus," *Journal of Insect Physiology*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 628–633, 2012.
- [34] B. F. Stone and K. P. Haydock, "A method for measuring the acaricide-susceptibility of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* (Can.)," *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 563–657, 1962.
- [35] R. P. Penilla, A. D. Rodríguez, J. Hemingway, A. Trejo, A. D. López, and M. H. Rodríguez, "Cytochrome P450-based resistance mechanism and pyrethroid resistance in the field Anopheles albimanus resistance management trial," *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, vol. 89, no. 2, pp. 111–117, 2007.