Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Non-coding RNA Research

journal homepage: http://www.keaipublishing.com/NCRNA

Viral lncRNA: A regulatory molecule for controlling virus life cycle

Ziqiang Wang ^{a, b}, Yiwan Zhao ^{a, b}, Yaou Zhang ^{b, c, *}

^a School of Life Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, PR China

^b Key Lab in Healthy Science and Technology, Division of Life Science, Graduate School at Shenzhen, Tsinghua University, 518055, Shenzhen, PR China

^c Open FIESTA Center, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, 518055, PR China

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 21 December 2016 Received in revised form 16 March 2017 Accepted 22 March 2017 Available online 23 March 2017

Keywords: IncRNA Viral life cycle Gene regulation Viral replication Virus intervention

ABSTRACT

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are found not only in mammals but also in other organisms, including viruses. Recent findings suggest that lncRNAs play various regulatory roles in multiple major biological and pathological processes. During viral life cycles, lncRNAs are involved in a series of steps, including enhancing viral gene expression, promoting viral replication and genome packaging, boosting virion release, maintaining viral latency and assisting viral transformation; additionally, lncRNAs antagonize host antiviral innate immune responses. In contrast to proteins that function in viral infection, lncRNAs are expected to be novel targets for the modulation of all types of biochemical processes due to their broad characteristics and profound influence. This review highlights our current understanding of the regulatory roles of lncRNAs during viral infection processes with an emphasis on the potential usefulness of lncRNAs as a target for viral intervention strategies, which could have therapeutic implications for the application of a clinical approach for the treatment of viral diseases.

© 2017 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Viruses are important infectious agents that interfere with the molecular process of gene expression in the host cell. Therefore, an understanding of the mechanisms by which viruses adapt to the host cellular environment and enhance the expression of specific viral genes that control pathogenicity will provide basic information concerning cellular processes during viral infection. Although the majority of factors that are known to regulate the viral life cycle are proteins, a growing number of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been verified to function in these processes [1]. Historically, genes of non-coding RNAs have been regarded as "junk DNA". However, this type of long non-coding transcript has recently risen to prominence as a surprisingly versatile regulator of gene expression. The functional diversity of the mere handful of validated lncRNAs indicates the vast regulatory potential of these silent biomolecules.

During viral infection, viruses generate lncRNAs to facilitate virus-induced cytopathicity and pathogenicity [2]. Additionally,

* Corresponding author. Key Lab in Healthy Science and Technology, Division of Life Science, Graduate School at Shenzhen, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, PR China.

E-mail address: zhangyo@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn (Y. Zhang).

host lncRNA expression is profoundly influenced by viral infections. For example, 4729 lncRNAs are upregulated and 6588 are downregulated during human foamy virus (HFV) infection of H293 cells [3]. Additionally, researchers discovered 504 differentially regulated lncRNAs in a whole transcriptome analysis of SARS-CoVinfected mouse lung tissue [4], and more than 4800 lncRNAs were differentially expressed in rhabdomyosarcoma cells infected with enterovirus 71 [5]. These findings suggest that widespread differential expression of lncRNAs occurs in response to viral infection and the potential roles of these dysregulated lncRNAs in the viral life cycle.

In this review, we describe the biological roles of IncRNAs generated by viruses and induced during the viral life cycle (Fig. 1) and discuss the potential usefulness of IncRNAs as therapeutic targets. This review shows that viruses have evolved a unique strategy to facilitate their life cycle via generating or inducing IncRNA production, which may have important consequences for the application of clinical approaches for the treatment of viral diseases.

2. Enhancing viral gene expression

Once a virus reaches the appropriate cell compartment, the viral genome must direct the expression of "early" proteins, which will

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ncrna.2017.03.002





CrossMark

^{2468-0540/© 2017} The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Fig. 1. A diagram highlighting the regulatory roles of lncRNAs in viral life cycles. Once virus enters the host cell by binding to receptors of the cell surface (A and B), lncRNAs generated or induced by viruses facilitate its life cycles through enhancing viral gene transcription (C) and translation (D), promoting viral replication (E) and genome packaging (F), boosting virion release (G) and maintaining viral latency (H).

enable genome replication, and "late" proteins, which are used to package the viral genome and assemble the capsid. In cells infected with the dengue or kunjin viruses, subgenomic flavivirus RNA (sfRNA), which is a lncRNA that is incompletely degraded from the viral genomic RNA presumably by the cellular 5' - 3' exoribonuclease XRN1, inhibits XRN1 activity and alters host mRNA stability. This effect may assist the stabilization of viral transcripts and disrupt the regulation of host cell gene expression [6].

For DNA viruses, polyadenylated nuclear (PAN) RNA, which is a IncRNA encoded by the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) genome, can transcriptionally activate KSHV gene expression by physically interacting with the KSHV genome [7]. Alternatively, PAN RNA can relieve gene suppression by acting as a molecular scaffold for chromatin modifying enzymes to remove the H3K27me3 mark [8], which is required for the production of late viral proteins, by binding to the host poly(A)-binding protein C1 (PABPC1) to regulate mRNA stability and translation efficiency [9]. Moreover, adenovirus virus-associated RNA (VARNA) I is involved in the selective translation of viral mRNA and the shut-off of host cell protein synthesis by inhibiting the cleavage of double-stranded RNA and the inactivation of DAI, which is an eIF-2 kinase known to be a suppressor of protein translation initiation [10,11]. In addition to lncRNAs encoded by viral genomes, NEAT1 (nuclear enriched abundant transcript 1) is a cellular lncRNA that functions as a scaffold for paraspeckle formation [12–14] and is induced by viral genes and increases viral gene expression and viral replication [18].

3. Promoting viral replication

Many viruses must continually replicate to maintain themselves. Generally, DNA viruses replicate their genomes directly to DNA, whereas RNA viruses replicate their genomes directly to RNA. However, some DNA viruses copy their genomes via an RNA intermediate, and some RNA viruses copy their genomes via a DNA intermediate. sfRNA has been shown to regulate viral gene expression and is required for efficient viral replication and cytopathicity in cells infected with West Nile virus Kunjin (WNV KUN) [19] and yellow fever virus [20]. In contrast, sfRNA plays a negative role in viral replication and translation in cells infected with Japanese encephalitis virus [21]. Furthermore, KSHV PAN RNA associates with the demethylases UTX and JMJD3 to activate lytic replication through a physical interaction with the viral genome [22].

4. Promoting viral assembly and boosting virion release

As part of the viral life cycle, the genome packaging and virion release processes are initiated by tethering of viral proteins by the viral genome into new infective progeny viruses within the infected cell. In moloney murine leukemia virus (MLV)-infected cells, the mY1 and mY3 RNAs, which are non-coding RNA polymerase III transcripts that are normally complexed with the Ro60 and La proteins in host cells, are selected for encapsidation and assist with viral RNA biogenesis and quality control [23]. Additionally, sfRNA has been shown to be essential for ensuring specific genome packaging in many flaviviruses. For instance, in WNV KUN-infected cells, the plaque size and virus growth in mammalian and mosquito cells correlated with the generation and amount of full-length sfRNA, showing that the production of abundant amounts of fulllength sfRNA was essential for efficient viral packaging and virion release [2]. Furthermore, in Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV)infected cells, sfRNA promote the packaging and release of virions for the next infectious cycle by shutting down both antigenome synthesis and JEV translation [21]. In our study, we found that the herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) glycoprotein density and intensity were much lower in NEAT1-knockdown HeLa cells than in the control cells, suggesting that NEAT1 influenced HSV-1 virion release [18].

5. Maintaining viral latency

Some viruses undergo a lysogenic cycle where the viral genome is incorporated into a specific location in the host's chromosome by genetic recombination. Thus, the viral genome is replicated whenever the host divides. In most situations, the concerted effort of the innate and adaptive responses is effective in eliminating the pathogen. However, in some cases, the acute resolution of infection is incomplete and viral persistence results. Herpes simplex virus, Heliothis zea nudivirus 1 (HzNV-1), adenovirus virus and Theiler's virus are hallmark examples of infections that develop lifelong viral persistence by "hiding" from the immune response. In contrast to the many viral translated and induced proteins involved in maintaining persistent infections by direct inhibition of T cell responses and/or by down-regulating antigen recognition molecules [24,25], some lncRNAs have been shown to be responsible for derailing the immune response to permit viral persistence. Tmevpg1, which is a long intergenic non-coding RNA, controls persistent infection with Theiler's virus by positively regulating IFN-gamma expression; this process is dependent on Stat4 and T-bet, which are the two transcription factors that drive the Th1 differentiation program [26 - 28]

Additionally, some non-coding genes can act as bi-functional factors to promote viral entry or the maintenance of a latent state. The non-coding gene Pag1 blocks the HzNV-1 gene hhi to establish viral latency by encoding two miRNAs that target and degrade the hhi1 transcript, which is a stimulator of apoptosis [29,30]. PAT1, which is another non-coding transcript encoded by pag1, has also been verified to be involved in persistent infection in cells. However, whether PAT1 is directly responsible for the establishment of persistent infection or only enhances this process is unknown [31]. For HSV-1, the latency-associated non-coding transcript (LAT) is the only viral gene that is expressed during latent

infection in neurons. In these cells, LAT exerts its anti-apoptotic effect [32] and blocks the function of early genes to maintain latency by proceeding into and functioning as a microRNA (miR-LAT) that down-regulates transforming growth factor-beta 1 and SMAD3 expression [33]. Moreover, adenovirus virus-associated RNAs (VA RNAs) are processed into small RNAs that are expressed at high levels and may be associated with the ability of this virus to efficiently establish a persistent infection [34]. One VA RNA-derived small RNAs (mivaRNA), mivaRNAI-138 can inhibit cell apoptosis by targeting and binding TIA-1, which is a well-characterized factor that activates apoptosis [35,36]. Importantly, mRNAs associated with viral replication are essentially unaffected by these small non-coding RNAs [37].

6. Assisting viral-induced cellular transformation

Viral transformation most commonly refers to the virus-induced malignant transformation of an animal cell in a body or cell culture and can impose characteristically determinable features upon a cell. Typical phenotypic changes include a high saturation density, anchorage-independent growth, loss of contact inhibition, loss of orientated growth, immortalization, and disruption of the cell's cytoskeleton, which are favorable for the viral life cycle. Currently, viruses drive cellular transformation through their ability to alter cellular gene expression, signaling pathways, and the cell cycle [38].

A study on the regulatory roles of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpes-virus PAN RNA on viral and cellular gene expression showed that PAN RNA could maintain cellular transformation by affecting cellular gene expression, resulting in an enhanced growth phenotype, higher cell densities, and increased survival [7]. In Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-associated carcinogenesis, EBV-miR-BART6-3p inhibited EBV-associated cancer cell migration and invasion by targeting and downregulating the lncRNA LOC553103 [39]. The Epstein-Barr early RNAs (EBERs) are two abundantly expressed and virally encoded lncRNAs in latently EBV-infected cells. A recent study of the in vivo effects of EBERs in cellular gene expression demonstrated that the EBERs regulated a variety of host cell genes, including deaminase, protein kinase, cell adhesion, regulation of apoptosis, and receptor signaling [40]. Moreover, EBER-1 enhanced host cell protein synthesis by blocking the activation of the double-stranded RNA-dependent eukaryotic initiation factor 2a (eIF-2a) protein kinase DAI (p68) [41]. EBERs have also been shown to impact the growth potential of Burkitt's lymphoma (BL) cells by mediating the stable relocalization of L22 from the nucleoli to the nucleoplasm [42] and inducing interleukin-10, which is a growth factor for virally infected cells [43]. These findings contribute to the potential ability of EBERs to establish or maintain a transformed phenotype in EBV-associated nasopharyngeal carcinoma [44] and in EBV-infected NIH 3T3 cells [45]. However, EBER-2 but not EBER-1 plays a critical role in viralinduced growth transformation in EBV-infected B cells [46].

7. Imparting resistance to the antiviral immune responses

The intracellular antiviral immune response in host cells provides essential protection against virus infection. The innate immune response can be triggered by the interaction between host cell pathogen recognition receptors and viral surface proteins and the presence of viral products, such as nucleic acids, in the host cell. However, some lncRNA generated by viruses have shown their roles in imparting resistance to the antiviral immune responses (Table 1). As the predominant antiviral response against invading viruses, RNA interference (RNAi) is an intracellular process that is induced by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) that results in the cleavage of both cellular and viral mRNAs into small interfering

Table 1
LncRNAs that impart resistance to the antiviral immune responses.

Name	Source (Name, Family name, Classification)	Function
EBER1and EBER2	Epstein-Barr virus, Herpesviridae, dsDNA	Binding PKR and abrogating its activity
VAI RNA and VAII RNA	Human adenovirus, Adenoviridae, dsDNA	InhibitingPKR activation; Interfering with the activity of Dicer
sfRNA	West Nile, Flaviviridae, ssRNA	Disturbing dsRNA cleavage; Inhibiting the RNase Dicer; Repressing IFN expression
sfRNA	Dengue viruses, Flaviviridae, ssRNA	Disturbing dsRNA cleavage; Inhibiting the RNase Dicer
sfRNA	Japanese encephalitis virus, Flaviviridae, ssRNA	Preventing host cells from apoptosis; Obstructing interferon production, nuclear translocation and IRF-3 activation
Beta 2.7	Human cytomegalovirus, Herpesviridae, dsDNA	Preventing the host cell stress response, apoptosis and metabolic dysfunction

DsDNA: double-stranded DNA; ssRNA: single-stranded RNA.

dsRNA (siRNA) [47]. In the presence of dsRNA, protein kinase RNAactivated (PKR) is autophosphorylated; in turn, PKR phosphorylates its substrate (eukaryotic initiation factor 2α), leading to translation cessation [48]. Recently, some virally encoded lncRNAs have been shown to be involved in the RNAi pathway via the modulation of PKR activity for viral survival. EBERs contribute to the maintenance of malignant phenotypes by binding PKR and abrogating its activity [49]. Additionally, the adenovirus-associated RNAs VAI RNA and VAII RNA can attenuate the RNAi pathway by directly inhibiting PKR activation [50,51] and functioning as competitive substrates to squelch Dicer and interfere with its activity [52]. Another RNA encoded by the West Nile and dengue viruses (sfRNA) not only efficiently suppressed siRNA- and miRNA-induced RNAi pathways in both mammalian and insect cells partially by disturbing dsRNA cleavage [53] but also interfered with the RNAi pathway by inhibiting the RNase Dicer [54].

In addition to the RNAi pathway, antiviral substances, such as interferons (IFNs), can be triggered by the presence of certain viruses. The roles of these antiviral substances are to protect adjacent cells from infection and activate T cell-mediated immunity by initiating apoptosis and autophagy [55,56]. To dissect the mechanism by which viruses perturb the IFN signaling pathway, Schuessler A et al. showed that sfRNA derived from West Nile virus contributed to viral evasion of the type I interferon-mediated antiviral response by blunting the increase in IFN expression provoked by viral infection [57]. Furthermore, another study showed that sfRNA played a role against host cell antiviral responses by preventing the cells from undergoing apoptosis and thus contributed to viral persistence by obstructing interferon production, nuclear translocation and IRF-3 activation, which is a key factor in the induction of early antiviral responses [58]. These effects of sfRNA may protect latently infected host cells from the anti-viral action of IFNs.

Moreover, virus-infected cells may initiate apoptosis to prevent the spread of infection to other cells. As a countermeasure, human cytomegalovirus encodes Beta 2.7, which is the most abundantly transcribed early gene, to prevent the host cell stress response and apoptosis by binding to components of the mitochondrial respiratory chain complex; (reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotideubiquinone oxido-reductase). This interaction is also important for the stabilization of the mitochondrial membrane potential and mitochondrial ATP production and the prevention of metabolic dysfunction, which are essential for the completion of the viral life cycle [59]. The psoriasis susceptibility-related RNA gene induced by stress (PRINS) is a lncRNA overexpressed by herpes simplex viral infection [60]; upregulated PRINS can decrease cell apoptosis by regulating the anti-apoptotic G1P3 protein [61].

In addition to the innate antiviral response, an important outcome of viral infection is the development of a virus-specific immune response triggered by viral antigens. Accumulating evidence suggests that lncRNAs are important regulators of the differentiation and functions of T cells, which orchestrate the adaptive immune responses [62,63] and have been implicated in antigen receptor diversification [64]. Thus, lncRNAs are likely to play a role in regulating antiviral adaptive immunity.

8. Discussion

It is becoming apparent that lncRNAs can perform vital roles in the control of a variety of processes by regulating gene expression. Recently, more than 20% of lncRNAs were found to contain one or more Alu elements, which are critical players in gene regulation and molecular pathways [65,66]. A study showed that Alu sequences embedded in both lncRNAs and mRNAs played crucial roles in targeted mRNA decay via short imperfect base pairing. This imperfect base pairing may extend the potential ability of lncRNAs to regulate protein synthesis [67], although tens of thousands of human lncRNAs have not been shown to direct protein synthesis. Moreover, the NEAT1 lncRNA, which is up-regulated in virusinfected cells, has been demonstrated to mediate the nuclear retention process [68], which may help explain the essential roles played by lncRNAs in viral life cycles following accumulation in the nucleus [69]. Furthermore, thousands of lncRNAs have been found to regulate gene expression by binding PRC2, and additional IncRNAs are bound by other chromatin-modifying complexes [70].

As a mediator of gene expression, lncRNAs can generate small ncRNAs less than 200 nts in length, such as tRNAs and miRNAs, to enlarge their regulatory power. MALAT1 (metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1) is a lncRNA that is specifically retained in the nucleus [71] and has been found to be involved in not only the assembly, modification and/or storage of the premRNA processing machinery [72] but also the generation of a short tRNA-like ncRNA [MALAT1-associated small cytoplasmic RNA (mascRNA)] through cleavage by RNase P and RNase Z. After processing, the long MALAT1 transcript remains in the nucleus, whereas mascRNA is exported to the cytoplasm [73]. This regulatory mechanism may allow cytoplasmic components to sense the differential MALAT1 expression in the nucleus and may be involved in translational regulation by serving as a tRNA mimic. As another example, H19 appears to be a bi-functional RNA acting as both an IncRNA and a miRNA precursor that is subsequently processed into miR-675 by cleavage by the RNase III enzymes Drosha and Dicer [74]. Although H19 and miR-675 are regarded as a tumor suppressor and an oncogene, respectively [75], the mechanism by which H19 exerts its functions through the generation of miRNAs raises the possibility that some other lncRNAs might also perform their biological roles by giving rise to miRNAs.

In addition to functioning as a small ncRNA precursor, growing evidence has demonstrated that lncRNAs may function as "miRNA sponges" with diverse and far-reaching effects. HULC, which is a highly up-regulated lncRNA in liver cancer, contains a motif with a sequence targeted by miR-372. HULC has been reported to initiate a cascade of molecular events to increase chromatin accessibility for general transcription by recruiting miR-372 and attenuating its chromatin modification activity [76]. Recently, Wang et al. illustrated that the lncRNA linc-RoR functioned as a key competing endogenous RNA by preventing core transcription factors (TFs) from functioning in miRNA-mediated suppression and maintaining embryonic stem cell self-renewal and differentiation by targeting and antagonizing miR-145, which is a repressor of the translation of the core TF mRNAs [77]. To investigate the regulatory interactions between RNA classes, the interaction network between lncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs has been well-characterized computationally and experimentally [78,79]. Systematic transcriptome-wide analysis of the multiple classes of RNAs suggests widespread regulatory roles for lncRNAs and indicates the potential ability of lncRNAs to act as important mediators of various biological processes.

Through deep-sequencing technology, IncRNAs have been reported to play crucial roles in viral infection processes. Moreover, IncRNAs may act as a "bridge" that interlinks two types of viruses. For instance, in human parvovirus B19 (B19V)-infected cells, the adenoviral VA I RNA stimulates B19V capsid protein expression most likely by inhibiting the dsRNA-induced activation of PKR through competition for the PKR RNA-binding domain [80]. In HIV subtype E-infected pediatric patients, EBERs are co-expressed with the HIV core protein p24, which plays an important role in the interaction with host proteins during HIV-1 adsorption, membrane fusion, and entry, in surgical lung biopsies [81]. Therefore, lncRNAs may be a better target for viral intervention strategies due to their active regulatory roles in facilitating the host environment for viruses. A study reported that the ribosomal protein L22 might be able to buffer cells against the ability of EBER-1 to induce cellular transformation and impart resistance to the antiviral immune response by competitively binding EBER-1 [82]. High-level expression of viral EBER-1 can lead to the resistance of cells to physiological stresses and pro-apoptotic stimuli and can confer aspects of the transformed phenotype, resulting in outright tumorigenicity in some cases [46,83] Moreover, the COX-2 inhibitor etodolac induced apoptosis via a Bcl-2-regulated pathway through inhibition of EBER expression [84]. These findings indicated the potential usefulness of lncRNAs as targets for viral intervention strategies, which could have therapeutic implications for the application of clinical approaches for the treatment of viral diseases. Currently, many gene therapeutic approaches in clinical trials are promising, such as small interfering RNAs, antisense oligonucleotides, ribozymes, etc. However, the major drawback of these approaches is that their function lacks persistence. Recently, the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas9 system have the advantage of affecting interested gene permanently [85] and many viruses has been proven to be sensitive to this system [86-91]. Therefore, targeting lncRNA involved in viral life cycle with (CRISPR)-Cas9 technology may have better therapeutic utility for control of virus infection.

In this review, we have thoroughly described the biological roles of lncRNAs in enhancing viral gene expression, promoting viral replication and genome packaging, boosting virion release, maintaining viral latency, assisting viral transformation, and antagonizing the host antiviral innate immune response. More importantly, in view of their regulatory roles, lncRNAs have been regarded as targets to block the facilitation of viral infection. Since the viral life cycle and the mechanism of the host antiviral response are clearer, targeting lncRNAs for prophylactic or therapeutic ends is an attractive alternative. With further advances in our understanding of the molecular details that govern the viral life cycle and immune response, this review hopefully revealed information that will help expand viral intervention strategies.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [31371315], Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China [2012ZX09102301-019] and Basic Research Fund of Shenzhen [JCY]20150724173156330].

References

- N.K. Conrad, V. Fok, D. Cazalla, S. Borah, J.A. Steitz, The challenge of viral snRNPs, Cold Spring Harb. Symposia Quantitative Biol. 71 (2006) 377–384.
- [2] G.P. Pijlman, A. Funk, N. Kondratieva, J. Leung, S. Torres, L. van der Aa, et al., A highly structured, nuclease-resistant, noncoding RNA produced by flaviviruses is required for pathogenicity, Cell Host Microbe 4 (2008) 579–591.
- [3] S. Xu, L. Dong, Y. Shi, L. Chen, P. Yuan, S. Wang, Z. Li, Y. Sun, S. Han, J. Yin, B. Peng, X. He, W. Liu, The novel landscape of long non-coding RNAs in response to human foamy virus infection characterized by RNA-seq, AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses (2016 Oct 18) [PMID:27750433].
- [4] X. Peng, L. Gralinski, C.D. Armour, M.T. Ferris, M.J. Thomas, S. Proll, et al., Unique signatures of long noncoding RNA expression in response to virus infection and altered innate immune signaling, mBio 5 (2010) 1 e00206–10.
- [5] Z. Yin, D. Guan, Q. Fan, J. Su, W. Zheng, W. Ma, et al., IncRNA expression signatures in response to enterovirus 71 infection, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 430 (2013) 629–633.
- [6] S.L. Moon, J.R. Anderson, Y. Kumagai, C.J. Wilusz, S. Akira, A.A. Khromykh, et al., A noncoding RNA produced by arthropod-borne flaviviruses inhibits the cellular exoribonuclease XRN1 and alters host mRNA stability, Rna 18 (2012) 2029–2040.
- [7] C.C. Rossetto, M. Tarrant-Elorza, S. Verma, P. Purushothaman, G.S. Pari, Regulation of viral and cellular gene expression by Kaposi's sarcomaassociated herpesvirus polyadenylated nuclear RNA, J. Virol. 87 (2013) 5540–5553.
- [8] V. Juranic Lisnic, M. Babic Cac, B. Lisnic, T. Trsan, A. Mefferd, C. Das Mukhopadhyay, J. Trgovcich, Dual analysis of the murine cytomegalovirus and host cell transcriptomes reveal new aspects of the virus-host cell interface, PLoS Pathog. 9 (9) (2013) e1003611.
- [9] S. Borah, N. Darricarrere, A. Darnell, J. Myoung, J.A. Steitz, A viral nuclear noncoding RNA binds re-localized poly, A. binding protein and is required for late KSHV gene expression, PLoS Pathog. 7 (2011) e1002300.
- [10] R.P. O'Malley, R.F. Duncan, J.W. Hershey, M.B. Mathews, Modification of protein synthesis initiation factors and the shut-off of host protein synthesis in adenovirus-infected cells, Virology 168 (1989) 112–118.
- [11] B. Thimmappaya, C. Weinberger, R.J. Schneider, T. Shenk, Adenovirus VAI RNA is required for efficient translation of viral mRNAs at late times after infection, Cell 31 (1982) 543–551.
- [12] C.M. Clemson, J.N. Hutchinson, S.A. Sara, A.W. Ensminger, A.H. Fox, A. Chess, et al., An architectural role for a nuclear noncoding RNA: NEAT1 RNA is essential for the structure of paraspeckles, Mol. Cell 33 (2009) 717–726.
- [13] Y.S. Mao, H. Sunwoo, B. Zhang, D.L. Spector, Direct visualization of the cotranscriptional assembly of a nuclear body by noncoding RNAs, Nat. Cell Biol. 13 (2011) 95–101.
- [14] S. Nakagawa, T. Naganuma, G. Shioi, T. Hirose, Paraspeckles are subpopulation-specific nuclear bodies that are not essential in mice, J. Cell Biol. 193 (2011) 31–39.
- [15] K. Imamura, N. İmamachi, G. Akizuki, M. Kumakura, A. Kawaguchi, K. Nagata, A. Kato, Y. Kawaguchi, H. Sato, M. Yoneda, C. Kai, T. Yada, Y. Suzuki, T. Yamada, T. Ozawa, K. Kaneki, T. Inoue, M. Kobayashi, T. Kodama, Y. Wada, K. Sekimizu, N. Akimitsu, Long noncoding RNA NEAT1-dependent SFPQ relocation from promoter region to paraspeckle mediates IL8 expression upon immune stimuli, Mol. Cell. 53 (3) (2014 Feb 6) 393–406.
- [16] S. Saha, S. Murthy, P.N. Rangarajan, Identification and characterization of a virus-inducible non-coding RNA in mouse brain, J. Gen. Virol. 87 (2006) 1991–1995.
- [17] Q. Zhang, C.Y. Chen, V.S. Yedavalli, K.T. Jeang, NEAT1 long noncoding RNA and paraspeckle bodies modulate HIV-1 posttranscriptional expression, MBio 4 (2013) e00596–12.
- [18] Ziqiang Wang, Ping Fan, Yiwan Zhao, Shikuan Zhang, Jinhua Lu, Weidong Xie, Yuyang Jiang, Fan Lei, Naihan Xu, Yaou Zhang, NEAT1 modulates herpes simplex Virus-1 replication by regulating viral gene transcription, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00018-016-2398-4.
- [19] A. Funk, K. Truong, T. Nagasaki, S. Torres, N. Floden, E. Balmori Melian, et al., RNA structures required for production of subgenomic flavivirus RNA, J. Virol. 84 (2010) 11407–11417.
- [20] P.A. Silva, C.F. Pereira, T.J. Dalebout, W.J. Spaan, P.J. Bredenbeek, An RNA pseudoknot is required for production of yellow fever virus subgenomic RNA by the host nuclease XRN1, J. Virol. 84 (2010) 11395–11406.
- [21] Y.H. Fan, M. Nadar, C.C. Chen, C.C. Weng, Y.T. Lin, R.Y. Chang, Small noncoding RNA modulates Japanese encephalitis virus replication and translation in trans, Virol. J. 8 (2011) 492.
- [22] C.C. Rossetto, G. Pari, KSHV PAN RNA associates with demethylases UTX and JMJD3 to activate lytic replication through a physical interaction with the virus genome, PLoS Pathog. 8 (2012) e1002680.
- [23] E.L. Garcia, A. Onafuwa-Nuga, S. Sim, S.R. King, S.L. Wolin, Telesnitsky A. Telesnitsky, Packaging of host mY RNAs by murine leukemia virus may occur early in Y RNA biogenesis, J. Virol. 83 (2009) 12526–12534.
- [24] P. Klenerman, A. Hill, T cells and viral persistence: lessons from diverse infections, Nat. Immunol. 6 (2005) 873–879.

- [25] B. Slobedman, P.A. Barry, J.V. Spencer, S. Avdic, A. Abendroth, Virus-encoded homologs of cellular interleukin-10 and their control of host immune function, J. Virol. 83 (2009) 9618–9629.
- [26] S. Vigneau, F. Levillayer, H. Crespeau, L. Cattolico, B. Caudron, F. Bihl, et al., Homology between a 173-kb region from mouse chromosome 10, telomeric to the lfng locus, and human chromosome 12q15, Genomics 78 (2001) 206–213.
- [27] S.P. Collier, P.L. Collins, C.L. Williams, M.R. Boothby, T.M. Aune, Cutting edge: influence of Tmevpg1, a long intergenic noncoding RNA, on the expression of Ifng by Th1 cells, J. Immunol. 189 (2012) 2084–2088.
- [28] S. Vigneau, P.S. Rohrlich, M. Brahic, J.F. Bureau, Tmevpg1, a candidate gene for the control of Theiler's virus persistence, could be implicated in the regulation of gamma interferon, J. Virol. 77 (2003) 5632–5638.
- [29] Y.L. Wu, C.P. Wu, C.Y. Liu, S.T. Lee, H.P. Lee, Y.C. Chao, Heliothis zea nudivirus 1 gene hhi1 induces apoptosis which is blocked by the Hz-iap2 gene and a noncoding gene, pag1, J. Virol. 85 (2011) 6856–6866.
- [30] Y.L. Wu, C.P. Wu, C.Y. Liu, P.W. Hsu, E.C. Wu, Y.C. Chao, A non-coding RNA of insect HzNV-1 virus establishes latent viral infection through microRNA, Sci. Rep. 1 (2011) 60.
- [31] Y.C. Chao, S.T. Lee, M.C. Chang, H.H. Chen, S.S. Chen, T.Y. Wu, et al., A 2.9kilobase noncoding nuclear RNA functions in the establishment of persistent Hz-1 viral infection, J. Virol. 72 (1998) 2233–2245.
- [32] A. Gupta, J.J. Gartner, P. Sethupathy, A.G. Hatzigeorgiou, N.W. Fraser, Antiapoptotic function of a microRNA encoded by the HSV-1 latency-associated transcript, Nature 442 (2006) 82–85.
- [33] J.L. Umbach, M.F. Kramer, I. Jurak, H.W. Karnowski, D.M. Coen, B.R. Cullen, MicroRNAs expressed by herpes simplex virus 1 during latent infection regulate viral mRNAs, Nature 454 (2008) 780–783.
- [34] N. Xu, B. Segerman, X. Zhou, G. Akusjarvi, Adenovirus virus-associated RNAIIderived small RNAs are efficiently incorporated into the rna-induced silencing complex and associate with polyribosomes, J. Virol. 81 (2007) 10540–10549.
- [35] O. Aparicio, E. Carnero, X. Abad, N. Razquin, E. Guruceaga, V. Segura, et al., Adenovirus VA RNA-derived miRNAs target cellular genes involved in cell growth, gene expression and DNA repair, Nucleic Acids Res. 38 (2010) 750–763.
- [36] P. Forch, J. Valcarcel, Molecular mechanisms of gene expression regulation by the apoptosis-promoting protein TIA-1, Apoptosis An Int. J. Program. Cell Death 6 (2001) 463–468.
- [37] W. Kamel, B. Segerman, D. Oberg, T. Punga, G. Akusjarvi, The adenovirus VA RNA-derived miRNAs are not essential for lytic virus growth in tissue culture cells, Nucleic Acids Res. 41 (2013) 4802–4812.
- [38] N. Raab-Traub, Novel mechanisms of EBV-induced oncogenesis, Curr. Opin. Virol. 2 (2012) 453–458.
- [39] Baoyu He, Weiming Li, Yingfen Wu, Fang Wei, Zhaojian Gong, Hao Bo, Yumin Wang, Xiayu Li, Bo Xiang, Can Guo1, Qianjin Liao, Pan Chen, Xuyu Zu, Ming Zhou, Jian Ma, Xiaoling Li, Yong Li, Guiyuan Li, Wei Xiong, Zhaoyang Zeng, Epstein-Barr virus-encoded miR-BART6-3p inhibits cancer cell metastasis and invasion by targeting long non-coding RNA LOC553103, Cell Death Dis. 7 (2016) e2353.
- [40] G. Gregorovic, R. Bosshard, C.E. Karstegl, R.E. White, S. Pattle, A.K. Chiang, et al., Cellular gene expression that correlates with EBER expression in Epstein-Barr Virus-infected lymphoblastoid cell lines, J. Virol. 85 (2011) 3535–3545.
- [41] P.A. Clarke, N.A. Sharp, M.J. Clemens, Translational control by the Epstein-Barr virus small RNA EBER-1. Reversal of the double-stranded RNA-induced inhibition of protein synthesis in reticulocyte lysates, Eur. J. Biochem./FEBS 193 (1990) 635–641.
- [42] J.L. Houmani, C.I. Davis, I.K. Ruf, Growth-promoting properties of Epstein-Barr virus EBER-1 RNA correlate with ribosomal protein L22 binding, J. Virol. 83 (2009) 9844–9853.
- [43] N. Kitagawa, M. Goto, K. Kurozumi, S. Maruo, M. Fukayama, T. Naoe, et al., Epstein-Barr virus-encoded poly, A.,-. RNA supports Burkitt's lymphoma growth through interleukin-10 induction, EMBO J. 19 (2000) 6742–6750.
- [44] Y. Yao, H.A. Minter, X. Chen, G.M. Reynolds, M. Bromley, J.R. Arrand, Heterogeneity of HLA and EBER expression in Epstein-Barr virus-associated nasopharyngeal carcinoma. International journal of cancer, J. Int. Du Cancer 88 (2000) 949–955.
- [45] K.G. Laing, A. Elia, I. Jeffrey, V. Matys, V.J. Tilleray, B. Souberbielle, et al., In vivo effects of the Epstein-Barr virus small RNA EBER-1 on protein synthesis and cell growth regulation, Virology 297 (2002) 253–269.
- [46] Y. Wu, S. Maruo, M. Yajima, T. Kanda, K. Takada, Epstein-Barr virus, EBV,encoded RNA 2, EBER2. but not EBER1 plays a critical role in EBV-induced B-cell growth transformation, J. Virol. 81 (2007) 11236–11245.
- [47] M. Ketzinel-Gilad, Y. Shaul, E. Galun, RNA interference for antiviral therapy, J. Gene Med. 8 (2006) 933–950.
- [48] M.A. Garcia, J. Gil, I. Ventoso, S. Guerra, E. Domingo, C. Rivas, et al., Impact of protein kinase PKR in cell biology: from antiviral to antiproliferative action, Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. MMBR 70 (2006) 1032–1060.
- [49] A. Nanbo, H. Yoshiyama, K. Takada, Epstein-Barr virus-encoded poly, A.- RNA confers resistance to apoptosis mediated through Fas by blocking the PKR pathway in human epithelial intestine 407 cells, J. Virol. 79 (2005) 12280–12285.
- [50] T.V. Sharp, M. Schwemmle, I. Jeffrey, K. Laing, H. Mellor, C.G. Proud, et al., Comparative analysis of the regulation of the interferon-inducible protein kinase PKR by Epstein-Barr virus RNAs EBER-1 and EBER-2 and adenovirus VAI RNA, Nucleic Acids Res. 21 (1993) 4483–4490.

- [51] S.A. McKenna, I. Kim, C.W. Liu, J.D. Puglisi, Uncoupling of RNA binding and PKR kinase activation by viral inhibitor RNAs, J. Mol. Biol. 358 (2006) 1270–1285.
- [52] M.G. Andersson, P.C. Haasnoot, N. Xu, S. Berenjian, B. Berkhout, G. Akusjarvi, Suppression of RNA interference by adenovirus virus-associated RNA, J. Virol. 79 (2005) 9556–9565.
- [53] E. Schnettler, M.G. Sterken, J.Y. Leung, S.W. Metz, C. Geertsema, R.W. Goldbach, et al., Noncoding flavivirus RNA displays RNA interference suppressor activity in insect and Mammalian cells, J. Virol. 86 (2012) 13486–13500.
- [54] A.M. Green, P.R. Beatty, A. Hadjilaou, E. Harris, Innate immunity to dengue virus infection and subversion of antiviral responses, J. Mol. Biol. 426 (2014) 1148–1160.
- [55] B. Yordy, A. Iwasaki, Cell type-dependent requirement of autophagy in HSV-1 antiviral defense, Autophagy 9 (2013) 236–238.
- [56] B. Yordy, N. lijima, A. Huttner, D. Leib, A. Iwasaki, A neuron-specific role for autophagy in antiviral defense against herpes simplex virus, Cell Host Microbe 12 (2012) 334–345.
- [57] A. Schuessler, A. Funk, H.M. Lazear, D.A. Cooper, S. Torres, S. Daffis, et al., West Nile virus noncoding subgenomic RNA contributes to viral evasion of the type I interferon-mediated antiviral response, J. Virol. 86 (2012) 5708–5718.
- [58] R.Y. Chang, T.W. Hsu, Y.L. Chen, S.F. Liu, Y.J. Tsai, Y.T. Lin, et al., Japanese encephalitis virus non-coding RNA inhibits activation of interferon by blocking nuclear translocation of interferon regulatory factor 3, Veterinary Microbiol. 166 (2013) 11–21.
- [59] M.B. Reeves, A.A. Davies, B.P. McSharry, G.W. Wilkinson, J.H. Sinclair, Complex I binding by a virally encoded RNA regulates mitochondria-induced cell death, Science 316 (2007) 1345–1348.
- [60] E. Sonkoly, Z. Bata-Csorgo, A. Pivarcsi, H. Polyanka, A. Kenderessy-Szabo, G. Molnar, et al., Identification and characterization of a novel, psoriasis susceptibility-related noncoding RNA gene, PRINS. J. Biol. Chem. 280 (2005) 24159–24167.
- [61] K. Szegedi, E. Sonkoly, N. Nagy, I.B. Nemeth, Z. Bata-Csorgo, L. Kemeny, et al., The anti-apoptotic protein G1P3 is overexpressed in psoriasis and regulated by the non-coding RNA, PRINS, Exp. Dermatol. 19 (2010) 269–278.
- [62] M. Pagani, G. Rossetti, I. Panzeri, P. de Candia, R.J. Bonnal, R.L. Rossi, et al., Role of microRNAs and long-non-coding RNAs in CD4+ T-cell differentiation, Immunol. Rev. 253 (2013) 82–96.
- [63] K.C. Pang, M.E. Dinger, T.K. Mercer, L. Malquori, S.M. Grimmond, W. Chen, et al., Genome-wide identification of long noncoding RNAs in CD8+ T cells, J. Immunol. 182 (2009) 7738–7748.
- [64] G. Teng, F.N. Papavasiliou, Long noncoding RNAs: implications for antigen receptor diversification, Adv. Immunol. 104 (2009) 25–50.
- [65] L.L. Chen, J.N. DeCerbo, G.G. Carmichael, Alu element-mediated gene silencing, EMBO J. 27 (2008) 1694–1705.
- [66] P.D. Mariner, R.D. Walters, C.A. Espinoza, L.F. Drullinger, S.D. Wagner, J.F. Kugel, et al., Human Alu RNA is a modular transacting repressor of mRNA transcription during heat shock, Mol. Cell 29 (2008) 499–509.
- [67] C. Gong, L.E. Maquat, IncRNAs transactivate STAU1-mediated mRNA decay by duplexing with 3' UTRs via Alu elements, Nature 470 (2011) 284–288.
- [68] L.L. Chen, G.G. Carmichael, Altered nuclear retention of mRNAs containing inverted repeats in human embryonic stem cells: functional role of a nuclear noncoding RNA, Mol. Cell 35 (2009) 467–478.
- [69] W. Zhong, H. Wang, B. Herndier, D. Ganem, Restricted expression of Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, human herpesvirus 8 genes in Kaposi sarcoma, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 93 (1996) 6641–6646.
- [70] A.M. Khalil, M. Guttman, M. Huarte, M. Garber, A. Raj, D. Rivea Morales, et al., Many human large intergenic noncoding RNAs associate with chromatinmodifying complexes and affect gene expression, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106 (2009) 11667–11672.
- [71] J.N. Hutchinson, A.W. Ensminger, C.M. Clemson, C.R. Lynch, J.B. Lawrence, A. Chess, A screen for nuclear transcripts identifies two linked noncoding RNAs associated with SC35 splicing domains, BMC Genomics 8 (2007) 39.
- [72] A.I. Lamond, D.L. Spector, Nuclear speckles: a model for nuclear organelles. Nature reviews, Mol. Cell Biol. 4 (2003) 605-612.
- [73] J.E. Wilusz, S.M. Freier, D.L. Spector.3' end processing of a long nuclearretained noncoding RNA yields a tRNA-like cytoplasmic RNA, Cell 135 (2008) 919–932.
- [74] X. Cai, B.R. Cullen, The imprinted H19 noncoding RNA is a primary microRNA precursor, Rna 13 (2007) 313–316.
- [75] G. Smits, A.J. Mungall, S. Griffiths-Jones, P. Smith, D. Beury, L. Matthews, et al., Conservation of the H19 noncoding RNA and H19-IGF2 imprinting mechanism in therians, Nat. Genet. 40 (2008) 971–976.
- [76] J. Wang, X. Liu, H. Wu, P. Ni, Z. Gu, Y. Qiao, et al., CREB up-regulates long noncoding RNA, HULC expression through interaction with microRNA-372 in liver cancer, Nucleic Acids Res. 38 (2010) 5366–5383.
- [77] Y. Wang, Z. Xu, J. Jiang, C. Xu, J. Kang, L. Xiao, et al., Endogenous miRNA sponge lincRNA-RoR regulates Oct4, Nanog, and Sox2 in human embryonic stem cell self-renewal, Dev. Cell 25 (2013) 69–80.
- [78] S. Jalali, D. Bhartiya, M.K. Lalwani, S. Sivasubbu, V. Scaria, Systematic transcriptome wide analysis of IncRNA-miRNA interactions, PLoS One. 8 (2013) e53823.
- [79] J.H. Li, S. Liu, H. Zhou, L.H. Qu, J.H. Yang, starBase v2.0: decoding miRNAceRNA, miRNA-ncRNA and protein-RNA interaction networks from largescale CLIP-Seq data, Nucleic Acids Res. 42 (2014) D92–D97.
- [80] K. Winter, K. von Kietzell, R. Heilbronn, T. Pozzuto, H. Fechner, S. Weger, Roles

of E4orf6 and VA I RNA in adenovirus-mediated stimulation of human parvovirus B19 DNA replication and structural gene expression, J. Virol. 86 (2012) 5099–5109.

- [81] L. Bhoopat, S. Rangkakulnuwat, C. Ya-In, T. Bhoopat, Relationship of cell bearing EBER and p24 antigens in biopsy-proven lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia in HIV-1 subtype E infected children, Appl. Immunohistochem. Mol. Morphol. AIMM/Official Publ. Soc. Appl. Immunohistochem. 19 (2011) 547–551.
- [82] A. Elia, J. Vyas, K.G. Laing, M.J. Clemens Ribosomal protein L22 inhibits regulation of cellular activities by the Epstein-Barr virus small RNA EBER-1, Eur. J. Biochem./FEBS 271 (2004) 1895–1905.
- [83] A. Nanbo, K. Inoue, K. Adachi-Takasawa, K. Takada, Epstein-Barr virus RNA confers resistance to interferon-alpha-induced apoptosis in Burkitt's lymphoma, EMBO J. 21 (2002) 954–965.
- [84] M. Kobayashi, S. Nakamura, K. Shibata, N. Sahara, K. Shigeno, K. Shinjo, et al., Etodolac inhibits EBER expression and induces Bcl-2-regulated apoptosis in Burkitt's lymphoma cells, Eur. J. Haematol. 75 (2005) 212–220.
 [85] L. Swiech, M. Heidenreich, A. Banerjee, et al., In vivo interrogation of gene
- [85] L. Swiech, M. Heidenreich, A. Banerjee, et al., In vivo interrogation of gene function in the mammalian brain using CRISPR-Cas9, Nat. Biotechnol. 33 (1)

(2015) 102-106.

- [86] K.S. Yuen, et al., CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing of Epstein-Barr virus in human cells, J. Gen. Virol. 96 (Pt 3) (2015) 626–636.
- [87] J. Wang, S.R. Quake, RNA-guided endonuclease provides a therapeutic strategy to cure latent herpesviridae infection, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111 (2014) 13157–13162.
- [88] S. Zhen, et al., In vitro and in vivo growth suppression of human papillomavirus 16-positive cervical cancer cells by CRISPR/Cas9, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 450 (2014) 1422–1426.
- [89] T.A. Russell, T. Stefanovic, D.C. Tscharke, Engineering herpes simplex viruses by infection-transfection methods including recombination site targeting by CRISPR/Cas9 nucleases, J. Virol. Methods 213 (2015) 18–25.
- [90] F.R. Van Diemen, E.M. Kruse, M.J.G. Hooykaas, et al., CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated genome editing of herpesviruses limits productive and latent infections, Nelson JA, ed. PLoS Pathog. 12 (6) (2016) e1005701.
- [91] R. Kaminski, Y. Chen, T. Fischer, et al., Elimination of HIV-1 genomes from human T-lymphoid cells by CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016) 22555.