

Pediatric spitzoid lesions of the ear: a single-center experience and review of the literature

Gianmaria Viglizzo, Astrid Herzum, Lodovica Gariazzo, Ehab Garibeh, Corrado Occella

Dermatology Unit, Pediatric Hospital IRCSS Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy

Abstract

Spitzoid lesions are challenging melanocytic lesions comprising benign, intermediate, and malignant lesions. In this study, we aimed to analyze the diagnostic accuracy of clinical and dermatoscopic evaluations of pediatric spitzoid ear lesions. We collected and analyzed, clinically, dermatoscopically, and histologically, pediatric spitzoid ear lesions. We also conducted a systematic

review of the literature. At the Pediatric Hospital Gaslini, excision and histopathological evaluation were performed on eight cases: 87.5% of the lesions were consistent with Spitz nevus (SN), and 12.5% with atypical Spitz tumor (AST). Notably, multiple (≥ 2) dermatoscopic irregularities were present in 5 of 7 SN (71%), yet none were found in AST (0%, 0/1) (Fisher's exact test, $P=0.375$). From systematic research in the literature, 9 patients were included in this review. At histology, 88.9% were SN and 11% AST. Remarkably, also in the literature, multiple dermatoscopic irregularities were present in most SN (75%, 6/8), but not in the identified AST (0%, 0/1) ($P=0.3333$). We present a monocentric study on pediatric spitzoid ear lesions. Importantly, dermatoscopic irregularities were not significantly associated with AST, neither in our series nor in the reviewed literature (respectively $P=0.375$ and $P=0.3333$), supporting the fact that relying only on the dermatoscopic aspect of spitzoid lesions is not accurate enough for the special site of the ear, where dermatoscopy could actually be misleading.

Correspondence: Astrid Herzum, Dermatology Unit, Pediatric Hospital IRCSS Giannina Gaslini, Via Gerolamo Gaslini, 5, 16147 Genoa, Italy.
Tel.: +39.01056362208.
E-mail: astridherzum@yahoo.it

Key words: ear lesion, Spitz nevus, pediatric Spitz, ear Spitz.

Contributions: GV, AH, data collection, writing of the manuscript; GV, AH, LG, EG, CO, study concept and design, critical review of important intellectual content, effective participation in the research guidance, intellectual participation in the propaedeutic and/or therapeutic conduct of the studied cases, critical review of the literature. All the authors approved the final version to be published.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Patient consent for publication: written informed consent was obtained for publication of the documentation, including the photographic documentation.

Funding: none.

Availability of data and materials: data and materials are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Received for publication: 5 December 2022.

Accepted for publication: 3 April 2023.

Early view: 6 June 2023.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2023

Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Dermatology Reports 2023; 15:9642

doi:10.4081/dr.2023.9642

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Introduction

Spitzoid lesions represent a challenging dermatological entity, as they comprise benign Spitz nevi (SN), malignant melanoma (MM), and melanocytic proliferations with intermediate features between melanoma and nevus, the so-called atypical Spitz tumors (AST).¹⁻⁴

The differential diagnosis of these spitzoid entities is clinically as well as histopathologically challenging, particularly at special body sites such as the ear, mucosae, and acral skin, where microanatomic diversity influences skin morphology.⁴ While dermatoscopy was suggested as a diagnostic tool to avoid excision of well-recognized benign lesions, on special body sites such as the ear, clinical-dermatoscopic aspects may not correspond to histological characteristics. Moreover, spitzoid ear lesions are rare and therefore seldom reported in the literature, hindering the development of consensus guidelines on the management and treatment of these lesions.

Herein, we present a case series of pediatric spitzoid ear lesions from a single-center experience. Lesions were analyzed clinically, dermoscopically, and histologically, expanding current knowledge on this rare entity. Also, we conducted a systematic review on spitzoid lesions of the ear in pediatric age to compare known literature data with our monocentric experience and to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of the clinical-dermatoscopic assessment.

Materials and Methods

We conducted a retrospective study on pediatric spitzoid lesions of the ear presenting at the Dermatology Unit of the Pediatric Hospital IRCSS Giannina Gaslini over the last 7 years (2015-2022). The study was conducted retrospectively following

local guidelines, employing electronic records of patients and histopathological reports to retrieve all relevant data. Written informed consent was obtained for publication of the documentation, including the photographic documentation.

All lesions clinically diagnosed as SN, AST, or MM of the ear were registered and dermoscopically evaluated by two observers. Surgical excision was performed, also in compliance with the International Dermoscopy Society (IDS) guidelines. All lesions were completely excised with an elliptical excision using a #15 scalpel blade and histopathologically analyzed (Table 1).

Also, literature about spitzoid pediatric ear lesions available on Google Scholar and PubMed was systematically reviewed in compliance with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta analysis.⁵

Combinations of the following keywords were used to retrieve all relevant articles: (((Spitz) OR (spitzoid) OR (Spitz nevus) OR (Spitz tumor) OR (spitzoid melanoma) OR (spitzoid lesion)) AND (ear) AND (pediatric)). We included studies and reviews reporting new cases of spitzoid lesions of the ear in pediatric age (≤ 18 years), dermoscopically and clinically evaluated, and histopathologically confirmed (Table 2).^{4,6-8}

Results

At the Dermatology Unit of the Pediatric Hospital IRCSS Giannina Gaslini, 8 ear lesions with spitzoid features or possibly representing MM were studied, excised, and histologically analyzed (Figure 1). Patients' features are reported in Table 1.

Patients had a mean and median age of, respectively, 7.4 and 6.5 years, male:female ratio of 1.7:1 and Caucasian ethnicity. The helix was the most frequently interested anatomic site (75%, 6/8), and most spitzoid lesions were clinically raised (87.5%, 7/8), well-circumscribed (88%, 7/8), small-sized (≤ 6 mm diameter) (75%, 6/8), and smooth-surfaced (75%, 6/8).

Dermoscopically, 75% (6/8) of the lesions were pigmented. Of note, 75% (6/8) of lesions had at least one sign of dermoscopic irregularity, and 62.5% (5/8) of lesions had 2 or more signs of irregularity. Indeed, irregular peripheral streaks were described in 37.5% (3/8), dark structureless pigment in 50% (4/8), thickened pigmented pseudo-network in 12.5% (1/8), pigmented irregular globules in 25% (2/8), and inverted network in 25% (2/8) of cases. Of lesions without dermoscopic irregularities (25%, 2/8), one was histologically AST.

Excision was performed in all cases for histopathological evaluation (100%, 8/8). At histology, 87.5% (7/8) of lesions were consistent with SN, of which 1/8 was a compound SN, 1/8 was a congenital SN, and 1/8 was an angiomatoid SN. Only one lesion (12.5%, 1/8) was diagnosed as AST. At histology, no lesion was consistent with MM.

Multiple (≥ 2) dermoscopic irregularities were present in 5 of 7 SN (71%), yet none were found in AST (0%, 0/1). The presence of multiple dermoscopic irregularities was not statistically associated with AST more than with SN (Fisher's exact test, $P=0.375$).

Throughout the systematic research of the literature, a total of 2105 articles were initially retrieved, but, finally, only 4 articles describing 9 patients satisfied the strict inclusion criteria and were selected, as presented in Table 2.^{4,6-8} A schematic illustration of the literature search and the study selection criteria is presented in Figure 2.

Also in the literature, the most frequently interested anatomic site was the helix (44.4%, 4/9), spitzoid lesions were mostly raised (66.6%, 6/9), clinically well-circumscribed (100%, 9/9), small-




Figure 1. Dermatoscopic images. a) Compound Spitz nevus of the ear helix, with multiple dermoscopic irregularities; b) Compound Spitz nevus of the helix, with multiple dermoscopic patterns, thickened pigmented pseudo-network, pigmented streaks, dark structureless pigment, and a blue whitish veil; c) Atypical Spitz tumor, presenting as 4×4 mm pigmented papule of the anthelix, with globular cobblestone pattern, without dermoscopic irregularities; d) Spitz-Reed nevus of the helix, with multiple dermoscopic irregularities.




Figure 2. A schematic illustration of the literature search and the study selection criteria is presented in the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis 2020 flow diagram.

sized (≤ 6 mm) (88.9%, 8/9), and smooth-surfaced (55.6%, 5/9).

Dermoscopically, 66.6% (6/9) of lesions were pigmented. Overall, 88.9% (8/9) had at least one dermatoscopic irregularity, and 66.6% (6/9) had multiple (≥ 2) dermatoscopic irregularities. Indeed, irregular peripheral streaks were described in 55.6% (5/9) of the cases, pigment forming a thickened pseudonetwork in 33.3% (3/9), structureless pigment in 22.2% (2/9), irregular globules and blotches in 33.3% (3/9), and a blue-whitish veil in 22.2% (2/9). Atypical vascular patterns were described in 22% (2/9) of the lesions, always combined with small, pigmented areas. Only one lesion showed no particular irregularity (11.1%, 1/9), forming red vascular globules organized in cobblestones. At histology, 88.9% (8/9) of lesions were SN, and 11% (1/9) were diagnosed as AST. No lesion was consistent with MM. Of note, also in the literature, multiple dermatoscopic irregularities were present in most SN (75%, 6/8), but not in the identified AST (0%, 0/1). Dermatoscopic irregularities were not statistically associated with AST more than SN, neither in our series nor in the literature (Fisher's exact test $P=0.3333$).

Discussion and Conclusions

Management of spitzoid lesions is controversial, and numerous succeeding guidelines have been developed by IDS to help clinicians handle the morphological overlap between SN, AST, and spitzoid melanoma.⁹⁻¹⁰ Further to the valuable recommendations of IDS that are developed as a general guideline to follow in all possible settings, the clinician must establish a tailored approach for every patient to ensure the best diagnostic and therapeutic approach. The present series on pediatric spitzoid ear lesions, with almost a decade of data collected monocentrically from consecutive patients, encompasses a large case series, including 9 lesions from this rare entity. Notably, all spitzoid-looking lesions in consecutive patients were excised and histologically examined, reducing the inevitable bias toward adopting conservative management for some benign-looking spitzoid lesions.¹¹⁻¹³ Yet, the present study was conducted in compliance with IDS guidelines: 75% (6/8) of lesions had at least one sign of dermatoscopic irregularity justifying surgical excision. Indeed, IDS guidelines suggest the excision of all asymmetric spitzoid lesions, intended as lesions with asymmetrically distributed spitzoid features (dotted vessels, reticular depigmentation, peripheral streaks/pseudopods). In fact, the distinction from melanoma is only histologically feasible in these cases.⁹ Of the remaining two lesions analyzed in this study, one was raised and roughly nodular, rationalizing surgical excision. The other one was a raised, eroded, and bleeding papule, and IDS suggested a lower threshold for excision of non-pigmented lesions, supporting the prudential choice of surgical removal.^{9,10}

In light of a risk-associated age-dependent strategy and taking into account concerns about anesthesiologic procedures in children, it is important to consider that IDS recommendations explicitly favor flexibility in children.⁹ Still, it must be mentioned that pediatric dermatologists are trained to perform surgical excisions in children with high levels of surgical manageability, largely without general anesthesia, also on the head and neck. This difficult-to-treat site should by no means represent a diagnostic limit.

Considerable overlap exists between malignant and benign dermatoscopic features in spitzoid lesions,¹⁴⁻¹⁷ especially in ear lesions, that tend to have clinical and dermatoscopic irregularities due to the anatomic morphology of this special site.⁴

This study evidenced the presence of multiple dermatoscopic irregularities in 71% of SN, which is consistent with literature

Table 1. Features of patients with pediatric spitzoid lesions of the ear presented in the current series.

| Patient number | Representative figure | Age (years) | Gender | Ethnicity | Time at presentation since onset (months) | Anatomic site | Size (mm) | Primary lesion | Surface | Clinical aspect | Pigmentation | Color shades | Dermatoscopic pattern | Secondary dermatoscopic aspects | ≥ 1 dermatoscopic irregularities | ≥ 2 dermatoscopic irregularities | Intervention | Diagnosis |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|---|---------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 1a | 7 | M | Caucasian | 8 | Helix | 6×4 | Slightly raised papule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Black, dark brown, light brown | Pigmented cobblestones forming an inverted network | Irregular peripheral hyperpigmented streaks | Yes | Yes | Excision | Compound Spitz nevus |
| 2 | 1b | 5 | M | Caucasian | 6 | Helix | 4×4 | Macule | Smooth | Irregular borders | Pigmented | Black, dark brown, light brown | Pigmented pseudonetwork, thickened | Irregular peripheral hyperpigmented streaks, structureless dark brown, blue-whitish pigmented irregular globules | Yes | Yes | Excision | Compound Spitz nevus |
| 3 | 1c | 4 | F | Caucasian | 7 | Auricle | 4×4 | Papule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Brown | Pigmented cobblestones | None | No | Excision | AST | |
| 4 | 1d | 9 | F | Caucasian | 6 | Helix | 3×2 | Papule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Dark brown | Dark structureless pigment | Irregular peripheral hyperpigmented streaks | Yes | Yes | Excision | Spitz nevus |
| 5 | NA | 6 | M | Caucasian | 3 | Helix | 6×4 | Papule | Eroded, bleeding | Well-circumscribed | Non-pigmented | Red | Vascular pattern, red pigmentation | None | No | Excision | Dermal nevus | |
| 6 | NA | 12 | F | Caucasian | 3 | Posterior part of the ear | 5×5 | Papule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Brown, blue | Dark structureless pigment | Blue-whitish veil | Yes | Yes | Excision | Compound spitz nevus |
| 7 | NA | 4 | M | Caucasian | 12 | Helix | 7×7 | Papule-nodule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Hypo-pigmented | Red, brown | Vascular pattern, dotted vessels | Eccentric structureless pigment | Yes | No | Excision | Angiomatoid Spitz nevus |
| 8 | NA | 12 | M | Caucasian | 12 | Helix | 8×8 | Papule | Hyperkeratotic | Irregular borders | Pigmented | Brown, dark brown | Globular irregular | Inverted network | Yes | Yes | Excision | Spitz nevus |

AST, atypical Spitz tumor; F, female; M, male; NA, not applicable.

Table 2. Features of patients with pediatric spitzoid lesions of the ear reported in the literature.

| Author, publication year | Representative figure | N° of patients described | Age (years) | Gender | Ethnicity | Time presentation since onset (months) | Anatomic site | Size (mm) | Primary lesion | Surface | Clinical aspect | Pigmentation | Color shades | Dermatoscopic pattern | Secondary dermatoscopic aspects | ≥1 dermatoscopic irregularities | ≥ 2 dermatoscopic irregularities | Intervention | Diagnosis |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|--|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Ferrara <i>et al.</i> , 2015 | NA | 1 | 9 | M | Caucasian | NA | Anti-tragus from figure | >10 (from figure) | Multinodular | Polypoid | Well-circumscribed | Hypo-pigmented | Red, brown | Atypical vascular pattern | Brown areas | Yes | No | Excision | AST |
| Lim <i>et al.</i> , 2022 | NA | 1 | 18 | M | Asiatic | 12 | Anthelix | 6×6 | Papule | Vermicous | Well-circumscribed | Hypo-pigmented | Red, peripheral brown | Atypical vascular pattern | Peripheral pigmented globules and pseudo network | Yes | Yes | Excision | Compound Spitz nevus |
| Ileeda <i>et al.</i> , 2018 | NA | 1 | 2 | M | Caucasian | 24 (since birth) | Posterior part of the ear | 4×6 | Small nodule | Papillomatous, verrucous | Well-circumscribed | Non-pigmented | Red, pink | Milky-red cobblestone pattern | Glomerular vessels | No | No | Excision | Congenital Spitz nevus |
| Vaccaro <i>et al.</i> , 2021 | NA | 6 | 8 | F | NA | NA | Lobule | 2×1.4 | Macule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Black, brown | Thickened pigmented pseudo network | Irregular peripheral streaks | Yes | Yes | Excision | Spitz nevus |
| Vaccaro <i>et al.</i> , 2021 | NA | | 10 | M | NA | NA | Helix | 3.5×3 | Macule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Black, brown, grey, white | Thickened pigmented pseudo network | Irregular peripheral streaks, grey, whitish area | Yes | Yes | Excision | Spitz nevus |
| Vaccaro <i>et al.</i> , 2021 | NA | | 16 | M | NA | NA | Helix | 4×3 | Macule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Black, brown, grey, white | Thickened pigmented pseudo network | Irregular peripheral streaks, irregular globules | Yes | Yes | Excision | Spitz nevus |
| Vaccaro <i>et al.</i> , 2021 | NA | | 14 | M | Caucasian | NA | Helix | 2.8×2.4 | Papule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Black, brown, blue-grey, white | Blue-grey structureless | Irregular peripheral streaks, irregular blebs | Yes | Yes | Excision | Spitz nevus |
| Vaccaro <i>et al.</i> , 2021 | NA | | 9 | M | NA | NA | Anthelix | 3.8×3.2 | Papule | Smooth | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Black, blue-grey, white | Pigment structureless | Irregular peripheral streaks, blue-whitish veil | Yes | Yes | Excision | Spitz nevus |
| Vaccaro <i>et al.</i> , 2021 | NA | | 17 | M | Caucasian | NA | Helix | 5×5 | Papule-nodule | Papillomatous | Well-circumscribed | Pigmented | Brown, grey, blue, white | Irregular globules | None | No | Excision | Spitz nevus | |

AST, atypical Spitz tumor; F, female; M, male; NA, not applicable.

data reporting multiple dermatoscopic irregularities in 75% of SN. However, this irregular dermatoscopic aspect did not correspond to the histological benignity of the great majority of these lesions. Moreover, 0% of identified ear ASTs had multiple dermatoscopic irregularities, which is in line with the general trend of the literature, where almost 20% of ASTs of the whole body are reported to have only typically benign features.⁷

It is worth noting that dermatoscopic irregularities were not significantly associated with AST, neither in our series nor in the reviewed literature (respectively $p=0.375$ and $p=0.3333$), supporting the fact that relying only on the dermatoscopic aspect of spitzoid lesions is not accurate enough for the special site of the ear and that dermatoscopy could actually be misleading.

In addition, though pediatric MM is rare (<1% of all melanomas) and no MM was reported in the present study, one cannot exclude the possibility of spitzoid melanoma arising in pediatric age, especially on the ear, keeping in mind that the spitzoid manifestation of MM is the most frequently reported in MM of pediatric age.^{5,11,12}

In conclusion, considering the low correspondence of clinical-dermatoscopic and histological aspects in spitzoid lesions, especially at the challenging anatomic site of the ear, the authors recommend that spitzoid lesions of the ear should be handled prudently.

References

1. Busam KJ, Kutzner H, Cerroni L, Wiesner T. Clinical and pathologic findings of Spitz nevi and atypical Spitz tumors with ALK fusions. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2014;38:925-33.
2. Yeh I, Busam KJ. Spitz melanocytic tumours - a review. *Histopathology* 2022;80:122-34.
3. Frischhut N, Zelger B, Andre F, Zelger BG. The spectrum of melanocytic nevi and their clinical implications. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2022;20:483-504. Correction in: *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2022;20:1054.
4. Vaccaro M, Marafioti I, Giuffrida R, et al. Clinical and dermoscopic characterization of pediatric Spitz nevi of the ear. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2021;38:895-8.
5. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n71.
6. Ferrara G, Cavicchini S, Corradin MT. Hypopigmented atypical spitzoid neoplasms (atypical Spitz nevi, atypical Spitz tumors, spitzoid melanoma): a clinicopathological update. *Dermatol Pract Concept* 2015;5:45-52.
7. Liang Y, Yu Y, Luan W, Xu J. "Red Spitz tumor" on the ear: case report and review of the literature. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol* 2022;15:339-45.
8. Ikeda A, Goto H, Sugita K, Yamamoto O. Congenital nodule on the ear in a two-year-old boy: a quiz. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2018;98:993-4.
9. Lallas A, Apalla Z, Ioannides D, et al. Update on dermoscopy of Spitz/Reed naevi and management guidelines by the international dermoscopy society. *Br J Dermatol* 2017;177:645-55.
10. Lallas A, Apalla Z, Papageorgiou C, et al. Management of flat pigmented Spitz and Reed Nevi in children. *JAMA Dermatol* 2018;154:1353-4.
11. Davies OMT, Majerowski J, Segura A, et al. A sixteen-year single-center retrospective chart review of Spitz nevi and spitzoid neoplasms in pediatric patients. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2020;37:1073-82.
12. Bartenstein DW, Fisher JM, Stamoulis C, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of spitzoid proliferations in children and adolescents. *Br J Dermatol* 2019;181:366-72.
13. De Giorgi V, Venturi F, Silvestri F, et al. Atypical Spitz tumours: an epidemiological, clinical and dermoscopic multi-centre study with 16 years of follow-up. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2022;47:1464-71.
14. Dika E, Ravaoli GM, Fanti PA, et al. Spitz Nevi and other spitzoid neoplasms in children: overview of incidence data and diagnostic criteria. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2017;34:25-32.
15. Dika E, Neri I, Fanti PA, et al. Spitz nevi: diverse clinical, dermoscopic and histopathological features in childhood. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2017;15:70-5.
16. Merkel EA, Mohan LS, Shi K, et al. Paediatric melanoma: clinical update, genetic basis, and advances in diagnosis. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2019;3:646-54.
17. Afanasiev OK, Tu JH, Chu DH, Swetter SM. Characteristics of melanoma in white and nonwhite children, adolescents, and young adults: analysis of a pediatric melanoma institutional registry, 1995-2018. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2019;36:448-54.