

# Design and testing of communication materials for a breastfeeding social media marketing campaign: Breastfeed4Ghana

Digital Health Volume 6: 1-12 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions DOI: 10.1177/2055207620909291 journals.sagepub.com/home/dhj



R Aryeetey<sup>1</sup>, O Lasisi<sup>1</sup>, A Hromi-Fiedler<sup>2</sup>, G Carroll<sup>2</sup>, R Pérez-Escamilla<sup>2</sup> and K Harding<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

Breastfeed4Ghana was a social media-based campaign implemented to address identified gaps in the protection, promotion, and support of breastfeeding in Ghana. This paper describes the process of campaign materials development and testing to ensure their cultural and content appropriateness. The 60 campaign materials, each consisting of an image and text message, underwent a process of creation, testing, revision, and finalization. Existing research evidence and infant and young child feeding communication tools that were culturally relevant for Ghana were used to develop the materials. All materials were tested and finalized through an iterative process that incorporated input from six focus group discussions (FGDs) with mothers, and content and technical experts. The materials were revised to ensure scientific accuracy, understandability, and cultural appropriateness of the messages, as well as alignment of the messages with the images. Finalized materials were reviewed and approved by the Ghana Food and Drugs Authority. Analysis for this paper involved summarizing and categorizing the types and sources of input as well as the research team's responses to the input received. The 60 campaign material images. The evidence-informed process of materials creation, use of multiple input sources, and a broad-based iterative process allowed the creation of 60 evidence-based and culturally appropriate materials for a breastfeeding social media campaign in Ghana. This paper could serve as a guide for other social media campaign efforts looking to develop culturally appropriate materials.

#### **Keywords**

Social media, breastfeeding, promotion, Ghana, messages

Submission date: 4 September 2019; Acceptance date: 31 January 2020

# Introduction

Social media online communities that enable users to create and share electronic content<sup>1</sup> are becoming ubiquitous among new mothers, especially those seeking information and support.<sup>2</sup> Connecting on social media can provide new mothers with external validation of their motherhood.<sup>3</sup> Indeed, breastfeeding mothers have reported using social media to make connections, find information, and be entertained.<sup>4,5</sup>

While the majority of research regarding social media and parenting has been conducted in high-income countries, the rapid uptake of social media has been a global phenomenon.<sup>6</sup> Specifically in

Ghana, use of social media has increased among adults 18 years and older by an impressive 60% between 2015 and 2017, (20–32% of all adults).<sup>7,8</sup> Use is higher (43%) among young adults (18- to 36-year-olds), but specific data on Ghanaian mothers

<sup>1</sup>University of Ghana School of Public Health, Legon Accra, Ghana <sup>2</sup>Yale School of Public Health New Haven, Connecticut, USA Corresponding author:

Richmond Aryeetey, University of Ghana School of Public Health, Akilagpa Sawyer Rd, Legon, Accra, Ghana. Email: raryeetey@ug.edu.gh Twitter: @rnokai

Creative Commons NonCommercial-NoDerivs CC BY-NC-ND: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work as published without adaptation or alteration, without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). is not currently available. If the adoption of social media in Ghana continues at the rate suggested by the Pew Research Center data, we would anticipate market saturation by 2023.<sup>7,8</sup> Therefore, it is important to identify how best to harness social media platforms for public health campaigns in contexts akin to Ghana.

Social media-based health promotion campaigns can have a direct and positive effect on behavior.<sup>9,10</sup> Over the last 15 years, social media has increasingly been used as a platform for health promotion, particularly in high-income countries.<sup>10,11</sup> However, little research has been conducted on the effect of social media-based campaigns for protecting, promoting, and supporting breastfeeding.<sup>12</sup> As a result, the 2016 *Lancet* series on breastfeeding called for further research on the role of social media in breastfeeding promotion, noting the limited guidance on how to develop such campaigns.<sup>13,14</sup>

The benefits of optimal breastfeeding practices are widely documented.<sup>13,15</sup> Specifically, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation of exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) through the first 6 months,<sup>16,17</sup> is linked with child survival, optimal physical growth, and cognitive development.<sup>15</sup> Despite tremendous progress in achieving optimal breastfeeding practices across Ghana, the rate of EBF has decreased without clear explanation.<sup>18,19</sup> In response, a committee of breastfeeding stakeholders in Ghana implemented the Becoming Breastfeeding Friendly (BBF) initiative in 2016, which resulted in recommendations and action plans to scale up breastfeeding policies and programs nationally.<sup>20–22</sup> Key recommendations that emerged included 1) fostering strong advocacy for breastfeeding, 2) harnessing support for maternity protection legislation, and 3) effectively disseminating accurate and actionable breastfeeding information to the public.<sup>20</sup> The committee of stakeholders who implemented BBF proposed that a breastfeeding social media campaign be designed as a low-cost and novel health communications intervention to help implement these recommendations.

In response to these key evidence-informed recommendations, and the lack of evidence on how to develop a breastfeeding campaign via social media in this context, methods designing such a campaign were needed. Such a design needed to integrate strong existing evidence from multiple disciplines. For instance, well-established frameworks for social marketing exist, such as the social marketing wheel.<sup>23,24</sup> Traditional social marketing practices have been applied for breastfeeding promotion,<sup>25</sup> and the social ecological model<sup>26</sup> has repeatedly been applied in the context of breastfeeding protection, promotion, and support.<sup>27</sup> A user-centered, person-based approach to designing interactive digital health interventions has been lauded as complementary to such interventions with a theoretical underpinning, and has also been proposed specifically for interventions that target user engagement.<sup>28–30</sup> Despite existing social marketing and social media frameworks, there is a lack of evidence on the development of health-focused social media campaigns such as breastfeeding. Indeed, no examples of the development of such social media campaigns in sub-Saharan Africa have been reported in literature.

Therefore, to fill this gap in the literature in sub-Saharan Africa, we present the development and testing process of campaign materials for *Breastfeed4Ghana*, a Facebook- and Twitter-based campaign designed to improve breastfeeding knowledge and perceptions among Ghanaian adults.<sup>31</sup> We detail the iterative, user-centered development of evidence-based and culturally appropriate materials for this campaign that was grounded in the social ecological model,<sup>26</sup> and utilized inputs from multiple sources (Box 1). We also present descriptive results to outline this process.

#### **Materials and methods**

The study was implemented in an iterative stepwise process. First, campaign materials composed of a text message accompanied by a complementary photograph were designed (Box 1). Next, the campaign materials were tested in focus group discussions (FGDs) and their feedback was used to revise and improve the simplicity, cultural appropriateness, and acceptability of the materials. In addition to the FGDs, inputs for revision were obtained from a diverse group of content and technical experts including experts in maternal-child nutrition and lactation (Breastfeed4Ghana advisory group), the BBF committee, and the Food and Drugs Authority (FDA) (Box 1). The experts reviewed the materials for validity (e.g., confirming that the messages were consistent with national and global recommendations for breastfeeding), alignment (e.g., confirming that messages corresponded with the images used in terms of infant age), and clinical appropriateness (e.g., confirming that the images depicted appropriate breastfeeding technique, including positioning and latch). All inputs were reviewed and incorporated, iteratively, to enhance both the acceptability and validity of the materials prior to finalization. Details of this study approach are provided here.

#### Formative development of campaign material

The Breastfeed4Ghana social media-based communication campaign was grounded in the social ecological model, a theory-based framework for understanding complex and multifaced determinants of behavior

Box 1. Description of terminologies and acronyms				
Terminology	Description			
Message	Message is defined here as the recommendation or advocacy statement that was presented in a text format. Sixty messages were developed for this campaign. All messages are listed in Additional File 1.			
Image	Photos of persons, situations and, locations were included to complement the messages. Each message was accompanied by a complementary image.			
Campaign material	Campaign material denotes a combination of a message and a complementary image. A total of 60 campaign materials were designed for the campaign.			
Input	Feedback on the core campaign materials obtained from various sources including end users, and content and technical experts. The process of receiving input from different sources is summarized in Figure 1.			
Content and technical experts	These included nutrition and lactation experts (advisory group), BBF com- mittee members, and the FDA.			
BBF committee members	BBF is acronym for Becoming Breastfeeding Friendly. The BBF committee is a cross-sectoral committee of experts whose work resulted in recommenda- tions for breastfeeding policy in Ghana as part of the BBF project. <sup>20</sup> The BBF committee was consulted for content and technical input.			
Breastfeed4Ghana advisory group	These were experts in breastfeeding and lactation who were consulted for content and technical input.			
FDA	FDA is acronym for the Food and Drugs Authority in Ghana. The FDA is mandated by law to approve breastfeeding messages intended for dis- semination to the public. The FDA provided technical and content input as part of its approval process.			

across four levels that reflect personal and environmental factors: intrapersonal, interpersonal, community, and society levels.<sup>26</sup> Thus, the campaign provided messages targeting not only breastfeeding mothers, but also their close family and associates, as well as decision-makers who influence the broader breastfeeding environment. The overall campaign was designed to provide positive, simple, educational messages for breastfeeding protection, promotion, and support. Positively oriented messages emphasize the benefits of breastfeeding, and have been considered more persuasive than negatively oriented messages.<sup>32,33</sup> Thus, all messages were designed to be brief, easily understood, culturally appropriate, and relevant for the target populations of breastfeeding mothers, their close family and associates, and decision-makers who influence the broader breastfeeding environment, so that users can easily apply them as a basis for behavior change.<sup>34</sup> Each material had a brief message that included between 7 and 31 words, paired with a single photo, to reinforce and complement the message. The messages

were designed based on existing Infant and Young Child Feeding (IYCF) recommendations of the Ghana Health Service, WHO, United Nations Children's Fund, and the Ghana BBF committee (Box 1).<sup>20,35,36</sup>

The first step was the development of an initial set of 11 campaign messages (Figure 1). Complementary images were selected and used to create this first set of campaign materials (Box 1). These initial materials were presented to mothers of young children (n = 19) who provided feedback in two community group dialogues prior to the formal launch of the research phase of the study. Based on this feedback, the materials were revised and submitted to the FDA for official approval. Input from the mothers who participated in the community dialogues and from the content and technical experts guided the development and subsequent finalization of 49 additional materials, yielding a total of 60 campaign materials.

The digital design of the campaign materials was led by a digital creative agency with input from the study investigators as well as the content and technical experts.



Figure 1. Summary of the evolution of the Breastfeed4Ghana core campaign materials from multiple sources of input.

BBF = Becoming Breastfeeding Friendly; BF4GH = Breastfeed4Ghana; FDA = Food and Drugs Authority; FGD = focus group discussion; GHS = Ghana Health Services; IYCF = Infant and Young Child Feeding.

<sup>1</sup>Lessons learned from the first 11 materials supported the development of the additional 49 materials.

<sup>2</sup>The 60 core campaign materials comprise the 11 initial plus the additional 49 materials.

The creative agency was responsible for creating appropriate, high-quality photographs to complement the campaign messages.

# Testing for acceptability and cultural appropriateness

Subsequently, all 60 campaign materials underwent a similar review and finalization process (Figure 1). Because the initial 11 materials had already received input from mothers in the target population, they were subsequently only reviewed again by the expert advisory group. In addition, the images of the initial materials were revised for cultural appropriateness, using original photos. The FGDs used for testing the materials aimed to assess understanding and cultural appropriateness of the materials in terms of 1) the message, 2) the image, and 3) message and image alignment, from the primary end-user perspective (i.e., social media users from the target audience). An interview guide was developed and pretested for use in conducting the FGDs (see Additional File 2). Women who participated in the FGDs were recruited at selected health facilities or communitybased child welfare clinics (CWC) in Accra, Ghana by the campaign coordinator, with assistance from public health nurses at the various CWCs. The women were included if they had delivered and breastfed a healthy infant in the preceding 2 years. A total of 48 women participated in the 6 FGDs conducted (Table 1).

In each FGD, an average of 15 materials (range: 9–20) were displayed and discussed, one at a time, either electronically (using an LCD projector), or as a color-printed large poster (on A3-sized paper). The FGDs were facilitated by the campaign coordinator. Each FGD was audio recorded, and a notetaker was present both to record notes and to support the facilitator. The audio recordings from each FGD were transcribed verbatim. Through an ongoing process of material review, input received from FGDs and experts were used to revise the materials (Figure 1).

Finalization of the materials was carried out by the study investigators working closely with the digital

	All participants $(n = 48)^1$
Characteristics	
Age (years)	$33\pm 6$
Number of children	$2\pm1$
Age of youngest child (months)	$17\pm20$
Education level completed	
None/primary school	6 (13)
Junior high/vocational	10 (21)
Secondary or higher	32 (67)
Ever used social media	37 (77)
Social media platforms used	
WhatsApp	34 (71)
Facebook	28 (58)

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of focus group discussion participants.

Note: <sup>1</sup>Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD or *n* (%).

creative agency, and harnessing all input from the FGDs and the content and technical experts, in accordance with the campaign design theory. Thereafter, color-printed versions of the 60 materials were submitted to the Ghana FDA for final approval, as legally required (Figure 1).

#### Data analysis

The quantitative and qualitative analytical approaches described here, utilized inputs obtained during the testing and finalization stages of the campaign material evolution (Figure 1). This analysis involved a twostage process. First, campaign materials were organized into a database that allowed tracking of type, frequency, and source of inputs (i.e., from FGD, IYCF experts, BBF committee, or FDA), as well as the decisions made based on each input by the investigators. Types of input were classified on the three core elements of the materials: 1) image, 2) message, and 3) alignment between image and message. Revisions based on input were classified as 1) incorporating the input, or 2) not incorporating input. The latter only occurred with input from FGDs; the reasons for not incorporating inputs are reported in Additional File 3. One investigator conducted an initial classification and assessment of sources and forms of input and responses. Thereafter, two additional investigators independently reviewed and provided input. Review and final decisions on inputs were achieved via discussion and consensus between at least two investigators. The results present a descriptive assessment of the results.

#### **Results**

The initial stage of the analysis included recording the evolution of the materials during testing and finalization. Table 2 provides examples of campaign materials and illustrates the process involved in organizing, summarizing, and finalizing the materials (Additional File 3 provides the full output).

### Source of inputs

The 60 core campaign materials were tested and finalized based on 132 inputs from four sources: FGDs, Breastfeed4Ghana advisory group, BBF committee, and the FDA (Figure 2). The majority (68%) of these inputs came from FGDs, followed by inputs from the Breastfeed4Ghana advisory group (28%). The median number of different sources of input for a given material was two (Table 3). Although two of the materials that were previously tested and approved by the FDA during formative development were retested in FGDs, a total of nine materials that had been previously tested and approved were not retested in FGDs. All 60 materials were reviewed by the three content and technical expert groups. However, 22 of the materials did not receive any input from these sources; one of these had been previously tested and approved and was therefore not retested in FGDs.

# Types of inputs

The majority of the input from FGDs (78.4%) and content and technical expert groups (76.3%), was to request changes to the images in the material. However, the nature of these inputs differed according to source. In FGDs, image-related inputs were often to support the alignment of the material, ensure variety in images used, and to address the likability and aesthetics of an image, whereas experts' inputs on the images were primarily regarding cultural appropriateness and breastfeeding technique (e.g., positioning and latch) (Additional File 3).

Inputs were also provided on the messages, although at a lower rate (31.4% of material reviewed by FGDs and 36.8% reviewed by content and technical experts). Most of the message-related inputs consisted of word choice, grammatical changes, or spelling. Three of the four (75%) FDA inputs were regarding the message, and the only BBF committee input was on the content

Changes made in response to inputs	BF4GH: Image was replaced to be culturally appropriate	FGD1 & BF4.GH: Another image was developed to better represent and align with the message FGD6: Background of new image was made brighter	FGD1: Image was revised to a smiling mother and young boy based on FG comments FGD6: No changes needed BF4GH: Image was revised for variation in models across subsequent material numbers	FGD1: Different image was selected to address com- ments on posture; howev- er, the specific image suggestion strayed from the core design of the materials (i.e., 1 image that corresponds to the message) BF4GH & FGD6: Message was revised to focus on breast cancer rather than weight loss
Input from BF4GH advisory group, FDA, and BBF committee	<b>BF4GH:</b> Replace image with culturally appropriate version (Ghanaian images)	<b>BF4GH:</b> Improve on image and FGD comments by repre- senting "nation" and "family" in the image to better correspond with text	<b>BF4GH:</b> Revise image for var- iation in models	<b>BF+GH:</b> Message should be revised to a maternal benefit that has strong evidence, i.e., protection against breast cancer Image of just a woman and her baby breastfeeding with proper positioning and smiling
Input from FGD	N/A	FGD1: Image is positive and indi- cates joy and connection between mother and baby Disagreement with the message FGD6: Image is positive with happy and healthy family, though father could be more excited and smilling. Background is dark and could be brighter	FGD1: Emphasis was put on the newborn in the image, and the mother is making eye contact Disagreement on the positioning for breastfeeding Participant thinks the message is for mother and child Message is clear and agreeable FGD6: Image and message were understood and acceptable	<ul> <li>FGD1: Disagreement with the message that is unlike most women's experience.</li> <li>Message and image are not aligned</li> <li>Man in image shows "support to a breastfeeding mother," which is a good thing</li> <li>A better image showing effect of breastfeeding on weight status</li> <li>FGD8: Disagreement with the message, it is contradictory to most women's experience limage was acceptable</li> <li>Generated some questions and discussion about positioning</li> </ul>
Final material	According to the second s		REACT BRANE BRANE BRANE BRANE BRANE BARE	
Intermediary material	NA	Tested in FGD6	Tested in FGD6	Tested in FGD6
Original material	Address of the second s	Tested in FGD1	Tested in FGD1	Tested in FGD1
Material number	A1.1_1	A1.2_2	A1.3_3	A1.4_4

Table 2. Examples of how inputs were used to modify campaign materials.



**Figure 2.** Proportion of sources across the 132 inputs received for the 60 core campaign materials during testing and finalization. BBF = Becoming Breastfeeding Friendly; BF4GH = Breastfeed4 Ghana; FDA = Food and Drugs Authority; FGD = focus group discussions

of a message. One of the 60 messages was changed completely during the testing and finalization period (material no. A0104\_04), and one message was simplified and given a more positive framing: from "Exclusively breastfed babies have lower risk of chronic disease when they are adults" to "Exclusively breastfed babies will become healthier adults" (material no. A0401\_16) (Additional File 3).

Few inputs were received from the Breastfeed4Ghana advisory group, BBF committee, or FDA on material alignment (2.6%); this type of input was received for 15 of the 51 materials reviewed by FGDs. Most of the FGD inputs on alignment were accompanied by suggestions to change the image rather than the message.

# Response to inputs

The study investigators made the final decision on inputs from the various sources by working in collaboration with the creative agency to incorporate the required changes into the materials. All inputs from the content and technical expert groups were incorporated directly into the materials, as were 73.3% of inputs from FGDs. Of the 24 FGD inputs that were not incorporated into the materials, seven were inconsistent with the material format for the campaign, and four were not considered feasible due to resource or time constraints. The rest were not considered significant to require revisions, in line with the design theory of the campaign.

# Examples of input and responses for selected materials

As shown in Figure 3a, the message of the material was to motivate caregivers to "put your baby to the breast anywhere and anytime that she needs to feed." Although the FGDs considered the message acceptable, they felt the image (on the left-hand side of the panel) did not convey the concept of "anywhere," which was considered a critical aspect of the message. Therefore, the image of the breastfeeding baby was encircled by icons of different locations to represent this concept of breastfeeding "anywhere" (Figure 3a).

Figure 3b demonstrates a case in which FGD inputs were not incorporated due to cultural sensitivities. In this situation, the image was modified in response to FGD input, which indicated it appeared "staged" and also demonstrated the wrong breast milk expression technique. Thus, a revised image (on the right-hand side of the panel) was used. However, although the FGD suggested using an image of a breast pump, this revision was not carried out because in Ghana, hand expression is common practice.

The one message that was changed completely during testing and finalization was that of material no. A0104\_04, which originally stated "Breastfeeding is good for mothers. It helps them lose pregnancy weight." This generated discussion and debate in FGDs about the women's own experience with pregnancy weight loss and breastfeeding, and among the Breastfeed4Ghana advisory group who agreed that the evidence for this was not strong enough to promote as a benefit of breastfeeding. Therefore, the message was changed to: "Breastfeeding is good for mothers. It helps protect against breast cancer" (see Additional File 3).

# **Discussion**

This paper presents the iterative, user-centered approach taken to develop and test materials for a breastfeeding social media campaign with the aim of insuring that the materials would be culturally appropriate. Campaign materials were informed not only by the end user, but also with evidence and input from various experts and stakeholders. We found that the nature of the inputs from the target population in the FGDs versus the content from technical experts (i.e., Breastfeed4Ghana advisory group, BBF committee, and the FDA) differed, providing justification for consulting both content and context experts alongside the target population. The expert input was valuable for ensuring message validity, while end-user input was focused on message clarity and acceptability.

s. Summary of Sources and types of mpar, and the responses to mpar of the of core		
Source of input for 60 materials	n	% <sup>1</sup>
Different sources of input [median (IQR)]	2 (2,3)	
Only FGD input received	21	35.0
Only BF4GH, BBF, or FDA input received	8	13.3
No FGD, BF4GH, BBF, or FDA input received	1	1.7
Type of input from FGD (% out of 51 materials)		
Change image	40	78.4
Change message	16	31.4
Change image-message alignment	15	29.4
Type of Input from BF4GH advisory group (% out of 37 materials)		
Change image	28	75.7
Change message	4	10.8
Change image-message alignment	5	13.5
Type of input from BBF committee (% for 1 of the materials)		
Change image	0	0
Change message	1	100.0
Change image-message alignment	0	0
Type of input from FDA (% out of 4 materials)		
Change image	1	25.0
Change message	4	100%
Change image-message alignment	0	0
Response to the 132 inputs	n	%1
BF4GH, BBF, or FDA input was addressed (% out of 42 inputs)	42	100.0
FGD input was addressed (% out of 90 inputs)	66	73.3
Reasons for not incorporating FGD inputs (% out of 24 inputs)		

Table 3. Summary of sources and types of input, and the responses to input on the 60 core campaign materials.

Note: BBF = Becoming Breastfeeding Friendly; BF4GH = Breastfeed4Ghana; FDA = Food and Drugs Authority; FGD = focus group discussion; IQR = interquartile range.

10

7

4

3

11.1

7.8

4.4

3.3

<sup>1</sup>Percentage is out of 60 materials unless otherwise specified.

Deviated from campaign or material design

Not feasible within time/resources

Disagreed with suggestion

Other<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>"Other" reasons included alignment/consistency with broader campaign theory, and interest in retesting the materials for additional input.



Figure 3. Image demonstrating range of responses to input from a focus group discussion (FGD) toward finalizing the Breastfeed4Ghana campaign materials. Panel A demonstrates an example in which the FGD input was to address poor message-image alignment. Panel B demonstrates an example in which some of the FGD input, specifically to include an image of a breast pump, was not utilized out of respect for local context practice.

It has previously been demonstrated that the messages from communication interventions have greater cultural acceptability if appropriate needs assessment have been designed to understand the situation and needs of the target audience.<sup>37</sup> Such acceptability testing is a key element of designing health interventions.<sup>38</sup> A user-centered approach aligns with an emphasis on acceptability, and such an approach is particularly effective for interventions targeting user engagement.<sup>28</sup> Given that, in the context of social media, user engagement is a key performance metric for campaigns,<sup>39</sup> the choice of user-centered design was justified for Breastfeed4Ghana as well as other health promotion campaigns.

The visual elements (i.e., images) of the materials designed in the current study constituted an important area of feedback from the users. Image–message misalignment can create a vague understanding of what is being communicated to end users, thereby leading to misperceptions of the message.<sup>40</sup>

Following its design, the campaign was run on Facebook and Twitter for a 6-month period. The results are reported in a forthcoming publication (*Maternal and Child Nutrition Journal*), and indicate acceptability of the campaign and engagement with the material content among both men and women in Ghana.<sup>31</sup>

# Lessons learned

In the process of designing the materials, the research team identified a number of practical lessons that can serve as a useful guide to those who will be developing materials for campaigns similar to Breastfeed4Ghana. First, sufficient time must be allocated to material development and testing. Approximately 5 months were allocated for developing the 60 materials for this campaign, which proved sufficient. However, adequate time between each FGD must be allowed to review the proposed changes and revise the materials, especially if FGD participants request new images that will need to be sourced and approved by the research team. The duration required for campaign material development and testing will, however, depend on the number, context, and nature of the materials being developed.

Another important lesson from this study is acknowledging that the images are an essential part of material development. Stock photos were initially used before recognizing they were not culturally acceptable. Thus, only original images were ultimately used to ensure cultural acceptability and campaign branding. Furthermore, it was originally planned to utilize the same images across multiple messages. However, FGD feedback revealed that the recycling of images was poorly received. Across all inputs from all source types, we found the image to be the element that required the greatest attention and time for revisions.

#### Limitations

Despite the value that this study adds to the literature, there are some important limitations to these findings. The end-user input we sought in this process was limited to mothers in Ghana, despite the campaign's broad approach to provide messages for family, friends, employers, and co-workers to support and protect breastfeeding. Although input was sought from a diverse group of experts, input from civil society that could have provided additional value was not received. Finally, we have not presented the results on campaign engagement in this paper, but rather focused on the acceptability of the content. However, such results on campaign engagement and content acceptability during the active campaign period have since been published, which confirm that the campaign material was acceptable and the target population (i.e., breastfeeding mothers, family members and associates, and decision-makers who influence the broader breastfeeding environment) did engage with it.<sup>31</sup>

#### Conclusions

This study demonstrated an iterative, user-centered, evidenced-based approach to designing a culturally appropriate and relevant breastfeeding social media campaign in Ghana that was grounded in the social ecological model. Starting with a foundation of the context-specific needs is essential. In the current study, this evidence came from the BBF assessment, and engagement with breastfeeding stakeholders in Ghana. The development stage of such a campaign should emphasize cultural acceptability and relevance, and aim to achieve acceptability among the target population of the campaign. This can be achieved by seeking input from a variety of sources with unique perspectives on the content, culture, dissemination platforms, including end users.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors appreciate the contribution of all focus group discussion participants for sharing their opinions on the materials. We are also grateful to the Ghana BBF committee and other experts (including Gabriela Buccini) who provided input for developing and testing the materials. We are also appreciative of Elfortify David Sarfo and John Mawuli Nyadegbe at IZSCOPE Ltd for the digital designing of the materials. The following research and administrative assistants were critical for the design and testing of the Breastfeed4Ghana SM communication materials and are duly acknowledged: Abena Engmann, Faustina Aryeetey, Helena Bentil, Vivian Kapio Abem, Cara Safon, Augustina Boadu, and Katie Doucet.

**Contributorship:** The study was conceived and designed by RA, KH, RPE, and AHF. Data gathering was led by RA with contributions from KH, RPE, OL, and GC. RA and KH led the data analysis and interpretation, with contributions and final approval from all other authors.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/ or publication of this article.

**Ethical approval:** Ethical approval of this study was granted by the Institutional Review Boards at University of Ghana and Yale University. All FGD participants provided written informed consent to participate. In all cases, appropriate consent was obtained by the creative agency from the persons whose photographs were taken and/or used in preparation of the campaign materials. Permission was obtained from institutions, including hospitals in Accra, where the photographs were taken by the creative agency.

**Funding:** The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The Breastfeed4Ghana study was funded by the International Society for Research in Human Milk and Lactation through Yale University (PI. Kassandra Harding), subaward number GR101839 (CON-80001098).

Guarantor: RA is guarantor.

**Peer review:** This manuscript was reviewed by reviewers who have chosen to remain anonymous.

**ORCID iD:** R Aryeetey **b** https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4667-592X **Supplemental material:** Supplemental material for this article is available online.

#### References

- Tufts University. Social media overview, https://commu nications.tufts.edu/marketing-and-branding/socialmedia-overview/ (2019, accessed 3 May 2019).
- Regan S and Brown A. Experiences of online breastfeeding support: support and reassurance versus judgement and misinformation. *Matern Child Nutr* 2019; 15: e12874.
- 3. Schoppe-Sullivan SJ, Yavorsky JE, Bartholomew MK, et al. Doing gender online: new mothers' psychological characteristics, Facebook use, and depressive symptoms. *Sex Roles* 2017; 76: 276–289.
- Tomfohrde OJ and Reinke JS. Breastfeeding mothers' use of technology while breastfeeding. *Comput Human Behav* 2016; 64: 556–561.
- Asiodu IV, Waters CM, Dailey DE, et al. Breastfeeding and use of social media among first-time African American mothers. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2015; 44: 268–278.
- StatCounter. StatsCounter GlobalStats, https://gs.stat counter.com/social-media-stats (2019, accessed 23 November 2019).
- Poushter J, Bishop C and Chwe H. Social media use continues to rise in developing countries, but plateaus across developed ones: digital divides remain, both within and across countries. Washington, D.C.: Pew Research Center, https://www.pewglobal.org/2018/06/19/social-media-usecontinues-to-rise-in-developing-countries-but-plateausacross-developed-ones/ (2018, accessed 29 March 2019).
- Pousther J. Smartphone ownership and internet usage continues to climb in emerging economies: but advanced economies still have higher rates of technology use. Washington, D.C.: Pew Research Center, http://www. pewglobal.org/2016/02/22/smartphone-ownership-andinternet-usage-continues-to-climb-in-emerging-econo mies/ (2016, accessed 29 March 2019).
- Wakefield MA, Loken B and Hornik RC. Use of mass media campaigns to change health behaviour. *Lancet* 2010; 376: 1261–1271.
- Giustini D, Ali SM, Fraser M, et al. Effective uses of social media in public health and medicine: a systematic review of systematic reviews. *Online J Public Health Inform* 2018; 10: e215–e.
- Laranjo L, Arguel A, Neves AL, et al. The influence of social networking sites on health behavior change: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2015; 22: 243–256.
- Kahn JG, Yang JS and Kahn JS. Mobile health needs and opportunities in developing countries. *Health Affairs* 2010; 29: 252–258.
- Rollins NC, Bhandari N, Hajeebhoy N, et al. Why invest, and what it will take to improve breastfeeding practices? *Lancet* 2016; 387: 491–504.
- Abrahams SW. Milk and social media: online communities and the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes. J Hum Lact 2012; 28: 400–406.

- 15. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJD, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet* 2016; 387: 475–490.
- 16. Butte N, Lopez-Alarcon MG and Garza C. *Nutrient adequacy of exclusive breastfeeding for the term infant during the first six months of life.* Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.
- World health Organization. *Global strategy on infant and young child feeding*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2003.
- Ghana Statistical Service (GSS), Ghana Health Service (GHS), ICF International. *Demographic and health survey 2014*. Rockville, MA: GSS, GHS, ICF International, 2015.
- Ghana Statistical Service (GSS). Multiple indicator cluster survey (MICS 2017/2018): survey findings report. Accra, Ghana: GSS, 2018.
- Aryeetey R, Hromi-Fiedler A, Adu-Afarwuah S, et al. Pilot testing of the Becoming Breastfeeding Friendly toolbox in Ghana. *Int Breastfeed J* 2018; 13: 30.
- Buccini G, Harding KL and Hromi-Fiedler A. How does "Becoming Breastfeeding Friendly" work? A program impact pathways analysis. *Matern Child Nutr* 2018; 15: e12766.
- 22. Hromi-Fiedler AJ, Dos Santos Buccini G, Gubert MB, et al. Development and pretesting of "Becoming Breastfeeding Friendly": empowering governments for global scaling up of breastfeeding programmes. *Matern Child Nutr* 2018; 15: e12659.
- Evans E. How social marketing works in health care. Br Med J 2006; 332: 1207–1210.
- 24. National Cancer Institute. *Making health communication programs work: a planner's guide*. Bethesda, MD: NCI, 2002.
- Pérez-Escamilla R. Breastfeeding social marketing: lessons learned from USDA's "Loving Support" campaign. Breastfeeding Med 2012; 7: 358–363.
- 26. Bronfenbrenner U. Toward an experimental ecology of human development. *Am Psychol* 1977; 32: 513–531.
- Chopel A, Soto D, Joiner B, et al. Multilevel factors influencing young mothers' breastfeeding: a qualitative CBPR study. J Hum Lact 2019; 35: 301–317.
- Yardley L, Morrison L, Bradbury K, et al. The personbased approach to intervention development: application to digital health-related behavior change interventions. *J Med Internet Res* 2015; 17: e30.
- Band R, Bradbury K, Morton K, et al. Intervention planning for a digital intervention for self-management of hypertension: a theory-, evidence- and person-based approach. *Implement Sci* 2017; 12: 25.
- Blandford A, Gibbs J, Newhouse N, et al. Seven lessons for interdisciplinary research on interactive digital health interventions. *Digit Health* 2018; 4: 2055207618 770325.
- Harding K, Pérez-Escamilla R, Carroll G, et al. Four dissemination pathways for a social media-based breastfeeding campaign: evaluation of the impact on key performance indicators. J Med Internet Res Nurs 2019; 2: e14589.

- Bartels RD, Kelly KM and Rothman AJ. Moving beyond the function of the health behaviour: the effect of message frame on behavioural decision-making. *Psychol Health* 2010; 25: 821–838.
- Gallagher KM, Updegraff JA. Health message framing effects on attitudes, intentions, and behavior: a metaanalytic review. *Ann Behav Med* 2012; 43: 101–116.
- Zarcadoolas C. The simplicity complex: exploring simplified health messages in a complex world. *Health Promot Int* 2011; 26: 338–350.
- 35. Ghana Health Service. National Infant and Young Child Feeding for Ghana: strategy document. Accra: GHS, 2007.
- 36. Ghana Health Service (GHS), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). *Key messages booklet: The community Infant and Young Child Feeding counselling package.* Accra, Ghana: GHS, 2012.

- Petty RE and Cacioppo JT. Communication and persuasion: central and peripheral routes to attitude change. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- Sekhon M, Cartwright M and Francis JJ. Acceptability of health care interventions: a theoretical framework and proposed research agenda. *Br J Health Psychol* 2018; 23: 519–531.
- Neiger BL, Thackeray R, Van Wagenen SA, et al. Use of social media in health promotion: purposes, key performance indicators, and evaluation metrics. *Health Promot Pract* 2012; 13: 159–164.
- Hewitt D. Conceptual complexity, environmental complexity, communication salience and attitude change *Eur J Soc Psychol* 1972;2:285–306.