# Osteogenic Oxysterol, 20(<sup>S</sup> )-Hydroxycholesterol, Induces Notch Target Gene Expression in Bone Marrow Stromal Cells

Woo-Kyun Kim,<sup>1</sup> Vicente Meliton,<sup>1</sup> Sotirios Tetradis,<sup>2</sup> Gerry Weinmaster,<sup>3</sup> Theodore J Hahn,<sup>4</sup> Marc Carlson,<sup>5</sup> Stanley F Nelson,<sup>5</sup> and Farhad Parhami<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medicine, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>2</sup>UCLA School of Dentistry, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System and Geriatric Research, Education, and Clinical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

5 Department of Human Genetics, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

#### ABSTRACT

We previously reported that specific oxysterols stimulate osteogenic differentiation of pluripotent bone marrow stromal cells (MSCs) through activation of hedgehog (Hh) signaling and may serve as potential future therapies for intervention in osteopenia and osteoporosis. In this study we report that the osteogenic oxysterol 20(S)-hydroxycholesterol (20S) induces the expression of genes associated with Notch signaling. Using M2-10B4 (M2) MSCs, we found that 20S significantly induced HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 mRNA expression compared with untreated cells, with maximal induction after 48 hours, whereas the nonosteogenic oxysterols did not. Similar observations were made when M2 cells were treated with sonic hedgehog (Shh), and the specific Hh pathway inhibitor cyclopamine blocked 20S-induced Notch target gene expression. 20S did not induce Notch target genes in Smo<sup>-/-</sup> mouse embryonic fibroblasts, further confirming the role of Hh signaling in 20S-induced expression of Notch target genes. Despite the inability of liver X-receptor (LXR) synthetic ligand TO901317 to induce Notch target genes in M2 cells, LXR knockdown studies using siRNA showed inhibition of 20Sinduced HEY-1 but not HES-1 expression, suggesting the partial role of LXR signaling in MSC responses to 20S. Moreover, 20S-induced Notch target gene expression was independent of canonical Notch signaling because neither 20S nor Shh induced CBF1 luciferase reporter activity or NICD protein accumulation in the nucleus, which are hallmarks of canonical Notch signaling activation. Finally, HES-1 and HEY-1 siRNA transfection significantly inhibited 20S-induced osteogenic genes, suggesting that the pro-osteogenic effects of 20S are regulated in part by HES-1 and HEY-1.  $\circledcirc$  2010 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research.

KEY WORDS: OXYSTEROL; MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS; NOTCH; HEDGEHOG; OSTEOGENESIS

## Introduction

The Notch signaling pathway is an evolutionarily conserved<br>intercellular signaling mechanism that plays a prominent role in cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival.  $(1,2)$  The canonical Notch signaling pathway is activated when Notch receptors (Notch-1, -2, -3, and -4) interact with ligands [Jagged-1 and -2 and Delta-like (Dll-1, -3, and -4)] on adjacent cells, triggering proteolytic cleavage of the receptor by the presenilin–  $\gamma$ -secretase complex.<sup>(1,2)</sup> This releases the Notch intracellular domain (NICD), which translocates to the nucleus and binds the CBF-1 DNA-binding protein, thereby inducing the expression of Notch target genes, including the isoforms of HES (HES-1, -3, and -5) and HEY (HEY-1, -2, and -3).<sup>(3)</sup> These Notch target genes are involved in various biologic processes, including angiogenesis, osteogenesis, adipogenesis, myogenesis, somatogenesis, and neurogenesis.<sup>(4-9)</sup> Regulation of Notch signaling pathway and target gene expression is important in embryonic and postembryonic development and tissue homeostasis.(1,10–12) However, it remains controversial as to whether Notch signaling acts as a positive or negative regulator of osteogenic differentiation in osteoblast progenitor cells and bone formation. For example, Dll-3- or presenilin-1-deficient mice exhibit severe skeletal defects,<sup>(8,13,14)</sup> and overexpression of Notch-1, HES-1, or

Received in original form March 9, 2009; revised form September 21, 2009; accepted October 15, 2009. Published online October 17, 2009. Address correspondence to: Farhad Parhami, PhD, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA Center for the Health Sciences, A2-237, 10833 Le Conte Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. E-mail: fparhami@mednet.ucla.edu

Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, Vol. 25, No. 4, April 2010, pp 782–795 DOI: 10.1359/jbmr.091024 2010 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research

HEY-1 enhances osteogenic differentiation of  $MSCs^{(15-17)}$  in part through positive regulation of and cooperation with Runx2, suggesting that Notch signaling may play positive roles in bone formation. On the other hand, presenilin-2 null mice have greatly increased trabecular bone mass, and HES or HEY proteins were shown to inhibit Runx2 transcriptional activity in CHO and ST2 cells, suggesting the negative role of Notch signaling in osteogenesis.<sup>(18)</sup> However, it also has been suggested that HES and/or HEY expression induced by Notch signaling may be important in regulating bone density during aging by maintaining a sufficient pool of bone marrow progenitor cells for osteogenesis.<sup>(18)</sup> Therefore, further examination of the role of Notch signaling in regulating osteogenesis and bone formation is required, and it is likely that the differences in the reports cited earlier may be due to differences in the specific experimental models used in studying the role of Notch signaling in osteogenesis.

In addition to canonical Notch signaling, the expression of Notch target genes is regulated by growth factors, including transforming drowth factor  $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ), bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and sonic hedgehog (Shh).<sup>(17,19–21)</sup> TGF- $\beta$  induces HEY-1 and Jagged-1 in epithelial cells from mammary gland, kidney tubules, and epidermis,(19) and BMP-9 induces HEY-1 expression in C3H10T1/2 cells.<sup>(17)</sup> Also, Shh and VEGF induce Notch-5 and HES-1 mRNA expression in various cells, including C3H10T1/2 cells, MNS70 neural cells, and granule neuron precursors.<sup>(20-22)</sup> Moreover, it has been suggested that regulation of HES-1 expression by c-Jun kinase signaling and Hedgehog signaling may be mediated through the activation of noncanonical Notch signaling pathways.<sup> $(22-24)$ </sup> Hence the molecular mechanisms by which growth and differentiation factors activate the Notch signaling pathway and induce the expression of Notch target genes require further elucidation.

Oxysterols, a large family of 27-carbon oxygenated products of cholesterol present in the circulation and in human and animal tissues, $(25)$  are involved in various biologic and pathologic processes, including cholesterol efflux, lipoprotein metabolism, cell differentiation, atherosclerosis, and apoptosis.<sup>(26-29)</sup> We have demonstrated previously that specific oxysterols stimulate the osteogenic differentiation of pluripotent MSCs and inhibit their adipogenic differentiation through the activation of Hedgehog signaling in vitro $(30-33)$  and enhance bone healing in rat criticalsized calvarial defects in vivo. $^{(34)}$  Here, we report that osteogenic oxysterols are novel activators of expression of the Notch target genes HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 in MSCs. Moreover, the induction of Notch target gene expression by 20S is not mediated by the canonical Notch signaling pathway but mainly by Hedgehog signaling and in part by LXR signaling, and HES-1 and HEY-1 induction appears necessary for maximal induction of osteogenesis by 20S.

# Materials and Methods

## Cell culture and reagents

M2-10B4 (M2) pluripotent mouse marrow stromal cells and  $Smo^{-/-}$  mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were maintained as described previously.(31,32,35,36) Cell treatment was performed in differentiation medium containing 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS),  $50 \mu g/mL$  ascorbate, and  $3 \text{ mM}$   $\beta$ -glycerophosphate. Oxysterols were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA); N-[N-(3,5-difluorophenacetyl-L-alanyl)] S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester (DAPT) and cyclopamine were from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA, USA), and recombinant mouse Shh N-terminal peptide and Jagged-1 were from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA).

## Quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted with an RNA isolation kit from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was DNase treated using a DNA-free kit from Ambion (Austin, TX, USA). Then  $3 \mu$ g of RNA was reversetranscribed using reverse transcriptase from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA, USA) to make single-stranded cDNA. The cDNAs then were mixed with Qi SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) for qRT-PCR assay using a Bio-Rad I-cycler IQ quantitative thermocycler. All PCR samples were prepared in triplicate wells in a 96 well plate. After 40 cycles of PCR, melt curves were examined to ensure primer specificity. Fold changes in gene expression were calculated using the  $\Delta\Delta C_t$  method and normalized to the expression of the housekeeping gene GAPDH. Primers used were as follows: HES-1: 5'-TACCCCAGCCAGTGTCAACA-3' and 5'-CCATGATAGGCTTTGATGACTTTCT-3<sup>'(37)</sup>; HEY-1: 5'-TGAGCTGA-GAAGGCTGGTAC-3' and 5'-ACCCCAAACTCCGATAGTCC-5'<sup>(38)</sup>; HEY-2: 5V'-TGAGAAGACTAGTGCCAACAGC-3' and 5'-TGGGCAT-CAAAGTAGCCTTTA-3<sup>/(38)</sup>; Jagged-1: 5'-TGGTTGGCTGGGAAATT-GA-3' and 5'-TGGACACCAGGGCACATTC-3<sup>'(39)</sup>; Delta-1: 5'-CAC-TATGGACAGTTGCTTTGAAGAGT-3' and 5'-TGGCTCATAGTAATC-CAAGATAGACG-5<sup>/(40)</sup>; Notch-1: 5'-GGATCACATGGACCGATTGC-3' and 5'-ATCCAAAAGCCGCACGATAT-3<sup>/(39)</sup>; Notch-2: 5'-CCCCT-TGCCCTCTATGTACCA-3' and 5'-GGTAGGTGGGAAAGCCACACT-3<sup>/(39)</sup>; ALP: 5'-AAACCCAGAACACAAGCATTCC-3' and 5'-TCCAC-CAGCAAGAAGAAGCC-3'; ABCA1: 5'-TGCCACTTTCCGAATAAAGC-3' and 5'-GGAGTTGGATAACGGAAGCA-3'; BSP 5'-ACGCCA-CACTTTCCACACTCTC-3' and 5'-TTCCTCTTCCTCTTCTTCTTCTTC-TTCC-3'; and GAPDH: 5'- ATGGACTGTGGTCATGAGCC-3' and 5'- ATTGTCAGCAATGCATCCTG-3' .

#### CBF-1 luciferase assay

M2 cells at 70% confluency in 24 well plates were transiently transfected with CBF-1 luciferase reporter construct pTKluciferase plasmid and pTK-Renilla-luciferase plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) using Fugene 6 Transfection Reagents from Roche (Indianapolis, IN, USA).<sup>(2)</sup> Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were treated with control vehicle or Notch interacellular domain (NICD) overexpression vector with or without  $5 \mu$ M 20S and 200 ng/mL mouse recombinant Shh for 24 and 48 hours, and Notch activation of CBF-1 was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. Transfection efficiency was monitored by cotransfecting with a plasmid expressing green fluorescent protein.

Jagged-1, Notch intracellular domain (NICD), and HES-1 Western blot

For Jagged-1 Western blot, M2 cells at confluence were treated with control vehicle (control),  $5 \mu M$  20(S)-hydroxycholesterol

(20S), and 200 ng/mL sonic hedgehog (Shh). After 48 or 72 hours of treatment, whole-cell lysates were collected, and protein concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad protein assay. For NICD Western blot, M2 cells at 100% confluence were treated with control vehicle (control) or 5  $\mu$ M 20S or cultured on  $5 \mu$ g/mL immobilized Jagged-1. After 48 and 72 hours, nuclear extracts were collected and protein concentrations determined using the Bio-Rad protein assay. For Western blotting of HES-1

and B-actin, whole-cell lysates were collected after 72 hours of control vehicle or  $5 \mu$ M 20S treatment in M2 cells transfected with either scramble control or HES-1 siRNA. The samples were subjected to sodium dodecylsulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred overnight onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Blots then were incubated with polyclonal antibodies against HES-1 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA,



Fig. 1. 20(S)-Hydroxycholesterol (20S) induces Notch signaling target genes HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 in M2-10B4 bone marrow stromal cells. (A-C) M2 cells were treated at confluence with control vehicle or 5  $\mu$ M 20S, 7 $\alpha$ -hydroxycholesterol (7- $\alpha$ HC), or 7-ketocholesterol (7-ketoC) for 48 hours. HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. (D-F) M2 cells were treated at confluence with control vehicle or 5  $\mu$ M 20S for 24, 48, and 96 hours. HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. Fold changes in gene expression compared with the control were calculated using the  $\Delta\Delta\zeta_{\text{r}}$  method and reported as the mean of triplicate determination  $\pm$  SD (A–C: \*\* $p$  < .0001 for control, 7 $\alpha$ -HC or 7-keto C versus 20S; D: \*\*\*p < .0001 for control versus 20S at 48 hours; \*\*p < .001 for control versus 20S at 24 and 96 hours. E: \*\*p < .001 for control versus 20S at 24 hours; \*\*\*p < .0001 for control versus 20S at 48 hours; \*p < .05 for control versus 20S at 96 hours. F: \*\*p < .001 for control versus 20S at 48 hours).



Fig. 2. Mechanism of HES-1 and HEY-1 induction by 20(S)-hydroxycholesterol (20S). M2 cells were treated at confluence with control vehicle, 5  $\mu$ M 20S, 200 ng/mL recombinant mouse sonic hedgehog (Shh), or 2  $\mu$ M of LXR ligand TO901317 (TO) for 48 hours. HES-1, HEY-1, HEY-2, and ABCA1 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR (A-D). For LXR siRNA experiments (E, F), M2 cells at 70% confluence were transfected with both  $LXR\alpha$  and  $LXR\beta$  siRNA to a final concentration of 25 nM of each siRNA. The scramble control or  $LXR$  siRNA-transfected cells were treated with control vehicle or 5 µM 20S for 48 hours. HES-1 and HEY-1 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. Fold changes in gene expression compared with the control were calculated using the  $\Delta\Delta C_r$  method and reported as the mean of triplicate determination  $\pm$  SD. (A–C) \*\*\*  $p < .0001$  for control versus 20S or Shh. (D)  $^{***}P$  < .0001 for control versus 20S or TO. (E)  $^{***}P$  < .0001 for control versus 20S with or without LXR siRNA. (F)  $^{***}P$  < .0001 for control versus 20S with and without LXR siRNA and for 20S in the presence of scrambled versus LXR siRNA.

USA), and Jagged-1, NICD, and  $\beta$ -actin from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). As a positive control for the canonical Notch pathway activation, cells were cultured on immobilized Jagged-1 from R&D Systems to induce nuclear NICD accumulation.(40,41)

#### Alkaline phosphatase activity assay

M2 cells at confluence were treated with control vehicle (control) or  $5 \mu$ M 20S. For experiments with immobilized Jagged-1, M2 cells were cultured in tissue culture wells coated with 2.5 or





 $5 \mu$ g/mL Jagged-1.<sup>(40,41)</sup> After 72 hours, colorimetric alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity assay on whole-cell extracts was performed as described previously.<sup>(30)</sup>

## LXR- $\alpha$ , LXR- $\beta$ , HES-1, and HEY-1 siRNA transfection

Both  $LXR-\alpha$  and  $LXR-\beta$  siRNAs (ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool Catalog No. L-040649-01-0010 and L-042839-00-0010) were obtained from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO, USA). To knock down LXRs, M2 cells at 70% confluence in 6 well plates were transfected with siRNA using DharmaFECT transfection reagent (Dharmacon) to a final concentration of 25 nM of each siRNA.<sup>(42)</sup> Knockdown of target genes was monitored at the mRNA level by quantitative real-time PCR. At 100% confluence, transfected cells were treated with control vehicle or  $5 \mu$ M 20S. After a 2 day incubation, HES-1 and HEY-1 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. Both HES-1 and HEY-1 siRNAs were obtained from QIAGEN (Valencia, CA, USA). To knock down HES-1 or HEY-1, M2 cells at 70% confluence in 6 well plates were transfected with siRNA using DharmaFECT transfection reagent (Dharmacon) to a final concentration of 50 nM of each siRNA. At 100% confluence, transfected cells were treated with 5  $\mu$ M 20S. After 3 days of incubation, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), bone sialoprotein (BSP), and osteocalcin (OCN) mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the StatView 5 program. All  $p$  values were calculated using ANOVA and Fisher's projected least-significant-difference (PLSD) significance test. A value of  $p < .05$  was considered significant.

## Results

## $20(5)$ -hydroxycholesterol induces the expression of Notch target genes

In an initial microarray-based gene expression analysis using Affymetrix mouse 430A gene chips,<sup>(32)</sup> we found that treatment of M2 cells with an osteogenic oxysterol combination of  $20S + 22(S)$ -hydroxycholesterol (5  $\mu$ M each) for 48 hours induced the expression of the Notch target genes HES-1 (Hairy/ Enhancer-of-Split 1, NM 008235, 2.55-fold induction,  $p = .0017$ ) and HEY-2 (Hairy/Enhancer-of-Split related with YRPW motif 2, NM 013904, 2.6-fold induction,  $p = .0009$ ). In recent studies, we have found that 20S is the most potent naturally occurring osteogenic oxysterol in our M2 cell system and that the osteogenic effects of  $5 \mu$ M 20S used alone are quite significant, although less than those of  $20S + 22S$  combination (data not shown). Hence further studies were performed with 20S alone.

To confirm the microarray data, we then examined the effect of 20S on Notch target gene expression in M2 cells using realtime PCR. 20S significantly induced HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 mRNA expression at 48 hours, whereas the nonosteogenic oxysterols 7a-hydroxycholesterol and 7-ketocholesterol did not induce these genes (Fig. 1A–C). Time-course studies showed that 20S significantly induced HES-1 and HEY-1 mRNA expression at 24, 48, and 96 hours in M2 cells, with maximum expression at

48 hours (see Fig. 1D, E), whereas significant induction of HEY-2 mRNA expression was observed only at 48 hours (see Fig. 1F).

### Mechanism of HES-1 and HEY-1 induction by 20(<sup>S</sup> )-hydroxycholesterol

Since osteogenic oxysterols are novel activators of Hedgehog,  $(32)$ as well as liver X receptor (LXR) signaling,  $(44)$  and Shh induces Notch receptors and HES-1 expression,<sup>(20-22)</sup> we examined whether the induction of HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 mRNA expression in MSCs occurs through the Hedgehog or LXR signaling pathway. 20S and Shh induced the expression of all three Notch target genes in M2 cells, whereas the synthetic LXR agonist TO-901317 (TO) did not induce the expression of these genes, suggesting that the induction of Notch target genes by 20S is mainly through Hedgehog signaling and not through LXR signaling (Fig. 2A–C). TO activation of LXR under these conditions was confirmed by a 10-fold increase in the mRNA expression of the LXR target gene ABCA1 compared with control cells (see Fig. 2D). Since nuclear hormone receptor conformation may vary depending on the ligand used, and since the effect of 20S on LXR conformation and activity may differ from what is caused by  $TO<sub>i</sub><sup>(43)</sup>$  we further examined the potential role of LXR in mediating oxysterol-induced Notch target gene expression in M2 cells using siRNA to knock down LXR $\alpha$  and LXR $\beta$  expression in these cells, as we have previously reported.<sup>(42)</sup> Results showed that 20S-induced HES-1 expression was not affected by LXR siRNAs (see Fig. 2E), whereas HEY-1 expression was significantly inhibited by LXR siRNA (see Fig. 2F), suggesting the role of LXR as well as Hedgehog signaling in 20S-induced HEY-1 but not HES-1 expression. To further confirm that 20S induces HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 mRNA expression mainly through the Hedgehog signaling pathway, M2 cells were treated with cyclopamine, a specific inhibitor of the Hedgehog signaling pathway that binds directly to and inhibits Smoothened.<sup>(32)</sup> Results showed that cyclopamine completely blocked 20S and Shh induction of HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 mRNA expression (Fig. 3A–C). We also examined whether 20S and Shh could induce the expression of Notch target genes in Smo<sup>-/-</sup> mouse embryonic fibroblasts (Smo<sup>-/-</sup> MEFs), in which activation of Hedgehog signaling cannot occur. 20S and Shh did not induce HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 mRNA expression at all time points tested in  $Smo^{-/-}$  MEFs (see Fig. 3D–F), suggesting that oxysterol induction of Notch target genes requires the activation of the Hedgehog signaling pathway.

## 20(<sup>S</sup> )-Hydroxycholesterol induces Notch target gene expression independent of the canonical Notch signaling pathway

In order to determine if 20S and Shh induce Notch target gene expression in M2 cells through the canonical Notch signaling pathway, we examined whether 20S and Shh induce the mRNA expression of ligands and the Notch receptors Jagged-1 and -2; Delta-1, -3, and -4; and Notch-1, -2, -3, and -4 that interact to activate the canonical Notch signaling.<sup> $(1,2)$ </sup> Although mRNA for all the Notch receptors (Notch-1, -2, -3, and -4) and ligands (Jagged1 and -2 and Delta-1, -3, and -4) are present in M2 cells (data not shown), 20S and Shh caused only a significant induction of Jagged-1 mRNA expression at 48 and 96 hours (Fig. 4A) but not Notch-1 (Fig. 4F) or any of the other Notch signaling receptors or ligands (data not shown). In addition, induction of Jagged-1 mRNA expression by 20S and Shh was completely blocked by cyclopamine (see Fig. 4B), indicating that 20S and Shh both induce Jagged-1 expression through Hedgehog signaling–

dependent mechanisms. However, Jagged-1 protein expression was not increased by 20S or Shh compared with the control cells at 48 and 72 hours (see Fig. 4G).

To further investigate the potential involvement of canonical Notch signaling in the osteogenic response of MSCs to 20S and Shh, we examined the effect of N-[N-(3,5-difluorophenacetyl-Lalanyl)] S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester (DAPT), a canonical Notch signaling inhibitor, on 20S and Shh induction of Notch target



Jagged1 mRNA

gene expression to determine whether this process requires the activation of Notch receptors and the production of Notch intracellular domain (NICD). DAPT blocks canonical Notch signaling by inhibiting  $\gamma$ -secretase activity and the production of NICD when Notch ligands bind to Notch receptor.<sup>(45)</sup> DAPT treatment significantly reduced both 20S and Shh induction of HES-1 mRNA expression, as well as baseline HES-1 mRNA expression compared with control cells (see Fig. 4C). However, 20S and Shh still significantly induced HES-1 expression in the presence of DAPT (DAPT alone versus  $20S + DAPT$  or  $Shh + DAPT$ ). In contrast to its effects on HES-1 expression, DAPT did not inhibit baseline or 20S- and Shh-induced levels of HEY-1 mRNA expression (see Fig. 4D), suggesting that 20S and Shh induction of HEY-1 mRNA expression does not require the canonical Notch signaling pathway. It must be noted that HEY-1 mRNA is expressed at a much lower level than HES-1 in control M2 cells (data not shown) and hence the lack of DAPT effect on its low baseline expression. In addition, we found that DAPT significantly inhibited 20S-induced ALP mRNA expression on day 6 (see Fig. 4E), suggesting that baseline Notch signaling is important for 20S to fully induce osteogenic differentiation in M2 cells.

To further confirm the absence of canonical Notch signaling in M2 cell responses to 20S and Shh, we examined the effects of 20S and Shh on CBF-1 luciferase reporter activity, nuclear localization of NICD, and the expression of Notch target genes and osteogenic genes (Fig. 5). If 20S or Shh induction of Notch target gene expression is mediated at least in part through canonical Notch signaling, it would be expected that CBF-1 luciferase activity would be induced by 20S and Shh and that NICD protein accumulation would be increased in nuclear extracts from 20S- and Shh-treated M2 cells. NICD overexpression was used as a positive control for the activation of CBF-1 luciferase reporter activity, and immobilized Jagged-1 was used as a positive control to stimulate canonical Notch signaling and nuclear NICD accumulation. We found that neither 20S nor Shh induced CBF-1 luciferase reporter activity, whereas NICD overexpression caused a robust increase in reporter activity (see Fig. 5A). Moreover, 20S did not cause an increase in nuclear levels of NICD protein, whereas cells cultured on immobilized Jagged-1 exhibited increased NICD accumulation in the nucleus (see Fig. 5G), and DAPT treatment inhibited baseline NICD production and accumulation in the nucleus, as expected (data not shown).

Finally, we examined the induction of the expression of Notch target genes in parallel with osteogenic genes by 20S and immobilized Jagged-1. Both 20S and immobilized Jagged-1 significantly increased HES-1 and HEY-1 mRNA expression (see Fig. 5B, C). However, whereas 20S induced the mRNA expression of the osteogenic genes alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and bone sialoprotein (BSP), immobilized Jagged-1 did not affect osteogenic gene expression (see Fig. 5D–F), indicating that canonical Notch signaling per se does not stimulate osteogenic differentiation of MSCs. In addition, we found that culturing M2 cells on immobilized Jagged-1 had no effects on mineralization at baseline or when induced by 20S (data not shown).

## HES-1 and HEY-1 knockdown significantly inhibits 20(<sup>S</sup> )-hydroxycholesterol-induced osteogenesis in MSCs

We used siRNA gene knockdown studies to determine whether increased HES-1 and/or HEY-1 expression is required for 20S induction of osteogenic differentiation in M2 cells. Treatment with HES-1 or HEY-1 siRNA was found to reduce 20S induction of HES-1 and HEY-1 mRNA expression, respectively, by over 70% at 3 days compared with control scrambled siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 6A, E). Western blot analysis of HES-1 protein expression also showed that HES-1 siRNA reduced baseline as well as 20Sinduced HES-1 protein levels (see Fig. 6I). Moreover, HES-1 and HEY-1 siRNA did not inhibit 20S-induced ABCA1 mRNA expression (see Fig. 6J), indicating that siRNA knockdown was specific to HES-1 and HEY-1 and not due to any toxic effects. HES-1 siRNA treatment inhibited 20S-induced mRNA expression of the osteogenic genes ALP, BSP, and OCN by 70%, 90%, and 73%, respectively (see Fig. 6B–D), although baseline expression of OCN also was inhibited to the same degree. In addition, treatment with HEY-1 siRNA also caused a significant inhibition of ALP, BSP, and OCN mRNA expression, although to a lesser extent than that achieved by HES-1 siRNA (see Fig. 6F-H), suggesting that both HES-1 and HEY-1 play a significant role in 20S induction of osteogenesis in MSCs.

Fig. 4. Effects of 20(S)-hydroxycholesterol (20S), sonic hedgehog (Shh), and DAPT on Jagged-1 and Notch target gene expression. (A) M2 cells at confluence were treated with control vehicle (control), 5  $\mu$ M 20S, or 200 ng/mL Shh. After 24, 48, and 96 hours of treatment, Jagged-1 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. (B) M2 cells at confluence were treated with control vehicle (control), 5  $\mu$ M 20S, or 200 ng/mL Shh with or without a 2 hour pretreatment with cyclopamine. After 48 hours of treatment, Jagged-1 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. (C, D) M2 cells at confluence were treated with control vehicle (control), 5  $\mu$ M 20S, or 200 ng/mL (Shh) with or without a 2 hour pretreatment with 10  $\mu$ M DAPT. After 48 hours of treatment, HES-1 and HEY-1 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. Fold changes in gene expression relative to control cells were calculated using the  $\Delta\Delta\epsilon_t$  method and reported as the mean of triplicate determination  $\pm$  SD. (E) M2 cells at confluence were treated with control vehicle (control) or 5  $\mu$ M 20S with or without a 2 hour pretreatment with 10  $\mu$ M DAPT. After 6 days of treatment, alkaline phosphatase  $(ALP)$  mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. (F) M2 cells were treated with control vehicle (control), 5  $\mu$ M 20S, or 200 ng/mL Shh. Immobilized Jagged-1 was used as a positive control. After 48 hours of treatments, Notch-1 mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. (G) M2 cells at confluence were treated with control vehicle (control), 5  $\mu$ M 20S, or 200 ng/ml Shh for 48 or 72 hours. Whole-cell lysates were collected for Western blotting using antibodies to Jagged-1 and  $\beta$ -actin. (A) \*\*\* $p < .0001$  for control versus 20S or Shh at 48 hours and for control versus Shh at 96 hours.  $^*p$  < 0.05 control version 20S at 96 hours. (B) \*\*\* $p$  < .0001 for control versus 20S or Shh and for 20S and Shh in the presence versus absence of cyclopamine. (C)  $^{***}p$  < .0001 for control versus 20S or Shh in the absence of DAPT and for 20S and Shh in the presence versus absence of DAPT;  $^{**}p$  < .001 for control versus 20S versus Shh all in the presence of DAPT. (D) \*\*\*  $p < .0001$  for control versus 20S and Shh in the presence or absence of DAPT. (E) \*\*\*  $p < .0001$  for control and 20S + DAPT versus 20S and  $\sp{p}$  < .05 for control versus DAPT. (F)  $^{***}p$  < .0001 for control versus immobilized Jagged-1.



Fig. 5. 20(S)-Hydroxycholesterol (20S) and Shh induce Notch target genes independent of the canonical Notch signaling pathway. (A) M2 cells at 70% confluence in a 24 well plate were transiently transfected with CBF-1 luciferase reporter construct pTK-luciferase plasmid and pTK-Renilla-luciferase plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) using Fugene 6 Transfection Reagents from Roche (Indianapolis, IN, USA). Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were treated with control vehicle, Notch interacellular domain (NICD) overexpression vector, 5 µM 20S, or 200 ng/mL Shh for 24 and 48 hours. Notch activation of CBF-1 was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. Transfection efficiency was monitored by cotransfecting with a plasmid expressing green fluorescent protein. (B, C, E, F) M2 cells were treated at confluence with control vehicle or 5  $\mu$ M 20S or cultured on 2.5 or 5  $\mu$ g/mL immobilized Jagged-1 for real-time PCR and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity analyses. After 48 hours of treatment, HES-1, HEY-1, ALP, and bone sialoprotein (BSP) mRNA expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. (D) After 72 hours of treatment, ALP activity using whole-cell extracts was measured by a colorimetric method. Fold changes in gene expression compared with the control cells were calculated using the  $\Delta\Delta C_t$  method and reported as the mean of triplicate determination  $\pm$  SD. (G) M2 cells at confluence were treated with control vehicle (control), cultured on 5  $\mu$ g/mL immobilized Jagged-1, or treated with 5  $\mu$ M 20S. After 48 and 72 hours of treatment, nuclear extracts were collected for Western blotting using antibodies to NICD and  $\beta$ -actin. (A)  $^{***}$ P < .0001 for control versus NICD. (B)  $^{***}$ P < .0001 for control versus 20S and Jagged-1 (2.5 and 5 µg/mL). (C)  $^{**}$ P < .001 for control versus 20S; \*\*\* $p < .0001$  for control versus Jagged-1 (2.5 and 5  $\mu$ g/mL). (D–F) \*\*\* $p < 0.0001$  for control versus 20S.

# **Discussion**

In this study we demonstrated that 20S induces the expression of the Notch target genes HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 in murine M2 MSCs. Induction of Notch target gene expression by 20S appears to be mediated through Hedgehog signaling because cyclopamine, a Hedgehog pathway inhibitor, completely blocked 20S induction of Notch target gene expression, and 20S did not induce Notch target genes in  $Smo^{-/-}$  MEFs, which cannot generate Hedgehog pathway signaling. However, unlike HES-1, LXR activation by 20S appears to cooperate with Hedgehog signaling to induce HEY-1 expression in response to 20S and therefore is inhibited significantly by LXR siRNA. A similar pattern of responses was observed when MSCs were treated with Shh. A recent study in C3H10T1/2 embryonic fibroblasts and MNS70 neuronal cells by Ingram and colleagues<sup>(22)</sup> suggested that Shh regulates HES-1 expression through a mechanism that is independent of canonical Notch signaling.<sup>(22)</sup> It was shown that DAPT decreased baseline HES-1 levels in both cell types but that there was no reduction in the fold change in HES-1 mRNA expression induced by Shh treatment in the presence of DAPT, suggesting that DAPT inhibited baseline and not Shh-induced HES-1 expression.<sup>(22)</sup> Moreover, it was reported recently that Shh directly regulates HES-1 expression in retinal progenitor cells through a Gli2-dependant and Notch-independent mechanism.<sup>(24)</sup> Based on similar findings in our present studies, we also conclude that in MSCs, the canonical Notch signaling inhibitor DAPT inhibits baseline but not 20S- or Shh-induced HES-1 expression. Moreover, we also found that DAPT treatment significantly inhibited baseline as well as 20S-induced ALP mRNA expression on day 6, which most likely is due to the inhibition of baseline HES-1 expression with minimal, if any, effects on 20Sinduced HES-1 expression.

In addition, DAPT did not inhibit 20S- or Shh-induced HEY-1 expression, whereas cyclopamine completely inhibited its expression. The lower baseline level of expression of HEY-1 compared with HES-1 and the inability of DAPT to inhibit baseline HEY-1 expression while inhibiting baseline HES-1 expression suggest differences in transcriptional regulation of these Notch target genes in MSCs. Our data also showed that 20S and Shh induction of Notch target genes was not accompanied by an increase in CBF-1 luciferase reporter activity or NICD protein accumulation in the nucleus, indicating that the induction of Notch target gene expression by 20S or Shh occurs independent of NICD-CBF-1 activation. Although we found that 20S did moderately induce Jagged-1 mRNA expression, Jagged-1 protein levels were not induced by 20S or Shh beyond baseline levels. This finding confirms the lack of any increase in either CBF-1 reporter activity or nuclear content of NICD, which would have been caused if Jagged-1 protein expression was induced by 20S or Shh. In a preliminary screen of 5 kb upstream of the transcription start site in mouse HES-1 and HEY-1 genes, we found several potential Gli-binding sites (data not shown), suggesting that Gli may directly regulate the expression of Notch target genes. Indeed, a recent report demonstrated direct binding of Gli2 to HES-1 promoter in retinal progenitor cells treated with Shh.<sup>(24)</sup> Altogether, our findings demonstrate and

confirm the activation of Notch target genes through a Hedgehog signaling–dependent mechanism in MSCs.

Previously we reported that specific oxysterols stimulate osteogenic differentiation of MSCs through various signaling pathways, including Hedgehog, Wnt, PKC, PKA, and PI3K.<sup>(32,33,40)</sup> The present study suggests that induction of HES-1 and HEY-1 expression by the osteogenic oxysterol 20S mediates stimulation of osteogenic differentiation of MSCs because HES-1 and HEY-1 knockdown significantly reduced oxysterol induction of the expression of the osteogenic genes ALP, BSP, and OCN. A potential role for HES-1 in the regulation of the osteogenic differentiation of pluripotent MSCs has been reported previously.<sup>(5,15,46)</sup> McLarren and colleagues<sup>(15)</sup> demonstrated that HES-1 physically interacts with RUNX2, a master regulator of osteogenesis, and potentiates RUNX2-mediated transcriptional activity by interfering with interaction of RUNX2 with corepressors (TLE proteins).<sup>(15)</sup> Moreover, stimulation of HES-1 expression by canonical Notch signaling has been shown to increase BMP-2 induced ALP activity and type I collagen and RUNX2 mRNA expression, whereas inhibition of Notch signaling by the dominant-negative extracellular domain of Notch-1 or HES-1 siRNA significantly reduced BMP-2-induced responses.<sup>(5)</sup> These reports are consistent with a positive regulatory role for HES-1 in oxysterol-induced osteogenesis. Although it is plausible that 20Sinduced HES-1 expression enhances osteogenic differentiation of MSCs through positive regulation of Runx2, future studies will examine this possibility directly.

HEY-1 also may play a regulatory role in osteogenic differentiation. Our present studies suggest that the induction of HEY-1 by 20S oxysterol plays an important role in 20S-induced osteogenic differentiation of MSCs. This finding is in agreement with the results of a recent study of BMP-9 induction of osteogenic differentiation in pluripotent C3H10T1/2 embryonic fibroblasts.<sup>(17)</sup> Sharff and colleagues demonstrated that BMP-9 significantly induced HEY-1 at an early stage of BMP-9 induction of osteogenic differentiation. HEY-1 knockdown caused the inhibition of BMP-9-induced osteogenic differentiation both in vitro and in vivo, whereas HEY-1 overexpression increased BMP-9-mediated stimulation of late-stage mineralization of bone matrix.<sup>(17)</sup> It also was demonstrated that HEY-1 and RUNX2 synergistically increased BMP-9-induced osteogenic differentiation.<sup>(17)</sup> However, other studies have suggested that  $HEY-1$  may act as a negative regulator of osteogenic differentiation.<sup>(18,47)</sup> For example, it has been shown that BMP-2 stimulates HEY-1 expression in mouse MC3T3 and C2C12 cells and that HEY-1 gene knockdown with siRNA significantly increases bone matrix mineralization, suggesting that HEY-1 is a negative regulator of osteoblast maturation.<sup>(47)</sup>

In vivo studies of the role of Notch signaling in bone formation also have resulted in complex findings. Recently, Hilton and colleagues<sup> $(18)$ </sup> showed that mice lacking the key Notch signaling components Presenilin-1 and -2 and Notch-1 and -2 have excessive cancellous bone development and a decreased number of bone marrow mesenchymal progenitors compared with WT mice, suggesting that Notch signaling may play a positive role in maintaining the mesenchymal cell progenitor cell population while inhibiting its osteogenic maturation.<sup>(18)</sup> However, the decreased progenitor pool observed in Notchdeficient mice is associated with severe bone loss with age, suggesting that Notch signaling is important in the long-term maintenance of a pool of mesenchymal cell progenitors required for bone homeostasis.<sup>(18)</sup> Thus, although short-term inhibition of Notch signaling might augment bone formation by enhancing

osteoblast maturation, in the longer term, Notch signaling appears to be essential for coordinating maintenance of the mesenchymal cell progenitor pool and the proper regulation of osteoblastogenesis and bone formation. Moreover, it has been reported that mice deficient in Dll-3 or Presenilin-1, key



components of the canonical Notch signaling pathway, have severely impaired skeletal development, suggesting that Notch signaling is important in this process.<sup>(8,13,14)</sup> Accordingly, although the Notch signaling pathway appears to play an important role in osteogenic differentiation and bone formation, the specific mechanisms of its actions in this regard remain undefined. Furthermore, since we found that activation of canonical Notch signaling did not induce osteogenic differentiation of MSCs in this study, it will be important to further identify the interactions between canonical Notch signaling and other signal-transduction pathways that result in enhanced osteogenesis and bone formation. Our present findings suggest that canonical Notch signaling may act in cooperation with Hedgehog signaling to positively regulate osteogenesis.

In summary, our studies demonstrated that the osteogenic oxysterol 20S and Shh both induce the expression of the Notch target genes HES-1, HEY-1, and HEY-2 in MSCs both through activation of Hedgehog signaling and via a pathway independent of canonical Notch signaling (Fig. 7). Interestingly, as suggested by our studies using siRNA knockdown of LXRs, we found that LXR activation by 20S plays a role in the induction of HEY-1 but not HES-1 mRNA expression. Such effects of LXR activation on HEY-1 expression is perhaps through cooperation with 20S-induced Hedgehog signaling because induction of HEY-1 expression in cells cultured on immobilized Jagged-1, which does not stimulate Hedgehog pathway activity, did not induce osteogenesis. In addition, our studies showed that HES-1 and HEY-1 play a significant role in oxysterol-induced osteogenic differentiation of MSCs. Given the differences in the reports of the role of Notch target genes in osteogenesis, various experimental systems, and their apparent role in mediating the osteogenic effects of oxysterols in vitro, future mechanistic in vivo studies are required to determine the role of HES and HEY genes in oxysterol-induced osteogenesis and bone formation. Furthermore, given the subtle but important differences in osteogenic programs used by osteoblasts derived from the neural crest (e.g., calvarial osteoblasts) versus bone marrow mesenchymal cells, it will be important that future studies that elucidate the in vivo molecular mechanisms of oxysterol-induced osteogenesis also determine any differences that might exist in oxysterol actions when targeting osteoprogenitors from different origins. Development of an improved understanding of the molecular mechanisms by which osteogenic oxysterols stimulate the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs should enhance current

20(S)-Hydroxycholesterol



Osteogenic Differentiation

Fig. 7. Regulation of osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow stromal cells (MSCs) by 20(S)-hydroxycholesterol (20S). Osteogenic oxysterol 20S induces Notch target gene expression in MSCs mainly through activation of hedgehog (Hh) signaling and in part through LXR signaling. 20Sinduced osteogenesis is regulated in part by Notch target genes HES-1 and HEY-1.

understanding of the regulation of osteogenesis and potentially could lead to the development of novel oxysterol-based therapies for interventions in osteoporosis and enhancement of bone healing.

# **Disclosures**

All the authors state that they have no conflicts of interest.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NIAMS, National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant RO1 AR050426, and a Pilot Study Award from the UCLA Claude Pepper Older American Independence Center funded by the National Institute of Aging Grant 5P30AG028748. We thank Dr Philip Beachy (Stanford University) for providing the  $Smo^{-/-}$  MEFs. We are grateful to Brendan D'Souza (UCLA Department of Biological Chemistry) for critical review of this manuscript and insightful discussions.

Fig. 6. HES-1 and HEY-1 siRNA significantly inhibit 20(S)-hydroxycholesterol (20S)-induced osteogenic gene expression in MSCs. To knock down HES-1 and HEY-1 expression, M2 cells at 70% confluence were transfected with siRNA to a final concentration of 50 nM of either siRNA. At 100% confluence, transfected cells were treated with control vehicle or 5  $\mu$ M 20S for 3 days. After 3 days of incubation, HES-1, HEY-1 (A, E), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) (B, F), bone sialoprotein (BSP) (C, G), and ABCA1 mRNA expression (I) was measured by quantitative real-time PCR. Fold changes in gene expression relative to control cells were calculated using the  $\Delta\Delta C_r$  method and reported as the mean of triplicate determination  $\pm$  SD. Osteocalcin (OCN) mRNA expression was measured after 6 days of incubation (D, H). For Western blotting of HES-1 and  $\beta$ -actin, whole-cell lysates were collected after 72 hours of control vehicle or 5 µM 20S treatment in M2 cells transfected with either scramble control or HES-1 siRNA  $(J)$ . (A) \*\*p < .001 for control versus 20S and for 20S in the presence of scrambled versus HES-1 siRNA;  $p < .05$  for control in the presence of scrambled versus HES-1 siRNA. (B, C) \*\*\* $p < .0001$  for control versus 20S in the presence of scrambled or HES-1 siRNA and for 20S in the presence of scrambled versus HES-1 siRNA. (D) \*\*\*p < .0001 for control in the presence of scrambled versus HES-1 siRNA and for 20S in the presence of scrambled versus HES-1 siRNA and for control versus 20S in the presence of scrambled siRNA.  $(E)$  \*\*\* $p$  < .0001 for control in the presence of scrambled versus HEY-1 siRNA and for 20S in the presence of scrambled versus HEY-1 siRNA and for control versus 20S in the presence of scrambled siRNA. (F, G) \*\*\*  $p < .0001$  for control versus 20S in the presence and absence of HEY-1 siRNA; \*\*\*  $p < .001$  for 20S in the presence of scrambled versus HEY-1 siRNA. (H) \*\*\*  $p < .0001$  for control versus 20S in the presence of scrambled siRNA and for 20S in the presence of scrambled versus HEY-1 siRNA. (J)  $^*p$  < .05 for control versus 20S in the presence of scrambled or either HES-1 or HEY1 siRNA.

## **References**

- 1. Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Rand MD, Lake RJ. Notch signaling: cell fate control and signal integration in development. Science. 1999;284: 770–776.
- 2. Nichols JT, Miyamoto A, Olsen SL, D'Souza B, Yao C, Weinmaster G. DSL ligand endocytosis physically dissociates Notch1 heterodimers before activating proteolysis can occur. J Cell Biol. 2007;176:445–458.
- 3. Iso T, Kedes L, Hamamori Y. HES and HERP families: multiple effectors of the notch signaling pathway. J Cell Physiol. 2003;194:237–255.
- 4. Krebs LT, Xue Y, Norton CR, et al. Notch signaling is essential for vascular morphogenesis in mice. Genes Dev. 2000;12:1343–1352.
- 5. Nobta M, Tsukazak T, Shibata Y, et al. Critical regulation of bone morphogenetic protein–induced osteoblastic differentiation by Delta1/Jagged1-activated Notch1 signaling. J Biol Chem. 2005;280: 15842–15848.
- 6. Ross DA, Rao PK, Kadesch T. Dual roles for the Notch target gene Hes-1 in the differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. Mol Cell Biol. 2004;24:3505–3513.
- 7. Conboy IM, Rando TA. The regulation of Notch signaling controls satellite cell activation and cell fate determination in postnatal myogenesis. Dev Cell. 2002;3:397–409.
- 8. Dunwoodie SL, Clements M, Sparrow DB, Sa X, Conlon RA, Beddington RS. Axial skeletal defects caused by mutation in the spondylocostal dysplasia/pudgy gene Dll3 are associated with disruption of the segmentation clock within the presomitic mesoderm. Development. 2002;129:1795–1806.
- 9. Kageyama R, Ohtsuka T, Hatakeyama J, Ohsawa R. Roles of bHLH genes in neural stem cell differentiation. Exp Cell Res. 2005;306:343– 348.
- 10. Fiuza U, Arias AM. Cell and molecular biology of Notch. J Endocrinol. 2007;194:459–474.
- 11. Blank U, Karlsson G, Karlsson S. Signaling pathways governing stemcell fate. Blood. 2008;111:492–503.
- 12. Gridley T. Notch signaling in vascular development and physiology. Development. 2007;134:2709–2762.
- 13. Shen J, Bronson RT, Chen DF, Xia W, Selkoe DJ, Tonegawa S. Skeletal and CNS defects in presenilin-1-deficient mice. Cell. 1997;89:629– 639.
- 14. Wong PC, Zheng H, Chen H, et al. Presenilin 1 is required for Notch 1 and Dll1 expression in the paraxial mesoderm. Nature. 1997;387:288– 292.
- 15. McLarren KW, Lo R, Grbavec D, Thirunavukkarasu K, Karsenty G, Stifani S. The mammalian basic helix loop helix protein HES-1 binds to and modulates the transactivating function of the runt-related factor Cbfa1. J Biol Chem. 2000;275:530–538.
- 16. Tezuka K, Yasuda M, Watanabe N, et al. Stimulation of osteoblastic cell differentiation by Notch. J Bone Miner Res. 2002;17:231–239.
- 17. Sharff KA, Song WX, Luo X, et al. Hey1 basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) protein plays an important role in mediating BMP9 induced osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal progenitor cells. J Biol Chem. 2009;284:649–659.
- 18. Hilton MJ, Tu X, Wu X, et al. Notch signaling maintains bone marrow mesenchymal progenitors by suppressing osteoblast differentiation. Nat Med. 2008;14:306–314.
- 19. Zavadil J, Cermak L, Soto-Nieves N, Bottinger EP. Integration of TGFb/smad and jagged1/Notch signaling in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Eur Mol Biol Org J. 2004;23:1155–1165.
- 20. Lawson ND, Vogel AM, Weinstein BM. Sonic hedgehog and vascular endothelial growth factor act upstream of the Notch pathway during arterial endothelial differentiation. Develop Cell. 2002;3:127–136.
- 21. Solecki DJ, Liu X, Tomoda T, Fang Y, Hatten ME. Activated Notch2 signaling inhibits differentiation of cerebellar granule neuron precursors by maintaining proliferation. Neuron. 2001;31:557–568.
- 22. Ingram WJ, McCue KI, Tran TH, Hallahan AR, Wainwright BJ. Sonic hedgehog regulates Hes1 through a novel mechanism that is independent of canonical Notch pathway signaling. Oncogene. 2008; 27:1489–1500.
- 23. Curry CL, Reed LL, Nickoloff BJ, Miele L, Foreman KE. Notchindependent regulation of Hes-1 expression by c-Jun N-terminal kinase signaling in human endothelial cells. Lab Invest. 2006;86: 842–852.
- 24. Wall DS, Mears AJ, McNeill B, et al. Progenitor cell proliferation in the retina is dependent on Notch-independent sonic hedgehog/Hes-1 activity. J Cell Biol. 2009;184:101–112.
- 25. Bjorkhem I, Meaney S, Diczfalusy U. Oxysterols in human circulation: which role do they have? Curr Opin Lipidol. 2002;13:247–253.
- 26. Hanley K, Ng DC, He SS, et al. Oxysterols induce differentiation in human keratinocytes and increase Ap-1 dependent involucrin transcription. J Invest Dermatol. 2000;114:545–553.
- 27. Venkateswaran A, Laffitte BA, Joseph SB, et al. Control of cellular cholesterol efflux by the nuclear oxysterol receptor LXRa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000;22:12097–12102.
- 28. Vaya J, Aviram M, Mahmood S, et al. Selective distribution of oxysterols in atherosclerotic lesions and human plasma lipoproteins. Free Radic Res. 2001;34:485–497.
- 29. Panini SR, Sinensky MS. Mechanisms of oxysterol-induced apoptosis. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2001;12:529–533.
- 30. Kha HT, Basseri B, Shouhed D, et al. Oxysterols regulate differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells: pro-bone and anti-fat. J Bone Miner Res. 2004;19:830–840.
- 31. Richardson JA, Amantea CM, Kianmahd B, et al. Oxysterol-induced osteoblastic differentiation of pluripotent mesenchymal cells is mediated through a PKC- and PKA-dependent pathway. J Cell Biochem. 2007;100:1131–1145.
- 32. Dwyer JR, Sever N, Carlson M, Nelson SF, Beachy PA, Parhami F. Oxysterols are novel activators of the hedgehog signaling pathway in pluripotent mesenchymal cells. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:8959– 8968.
- 33. Kim WK, Meliton V, Amantea CM, Hahn TJ, Parhami F. 20(S)-hydroxycholesterol inhibits PPARg expression and adipogenic differentiation of bone marrow stromal cells through a hedgehog-dependent mechanism. J Bone Min Res. 2007;22:1711–1719.
- 34. Aghaloo TL, Amantea CM, Cowan CM, et al. Oxysterols enhance osteoblast differentiation in vitro and bone healing in vivo. J Orthop Res. 2007;25:1488–1497.
- 35. Taipale J, Chen JK, Cooper MK, et al. Effects of oncogenic mutations in smoothened and patched can be reversed by cyclopamine. Nature. 2000;406:1005–1009.
- 36. Taipale J, Cooper MK, Maiti T, Beachy PA. Patched acts catalytically to suppress the activity of Smoothened. Nature. 2002;418:892–897.
- 37. Lehar SM, Bevan MJ. T cells develop normally in the absence of both Deltex1 and Deltex2. Mol Cell Biol. 2006;26:7358–7371.
- 38. Fischer A, Schumacher N, Maier M, Sendtner M, Gessler M. The Notch target genes Hey1 and Hey2 are required for embryonic vascular development. Genes Dev. 2004;18:901–911.
- 39. Taichman DB, Loomes KM, Schachtner SK, et al. Notch1 and Jagged1 expression by the developing pulmonary vasculature. Devel Dyn. 2002;225:166–175.
- 40. Varnum-Finney B, Wu L, Yu M, et al. Immobilization of Notch ligand Delta-1 is required for induction of Notch signaling. J Cell Sci. 2000;113:4313–4318.
- 41. Beckstead BL, Santosa DM, Giachelli CM. Mimicking cell-cell interactions at the biomaterial-cell interface for control of stem cell differentiation. J Biomed Mater Res. 2006;79A:94–103.
- 42. Kim WK, Meliton V, Park KW, et al. Negative regulation of hedgehog signaling by liver X receptors. J Mol Endocr. 2009; doi:10.1210/me. 2008-0453.
- 43. Albers M, Blume B, Schlueter T, et al. A novel principle for partial agonism of liver X receptor ligands. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:4920–4930.
- 44. Edwards PA, Ericsson J. Sterols and isoprenoids: Signaling molecules derived from the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway. Annu Rev Biochem. 1999;68:157–185.
- 45. Geling A, Steiner H, Willem M, Bally-Cuif L, Haass C. A  $\gamma$ -secretase inhibitor blocks Notch signaling in vivo and causes a severe neurogenic phenotype in zebrafish. EMBO Rep. 2002;3:688–694.
- 46. McLarren KW, Theriault FM, Stifani S. Association with the nuclear matrix and interaction with Groucho and Runx proteins regulate the transcription repression activity of the basic helix loop helix factor Hes1. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:1578–1584.
- 47. Zamurovic N, Cappellen D, Rohner D, Susa M. Coordinated activation of Notch, Wnt, and transforming growth factor- $\beta$  signaling pathways in bone morphogenic protein 2-induced osteogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2004;279:37704–37715.