ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Disease-related mutations among Caribbean Hispanics with familial dementia

Joseph H. Lee^{1,2,3}, Amanda Kahn¹, Rong Cheng^{1,2}, Christiane Reitz^{1,2,4}, Badri Vardarajan^{1,2}, Rafael Lantigua^{1,5}, Martin Medrano⁶, Ivonne Z. Jiménez-Velázquez⁷, Jennifer Williamson^{1,2,4}, Peter Nagy^{1,8} & Richard Mayeux^{1,2,3,4,9}

which are associated with FTLD.

¹The Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University, New York, New York

²The Gertrude H. Sergievsky Center, Columbia University, New York, New York

³The Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York

⁴The Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, New York

⁵The Department of Medicine, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, New York

Abstract

⁶School of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Catolica Madre y Maestra, Santiago, Dominican Republic

⁷Department of Internal Medicine, University of Puerto Rico School of Medicine, San Juan, Puerto Rico

⁸The Department of Pathology, Columbia University, New York, New York

⁹The Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, New York

Keywords

Alzheimer's disease, Caribbean Hispanics, familial dementia, mutations, next-generation sequencing

Correspondence

Richard Mayeux, Gertrude H. Sergievsky Center, Columbia University, 630 West 168th Street, New York, NY 10032. Tel: 212 305 3192; Fax: 212 305 2518; E-mail: rpm2@columbia.edu

Funding Information

This work was supported by grants from the National Institute of Health and the National Institute on Aging: R37-AG15473, P01-AG07232 (R. M.), the Blanchette Hooker Rockefeller Foundation, and the Charles S. Robertson Gift from the Banbury Fund (R. M.), the Merrill Lynch Foundation (R. M.). Dr. Reitz was supported by a Paul B. Beeson Career Development Award (K23AG034550).

Received: 16 September 2013; Revised: 18 April 2014; Accepted: 29 April 2014

Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine 2014; 2(5): 430–437

doi: 10.1002/mgg3.85

Introduction

In 2001, a founder mutation, *p.Gly206Ala* (g.44636G>C) variant in presenilin 1 (*PSEN1*) (MIM#: 104311; NM_000021.3:c.617G>C) was identified in a group of families from Puerto Rico and the Dominican Republic

(Athan et al. 2001). There are now approximately 70 known families with this mutation and they are all of Caribbean Hispanics ancestry. Interestingly, some families conform to a Mendelian inheritance pattern with multiple affected family members in more than one generation. Moreover, mutation carriers can have the ages of onset

Pathogenic mutations in the three known genes - the amyloid precursor pro-

tein (APP), presenilin 1 (PSEN1), presenilin 2 (PSEN2) - are known to cause

familial Alzheimer's disease (AD) and tend to be associated with early-onset

AD. However, the frequency and risk associated with these mutations vary

widely. In addition, mutations in the frontotemporal lobar degeneration

(FTLD) genes - the microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT), granulin

(GRN) - have also been found to be associated with clinical AD. Here, we con-

ducted targeted resequencing of the exons in genes encoding APP, PSEN1,

PSEN2, GRN, and MAPT in 183 individuals from families with four or more affected relatives, presumed to be AD, and living in the Dominican Republic

and Puerto Rico. We then performed linkage and family-based association

analyses in carrier families, and genotyped 498 similarly aged unrelated controls

from the same ethnic background. Twelve potentially pathogenic mutations

were found to be associated with disease in 53 individuals in the five genes.

The most frequently observed mutation was the p.Gly206Ala variant in PSEN1

present in 30 (57%) of those sequenced. In the combined linkage and associa-

tion analyses several rare variants were associated with dementia. In Caribbean

Hispanics with familial AD, potentially pathogenic variants were present in 29.2%, four were novel mutations, while eight had been previously observed. In

addition, some family members carried variants in the GRN and MAPT genes

ranging from 40 to 73 in these families, while the family members may have age at onset as late as in their 90s.

Studies have shown that phenotypic expression associated with any nonsynonymous variants can be highly variable (Cruts et al. 2012). Among Caribbean Hispanics, the p.Gly206Ala variant in PSEN1 was highly prevalent among familial Alzheimer's disease (AD) and at the same time was associated with highly variable onset age. Furthermore, mutations in the genes associated with frontotemlobar degeneration (FTLD), namely poral the microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) and progranulin (GRN) genes were also found to be associated to clinical AD (Wojtas et al. 2012). We were thus motivated to conduct targeted resequencing of exonic regions within known genes (PSEN1 [MIM#: five 104311; NM_000021.3], PSEN2 [MIM#: 600759; NG 007381; NM_000447.2], APP [MIM#: 104760; AC 000153; NM_000484.3], MAPT [MIM#: 157140; NG 007398; NM_016835.4], and GRN [MIM#: 138945; NG 007886; NM_002087.2]) related to familial dementias in Caribbean Hispanic families.

All families were recruited as part of a study of familial AD, and included individuals with both early (below age 65 years) and late-onset (above age 65 years) disease. To determine whether variants in any of the five known genes contributed to development of familial AD, we sequenced one case from each family, and then genotyped nonsynonymous variants in all sampled family members as well as a group of unrelated 498 controls of Caribbean Hispanic ancestry. This study provides information about clinical relevance of rare variants observed in genes associated with familial dementias found in a unique set of Caribbean Hispanics.

Methods

Subjects

We selected 183 patients with dementia from families of Caribbean Hispanic ancestry with four or more individuals affected with dementia, having met criteria for probable AD (McKhann et al. 1984). Each of these families had at least one affected individual with age of onset before 65 years while other family members could have later ages at onset. We further restricted the selection to a single member from each family that had multiple affected individuals, the selection was carried out based on DNA availability and, in case of more than one affected obtainable, we choose the younger one. We sequenced all exons of five genes, specifically *PSEN1*, *PSEN2*, *APP*, *GRN*, and *MAPT*. For any putative variant (selected as potentially affecting proteins function as described below), we confirmed the variant in the person sequenced and then genotyped the variant in all family members, totaling 398 samples.

We genotyped 498 unrelated and unaffected elderly Caribbean Hispanic controls if at least one additional affected family member had the genotyped variant. This was done in order to compare the allele frequency in a healthy Caribbean Hispanic cohort.

Next-generation sequencing

High-throughput sequencing was performed using the Roche 454 GS FLX sequencing platform (Roche 454 Life Sciences, Branford, CT). Exonic sequences from the five genes of interest were amplified using Fluidigm capture technology (http://www.fluidigm.com).

Sequencing analysis

Data were analyzed using NextGENe software from Soft-Genetics (SoftGenetics, LLC, State College, PA). Reads were filtered based on the following criteria: the median quality score was below a threshold of Q15; more than three consecutive uncalled bases, there were less than 25 called bases per read. The reads were then aligned against Gen-Bank reference file, version GRCh37.p5 primary assembly, for the five targeted genes. The average read length was approximately 480 basepairs, and the average coverage per subject was approximately 30×. We required a minimum of 10-fold coverage and the presence of the mutation in greater than 15% of the reads or at least three reads, for a heterozygous variant to be called at any nucleotide. Mutation reporting was restricted to coding DNA regions and splice sites. Nonsynonymous variants designated by SIFT (Ng and Henikoff 2001; Kumar et al. 2009) as "damaging" were selected for further genotyping analysis.

Genotyping

We regenotyped the sequenced cases using the Sanger method to validate genotypes obtained from the sequencing experiment. Similarly, we genotyped their family members with available DNA to establish disease segregation patterns within each family. Genotyping was conducted on the Sequenom platform, and was supplemented with the Sanger method. Variants that were likely segregating within families were genotyped in 498 unrelated controls of the same Caribbean Hispanic ancestry who underwent the same phenotype and diagnostic review.

Statistical analysis

We performed linkage and family-based association analyses using PSEUDOMARKER (Goring and Terwilliger 2000; Hiekkalinna et al. 2011) in a dominant model, which allowed analysis of family data, unrelated subjects, or both to determine whether a variant was associated with dementia. Unlike traditional linkage analysis that computes the likelihood of recombination fraction alone or traditional allelic association analyses that often require unrelated individuals, this likelihood-based approach estimates the recombination fraction between trait and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), SNP allele frequencies, and linkage disequilibrium (LD) between trait and SNPs. As a result, it is possible to test hypotheses of linkage, LD or both. Moreover, by including unrelated controls in a family study, this approach provides more accurate allele frequencies in the general population and overcomes the problems associated with inflated allele frequencies of rare variants in highly selected families as these family members share genotypes identical by descent (Wijsman et al. 2011). Thus, we included 498 unaffected and unrelated elderly controls in the analysis because allele frequencies in multiplex families are often inflated compared with the generation population. Considering 12 variants analyzed and a significant threshold of P = 0.05, a Bonferroni corrected P value of 0.004 was considered significant.

To determine the degree of risk associated with these variants, we performed a univariate Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for family membership as an aggregate to take into account nonindependence among family members. We then performed an association model that included *APOE* (modeled as having at least one copy of *APOE**4). The proc PHREG module in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used for the analysis.

Results

Subjects

Probands from 183 families were sequenced (Table 1). There were 67.2% women and the mean age of onset was 56.6 years (SD = 6.94), ranging from 30 to 64 years. The mean number of years of education was 8.4 years (SD = 5.5). Thirty-four percent of the probands were from Puerto Rico, 65% from the Dominican Republic, and one was born in the United States. The APOE*4 allele frequency was 32.3%. In addition, 498 controls of similar ancestry were genotyped. There were 69.5% women and the mean age at last examination was

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of sequenced participants and family members.

	Sequenced		Relatives		Controls		
Characteristics	n	Mean	n	Mean	n	Mean	
Number of subjects sequenced/genotyped ¹	195		318		498		
Number of families ²	194		56				
Affection status							
Affected	183	94.0%	104	33.0%			
Unaffected	10	5.0%	197	62.0%	498	100.0%	
Unknown	2	1.0%	17	5.0%			
Proportion of females	64/131	67.2%	142/176	55.30%	152/346	69.5	
Age (year)							
Age at onset of affected		56.9 (SD = 7.29)		68.5 (SD = 12.64)			
Age at last examination of unaffected		66.7 (SD = 5.66)		58.8 (SD = 11.31)		79.1 (SD= 6.2)	
Range of age at onset		40–73		44–98			
Education (year)		8.4 (SD = 5.45)		90 (SD =5.79)		7.6 (SD = 4.35)	
Range of education		0–20		0–22		0–20	
Residency							
Puerto Rico	67	34.4%	147	46.2%	69	13.9%	
Dominican Republic		65.1%	165	51.9%	210	42.2%	
USA	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	10	2.0%	
Other Hispanics ³ 0		0.0%	0	0.0% 132		26.5%	
Unknown/others ⁴ 0		0.0%	6	1.9%	77	15.5%	
APOE allele frequencies							
E4		32.1%		24.1%		13.0%	
E3		64.4%		70.9%		79.2%	
E2		3.6%		5.0%		7.8%	

¹Three individuals identified from separate sequencing experiments.

²One family had more than one person sequenced.

³Self-reported Hispanics but unclear about their nationality and place of birth.

⁴Incomplete information.

79.1 years (SD = 6.2) and ranged from 66 to 100 years. The age at onset for sequenced individuals ranged from 40 to 73, while that for the relatives of the sequenced individuals ranged from 44 to 98. For unrelated controls, age at last examination ranged from 42 to 100. The mean number of years of education was 7.6 years (SD = 4.4). The distribution of reported country of origin for controls was as follows: 49.2% were from Dominican Republic, 16.6% from Puerto Rico, 18.9% from Cuba, and the remainder was from other Latin American countries. The APOE*4 allele frequency was 13.0%.

Sequence analyses

Sequencing revealed a small number of variants. Twelve nonsynonymous variants were considered damaging (Ng and Henikoff 2001; Kumar et al. 2009). Variants were present in all five genes among cases, but were either absent or extremely rare among unrelated controls (Table 2).

Presenilin 1

The *p.Gly206Ala* variant (NM_000021.3:c.617G>C) in *PSEN1* was the most frequent and had the strongest effect on the risk of dementia (Table 3). None of the controls had this mutation. This mutation showed strong evidence for both linkage as well as association (Table 3) either in

the presence or absence of controls. A second mutation p.Glu318Gly variant (NM_000021.3:c.953A>G) in *PSEN1* was observed in three families. The allele frequency among unrelated controls was 1.3%. This variant showed no evidence for linkage, but was associated with dementia when the cases were compared with the unrelated controls, suggesting that this variant may be a risk factor.

Presenilin 2

Three damaging mutations were observed, including *p.Ala344Val* (NM_000447.2:c.1130C>T), *p.Ile235Phe* (NM_000447.2:c.703A>T), and *p.Pro301Ala* (NM_000447. 2:c.1000C>G). Evidence for linkage was weak; however, the associations were significant in the joint linkage and association analysis.

Amyloid precursor protein

Three variants were observed in this gene. Two variants, *p.Ser614Gly* (NM_000484.3:c.1840A>G) and *p.Ala344Val* (NM_000484.3:c.1031C>T) in *APP* were considered tolerated and not pathogenic. The *p.Val340Met* (NM_000484. 3:c.1018G>A) variant was predicted to be nontolerated according to the SIFT evaluation, and was present in three affected and two unaffected individuals and was significantly associated with AD.

Table 2. Comparison of mutation frequencies between affected versus unaffected family members versus unrelated controls.

Mutation		Affected		Unaffected		Unknown		Controls					
Gene	Total ¹	No. of carriers	Total aff	freq	No. of carriers	Total unaff	Freq	No. of carriers	Total unk	Freq	No. of carriers	Total controls	Freq
PSEN1													
p.Gly206Ala	600	118	303	0.195	71	259	0.137	14	38	0.184	0	498	0.00000
p.Glu318Gly	222	9	198	0.023	4	22	0.091	0	2	0	13	498	0.01305
PSEN2													
p.lle235Phe ²	196	1	183	0.003	2	11	0.091	0	2	0	0	498	0.00000
p.Pro301Ala ²	198	1	184	0.003	2	12	0.083	0	2	0	0	497	0.00000
p Ala344Val ²	195	1	184	0.003	1	9	0.056	0	2	0	1	497	0.00101
GRN													
p.Cys222Tyr ²	193	1	183	0.003	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	498	0.00000
p.Val519Met	201	4	186	0.011	2	13	0.077	0	2	0	0	498	0.00000
MAPT													
p.Ser318Leu	264	23	220	0.052	14	39	0.179	1	5	0.100	53	498	0.05522
p.lle468Thr	194	1	184	0.003	1	8	0.063	0	2	0	2	497	0.00201
APP													
p.Ser614Gly	192	1	183	0.003	0	7	0	0	2	0	8	498	0.00803
p.Ala344Val	195	2	184	0.005	0	9	0	0	2	0	0	498	0.00000
p.Val340Met	198	3	186	0.008	2	10	0.100	0	2	0	0	498	0.00000

¹Total number of subjects sequenced or genotyped.

²Variants in bold italics were not found in Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia mutation database (http://www.molgen.ua.ac.be/ ADMutations).

Gene			P-values (with unrelated controls)			
	Variant name	MAF in controls	Linkage ¹	LD and linkage ²		
APP	p.Ala344Val	0	0.1195	0.0005		
	p.Ser614Gly	0.008	0.4958	0.0107		
	p.Val340Met	0	0.5	0.0007		
PSEN1	p.Glu318Gly	0.0131	0.3805	6.0E-6		
	p.Gly206Ala	0	8.94E-07	<1.0E-24		
PSEN2	p.Ala344Val	0.001	0.2717	0.003		
	p.Ile235Phe	0	0.5	0.0005		
	p.Pro301Ala	0	0.5	0.0017		
GRN	p.Cys222Tyr	0	0.5	0.0005		
	p.Val519Met	0	0.4915	6.8E-08		
MAPT	p.Ile468Thr	0.002	0.3017	0.0054		
	p.Ser318Leu	0.0552	0.4893	2.4E-05		

Table 3. Joint linkage and association analysis using fami	ilies and 498 unrelated controls.
--	-----------------------------------

LD, linkage disequilibrium; APP, amyloid precursor protein; PSEN1, presenilin 1; PSEN2, presenilin 2; GRN, granulin; MAPT, microtubule-associated protein tau; MAF, minor allele frequency.

¹*P*-values for linkage analysis.

²*P*-value for joint linkage and LD.

Granulin

Both *p.Val519Met* (NM_002087.2:c.1555G>A) and *p.Cys222Tyr* (NM_002087.2:c.665G>A) variants in *GRN* were significantly associated with AD compared with the unrelated controls under the three different models (P < 6.8E-08 and P < 5E-04, respectively). None of the controls carried these two variants.

Microtubule-associated protein tau

While a variant in *MAPT*, *p.Ser318Leu* (NM_016835.4: c.953C>T), was also frequent (5.5%) among controls as shown in Table 3, the allelic association with AD was significant; however, the other rare variant, *p.Ile468Thr* (NM_016835.4:c.1403T>C), was not.

Cox proportional hazard model

To determine the effect size of each variant in this selected set of familial AD, we performed two Cox proportional hazard models. Compared with unrelated controls, four variants under the univariate analysis had hazard ratios exceeding 5.0: the *p.Gly206Ala* variant in *PSEN1* (HR = 8.5, 6.6–10.9); the *p.Gly206Ala* variant in *PSEN1* (HR = 27.9, 9.5–82.0), the *p.Cys222Tyr* variant in *GRN* (HR = 14.4; 10.8–19.2), and the *p.V519* variant in *GRN* (HR = 7.2; 3.2–16.1). While statistically significant at *P*-value of 0.05, the hazard ratio for the *p.Glu318Gly* variant in *PSEN1* had a wide range of 95% confidence intervals due to small sample size. Other variants had much weaker effect sizes, and those variants

with hazard ratio under 1.9 were not statistically significant. When adjusted for *APOE**4, hazard ratios in the model did not modify the magnitude of HR (Fig. 1).

We subsequently performed a genewise analysis combining all mutations in each gene. This analysis revealed that variants in the *PSEN1* (HR = 6.2; 4.6–8.2) and *GRN* (HR = 9.9; 5.0–19.6) genes significantly increased the risk of dementia (data not shown).

Comparisons against sequence database

To determine whether these variants were previously identified in independent external population sets, we checked allele frequencies in European Americans and African Americans in the Exome Variant Server (http:// evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/). As of January 2013, seven of the 12 variants were present and four were novel mutations. Of the remaining, five (*p.Gly206Ala* variant in *PSEN1*) were absent in the Exome Variant Server database but was previously reported by us, and four were novel (Table S1).

Discussion

We resequenced exons from five candidate genes associated with familial dementias (*APP*, *PSEN1*, *PSEN2*, *GRN*, and *MAPT*). Resequencing of these target genes was conducted in 183 Caribbean Hispanic patients for a study of familial dementia from families with four or more affected individuals, originating in the Dominican Republic and Puerto Rico. The raw sequence data were analyzed for potentially pathogenic variants. Potentially pathogenic



List of variants analyzed with or without APOE

Figure 1. Univariate and multivariate hazard ratio of Alzheimer's disease among carriers and noncarriers using affected and unaffected individuals. Blue dots represent hazard ratios from a univariate model and red dots represent hazard ratios from a multivariate model (SNP + sex + APOE). On *y*-axis, gene and mutation are presented; horizontal bars represent 95% confidence interval. For *p.Glu318Gly* variant in *PSEN1*, 95% confidence interval was truncated at 40.

variants were then genotyped in other sampled family members to determine whether the variant segregated within the family. When the variant was present in probands and relatives, we then genotyped the variant in an independent group of cognitively normal controls from the same Hispanic populations to determine population allelic frequencies.

Most familial dementias, including AD, are multifactorial disorders with multiple putative genetic influences. While the late-onset form of the disease is more common, the genetics of early-onset AD, defined by an age of onset before 65 years have been well studied although gaps remain (van Broeckhoven 1995). Variants in *PSEN1*, *PSEN2*, and *APP* account for nearly 50% of cases (van Broeckhoven et al. 1992; Cruts and van Broeckhoven 1998a,b; Rogaeva et al. 2001). Mutated versions of these genes increase the ratio of $A\beta 42:A\beta 40$ ratios through either increased $A\beta$ production or improper clearance, thereby contributing to the characteristic neuritic plaques that represent the neuropathology hallmarks of the disease (Rademakers et al. 2003a). Although these variants tend to have strong signals, direct exome resequencing of multiplex families with dementia have the power to detect rare variants with somewhat weaker signals that might otherwise be missed. It also enables the establishment of segregation patterns within families as compared to the sequencing of unrelated individuals. Applying these approaches to Caribbean Hispanic populations is valuable because they have an increased frequency of dementia compared with similarly aged whites of European ancestry, and the number of putative variants tends to be relatively small.

A previous family study involving these five genes identified mutations among Caucasians and Hispanics (Cruchaga et al. 2012) including the p.Gly206Ala variant in PSEN1. However, only a few Hispanic families were included. We discovered that 11 individuals from seven families were sequenced or genotyped in the study by Cruchaga et al. as well as the present study, and 11 mutations were identified. For nine individuals, the identified mutations were identical in both experiments. For two individuals, Cruchaga et al. (2012) reported that one individual had a p.Asp135Val variant in GRN (pathogenicity unknown) and the other had two mutations (p.Pro85Ala variant in GRN and p.Arg62His variant in PSEN2) that were likely to be nonpathogenic. The reason for the differences between the two experiments stems from the filtering algorithm where tolerant variants were not further genotyped. Among patients from South America a similar study confirmed the presence of additional mutations (Jin et al. 2012). A number of mutations have been reported at low frequencies (Cruts et al. 2012), necessitating further family studies of early onset Alzheimer disease with a large number of cases. Furthermore, confirmed mutations for early-onset AD are highly penetrant (Sherrington et al. 1995; Tanzi and Bertram 2001; St George-Hyslop and Petit 2005).

Several studies have examined *PSEN1* mutations in other Hispanic populations other than from the Dominican Republic (Morelli et al. 1998; Ramirez-Duenas et al. 1998; Arango et al. 2001; Bertoli Avella et al. 2002). Only one founder mutation (p.Leu174Met variant in exon 6) was reported among Cubans, stemming from an ancestral Iberian founder (Bertoli Avella et al. 2002). This mutation was described as autosomal dominant and completely penetrant in 40 relatives. Other previously reported Hispanic mutations had been discovered in individuals from the Netherlands, Belgium, and Italy yet only one of the mutations (p.Ala260Val in exon 8) was shown in vivo to increase A β 42 levels.

The *p.Gly206Ala* variant in *PSEN1* was found to be the most frequent variant in this population. Characteristic of

the p.Gly206Ala variant in PSEN1 is the variability in age at onset, even within the same family. We previously described this mutation and its related functional effects on A β production. While the *p.Glu318Gly* variant in PSEN1 has also been previously described, it was thought to be nonpathogenic due to lack of an effect on PSEN1 N-terminus-C-terminus proximity or on PSEN1-APP interactions as reported for other pathogenic mutations (Berezovska et al. 2005). However, this does not exclude the possibility that the p.Glu318Gly variant in PSEN1 could represent a genetic risk factor as it may be in LD with variants in the promotor region (Helisalmi et al. 2000) or elsewhere, or it is a risk factor in a very small subset of familial form of AD. Based on the three carrier families, the present study support allelic association, and the hazard ratio was elevated but with a wide confidence interval. Thus, these rare, novel variants with incomplete penetrance pose a challenge for clinical interpretation.

GRN and *MAPT* mutations are typically associated with FTLD, yet some individuals with mutated forms of these genes have exhibited phenotypes that are indistinguishable from AD (Rademakers et al. 2003b; Doran et al. 2007; Gijselinck et al. 2008; Fenoglio et al. 2009; Jin et al. 2012) as was observed in this study. There are no confirmed reports of *GRN* or *MAPT* mutations in pathologically confirmed AD. On the contrary, several articles reported these two genes' variants associated with clinical diagnoses of AD. This phenotype continuum spectrum involving dementias as FTLD and AD may complicate the diagnostic algorithm; thus, especially in families with more than one form of dementia, assessments of genetic mutations could improve differential diagnosis.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the National Institute of Health and the National Institute on Aging: R37-AG15473, P01-AG07232 (R. M.), the Blanchette Hooker Rockefeller Foundation and the Charles S. Robertson Gift from the Banbury Fund (R. M.), the Merrill Lynch Foundation (R. M.). Dr. Reitz was supported by a Paul B. Beeson Career Development Award (K23AG034550).

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

Arango, D., M. Cruts, O. Torres, H. Backhovens, M. L. Serrano, E. Villareal, et al. 2001. Systematic genetic study of Alzheimer disease in Latin America: mutation frequencies of the amyloid beta precursor protein and presenilin genes in Colombia. Am. J. Med. Genet. 103:138–143.

- Athan, E. S., J. Williamson, A. Ciappa, V. Santana, S. N. Romas, J. H. Lee, et al. 2001. A founder mutation in presenilin 1 causing early-onset Alzheimer disease in unrelated Caribbean Hispanic families. JAMA 286:2257– 2263.
- Berezovska, O., A. Lleo, L. D. Herl, M. P. Frosch, E. A. Stern, B. J. Bacskai, et al. 2005. Familial Alzheimer's disease presenilin 1 mutations cause alterations in the conformation of presenilin and interactions with amyloid precursor protein. J. Neurosci. 25:3009–3017.
- Bertoli Avella, A. M., B. Marcheco Teruel, J. J. Llibre Rodriguez, N. Gomez Viera, I. Borrajero Martinez, E. A. Severijnen, et al. 2002. A novel presenilin 1 mutation (L174 M) in a large Cuban family with early onset Alzheimer disease. Neurogenetics 4:97–104.
- van Broeckhoven, C. 1995. Presenilins and Alzheimer disease. Nat. Genet. 11:230–232.
- van Broeckhoven, C., H. Backhovens, M. Cruts, G. de Winter, M. Bruyland, P. Cras, et al. 1992. Mapping of a gene predisposing to early-onset Alzheimer's disease to chromosome 14q24.3. Nat. Genet. 2:335–339.
- Cruchaga, C., G. Haller, S. Chakraverty, K. Mayo, F. L. Vallania, R. D. Mitra, et al. 2012. Rare variants in APP, PSEN1 and PSEN2 increase risk for AD in late-onset Alzheimer's disease families. PLoS One 7:e31039.
- Cruts, M., and C. van Broeckhoven. 1998a. Molecular genetics of Alzheimer's disease. Ann. Med. 30:560–565.
- Cruts, M., and C. van Broeckhoven. 1998b. Presenilin mutations in Alzheimer's disease. Hum. Mutat. 11:183–190.
- Cruts, M., J. Theuns, and C. van Broeckhoven. 2012. Locus-specific mutation databases for neurodegenerative brain diseases. Hum. Mutat. 33:1340–1344.
- Doran, M., D. G. du Plessis, E. J. Ghadiali, D. M. Mann, S. Pickering-Brown, and A. J. Larner. 2007. Familial early-onset dementia with tau intron 10 + 16 mutation with clinical features similar to those of Alzheimer disease. Arch. Neurol. 64:1535–1539.
- Fenoglio, C., D. Galimberti, F. Cortini, J. S. Kauwe, C. Cruchaga, E. Venturelli, et al. 2009. Rs5848 variant influences GRN mRNA levels in brain and peripheral mononuclear cells in patients with Alzheimer's disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 18:603–612.
- Gijselinck, I., C. van Broeckhoven, and M. Cruts. 2008. Granulin mutations associated with frontotemporal lobar degeneration and related disorders: an update. Hum. Mutat. 29:1373–1386.
- Goring, H. H. H., and J. D. Terwilliger. 2000. Linkage analysis in the presence of errors IV: joint pseudomarker analysis of linkage and/or linkage disequilibrium on a mixture of pedigrees and singletons when the mode of inheritance cannot be accurately specified. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 66:1310–1327.

Helisalmi, S., M. Hiltunen, A. Mannermaa, A. M. Koivisto, M. Lehtovirta, I. Alafuzoff, et al. 2000. Is the presenilin-1
E318G missense mutation a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease? Neurosci. Lett. 278:65–68.

Hiekkalinna, T., A. A. Schaffer, B. Lambert, P. Norrgrann, H.
H. H. Goring, and J. D. Terwilliger. 2011.
PSEUDOMARKER: a powerful program for joint linkage and/or linkage disequilibrium analysis on mixtures of singletons and related individuals. Hum. Hered. 71:256–266.

Jin, S. C., P. Pastor, B. Cooper, S. Cervantes, B. A. Benitez, C. Razquin, C. Cruchaga. 2012. Pooled-DNA sequencing identifies novel causative variants in PSEN1, GRN and MAPT in a clinical early-onset and familial Alzheimer's disease Ibero-American cohort. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 4:34.

Kumar, P., S. Henikoff, and P. C. Ng. 2009. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nat. Protoc. 4:1073–1081.

McKhann, G., D. Drachman, M. Folstein, R. Katzman, D. Price, and E. M. Stadlan. 1984. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 34:939–944.

Morelli, L., M. I. Prat, E. Levy, C. A. Mangone, and E. M. Castano. 1998. Presenilin 1 Met146Leu variant due to an A -> T transversion in an early-onset familial Alzheimer's disease pedigree from Argentina. Clin. Genet. 53:469–473.

Ng, P. C., and S. Henikoff. 2001. Predicting deleterious amino acid substitutions. Genome Res. 11:863–874.

Rademakers, R., M. Cruts, and C. van Broeckhoven. 2003a. Genetics of early-onset Alzheimer dementia. Sci. World J. 3:497–519.

Rademakers, R., B. Dermaut, K. Peeters, M. Cruts, P. Heutink, A. Goate, et al. 2003b. Tau (MAPT) mutation Arg406Trp presenting clinically with Alzheimer disease does not share a common founder in Western Europe. Hum. Mutat. 22:409–411.

Ramirez-Duenas, M. G., E. A. Rogaeva, C. A. Leal, C. Lin, G. A. Ramirez-Casillas, J. A. Hernandez-Romo, et al. 1998. A novel Leu171Pro mutation in presenilin-1 gene in a Mexican family with early onset Alzheimer disease. Ann. Genet. 41:149–153.

Rogaeva, E. A., K. C. Fafel, Y. Q. Song, H. Medeiros, C. Sato, Y. Liang, et al. 2001. Screening for PS1 mutations in a referral-based series of AD cases: 21 novel mutations. Neurology 57:621–625.

Sherrington, R., E. I. Rogaev, Y. Liang, E. A. Rogaeva, G. Levesque, M. Ikeda, et al. 1995. Cloning of a gene bearing missense mutations in early-onset familial Alzheimer's disease. Nature 375:754–760.

St George-Hyslop, P. H., and A. Petit. 2005. Molecular biology and genetics of Alzheimer's disease. C R Biol. 328:119–130.

Tanzi, R. E., and L. Bertram. 2001. New frontiers in Alzheimer's disease genetics. Neuron 32:181–184.

Wijsman, E. M., N. D. Pankratz, Y. Choi, J. H. Rothstein, K. M. Faber, R. Cheng, et al. 2011. Genome-wide association of familial late-onset Alzheimer's disease replicates BIN1 and CLU and nominates CUGBP2 in interaction with APOE. PLoS Genet. 7:e1001308.

Wojtas, A., K. A. Heggeli, N. Finch, M. Baker, M. Dejesus-Hernandez, S. G. Younkin, et al. 2012. C9ORF72 repeat expansions and other FTD gene mutations in a clinical AD patient series from Mayo Clinic. Am. J. Neurodegener. Dis. 1:107–118.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Allele frequencies in the Exome Variant Server.