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#### Abstract

In our overall goal to develop multifunctional dopamine $D_{2} / D_{3}$ agonist drugs for the treatment of Parkinson's disease (PD), we previously synthesized potent $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ preferring agonist D-264 (1a), which exhibited neuroprotective properties in two animal models of PD. To enhance the in vivo efficacy of $\mathbf{1 a}$, a structure-activity relationship study was carried out. Competitive binding and $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ functional assays identified compound ( - )-9b as one of the 

Higher in vivo efficacy of potent D3 preferring agonist lead molecules with preferential $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ agonist activity $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}) ; \mathrm{D}_{3}=0.10 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)\right.$ : 159). Compounds ( - )-9b and ( - )-8b exhibited high in vivo activity in two PD animal models, reserpinized and 6 -hydroxydopamine (OHDA)-induced unilateral lesioned rats. On the other hand, 1a failed to show any in vivo activity in these models unless the compound was dissolved in $5-10 \%$ beta-hydroxy propyl cyclodextrin solution. Lead compounds exhibited appreciable radical scavenging activity. In vitro experiments with dopaminergic MN9D cells indicated neuroprotection by both $\mathbf{1 a}$ and (-)-9b from toxicity of MPP+.


## INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive age-related neurodegenerative disorder of the central nervous system that is characterized by gradual loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra region of the brain. ${ }^{1}$ It is estimated that PD affects $1-2 \%$ of the people older than 65 years of age. According to a statistical analysis published by the Parkinson's Disease foundation, approximately 60000 Americans are diagnosed with PD each year, and an estimated $7-10$ million people worldwide are living with PD. Common symptoms associated with PD include rigidity, bradykinesia, resting tremors, postural instability, and cognitive psychiatric complications. ${ }^{2-4}$ The etiology of PD is not clear yet, but it has been shown that both mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress are interdependent, which is thought to play a central role in the pathogenesis of the disease process. ${ }^{5,6}$ Oxidative stress and excessive amounts of metals especially iron can lead to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). These mitochondria-derived ROS inhibit mitochondrial respiration and promote the aggregation of alpha synuclein protein ( $\alpha \mathrm{SN}$ ), which ultimately forms Lewy bodies (LBs) and Lewy neuritis (LN). ${ }^{7}$ LBs and LN are neuropathological hallmarks of PD and toxic toward dopaminergic neurons. Levodopa (L-DOPA) became available in 1960 for the treatment of PD and is still being considered a main stream therapy. ${ }^{8}$ However, prolonged use of L-DOPA gives rise to "on" and "off" episodes along with motor fluctuations, and
eventual oxidation of dopamine (DA) derived from L-DOPA further facilitates neurodegeneration. ${ }^{9}$ One of the current strategies of PD therapy is to delay the initiation of L-DOPA therapy, by using various combinations of other therapeutic agents including, but not limited to, DA agonists, inhibitors of DA metabolism. ${ }^{10}$ However, none of these strategies address the limitations of L-DOPA. Therefore, the need for therapeutic agents with disease-modifying effects is of paramount importance.

The DA receptors, belonging to a class of G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family, are mainly found in the central nervous system (CNS) (controlling neuronal signaling thereby modulating many important behaviors) and in the periphery (to affect cardiovascular and renal functions). ${ }^{10}$ The $\mathrm{D}_{1}$-like receptors ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ subtypes) and the $\mathrm{D}_{2}$-like receptors $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{3}\right.$, and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ subtypes) transduce signals via adenylate cyclase, an effectors molecule. Upon receptor activation, $\mathrm{D}_{1}$-like receptors activate adenylate cyclase, whereas $\mathrm{D}_{2}$-like receptors inhibit it. Interestingly, the $\mathrm{DA}_{3}$ receptor has a different distribution in the brain compared to the $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ receptor. ${ }^{11,12}$ The $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor is found to be densest in the limbic region of the brain, whereas the highest level of $D_{2}$ expression is in the striatum of the midbrain. ${ }^{13}$ It is important to mention that $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor subtypes exhibit

[^0]$50 \%$ homology in their amino acid sequence, which increases to $75-80 \%$ in the helical transmembrane spanning domains, where agonist binding sites are believed to be located. ${ }^{14,15}$ This makes the task of developing $\mathrm{D}_{3}$-selective ligands challenging. Interestingly, $\mathrm{DA}_{3}$ preferring agonists were shown to provide an additional neuroprotective effect compared to the DA $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ receptor agonist, probably via the production of neurotrophic factor. ${ }^{16,17}$ An enormous amount of work has been done to develop $D_{3}$ selective agonists and to identify key pharmacophoric features responsible for selectivity for $D_{3}$ receptor over $D_{2} .{ }^{18-27}$ It is important to mention that $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor bound to an antagonist was recently crystallized to provide a detailed molecular structure. ${ }^{28}$

The research from the past two decades in the PD area has provided more insights into the basic pathogenetic factors of PD such as roles of oxidative stress, aggregation of $\alpha \mathrm{SN}$ proteins in the form of soluble toxic aggregates and fibrils, and increased concentration of iron in the PD brain. ${ }^{29-31} \alpha \mathrm{SN}$ is a component of Lewy bodies, a pathological hallmark of PD. $\alpha$ SN along with oxidized DA (DA-quinone) could have a synergistic effect in terms of disease susceptibility and progression. ${ }^{32-35}$

It is increasingly evident that drugs aimed at a single target may be inadequate for the treatment of complex diseases such as PD, which is multifactorial in nature. Thus, it is hypothesized that multifunctional drugs exhibiting multiple pharmacological activities addressing underlying pathogenic factors of PD will be effective as disease modifying agents. ${ }^{36}$ With this in mind, we initiated our drug discovery approach aimed at identifying novel multifunctional agents possessing $\mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}$ agonist or $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ preferring agonist activity along with antioxidant, iron chelating, and modulation of $\alpha \mathrm{SN}$ aggregation activities. In this regard, we have designed and explored a novel, hybrid molecular template by combining known $\mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}$ agonists with $\mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}$ antagonist fragments, which led to the development of a number of potent $D_{3}$ preferring and $D_{2} / D_{3}$ agonists and lead molecules exhibiting potent in vivo activity in PD animal models. ${ }^{37-44}$ One such lead compound, D-264 (1a, Figure 1), exhibited potent in vivo


Figure 1. Molecular structures of $\mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}$ agonists.
activity in PD animal models and also exhibited neuroprotective properties in two different PD animal neuroprotection models. ${ }^{45}$

Although its neuroprotective action is an important feature, 1a suffers from poor in vivo efficacy probably due to lack of sufficient brain penetration, although additionally high plasma protein binding and possibly binding to adipose tissue can also potentially contribute to less efficacy. In vivo activity of 1a was enhanced significantly when 1a was solubilized in 5-10\% $\beta$-hydroxy-propyl-cyclodextrin (BHPC) solution presumably by encapsulating the molecule leading to enhanced blood brain barrier penetration of $\mathbf{1 a}$. The present structure-activity study
with 1a-related compounds has been designed to enhance the in vivo efficacy without compromising their multifunctional agonist and neuroprotective properties. Introduction of different polar hydroxyl group(s) will contribute toward reducing the lipophilicity of the parent 1a, which will help bring the compounds, for example, ( - )-9b, more in compliance with Lipinski's rule of five compared to the parent $\mathbf{1 a} .^{46}$ Consequently, this should contribute toward a higher in vivo efficacy.

## CHEMISTRY

Scheme 1 describes the synthesis of final compounds ( $\pm$ )-8a, $( \pm)-8 \mathrm{~b},( \pm)-8 \mathrm{c},( \pm)-9 \mathrm{a},( \pm)-9 \mathrm{~b},( \pm)-9 \mathrm{c},( \pm)-9 \mathrm{~d},(-)-11$ and their enantiomers. Iodination of phenyl piperazine was done following the literature procedure. The 1-(4-iodophenyl) piperazine was treated with $t$-Boc-anhydride to synthesize the $t$-Boc protected intermediate (1). The $t$-Boc protected intermediate was then subjected to Suzuki coupling reaction ${ }^{47,48}$ with various commercially available substituted benzene boronic acids. The amine protecting $t$-Boc group was removed by using trifluoroacetic acid. The free amines ( $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{d}$ ) were subjected to N -alkylation reaction with TBDMS protected bromoalcohol to get intermediates ( $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{- e}$ ) which further underwent TBDMS elimination using tetrabutyl ammonium fluoride (TBAF) solution to get the alcohol intermediate ( $\mathbf{6 a}-\mathbf{e}$ ). These alcohol intermediates ( $\mathbf{6 a} \mathbf{- e}$ ) were oxidized under Swern oxidation conditions to get the arylpiperazine aldehydes ( $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{e}$ ), which were further condensed with $( \pm)-, S-(-)$, or $R-(+)$-pramipexole under reductive amination conditions to give four final compounds $( \pm)-\mathbf{8 a},( \pm)-8 \mathbf{b},( \pm)-8 \mathbf{c},(-)-8 \mathbf{b}$ and the four carbon linker intermediate $( \pm)-8 \mathrm{~d}$. The demethylation of these intermediates with either boron tribromide or with freshly distilled aqueous hydrobromic acid (48\%) yielded the four final compounds ( $\mathbf{9 a} \mathbf{- d}$ ) and their enantiomers. One of the intermediates described in Scheme 1, the arylpiperazine aldehyde, 7b, was subjected under reductive amination conditions to react with (S)-(5-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphthalen-2-yl)-propylamine to get corresponding mehtoxy intermediates (-)-10 and subsequently was treated with aqueous hydrobromic acid (48\%) to furnish the final compound (-)-11.

Scheme 2 depicts the synthesis of final target compounds ( $\pm$ )-22. 2-Methoxyaniline (12) was subjected to cyclization by following the literature procedure ${ }^{49}$ to produce the intermediate 13. Further, bromination of the intermediate, 13, yielded bromo derivative, 14. This amine intermediate, 14, was converted into $t$ Boc protected compound, $\mathbf{1 5}$, followed by their Suzuki coupling reaction with commercially available benzene boronic acids, and subsequently $t$-Boc group was removed by using TFA to yield 17 . The free amine intermediate $\mathbf{1 7}$ was N -alkylated with (2-bromo ethoxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilane to get compound 18, which on TBDMS elimination yielded alcohol, 19. Compound 19 was converted into aldehyde derivatives 20 under Swern oxidation conditions followed by condensation with ( $\pm$ )-pramipexole under reductive amination conditions and subsequently treated with aqueous hydrobromic acid (48\%) to yield the final compound 22.

In Scheme 3, we describe the synthesis of bioisosteric analogues of 2-aminothiazole agonist pharmacophoic headgroup using the quinazoline moiety. The quanazoline derivatives were synthesized as reported in our earlier publication. Briefly, 1,4cyclohexanedionemonoethyleneketal, on treatment with $n$-propylamine under reductive amination conditions, yielded intermediate 23. This intermediate 23 was coupled with

## Scheme $1^{a}$




2a, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$,
2b, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$,
2c, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$, 2d, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$,


3a, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
3b, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
3c, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
3d, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$


6a-e

4a, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
4b, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
4c, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
4d, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=H, R_{3}=H$

7a, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H} n=1$
5a, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=$ 5b, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=1$
5c, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{n}=1$
5d, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=H, R_{3}=H, n=1$
Ga, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=$
6b, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H} n=1$
6c, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3} n=1$
6d, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=H, R_{3}=H n=1$
7b, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=1$
7c, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3} \mathrm{n}=1$
7d, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=H, R_{3}=H, n=1$
5e, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=O C H_{3}, R_{3}=H, n=3 \quad 6 e, R_{1}=H, R_{2}=O C H_{3}, R_{3}=H, n=3$

( $\pm$ ) $8 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=1$
$( \pm) \mathbf{8 b},(-) \mathbf{8 b},(+) \mathbf{8 b}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H} \mathrm{n}=1$
( $\pm$ ) $\mathbf{8 c}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, n=1$
$( \pm) 8 d, R_{1}=H, R_{2}=O C H_{3}, R_{3}=H, n=3$
( 8 d was synthesized using compound 7e)

(-) $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$,


( $\pm$ ) $9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=1$
$( \pm) \mathbf{9 b},(-) \mathbf{9 b},(+) \mathbf{9 b}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=1$
( $\pm$ ) $9 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{n}=1$
( $\pm$ ) $9 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=3$

(-) $11, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}$,
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) ICI, acetic acid, water, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$; (b) ( Boc$)_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, dichloromethane, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dimethoxy ethane, ethanol, $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 65-70 \%$; (iii) TFA, dichloromethane, rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 90-95 \%$; (iv) (2-bromoethoxy)-(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetonitrile, reflux, $14 \mathrm{~h}, 80-85 \%$ (for compound $\mathbf{5 a - d}$ ); (v) (4-bromobutoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetonitrile, reflux, 14 h (for compound 5 e ), $80 \%$ (vi) $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}$, THF, rt, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 90-95 \%$; (vii) oxalyl chloride, DMSO, TEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CI} 2,-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, $65-70 \%$; (viii) ( $\pm$ )-pramipexole, ( - )-pramipexole, (+)-pramipexole $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CI}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 60-65 \%$; (ix) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CI}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$; (for compound ( $\pm$ )-8a, $( \pm)-\mathbf{8 b}$, and ( $\pm$ )-8c), $60-65 \%, 48 \mathrm{~h}$; (x) $48 \%$ aq. HBr , reflux, $6 \mathrm{~h}, 75-80 \%$ (for compound ( - )-8b, (+)-8b, and for $\mathbf{8 d}$ ). (xi) (S)-(5-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphthalen-2-yl)-propyl-amine, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CI}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$; (xii) $48 \%$ aq. HBr, reflux, $6 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$.
aldehyde $7 \mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$ under reductive amination conditions to afford 24a and 24b. Removal of the ketal group by dilute HCl in THF followed by ring formation in a two-step synthesis afforded the final compound 26a and the intermediate 26b. Final target 27
was produced by demethylation of methoxy group of $\mathbf{2 6 b}$, using $48 \%$ aqueous HBr .

The synthesis of the final compound 35 is shown in Scheme 4. Mono-t-Boc protected amine 28 was reacted with commercially

Scheme $2^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) Bis(2-chloroethyl)amine, diethylene glycol monomethyl ether, $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h} ; 65-70 \%$ (ii) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, $\mathrm{NaOH}, 80 \%$; (iii) ( Boc$)_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, dichloromethane, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 75-80 \%$; (iv) phenyl boronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dimethoxy ethane, ethanol, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 76-80 \%$; (v) TFA, dichloromethane, rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 90-95 \%$; (vi) (2-bromo ethoxy)-tert-butyl-dimethyl-silane, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 12 h , 80-85\%; (vii) $n$-Bu ${ }_{4} \mathrm{NF}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 70-75 \%$; (viii) oxalyl chloride, DMSO, TEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h} ; 65-70 \%$ (ix) ( $\pm$ )-pramipexole, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$; (x) $48 \%$ aq. HBr , reflux, 6 h .
available biphenyl carbonyl chloride 29 at room temperature in THF in the presence of diisopropylethylamine as base to provide 30. The $t$-Boc group was removed using TFA followed by N -alkylation with TBDMS protected bromoethanol, and subsequently the TBDMS group was eliminated using TBAF to yield the corresponding alcohol 33 . Alcohol 33 was converted, under Swern oxidation conditions, into its aldehyde derivative 34 followed by reductive amination with ( $\pm$ )-pramipexole to afford the final compound 35 .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Potency and Agonism at DA $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ Receptors. Our first-generation hybrid compound 1a, a potent and $D_{3}$ preferring agonist with multifunctional properties for potential PD treatment, was the starting point for designing compounds with enhanced in vivo efficacy without compromising agonist potency. The structural modifications are mainly centered on the introduction of methoxy and hydroxyl groups at various positions on the biphenyl moiety of this hybrid molecule.

Methoxy and hydroxyl substitutions also should help us to examine the possible contribution of any hydrogen-bonding interaction originating from this region of the molecule with $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ receptors. Apart from these modifications, other molecular alterations involving bioisosteric replacement of the thiazolidium moiety by aminotetraline or quanazoline rings, change of ethylene linker length, and incorporation of amide bond at the piperazine nitrogen atom distal to the agonist headgroup have also been incorporated.

First, the influence of methoxy and hydroxyl substitutions on the biphenyl ring of $\mathbf{1 a}$ was tested in binding assays with rat DA $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}\left(\mathrm{rD}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{rD}_{3}\right)$ receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells. To this end, racemic derivatives $8 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{9 a - c}$, and 22 were synthesized and characterized. It is evident from Table 1 that most of these compounds displayed high affinity for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ and moderate affinity for $D_{2}$ receptors. Among this series of analogues, compound $9 \mathbf{b}$ with monohydroxyl substitution on the meta position of the phenyl ring distal to the piperazine was found to be the most potent and selective for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ tested at this

Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $7 \mathrm{~d}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{HOAC}, \mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{rt}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 60-70 \%$; (ii) $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}$, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 85-90 \%$; (iii) Tris(dimethylamino)methane, toluene, reflux, guanidine carbonate/EtOH, reflux, $7 \mathrm{~h}, 70-75 \%$; (iv) $48 \%$ aq. HBr , reflux, $8 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$.

Scheme $4^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) Diisopropylethylamine, THF, rt, overnight, $80 \%$; (ii) TFA, dichloromethane, rt, 4 h , $80 \%$; (iii) (2-bromo-ethyl)-tertbutyldimethylsilane, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetonitrile, reflux, $14 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; (iv) $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (v) oxalyl chloride, DMSO, TEA, $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; (vi) ( $\pm$ )-pramipexole, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$.
point ( $K_{i}, D_{2}=347, D_{3}=1.20 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}=289$ ). On the other hand, 22 with the hydroxyl group at the ortho position of the phenyl ring proximal to the piperazine ring proved to be the most
potent of the compounds tested at this point for $\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(K_{i}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=\right.$ $70.6, \mathrm{D}_{3}=2.35 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}=30$ ). Compound $8 \mathbf{b}$, a methoxy analogue of $9 \mathbf{b}$, exhibited a somewhat lower binding affinity at $\mathrm{D}_{2}$

Table 1. Inhibition of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ Spiroperidol Binding to $\mathrm{rD}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}$ and $\mathrm{rD}_{3}$ Receptors Expressed in HEK-293 Cells ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

| compound | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}), \mathrm{rD}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiroperidol | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}), \mathrm{rD}_{3}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiroperidol | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} / \mathrm{D}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (-)-5-OH-DPAT ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $58.8 \pm 11.0$ | $1.36 \pm 0.28$ | 43.2 |
| $1 \mathrm{a}^{\text {b }}$ | $186 \pm 34$ | $2.10 \pm 0.34$ | 86 |
| $\mathbf{l b}^{\text {b }}$ | $1,073 \pm 92$ | $1.84 \pm 0.51$ | 583 |
| 8a | $213 \pm 12$ | $1.41 \pm 0.12$ | 151 |
| 8b | $464 \pm 93$ | $2.11 \pm 0.34$ | 220 |
| $(-)-8 \mathbf{b}$ | $343 \pm 65$ | $2.33 \pm 0.26$ | 147 |
| 8 c | $274 \pm 45$ | $3.57 \pm 0.44$ | 78 |
| 9a | $230 \pm 50$ | $1.17 \pm 0.37$ | 196 |
| 9b | $347 \pm 54$ | $1.20 \pm 0.14$ | 289 |
| (-)-9b | $369 \pm 39$ | $1.73 \pm 0.14$ | 213 |
| (+)-9b | $1507 \pm 312$ | $19.7 \pm 2.1$ | 76 |
| 9c | $208 \pm 15$ | $1.80 \pm 0.38$ | 115 |
| 9d | $567 \pm 83$ | $9.43 \pm 1.14$ | 60 |
| (-)-11 | $27.8 \pm 1.8$ | $0.77 \pm 0.030$ | 36 |
| 22 | $70.6 \pm 10.2$ | $2.35 \pm 0.13$ | 30 |
| 26a | $735 \pm 198$ | $3.65 \pm 0.64$ | 201 |
| 27 | $13,121 \pm 4539$ | $67 \pm 7.8$ | 196 |
| 35 | $1666 \pm 282$ | $9.58 \pm 1.18$ | 174 |

${ }^{a}$ Results are the means $\pm$ SEM for 3-6 experiments each performed in triplicate. ${ }^{b}$ From previous ref 44.
receptor compared to $\mathbf{9 b}$, while $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ affinity decreased approximately 2 -fold in comparison to $9 \mathbf{b}\left(K_{i j}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=464, \mathrm{D}_{3}=2.11 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}=220\right.$ for $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). These results indicated that introduction of monomethoxy and monohydroxyl groups is well tolerated on the distal phenyl ring of 1a and actually increases selectivity for the $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor.

Among the racemic compounds with $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ affinity in the nanomolar range, $\mathbf{9 b}$ exhibited the highest selectivity for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$; we therefore chose this racemic compound for synthesizing both the $(-)$ - and (+)-enantiomer to evaluate the differential potency and selectivity of the enantiomers at DA receptors. In agreement with our earlier results on stereoselectivity in this type of compounds, $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=369 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{3}=1.73 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}=213\right)$ exhibited higher potency at both $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptors compared to (+)-9b ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=1507 \mathrm{nM}, K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{D}_{3}=19.7 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}=76$ ). In compound (-)-9b, an additional hydroxyl functionality is present compared with the parent compound 1a, resulting in retention of high binding affinity at $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ with slightly reduced affinity for $\mathrm{D}_{2}$, that is, overall higher selectivity of $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ compared to $\mathbf{1 a}$ ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$; $D_{2} / D_{3}=213$ vs $D_{2} / D_{3}=86$ for ( - )-9b and 1a, respectively). The ( - -)-isomer of $\mathbf{8 b}$ was made to evaluate whether the free hydroxyl group in ( - )- $\mathbf{9 b}$ is critical for activity (Table 1). Compound (-)-8b, which is a methoxy analogue maintained $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ receptor affinity similar to $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}\left(K_{i} ; \mathrm{D}_{2}=343 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ vs $\mathrm{D}_{2}=$ 369 nM for ( $-\mathbf{- 8 \mathbf { b }}$ and ( - ) $\mathbf{9 b}$, respectively), while the binding affinity toward $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ dropped slightly $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{D}_{3}=2.33 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ vs $\mathrm{D}_{3}=$ 1.73 nM for $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$, respectively); this resulted in a somewhat lower selectivity for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ over $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ receptors $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}=\right.$ 147 vs $D_{2} / D_{3}=213$ for $(-)-8 \mathbf{b}$ and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$, respectively). All compounds in this series showed nanomolar binding potency for the $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor.

In our next series of compounds, aminotetraline and amino pyrimidine moieties were incorporated as bioisosteric replacement of the thiazolidium moiety of pramipexole in $\mathbf{9 b}$ or $\mathbf{1 a}$, resulting in (-)-11, 26a, and $27 .{ }^{50}$ It was hypothesized that in both cases H -bonding interaction of the parent amino group with serine-192 at the DA receptor should be maintained. ${ }^{51}$ Specifically, the ( - ) isomer of 5-hydroxy aminotetraline was synthesized, as we have shown in our previous reports that the (-)-enantiomer exhibits the highest affinity compared to the $(+)$-isomer for both $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptors. As expected, the

5-hydroxy aminotetraline analogue ( - )-11 exhibited higher affinity, compared to $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$, for both $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptors with overall less selectivity for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=27.8, \mathrm{D}_{3}=0.77\right.$ $n M, D_{2} / D_{3}=36$ ). In our previous report, the phenolic moiety of 5 -hydroxy aminotetraline was replaced by an amino pyrimidine moiety, which is a known bioisostere of a phenolic group. Here we wanted to explore this further with linearly fused biphenyl rings at the other side of the molecule. Incorporation of amino pyrimidine to this moiety in compound 26a resulted in reduced potency for both $\mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptors $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=735 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{3} 3.65 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ with decreased in selectivity $\left(D_{2} / D_{3}=201\right)$ compared to $9 \mathbf{b}$. Next, in compound 27 we introduced a hydroxyl group on the biphenyl ring of 26a (targeting the accessory binding domain of the receptor). Compound 27, which is a bioisosteric analogue compound $\mathbf{9 b}$, exhibited significantly decreased binding affinity, compared to $9 \mathbf{b}$, at both $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=13121 \mathrm{nM}$, $\mathrm{D}_{3}=67 \mathrm{nM}$, vs $\mathrm{D}_{2}=235 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{3} 0.70 \mathrm{nM}$ for $27 \mathrm{vs} 9 \mathbf{b}$, respectively). This suggests the combination of either 2-aminothiazole or hydroxytetralin, and a linearly fused biphenyl moiety gives rise to $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ potency and $D_{2} / D_{3}$ selectivity. Next, we increased the length of the two-carbon linker in $9 \mathbf{b}$ to four carbons in compound $9 \mathbf{d}$. In agreement with our previous results with a four-methylene linker at this position, compound $9 \mathrm{~d}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=567 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{3}=9.43 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ displayed lower potency at both $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptors compared to $\mathbf{9 b} .^{38}$ The reason behind characterizing bio-isosteric ((-)-11, 26a, and 27) and higher chain length ( 9 d ) compound is to expand the SAR study to better understand molecular interaction of our hybrid molecules with $D_{2} / D_{3}$ receptors.

Finally, in an earlier publication, we reported compound (S)-(4-\{2-[(2-amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzothiazol-6-yl)propylamino]ethyl $\}$ piperazin-1-yl)-( 1 H -indol-2-yl)methanone (D-440) as one of the most potent and selective agonists for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor known to date, and this compound contains a carbonyl bond between the piperazine nitrogen atom and 5 -position of indole, distal to the agonist headgroup. ${ }^{44}$ So, to probe the impact of introduction of a carbonyl group on $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor selectivity in our first generation hybrid compound 1a, we incorporated a carbonyl bond between the piperazine nitrogen and the accessory binding biphenyl ring of 1a. This modification generated compound 35, Scheme 4, which exhibited lower binding affinity for $D_{2} / D_{3}$

Table 2. Stimulation of $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$ GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ Binding to $\mathrm{hD}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{hD}_{3}$ Receptors Expressed in CHO Cells

| compd | $\mathrm{CHO}-\mathrm{D}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{CHO}-\mathrm{D}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ | $\% E_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ | $\% E_{\text {max }}$ |  |
| dopamine | $218 \pm 12$ | 100 | $10.6 \pm 2.1$ | 100 | 26.5 |
| $1 \mathrm{a}^{\text {b }}$ | $33.1 \pm 6.6$ | $104 \pm 5$ | $1.51 \pm 0.02$ | $90 \pm 4.3$ | 22.1 |
| (-)-8b | $36.8 \pm 7.2$ | $105 \pm 6$ | $3.42 \pm 1.01$ | $67.3 \pm 5.6$ | 10.8 |
| (-)-9b | $15.9 \pm 1.8$ | $116 \pm 10$ | $0.10 \pm 0.02$ | $95.8 \pm 3.7$ | 159 |

${ }^{a}$ EC50 is the concentration producing half-maximal stimulation; for each compound, maximal stimulation $\left(E_{\max }\right)$ is expressed as percent of the $E_{\max }$ observed with $1 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ or $100 \mathrm{uM}\left(\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ of the full agonist DA $\left(\% E_{\max }\right)$. Results are the means $\pm$ SEM for $3-6$ experiments each performed in triplicate. ${ }^{b}$ From previous ref 43.
receptors ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=1666 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{D}_{3}=9.58 \mathrm{nM}$ ), and its selectivity was increased $\left(D_{2} / D_{3}=174\right)$ compared to parent compound $\mathbf{1 a}$. Thus, introduction of a carbonyl group between the piperazine nitrogen and the biphenyl ring in this series of compounds impacted $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ affinity and selectivity in opposite fashion.

On the basis of the binding results, selected compounds $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ and (-)-9b were subjected to the GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding functional assay for $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ receptors and compared with endogenous ligand DA and the parent compound 1a. The functional assay measures quantitatively the ability of the compound to stimulate the receptor as an agonist. Comparison with the maximum stimulation $\left(E_{\max }\right)$, produced by the full agonist DA , indicates whether the compound is a full agonist, a partial agonist, or an antagonist. The assays were carried out with cloned human $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptors expressed in CHO cells. Compound ( - )-9b displayed higher functional potency for $D_{2} / D_{3}$ and selectivity for $D_{3}$ receptor in comparison to 1 a and dopamine (Table 2). ( - )-9b displayed a 15 -fold increase in $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ functional potency in comparison to $\mathbf{1 a}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=15.9 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ vs 33.1 nM for $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and 0.1 nM vs 1.51 nM for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$, for ( - )-9b vs $\mathbf{1 a}$, respectively) and a 7 -fold increase in functional selectivity $\left(D_{2} / D_{3}=159\right.$ vs 22.1 for $(-)-9 b$ vs $\left.1 \mathbf{a}\right)$. Compounds ( - ) $-9 \mathbf{b}$ and 1a exhibited full agonist activity at $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ receptors, while their selectivity for $D_{3}$ receptor dropped significantly when compared to the binding data (Table 1). On the other hand, compound ( - )-8b turned out to be functionally 2 -fold less potent at $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=3.42 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ in comparison to 1a and was a partial agonist at $D_{3}$ but full agonist at $D_{2}$ receptor. The functional potency of compound $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ for $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ was comparable to $\mathbf{1 a}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=36.8 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ vs 33.1 nM for ( - )-8b vs $\mathbf{1 a}$, respectively).

Evaluation of Free Radical Scavenging Activity. Scavenging of the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical by $\mathbf{1 a},(-)-\mathbf{9 b},(-)-\mathbf{8 b},(-)-11$, ropinirole, and ascorbic acid was monitored (Figure 2). As shown in Figure 2, all compounds inhibited DPPH radical activity dose dependently. Overall, all of


Figure 2. DPPH radical scavenging activity by $\mathbf{1 a},(-)-\mathbf{9 b},(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$, $(-)-11$, ropinirole, and ascorbic acid.
the compounds exhibited similar antioxidant efficacy as ascorbic acid except ropinirole which exhibited poor activity in this assay. Interestingly, ( - )-11 exhibited less antioxidant activity in this assay than ( $-\mathbf{- 9 b}$, indicating a more efficacious antioxidant activity of the thiazolidum moiety compared to aminotetraline.

Reversal of Reserpine-Induced Hypolocomotion in Rats by 1a, (-)-8b, (-)-9b, and Ropinirole. Reserpine induces depletion of catecholamine in nerve terminals, resulting in a cataleptic condition in rats, which is a well established animal model for PD. ${ }^{52,53}$ Significant inhibition of locomotion of rats was observed 18 h after the administration of reserpine $(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, s.c.) which indicated the development of akinesia. Compounds $(-)-8 \mathbf{b}$ and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ at a dose of $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$, i.p., in DI water, were highly efficacious in reversing akinesia (Figure 3), while 1a at the


Figure 3. Effect of different drugs upon reserpine ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, s.c.)induced hypolocomotion in rats. Data are means $\pm$ SEM, $n=4$ per value. Horizontal activity was measured as described under materials and methods. The plots are the representation of horizontal locomotor activity at discrete 30 -min intervals after the administration of $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ (i.p.), (-)-8b (i.p.), ropinirole (s.c) and 1a (i.p.) at the dose of $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ compared to control reserpine treated rats in 18 h post reserpine treatment. One way ANOVA analysis demonstrates significant effect among treatments $\mathrm{F}(5,95)=14.16(P<0.0001)$. Dunnett's analysis following ANOVA showed that the effects of $(-) \mathbf{9 b}(P<0.01),(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ $(P<0.01)$, and ropinirole $(P<0.01)$ were significantly different compared to reserpine control.
same dose ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$, i.p., in DI water) failed to produce any significant effect in reversing akinesia in reserpine-treated rats. However, 1a was more effective when it was dissolved in $10 \%$ beta-hydroxy cyclodextrin solution (Figure 3). The reference drug Ropinirole exhibited much shorter duration of action compared to the test compounds (Figure 3). The locomotor activity of $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ at the end of 6 h remained high compared to $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$. It is evident from the result that compounds $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ and $(-)-9 b$ were more efficacious in producing reversal of akinesia than $1 \mathrm{a}((-)-\mathbf{8 b}>(-)-9 b>1 a)$. Thus, the results indicate that compounds $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ and $(-)-\mathbf{9 b}$ exhibited higher in vivo efficacy
which might be due to efficient crossing of the blood brain barrier. Compound 1a was able to produce in vivo activity only if dissolved in $10 \%$ beta-hydroxy propyl cyclodextrin solution, indicating limitations in brain uptake for this compound when administered by itself. Interestingly, all three compounds displayed a long duration of action (Figure 3).

In Vivo Pharmacology in 6-OHDA Lesioned Rats. On the basis of the above locomotor data, compounds $(-)-8 \mathbf{b}$ and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ as well as the reference Ropinirole were selected for in vivo evaluation in rats carrying an unilateral lesion in the medial forebrain bundle; the lesion was induced by application of the neurotoxin 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA), resulting in the production of supersensitized DA receptors on the lesioned side. Such rats, when challenged with direct acting DA agonists, respond with contralateral rotations away from the lesioned side. This rat model is considered to be one of the standard models for preclinical screening of drugs for possible antiparkinsonian activity. ${ }^{54}$ Both compounds ( - )-8b and ( - )-9b produced potent rotational activity in a dose-dependent manner when administered intraperitoneally (i.p). At a $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose, both ( - )-8b $(6.56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ and ( - ) $-9 \mathrm{~b}(9.28 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) produced potent rotation that lasted for more than 10 h (Figure 4). Compound


Figure 4. Effect on turning behavior of two different doses of ( - )-9b (i.p.), (-)-8b (i.p.), ropinirole and vehicle in lesioned rats studied for maximum 12 h . Each point is the mean $\pm$ SEM of 3-4 rats. The drugs were administered i.p. One way ANOVA analysis demonstrates significant effect among treatments: $\mathrm{F}(5,95)=29.70(P<0.0001)$. Dunnett's analysis showed that the effect of $(-)-\mathbf{9 b},(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ and ropinirole on rotations at two doses was significantly different compared to vehicle $(P<0.01)$.
$(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ was more efficacious in producing rotation compared to $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ (total of 5866 vs 2653 rotations for $(-)-8 \mathbf{b}$ vs $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$, respectively). Peak effect of both compounds was reached at 7.5 h . This is an indication of long duration of action of both compounds in producing contralateral rotation. When tested at a lower doses ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), both compounds, ( - )-8b $(3.28 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}(4.64 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$, produced a lower total number of rotations (3333 and 1839 for (-)-8b and (-)-9b, respectively) than at the $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose. The rotation in this case lasted for more than 7 h (Figure 4). Interestingly, both compounds produced initial increase of rotational activity followed by a brief decrease of activity before exhibiting a steady increase of rotational activity. At present, the reason for such biphasic activity is unknown. At both tested doses (-)-8b was more efficacious than
$(-)-\mathbf{9 b}$ in the rotation test, just as in the locomotor activity study with reserpinized rats (Figure 3). The reference drug Ropinirole at a higher dose ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) exhibited much shorter duration of action. As we have reported in the Supporting Information section, pretreatment studies with the potent DA receptor antagonist haloperidol demonstrated block of the production of rotation by our hybrid $D_{2} / D_{3}$ agonist, indicating site-specific interaction at the target $\mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptor sites.

Neuroprotection against MPP+ Toxicity. The dosedependent effect of treatment of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and ( - )- $\mathbf{9 b}$ in reversing the toxicity of MPP+ to dopaminergic MN9D cells is demonstrated in Figure 5. From our previous dose-effect experiment with MPP+, we chose $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of MPP+ which can induce $50-60 \%$ cell death, for our study. ${ }^{55}$ To test whether $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ can protect dopaminergic MN9D cells from MPP+ induced toxicity, the cells were pretreated with various concentrations of $(20,10$, $5,1,0.1,0.01$, and $0.001 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of either $1 \mathbf{a}$ or $(-)-9 \mathrm{~b}$ for 1 h and then cotreated with $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MPP}+$ for an additional 24 h . The data from the MTT assay indicated that both $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ are able to protect the MN9D cells in a dose-dependent manner. For 1a, significant protection from toxicity of MPP+ was conferred by $1,5,10$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ doses, and this result correlates well with in vivo neuroprotection result that we published earlier. ${ }^{45}$ For $(-)-9 b$, significant neuroprotection was conferred at 5 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ doses. It seems $\mathbf{1 a}$ is relatively more potent and efficacious than $(-)-\mathbf{9 b}$ in this neuroprotection assay. Interestingly, ( - )-8b did not show any neuroprotection when the assay was carried out under identical condition (see Supporting Information).

## - CONCLUSION

In this paper, we describe an SAR study based on our earlier lead molecule 1a, with some highly potent agonist molecules for $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ receptors with enhanced blood brain barrier crossing ability compared to the parent molecule 1a. SAR results have demonstrated that hydroxyl derivatives of 1a have higher affinity for the $D_{3}$ receptor. In both binding and functional assays, compound ( - )-9b exhibited the highest selectivity for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ over $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ receptors. Lead molecules also exhibited potent free radical quenching property, indicating their antioxidant property. Furthermore, lead molecules were tested in two PD animal models and compared with parent molecule $\mathbf{1 a}$. Compounds ( - )-9b and ( - )-8b exhibited significant, long-lasting reversal of hypolocomotion in reserpinized rats; on the other hand, 1a was efficacious in this model only if dissolved in $10 \%$ BHCD solution. Similarly, in 6-OHDA animal model studies, compounds $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ and $(-)-\mathbf{9 b}$ produced extensive rotational activity with long duration of action. In vitro neuroprotection experiments with dopaminergic MN9D cells treated with $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ indicated protection from toxicity of MPP+.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received unless otherwise indicated. Dry solvent was obtained according to the standard procedure. All reactions were performed under inert atmosphere $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ unless otherwise noted. Analytical silica gel 60 F254-coated TLC plates were obtained from EMD Chemicals, Inc. and were visualized with UV light or by treatment with phosphomolybdic acid (PMA), Dragendorff's reagent, or ninhydrin. Flash column chromatographic purifications were performed using Whatman Purasil 60A silica gel $230-400$ mesh. The proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra were measured on a Varian 400 MHz FT NMR spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The NMR solvent used was $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ as indicated. Optical rotations were recorded on Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. Melting points were recorded using MEL-TEMP II


Figure 5. Dose-dependent effect of combination of pretreatment followed by cotreatment of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and ( - )- $9 \mathbf{b}$ with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MPP+ on cell viability of MN9D cells from toxicity of $100 \mu \mathrm{MMPP}+$. (A, B) MN9D cells were pretreated with different doses of $1 \mathbf{a}$ and $(-)-9 b$ for 1 h followed by cotreatment with $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MPP}+$ for 24 h . The values shown are means $\pm$ SDs of three independent experiments performed in 4-6 replicates. One-way ANOVA analysis followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post hoc test were performed ( $*^{*} p<0.01$ compared to the MPP+ group. $\# \# p<0.001$ compared to the control group).
(Laboratory Devices Inc., USA) capillary melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc.
t-Butyl 4-(4-lodophenyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (1). Into a stirring solution of 1-phenylpiperazine ( $21.8 \mathrm{~g}, 134.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic acid/water (3:1, 42 mL ), a suspension of iodine monochloride ( 24.0 g , $148.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid/water $(3: 1,42 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then at room temperature for another 1 h . The solution was poured into 400 mL of crushed ice, and the pH was adjusted to 13 with 4 N NaOH . The product was then extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to provide the free amine of compound 1 as a pale yellow solid ( $28.69 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ ) which was converted to $t$-Boc derivative without further purification.

Into a stirring solution of this amine $(28.0 \mathrm{~g}, 97.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(80 \mathrm{~mL}),(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(25.44 \mathrm{~g}, 116.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(35.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 252.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 12 h and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, $9.0: 1.0)$ to give compound $1(34.70 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure A. t-Butyl 4-(4'-methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (3a). A suspension of (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid 2a ( $2.34 \mathrm{~g}, 15.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iodo compound $1(6.01 \mathrm{~g}, 15.49 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.28 \mathrm{~g}, 30.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in water $)$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( $875 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dimethoxy ethane/ethanol (1:1) was refluxed for 1 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography using the solvent system hexane/ethyl acetate (4.0:1.0) to yield compound $3 \mathbf{a}(3.82 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
t-Butyl 4-(3'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (3b). Commercially available (3-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid, $\mathbf{2 b}$ $(4.60 \mathrm{~g}, 30.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with iodo compound $1(11.81 \mathrm{~g}$, $30.44 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(6.45 \mathrm{~g}, 60.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in water), and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethoxy ethane/ethanol ( $46 \mathrm{~mL}: 46 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) as followed in procedure $\mathbf{A}$ to yield compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ $\left(6.95 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.18$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
t-Butyl-4-(2'-methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (3c). Commercially available (2-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid, 2c $(2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 13.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with iodo compound $1(5.4 \mathrm{~g}$, $13.90 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.94 \mathrm{~g}, 27.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in water), and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(560 \mathrm{mg}, 0.484 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethoxy ethane/ethanol
( $20 \mathrm{~mL} / 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) by following procedure $\mathbf{A}$ to yield compound 3 c $\left(3.58 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.19$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-7.04$ (m, 4H), 7.25-7.32 (m, 2H), $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{t}$-Butyl 4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (3d). Commercially available benzeneboronic acid, 2d ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 20.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), was reacted with iodo compound $1(7.95 \mathrm{~g}, 20.48 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(4.34 \mathrm{~g}, 40.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in water $)$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(1.18 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethoxy ethane/ethanol $(25 \mathrm{~mL} / 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure A to yield compound $3 \mathrm{~d}(1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure B. 1-(4'-Methoxy-biphenyl-4-yl)piperazine (4a). Into a stirring solution of compound $3 \mathrm{a}(3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 9.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, TFA $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h . Unreacted TFA and solvent $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were removed in vacuo, and the salt formed was washed with diethyl ether. Saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate was added to the salt, and it was extracted with dichloromethane $(50 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to provide the compound $4 \mathbf{a}(2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 1.63(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.19(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(3'-Methoxy-biphenyl-4-yl)piperazine (4b). Compound 3b $(4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $B$ to give compound $\mathbf{4 b}(3.34 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.07(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.52 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(2'-Methoxy-biphenyl-4-yl)piperazine (4c). Compound 3c $(3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 9.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $B$ to give compound $4 \mathrm{c}(2.47 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.08$ (bs, 4H); 3.23 (bs, 4H), 3.81 (s, 3H); 6.93$7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazine (4d). Compound 3d (1.7 g, $5.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{B}$ to give compound $4 \mathrm{~d}(2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 3.18(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure C. 1-(2-(t-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl)-4-(4'-me-thoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazine (5a). A mixture of compound 4a $(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.59 \mathrm{mmol})$, (2-bromo-ethyl)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( 1.57 g , $6.56 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.27 \mathrm{~g}, 16.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 14 h . Acetonitrile was evaporated under vacuo and the crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography
(hexane/EtOAc, 1:4) to give compound $5 \mathrm{a}(1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.72(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{t}, J=4.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(2-(t-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl)-4-(3'-methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazine (5b). Compound 4 b ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 11.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), was reacted with (2-bromo-ethyl)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( $3.42 \mathrm{~g}, 14.32$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.94 \mathrm{~g}, 35.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following the procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to furnish $5 \mathbf{b}(4.06 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.63(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(2-(t-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl)-4-(2'-methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazine (5c). Compound $4 \mathrm{c}(2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 8.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with (2-bromo-ethyl)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( $2.34 \mathrm{~g}, 9.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.39 \mathrm{~g}, 24.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to afford compound $5 \mathrm{c}(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69$ (bs, 4H), 3.24 (bs, 4H), $3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-4-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)piperazine(5d). Compound $4 \mathrm{~d}(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with (2-bromo-ethyl)-tert-butyldimethylsilane $(2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 10.58 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.65 \mathrm{~g}, 26.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to afford compound $5 \mathrm{~d}(2.79 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-$ $7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-((t-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butyl)-4-(3'-methoxy-[1,1'-bi-phenyl]-4-yl)piperazine (5e). Compound 4b ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with (4-bromobutoxy) (tert-butyl)dimethylsilane ( 2.38 g , $8.94 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.08 \mathrm{~g}, 22.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to afford compound $5 \mathrm{e}(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure D. 2-(4-(4'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (6a). Into a stirring solution of compound $5 \mathrm{a}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.52$ mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 30 mL ), n-tetrabutylammonium fluoride $\left(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 3.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in THF) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h . THF was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water. The water layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc) to yield compound 6a $(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(3'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (6b). Compound $\mathbf{5 b}(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $n$-tetrabutylammonium fluoride $(2.44 \mathrm{~g}, 9.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) in anhydrous THF ( 100 mL ) by following procedure $\mathbf{D}$ to yield compound $\mathbf{6 b}(2.62 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

2-(4-(2'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (6c). Compound $5 \mathrm{c}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $n$-tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 5.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) in anhydrous THF ( 50 mL ) by following procedure $\mathbf{D}$ to yield compound $\mathbf{6 c}(1.57 \mathrm{~g}$, $86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74$
$(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (6d). Compound $5 \mathrm{~d}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $n$-tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 6.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) in THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{D}$ to yield compound $\mathbf{6 d}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(4-(3'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butan-1-ol (6e). Compound 5e ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with $n$-tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 5.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) in THF ( 40 mL ) by following procedure $\mathbf{D}$ to yield compound $\mathbf{6 e}$ $(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 1.62(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure E. 2-(4-(4'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde (7a). Into a stirred solution of oxalyl chloride $(0.324 \mathrm{~mL}$, $2.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DMSO $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min followed by addition of compound $\mathbf{6 a}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$, dissolved in 5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 15 min . Then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added next, and stirring was continued for another 1 h and 20 min while allowing the reaction mixture to reach at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and concentrated to yield the compound $7 \mathrm{a}(321 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$, which was used without purification in the next step.

2-(4-(3'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde ( 7 b ). Compound $\mathbf{6 b}(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 8.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with oxalyl chloride ( $1.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMSO ( $2.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(6.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 49.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{E}$ to yield compound $7 \mathbf{b}$ ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ).

2-(4-(2'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde $(7 \mathrm{c})$. Compound $6 \mathrm{c}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with oxalyl chloride ( $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMSO $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.40 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure E to yield compound 7 c ( $372 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ).

2-(4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde (7d). Compound $6 \mathbf{d}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with oxalyl chloride ( $0.79 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMSO ( $1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(3.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure E to yield compound $7 \mathrm{~d}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$.

4-(4-(3'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)butanal (7e). Compound $6 \mathrm{e}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 3.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with oxalyl chloride ( $0.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMSO ( $1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(2.92 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure E to yield compound $7 \mathrm{e}(0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$.

Procedure F. N6-(2-(4-(4'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-N6-propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6diamine $( \pm)-(8 a)$. Into a stirring solution of compound $7 \mathrm{a}(321 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}),( \pm)$-pramipexole $(219 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h , and then $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(393 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added into the reaction mixture. After the reaction was stirred for 48 h , a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added into the reaction mixture, and it was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and finally purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/MeOH, 9:1) to yield compound ( $\pm$ )-8a ( 313 mg , $60 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-$ $1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-$ $7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. The product was converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $268^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 4.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N6-(2-(4-(3'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-N6-propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6-diamine ( $( \pm)-8 \mathrm{~b})$. Compound $7 \mathbf{b}$ ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with ( $\pm$ )-pramipexole
$(169 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(305.19 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{F}$ to yield compound $( \pm)-8 \mathbf{b}(263 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{bs}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The product was converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 4.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(S)-N6-(2-(4-(3'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-N6-propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6-diamine $((-)-8 b)$. Compound 7 b ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with $(-)$ pramipexole $(340.24 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(612.50$ $\mathrm{mg}, 2.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $F$ to yield compound $(-)-8 \mathbf{b}(526 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-34.6(c=1$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Spectral data matching with compound $( \pm)-\mathbf{8 b}$. The product was converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $245^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 4.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(R)-N6-(2-(4-(3'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-N6-propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6-diamine $((+)-8 b)$. Compound $7 \mathbf{b}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.322 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $(+)$-pramipexole ( $68.04 \mathrm{mg}, 0.322 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(122.84 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.579 mmol ) in dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) by following procedure F to yield compound $(+)-8 \mathbf{b}(105 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$. Spectral data matching with compound $( \pm)-\mathbf{8 b}$.

N6-(2-(4-(2'-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-N6-propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6-diamine ( $\pm$ )-8c). Compound $7 \mathrm{c}(372 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $( \pm)$-pramipexole $(251.48 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(453.60 \mathrm{mg}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{F}$ to yield compound $( \pm)-8 \mathrm{c}(391 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.83$ (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-$ $7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The product was converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $255^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 4.0\right.$ $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ): C, H, N. MS (ES+): $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: calculated 505.29; found 506.56.

N6-(4-(4-(3'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-butyl)-N6-propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6-diamine ( $( \pm)-8 d)$. Compound $7 \mathrm{e}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $( \pm)$-pramipexole $(375 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(675 \mathrm{mg}$, $3.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{F}$ to yield compound $( \pm)-8 \mathbf{d}(586 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.44-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.91(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure G. 4'-(4-(2-((2-Amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]-thiazol-6-yl)(propyl)amino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)-[1,1'-biphen-$\mathrm{yl}]-4-\mathrm{ol}(( \pm)-9 \mathrm{a})$. Into a stirring solution of compound ( $\pm$ )-8a ( 60 mg , $0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, boron tribromide $\left(1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to come to room temperature and was stirred for 48 h . The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under a vacuum, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=9: 1\right)$ to afford compound $( \pm)-9 \mathrm{a}(0.029 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.96$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The product was converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $272{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 4.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4'-(4-(2-((2-Amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)-(propyl)amino)-ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-ol $(( \pm)-9 b)$. A mixture of compound $( \pm)-8 \mathbf{b}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, boron tribromide $(1.04 \mathrm{~mL}$, $1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) was added as followed by procedure $\mathbf{G}$ to yield $( \pm)-9 \mathbf{b}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%)$. The product was converted into
corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $265{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of HCl salt $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.13-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-3.32$ (m, 4H), 3.38-3.99 (m, 13H), 6.71 (dd, J=8.0 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (bs, 1H), $7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 4.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} . \operatorname{MS}(\mathrm{ES}+)$ : $\mathrm{m} / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathbf{N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: calculated 491.27; found 492.52.

Procedure H. (S)-4'-(4-(2-((2-Amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo-[d]thiazol-6-yl)(propyl)amino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)biphenyl-3ol ((-)-9b). Compound ( - )-8b ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $48 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HBr}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 12 h . The reaction mixture was then evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The crude mixture was then washed with diethylether and finally recrystallized from ethanol to afford compound ( - )-9b ( $246 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$, recrystallized from ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of HBr salt $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-$ $2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.99-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-21.0\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Hydrobromide salt, m.p. $270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 5.0 \mathrm{HBr}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(R)-4'-(4-(2-((2-Amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)(propyl)amino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)biphenyl-3-ol ((+)-9b). Compound (+)-8b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $48 \%$ aqueous HBr $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 12 h by following procedure H to afford compound (+)-9b (105 mg, 60\%, recrystallized from ethanol). Spectral data matching with compound $(-)-9 \mathbf{b} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+16.0\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Hydrobromide salt, m.p. $270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 5.0 \mathrm{HBr} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{m} / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: calculated 491.27; found 492.27.

4'-(4-(2-((2-Amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)-(propyl)amino)ethyl)-piperazin-1-yl)biphenyl-2-ol (( $\pm$ )-9c). Into the mixture of compound $( \pm)-8 \mathrm{c}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.197 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, boron tribromide $(1.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 M solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) was added as followed by procedure G to yield $( \pm)-9 \mathrm{c}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.62-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, ), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The product was neutralized and converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 4.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4'-(4-(4-((2-Amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)-(propyl)amino)-butyl)piperazin-1-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-ol $(( \pm)-9 \mathrm{~d})$. Compound $( \pm)-\mathbf{8 d}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $48 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HBr}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 12 h using procedure $\mathbf{H}$ to afford compound ( $\pm$ )-9d (248 mg, 72\%, recrystallized from ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of HBr salt $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-$ $2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (dd, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. m.p. $245{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 5.0 \mathrm{HBr} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{MS}$ (ES+): $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: calculated 519.30; found 520.59.
(S)-5-Methoxy-N-(2-(4-(3'-methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)- $N$-propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-amine $(-)(10)$. Compound $7 \mathbf{b}(350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $(S)-(5-$ methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphthalen-2-yl)-propyl-amine ( 247.83 mg , $1.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(430.23 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{F}$. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, $3: 2$ ) to yield compound (-)-10 (347 mg, 60\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.90$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.51-3.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 19 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.82-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.07-7.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(S)-6-((2-(4-(3'-Hydroxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-(propyl)amino)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-ol (-)(11). Compound (-)-10 (300 mg, 0.58 mmol ) and $48 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HBr}(15$ mL ) was refluxed for 10 h by following procedure H to afford compound $(-)-11\left(296 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%\right.$, recrystallized from ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of HBr salt $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.30-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-41.0(c=1.0$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Hydrobromide salt, m.p. $290{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 3.0\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{HBr} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Procedure I. 1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)piperazine (13). A stirring solution of 2-methoxyaniline $12(31.60 \mathrm{~g}, 256.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis-(2chloroethyl) amine ( $45.85 \mathrm{~g}, 256.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in diethylene glycol monomethyl ether ( 100 mL ) for 6 h . After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was dissolved in MeOH $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was filtered off and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to provide HCl salt. The HCl salt was further converted to free amine by treatment with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to provide the pure free amine product $13(34.34 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.12$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure J. 1-(4-Bromo-2-methoxyphenyl)piperazine (14). Amine $13(15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 78.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bromine ( $4.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 78.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise into the above solution. After 2 h , reaction mixture was washed with 1 N sodium hydroxide, and the organic layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to yield 14 ( $16.86 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield). The crude product 14 thus obtained was converted into its $t$-Boc derivative without further purification.

Procedure K. t-Butyl 4-(4-bromo-2-methoxyphenyl)-piperazine-1-carboxylate (15). Into a stirring solution of amine 14 $(14.0 \mathrm{~g}, 51.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(40 \mathrm{~mL}),(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(11.31 \mathrm{~g}$, $51.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(21.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 155.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 12 h and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by column chromatography over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 8:2) to give compound (15) $(16.30 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
t-Butyl 4-(3-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (16). Commercially available, phenylboronic acid $(2.63 \mathrm{~g}, 21.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with bromo compound $15(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 21.61 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $\left(4.58 \mathrm{~g}, 43.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in water), and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(1.24 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.75 mmol ) in dimethoxy ethane/ethanol ( $30 \mathrm{~mL}: 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) by follwing procedure A to yield compound $16\left(5.17 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 .0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(3-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazine (17). Compound $16(6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 16.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{B}$ to give compound $17(4.0 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.77(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(2-((t-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)-4-(3-methoxy-[1,1'-biphen-yl]-4-yl)piperazine (18). Compound $17(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 13.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with (2-bromo-ethyl)-tert-butyldimethylsilane ( $3.74 \mathrm{~g}, 15.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.14 \mathrm{~g}, 39.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{C}$. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (ethylacetate/hexane, 2:3) to afford compound $18(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}$, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(3-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethanol (19). Compound 18 ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 11.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with $n$-tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $3.06 \mathrm{~g}, 11.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) in THF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{D}$. The crude product was purified by
silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1$ ) to yield compound 19 ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.15$ (bs, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(3-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde (20). Compound 19 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with oxalyl chloride $(0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMSO $(0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(1.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure E. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/MeOH, 9.5:0.5) to yield compound 20 (397 mg, 80\%).

N6-(2-(4-(3-Methoxybiphenyl-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-N6-propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazole-2,6-diamine ( $\pm$ )(21). Compound $20(350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ reacted with ( $\pm$ )-pramipexole $(238 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(427 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $F$. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, $9: 1)$ to yield compound $( \pm)-21(370 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.9$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ (bs, 1H), 7.13-7.17 (m, 1H), 7.28$7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(4-(2-((2-Amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)-(propyl)amino)ethyl)-piperazin-1-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-ol ( $\pm$ )-(22). A mixture of compound $( \pm)-21(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.395 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $48 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HBr}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 12 h by following procedure $\mathbf{H}$ to afford compound $( \pm)-22(281 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of free base $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.68-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 4.75$ (bs, 2H), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The product was converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 4.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Procedure L. $N$-(2-(4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-ethyl)- N -propyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-8-amine (24a). Into a stirring solution of compound $7 \mathbf{d}(750 \mathrm{mg}, 2.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, amine $23(510 \mathrm{mg}, 2.55 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(973 \mathrm{mg}$, $4.59 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOAc ( $153 \mathrm{mg}, 2.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added at room temperature. After the reaction was stirred for 48 h , a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added into the reaction mixture, and it was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and finally purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1$ ) to yield ( $670 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) of compound 24a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.69-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

N-(2-(4-(3'-Methoxy-[1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-ethyl)- $N$-propyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.50]decan-8-amine (24b). Into a stirring solution of compound $7 \mathbf{b}(700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, amine $23(450 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ $(858 \mathrm{mg}, 4.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOAc ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added at room temperature using procedure $\mathbf{L}$ to yield ( $710 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) of compound 24 b. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.52-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{t}$, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure M. 4-((2-(4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-ethyl)(propyl)amino)-cyclohexanone (25a). A solution of ketal 24a $(600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 2 h . THF was removed under vacuo, and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added slowly. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give the crude product, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 2$ ) to yield ( $490 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) of compound 25a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.51 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

4-((2-(4-(3'-Methoxy-[1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-ethyl)(propyl)amino)-cyclohexanone (25b). A solution of ketal $\mathbf{2 4 b}(700 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 2 h followed by procedure $\mathbf{M}$ to yield ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) of compound 25b. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.89$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Procedure N. N6-(2-(4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-ethyl)-N6-propyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinazoline-2,6-diamine (26a). Into a solution of ketone $25 a(450 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( 20 mL ), tris(dimethylamino)methane ( $780 \mathrm{mg}, 5.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred under nitrogen at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The solvent was removed under vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Guandine carbonate $(460 \mathrm{mg}, 2.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added next. The mixture was then refluxed for 17 h . The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to yield crude product, which was purified by purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 7: 3$ ) to yield ( $378 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) of compound 26a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.87$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.98$ $(\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. The product was converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6}\right.$. $4.0 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ): C, H , N. MS (ES + ): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: calculated 470.32; found 471.52.

N6-(2-(4-(3'-Methoxy-[1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl]-4-yl)piperazin-1-yl)-ethyl)-N6-propyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinazoline-2,6-diamine (26b). Into a solution of ketone $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, tris(dimethylamino) methane ( $807 \mathrm{mg}, 5.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred under nitrogen at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The solvent was removed under vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Guandine carbonate $(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added next followed by procedure $\mathbf{N}$ to yield ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) of compound $\mathbf{2 6 b}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 3.24$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

4'-(4-(2-((2-Amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinazolin-6-yl)-(propyl)amino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-ol (27). Compound 26b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $48 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HBr}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 8 h using procedure $\mathbf{H}$ to afford compound $27(118 \mathrm{mg}$, $65 \%$, recrystallized from ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of HBr salt $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.58-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{bs}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-4.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Hydrobromide salt, m.p. $255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O} \cdot 6.0 \mathrm{HBr} \cdot 3.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, H, N.

Procedure O. $t$-Butyl 4-(biphenylcarbonyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (30). To a stirring solution of $t$-Boc-piperazine, $28(1.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $8.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 25 mL ), 4-biphenyl carbonyl chloride, $29(1.6 \mathrm{~g}$, 7.24 mmol ) and diisopropylethylamine ( $2.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for overnight and partitioned between brine and ethyacetate. The organic layer was separated and washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by column chromatography over silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 8.0:2.0) to give compound $30(2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$,
3.47 (bs, 4H), $3.74(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.59 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Biphenyl-4-yl(piperazin-1-yl)methanone (31). Compound 30 $(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{B}$ to give compound $31(1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): 3.47(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{bs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Biphenyl-4-yl(4-(2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl)-piperazin-1-yl)methanone (32). A mixture of compound 31 (1.2 g, 4.50 mmol ), was reacted with (2-bromo-ethyl)-tert-butyldimethylsilane $(1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 5.41 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 13.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(50$ $\mathrm{mL})$ by following the procedure $\mathbf{C}$ to furnish $32(1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.47-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.43-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Biphenyl-4-yl(4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl)methanone (33). Compound $32(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $n$-tetrabutylammonium fluoride $(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) in anhydrous THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure $\mathbf{D}$ to yield compound $33(790 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 2.50-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.46-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.43-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(Biphenylcarbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)acetaldehyde (34). Compound 33 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with oxalyl chloride ( $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMSO ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(1.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ by following procedure E to yield compound 34 ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ).
(4-(2-((2-Amino-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)-(propyl)amino)ethyl)-piperazin-1-yl)(biphenyl-4-yl)methanone $( \pm)(35)$. Compound $34(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $( \pm)$-pramipexole ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(492.12$ $\mathrm{mg}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ using procedure $F$ to yield compound $( \pm)-35(420 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.86$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.78(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. The product was converted into corresponding hydrochloride salt, m.p. $255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS} \cdot 5.0\right.$ $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ): C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity. DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay. To a 96-well plate, an amount of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of drug solutions (dissolved in methanol) ranging from 20 to $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was added. Next $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ methanolic solution of 1,1-DPPH was added, and the plate was shaken vigorously at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min . Control wells received $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methanol and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ methanolic DPPH solution. Wells containing only $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methanol served as a background correction. The change in absorbance of all samples and standard (ascorbic acid) was measured at 517 nm . Radical scavenging activity was expressed as inhibition percentage and was calculated using the following formula: \% scavenging activity $=$ (absorbance of control absorbance of sample)/ (absorbance of control) $] \times 100$.

Animal Experiments. Drugs and Chemicals. The following commercially available drugs were used in the experiment: reserpine hydrochloride (Alfa Aesar), Ropinirole (Sigma Aldrich). The hydrochloride salts of $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}$ and hydrobromide salt of $(-)-\mathbf{9 b}$ were dissolved in water for both locomotor and 6-OH-DA rotational experiments. Reserpine was dissolved in $10-25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of glacial acetic acid and further diluted with $5.5 \%$ glucose solution. All compounds for this study were administered in a volume of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ for subcutaneous administration and $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~mL}$ for intraperitoneal administration into each rat.

Animals. In rodent studies, animals were male Sprague-Dawley rats from Harlan (Indianapolis, IN) weighing 220-225 g unless otherwise specified. The lesioned rats $(290-320 \mathrm{~g})$ were purchased from Charles River (Rensselaer, NY), and their unilateral lesion was checked twice by apomorphine challenge following the surgery. Animals were maintained in sawdust-lined cages in a temperature and humidity controlled environment at $22 \pm 1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60 \pm 5 \%$, respectively, with a 12 h light/ dark cycle, with lights on from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. They were grouphoused with unrestricted access to food and water. All experiments were
performed during the light component. All animal use procedures were in compliance with the Wayne State University Animal Investigation Committee consistent with AALAC guidelines.

Reversal of Reserpine-Induced Hypolocomotion in Rats. Administration of reserpine induces catalepsy in rodents primarily by blocking the vesicular monoamine transporter (VMAT) which helps in the internalization of monoamines into vesicles, resulting in metabolism of unprotected monoamines in the cytosol that ultimately causes depletion of monoamines in the synapse of the peripheral sympathetic nerve terminals. The ability of the compound $(-) \mathbf{8 b},(-)-\mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{1 a}$ and ropinirole to reverse the reserpine induced hypolocomotion was investigated. Prior to administration of reserpine animals were anaesthetized using isoflurane. Reserpine ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, sc) or saline (sc) was administered 18 h before the injection of drug or vehicle (ip). The rats were placed individually in chambers for 1 h for acclimatization purposes before the administration of the test drug, standard drug, or vehicle. Immediately after administration of drug or vehicle, animals were individually placed in VersaMax animal activity monitor chamber ( 45 cm 30 cm 20 cm ) (AccuScan Instruments, Inc. Columbus, OH ) to start measuring locomotor activity. Locomotion was monitored for 6 h . Consecutive interruption of two infrared beams situated 24 cm apart and 4 cm above the cage floor in the monitor chamber recorded movement. The data were presented as horizontal counts (HACTV). The effect of the individual doses of drugs on locomotor activity was compared with respect to saline treated controls (mean $\pm$ SEM). The data were analyzed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's post hoc test. The effect was considered significant if the difference from control group was observed at $p<0.05$.

In Vivo Rotational Experiment with 6-OH-DA Lesioned Rats. In the first 14 days post lesion challenge with apomorphine was done with lesioned animals to observe a complete rotation session post administration. In the second challenge with apomorphine $(0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ 21 days postlesion, contralateral rotations were recorded for 30 min ; apomorphine produced rotations in all four rats (average rotation of $>250$ ) indicating successful unilateral lesion. In these rats, lesion was performed on the left side of the medial forebrain bundle in the brain, and the coordinates used from Bregma are the following: $\mathrm{AP},-4.3$; ML, p1.2; $\mathrm{DV},-8.3$. The rotations produced upon agonist challenge were clockwise. In this study, apomorphine was also used as a reference compound. The test drugs were dissolved in saline. The drugs $(-)-\mathbf{8 b}, \mathbf{1 a}$, and $(-)-\mathbf{9 b}$ were administered i.p. The rotations were measured over 7-12 h. For control, vehicle was administered alone. Rotations were measured in the Rotomax rotometry system (AccuScan Instruments, Inc. Columbus, OH) equipped with Rotomax analyzer, high resolution sensor, and animal chambers with harnesses. Data were analyzed with Rotomax Windows software program. The rotations were measured in a rotational chamber immediately after administration of drugs. The data were collected at every 30 min . Data were analyzed by the GraphPad (version 4, San Diego, CA) program. All drugs produced contralateral rotations in all lesioned rats, which lasted over 3-10 h.

Cell Culture and Treatments. The hybridoma dopaminergic MN9D cells are derived from the somatic infusion of rostral mesencephalic neurons from embryonic C57BL/6J (E14) mice with N18TG2 mouse cells. They were cultured in T-75 flask (Greiner Bio One, Frickenhausen, Germany) coated with $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ poly-L-lysine and maintained in DMEM (high glucose with phenol red) supplemented with $10 \%$ Fecal Clone III serum, penicillin ( 50 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ), and streptomycin $(50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere. Stock solution of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ were prepared in DMSO and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the period of experiments. MN9D cells were pretreated with various concentrations of drugs for 1 h and then cotreated with $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MPP}+$ (prepared freshly before addition from a stock solution in DMSO stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 24 h . The control cells were treated with the above medium having $0.01 \%$ DMSO only.

Assessment of Cell Viability. To evaluate the neuroprotection ability of the test compounds in the presence of the neurotoxins MPP+, the quantitative and colorimetric MTT (3-4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide) tetrazolium salt assay was used to assess cell viability. MN9D cells were seeded into poly-L-lysine coated 96 -well plates at $1 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ medium. After the plate was equilibrated for 40 h , old medium was taken out from each well, and $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of fresh medium (containing $0.01 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) was added to
control wells and wells which were to be treated with MPP+. A solution of $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathbf{1 a}$ or $(-)-9 \mathbf{b}$ in the above medium without DMSO in 20 , $10,5,1,0.1,0.01,0.001 \mu \mathrm{M}$ were added to wells which would be cotreated with MPP+. The plate was incubated for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere. At the end of incubation, required amount of MPP+ was added to each well (except the control wells) to maintain a final concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The plate was then incubated for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere. Next, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MTT stock solution (prepared in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline) was added to each well to maintain a final concentration of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, and the plate was incubated for another 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere. Next, the plate was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min , and the supernatants were removed carefully. The formazan crystals were dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{DMSO} /$ methanol solution by shaking gently at 400 rpm for 30 min at room temperature on a Thermomix R shaker (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Then, the absorbance was measured at 570 nM and 690 nM using an Epoch microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). Background corrected values ( $570-690 \mathrm{nM}$ ) were used to plot the graph. Data from at least three experiments were analyzed using GraphPad software (Version 4, San Diego, USA).

## DA D 2 AND $D_{3}$ RECEPTOR ASSAYS

Binding potency was monitored by inhibition of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiroperidol $\left(16.2 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, Perkin-Elmer) binding to dopamine $\mathrm{rD}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{rD}_{3}$ receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells, in a buffer containing 0.9\% NaCl under conditions corresponding to our "high [radioligand] protocol" as described by us previously. ${ }^{40,56}$ Observed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were converted to inhibition constants $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ by the Cheng-Prusoff equation (see ref 39)..$^{39}$ Functional activity of test compounds in activating dopamine $\mathrm{hD}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{hD}_{3}$ receptors expressed in CHO cells was measured by stimulation of $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}(1250 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$, Perkin-Elmer) binding in comparison to stimulation by the full agonist dopamine as described by us previously. ${ }^{40}$
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