Open Access

# Expressional Analysis of GFP-Tagged Cells in an *In Vivo* Mouse Model of Giant Cell Tumor of Bone

S. Singh, M. Singh, I. Mak and M. Ghert<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Surgery, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

**Abstract:** Giant cell tumor of bone in a neoplastic stromal cell which survives for multiple passages in primary cell culture with a stable phenotype. In the pathological environment of GCT, the neoplastic nature of the mesenchymal stromal component drives local hematopoietic precursors to undergo fusion and form multinucleated osteoclast like giant cells. There is currently very limited knowledge about the pathogenesis of GCT due to the lack of suitable *in vivo* models for this tumor. Here we report stable gene transfer of Green fluorescence protein (GFP) in GCT stromal cells. In the present study, we have used GCT stromal cells that stably express enhanced green fluorescence protein (GFP) that are used in a new *in vivo* culture model. Our results show the utility of the GFP tagged cell lines that stably express GFP signals up to 52 weeks of continuous growth. The *in vivo* model described herein can serve as an excellent system for *in vivo* therapeutic and mechanistic evaluation of existing and novel targets for GCT.

Keywords: Green fluorescence protein, giant cell tumor, transfection.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Giant cell tumor of bone (GCT) is an aggressive tumor of bone characterized by presence of multinucleated giant cells which occasionally metastasis to lungs. It typically affects the epiphyseal regions of the long bones such as the distal femur, the proximal tibia, and the distal radius, prompting the formation of a local osteolytic lesion [1-7]. There is currently no effective curative treatment for GCT other than aggressive surgical resection [8-11].

Metastasis, with identical morphology to the primary tumor, occurs in a few percent of cases, usually to the lung [12-14]. There is a need for clinically relevant *in vivo* models to study the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying metastatic behavior. The major obstacle to the treatment of GCT is the lack of effective pre-and post-operative adjuvant therapy and the lack of an animal model that accurately reflects the pathophysiology of human GCT.

The aim of this study was to develop a clinically relevant *in vivo* model using exogenous gene transfer of GFP into the GCT stromal cell which would allow assessment of *in vivo* response to systemic treatment. Here we report a successfully generated GCT stable cell line and GFP expression in an *in vivo* mouse model of GCT. This current *in vivo* mouse model will be beneficial for the clinical prospective to characterize the systemic therapeutic targets in GCT and to understand the pathobiology of GCT.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### **Primary Cell Line Culture and Transfection**

GCT cell culture and transfection assay were performed as described in our previous study [15]. Briefly, GCT stromal primary cells were obtained from fresh tissue of GCT patient following Ethics Board approval and patient consent. The GCT tissue was processed and cell suspension was cultured in DMEM containing glutamine and supplemented 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin. After several successive passages, the multinucleated giant cells were eliminated from the culture and only mesen-chymal stromal cells remains in the culture. Cells transfection was carried out in e-GFP vector using electroporation method [15].

#### **PCR Detection**

Total RNA extraction was performed by TRIZOL Reagent (Sigma) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The resuspended RNA samples were treated with ribonuclease A (RNase A)-free DNaseI for 1h at 37°C to remove residual genomic DNA. One microgram of total RNA was incubated with 2 ml primer cocktail at 68°C and subjected to reverse transcription (RT) using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) for cDNA synthesis. An aliquot of 5 µl of the reverse transcription reaction was used in a 50-µl PCR reaction. GFP signals were detected by PCR analysis using GFP (F). AAGTTCATCTGCACCACCG and GFP (R) TCCTTGAAGAAGATGGTGCG primers, respectively. The PCR conditions were 94°C denaturation for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 40 sec, and 72°C for 50 sec. The RT-PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose gel. A 300-bp band represented the eGFP mRNA, and a 250-bp band indicated  $\beta$ -actin as internal control.

#### **Protein Extraction and Western Blot**

Cytoplasmic fractions were isolated from GFPtransfected cells by scraping after 24 h of incubation and then centrifuged for 5 min. The cells were lysed with NP-40 containing lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl2, 0.5% NP-40) to disrupt the cell membrane and

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Surgery, McMaster University, 699 Concession Street, Hamilton, ON, L8V 5C2, Canada; Tel: +1 905 387 9495, Ext. 64089; Fax: +1 905 381-3031; E-mail: michelle.ghert@jcc.hhsc.ca

then the cell lysate was centrifuged at  $500 \times g$  for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant (cytoplasmic fraction) was removed. Proteins were denatured by boiling in sample buffer, separated on 12% SDSPAGE and then transferred onto the PVDF membrane (Immobilon TM-PSQ, Millipore) and blocked overnight in 5% non-fat powdered milk in TBST (10 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5,100 mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) tween-20). Mouse monoclonal anti-GFP antibody (1:1000 diluted in TBST) (Abcam) was used for protein detection. Peroxidase conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:5,000 diluted in TBST) (Sigma, Missouri, USA) was used as a secondary antibody.

#### In Vivo GFP Expression

Suspensions were made of one of the GFP-transfected GCT cells prior to injection in phosphate-buffered saline. Injections were prepared using 1cc syringes fitted with 27-ga needle and drawing up 0.01 mL of injectate, corresponding to a total of  $10^5$ - $10^6$  cells per injection. 6-7 week old female Balb/c nu/nu mice were anaesthetized using gaseous isoflurane and injected into the sub-periosteum of the right tibia. The left tibia was injected with PBS to act as an internal negative control. Mice were monitored weekly for the presence of tumors, and then 2-3 times weekly when tumor growth was noticed. The mice were sacrificed at day 8 and 35 post-injection and stored in formalin. Both lower limbs and any tumours were then removed for further analysis. Both limbs were decalcified for two weeks (TBD-2 Decalcifier, Thermo Scientific) and processed (Shandon Citadel 2000 Tissue Processor). Decalcified limbs were embedded in paraffin wax, cross-sectioned and mounted to microscope slides.

#### Immunofluorescence Assays

Immunofluoresence assays were conducted according to standard protocols used by singh *et al.* 2010. Briefly, culture chamber slides containing the GFP-transfected GCT cells as well as slides of the sectioned tissues were first fixed in paraformaldehyde and the cells were permeabilized with Triton X-100. After blocking slides with BSA, these slides were incubated for 1h at 37°C with the rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP primary antibody (1:250 dilutions; Abcam, Cambridge, MA). Slides were further incubated in secondary antibody Texas Red conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1000 dilutions; Invitrogen) for 1h at room temperature. The slides were washed and incubated with DAPI for three minutes and then mounted using glycerol.

#### RESULTS

#### Transfection Efficiency of eGFP in GCT Stromal Cells

GCT stromal cells were transfected with eGFP-C1 construct using an electroporation method. To determine the cellular localization of green fluorescence protein, transfected cells were counted under fluorescence microscopy. GFP expressions were detected in successfully transfected GCT stromal cells cytoplasm and in the nucleus (Fig. 1a). The expression of GFP in GCT stromal cells was validated by semi-quantitative PCR using GFP specific primers and Western blot analysis. We observed GFP expression in transfected cells, but not in untransfected GCT stromal cells by semi-quatitative PCR (Fig. 1b) and confirmed GFP expression in Western blot analysis using an anti-GFP antibody (Fig. 1c).



Fig. (1). Subcellular localization of GFP signals in GCT transfected stromal cells. GFP signals were detected by immune-fluorescence. (b) Semi-quantitative PCR: GFP expression in transfected GCT stromal cells was detected using GFP specific primers and GAPDH was used as an internal control. UT=untrasnfected cells and GCTT= GCT transfected cells. (c) These results are verified at the protein level by western blot analysis.

## GFP tagged GCT stable cells 10X after 52 weeks



### GFP tagged GCT stable cells 40X after 52 weeks



Fig. (2). Detection of GFP signals after 52 weeks. Stable expression of GFP was confirmed in cells cultured *in vitro* up to 52 weeks of continuous growth. GFP expression was detected under fluorescence microscopy. DAPI (blue) staining indicated the nuclei.

#### **Generation of Stable GCT Stromal Cells**

A highly invasive and spontaneously metastatic GCT human cell line was transfected with the enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP) as previously described and treated with cell media containing  $1\mu$ g/ml G418 (Invitrogen). Positive GFP transfected cells were selected under fluorescence microscope, transferred and subcultured with G418 media. Stable expression of GFP in GCT stromal cells was confirmed in cells cultured *in vitro* up to 52 weeks of continuous growth. These results show the *in vitro* utility of the GCT stromal cell lines that stably express high levels of GFP (Fig. 2).

# Expressional Analysis of GFP Tagged GCT Stromal Cells *In Vivo*

Balb/c nu/nu mice 6-7 weeks old female were used for this study and 100 $\mu$ l of injectate corresponding to  $0.5 \times 10^6$ - $1.5 \times 10^6$  GFP-transfected GCT cells was injected into the sub-periosteum of the right tibia. The left tibia was injected with PBS to act as an internal control. Mice were monitored weekly for 5 weeks. The mice were sacrificed at day 8 or day 35 post-injection and stored in formalin. Both lower limbs were then removed for further analysis. We observed GFP expression in the injected limbs on days 8 and 35 (Fig. **3**).

#### DISCUSSION

Tumor progression and invasion is a complex biological process that involves remodeling of stromal tissue by invading cells. Giant cell tumors are normally benign with unpredictable behavior [16,17]. Although classified as a benign tumor of bone, GCT has been reported to metastasize to the lungs up to 5% of cases and in rare instances (1-3%) can be transformed to the malignant sarcoma phenotype with equal disease outcome [17-19]. The pathobiology of GCT is poorly elucidated due to lack of a GCT stable cell line and animal model to study tumor growth and physiology. We describe the generation and the utilization of a GCT cell line that stably expresses GFP both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

GCT mesenchymal stromal cells became the homogeneous cell type whereas the multinucleated giant



In-vivo GFP expression at an after 8 days

Fig. (3). *In vivo* observation of GFP signals. We observed *in vivo* GFP expression on days 8 and 35 post injection in the subperiosteal region of the tibia of immunocompromised mice. No GFP signals were detected in tissue from the control contralateral leg.

cells were eliminated from culture and maintained the phenotype up to the tenth passage were used for experiments [19, 20]. Here, we are describing gene transfer and stable cells generation in patient-derived primary GCT stromal cells. We were able to generate a stable GFP-tagged GCT cell line by an electroporation method. We detected GFP signals using fluorescence microscopy and western blot analysis at 52 weeks post transfection. Furthermore, we used this stable cell line in an *in vivo* mouse model and observed GFP signals by immunofluorescence microscopy after 8 and 35 days post-injection.

This model is the first described for GCT in which *in vivo* tracking of tumor viability can be successfully accomplished. Recently, a short-term *in vivo* model of GCT was reported using chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM). This model was used to observe the dissemination of tumor cells along vessels leading away from the tumor [21]. GFP tagged GCT cells in an *in vivo* murine model would be a further step towards generation of a more physiologic *in vivo* model that could identify targets for systemic therapy in GCT.

In conclusion, we report stable gene transfection in GCT stromal cells that can survive and be visualized *in vivo*. The stable GCT stromal cell line described herein can serve as an excellent system for *in vitro* and *in vivo* therapeutic and mechanistic evaluation of molecular approaches to the clinical management of GCT.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank these following funding sources: Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Grant, Hamilton Health Science New Investigator Fund, Hamilton Health Science Early Career Award, Juravinski Cancer Centre Foundation Grant, and McMaster University Surgical Associates Grant. The funders had no role in study design, data collect ion and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Boons HW, Keijser LC, Schreuder HW, Pruszczynski M, Lemmens JA, Veth RP. Oncologic and functional results after treatment of giant cell tumors of bone. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2002; 122: 17-23.
- [2] Ghert M, Alsaleh K, Farrokhyar F, Colterjohn N. Outcomes of an anatomically based approach to metastatic disease of the acetabulum. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2007; 459: 122-7.
- [3] Goldring SR, Schiller AL, Mankin HJ, Dayer JM, Krane SM. Characterization of cells from human giant cell tumors of bone. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1986; (204): 59-75.
- [4] Katz E, Nyska M, Okon E, Zajicek G, Robin G. Growth rate analysis of lung metastases from histologically benign giant cell tumor of bone. Cancer 1987; 59: 1831-6.
- [5] McDonald DJ, Sim FH, McLeod RA, Dahlin DC. Giant-cell tumor of bone. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1986; 68: 235-42.
- [6] Oda Y, Miura H, Tsuneyoshi M, Iwamoto Y. Giant cell tumor of bone: oncological and functional results of long-term follow-up. Jpn J Clin Oncol 1998; 28: 323-8.
- [7] Turcotte RE, Ferrone M, Isler MH, Wong C. Outcomes in patients with popliteal sarcomas. Can J Surg 2009; 52: 51-5.
- [8] Bini SA, Gill K, Johnston JO. Giant cell tumor of bone. Curettage and cement reconstruction. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1995; 245-50.
- [9] Blackley HR, Wunder JS, Davis AM, White LM, Kandel R, Bell RS. Treatment of giant-cell tumors of long bones with curettage and bone-grafting. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1999; 81: 811-20.
- [10] Capanna R, Fabbri N, Bettelli G. Curettage of giant cell tumor of bone. The effect of surgical technique and adjuvants on local recurrence rate. Chir Organi Mov 1990; 75: 206.

Received: August 12, 2012

Revised: March 12, 2013

Accepted: March 12, 2013

- [11] Ghert MA, Rizzo M, Harrelson JM, Scully SP. Giant-cell tumor of the appendicular skeleton. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2002; (400): 201-10.
- [12] Dominkus M, Ruggieri P, Bertoni F, et al. Histologically verified lung metastases in benign giant cell tumours--14 cases from a single institution. Int Orthop 2006; 30: 499-504.
- [13] Dominkus M, Darwish E, Funovics P. Reconstruction of the pelvis after resection of malignant bone tumours in children and adolescents. Recent Results Cancer Res 2009; 179: 85-111.
- [14] Ghert MA, Simunovic N, Cowan RW, Colterjohn N, Singh G. Properties of the stromal cell in giant cell tumor of bone. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2007; 459: 8-13.
- [15] Singh S, Mak I, Power P, Cunningham M, Singh G, Ghert M. Gene transfection in primary stem-like cells of giant cell tumor of bone. Stem Cells and Cloning: Adv Appl 2010; 3: 129-34
- [16] Werner M. Giant cell tumour of bone: morphological, biological and histogenetical aspects. Int Orthop 2006; 30: 484-9.
- [17] Dickson BC, Li SQ, Wunder JS, et al. Giant cell tumor of bone express p63. Mod Pathol 2008; 21: 369-75.
- [18] Thomas DM, Skubitz KM. Giant cell tumour of bone. Curr Opin Oncol 2009; 21: 338-44.
- [19] Grigolo B, Roseti L, Neri S, *et al.* Human articular chondrocytes immortalized by HPV-16 E6 and E7 genes: Maintenance of differentiated phenotype under defined culture conditions. Osteoarthr Cartil 2002; 10: 879-89.
- [20] Kashiwagi Y, Nishitsuka K, Namba H, Kamiryo M, Takamura H, Yamashita H. Cloning and characterization of cell strains derived from human corneal stroma and sclera. Jpn J Ophthalmol 2010; 54: 74-80.
- [21] Balke M, Neumann A, Szuhai K, et al. A short-term in vivo model for giant cell tumor of bone. BMC Cancer 2011; 11: 241.

© Singh et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.