Continuum of care in maternal, newborn and child health in Indonesia: Evidence from the Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey

Journal of Public Health Research 2022, Vol. 11(3), 1–9 © The Author(s) 2022 DOI: 10.1177/22799036221127619 journals.sagepub.com/home/phj



Helen Andriani¹, Salma Dhiya Rachmadani², Valencia Natasha² and Adila Saptari³

Abstract

Background: Maternal and child health improved considerably due to the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations. However, the rate of preventable death worldwide remains high. Nevertheless, implementation was insufficient in low- and middle-income countries, including Indonesia. The study aims to assess the relationships between continuum of care (CoC) in maternal, neonatal and child health (MNCH) services and levels of care in Indonesia, examine the distribution of utilisation and investigate the associations between CoC in MNCH.

Design and methods: Data were derived from the recent 2017 Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey. Fieldwork took place from July 24 to September 30, 2017. The sample included ever-married women aged 15–49 years who had given birth in the last 5 years prior to the survey. The total sample size is 15,288.

Results: Only 52.6% (*n* = 8038) continued to receive the three levels of MNCH services. Multivariate analysis revealed that variables, such as socioeconomic status, parity and distance from health facilities were statistically significantly associated with the continuum from antenatal to postnatal care (PNC). The use of each level of MNCH care is correlated with the next level of care. Antenatal care is associated with delivery care which is subsequently associated with postnatal care. **Conclusions:** Identifying populations that contribute significantly to overall health inequalities and a well-established follow-up system from pregnancy to PNC may enhance maternal and child health and equity outcomes.

Keywords

Continuum of care, Indonesia, antenatal care, skilled birth attendant, postnatal care

Date received: 2 May 2022; accepted: 2 September 2022

Introduction

Maternal and child health have considerably improved as a result of the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations. However, the rate of preventable deaths around the world remains high. New estimates reveal that approximately 290,000 women died due to complications during pregnancy and childbirth in 2017.¹ Despite progress over the past two decades, an estimated 5.3 million children aged under five died mostly from preventable causes in 2018 alone,¹ with nearly 47% dying within the first 28 days of life or during the neonatal period.²

The United Nations has called for the end of preventable deaths among mothers and children by 2030.³ Previous

studies reported that implementing all levels of care from the antenatal to postnatal periods can reduce neonatal mortality by $36\% \pm 67\%$.⁴ Moreover, implementing all levels

¹Department of Health Policy and Administration, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia ²Public Health Science Undergraduate Study Program, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia ³Master of Public Health Program, School of Public Health, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

Corresponding author:

Helen Andriani, Department of Health Policy and Administration, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Indonesia, Kampus Baru UI Depok, Depok 16424, Indonesia. Email: helenandriani@ui.ac.id

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). of care were found to reduce three common causes of neonatal mortality: prematurity related deaths were reduced by 58%, intrapartum related deaths decreased by 79% and infection related deaths decreased by 84%.⁵ In addition, all stages of care can reduce approximately 15% combined risk of neonatal, perinatal and maternal mortality.⁶ Continuum of care (CoC) is an effective approach for improving maternal and child wellbeing. Nevertheless, its implementation in low and lower–middle-income countries² was insufficient. Indonesia is one of such countries, where the lowest level of primary care is communitybased, located in villages and provides primary health and preventive services.⁷

The study focuses on the time of pregnancy, childbirth and early years of newborn life. The programmes are antenatal care (ANC) during pregnancy, the presence of a skilled birth attendant (SBA) during childbirth and postnatal care (PNC) for mothers and newborns. Therefore, recognising the usage behaviour of vital services in the MNCH care system is important not only for reducing health inequity but also for designing and implementing better strategies to improve the overall public health perspective. As advocated by the WHO, pregnant women should have at least four ANC visits during pregnancy.⁸ SBAs (i.e. qualified and experienced practitioners, such as physicians, nurses and midwives) are well armed with the medicines and supplies required for effective prevention, diagnosis and referral in the case of obstetrical emergencies.9 Women will continue to care for themselves and their newborns after childbirth, as the postpartum period is a critical step in avoiding complications that could lead to maternal or newborn mortality.¹⁰ Many studies explored the various factors influencing the use of individual maternal health services, particularly for ANC, SBA and PNC separately.^{11–13} Nonetheless, the study is special in nature because it focuses on diverse trends in the utilisation of MNCH services. This study aims to examine the relationships within the ANC, SBA, PNC and the distribution of the utilisation of MNCH services in Indonesia.

Design and methods

Data were derived from the recent Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS), a nationally representative, large-scale and repeated cross-sectional survey conducted in 2017. Fieldwork took place from July 24 to September 30, 2017. The IDHS sample design utilises two-stage probability sampling within the selected enumeration areas and households. Information on the selected indicators of maternal and child health was taken from 49,627 ever-married women aged 15–49 years who had given birth in the last 5 years prior to the survey and administered a standard self-reported questionnaire.¹⁴ The resulting sample size was 15,288. The reason for selecting women who gave birth in the last 5 years prior to the survey was to prevent bias in the memory recall of mothers. The study outcome variables refer to the ANC, SBA and PNC of the MNCH systems. The independent variables are determinants relevant to the individual characteristics of reproductive status, such as age of respondents, age at first birth, level of education, employment status, parity and autonomy in healthcare decision making. Data on family factors were measured through the level of education and employment status of spouses, wealth quintiles and mass media consumption (i.e. frequency of reading newspapers, watching television or listening to the radio). Finally, the community context consists of the form of geographical division of respondents into urban and rural areas and distance from health facilities.

Data were analysed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies and percentages were used to report the results of MNCH services at ANC, SBA and PNC. Simple bivariate and multivariate analyses of logistic regression were used to define the relationship between factors at the three levels, odds ratio (OR), adjusted odds ratio (aOR), 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and *p*-values with a level of significance at $p \le 0.05$.

Respondents read a written informed consent statement before each interview is conducted. Procedures and survey protocols are reviewed and approved by the Inner City Fund International Inc., Fairfax, VA, USA (ICF) Institutional Review Board (IRB). After authorisation to use the dataset was obtained from the DHS, additional ethical review approval was obtained from the IRB in Indonesia (575/ UN2.F10.D11/PPM.00.02/2020), at Universitas Indonesia.

Results

Table 1 provides the descriptive measures of CoC coverage among respondents of reproductive age. ANC4+ coverage, SBA utilisation and PNC coverage are 88.4%, 83.4% and 66.9%, respectively. Despite the high ANC4+ coverage, the number of mothers who had ANC4+ and received assistance from an SBA at delivery dropped to 76%, followed by a dramatic decrease to 52.6% of mothers who received continued care at all three levels (i.e. ANC4+, SBA and PNC). Data indicate that the highest discontinuation rate occurred at the PNC stage.

Table 2 indicates that in comparison with <4 ANC visits, 51.9% of mothers aged 25–34 years old, who had their first birth at age 20–29 years (66.5%) or >29 years (6.5%), who achieved secondary (57.4%) or higher education (18.3%), had husbands with secondary (57.9%) or higher (15.7%) education, are employed (54.1%), has an employed husband (99.3%), who experienced less than two pregnancies (67.8%), has autonomy in health decision making (44.2%), were exposed to two (28%) or more (27.7%) mass media platforms, has a high household income (Q3: 19.5%; Q4: 19.2%; Q5: 18.4%), had no issue with distance from health facilities (89.3%) and who lives in urban areas (51.4%) were more likely to have complete four ANC visits during pregnancy. Similar patterns and

Table 1. Measures to describe CoC for MNCH services.

Characteristics	IDHS 2017				
	n = 15,288				
	n	%			
CoC for MNCH					
Pregnancy level: Antenatal care – at least four visits (ANC4+)					
Four visits or more	13,510	88.4			
Less than four visits	1778	11.6			
Delivery level: SBA at delivery					
Yes	12,740	83.4			
No	2543	16.6			
Postpartum level: PNC for mothers and newborn					
Yes	10,232	66.9			
No	5056	33.1			
Continuum of care					
Continued care at pregnancy					
Yes, received ANC4+	13,510	88.4			
None received	1778	11.6			
Continued care at delivery					
Yes, received ANC4+ and SBA	11,632	76.1			
None received	3651	23.9			
Continued care postpartum					
Continued care at three levels (ANC4+, SBA and PNC)	8038	52.6			
Discontinued care	7245	47.4			

significance results were also observed in the non-SBA-

assisted delivery group and those who did not seek PNC.

Table 3 demonstrates that in comparison with the group with discontinued care at postpartum, 52.8% of mothers aged 25-34 years old, had their first birth at age 20-29 years (69.1%), achieved secondary (59%) or higher education (20.7%), had husbands with secondary (59.7%) or higher (17.8%) education, are employed (55.6%), experienced less than two pregnancies (70%), has autonomy in healthcare decision making (45.1%), exposed to two (29.7%) or more (29.6%) mass media platforms, has a high household income (Q3: 21.2%; Q4: 21%; Q5: 20.7%), without issues regarding distance from health facilities (91%) and live in urban areas (54.8%) were more likely to complete PNC.

Table 4 illustrates that mothers aged 25–34 years (AOR 1.12; 95% CI: 1.01–1.24) and over 34 years (AOR 1.28; 95% CI: 1.12-1.45), who first gave birth at 20-29 years old (AOR 1.22; 95% CI: 1.12-1.32), has completed secondary (AOR 2.21; 95% CI: 1.49-3.26) or higher (AOR 2.07; 95% CI: 1.38-3.10) education, are employed (AOR 1.14; 95% CI: 1.07-1.23), experienced 1-2 pregnancies (AOR 2.11; 95% CI: 1.78–2.51) or 3–4 pregnancies (AOR 1.65; 95% CI: 1.4-1.94), has autonomy in decision making (AOR 1.08; 95% CI: 1.01-1.15), exposed to higher mass media consumption (AOR 1.77 95% CI: 1.43-2.21), higher household income (AOR 2.07; 95% CI: 1.81–2.36) and had no issues with distance from health facilities (AOR 1.36; 95% CI: 1.22-1.52) were more likely to complete all stages of continued care.

Discussion

CoC framework is essentially the most effective strategy for improving maternal and neonatal health,¹⁵ by focusing on time and place (levels of care) that determine the health, MNCH service utilisation and overall healthcare-seeking behaviour by pregnant women. Overall, the CoC is completed along a path from pregnancy to childbirth to postpartum, where each stage contributes to bettering the health of expectant mothers and their babies. The CoC must be improved if maternal and neonatal mortality is to be reduced. Besides, the availability of antenatal care and skilled birth attendants will be improved by reducing inequities. The study demonstrated important findings as the uptake of maternal and newborn health services in Indonesia and highlighted several significant predictors of CoC. All expectant women should receive appropriate and high-quality ANC throughout their pregnancies. Regular ANC visits and its related information assist women prepare for childbirth by letting them to detect and cure illnesses during pregnancy as well as access medical facilities for emergency obstetric care. Prenatal care is thought to be an important predictor of later need for skilled assistance during birth. Women who receive high-quality ANC care are more knowledgeable about pregnancy and more likely to grasp the importance of SBA and PNC.

Our findings regarding maternal age and maternal age at first birth are consistent with the previous study in Asia.^{16–18} Respondents aged 30–39 years old are twice

	Pregnancy leve	el (ANC4+)	Þ	Delivery level	(SBA)	Þ	Postpartum l	evel (PNC)	Þ
	\geq 4 visits	<4 visits		Yes	No		Yes	No	
	n (%) (n=13,510)	n (%) (n=1778)	_	n (%) (n=12,740)	n (%) (n=2543)	_	n (%) (n=10,232)	n (%) (n=5056)	_
Age			< 0.001			<0.001			0.013
15-24	2402 (17.8)	396 (22.3)		2246 (17.6)	550 (21.6)		1827 (17.9)	971 (19.2)	
25-34	7005 (51.9)	790 (44.4)		6549 (51.4)	1245 (49.0)		5300 (51.8)	2495 (49.3)	
>34	4103 (30.4)	592 (33.3)		3945 (31.0)	748 (29.4)		3105 (30.3)	1590 (31.4)	
Age at first birth		(< 0.001			<0.001	()		<0.001
<20	3652 (27.0)	755 (42.5)		3291 (25.8)	1115 (43.8)		2830 (27.7)	1577 (31.2)	
20-29	8984 (66.5)	942 (53.0)		8578 (67.3)	1346 (52.9)		6761 (66.1)	3165 (62.6)	
>29	874 (6.5)	81 (4.6)		871 (6.8)	82 (3.2)		641 (6.3)	314 (6.2)	
Education		01 ()	< 0.001	e/	02 (0.2)	< 0.001	· · · (0.0)	··· (•·=)	< 0.001
None	116 (0.9)	88 (4.9)		98 (0.8)	106 (4.2)		99 (1.0)	105 (2.1)	
Primary	3159 (23.4)	683 (38.4)		2709 (21.3)	1131 (44.5)		2467 (24.1)	1375 (27.2)	
Secondary	7759 (57.4)	832 (46.8)		7467 (58.6)	1123 (44.2)		5804 (56.7)	2787 (55.1)	
Higher	2476 (183)	175 (9.8)		2466 (19.4)	183 (7 2)		1862 (18.2)	789 (15.6)	
Husband's level of	21/0 (10.5)	170 (7.0)	<0.001	2100 (17.1)	100 (7.2)	<0.001	1002 (10.2)	/0/(10.0)	<0.001
None	171 (13)	84 (5.0)		142 (11)	113 (4 6)		146 (15)	109 (2.2)	
Primary	3289 (25.0)	603 (36 I)		2818 (22.8)	1074 (43.9)		2497 (25 1)	1395 (28.6)	
Secondary	7613 (57.9)	853 (51.0)		7344 (59.4)	1118 (45.7)		5726 (57.7)	2740 (56.2)	
Higher	2067 (15.7)	131 (7.8)		2057 (16.6)	140 (57)		1563 (157)	635 (13.0)	
	2007 (13.7)	131 (7.0)	0.005	2037 (10.0)	140 (3.7)	0 105	1363 (13.7)	635 (13.0)	<0.001
Not working	4201 (45 9)	070 (AQ E)	0.005	E040 (44 I)	1209 (47 5)	0.165	4599 (45 0)	2400 (49 1)	<0.001
NOL WORKING	7200 (54.1)	878 (4 7.3)		2000 (40.1)	1207 (57.5)		4377 (43.0) E424 (EE 0)	2400 (47.1)	
VVORKINg	7299 (54.1)	877 (50.5)	<0.001	6657 (53.7)	1334 (52.5)	0 1 2 0	5626 (55.0)	2370 (30.9)	0 207
nusband s employment			<0.001			0.130			0.207
Not working	94 (0 7)	26 (1.6)		94 (0.8)	26 (11)		74 (0.8)	46 (1.0)	
Working		1636 (99.4)		12 126 (0.0)	20 (1.1)		9760 (99.2)	4785 (99.0)	
Pority	12,707 (77.5)	1050 (70.4)	<0.001	12,120 (77.2)	2114 (70.7)	<0.001	7700 (77.2)	4705 (77.0)	<0.001
Failty For more	645 (4 9)	275 (15 5)	<0.001	617 (4 9)	202 (11.9)	<0.001	522 (5.1)	200 (7 0)	<0.001
2 4	(0.7) (70)	273 (13.3) E70 (22.1)		2495 (27.4)	303 (11.7) 794 (20.9)		322 (3.1)	370 (7.7)	
3-4 L 2	3677(27.4)	570 (52.1) 933 (52.5)		3405 (27.4)	704 (30.0)		2023 (27.0)	1440 (20.0)	
I-Z	9166 (67.8)	933 (52.5)	<0.001	8638 (67.8)	1456 (57.3)	<0.001	6887 (67.3)	3212 (63.5)	0.011
decision making	7001 (55.0)		<0.001			<0.001			0.011
No	/321 (55.8)	1022 (61.2)		6872 (55.6)	1468 (60.1)		5524 (55.6)	2819 (57.8)	
Yes	5809 (44.2)	648 (38.8)		5479 (44.4)	976 (39.9)		4403 (44.4)	2054 (42.2)	
Mass media consumption			< 0.001			<0.001			<0.001
Not at all	413 (3.1)	181 (10.2)		345 (2.7)	249 (9.8)		270 (2.6)	324 (6.4)	
Any one	5572 (41.2)	805 (45.3)		5105 (40.1)	1271 (50.0)		4190 (40.9)	2187 (43.3)	
Any two	3784 (28.0)	407 (22.9)		3582 (28.1)	608 (23.9)		2926 (28.6)	1265 (25.0)	
All three	3741 (27.7)	385 (21.7)		3708 (29.1)	415 (16.3)		2846 (27.8)	1280 (25.3)	
Household income			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
QI (poorest)	3144 (23.3)	893 (50.2)		2598 (20.4)	1437 (56.5)		2459 (24.0)	1578 (31.2)	
Q2	2661 (19.7)	361 (20.3)		2502 (19.6)	520 (20.4)		2051 (20.0)	971 (19.2)	
Q3	2628 (19.5)	255 (14.3)		2586 (20.3)	296 (11.6)		2015 (19.7)	868 (17.2)	
Q4	2588 (19.2)	166 (9.3)		2566 (20.1)	188 (7.4)		1905 (18.6)	849 (16.8)	
Q5 (richest)	2489 (18.4)	103 (5.8)		2488 (19.5)	102 (4.0)		1802 (17.6)	1802 (15.6)	
Distance from health			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
facilities									
With issues	1441 (10.7)	362 (20.4)		1301 (10.3)	501 (19.7)		1058 (10.3)	745 (14.7)	
Without issues	12,059 (89.3)	1415 (79.6)		,43 (89.7)	2039 (80.3)		9167 (89.7)	4307 (85.3)	
Residence			<0.001			<0.001			0.444
Urban	6938 (51.4)	610 (34.3)		6916 (54.3)	631 (24.8)		5074 (49.6)	2474 (48.9)	
Rural	6572 (48.6)	1168 (65.7)		5824 (45.7)	1912 (75.2)		5158 (50.4)	2582 (51.1)	

Table 2. Association of three levels of CoC for MNCH with various key determinants.

	Care at pregnancy (ANC4+)		Þ	Care at delivery (ANC4+ and SBA)		Þ	Care at postpartum (ANC4+, SBA and PNC)		Þ
	Cont. care ^a Discont. care		– Cont. ca	Cont. care ^b	Discont. care	_	Cont. care ^c	Discont. care	-
	n (%) (n=13,510)	n (%) (n=1778)	-	n (%) (n=11,632)	n (%) (n=3651)	_	n (%) (n=8038)	n (%) (n=7245)	_
Age			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
15–24	2402 (17.8)	396 (22.3)		1996 (17.2)	800 (21.9)		1364 (17.0)	1432 (19.8)	
25–34	7005 (51.9)	790 (44.4)		6058 (52.1)	1736 (47.5)		4241 (52.8)	3553 (49.0)	
>34	4103 (30.4)	592 (33.3)		3578 (30.8)	1115 (30.5)		2433 (30.3)	2260 (31.2)	
Age at first birth		()	<0.001			<0.001	· · · · ·	()	< 0.001
<20	3652 (27.0)	755 (42.5)		2876 (24.7)	1530 (41.9)		1931 (24.0)	2475 (34.2)	
20–29	8984 (66.5)	942 (53.0)		7942 (68.3)	1982 (54.3)		5558 (69.1)	4366 (60.3)	
>29	874 (6.5)	81 (4.6)		814 (7.0)	139 (3.8)		549 (6.8)	404 (5.6)	
Education	(,		<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
None	116 (0.9)	88 (4.9)		72 (0.6)	132 (3.6)		38 (0.5)	166 (2.3)	
Primary	3159 (23.4)	683 (38.4)		2365 (20.3)	1475 (40.4)		1599 (19.9)	2241 (30.9)	
Secondary	7759 (57.4)	832 (46.8)		6866 (59.0)	1724 (47.2)		4740 (59.0)	3850 (53.1)	
Higher	2476 (18.3)	175 (9.8)		2329 (20.0)	320 (8.8)		1661 (20.7)	988 (13.6)	
Husband's level of			<0.001			<0.001			< 0.001
education									
None	171 (1.3)	84 (5.0)		120 (1.1)	135 (3.9)		80 (1.0)	175 (2.5)	
Primary	3289 (25.0)	603 (36.1)		2497 (22.1)	1395 (40.0)		1675 (21.4)	2217 (31.8)	
Secondary	7613 (57.9)	853 (51.0)		6745 (59.6)	1717 (49.3)		4676 (59.7)	3786 (54.3)	
, Higher	2067 (15.7)	131 (7.8)		1960 (17.3)	237 (6.8)		1397 (17.8)	800 (11.5)	
Employment status			0.005			0.001			<0.001
Not working	6201 (45.9)	878 (49.5)		5296 (45.6)	1781 (48.8)		3563 (44.4)	3514 (48.6)	
Working	7299 (54.1)	897 (50.5)		6326 (54.4)	1867 (51.2)		4470 (55.6)	3723 (51.4)	
Husband's employment	· · · ·	()	<0.001	()	(<i>'</i>	0.007	()	()	0.0230
status									
Not working	94 (0.7)	26 (1.6)		79 (0.7)	41 (1.2)		51 (0.7)	69 (1.0)	
Working	12,909 (99.3)	1636 (98.4)		, (99.3)	3429 (98.8)		7689 (99.3)	6851 (99.0)	
Parity	. ,		<0.001	. ,	× ,	<0.001		. ,	<0.001
5 or more	645 (4.8)	275 (15.5)		480 (4.1)	440 (12.1)		294 (3.7)	626 (8.6)	
3–4	3699 (27.4)	570 (32.1)		3129 (26.9)	1140 (31.2)		2118 (26.3)	2151 (29.7)	
I–2	9166 (67.8)	933 (52.5)		8023 (69.0)	2071 (56.7)		5626 (70.0)	4468 (61.7)	
Autonomy in healthcare decision making		. ,	<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
No	7321 (55.8)	1022 (61.2)		6260 (55.3)	2080 (59.7)		4294 (54.9)	4046 (58.0)	
Yes	5809 (44.2)	648 (38.8)		5053 (44.7)	1402 (40.3)		3530 (45.1)	2925 (42.0)	
Mass media consumption	()	()	<0.001	()	(<i>'</i>	<0.001	()	()	< 0.001
Not at all	4 3(3.1)	181 (10.2)		278 (2.4)	316 (8.7)		47 (.8)	447 (6.2)	
Any one	5572 (41.2)	805 (45.3)		4626 (39.8)	1750 (47.9)		3127 (38.9)	3249 (44.8)	
Any two	3784 (28.0)	407 (22.9)		3322 (28.6)	868 (23.8)		2385 (29.7)	1805 (24.9)	
All three	3741 (27.7)	385 (21.7)		3406 (29.3)	717 (19.6)		2379 (29.6)	1744 (24.1)	
Household income			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
OI (poorest)	3144 (23.3)	893 (50.2)		2190 (18.8)	1845 (50.5)		1418 (17.6)	2617 (36.1)	
Q2	2661 (19.7)	361 (20.3)		2237 (19.2)	785 (21.5)		1567 (19.5)	1455 (20.1)	
03	2628 (19.5)	255 (143)		2387 (20.5)	495 (13.6)		1702(212)	1180 (163)	
04	2588 (19.2)	166 (9 3)		2422 (20.8)	332 (91)		1685 (21.0)	1069 (14.8)	
Q5 (richest)	2489 (18.4)	103 (5.8)		2396 (20.6)	194 (5 3)		1666 (20.7)	924 (12.8)	
Distance from health	2107 (10.1)	105 (5.6)	<0.001	2370 (20.0)	171 (3.5)	<0.001	1000 (20.7)	721 (12.0)	<0.001
With issues	1441 (107)	362 (20 4)			691 (10 7)		710 (0 0)	1084 (15 0)	
Without issues	12 0EQ (00.7)	JOZ (20.4)		1121 (7.0)	001 (10.7) 2047 (01.2)		710(7.0)	1004 (13.0)	
vvitnout issues	12,059 (89.3)	1415 (79.6)	~0.001	10,503 (90.3)	2701 (81.3)	~0.001	7314 (91.0)	0120 (05.0)	<0.001
Linhan	4020 (EL 4)	610 (24 2)	<0.001	6424 (FE 2)		<0.001	1101 (51 0)	2142 (42 4)	<0.001
Rural	6572 (48.6)	1168 (65.7)		5198 (44.7)	2538 (69.5)		3634 (45.2)	4102 (56.6)	

Table 3. Ass	sociation of	three levels	s of CoC	with v	various ke	y determinants.
--------------	--------------	--------------	----------	--------	------------	-----------------

^aAt least four ANC visits during pregnancy. ^bHad at least four ANC visits and SBA during childbirth. ^cHad at least four ANC visits, SBA during childbirth and PNC for mothers and newborns during postpartum period.

	Care at pregnancy (ANC4+)		Care at de SBA)	livery (ANC4+ and	Care at postpartum (ANC4+, SBA and PNC)		
	Bivariate OR	Multivariateª aOR (95% CI)	Bivariate OR	Multivariate ^ь aOR (95% CI)	Bivariate OR	Multivariate ^c aOR (95% CI)	
Age							
15–24	1	1	1	1	1	1	
25–34	1.46***	1.37 (1.17–1.60)***	1.40***	1.18 (1.05–1.34)**	1.25***	1.12 (1.01–1.24)*	
>34	1.14	1.68 (1.36–2.07)***	1.29***	1.54 (1.31–1.80)***	1.13*	1.28 (1.12–1.46)***	
Age at first birth							
<20	1	1	1	1	1	1	
20–29	1.97***	1.27 (1.12–1.44)***	2.13***	1.36 (1.23–1.49)***	1.63***	1.22 (1.12–1.32)***	
>29	2.23***	1.08 (0.81–1.44)	3.12***	1.57 (1.25–1.98)***	1.74***	1.10 (0.93–1.30)	
Education							
None	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Primary	3.51***	1.81 (1.29–2.53)**	2.94***	1.55 (1.11–2.16)*	3.12***	1.87 (1.27–2.76)**	
Secondary	7.06***	2.33 (1.65–3.29)***	7.30***	2.17 (1.55–3.05)***	5.38***	2.21 (1.49–3.26)***	
Higher	10.73***	1.82 (1.22–2.71)**	13.34***	1.87 (1.29–2.70)**	7.34***	2.07 (1.38–3.10)***	
Husband's level of education				(
None	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Primary	2.68***	1.69 (1.24–2.32)**	2.01***	1.36 (1.01–1.82)*	1.65***	1.17 (0.87–1.57)	
Secondary	4.38***	1.63 (1.18–2.23)**	4.42***	1.60 (1.19–2.15)**	2.70***	1.32 (0.98–1.78)	
Higher	7.75***	1.90 (1.29–2.78)**	9.30***	2.04 (1.46–2.85)***	3.82***	1.47 (1.07–2.01)*	
Employment status				2.01 (0.01	(
Not working	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Working	1.15**	9 (06– 32)**	4**	L09 (L00–L18)	1.18***	4 (07– 23)***	
Husband's employment status							
Not working	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Working	2.18***	1.97 (1.23–3.15)**	1.68**	L67 (L10–2.53)*	1.52*	41 (0.97-2.06)	
Parity	2	((0 2.00)	
5 or more	1	1	1	1	1	1	
3_4	2 77***	2 3 (76–2 57)***	2 52***	82 (54–2 6)***	2 10***	65 (40– 94)***	
1-2	4.19***	3.62 (2.92-4.49)***	3.55***	2.61 (2.17-3.14)***	2.68***	2.11 (1.78–2.51)***	
Autonomy in healthcare decision	making		0.00		2.00	(•)	
Yes	I	1	1	1	1	1	
No	1 25***	4 (02– 27)*	I 20***	08 (0 99– 17)	· 4***	08 (0 – 5)*	
Mass media consumption	1.25		1.20			1.00 (1.01 1.10)	
Not at all	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Any one	3 03***	43 (5_ 78)**	301***	26 (03_ 53)*	2 93***	58 (28– 95)***	
Any two	4 08***	55 (23– 96)***	4 35***	43 (6- 75)**	4 02***	1.50 (1.20 1.75)	
All three	4 26***	1.00 (1.10–1.77)**	5 40***	1 50 (1 21–1 85)***	4 5***	1.78 (1.43-2.21)***	
Household income	1.20	1.10 (1.10 1.77)	5.10	1.50 (1.21 1.05)	1.15	1.70 (1.13 2.21)	
	1	1	1	1	1	1	
O^2	2 09***	' 59 (37_ 84)***	2 40***	, 1 79 (1 60–2 00)***	, 1 99***	6 (45_ 79)***	
03	2.07	1.57 (1.57-1.04)	4 06***	2.54 (2.23_2.88)***	7 66***	2.01 (1.80_2.25)***	
04	4 43***	2 77 (2 26_3 40)***	6 15***	3 30 (2.23-2.00)	2.00	2.01 (1.30–2.25)	
\sim ' O5 (richest)	6 68***	4 3 (3 9_5 34)***	1041***	4 66 (3 85-5 64)***	2 22***	2.01 (1.77-2.20)	
Distance from health facilities	0.00	4.15 (5.17-5.50)	10.11	ч.00 (3.03–3.04 <i>)</i>	5.55	2.07 (1.01-2.30)	
With issues		1	1	1		1	
Without issues	י רו 4***	' 46 (27_ 49***	,) [****	' 39 (7 <u>4</u> _ 57***	I 79***	' 36 (77_ 57***	
Residence	2.17	1.27-1.07)	2.1J	1.37 (1.27-1.37)	1.77	1.30 (1.22-1.32)	
Lirban		1	1	1		1	
Rural	י 0 50***	0.92 (0.81 1.04)	0.32***	' 0 70 (0 64_0 77***	0 63***	0 96 (0 89_1 04)	
i vui ai	0.50	U.U.T. (U.U.T. I.U.T.)	0.35	0.70 (0.01-0.77)	0.00	(TO (U.U/-1.U/)	

Table 4. Logistic regression models of achievement of continued care at pregnancy, continued care at delivery and complete continued care with various determinants.

aOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio.

^aAdjusted for age, age at first birth, education, husband's level of education, employment, husband's employment status, parity, autonomy in healthcare decision making, mass media consumption, household income, distance from health facilities, residence.

^bAdjusted for age, age at first birth, education, husband's level of education, parity, autonomy in healthcare decision making, mass media consumption, household income, distance from health facilities, residence.

^cAdjusted for age, age at first birth, education, husband's level of education, employment, parity, autonomy in healthcare decision making, mass media consumption, household income, distance from health facilities.

*****p* < 0.001. ****p* < 0.010. **p* < 0.050.

more likely to continue maternal care compared with those aged <20 years.¹⁹ However, the present study found several results contradicting the current findings. A study in Kenya found that older women (>35 years old) are less likely to receive continued care at pregnancy and delivery, whereas young mothers were compliant towards maternal healthcare guidelines.²⁰ In addition, mothers in Uganda aged >20 years old are twice more likely to receive continued care at delivery.

Maternal education, as a strong predictor of CoC completion, is in line with several studies conducted in other lower-middle-income countries.^{16,19,22-26} Education is frequently associated with high levels of literacy and better economic opportunities; thus, women with high levels of education tend to gain better access to information and modern cultural perspective that encourages CoC completion. Contrary to our study, several studies indicated that maternal employment was non-significantly associated with any stages of continued care.^{16,19} However, a study in Ethiopia, showed that maternal employment increased the chances of continued care at pregnancy by 10% but was non-significantly associated with continued care at delivery and PNC.25 Women earning money through employment can afford health services; thus, they are less likely to entirely depend on spouses for expenses regarding maternal healthcare.

Greater parity poses a barrier towards seeking continued care and CoC completion among mothers.17,22,25,27,28 Multipara mothers tend to be self-confident based on their past childbirth experience, which diminishes the need to check with a healthcare professional.^{25,27,28} Meanwhile, first-time mothers are typically fearful of pregnancy complications and are thus more dependent on healthcare professionals and their support system on infant care.22,25 High-parity women experience difficulties in accessing MNCH services due to time constraints related to childcare.²⁷ Other studies conducted in Pakistan and Ethiopia also indicated that autonomy is a factor significantly associated with continued care at pregnancy and CoC completion.^{16,22,25} Autonomy is associated with education and urban residence, where both factors are likely to increase the chances of utilising maternal health services.²⁵

Mass media brings positive effects on healthcare-seeking behaviour and it is consistent with those of studies in Nepal,²⁹ Pakistan,¹⁶ Ethiopia,²⁵ and Bangladesh.¹⁸ Government, nongovernment bodies and healthcare practitioners have used mass media to promote maternal and child health-related messages. Women with high household incomes can afford medical expenses as well as other indirect costs associated with neonatal care.^{16–19,25,28} The Indonesian government has introduced a National Health Insurance programme that aims to cover the entire population and reduce financial barriers in seeking healthcare, which has contributed to increased birth in health facilities. However, other studies argued that indirect financial barriers remain an issue for women from poor households in terms of seeking healthcare facilities. Indirect costs include, but are not limited to, time and travel costs.³⁰

Short or accessible distances to health facilities are enabling factors for mothers to seek MNCH services, as proposed by previous studies on lower–middle-income countries.^{22,24,31} A study in Burkina Faso found that every 1-km increase in distance from a health facility decreases the chance of a mother to receive more than four ANC visits by 5% and to deliver at a health facility or be assisted by SBAs by 27%.³¹ Indonesia is still facing a poor distribution of skilled healthcare practitioners. In the most remote areas in the country, mothers have to travel extremely long distances with severe geographical and infrastructure challenges to reach a health facility. Public transportation availability and cost of public transportation remain the largest obstacles to reaching health facilities.³⁰

The current study used a large sample size that is representative of the Indonesian population. Secondary data applied standard procedures and measurement units for the selection of primary sampling units, household strata and respondents, which thus increased the generalisability of the findings. The 2017 IDHS is the most recent data issued, which minimised potential bias associated with time effects. Nevertheless, the study highlights several limitations; thus, the results must be interpreted with caution. Data were derived from a retrospective cross-sectional survey. Hence, the causal relationships between variables could not be established. Data used a self-reported questionnaire are subject to recall bias. For future studies, a prospective study or a randomised controlled trial is needed to evaluate the cause and effect relationships between individual characteristics, family factors, community context and CoC in Indonesia. Additionally, exploring cultural influence and other unidentified barriers to the utilisation of MNCH services for women in disadvantaged groups is important for the planning of intervention.

In conclusion, the study demonstrated the coverage rate of ANC4+ (88.4%), SBA utilisation (83.4%) and PNC (66.9%) in Indonesia and highlighted that the CoC completion rate reached 52.6% among the respondents. The largest gap in discontinued care occurred between delivery and PNC. Other gaps within the MNCH services and healthcare system should be addressed to continually reduce maternal mortality. The quality of ANC should be prioritised because it is related to a mother's subsequent use of MNCH services. A multisectoral approach (i.e. the synergies of specific interventions in the health sector and sensitive interventions in the non-health sector) and future intervention, such as community mobilisation and empowerment, and health provider capacity building should be highlighted to improve MNCH service availability and accessibility as well as to boost the CoC completion rate for socioeconomically disadvantaged women, especially those who live considerably far from health facilities.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the Indonesian Demographic and Health Surveys (IDHS) Programme for the access to the data used in this study. This study was supported by the research grant from the Directorate of Research and Community Engagement of Universitas Indonesia. The funders had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Author contributions

HA, conceptualisation; SDR and VN, data acquisition and curation; HA and AS, methodology; HA, software; SDR and VN, formal analysis; HA, SDR, VN, AS, interpretation; HA, manuscript drafting; HA, VDR, VN, AS, manuscript review and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was funded by the Directorate of Research and Community Engagement of Universitas Indonesia, grant number NKB-773/UN2.RST/HKP.05.00/2020. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Institutional Review Board

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Inner City Fund International Inc., Fairfax, VA, USA reviewed and approved the study procedures and survey protocols. After obtaining authorisation from the IDHS to use the dataset, the IRB of Universitas Indonesia provided further ethical review approval (575/UN2. F10.D11/PPM.00.02/2020).

Informed consent

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Significance for public health

Continuum of care (CoC) pertains to the utilisation of all levels of care from the antenatal to postpartum periods to ensure the reduction of neonatal and maternal mortality, as an essential and effective approach for improving and supporting maternal wellbeing. Our study analysed the diverse trends in maternal, newborn and child health service utilisation. A notable finding is that the most significant gap in discontinued care occurred between delivery and postnatal care. A wide range of representative populations raises the generalisability of results.

ORCID iDs

Helen Andriani D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5057-0851

Salma Dhiya Rachmadani Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-4091 -7801

Availability of data and materials

Data are available upon request.

References

- 1. WHO. Global health observatory data 2015, http://www. who.int/gho/en/ (2015, accessed 24 October 2019).
- WHO. Newborns: Improving survival and well-being 2020, https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ newborns-reducing-mortality (2020, accessed 28 September 2022).
- United Nations. Sustainable development goals: 17 goals to transform our world, http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/ (accessed 24 October 2019).
- 4. Darmstadt GL, Bhutta ZA, Cousens S, et al. Evidence-based, cost-effective interventions: how many newborn babies can we save? *Lancet* 2005; 365: 977–988.
- Bhutta ZA, Das JK, Bahl R, et al. Can available interventions end preventable deaths in mothers, newborn babies, and stillbirths, and at what cost? *Lancet* 2014; 384: 347–370.
- Kikuchi K, Ansah EK, Okawa S, et al. Effective linkages of continuum of care for improving neonatal, perinatal, and maternal mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0139288.
- Ministry of Health. MOH decree 128/2004. Jakarta: Basic Policy for Health Center, 2004.
- World Health Organization. WHO antenatal care randomized trial: manual for the implementation of the new model. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.
- World Health Organization. Reduction of maternal mortality: a joint WHO/UNFPA/UNICEF/World Bank statement. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1999.
- Wang W and Hong R. Completing the continuum of care for maternal and newborn health in Cambodia: who drops out? Calverton, MD: ICF International, 2013.
- Bohren MA, Hunter EC, Munthe-Kaas HM, et al. Facilitators and barriers to facility-based delivery in low- and middleincome countries: a qualitative evidence synthesis. *Reprod Health* 2014; 11: 71.
- Gabrysch S and Campbell OM. Still too far to walk: literature review of the determinants of delivery service use. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2009; 9: 34.
- Rosato M, Laverack G, Grabman LH, et al. Community participation: lessons for maternal, newborn, and child health. *Lancet* 2008; 372: 962–971.
- Population N, Family Planning Board, Statistical Indonesia, et al. *Indonesia demographic and health survey 2017*. Jakarta: BKKBN, BPS, Kemenkes, and ICF, 2018.
- Högberg U. The World Health Report 2005: "make every mother and child count" - including Africans. *Scand J Public Health* 2005; 33: 409–411.
- Iqbal S, Maqsood S, Zakar R, et al. Continuum of care in maternal, newborn and child health in Pakistan: analysis of trends and determinants from 2006 to 2012. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2017; 17: 189.
- Joshi C, Torvaldsen S, Hodgson R, et al. Factors associated with the use and quality of antenatal care in Nepal: a population-based study using the demographic and health survey data. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2014; 14: 94.
- 18. Mosiur Rahman M, Haque SE and Sarwar Zahan M. Factors affecting the utilisation of postpartum care among young

mothers in Bangladesh. *Health Soc Care Community* 2011; 19: 138–147.

- Osaki K, Hattori T and Kosen S. The role of home-based records in the establishment of a continuum of care for mothers, newborns, and children in Indonesia. *Glob Health Action* 2013; 6: 1–12.
- Mwangi W, Gachuno O, Desai M, et al. Uptake of skilled attendance along the continuum of care in rural western Kenya: selected analysis from Global Health initiative survey-2012. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2018; 18: 175.
- Anyait A, Mukanga D, Oundo GB, et al. Predictors for health facility delivery in Busia district of Uganda: a cross sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2012; 12: 132.
- Akibu M, Tsegaye W, Megersa T, et al. Prevalence and determinants of complete postnatal care service utilization in Northern Shoa, Ethiopia. *J Pregnancy* 2018; 2018: 8625437.
- Fekadu M and Regassa N. Skilled delivery care service utilization in Ethiopia: analysis of rural-urban differentials based on national demographic and health survey (DHS) data. *Afr Health Sci* 2014; 14: 974–984.
- Sakuma S, Yasuoka J, Phongluxa K, et al. Determinants of continuum of care for maternal, newborn, and child health services in rural Khammouane, Lao PDR. *PLoS One* 2019; 14: e0215635.
- 25. Tarekegn SM, Lieberman LS and Giedraitis V. Determinants of maternal health service utilization in Ethiopia: analysis of

the 2011 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2014; 14: 161.

- 26. Yeji F, Shibanuma A, Oduro A, et al. Continuum of care in a maternal, newborn and Child Health Program in Ghana: low completion rate and multiple obstacle factors. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0142849.
- Gupta S, Yamada G, Mpembeni R, et al. Factors associated with four or more antenatal care visits and its decline among pregnant women in Tanzania between 1999 and 2010. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e101893.
- Neupane S and Doku DT. Determinants of time of start of prenatal care and number of prenatal care visits during pregnancy among Nepalese women. *J Community Health* 2012; 37: 865–873.
- Acharya D, Khanal V, Singh JK, et al. Impact of mass media on the utilization of antenatal care services among women of rural community in Nepal. *BMC Res Notes* 2015; 8: 345.
- Rosales A, Sulistyo S, Miko O, et al. Recognition of and care-seeking for maternal and newborn complications in Jayawijaya district, Papua province, Indonesia: a qualitative study. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2017; 36: 44.
- 31. Tanou M and Kamiya Y. Assessing the impact of geographical access to health facilities on maternal healthcare utilization: evidence from the Burkina Faso demographic and health survey 2010. *BMC Public Health* 2019; 19: 838.